Appendix A Water Supply Servicing September 25, 2020

## Appendix A WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

### A.1 DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND ESTIMATE

### 5731 Hazeldean Road Development - Domestic Water Demand Estimates

Building ID	Area	Population	Daily Rate of	Avg Day	Demand <sup>2</sup>	Max Day	Demand <sup>3</sup>	Peak Hour	r Demand <sup>3</sup>
	(m <sup>2</sup> )		Demand <sup>1</sup>	(L/min)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(L/s)
BLDG W	-	333	350	80.9	1.35	202.3	3.37	445.2	7.42
BLDG E	-	256	350	62.2	1.04	155.6	2.59	342.2	5.70
Office 1	1244	-	5	8.6	0.14	13.0	0.22	23.3	0.39
Total Site :				151.8	2.53	370.9	6.18	810.7	13.51

 5 L/m<sup>2</sup>/day is used to calculate water demand for retail and office space. This flow rate is at the high end of the range used for 'shopping centres' in the Ministry of Environment Design Guidelines for Sewers and Drinking Water Systems along with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (2012), Appendix 4A.

2. For the purpose of this study it is predicted that commercial/office facilities will be operated 12 hours per day.

3. Water demand criteria used to estimate peak demand rates for office space are as follows:

maximum day demand rate = 1.5 x average day demand rate maximum hour demand rate = 1.8 x maximum day demand rate

 Water demand criteria used to estimate peak demand rates for residential areas are as follows: maximum day demand rate = 2.5 x average day demand rate

maximum hour demand rate = 2.2 x maximum day demand rate

Appendix A Water Supply Servicing September 25, 2020

### A.2 FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS PER FUS



### **FUS Fire Flow Calculation**

Calculations based on: "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" by Fire Underwriters' Survey, 1999

Stantec Project #: 1604-01225 Project Name: 5731 Hazeldean Road Date: September 25, 2020 Data input by: Dustin Thiffault

Fire Flow Calculation #: 1 Building Type/Description/Name: 5 Storey Res.

Notes:

Building Classification C (per OBC Section 3.2.2.43A), max. building area of 9,000m2 separated by fire walls.

		Table A: Fire U	nderwriters Survey Determinatio	n of Required Fi	re Flow - Long Metho	bd		
Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier Associated with Option	Choose:	Value Used	Unit	Total Fire Flow (L/min)
			Fr	aming Material				
	Choose Frame Used	Coofficient related to	Wood Frame	1.5				
1	for Construction of	type of construction	Ordinary construction	1	Ordinary construction	1	m	
	Unit	(C)	Non-combustible construction	0.8	orallary construction	-		
		(-)	Fire resistive construction (> 3 hrs)	0.6				
	Choose Type of		Fl	oor Space Area				
2	Housing (if TH,		Single Family	1	Other (Comm Ind Ant			
_	Enter Number of	Type of Housing	Townhouse - indicate # of units	8	other (comm, mu, Apt	1	Units	
	Units Per TH Block)		Other (Comm, Ind, Apt etc.)	1	c.c.,			
2.2	# of Storeys	Nu	umber of Floors/Storeys in the Unit (do not	include basement):	1	1	Storeys	
_	Enter Ground Floor	Average Floor Area (A	) based on fire resistive building design wh	en vertical openings	9,000	0.000	Area in Square	
3	Area of One Unit		are inad	equately protected:	Square Metres (m2)	9,000	Meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	
4	Obtain Required Fire Flow without	Re	quired Fire Flow (without reduction	s or increases pe	r FUS) (F = 220 * C * vA	.)		21.000
	Reductions		Round to nea	arest 1000L/min				
5	Apply Factors Affecting Burning		Reductions/Increase:	s Due to Factors	Affecting Burning			
			Non-combustible	-0.25				
	Choose	Occupancy content	Limited combustible	-0.15				
5.1	Combustibility of	hazard reduction or	Combustible	0	Limited combustible	-0.15	N/A	17,850
	Building Contents	surcharge	Free burning	0.15				
			Rapid burning	0.25				
		Sprinkler reduction	Adequate Sprinkler conforms to NFPA13	-0.3	Adequate Sprinkler conforms to NFPA13	-0.3	N/A	-5,355
	Choose Reduction		None Water supply is standard for sprinkler	0	Water supply is standard			
5.2	Due to Presence of	Water Supply Credit	and fire dent, hose line	-0.1	for sprinkler and fire dept.	-0.1	N/A	-1.785
	Sprinklers		Water supply is not standard or N/A	0	hose line	•	,	_,
		Sprinkler Supervision	Sprinkler system is fully supervised	-0.1	Sprinkler not fully			
		Credit	Sprinkler not fully supervised or N/A	0	supervised or N/A	0	N/A	0
-			North Side	45.1m or greater	0			
	Choose Separation	Exposure Distance	East Side	10.1 to 20.0m	0.15			
5.3	Distance Between	Between Units	South Side	Fire Wall	0.1	0.3	m	5,355
	Units		West Side	30.1 to 45.0m	0.05			
		Το	otal Required Fire Flow, rounded	to nearest 1000	L/min, with max/min	n limits a	pplied:	16,000
6	Obtain Required			Tot	al Required Fire Flow	(above)	) in L/s:	267
ľ	& Volume				Required Duration of	f Fire Flo	w (hrs)	3.50
					Required Volume of	Fire Flow	<i>м</i> (m <sup>3</sup> )	3,360



### **FUS Fire Flow Calculation**

Stantec Project #: 1604-01225 Project Name: 5731 Hazeldean Road Date: September 25, 2020 Data input by: Dustin Thiffault Calculations based on: "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" by Fire Underwriters' Survey, 1999

Fire Flow Calculation #: 2 Building Type/Description/Name: 4 Storey Long Term Care

Notes:

Building Classification B Div. 2 (Floor assemblies are 2hr fire separations per OBC Section 3.2.2.38)

		Table A: Fire U	nderwriters Survey Determinatio	on of Required Fi	re Flow - Long Metho	bd								
Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier Associated with Option	Choose:	Value Used	Unit	Total Fire Flow (L/min)						
			Fr	aming Material										
	Choose Frame Used	Coefficient related to	Wood Frame	1.5										
1	for Construction of	type of construction	Ordinary construction	1	Non-combustible	0.8	m							
	Unit	(C)	Non-combustible construction	0.8	construction									
		. ,	Fire resistive construction (> 3 hrs)	0.6										
	Choose Type of		FI	oor Space Area			-							
2	Housing (if TH,		Single Family	1	Other (Comm Ind Ant									
	Enter Number of	Type of Housing	Townhouse - indicate # of units	8	etc.)	1	Units							
	Units Per TH Block)		Other (Comm, Ind, Apt etc.)	1	,									
2.2	# of Storeys	Nu	umber of Floors/Storeys in the Unit (do not	include basement):	1	1	Storeys							
3	Enter Ground Floor	Average Floor Area (A	) based on fire resistive building design wh	en vertical openings	3,425	3.425	Area in Square							
	Area of One Unit		are inad	lequately protected:	Square Metres (m2)		(m <sup>2</sup> )							
4	Obtain Required Fire Flow without Reductions	Required Fire Flow (without reductions or increases per FUS) (F = 220 * C * $VA$ ) Round to nearest 1000L/min												
5	Apply Factors Affecting Burning		Reductions/Increase	s Due to Factors	Affecting Burning									
			Non-combustible	-0.25										
	Choose	Occupancy content	Limited combustible	-0.15										
5.1	Combustibility of	hazard reduction or	Combustible	0	Limited combustible	-0.15	N/A	8,500						
	Building Contents	surcharge	Free burning	0.15										
		Sprinkler reduction	Adequate Sprinkler conforms to NFPA13	-0.3	Adequate Sprinkler	-0.3	N/A	-2.550						
			None	0	conforms to NFPA13		,	,						
	Choose Reduction		Water supply is standard for sprinkler	-0.1	Water supply is standard									
5.2	Due to Presence of	Water Supply Credit	and fire dept. hose line	0.1	for sprinkler and fire dept.	-0.1	N/A	-850						
	Sprinklers		Water supply is not standard or N/A	0	hose line									
		Sprinkler Supervision	Sprinkler system is fully supervised	-0.1	Sprinkler not fully	0	N/A	0						
		Credit	Sprinkler not fully supervised or N/A	0	supervised or N/A		,							
	Choose Separation		North Side	45.1m or greater	0	-								
5.3	Distance Between	Exposure Distance	East Side	45.1m or greater	0	0.15	m	1,275						
	Units	Between Units	South Side	45.1m or greater	0 15	-		-						
		Te	west side	10.1 to 20.0m	U.15	limite a	nnlindi	6 000						
	Obtain Required		nui Requirea Fire Flow, Tounaea	to neurest 1000			ppneu.	6,000						
6	Fire Flow, Duration			Tot	ai Required Fire Flow	(apove)	in L/s:	100						
	& Volume				Required Duration of	t Fire Flo	w (hrs)	2.00						
					Required Volume of	Fire Flow	w (m³)	720						



Notes:

### **FUS Fire Flow Calculation**

Calculations based on: "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" by Fire Underwriters' Survey, 1999

Fire Flow Calculation #: 3 Building Type/Description/Name: Office/Retail #1

Stantec Project #: 1604-01225 Project Name: 5731 Hazeldean Road Date: September 25, 2020 Data input by: Dustin Thiffault

Building Classification D/E

Table A: Fire Underwriters Survey Determination of Required Fire Flow - Long Method Total Multiplier Value Fire Step Task Term Options Associated Choose: Unit Used Flow with Option (L/min) **Framing Material** Choose Frame Used Wood Frame 1.5 Coefficient related to 1 for Construction of Non-combustible Ordinary construction 1 type of construction 0.8 m Unit Non-combustible construction 0.8 construction (C) Fire resistive construction (> 3 hrs) 0.6 **Floor Space Area** Choose Type of Housing (if TH. Single Family 2 Other (Comm, Ind, Apt Enter Number of Type of Housing Townhouse - indicate # of units 1 Units 8 etc.) Units Per TH Block Other (Comm, Ind, Apt etc.) 2.2 # of Storeys Number of Floors/Storeys in the Unit (do not include basement): 2 2 Storeys Area in 622 Average Floor Area (A) based on fire resistive building design when vertical openings Square **Enter Ground Floor** 3 1,244 Meters Area of One Unit are inadequately protected: Square Metres (m2) (m<sup>2</sup>) **Obtain Required** Required Fire Flow (without reductions or increases per FUS) (F = 220 \* C \* VA) 4 Fire Flow without 6.000 Round to nearest 1000L/min Reductions **Apply Factors** 5 **Reductions/Increases Due to Factors Affecting Burning** Affecting Burning Non-combustible -0.25 Choose Occupancy content Limited combustible -0.15 5.1 Combustibility of hazard reduction or Combustible 0 N/A 6,000 Combustible 0 **Building Contents** surcharge 0.15 Free burning 0.25 Rapid burning Adequate Sprinkler conforms to NFPA13 -0.3 Adequate Sprinkler Sprinkler reduction -1.800 -0.3 N/A conforms to NFPA13 None 0 **Choose Reduction** Water supply is standard for sprinkler Water supply is standard Due to Presence of -0.1 5.2 Water Supply Credit for sprinkler and fire dept. -0.1 N/A -600 and fire dept. hose line Sprinklers Water supply is not standard or N/A 0 hose line Sprinkler system is fully supervised -0.1 Sprinkler not fully Sprinkler Supervision 0 N/A 0 supervised or N/A Credit Sprinkler not fully supervised or N/A North Side 3.1 to 10.0m 0.2 Choose Separation Exposure Distance East Side 10.1 to 20.0m 0.15 5.3 **Distance Between** 0.4 2,400 m Between Units South Side 45.1m or greater 0 Units West Side 0.05 30.1 to 45.0m Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nearest 1000 L/min, with max/min limits applied: 6,000 **Obtain Required** Total Required Fire Flow (above) in L/s: 100 6 Fire Flow, Duration **Required Duration of Fire Flow (hrs)** 2.00 & Volume Required Volume of Fire Flow (m<sup>3</sup>) 720

Appendix A Water Supply Servicing September 25, 2020

### A.3 HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS RESULTS

#### Potable Water Hydraulic Model Results

### Average Daily Demand

Nodes						
ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (m)	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (kPa)
1	0.14	104.74	161.7	56.96	80.9	557.7
10	1.35	103.4	161.69	58.29	82.8	570.7
11	0	103.82	161.69	57.87	82.2	566.6
12	0	103.74	161.69	57.95	82.3	567.4
13	0	103.55	161.69	58.14	82.6	569.2
14	1.04	104.53	161.69	57.16	81.2	559.6
2	0	104.66	161.7	57.04	81.0	558.5
3	0	104.58	161.7	57.12	81.1	559.2
4	0	104.08	161.7	57.62	81.8	564.1
5	0	104.12	161.7	57.57	81.7	563.6
6	0	104.22	161.7	57.47	81.6	562.7
7	0	103.35	161.7	58.34	82.8	571.2
8	0	103.33	161.7	58.37	82.9	571.5
9	0	103.28	161.69	58.41	82.9	571.9

### Links

_111K9											
ID	From Node	To Node	Length (m)	Diameter (mm)	Roughnes s	Flow (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Headloss (m)	HL/1000 (m/km)	Status	Flow Reversal Count
1000	1	2000	15.91	200	110	-2.53	0.08	0	0.07	Open	0
1001	2	1	6.5	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1002	3	2	7.13	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1003	4	3	42.5	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1004	5	4	13.86	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1005	6	5	26.52	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1006	7	6	63.56	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1007	8	7	6.1	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1008	9	8	34.68	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1009	10	9	10.03	250	110	-2.39	0.05	0	0.02	Open	0
1010	11	10	36.64	250	110	-1.04	0.02	0	0	Open	0
1011	12	11	18.45	250	110	-1.04	0.02	0	0.01	Open	0
1012	13	12	6.1	250	110	-1.04	0.02	0	0	Open	0
1013	14	13	72.53	250	110	-1.04	0.02	0	0	Open	0

### Potable Water Hydraulic Model Results

### Maximum Daily Demand + Fire Flow

#### Nodes

ID	Static Demand (L/s)	Static Pressure (m)	Static Head (m)	Fire-Flow Demand (L/s)	Residual Pressure (m)	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (kPa)	Available Flow at Hydrant (L/s)	Available Flow Pressure (m)
11	0	51.65	155.47	100	44.75	63.5	438.1	257.5	14.07
14	2.59	50.94	155.47	100	41.87	59.5	409.9	221.0	14.07
2	0	50.83	155.49	267	43.49	61.8	425.8	645.6	14.07
6	0	51.26	155.48	267	31.63	44.9	309.7	379.4	14.07
9	0	52.19	155.47	267	18.31	26.0	179.3	285.0	14.07

#### Peak Hour Demand

Nodes						
ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (m)	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (kPa)
1	0.39	104.74	156.48	51.74	73.5	506.6
10	7.42	103.4	156.37	52.97	75.2	518.6
11	0	103.82	156.37	52.55	74.6	514.5
12	0	103.74	156.37	52.63	74.7	515.3
13	0	103.55	156.36	52.81	75.0	517.0
14	5.7	104.53	156.36	51.83	73.6	507.4
2	0	104.66	156.47	51.81	73.6	507.2
3	0	104.58	156.47	51.89	73.7	508.0
4	0	104.08	156.45	52.37	74.4	512.7
5	0	104.12	156.44	52.32	74.3	512.2
6	0	104.22	156.43	52.2	74.1	511.1
7	0	103.35	156.4	53.04	75.3	519.3
8	0	103.33	156.39	53.06	75.3	519.5
9	0	103.28	156.38	53.09	75.4	519.8

Links											
ID	From Node	To Node	Length (m)	Diameter (mm)	Roughnes s	Flow (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Headloss (m)	HL/1000 (m/km)	Status	Flow Reversal Count
1000	1	2000	15.91	200	110	-13.51	0.43	0.02	1.55	Open	0
1001	2	1	6.5	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0	0.5	Open	0
1002	3	2	7.13	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0	0.5	Open	0
1003	4	3	42.5	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0.02	0.49	Open	0
1004	5	4	13.86	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0.01	0.5	Open	0
1005	6	5	26.52	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0.01	0.49	Open	0
1006	7	6	63.56	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0.03	0.49	Open	0
1007	8	7	6.1	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0	0.49	Open	0
1008	9	8	34.68	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0.02	0.5	Open	0
1009	10	9	10.03	250	110	-13.12	0.27	0	0.49	Open	0
1010	11	10	36.64	250	110	-5.7	0.12	0	0.11	Open	0
1011	12	11	18.45	250	110	-5.7	0.12	0	0.11	Open	0
1012	13	12	6.1	250	110	-5.7	0.12	0	0.11	Open	0
1013	14	13	72.53	250	110	-5.7	0.12	0.01	0.11	Open	0

Appendix B Wastewater Servicing September 25, 2020

### Appendix B WASTEWATER SERVICING

B.1 SANITARY SEWER DESIGN



96		SUBDIVISION	Lands G	roup - Wellings	of		Ş	SANIT DES	ARY S IGN SI	SEWEF HEET	R											DESIGN P	ARAMETERS											
		Stitts	Extendica	are L.T.C.				(Ci	ty of Otta	wa)				MAX PEAK F	ACTOR (RES.	.)=	4.0		AVG. DAILY	FLOW / PERS	ON	350	) L/p/day		MINIMUM VE	ELOCITY		0.60	m/s					
		DATE:		25/9/2020										MIN PEAK F	CTOR (RES.)	=	2.0		COMMERCIA	AL.		50,000	) L/ha/day		MAXIMUM V	ELOCITY		3.00	m/s					
Stantec		REVISION	:	1										PEAKING FA	CTOR (INDUS	STRIAL):	2.4		INDUSTRIAL	(HEAVY)		55,000	) L/ha/day		MANNINGS	n		0.013						P
		DESIGNE	D BY:	MJS	FILE NU	UMBER:	1604-01195	5						PEAKING FA	CTOR (COMM	1., INST.):	1.5		INDUSTRIAL	(LIGHT)		35,000	) L/ha/day		BEDDING CI	LASS		E						
		CHECKED	BY:	DT										PERSONS / S	SINGLE UNIT		3.4		INSTITUTION	IAL		50,000	) L/ha/day		MINIMUM CO	OVER		2.50	m					ŀ
														SINGLE PER	SON UNITS		1.0		INFILTRATIO	N		0.28	3 L/s/ha											1
														PERSONS / /	PARTMENT		1.8																	
LOCATION	N				RESIDEN	NTIAL AREA AND	POPULATION				COM	IERCIAL	INDUS	TRIAL (L)	INDUST	rial (H)	INSTITU	TIONAL	GREEN	/ UNUSED	C+I+I		INFILTRATION	1	TOTAL				Р	PIPE				
AREA ID	FROM	то	AREA	Single		POP.	CUMUL	ATIVE	PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	FLOW	LENGTH	DIA	MATERIAL	CLASS	SLOPE	CAP.	CAP. V	VEL.	VEL.								
NUMBER	M.H.	M.H.		SINGLE Person	APT.		AREA	POP.	FACT.	FLOW		AREA		AREA	<i>a</i> ,	AREA		AREA		AREA	FLOW	AREA	AREA	FLOW			<i>,</i> , ,			(81)	(FULL)	PEAK FLOW	(FULL)	(ACT.)
			(ha)	Units			(ha)			(L/s)	(ha)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)			(%)	(I/S)	(%)	(m/s)	(m/s)									
Wellings, LTC, CRU																																		
ENTIRE SITE	SAN 2 SAN 1	SAN 1 MAIN	1.32 0.00	0 256 0 0	185 0	589 0	1.32 1.32	589 589	3.94 3.94	9.4 9.4	0.12 0.00	0.12 0.12	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	1.46 0.00	1.46 1.46	0.1 0.1	2.90 0.00	2.90 2.90	0.8 0.8	10.3 10.3	6.0 27.1	300 300	PVC PVC	SDR 35 SDR 35	0.25 0.25	48.0 48.0	21.47% 21.47%	0.68 0.68	0.45 0.45

Appendix C Stormwater Management September 25, 2020

### Appendix C STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

# C.1 STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET AND ROOF STORAGE CALCULATIONS





This map and the associated information displayed are to be used for general illustrative purposes only. Although best efforts have been made to create accuracy; due to the complex and extensive nature of the data, all representations and/or information provided herein are approximate and to be verified by user. User hereby acknowledges that this map is not intended for true and accurate navigational purposes and hereby accepts and assumes all inherent risks associated with the use of this map.

This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under Licence with the Ontario Ministry ofNatural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013

Imagery © City of Ottawa, 2011 Digital Elevation Information © GeoDigital International Inc, Spring 2008



This map and the associated information displayed are to be used for general illustrative purposes only. Although best efforts have been made to create accuracy; due to the complex and extensive nature of the data, all representations and/or information provided herein are approximate and to be verified by user. User hereby acknowledges that this map is not intended for true and accurate navigational purposes and hereby accepts and assumes all inherent risks associated with the use of this map.

This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under Licence with the Ontario Ministry ofNatural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013

Imagery © City of Ottawa, 2011 Digital Elevation Information © GeoDigital International Inc, Spring 2008



Revision #	Issue	COFESSION
1 - Nov. 14 2013	Public review	10 TON
2 - Dec. 4, 2013	Board approval	(3 Amtud 2)
3 - Jan. 21,2015	Final	S I. S. A. PRICE
•		
		3 Jan. 20/15
		CONCE OF ONTAIL
		COF COF

TA		5731 Hazeldear	n Road				STORM	SEWE	R T		DESIGN I = a / (t+	PARAME <sup>.</sup> b) <sup>c</sup>	<u>TERS</u>	(As per C	ity of Otta	wa Guide	lines, 201	2)												
	DATE:		25-Se	p-2020			(City of	<sup>•</sup> Ottawa)				1:5 yr	1:100 yr	]	,		,	,												
Stantec	REVISION:	<i>.</i>		3 \T			4 04405				a =	998.071	1735.688	MANNING	"S n =	0.013		BEDDING	CLASS =	В										
	CHECKED BY	•	L N	/IS	FILE NUM	IDER: 100	J4-01195				D = C =	6.053 0.814	6.014 0.820	TIME OF I	ENTRY	2.00 10	m min													
LOC	CATION									DRAINA	GE AREA													PIPE SELE	CTION					
	FROM	то	AREA	AREA	AREA	С	ACCUM.	AxC	ACCUM.	ACCUM.	AxC	ACCUM.	T of C	I <sub>5-YEAR</sub>	I <sub>10-YEAR</sub>		ACCUM.	Q <sub>ACT</sub>	LENGTH	PIPE WIDTH	PIPE	PIPE	MATERIAL	CLASS	SLOPE	Q <sub>CAP</sub>	% FULL	VEL.	VEL.	TIME OF
NUMBER	M.H.	M.H.	(5-YEAR) (ha)	(100-YEAR) (ha)	) (ROOF) (ha)	(-)	AREA (5YR (ha)	) (5-YEAR) (ha)	AxC (5YR) (ha)	AREA (100YF (ha)	(100-YEAR) (ha)	AxC (100YR) (ha)	(min)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(NOTE 1) (L/s)	Q <sub>CONTROL</sub> (L/s)	(CIA/360) (L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(mm)	SHAPE (-)	(-)	(-)	%	(FULL) (L/s)	(-)	(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT) (m/s)	FLOW (min)
		102	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	13.02	87.00	140.21	42.3	12.3	12.3	2.8	450	450		CONCRETE		0.20	133.0	31 80%	0.81	0.61	0.08
	TANKOUT	102	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	14.00	07.22	149.21	42.5	42.5	42.5	2.0	430	430	CIRCOLAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	155.0	51.00 /6	0.01	0.01	0.08
	103	102	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00 10.00	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	450	450	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	1.00	298.1	0.00%	1.82	0.00	0.00
	102	101	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	14.00	96.04	140 74	0.0	40.0	40.0	70.7	450	450		CONCRETE		0.20	122.0	24.90%	0.04	0.61	1.04
	102	101	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	15.94	80.65	137.87	0.0	42.3	42.3	101.6	450	450	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	133.0	31.80%	0.81	0.61	2.79
	100	HEADWALL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	18.72 18.85	73.18	125.00	62.4	104.7	104.7	11.1	675 675	675 675	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	1.40	1037.6	10.09%	2.81	1.50	0.12
													10.00							010	010									
L201A-D	STUB (209)	104	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.72	0.538	0.538	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	155.7	38.2	375	375	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	1.00	164.8	94.44%	1.56	1.62	0.39
													10.39																	
ST110A-D	110	106	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.17	0.081	0.081	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00 10.23	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	23.5	12.5	375	375	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	1.00	164.8	14.24%	1.56	0.91	0.23
	500	501	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10 00	104 19	178 56	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.1	200	200	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	1 00	33.3	0.00%	1.05	0.00	0.00
	501	111	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	200	200	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	1.00	33.3	0.00%	1.05	0.00	0.00
													10.00																	
	502	111	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00 <b>10.00</b>	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	200	200	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	1.00	33.3	0.00%	1.05	0.00	0.00
ST111A-C	111	107	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.34	0.233	0.233	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	67.3	110.4	375	375	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	0.70	137.9	48.81%	1.31	1.11	1.66
ST107A	107	106	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.62	0.199	0.431	0.00	0.000	0.000	11.66	96.17	164.68	0.0	0.0	115.2	63.3	450	450	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.50	210.3	54.79%	1.28	1.13	0.94
													12.00																	
	106	105	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.000	0.512	0.00	0.000	0.000	12.60 12.95	92.22	157.85	0.0	0.0	131.3	17.6	525	525	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	200.6	65.43%	0.90	0.83	0.35
ST108C-F	108A	108	0.37	0.00	0.10	0.46	0.37	0.172	0.172	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104.19	178.56	5.0	5.0	54.7	85.0	375	375	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	0.50	116.6	46.92%	1.11	0.93	1.53
ST108A, L202A	108	105	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.90	0.37	0.000	0.172	0.00	0.000	0.000	11.53	96.76	165.70	45.7	50.7	96.8	36.3	450	450	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.30	162.9	59.45%	0.99	0.89	0.68
													12.21																	
	105	104	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.16	0.000	0.684	0.00	0.000	0.000	12.95 13.63	90.83	155.45	0.0	50.7	223.3	39.1	600	600	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	286.5	77.95%	0.98	0.96	0.68
L 104A	104	103	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.77	1 91	0.023	1 245	0.00	0.000	0.000	13 63	88 27	151 04	0.0	50.7	356.0	16.3	675	675	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	392.2	90.78%	1.06	1.08	0.25
	103	TANKIN2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.91	0.000	1.245	0.00	0.000	0.000	13.88	87.37	149.48	0.0	50.7	352.9	2.8	675	675	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	392.1	90.00%	1.06	1.08	0.04
													13.92							675	675									
ST507A	507	TANKIN3	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.05	0.039	0.039	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00 <b>10.02</b>	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.9	200 200	200 200	CIRCULAR	PVC	-	1.00	33.3	33.45%	1.05	0.80	0.02
	511	510	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104 19	178 56	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	250	250		CONCRETE	-	0.25	30.2	0.00%	0.61	0.00	0.00
	510	509	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.2	250	250	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.25	30.0	0.00%	0.61	0.00	0.00
ST508A	509 508	508 TANKIN1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	10.00	104.19	178.56	0.0	0.0	0.0 5.2	40.4 8.7	250 250	250	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.25	30.2 30.4	0.00%	0.61	0.00	0.00
													10.39							250	250									

 File No:
 160401195

 Project:
 5731 Hazeldean

 Date:
 25-Sep-20

SWM Approach: Post-development to Pre-development flows

Post-Development Site Conditions:

Overall Runoff Coefficient for Site and Sub-Catchment Areas

		Runoff C	oefficient Table					
Sub-cat Ar	chment ea		Area (ha)		Runoff Coefficient			Overall Runoff
Catchment Type	ID / Description		"A"		"C"	"A :	x C"	Coefficient
Roof	L202A	Hard	0.34		0.9	0.308		
		Soft	0.00		0.2	0.000		
	Su	ibtotal		0.343			0.308	0.900
Roof	ST108A	Hard	0.40		0.9	0.363		
		Soft	0.00		0.2	0.000		
	Su	ibtotal		0.404			0.363	0.900
Roof	ST108C	Hard	0.06		0.9	0.056		
		Soft	0.00		0.2	0.000		
	Su	ıbtotal		0.062			0.056	0.900
Total				0 000			0 709	
Overall Runoff Coefficient= C:				0.000			0.728	0.90
Total Roof Areas			0.808 ł	na				
Total Tributary Surface Areas (	Controlled and Uncontro	lled)	0.000 h	na				
Total Tributary Area to Outlet			0.808 h	na				
Total Uncontrolled Areas (Non-	-Tributary)		0.000 h	na				
Total Site			0.808 h	na				

### **Stormwater Management Calculations**

#### Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Modified Rational Method Calculatons for Storage

	5 vr Intens	itv	$I = a/(t + b)^{c}$	a =	998.071	t (min)	l (mm/hr)	T
	City of Otta	awa	. , ,	b =	6.053	5	141.18	-
				c =	0.814	10	104.19	
						15	83.56	
						20	70.25	
						25	60.90	
						30	53.93	
						35	48.52	
						40	44.18	
						45	40.03	
						55	35.12	
						60	32.94	
	5 YEAR M	Aodified F	Rational Metl	nod for Enti	re Site			_
Subdra	inage Area:	L202A					Roo	of
	Area (ha): C:	0.34 0.90		М	aximum Sto	rage Depth:	15	0 mm
	tc	l (5 yr)	Qactual	Qrelease	Qstored	Vstored	Depth	1
	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m^3)	(mm)	4
	5	141.18	120.98	16.61	104.37	31.31	90.1	0.00
	10	104.19 83.66	09.29	10.00	52 20	42.39	101.2	0.00
	20	70 25	60.20	19.43	40.78	48.93	104.2	0.00
	25	60.90	52 19	19.45	32,74	49,11	105.5	0.00
	30	53.93	46.21	19.36	26.86	48.35	105.0	0.00
	35	48.52	41.58	19.20	22.38	47.00	104.1	0.00
	40	44.18	37.86	18.99	18.87	45.29	103.0	0.00
	45	40.63	34.82	18.76	16.06	43.35	101.8	0.00
	50	37.65	32.27	18.51	13.76	41.27	100.4	0.00
	55	35.12	30.10	18.18	11.92	39.32	98.6	0.00
	60	32.94	28.23	17.82	10.41	37.48	96.7	0.00
Storage:	Roof Storag	ge		Dirah	14-	No. "	Diret	-
		Depth	Head	Uischarge	Vreq	vavail	Discharge	
5-year	Water Level	105.50	0.11	19.45	49.11	137.00	0.00	
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C:	ST108A 0.40 0.90		М	aximum Sto	rage Depth:	Roc 15	of 0 mm
	tc	l (5 yr)	Qactual	Qrelease	Qstored	Vstored	Depth	7
	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m^3)	(mm)	
	5	141.18	142.62	19.39	123.23	36.97	90.2	0.00
	10	104.19	105.25	21.77	83.49	50.09	101.2	0.00
	20	70.25	70.07	22.44	49.27	57.02	104.3	0.00
	20	60.00	61.52	22.05	38 70	58 19	105.5	0.00
	30	53.93	54.48	22.62	31.85	57.34	105.2	0.00
	35	48.52	49.01	22.44	26.57	55.80	104.4	0.00
	40	44.18	44.63	22.21	22.43	53.82	103.3	0.00
	45	40.63	41.04	21.94	19.10	51.57	102.0	0.00
	50	37.65	38.04	21.66	16.38	49.14	100.7	0.00
	55	35.12	35.48	21.30	14.18	46.80	99.0	0.00
	60	32.94	33.28	20.88	12.40	44.63	97.1	0.00
Storage:	Roof Storag	ge						_
		Depth (mm)	Head	Discharge	Vreq	Vavail	Discharge	
5-veor	Water Level	(IIIIII) 105.67	(11)	(L/S) 22.72	(cu. m) 58 10	(cu. m) 161 50	0.00	-
5=yeai	water Lever	103.07	0.11	22.12	50.15	101.50	0.00	_
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C:	ST108C 0.06 0.90		м	aximum Sto	orage Depth:	Roc 15	of 0 mm
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc	ST108C 0.06 0.90	Qactual	M	aximum Sto	Vstored	Roc 15 Depth	of 0 mm
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc (min)	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr)	Qactual (L/s)	M Qrelease (L/s)	aximum Sto Qstored (L/s)	Vstored (m^3)	Roc 15 Depth (mm)	of 0 mm
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc (min) 5	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18	Qactual (L/s) 21.96	Qrelease (L/s) 4.07	Aximum Stored (L/s)	Vstored (m^3) 5.37	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3	of 0 mm 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc (min) 5 10	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 92 56	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.62	aximum Sto Qstored (L/s) 17.90 11.70	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9	0 mm 0 mm 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.92	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.63	<b>Qstored</b> (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.20	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7 FE	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6	0 mm 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.00	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.64 4.64	<b>Qstored</b> (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.90	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 20.7	0 mm 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51	<b>Qstored</b> (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.88	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32 6 90	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8	0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40	<b>Qstored</b> (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.88 3.15	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.99 6.61	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55 6.87	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40 4.29	<b>Qstored</b> (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.88 3.15 2.58	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.99 6.61 6.20	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45	ST108C 0.06 0.90 <b>I (5 yr)</b> (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55 6.87 6.32	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40 4.29 4.18	Qstored (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.88 3.15 2.58 2.14	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.99 6.61 6.20 5.78	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1 90.7	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	ST108C 0.06 0.90 1 (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63 37.65	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55 6.87 6.32 5.86	M Crelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40 4.29 4.18 4.07	Qstored         (L/s)           17.90         11.70           18.37         6.29           4.88         3.88           3.15         2.58           2.14         1.79	Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.99 6.61 6.20 5.78 5.37	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1 90.7 88.3	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: tc (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63 37.65 35.12	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55 6.87 6.32 5.86 5.46	M Qrelease (L's) 4.07 4.63 4.63 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40 4.29 4.18 4.07 3.96	aximum Sto (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.88 3.15 2.58 2.14 1.79 1.51	vrage Depth: Vstored (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.99 6.61 6.20 5.78 5.37 4.97	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1 90.7 88.3 85.9	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 30 35 40 45 55 55 60	ST108C 0.06 0.90 I (5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63 37.65 35.12 32.94	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55 6.87 6.32 5.86 5.86 5.46 5.13	M Crelease (L/S) 4.07 4.51 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40 4.29 4.18 4.07 3.97 3.85	aximum Sto (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.88 3.15 2.58 2.58 2.14 1.79 1.51 1.27	rage Depth: Vstored (m <sup>3</sup> ) 5.37 7.53 7.55 7.35 6.99 6.61 6.20 5.78 5.37 4.97 4.58	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1 90.7 88.3 85.9 83.6	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra Storage:	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 60 Roof Storag	ST108C 0.06 0.90 (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63 37.65 35.12 32.94	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 9.47 8.39 9.47 8.39 9.47 6.32 5.86 5.46 5.46 5.46	M Crelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.64 4.59 4.51 4.40 4.29 4.18 4.07 3.96 3.85	aximum Sto (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.15 2.58 2.14 1.79 1.51 1.27	vrage Depth: (m^3) 5.37 7.02 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.99 6.61 6.20 5.78 5.37 4.97 4.58	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 100.6 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1 90.7 88.3 90.7 88.3 95.5 93.1 90.7	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra Storage:	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 Roof Storag	ST108C 0.06 0.90 (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63 37.65 35.12 32.94 ge	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 6.32 5.86 5.46 5.13	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.07 4.51 4.63 4.59 4.51 4.59 4.51 4.59 4.51 4.29 4.29 4.29 3.96 3.85 Dispharence	aximum Sto (L/s) 17.90 11.70 8.37 6.29 4.88 3.15 2.58 2.14 1.79 1.51 1.27	Vatored (m <sup>4</sup> 3) 5.37 7.52 7.53 7.55 7.32 6.61 6.20 5.78 6.61 6.20 5.78 5.37 4.58	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 99.7 95.5 93.1 90.7 88.3 85.9 83.6	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Subdra Storage:	inage Area: Area (ha): C: (min) 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 55 60 Roof Storag	ST108C 0.06 0.90 1(5 yr) (mm/hr) 141.18 104.19 83.56 70.25 60.90 53.93 48.52 44.18 40.63 37.65 35.12 32.94 92 Depth (mm)	Qactual (L/s) 21.96 16.21 13.00 10.93 9.47 8.39 7.55 6.87 6.87 6.82 5.86 5.46 5.13 Head (m)	M Qrelease (L/s) 4.67 4.63 4.64 4.51 4.64 4.51 4.29 4.18 4.07 4.18 4.07 3.96 3.85 Discharge (L's)	Qstored         (Us)           17.90         11.70           11.70         8.37           6.29         4.88           3.85         2.15           2.58         2.14           1.79         1.51           1.27         Vreq           Vreq         (CI, m)	Vatored (m <sup>3</sup> ) 5.37 7.52 7.53 7.55 6.99 6.61 6.20 5.37 4.58 Vavail ((1, m))	Roc 15 Depth (mm) 88.3 97.9 100.6 99.7 97.8 95.5 93.1 90.7 88.3 85.9 83.6 Discharge Chert	of 0 mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

#### Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Modified Rational Method Calculatons for Storage $I = a/(t + b)^{6}$ 100 yr Intensity a = 1735.688 t (min) l (mm/hr) 242.70 178.56 142.89 119.95 City of Ottawa 6.01 0.82 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 103.85 91.87 91.87 82.58 75.15 69.05 63.95 59.62 55.89 60 100 YEAR Modified Rational Method for Entire Site Subdrainage Area: Area (ha): C: L202A 0.34 1.00 Roof 150 Maximum Storage Depth: l (100 yr tc Qactua Qrelease Qstored Vstored Depth (min) 10 (mm/hr) 178.56 (L/s) 170.02 (L/s) 23.72 (L/s) 146.30 (m^3) 87.78 (mm) 128.7 20 119.95 114.21 25.23 88.98 106.77 136.9 0.0 25.23 25.60 25.53 25.25 24.86 24.42 23.95 23.47 87.47 71.55 60.90 53.22 47.41 61.87 46.02 35.65 28.36 22.99 138.9 138.5 137.0 134.9 132.5 129.9 127.3 124.6 121.3 118.2 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 91.87 75.15 63.95 55.89 49.79 44.99 41.11 37.90 35.20 32.89 **111.37** 110.45 106.94 102.10 96.56 90.67 84.65 78.71 73.62 68.70 42.84 39.14 36.09 33.52 31.32 18.89 15.68 13.12 11.15 9.54 23.47 22.97 22.36 21.78 Storage: Roof Storage Depth Head Discharge Vreq Vavail Discharge Check 0.00 (L/s) 25.60 100-year Water Level 138.90 (m) 0.14 (cu. m) 111.37 (cu. m) 137.00 Subdrainage Area: Area (ha): C: Roof ST108A 0.40 1.00 Maximum Storage Depth 150 Qactual (L/s) 200.42 (100 yr) Vstored (m^3) 103.64 Qrelease Qstored Depth tc (mm) 128.7 (min) 10 (mm/hr) 178.56 (L/s) 27.68 (L/s) 172.74 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 119.95 91.87 134.64 103.12 29.47 105.17 73.21 54.51 42.27 33.67 27.32 22.48 18.68 15.63 13.30 11.39 126.20 131.77 137.0 139.1 138.7 137.3 135.2 132.8 130.3 127.7 125.1 121.9 29.91 103.12 84.35 71.78 62.74 55.89 50.50 46.14 42.54 39.51 29.91 29.83 29.52 29.07 28.56 28.02 27.47 131.77 130.83 126.81 121.20 114.75 107.89 100.86 75.15 63.95 55.89 49.79 44.99 41.11 37.90 35.20 26.91 93.81 87.78 26.21 120 32.89 36.92 25.53 82.00 118.7 Roof Storage Storage Vreq Vavail Depth Head Discharge Discharge 100-year Water Level 139.08 (m) 0.14 (L/s) 29.91 Check -0.01 (cu. m) 131.77 (cu. m) 161.50 Subdrainage Area: ST108C Area (ha): 0.06 C: 1.00 Roof 150 m Maximum Storage Depth (100 vr tr Qactua Oreleas Qstore Vstored Denth (L/s) 5.83 6.11 6.11 (L/s) 30.87 (L/s) 25.04 14.63 9.77 6.97 5.17 3.94 3.08 2.45 1.97 1.59 1.29 (m^3) 15.02 17.55 17.59 16.74 15.52 14.18 12.95 11.76 10.62 9.53 8.51 (mm) 126.5 132.5 132.6 130.6 127.7 124.2 119.9 115.6 111.6 107.7 104.1 (min) 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 (mm/hr) 178.56 119.95 91.87 30.87 20.74 15.88 12.99 11.06 0.0 0.0 75.15 63.95 6.02 5.88 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.89 49.79 44.99 41.11 37.90 35.20 9.66 8.61 7.78 7.11 6.55 6.09 5.88 5.72 5.52 5.33 5.14 4.96 4.80 120 32.89 5.69 4.64 1.05 7.55 100.6 Storage Roof Storag Discharge Check 0.00 Depth Discharge Vreq Vavail Head 100-year Water Level 132.62 (m) 0.13 (L/s) 6.11 (cu. m) 17.59 (cu. m 24.87

### **Stormwater Management Calculations**

Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Modified Rational Method Calculatons for Storage Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Modified Rational Method Calculatons for Storage

### Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Roof Drain Design Sheet, Area ST108A Standard Zurn Model Z-105-5 Control-Flo Single Notch Roof Drain

	Rating Curve				Volume Estimation				
Elevation	Discharge Rate	Outlet Discharge	Storage	Elevation	Area	Volume	e (cu. m)	Water Depth	
(m)	(cu.m/s)	(cu.m/s)	(cu. m)	(m)	(sq. m)	Increment	Accumulated	(m)	
0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0.000	0	0	0	0.000	
0.025	0.0004	0.0054	1	0.025	90	1	1	0.025	
0.050	0.0008	0.0108	6	0.050	359	5	6	0.050	
0.075	0.0012	0.0161	20	0.075	808	14	20	0.075	
0.100	0.0015	0.0215	48	0.100	1436	28	48	0.100	
0.125	0.0019	0.0269	93	0.125	2243	46	93	0.125	
0.150	0.0023	0.0323	162	0.150	3230	68	162	0.150	

Drawdown Estimate								
Total	Total							
Volume	Time	Vol	Detention					
(cu.m)	(sec)	(cu.m)	Time (hr)					
0.0	0.0	0.0	0					
5.2	486.8	5.2	0.13522					
19.4	880.8	14.2	0.37989					
47.1	1286.5	27.7	0.73725					
92.7	1696.8	45.6	1.20857					
160.8	2109.4	68.0	1.79451					

### Rooftop Storage Summary

Total Building Area (sg.m)		4038	
Assume Available Roof Area (sq.	80%	3230	
Roof Imperviousness		0.99	
Roof Drain Requirement (sq.m/Notch)		232	
Number of Roof Notches*		14	
Max. Allowable Depth of Roof Ponding (m)		0.15	* As per Ontario Building Code section OBC 7.4.10.4.(2)(c).
Max. Allowable Storage (cu.m)		162	
Estimated 100 Year Drawdown Time (h)		1.5	

From Zurn Drain Catalogue

Head (m) L/min L/s Notch Rating 0.051 45.5 0.00076 232

\* Note: Number of drains can be reduced if multiple-notch drain used.

Calculation Results	Ī	5yr	100yr	Available
Qresu	ult (cu.m/s)	0.023	0.030	-
Depth	Depth (m)		0.139	0.150
Volun	ne (cu.m)	58.2	131.8	161.5
Draintime (hrs)		0.9	1.5	

### Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Roof Drain Design Sheet, Area L202A Standard Zurn Model Z-105-5 Control-Flo Single Notch Roof Drain

	Rating Curve				Volume Estimation				
Elevation	Discharge Rate	Outlet Discharge	Storage	Elevation	Area	Volume	e (cu. m)	Water Depth	
(m)	(cu.m/s)	(cu.m/s)	(cu. m)	(m)	(sq. m)	Increment	Accumulated	(m)	
0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0.000	0	0	0	0.000	
0.025	0.0004	0.0046	1	0.025	76	1	1	0.025	
0.050	0.0008	0.0092	5	0.050	304	4	5	0.050	
0.075	0.0012	0.0138	17	0.075	685	12	17	0.075	
0.100	0.0015	0.0184	41	0.100	1218	23	41	0.100	
0.125	0.0019	0.0230	79	0.125	1903	39	79	0.125	
0.150	0.0023	0.0276	137	0.150	2740	58	137	0.150	

Drawdown Estimate								
Total	Total							
Volume	Time	Vol	Detention					
(cu.m)	(sec)	(cu.m)	Time (hr)					
0.0	0.0	0.0	0					
4.4	481.8	4.4	0.13382					
16.5	871.8	12.1	0.37598					
40.0	1273.2	23.5	0.72965					
78.6	1679.3	38.7	1.19612					
136.4	2087.6	57.7	1.77602					

### Rooftop Storage Summary

Total Building Area (sq.m) Assume Available Roof Area (sq. Roof Imperviousness Roof Drain Requirement (sq.m/Notch) Number of Roof Notches* Max. Allowable Depth of Roof Ponding (m)	80%	3425 2740 0.99 232 12 0.15	* As per Ontario Building Code section OBC 7.4.10.4.(2)(c).
Max. Allowable Depth of Roof Ponding (m)		0.15	* As per Ontario Building Code section OBC 7.4.10.4.(2)(c).
Max. Allowable Storage (cu.m)		137	
Estimated 100 Year Drawdown Time (h)		1.5	

From Zurn Drain Catalogue

Head (m) L/min L/s Notch Rating 0.051 45.5 0.00076 232

\* Note: Number of drains can be reduced if multiple-notch drain used.

Calculation Res	sults	5yr	100yr	Available
	Qresult (cu.m/s)	0.019	0.026	-
	Depth (m)		0.139	0.150
Volume (cu.m)		49.1	111.4	137.0
Draintime (hrs)		0.8	1.5	

### Project #160401195, 5731 Hazeldean Roof Drain Design Sheet, Area ST108C Standard Zurn Model Z-105-5 Control-Flo Single Notch Roof Drain

	Rating Curve				Volume Estimation				
Elevation	Discharge Rate	Outlet Discharge	Storage	Elevation	Area	Volume	e (cu. m)	Water Depth	
(m)	(cu.m/s)	(cu.m/s)	(cu. m)	(m)	(sq. m)	Increment	Accumulated	(m)	
0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0.000	0	0	0	0.000	
0.025	0.0004	0.0012	0	0.025	14	0	0	0.025	
0.050	0.0008	0.0023	1	0.050	55	1	1	0.050	
0.075	0.0012	0.0035	3	0.075	124	2	3	0.075	
0.100	0.0015	0.0046	7	0.100	221	4	7	0.100	
0.125	0.0019	0.0058	14	0.125	345	7	14	0.125	
0.150	0.0023	0.0069	25	0.150	497	10	25	0.150	

Drawdown Estimate								
Total	Total							
Volume	Time	Vol	Detention					
(cu.m)	(sec)	(cu.m)	Time (hr)					
0.0	0.0	0.0	0					
0.8	349.9	0.8	0.09718					
3.0	633.1	2.2	0.27304					
7.3	924.6	4.3	0.52988					
14.3	1219.5	7.0	0.86863					
24.8	1516.1	10.5	1.28976					

### Rooftop Storage Summary

Total Building Area (sq.m) Assume Available Roof Area (sq. Roof Imperviousness Roof Drain Requirement (sq.m/Notch) Number of Roof Notches* Max. Allowable Depth of Roof Ponding (m) Max, Allowable Storage (cu.m)	80%	622 497 0.99 232 3 0.15 25	* As per Ontario Building Code section OBC 7.4.10.4.(2)(c).
Max. Allowable Storage (cu.m) Estimated 100 Year Drawdown Time (h)		25 1.0	

From Zurn Drain Catalogue Head (m) L/min L/s

 Head (m) L/min
 L/s
 Notch Rating

 0.051
 45.5
 0.00076
 232

\* Note: Number of drains can be reduced if multiple-notch drain used.

Calculation Results		5yr	100yr	Available
(	Qresult (cu.m/s)	0.005	0.006	-
1	Depth (m)	0.101	0.133	0.150
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Volume (cu.m)	7.6	17.6	24.9
Ī	Draintime (hrs)	0.5	1.0	

# **Outlet Rip-Rap Sizing**

US Arr 1991 P EM160 Commo	ny Corps o rocedure 1 on values	f Enginne	rs		
V	1.62	m/s			
v	0.27125	m			
y Z	0.37123				
<u>_</u> امان	3.00				
phi	42	degrees			
r v v	300	m			
VV	1	m			
Ss	2.5	rock speci	ific gravity		
g	9.806	m/s²			
theta	18.4	degrees	bank ang	le with hori	zontal
SF	1.1				
Cs	0.3				
KI	1				
Cv	0.79				
Ct	1				
D <sub>50</sub> =	0.048	m			
M <sub>50</sub> =	0.147	kg			
	Selected D	)50	0.060	m	
	Min. thick	ness	0.120	m	

Appendix C Stormwater Management September 25, 2020

### C.2 SAMPLE PCSWMM MODEL INPUT (12HR 100YR SCS)

[TITLE] ;;Project Title/	Notes
[OPTIONS] ;;Option FLOW_UNITS INFILTRATION FLOW_ROUTING LINK_OFFSETS MIN_SLOPE ALLOW_PONDING SKIP_STEADY_STAT	Value LPS CURVE_NUMBER DYNWAVE ELEVATION 0 YES E NO
START_DATE START_TIME REPORT_START_DATI REPORT_START_DATI END_TIME SWEEP_START SWEEP_START SWEEP_END DRY_DAYS REPORT_STEP DRY_STEP ROUTING_STEP RULE_STEP	$\begin{array}{c} 07/23/2009\\ 00:00:00\\ 00:00:00\\ 00:00:00\\ 00:00:00\\ 00:00:00\\ 01/01\\ 12/31\\ 0\\ 00:05:00\\ 00:05:00\\ 00:05:00\\ 1\\ 00:00:00\\ 1\end{array}$
INERTIAL_DAMPING NORMAL_FLOW_LIMIT FORCE_MAIN_EQUAT VARIABLE_STEP LENGTHENING_STEP MIN_SURFAREA MAX_TRIALS HEAD_TOLERANCE SYS_FLOW_TOL LAT_FLOW_TOL LAT_FLOW_TOL MINIMUM_STEP THREADS	PARTIAL TED BOTH ION H-W 0 0 0 8 0.0015 5 0.5 4
[EVAPORATION] ;;Data Source ;; CONSTANT DRY_ONLY	Parameters 0.0 NO
[KAINGAGES]	

Page 1

160401195\_100scs.inp

;;Name	Format Interv	160 val SCF So	0401195_100scs.inp ource			
;; RG1	INTENSITY 0:15	1.0 TI	MESERIES 100SCS			
[SUBCATCHMENTS] ;;Name	Rain Gage	Outlet	Area %Imper	v Width	%slope	CurbLen SnowPack
;;						
;0.20 EXT_1	RG1	EXT1-OF	0.073108 0	16.449	33.3	0
;0.76 EXT2	RG1	EXT2-OF	0.051084 80	11.494	2	0
;0.77 L104A	RG1	ST104A-S	0.030543 81.429	32.1	2	0
;0.64 L201A	RG1	L201A-S	0.225585 62.857	88.7	2	0
;0.75 L201B	RG1	L201B-S	0.156297 78.571	76	3	0
;0.76 L201C	RG1	L201C-S	0.185634 80	83	2.5	0
;0.90 L201D	RG1	L201D-S	0.141633 100	48	1	0
;0.90 L202A	RG1	L202A-S	0.342508 100	77.065	1.5	0
;0.71 ST107A	RG1	ST107A-S	0.281705 72.857	225	1.5	0
;0.90 ST108A	RG1	ST108A-S	0.403752 100	90.846	1.5	0
;0.90 ST108C	RG1	ST108C-S	0.062183 100	13.991	1.5	0
;0.51 ST108D	RG1	108	0.367742 37.721	82.743	1.2	0
;0.25 ST110A	RG1	110	0.074661 7.143	16.799	0.8	0

T_1 (T_2 (T2 (04A 201A 201B 201C 201D 202A 201D 202A 102A 102A 102A 102A 102A 102A 108D 110A 110C 111A 111B 111A 111B 111C 111C 101A 101C 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101C 101A 101C 101C 101A 101C 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101A 101C 101C 101C 101A 101C 100C 10	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7           7	<ul> <li>SurDepth</li> <li>Gat</li> <li>Gat</li> <li>NO</li> <li>NO</li></ul>	Aponded 	 te To 	ns	N/A	Fevap
; XT_1 XT_1 104A 201A 201B 201C 201D 201D 202A T107A T108C T108D T108D T108D T110C T110C T110C T110C T111A T111B T111C T507A T508A JUNCTIONS] ;Name ; Name ; Name ; Name ; Name ; Name ; Name ; Name ; XT2-OF EADWALL 00LE_OF1 00LE_OF1 T104A-OF T107A-OF	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	101195_100sc 	Aponded 0 0 eed Rou	 te To			
<pre>XT_1 XT_1 XT_1 XT_1 XT_2 I04A 201A 201B 201C 202A T107A T108C T108C T108C T110A T110C T111A T111C T111A T111C T507A T508A DUNCTIONS] Name</pre>	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	• SurDepth 	Aponded 0 0	 te To			
; XT_1 XT_2 104A 201A 201B 201C 201D 202A T107A T108C T108C T108C T108C T108C T10A T110C T111A T111B T111C T111C T507A T508A JUNCTIONS] ;Name ; 10 )1	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	101195_1005 	Aponded 0				
<pre>KT_1 KT_1 I04A 201A 201B 201C 201D 202A T107A T108A T108A T108C T108C T108D T110A T111B T111C T507A T507A T508A DUNCTIONS] Name</pre>	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	401195_100sc 	CS.inp Aponded				
; XT_1 104A 201A 201B 201C 201D 202A 7107A 7108A 7108A 7108D 7110C 7110D 7110C 7110D 7111B 7111B 7111C 7507A 7508A	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	401195_1005« 	CS.inp				
; KT_1 (T2 104A 201A 201B 201C 201D 202A r107A r108A r108A r108D r110A r110A r110A	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	401195_1005« 	CS.inp				
; XT_1 XT2 104A 201A 201B 201C 201C 201D 202A 7107A 7108A 7108A	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	401195_1005¢ 	CS.inp				
; KT_1 KT2 L04A 201A 201B 201C 201D	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	401195_100so 	cs.inp				
; <t_1 <t2 104A 2014</t2 </t_1 	80 80 80 80 80	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	7 7 7 7 7 7 7	401195_100so 	CS.inp				
;			100-	401195_100so 	CS.inp				
			160						
INFILTRATION] Subcatchment	CurveNum		DryTime	Page 3					
F111C F507A F508A	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.2 0.2 0.2	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	IMF IMF PER	PERVIOUS PERVIOUS RVIOUS	100 100 100	
F110D F111A F111B F1116	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.2 0.2 0.2	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	PER IMF IMF	RVIOUS PERVIOUS PERVIOUS	100 100 100	
r108D r110A r110C	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	PER PER IMF	RVIOUS RVIOUS PERVIOUS	100 100 100	
202A F107A F108A F108C	0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	IMF IMF IMF	PERVIOUS PERVIOUS PERVIOUS	100 100 100	
201B 201C 201D	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.2 0.2 0.2	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	IMF IMF IMF	PERVIOUS PERVIOUS PERVIOUS	100 100 100	
XT2 104A 201A	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.2 0.2 0.2	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	PER IMF IMF	RVIOUS PERVIOUS PERVIOUS	100 100 100	
Subcatchment	N-Imperv 0.013	N-Perv 0.2	S-Imperv 1.57	S-Perv 4.67	PctZero 0	ROL	uteTo RVIOUS	PctRouted 100	
T508A	RG1	508	3	0.096396	0	158.9	1	0	
Г507А 0.20	RG1	ST	507A-S	0.051188	81.429	25.8	1.5	0	
r111c ).77	RG1	ST1	l11c-s	0.043507	61.429	36.8	1.5	0	
0.63	RG1	111	L	0.037296	100	88	0.8	0	
Г111в	RG1	STI	11A-S	0.256459	65.714	107.5	0.8	0	
7.00 F111A 0.90 F111B		110	)	0.074098	7.143	16.672	2 0.8	0	
),25 -110D 0.66 -111A 0.90 -111B	RG1	110				26.6	10	0	

104 105 106 107 108 111 508 L201A-S L201B-S L201C-S L201C-S L201D-S L202A-S ST104A-S ST107A-S ST108A-S ST108A-S ST108C-S ST111A-S ST111C-S ST507A-S TANK	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 100.078 & 3.4 \\ 100.232 & 3.4 \\ 100.342 & 2.9 \\ 100.803 & 2.4 \\ 97.239 & 7.1 \\ 101.422 & 2.6 \\ 101.06 & 1.7 \\ 102.24 & 1.7 \\ 101.83 & 1.7 \\ 102.81 & 1.5 \\ 115.75 & 0.1 \\ 101.13 & 2.1 \\ 101.52 & 2.1 \\ 101.13 & 2.1 \\ 101.68 & 2.4 \\ 101.86 & 2.4 \\ 101.87 & 2.1 \\ 101.57 & 2.1 \\ 101.57 & 2.1 \\ 100.1 & 3.2 \\ \end{array}$	3 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	160401195_100SCS FUNCTIONAL 1 FUNCTIONAL 1 FUNCTIONAL 1 TABULAR R FUNCTIONAL 1 TABULAR L TABULAR L TABULAR L TABULAR L TABULAR L TABULAR S TABULAR S	.inp .13 0 .13 0 .20 A-S .20 A-S .20 A-S .20 A-S .20 C-S .20	0 0 0 0			
[CONDUITS] ;;Name MaxFlow ;;	From Node	To Node	Length	Roughness	InOffset	OutOffset	InitFlow	
201-104	201	104	78.257	0.013	101.164	100.382	0	0
L201A-T	L201A-S	ST104A-S	30.8	0.013	103.82	103.47	0	0
L201B-T	L201B-S	ST104A-S	38.4	0.013	103.51	103.47	0	0
L201C-T	L201C-S	ST507A-S	34.8	0.013	103.51	103.49	0	0
Pipe_13	100	HEADWALL	11.135	0.013	99.548	99.52	0	0
Pipe_14	106	105	17.55995	0.013	100.411	100.376	0	0
Pipe_14_(1)	105	104	39.10268	0.013	100.301	100.222	0	0
Pipe_16	110	106	12.50838	0.013	100.686	100.561	0	0
Pipe_17	111	107	110.3626	0.013	101.65	100.877	0	0
Pipe_21	104	103	16.284	0.013	100.143	100.11	0	0
Pipe_23	508	TANK	8.730414	0.013	101.6588	101.637	0	0
			Page 5					

Pipe_26	101	160401	195_100scs 101.5684	.inp 0.013	99.936	99.733	0	0
Pipe_27	108	105	36.34	0.013	100.441	100.332	0	0
Pipe_29	107	106	63.29649	0.013	100.802	100.486	0	0
Pipe_31	102	101	70.701	0.013	100.083	99.942	0	0
Pipe_34	103	TANK	2.81	0.013	100.106	100.1	0	0
ST104A-T	ST104A-S	ST104A-OF	2.5	0.025	103.47	102.88	0	0
ST107A-T	ST107A-S	ST107A-OF	2.5	0.025	103.08	103.04	0	0
ST111A-T	ST111A-S	ST111C-S	40.9	0.013	104.26	103.75	0	0
ST111B-T	ST111C-S	ST107A-S	60	0.013	103.75	103.08	0	0
ST507А-Т	ST507A-S	ST104A-S	14.9	0.013	103.5	103.47	0	0
wl	103	102	3	0.013	102	101.97	0	0
[ORIFICES]	From Node							
,,, value ;;	L201A-S L201B-S L201C-S TANK TANK TANK ST104A-S ST107A-S L201D-S ST111A-S ST111A-S	10 Node 201 201 201 102 102 102 104 107 201 111 111	Type SIDE SIDE SIDE SIDE SIDE SIDE SIDE SIDE	07fset 102.24 101.83 101.83 100.1 100.7 101 101.52 101.13 102.81 101.86 101.95	Qcoeff 0.572 0.572 0.572 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.572	Gated NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	CloseTime 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	L201A-S L201B-S L201C-S TANK TANK ST104A-S ST107A-S L201D-S ST111A-S ST111C-S ST111C-S	10         Node           201         201           201         102           102         102           104         107           201         111           111         111           111         111	Type 	07fset 102.24 101.83 101.83 100.1 100.7 101 101.52 101.13 102.81 101.86 101.95 101.95	Qcoeff  0.572 0.572 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.572 0.65 0.65 0.572 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65	Gated NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	CloseTime  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
,,, vanie ;;	L201A-S L201B-S L201C-S TANK TANK ST104A-S ST104A-S ST104A-S ST104A-S ST111C-S ST111C-S ST111C-S From Node	To Node	Type 	Offset 102.24 101.83 101.83 100.1 100.7 101.52 101.52 101.86 101.95 101.95 Type	Qcoeff 	Gated NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	CloseTime 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	From Node           L201A-S           L201B-S           L201C-S           TANK           TANK           ST107A-S           L201D-S           ST111A-S           ST111C-S           From Node           TANK	To Node 201 201 201 102 102 104 107 201 111 111 111 To Node POOLE_OF1	Type 	07fset 102.24 101.83 101.83 100.1 100.7 101 101.52 101.13 102.81 101.86 101.95 101.95 Type TABULAR/HE/	Qcoeff 	Gated NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	CloseTime 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_

T108A-0 0	ST108A-S	108	TQ	118.6	7. T	ABULAR/HEAD	ST108A-	0
Г108в-О Э	L202A-S	108		115.7	5 т	ABULAR/HEAD	L202A-0	
108C-0	ST108C-S	108		110.4	T.	ABULAR/HEAD	ST108C-	0
1507A-0 0	515U/A-S	TANK		101.5	/ F	UNCI LUNAL/HEAD	7.996	0.499
<pre>XSECTIONS] ;Link ;</pre>	Shape	Geom1		Geom2	Geom3	Geom4	Barrel	s Culvert
)104 201A-T 201B-T 201C-T jpe_13 jpe_14(1) jpe_16 jpe_21 jpe_22 jpe_23 jpe_23 jpe_29 jpe_31 jpe_34 T104A-T T111B-T T507A-T L 201A-0 201B-0 201C-0 R1 R2 R3 R2 R3 R104A-0 T107A-0 T107A-0 T107A-0 T107A-0 T107C-0 T111A-0 T111C-0	CIRCULAR IRREGULAR IRREGULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR IRREGULAR IRREGULAR IRREGULAR CIRCULAR	0.375 Overland Overland 0.675 0.525 0.675 0.375 0.675 0.25 0.45 0.27 1.08 0.15 0.83 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.27 0.075 0.25 0.25 0.45 0.127 0.083 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2						
L11C-01	CIRCULAR	0.2		0	0	0		
TRANSECTS				Page	7			
			16	0401195_10	Oscs.ir	ıp		
;Transect Data - 2 0.013 0.013 L Overland	in нес-2 fo 3 0.013 5	rmat	6.85	0401195_10	0scs.in	ıp Q.0 Q.	<u>0    0</u> .	0
;Transect Data c 0.013 0.01; L Overland ≷ 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] c 0.013 0.01;	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013	rmat 0.15 0.15	6.85 0	0401195_10 0.0 6.85	0scs.in 0.0 0.15	1p 0.0 0. 7 0.	0 0. 15 7	0
Transect Data 0.013 0.013 0verland 0.15 0 LE: 0][RE: 7] 0.013 0.011 0verland(orig) 0.15 0	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 0 4 0	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15	16 6.85 0 6.85	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 0.0 6.85	0.0 0.15 0.0 0.15	np 0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. 7 0.	0 0. 15 7 0 0.	0
;Transect Data : 0.013 0.013 : 0verland R 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] : 0.013 0.013 : 0.013 0.013 : 0.015 0 .05SES] :	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 4 0 Kentry	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 Kexit	16 6.85 0 6.85 0 Kavg	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 0.0 6.85 Flap G	0scs.in 0.0 0.15 0.0 0.15 ate Se	np 0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. 9.0 0.	0 0. 15 7 0 0.	0
;Transect Data c 0.013 0.01; 1 Overland R 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] c 0.013 0.01; 1 Overland(orig; R 0.15 0 LOSSES] ;Link ; 01-104 ipe_14 ipe_14 ipe_16 ipe_17 ipe_26 ipe_27 ipe_29 ipe_31 1	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 0 Kentry  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 Kexit  1.344 0.022 1.344 1.344 0.022 0.423 1.344 1.344 1.344	16 6.85 6.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 0.0 6.85 Flap Gi NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	0scs.in 0.0 0.15 ate se 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	np 0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. epage	0 0. 15 7 0 0.	0
;Transect Data c 0.013 0.01; 1 Overland R 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] c 0.013 0.01; 1 Overland(orig) R 0.15 0 LOSSES] ;Link ;	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 0 Kentry  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 Kexit  1.344 0.022 1.344 0.022 0.423 1.344 0.053 1.344 1.344 1.344 1.344 1.344	16 6.85 0 6.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 0.0 6.85 Flap Gi NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	0scs.in 0.0 0.15 0.15 ate Se 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	np 0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. epage 	0 0. 15 7 0 0.	0 0 Pattern
Transect Data C 0.013 0.013 1 Overland R 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] C 0.013 0.013 1 Overland(orig) R 0.15 0 LOSSES]	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 4 0 Kentry 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 Kexit 1.344 0.053 0.022 1.344 0.022 1.344 1.344 1.344 1.344 t Time	16 6.85 0 6.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 0.0 6.85 Flap G NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	0scs.in 0.0 0.15 0.15 ate se 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	np 0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. epage  ctor Sfactor 1	0 0. 15 7 0 0. Baseline 175	0 0 Pattern
Transect Data . 0.013 0.01: Overland 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] 0.013 0.01] I overland(orig) 0.15 0 . 0.5SES] . ink 	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 0 Kentry 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 Kexit 	16 6.85 0 6.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 0.0 6.85 Flap G NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	0scs.in 0.0 0.15 ate Se 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. epage 	0 0. 15 7 0 0.	0 0 Pattern
;Transect Data - C 0.013 0.01: 1 Overland R 0.15 0 [LE: 0][RE: 7] C 0.013 0.01: 1 Overland(orig) R 0.15 0 LOSSES] ;Link ;	in HEC-2 for 3 0.013 5 0 3 0.013 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rmat 0.15 0.15 0.15 Kexit 1.344 0.053 0.022 1.344 0.022 1.344 0.022 1.344 0.053 1.344 1.344 0.053 1.344 1.344 t Time  0 0.01 0 0 0.025 0.075 0.15 0.15 0 0.15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 6.85 0 6.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0401195_10 0.0 6.85 Flap G NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	0scs.in 0.0 0.15 ate Se 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0. 7 0. 0.0 0. epage 	0 0. 15 7 0 0. Baseline 175	0 0 Pattern

Page 8

				160401195 100scs inn
ST108A-0 ST108A-0 ST108A-0 ST108A-0 ST108A-0 ST108A-0		0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	10.8 16.1 21.5 26.9 32.3	100401155_100505. htp
ST108C-0 ST108C-0 ST108C-0 ST108C-0 ST108C-0 ST108C-0 ST108C-0 ST108C-0	Rating	0 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	0 1.2 2.3 3.5 4.6 5.8 6.9	
ST108D-0 ST108D-0 ST108D-0 ST108D-0 ST108D-0 ST108D-0 ST108D-0 ST108D-0	Rating	0 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	0 0.8 1.5 2.3 3.1 3.8 4.6	
ST108E-0 ST108E-0 ST108E-0 ST108E-0 ST108E-0 ST108E-0 ST108E-0 ST108E-0	Rating	0 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.5 1.9 2.3	
TANK_BASEFLOW TANK_BASEFLOW TANK_BASEFLOW	Rating	0 0.01 10	0 0.66 0.66	
L201A-S L201A-S L201A-S L201A-S L201A-S	Storage	0 1.38 1.58 1.5801 1.73	0 0 214.3 0 0	3
L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S	Storage	0 1.38 1.68 1.6801 1.73	0 0 369.9 0 0	)
L201C-S L201C-S	Storage	0 1.38	0 0	

Page 9

L201C-S L201C-S L201C-S		1.68 1.6801 1.73	160401195_100scs.inp 464.4 0 0
L202A L202A L202A L202A L202A L202A L202A L202A	Storage	0 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	0 76 304 685 1218 1903 2740
RWHtank RWHtank RWHtank RWHtank	Storage	0 3.202 3.203 5	113.747 113.747 0 0
ST104A-S ST104A-S ST104A-S ST104A-S ST104A-S	Storage	0 1.8 1.95 1.9501 2.1	0 0 117.9 0
ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S	Storage	0 1.8 1.95 1.9501 2.1	0 0 46.9 0
ST108A ST108A ST108A ST108A ST108A ST108A ST108A ST108A	Storage	0 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	0 90 359 808 1436 2243 3230
ST108C ST108C ST108C ST108C ST108C ST108C ST108C ST108C	Storage	0 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.125 0.15	0 14 55 124 221 345 497
ST111A-S ST111A-S ST111A-S	Storage	0 2.1 2.4	0 0 724

			16040119	95_100scs.inp		
ST507A-S ST507A-S ST507A-S ST507A-S ST507A-S ST507A-S	Storage	0 1.8 1.92 1.9201 2.1	0 0 347.7 0			
ST508A-S ST508A-S ST508A-S ST508A-S ST508A-S ST508A-S	Storage	0 0.7 0.701 1.741 1.991	0 152 0 118.2			
TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK	Storage	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 & 0.026 \\ 0 & 0.051 \\ 0 & 0.077 \\ 0 & 102 \\ 0 & 127 \\ 0 & 204 \\ 0 & 229 \\ 0 & 228 \\ 0 & 305 \\ 0 & 305 \\ 0 & 331 \\ 0 & 356 \\ 0 & 336 \\ 0 & 305 \\ 0 & 335 \\ 0 $	560.7 560.7 559.44 559.44 559.44 558.18 556.92 555.66 554.4 549.36 549.36 549.36 534.24 527.94 521.64 539.28 534.24 527.94 521.64 539.28 534.24 527.94 521.64 539.28 534.24 527.94 521.64 539.28 534.24 527.94 521.64 539.28 18 403.2 383.04 360.36 347.76 335.16 320.04 304.92 289.8	age 11		
TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK		0.889 0.915 0.94 0.965 0.991 1.016 1.041 1.067 1.092 1.118 1.143 1.168 1.219 1.245 1.3245 1.3245 1.327 1.327 1.327 1.397 1.422 1.346 1.372 1.397 1.448 1.473 1.499 1.524 1.575 1.6 1.651 1.676 1.651 1.676 1.727 1.778 1.803 1.835 1.835	$\begin{array}{c} 16040111\\ 272.16\\ 258.3\\ 244.44\\ 233.1\\ 221.76\\ 211.68\\ 201.6\\ 192.78\\ 185.22\\ 180.18\\ 176.4\\ 172.62\\ 170.1\\ 167.58\\ 165.06\\ 163.8\\ 162.54\\ 162.54\\ 162.54\\ 162.54\\ 161.28\\ 161$	95_100SCS.inp		
[TIMESERIES] ;;Name ;; ;MTO Distributio	Date n, 15min ir	Time tervals	Value			
002SCS 002SCS 002SCS		0:00 0:15 0:30	U 1.08 1.08 P	age 12		

0025CS 0025CS	0:45 1:00 1:15 1:30 2:45 3:00 2:45 3:00 4:15 5:30 5:15 5:30 5:45 6:00 6:15 6:30 6:15 6:30 6:15 6:30 6:15 7:00 7:15 7:30 7:45 8:00 8:15 8:30 8:15 8:30 8:45 9:00 9:15 9:30 9:45 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 11:00 10:45 11:00 11:45 12:00 0:00:00	10001195_100SCS.inp 1000 100	
0055CS 00	0:15:00 0:30:00 0:45:00 1:15:00 1:30:00 1:30:00 2:00:00 2:30:00 2:30:00 3:15:00 3:30:00 3:30:00 3:45:00 4:30:00 4:15:00 5:15:00 5:30:00 5:45:00 6:30:00 6:45:00 6:30:00 6:45:00 7:30:00 7:45:00 8:30:00 8:15:00 8:30:00 8:45:00 9:00:00 9:15:00 9:30:00 9:45:00 10:00:00 10:45:00 10:30:00 11:30:00 11:45:00 11:30:00 11:45:00	160401195_100SCS.inp 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.728 1.728 1.728 1.728 2.304 2.305 2.016 2.	

		160401195_100SCS.inp
0105CS         0           0105CS         0           0105CS         1           0105CS         1           0105CS         1           0105CS         1           0105CS         2           0105CS         3           0105CS         3           0105CS         3           0105CS         3           0105CS         3           0105CS         3           0105CS         4           0105CS         4           0105CS         5           0105CS         5           0105CS         5           0105CS         5           0105CS         6           0105CS         7           0105CS         7           0105CS         7           0105CS         7           0105CS         7           0105CS         7           0105CS         7 <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{ccccc} :00:00 &amp; 0 \\ :15:00 &amp; 1 \\ :30:00 &amp; 1 \\ :30:00 &amp; 1 \\ :00:00 &amp; 1 \\ :15:00 &amp; 1 \\ :30:00 &amp; 1 \\ :15:00 &amp; 2 \\ :15:00 &amp; 2 \\ :15:00 &amp; 2 \\ :15:00 &amp; 2 \\ :30:00 &amp; 2 \\ :15:00 &amp; 4 \\ :30:00 &amp; 5 \\ :45:00 &amp; 4 \\ :15:00 &amp; 4 \\ :30:00 &amp; 5 \\ :45:00 &amp; 4 \\ :30:00 &amp; 5 \\ :45:00 &amp; 4 \\ :30:00 &amp; 4 \\ :15:00 &amp; 4 \\ :30:00 &amp; 5 \\ :45:00 &amp; 4 \\ :15:00 &amp; 2 \\ :15:00 &amp; 2 \\ :30:00 &amp; 2 \\ :15:00 &amp; 1 \\ :30:00 &amp; 1 \\ :30:00 &amp; 1 \\ :15:00 &amp; 1 \\ :30:00 </math></td> <td>field field</td>	$\begin{array}{ccccc} :00:00 & 0 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :00:00 & 1 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :30:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :30:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 4 \\ :30:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 4 \\ :30:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :30:00 & 5 \\ :45:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 4 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 2 \\ :30:00 & 2 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 & 1 \\ :15:00 & 1 \\ :30:00 $	field field
010505 1	1.45.00 1	160401195_100scs.inp
0105CS         1           0105CS         1           025SCS         0           025SCS         0           025SCS         0           025SCS         1           025SCS         1           025SCS         1           025SCS         1           025SCS         2           025SCS         3           025SCS         3           025SCS         3           025SCS         3           025SCS         3           025SCS         4           025SCS         4           025SCS         5           025SCS         5           025SCS         5           025SCS         5           025SCS         5           025SCS         6           025SCS         6           025SCS         7      025SCS         7      025	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1:45:00 & 1.\\ 2:00:00 & 0\\ :15:00 & 1.\\ :30:00 & 1.\\ :30:00 & 1.\\ :45:00 & 1.\\ :00:00 & 1.\\ :15:00 & 1.\\ :30:00 & 1.\\ :30:00 & 1.\\ :30:00 & 2.\\ :15:00 & 2.\\ :15:00 & 2.\\ :00:00 & 2.\\ :15:00 & 3.\\ :45:00 & 2.\\ :00:00 & 3.\\ :45:00 & 3.\\ :30:00 & 3.\\ :45:00 & 3.\\ :45:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :30:00 & 6.\\ :45:00 & 14\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :30:00 & 6.\\ :45:00 & 6.\\ :45:00 & 6.\\ :00:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :30:00 & 6.\\ :45:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :30:00 & 6.\\ :45:00 & 4.\\ :15:00 & 4.\\ :30:00 & 5.\\ :30:$	160401195_100SCS.1np         34         98 <td< td=""></td<>
025SCS         8           025SCS         8           025SCS         8           025SCS         9           025SCS         9           025SCS         9           025SCS         9           025SCS         9           025SCS         1	$\begin{array}{ccccc} :00:00&2,\\ :15:00&2,\\ :30:00&2,\\ :45:00&2,\\ :00:00&2,\\ :15:00&2,\\ :30:00&2,\\ :45:00&2,\\ :45:00&2,\\ :45:00&1,\\ 0:00:00&1,\\ 0:15:00&1,\\ 0:45:00&1,\\ 1:00:00&1.\\ \end{array}$	772 772 772 772 772 772 772 772 772 772

025scs 025scs	11:15:00 11:30:00	160401195_100scs.inp 1.584 1.584
025SCS 025SCS	11:45:00 12:00:00	1.584 0
050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS	0:00:00 0:15:00 0:30:00 0:45:00 1:00:00 1:15:00	0 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19
0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS	1:30:00 1:45:00 2:00:00 2:15:00 2:30:00	2.19 2.19 2.628 2.628 2.628
0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS	2:45:00 3:00:00 3:15:00 3:30:00 3:45:00	2.628 3.504 3.504 3.504 3.504
050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS	4:00:00 4:15:00 4:30:00 4:45:00 5:00:00	5.256 5.256 7.008 7.008 10.512
050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS	5:15:00 5:30:00 5:45:00 6:00:00 6:15:00	10.512 42.048 115.632 15.768 15.768
050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS 050SCS	6:30:00 6:45:00 7:00:00 7:15:00 7:30:00	7.008 7.008 5.256 5.256 5.256
0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS	7:45:00 8:00:00 8:15:00 8:30:00	5.256 3.066 3.066 3.066 3.066
0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS	9:00:00 9:15:00 9:30:00 9:45:00	3.066 3.066 3.066 3.066 3.066
0505CS 0505CS 0505CS	10:00:00 10:15:00 10:30:00	1.752 1.752 1.752 Page 17
050scs 050scs	10:45:00 11:00:00	160401195_100SCS.inp 1.752 1.752
0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS 0505CS	11:15:00 11:30:00 11:45:00 12:00:00	1.752 1.752 1.752 0
;MTO Distribution, 15min in 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS	ntervals 0:00 0:15 0:30	0 2.4 2.4
1005CS 1005CS 1005CS 1005CS 1005CS	1:00 1:15 1:30 1:45	2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4
100scs 100scs 100scs 100scs 100scs	2:00 2:15 2:30 2:45 3:00	2.4 2.88 2.88 2.88 2.88 2.88
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS	3:15 3:30 3:45 4:00 4:15	3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 5.76
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS	4:30 4:45 5:00 5:15	5.76 7.68 11.52
1005CS 1005CS 1005CS 1005CS 1005CS	5:30 5:45 6:00 6:15 6:30	11.32 46.08 126.72 17.28 17.28
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS	6:45 7:00 7:15 7:30 7:45	7.68 7.68 5.76 5.76 5.76
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS	8:00 8:15 8:30	5.76 3.36 3.36
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS	8:45 9:00 9:15 9:30	3.36 3.36 3.36
100SCS	9:45	3.36

100SCS 100SCS		10:00 10:15 10:30	1604 3.36 1.92	01195_100scs.inp			
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS 100SCS		10:30 10:45 11:00 11:15	1.92 1.92 1.92 1.92				
100SCS 100SCS 100SCS		11:30 11:45 12:00	1.92 1.92 0				
120SCS 12		0:00 0:15 1:00 1:15 1:30 2:15 2:00 2:15 2:45 3:00 2:45 3:30 4:15 5:15 5:33 4:00 4:15 5:15 5:35 6:00 6:15 5:45 6:30 6:15 7:45 8:30 8:15 8:30 8:15 8:30 9:15	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 2.88\\ 3.456\\ 3.456\\ 3.456\\ 3.456\\ 3.456\\ 4.608\\ 4.032\\ $	Page 19			
			1004	21105 100-00 100			
120SCS 120SCS 120SCS		9:30 9:45 10:00	4.032 4.032 4.032 4.032	01195_100SCS.1np			
120SCS 120SCS 120SCS 120SCS		10:15 10:30 10:45 11:00	2.304 2.304 2.304 2.304				
120SCS 120SCS 120SCS 120SCS		11:15 11:30 11:45 12:00	2.304 2.304 2.304 2.304				
[REPORT] ;;Reporting INPUT CONTROLS SUBCATCHMEN NODES ALL LINKS ALL	Options YES NO TS ALL	12100	č				
[TAGS] Node Node Node Link Link Link Link Link Link Link Link	ST104A-S ST107A-S ST111A-S ST111A-S ST507A-S L201A-T L201B-T L201C-T ST104A-T ST107A-T ST111A-T ST111B-T ST507A-T	RD RD RD RD MJ MJ MJ MJ MJ MJ MJ					
[MAP] DIMENSIONS UNITS	350551.92 Meters	2255	5015913.69355	350858.28245	5016191.78345		
[COORDINATE ;;Node	S] X-Coord		Y-Coord				

Appendix C Stormwater Management September 25, 2020

### C.3 SAMPLE PCSWMM MODEL OUTPUT (12HR 100YR SCS)

		· ·	al. p	0			
WARNING 03: negative ************ Element Count ***************** Number of rain gages Number of subcatchme Number of nodes Number of links Number of pollutants Number of land uses	2 offset ignor 5 1 20ts 20 33 40 5 0 0	ed for Li	nk Pipe_2	y			
*************** Raingage Summary							
Name	Data Source			Data Type	Recor	ding val	
RG1	100scs			INTENSIT	Y 15 m	 in.	
************************ Subcatchment Summary ************************************	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slop	e Rain G	age	Outlet
EXT_1 EXT2 L104A L201B L201B L201C L202D L202A ST107A ST108C ST108C ST108D ST110A ST110C ST110D ST111A	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07\\ 0.05\\ 0.03\\ 0.23\\ 0.16\\ 0.19\\ 0.14\\ 0.28\\ 0.40\\ 0.06\\ 0.37\\ 0.07\\ 0.03\\ 0.07\\ 0.26\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.45\\ 11.49\\ 32.10\\ 88.70\\ 76.00\\ 83.00\\ 77.06\\ 225.00\\ 90.85\\ 13.99\\ 82.74\\ 16.80\\ 26.60\\ 16.67\\ 107.50\\ \end{array}$	0.00 80.00 81.43 62.86 78.57 80.00 100.00 72.86 100.00 100.00 77.72 7.14 100.00 7.14 65.71 Pa	33.300 2.000 2.000 3.000 2.500 1.500 1.500 1.500 1.500 0.800 0.800 0.800 0.800 0.800	) RG1 ) RG1		EXT1-OF EXT2-OF ST104A-S L201A-S L201B-S L201D-S L202A-S ST107A-S ST108A-S ST108A-S ST108C-S 108 110 110 ST111A-S
ST111B ST111C ST507A ST508A	0.04 0.04 0.05 0.10	88.00 36.80 25.80 158.90	16040119 100.00 61.43 81.43 0.00	5_100SCS.r 0.800 1.500 1.500 1.000	pt ) RG1 ) RG1 ) RG1 ) RG1		111 ST111C-S ST507A-S 508
**************************************							
Name	Туре	I	nvert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow	
110 201 201 EXT1-OF EXT2-OF HEADWALL POOLE_OF1 POOLE_OF2 ST104A-OF ST107A-OF 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 111 508 L201A-S L201B-S L201B-S L201D-S L201D-S L201D-S L201D-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST108C-S ST111A-S ST11A-S	JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE		00.69 01.16 02.88 04.20 98.70 00.10 0.00 99.40 99.40 99.40 99.40 99.60 99.40 99.40 99.40 00.03 00.03 00.03 00.03 00.34 00.34 00.34 01.42 01.55 01.	$\begin{array}{c} 3.81\\ 3.34\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 1.49\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 103.03\\ 103.19\\ 2.73\\ 3.69\\ 3.75\\ 3.75\\ 3.75\\ 3.75\\ 3.75\\ 3.75\\ 3.75\\ 3.49\\ 2.42\\ 2.98\\ 2.42\\ 2.42\\ 2.65\\ 1.79\\ 1.73\\ 1.73\\ 1.73\\ 1.73\\ 1.73\\ 1.50\\ 0.15\\ 2.10\\ 0.15\\ 2.10\\ 0.15\\ 2.40\\ 2.10\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$	Yes	

\*\*\*\*\*

### 160401195\_100scs.rpt

Link Summarv		10040113	J_1003C3.1pt			
*****						
Name	From Node	To Node	туре	Length	%Slope	Roughness
201-104 L201A-T L201B-T L201C-T Pipe_13 Pipe_14 Pipe_14_(1) Pipe_16 Pipe_23 Pipe_26 Pipe_26 Pipe_27 Pipe_29 Pipe_31 Pipe_34 ST104A-T ST107A-T ST111B-T ST507A-T W1 L201A-0 L201A-0 L201A-0 L201C-0 OR1 OR2 OR3 ST104A-0 ST107A-0 ST	201 L201A-S L201B-S L201C-S 100 106 105 110 111 104 508 107 102 103 ST104A-S ST107A-S ST111C-S ST507A-S L201A-S L201A-S L201A-S L201A-S L201B-S L201A-S L201B-S ST104A-S ST10A-S ST1	104 ST104A-S ST104A-S ST107A-S HEADWALL 105 104 106 107 103 TANK 100 105 106 101 TANK ST104A-OF ST107A-OF ST107A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST104A-S 102 201 201 102 102 104 107 201 111 111 111 POOLE_OF1 POOLE_OF2 108 108 108 TANK	CONDUIT CONFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE OVILET OUTLET OUTLET	78.3 30.8 38.4 34.8 11.1 17.6 39.1 12.5 110.4 16.3 8.7 101.6 36.3 63.3 70.7 2.8 2.5 40.9 60.0 14.9 3.0	0.9993 1.1364 0.1042 0.575 0.1993 0.2020 0.9994 0.2027 0.2497 0.2027 0.2497 0.2999 0.5008 0.1994 0.2135 24.2860 1.6002 1.2470 1.2167 0.2013 1.0001	 0.0130
ST108B-0 ST108C-0 ST507A-0	L202A-S ST108C-S ST507A-S	108 108 TANK	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET			

Page 3

*****	160401195_100scs.rpt						
Conduit	Shape	Full Depth	Full Area	Hyd. Rad.	Max. Width	No. of Barrels	Full Flow
201-104 L201A-T L201C-T Pipe_13 Pipe_14 Pipe_14_(1) Pipe_16 Pipe_21 Pipe_23 Pipe_26 Pipe_27 Pipe_29 Pipe_31 Pipe_34 ST107A-T ST111A-T ST507A-T W1	CIRCULAR Overland Overland CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR CIRCULAR Overland Overland Overland Overland Overland Overland	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38\\ 0.15\\ 0.15\\ 0.53\\ 0.68\\ 0.53\\ 0.60\\ 0.38\\ 0.68\\ 0.25\\ 0.45\\ 0.45\\ 0.45\\ 0.45\\ 0.45\\ 0.15\\ 0.15\\ 0.15\\ 0.15\\ 0.15\\ 0.15\\ 0.45\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11\\ 1.03\\ 1.03\\ 1.03\\ 0.36\\ 0.22\\ 0.28\\ 0.11\\ 0.36\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 1.03\\ 1.03\\ 1.03\\ 1.03\\ 1.03\\ 0.16 \end{array}$	0.09 0.14 0.14 0.17 0.13 0.015 0.09 0.17 0.06 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38\\ 7.00\\ 7.00\\ 7.00\\ 0.68\\ 0.53\\ 0.68\\ 0.38\\ 0.25\\ 0.45\\$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	175.28 2311.17 699.72 519.74 421.55 192.01 276.00 175.29 146.75 378.43 29.72 127.47 156.15 201.78 127.33 388.45 10684.06 2742.50 2421.02 2291.05 972.81 285.13
ST111B-T ST507A-T W1	Overland Overland CIRCULAR	0.15 0.15 0.45	1.03 1.03 0.16	0.14 0.14 0.11	7.00 7.00 0.45	1 1 1	2291.05 972.81 285.13

## 

Transect Area:	Overland				
	0.0196	0.0392	0.0588	0.0784	0.0980
	0.2162	0.2360	0.2558	0.2756	0.2954
	0.3152	0.3351	0.3550	0.3748	0.3947
	0.4147	0.4346	0.4546	0.4745	0.4945
	0.5145	0.5346	0.5546	0.5747	0.5947
	0.6148	0.6350	0.6551	0.6752	0.6954
	0.7156	0.7358	0.7560	0.7762	0.7965
	0.8168	0.8371	0.8574	0.8777	0.8980
	0.9184	0.9388	0.9592	0.9796	1.0000
Hrad:					
	0.0208	0.0415	0.0622	0.0829	0.1036
	0.1242	0.1448	0.1653	0.1858	0.2063
				Page	4

	0.2268 0.3285 0.4295 0.5297 0.6291 0.7277 0.8256 0.9228	0.2472 0.3488 0.4496 0.5496 0.6489 0.7474 0.8451 0.9421	0.2676 0.3690 0.4697 0.5695 0.6686 0.7670 0.8646 0.9614	160401195_1 0.2879 0.3892 0.4897 0.5894 0.6884 0.7865 0.8840 0.9807	00SCS.rpt 0.3083 0.4094 0.5097 0.6093 0.7081 0.8061 0.9034 1.0000		
Width:	0.9580 0.9623 0.9666 0.9709 0.9751 0.9794 0.9887 0.9880 0.9923 0.9966	0.9589 0.9631 0.9674 0.9717 0.9760 0.9803 0.9846 0.9889 0.9931 0.9974	0.9597 0.9640 0.9683 0.9726 0.9769 0.9811 0.9854 0.9897 0.9940 0.9983	0.9606 0.9649 0.9734 0.9777 0.9820 0.9863 0.9906 0.9949 0.9991	0.9614 0.9657 0.9700 0.9786 0.9829 0.9871 0.9914 0.9957 1.0000		
Transect ( Area:	Overland(ori	g)	0.0599	0.0784	0.0000		
Hrad	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0196\\ 0.1177\\ 0.2162\\ 0.3152\\ 0.4147\\ 0.5145\\ 0.6148\\ 0.7156\\ 0.8168\\ 0.9184 \end{array}$	0.0392 0.1374 0.2360 0.3351 0.4346 0.6350 0.7358 0.8371 0.9388	0.0588 0.1571 0.2558 0.3550 0.4546 0.5546 0.6551 0.7560 0.8574 0.9592	0.0784 0.1768 0.2756 0.3748 0.4745 0.5747 0.6752 0.7762 0.8777 0.9796	0.0980 0.1965 0.2954 0.3947 0.4945 0.5947 0.6954 0.7965 0.8980 1.0000		
nrau.	0.0208 0.1242 0.2268 0.3285 0.4295 0.5297 0.6291 0.7277 0.8256 0.9228	0.0415 0.1448 0.2472 0.3488 0.4496 0.5496 0.6489 0.7474 0.8451 0.9421	0.0622 0.1653 0.2676 0.3690 0.4697 0.5695 0.6686 0.7670 0.8646 0.9614	0.0829 0.1858 0.2879 0.3892 0.4897 0.5894 0.6884 0.7865 0.8840 0.9807	0.1036 0.2063 0.3083 0.4094 0.5097 0.6093 0.7081 0.8061 0.9034 1.0000		
width:	0.9580 0.9623 0.9666 0.9709	0.9589 0.9631 0.9674 0.9717	0.9597 0.9640 0.9683 0.9726	0.9606 0.9649 0.9691 0.9734 Page	0.9614 0.9657 0.9700 0.9743 5		
	0.9751 0.9794 0.9837 0.9880 0.9923 0.9966	0.9760 0.9803 0.9846 0.9889 0.9931 0.9974	0.9769 0.9811 0.9854 0.9897 0.9940 0.9983	160401195_1 0.9777 0.9820 0.9863 0.9906 0.9949 0.9991	005CS.rpt 0.9786 0.9829 0.9871 0.9914 0.9957 1.0000		
NOTE: The based on not just	summary sta results four n results f	atistics dig d at every from each re	splayed in computation porting tin	this report nal time sto ne step.	**** are ep, ****		
Analysis of Analysis of Flow Unit Process M Rainfal RDII Snowmel Groundw. Flow Roi Onfiltrat Flow Rout Starting I Ending Da Anteceden Report Tim Wet Time: Routing T Variable Dry Time Routing T Number of Head Tole	******* ptions dels: //Runoff ater t Allowed ality ing Method bate t pry Days t Step step step step trials Threads rance	LPS YES NO NO YES VES NO CURVI DYNW/ EXTR, 07/2: 07/2: 07/2: 07/2: 00:01 00:01 00:01 00:02 0 00:02 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	E_NUMBER AVE AN 4/2009 00:00 5:00 5:00 5:00 sec L500 m	0:00 0:00			
********** Runoff Qu ******** Total Pre Evaporatio Infiltrat	antity Conti stantity Conti cipitation on Loss	nuity   *****	Volume nectare-m 0.285 0.000 0.038	Dept m 95.52 0.00 12.57 Page	n - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
Surface Runoff Final Storage Continuity Error (%)	0.244 0.005 -0.282	160401195_1005 81.642 1.574	CS.rpt				
--	--	---	--------	--------	------	-------	--
**************************************	Volume hectare-m	Volume 10^6 ltr					
Dry Weather Inflow Wet Weather Inflow Groundwater Inflow EXII Inflow External Inflow Flooding Loss Evaporation Loss Exfiltration Loss Initial Stored Volume Final Stored Volume Continuity Error (%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.244\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 1.512\\ 1.715\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ -0.017\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 2.437\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 15.120\\ 17.152\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.408\end{array}$					
**************************************	**** exes						
**************************************	***						
******************************** Routing Time Step Summary ************************************	: 1.00 se : 1.00 se : 1.00 se	c c					
Percent in Steady State Average Iterations per Step Percent Not Converging	: 0.00 : 2.00 : 0.03						
**************************************							
Tc Total Peak Runoff	tal Tota	l Total Page 7	Total	Imperv	Perv	Total	

		Precip	160 Runon	401195_100s Evap	SCS.rpt Infil	Runoff	Runoff	Runoff	
Runoff Runoff Subcatchment ltr LPS	Coeff	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	10^6
EXT_1	 0 FF1	95.52	0.00	0.00	41.82	0.00	52.63	52.63	
EXT2	0.551	95.52	0.00	0.00	8.36	75.45	86.14	86.14	
0.04 17.03 L104A	0.902	95.52	0.00	0.00	7.74	86.36	9.82	86.36	
0.03 10.14 L201A	0.904	95.52	0.00	0.00	15.53	78.68	19.56	78.68	
0.18 68.87 L201B	0.824	95.52	0.00	0.00	8.95	85.22	11.33	85.22	
0.13 51.31 L201C	0.892	95.52	0.00	0.00	8.35	85.83	10.57	85.83	
0.16 61.21 L201D	0.899	95.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	94.34	0.00	94.34	
0.13 49.85 L202A	0.988	95.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	94.37	0.00	94.37	
0.32 120.55 ST107A	0.988	95.52	0.00	0.00	11.33	82.84	14.34	82.84	
0.23 90.66 ST108A	0.867	95.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	94.37	0.00	94.37	
0.38 142.11 ST108C	0.988	95.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	94.37	0.00	94.37	
0.06 21.89 ST108D	0.988	95.52	0.00	0.00	26.05	35.53	68.00	68.00	
0.25 93.04 ST110A	0.712	95.52	0.00	0.00	38.83	6.71	54.74	54.74	
0.04 12.92 ST110C	0.573	95.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	93.99	0.00	93.99	
0.03 10.41 ST110D	0.984	95.52	0.00	0.00	38.83	6.71	54.74	54.74	
0.04 12.82 ST111A	0.573	95.52	0.00	0.00	14.34	79.88	18.03	79.88	
0.20 /8.28 ST111B	0.836	95.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	94.00	0.00	94.00	
0.04 13.13 ST111C	0.984	95.52	0.00	0.00	16.12	78.11	20.37	78.11	
0.03 13.40 ST507A	0.818	95.52	0.00	0.00	7.76	86.44	9.81	86.44	
0.04 16.95 ST508A	0.905	95.52	0.00	0.00	41.82	0.00	52.70	52.70	
0.05 24.58	0.552								

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
110 201 EXT1-OF HEADWALL POOLE_OF1 POOLE_OF2 ST107A-OF 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 106 107 108 111 105 108 L201A-S L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S L201C-S ST104A-S ST10A-S S	JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13\\ 0.07\\ 0.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25\\ 0.87\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.52\\ 0.75\\ 1.88\\ 1.84\\ 1.70\\ 1.59\\ 1.14\\ 4.73\\ 0.53\\ 0.98\\ 1.57\\ 1.57\\ 1.57\\ 1.56\\ 0.40\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.10\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 1.00\\ 0.14\\ 0.58\\ 0.08\\$	101.93 102.03 102.88 104.20 98.70 100.10 101.06 0.00 99.92 100.33 100.53 101.91 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 101.93 102.04 103.81 103.39 103.21 105.48 102.10 105.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20 100.20	$\begin{array}{c} (3,3) & (3,1,3) \\ (3,3) & (3,1,3) \\ (3,3) & (3,3) \\ (3,$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.24\\ 0.82\\ 0.00\\$
TANK	STORAGE	0.39	1.81	101.91	0 06:51	1.84

Page 9

#### 160401195\_100scs.rpt

Node	Туре	Maximum Lateral Inflow LPS	Maximum Total Inflow LPS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Flow Balance Error Percent
110 201 EXT1-OF EXT2-OF HEADWALL POOLE_OF1 POOLE_OF2 ST107A-OF 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 111 508 L201A-S L201A-S L201A-S L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S L201C-S L201D-S L202A-S ST107A-S ST107A-S ST108A-S ST108C-S ST111A-S	JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE	$\begin{array}{c} 36.14\\ 0.00\\ 18.39\\ 17.03\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 175.00\\ 0.00\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 50.12\\ 139.49\\ 18.39\\ 17.03\\ 308.06\\ 0.66\\ 0.30\\ 0.00\\ 308.06\\ 133.14\\ 133.19\\ 460.74\\ 493.83\\ 368.03\\ 258.71\\ 134.10\\ 300.34\\ 453.46\\ 68.87\\ 51.31\\ 61.21\\ 49.85\\ 120.55\\ 10.14\\ 90.66\\ 142.11\\ 21.89\\ 78.28\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 06:15\\ 0 & 06:15\\ 0 & 06:15\\ 0 & 06:54\\ 0 & 01:21\\ 0 & 05:31\\ 0 & 00:00\\ 0 & 00:00\\ 0 & 06:54\\ 0 & 06:52\\ 0 & 06:51\\ 0 & 06:15\\ 0 & $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.109\\ 0.0385\\ 0.044\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.109\\ 0.604\\ 0.0385\\ 0.044\\ 17\\ 0.0541\\ 0.02\\ 0\\ 0\\ 17\\ 1.88\\ 1.9\\ 1.89\\ 1.32\\ 0.615\\ 0.506\\ 1.06\\ 0.274\\ 0.0732\\ 0.177\\ 0.133\\ 0.159\\ 0.133\\ 0.159\\ 0.133\\ 0.264\\ 0.233\\ 0.381\\ 0.381\\ 0.387\\ 0.205\\ \end{array}$	-0.008 0.525 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 ltr 0.014 -0.015 -0.002 -0.271 0.177 -0.342 0.269 -0.149 0.419 -0.145 0.010 0.000 0.000 0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.001 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.001 -0.0000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.
ST111C-S ST507A-S TANK	STORAGE STORAGE STORAGE	13.40 16.95 0.00	13.40 16.95 468.62	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 06:15 \\ 0 & 06:15 \\ 0 & 06:16 \end{array}$	0.034 0.0442 0	0.034 0.0442 1.96	-0.000 -0.008 0.013

\*\*\*\*\*

Node Surcharge Summary

Surcharging occurs when water rises above the top of the highest conduit.

			160401195_100sc	S.rpt
			Max. Height	Min. Depth
		Hours	Above Crown	Below Rim
Node	туре	Surcharged	Meters	Meters
110		2 00	0 072	2 500
110	JUNCTION	2.90	0.873	2.500
201	JUNCITON	1.73	0.495	2.400

\*\*\*\*\* Node Flooding Summary

#### No nodes were flooded.

#### \*\*\*\*\* Storage Volume Summary

Storage Unit	Average Volume 1000 m3	Avg Pcnt Full	Evap Pcnt Loss	Exfil Pcnt Loss	Maximum Volume 1000 m3	Max Pcnt Full	Time of Ma Occurrenc days hr:mi	x Maximum e Outflow n LPS
100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 111 508 L201A-S L201B-S L201B-S L201B-S L201C-S L201C-S L201C-S L201C-S ST104A-S ST104A-S ST108C-S ST108C-S ST111C-S ST111C-S ST111C-S ST111C-S ST111C-S ST111C-S	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.001\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.286\\ 0.000\\ 0.286\\ 0.000\\ 0.028\\ 0.000\\ 0.$	16 11 11 12 10 10 5 79 9 52 1 1 1 1 0 6 0 0 6 4 3 0 0			$\begin{array}{c} 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.002\\ 0.002\\ 0.002\\ 0.002\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.053\\ 0.019\\ 0.023\\ 0.025\\ 0.000\\ 0.119\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.141\\ 0.019\\ 0.058\\ 0.000\\ 0.058\\ 0.000\\ 0.003\\ 0.0058\\ 0.000\\ 0.003\\ 0.0058\\ 0.000\\ 0.003\\ 0.0058\\ 0.000\\ 0.003\\ 0.000\\$	19 20 20 53 53 50 53 47 100 20 99 99 90 0 20 20 20 20 53 36 6 0 0 0 86 86 0 86 754 0 0 20 0 20 20 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 06: \\ 0 & 00: \\$	$\begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $
TANK	0.179	30	0	0	0.590	100	0 06:5	1 173.95

Page 11

#### 160401195\_100scs.rpt

# Outfall Loading Summary

Outfall Node	Flow	AVg	Max	Total
	Freq	Flow	Flow	Volume
	Pcnt	LPS	LPS	10^6 ltr
EXT1-OF	30.28	$1.47 \\ 1.10 \\ 196.71 \\ 0.66 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00$	18.39	0.038
EXT2-OF	46.16		17.03	0.044
HEADWALL	100.00		308.06	16.996
POOLE_OF1	95.53		0.66	0.054
POOLE_OF2	77.53		0.30	0.020
ST104A-OF	0.00		0.00	0.000
ST107A-OF	0.00		0.00	0.000
System	49.93	200.24	0.00	17.152

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Link Flow Summary

Link Type	Maximum	Time o	of Max	Maximum	Max/	Max/
	Flow	Occu	rrence	Veloc	Full	Full
	LPS	days l	hr:min	m/sec	Flow	Depth
201-104         CONDUIT           L201A-T         CHANNEL           L201C-T         CHANNEL           L201C-T         CHANNEL           Pipe_13         CONDUIT           Pipe_14         CONDUIT           Pipe_15         CONDUIT           Pipe_16         CONDUIT           Pipe_21         CONDUIT           Pipe_23         CONDUIT           Pipe_26         CONDUIT           Pipe_31         CONDUIT           Pipe_34         CONDUIT           Pipe_34         CONDUIT           ST104A-T         CHANNEL	$\begin{array}{c} 139.88\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 308.06\\ 225.44\\ 350.10\\ 57.53\\ 43.68\\ 460.74\\ 41.98\\ 133.06\\ 228.42\\ 133.16\\ 133.14\\ 457.76\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	06:10 00:00 00:00 06:54 06:15 06:15 06:15 06:15 06:46 06:46 06:54 06:52 06:16 06:52 06:10 00:00 Page	1.64 0.00 0.00 1.59 1.14 1.24 0.87 1.02 1.29 0.93 1.08 1.44 1.34 0.87 1.43 0.00 e 12	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.73\\ 1.17\\ 1.27\\ 0.33\\ 0.30\\ 1.22\\ 1.41\\ 1.04\\ 1.46\\ 0.66\\ 1.05\\ 1.18\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	1.00 0.00 0.00 0.53 1.00 1.00 0.90 1.00 0.90 1.00 0.72 1.00 0.93 1.00 0.00

ST107A-T ST111A-T ST111B-T ST507A-T W1 L201A-O L201C-O OR1 OR2 OR3 ST104A-O ST107A-O ST107A-O ST107C-O ST107C-O ST111C-O1 OL1 OL2 ST108A-O ST108A-O ST108B-O ST108B-O ST108B-O ST108B-O ST108B-O ST108B-O ST108C-O ST507A-O	CHANNEL CHANNEL CHANNEL CONUIT ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE ORIFICE DUMMY DUMMY DUMMY DUMMY	0.1 0.1 0.1 39.22 28. 32. 54. 46. 10. 90. 49. 17. 6. 6. 0. 30. 26. 6. 10.	00 000 000 40 50 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1195_10 :00 :00 :00 :100 :118 :51 :51 :15 :15 :15 :15 :15 :26 :15 :21 :21 :21 :21 :21 :21 :21 :21 :21 :21	005C5.r 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	pt 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.00 .00 .00 .00	$0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 3.8 \\ 0.38 $		
*********	Adiusted			 Fract	ion of	Time	 in Flo	 w Clas	 s		
Conduit	/Actual Length	Dry	Up Dry	Down Dry	Sub Crit	Sup Crit	Up Crit	Down Crit	Norm Ltd	Inlet Ctrl	
201-104 L201A-T L201B-T L201C-T Pipe_13 Pipe_14 Pipe_14(1) Pipe_16 Pipe_17 Pipe_21 Pipe_23 Pipe_26 Pipe_27 Pipe_29	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.39 0.00 0.00 0.40 0.40 0.51 0.16 0.94 0.72 0.42 0.34 Page	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.57 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.56 0.45 0.65 0.02 0.26 0.22 0.32 0.62	0.29 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.05 0.11 0.12 0.05 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.17	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	
Pipe_31 Pipe_34 ST104A-T ST107A-T ST111A-T ST111B-T ST507A-T W1	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.07 0.04 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	160401 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1195_10 0.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	00SCS.r 0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	pt 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	$0.00 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00 \end{array}$	
**********************	******					Hou	 rs	 Ho	 urs		
Conduit	Both En	Hou ds Up	rs Ful stream	1 Dnst	ream	Above Norma	Full Flow	Capa Lim	city ited		
201-104 Pipe_14 Pipe_14_(1) Pipe_15 Pipe_17 Pipe_21 Pipe_23 Pipe_26 Pipe_26 Pipe_27 Pipe_29 Pipe_31 Pipe_34	1. 3. 3. 2. 0. 4. 0. 0. 3. 2. 0. 4. 4.	73 50 73 90 01 41 10 01 82 29 01 79	1.73 3.50 3.73 2.90 0.01 4.41 0.10 0.01 3.82 2.29 0.01 4.79		5.13 3.73 4.38 3.50 2.29 4.74 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.01 4.79 3.50 0.01 4.87		01 01 01 01 02 11 66 02 01 68 02	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.01 .01 .02 .01 .01 .02 .02 .01 .11 .01 .01		
Analysis begun on: Analysis ended on: Total elapsed time:	Wed Sep 23 Wed Sep 23 00:00:02	14:49 14:49	:21 20 :23 20	20 20							

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix C Stormwater Management September 25, 2020

## C.4 OIL/GRIT SEPARATOR SIZING CALCULATIONS



# Stormceptor Design Summary PCSWMM for Stormceptor

## **Project Information**

Decigner Information				
Location	Ottawa, ON			
Project Number	160401195			
Project Name	5731 Hazeldean			
Date	11/4/2016			

Designer mormation					
Company	Stantec Consulting Ltd.				
Contact	N/A				

### Notes

N/A		
-----	--	--

## Drainage Area

0	
Total Area (ha)	2.72
Imperviousness (%)	70

The Stormceptor System model STC 3000 achieves the water quality objective removing 80% TSS for a CLOCA (clay, silt and sand) particle size distribution.

### Stormceptor Sizing Summary

### Rainfall

Name	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A
State	ON
ID	6000
Years of Records	1967 to 2003
Latitude	45°19'N
Longitude	75°40'W

## Water Quality Objective

TSS Removal (%)	80

### **Upstream Storage**

Storage	Discharge
(ha-m)	(L/s)
0	0

Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal
	%
STC 300	60
STC 750	73
STC 1000	73
STC 1500	74
STC 2000	79
STC 3000	80
STC 4000	84
STC 5000	84
STC 6000	87
STC 9000	90
STC 10000	90
STC 14000	92



### **Particle Size Distribution**

Removing silt particles from runoff ensures that the majority of the pollutants, such as hydrocarbons and heavy metals that adhere to fine particles, are not discharged into our natural water courses. The table below lists the particle size distribution used to define the annual TSS removal.

CLOCA (clay, silt and sand)								
Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity		Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity
μm	%	, ,	m/s ์		μm	%	,	m/s ُ
850	3.3	2.65	0.1465		50	3.9	2.65	0.0022
425	23.4	2.65	0.0698		36	2.6	2.65	0.0012
300	17.5	2.65	0.0439		22	1.3	2.65	0.0004
250	6.5	2.65	0.0335		12	1.9	2.65	0.0004
212	6.5	2.65	0.0259		9	0	2.65	0.0004
150	11.7	2.65	0.0145		6.5	1.3	2.65	0.0004
125	5.2	2.65	0.0105		3	1.3	2.65	0.0004
100	3.9	2.65	0.0070		1.5	1.3	2.65	0.0004
75	3.9	2.65	0.0040		1	4.5	2.65	0.0004

#### **Stormceptor Design Notes**

• Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor version 1.0

- Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal.
- Only the STC 300 is adaptable to function with a catch basin inlet and/or inline pipes.
- Only the Stormceptor models STC 750 to STC 6000 may accommodate multiple inlet pipes.
- Inlet and outlet invert elevation differences are as follows:
  - Inlet and Outlet Pipe Invert Elevations Differences

Inlet Pipe Configuration	STC 300	STC 750 to STC 6000	STC 9000 to STC 14000
Single inlet pipe	75 mm	25 mm	75 mm
Multiple inlet pipes	75 mm	75 mm	Only one inlet pipe.

- Design estimates are based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.
- Design estimates assume that the storm drain is not submerged during zero flows. For submerged applications, please contact your local Stormceptor representative.
- Design estimates may be modified for specific spills controls. Please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further assistance.
- For pricing inquiries or assistance, please contact Imbrium Systems Inc., 1-800-565-4801.

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix D Poole Creek Erosion Analysis September 25, 2020

# Appendix D POOLE CREEK EROSION ANALYSIS









Corrections to City PCSWMM drainage areas to remove site area

	City V	/alues	Site Area	Removed	New Values		
area ID	Area	%IMP	Area	%IMP	Area	%IMP	
PS037	11.723	5	2.048	0	9.68	6.06	
PS020	23.05	18	0.763	0	22.29	18.62	

**Existing Condition** 

## Existing Carp River Erosion Frequency and Duration Analysis

Objective functions for Velocity (m/s)

Poole Creek Segment Model ID	PC035	PC034	PC033	PC032	PC031
25mm event Maximum Velocity(m/s):	0.916	1.107	0.7199	0.5083	1.055
Existing Conditions Carp River Model					
Maximum Velocity(m/s):	0.9175	1.109	0.7836	0.6452	1.198
Minimum Velocity(m/s):	0.5351	0.6875	0.2843	0.3674	0.4464
Mean Velocity(m/s):	0.6202	0.781	0.3699	0.3905	0.5565
Duration of Exceedances(h):	0.137	1.563	232.1	229.1	230.4
Number of Exceedances:	4	13	24	24	24
Carp River Model with Proposed Site Di	scharge				
Maximum Velocity(m/s):	0.9174	1.11	0.7838	0.6455	1.198
Minimum Velocity(m/s):	0.5351	0.6875	0.2843	0.3674	0.4464
Mean Velocity(m/s):	0.6202	0.7811	0.37	0.3906	0.5566
Duration of Exceedances(h):	0.183	0.4591	232.8	229.9	231.1
Number of Exceedances:	4	7	24	24	24
Increase with Proposed Development					
Maximum Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	-0.01%	0.09%	0.03%	0.05%	0.00%
Minimum Flow(m³/s):	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mean Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	0.00%	0.01%	0.03%	0.03%	0.02%
Duration of Exceedances(h):	33.58%	-70.63%	0.30%	0.35%	0.30%
Number of Exceedances:	0.00%	-46.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

## Existing Carp River Erosion Frequency and Duration Analysis

Objective functions for Flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s)

Poole Creek Reach Model ID	PC031	PC032	PC033	PC034	PC035
25mm event Maximum Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	3.022	3.022	3.022	3.021	3.021
Existing Carp River Model					
Maximum Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	8.746	8.746	8.746	8.745	8.744
Minimum Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	0.4995	0.4995	0.4995	0.4995	0.4995
Mean Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	0.8454	0.8453	0.8453	0.8453	0.8452
Duration of Exceedances(h):	231.3	230.7	230.7	230.7	230.6
Number of Exceedances:	24	24	24	24	24
Volume of Exceedances(m <sup>3</sup> ):	943400	943100	942600	942100	941500
Carp River Model with Proposed Site	Discharge				
Maximum Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	8.756	8.757	8.756	8.755	8.754
Minimum Flow(m³/s):	0.4995	0.4995	0.4995	0.4995	0.4995
Mean Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	0.846	0.8459	0.8459	0.8458	0.8458
Duration of Exceedances(h):	232.2	232	231.4	231.4	231.4
Number of Exceedances:	25	24	24	24	24
Volume of Exceedances(m <sup>3</sup> ):	950300	950000	949500	948900	948300
Increase with Proposed Developmen	t				
Maximum Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	0.11%	0.13%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%
Minimum Flow(m³/s):	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mean Flow(m <sup>3</sup> /s):	0.07%	0.07%	0.07%	0.06%	0.07%
Duration of Exceedances(h):	0.39%	0.56%	0.30%	0.30%	0.35%
Number of Exceedances:	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	0.73%	0.73%	0.73%	0.72%	0.72%

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix E LID Design and Water Balance September 25, 2020

# Appendix E LID DESIGN AND WATER BALANCE

E.1 LID DESIGN REPORT



5731 Hazeldean Road Low Impact Development (LID) Report



Prepared for: The Wellings of Stittsville Inc. and Extendicare (Canada) Inc. 5731 Hazeldean Road Ottawa, ON

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo, ON N2L 0A4 Tel: (519) 579-4410 Fax: (519) 579-6733

1604-01195 December 16, 2016

## Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled 5731 Hazeldean Road, Low Impact Development (LID) Report, was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. for the account of The Wellings of Stittsville Inc. and Extendicare (Canada) Inc. The material in it reflects Stantec's best judgment in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation.

Prepared by (signature)

Amber Garrett, B.Eng., EIT Water Resources Engineering Intern, Community Development

Prepared by signature)

Jennifer Young, P.Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer, Community Development



## **Table of Contents**

1.0		1.1
2.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN	2.1
2.1	INFILTRATION GALLERY, RAINWATER TANK, AND BIOSWALE SIZING	2.1
	2.1.1 Green Infrastructure Water Balance Design Spreadsheet	2.1
	2.1.2 Sizing	2.2
	2.1.3 Results	2.4
2.2	DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN SPREADSHEET AND	
	PCSWMM MODEL	2.5
3.0	SUMMARY	3.1

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – Rainwater Tank Costing and Design Tool APPENDIX B – Green Infrastructure Water Balance Design Spreadsheet

### LIST OF TABLES

.1
.2
.3
.3
.4
.4
.5

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Aerial Site View1.1
-------------------------------



Introduction December 2016

## 1.0 Introduction

Stantec Consulting Ltd. was retained by Nautical Lands Group to investigate a Low Impact Development (LID) based stormwater management design for the proposed development at 5731 Hazeldean Road in Stittsville, Ontario. The 2.98 ha site will include a 185-unit independent living apartment complex, a 256-unit long-term care facility, a mixed-use retail/office building, a park, as well as associated parking and landscaped areas.

The site is located at 5731 Hazeldean Road, at the northwest corner of the intersection of Huntmar Drive and Hazeldean Road. The site is undeveloped and partially wooded. The site is bounded to the north and west by Poole Creek and residential developments, to the east by vacant land and a future Keg Restaurant, and to the south by Hazeldean Road.



Figure 1: Aerial Site View



Introduction December 2016

This report investigates the ability of the designed LID controls to mitigate peak flows and provide erosion protection for Poole Creek. The peak flows were designed to meet the pre-development conditions for up to the 100-year event. A continuous hydrologic model was used to determine erosion impacts post-development. The site will include a rainwater tank, a bioswale, and an infiltration gallery that will detain and infiltrate runoff prior to discharge.

Detention of roof water will occur via a 335 m<sup>3</sup> rainwater tank in the months of June through September for the purposes of onsite irrigation. An overflow is provided on the tank which will carry any overflow to the infiltration gallery for infiltration. A valved orifice will provided detention during the winter months, while allowing the facility to continue to drain to the infiltration gallery. The valve will be closed before May to allow the rainwater tank to fill for irrigation use.

Drainage from the parking lot is proposed to be directed to the northeast corner of the lot where a bioswale will provide treatment and infiltration for parking lot runoff. The bioswale will be planted and will provide conveyance to the infiltration gallery for any overflow or runoff that is not infiltrated.

The infiltration gallery will receive water directly from the centrally located landscaped areas. The infiltration gallery will also accept overflow from the rainwater tank and the bioswale for further infiltration.



Stormwater Management Design December 2016

## 2.0 Stormwater Management Design

### 2.1 INFILTRATION GALLERY, RAINWATER TANK, AND BIOSWALE SIZING

A green infrastructure water balance design spreadsheet was used to determine the runoff and infiltration for the site for the pre- and post-development conditions. This was used to and determine how the infiltration gallery, bioswale, and rain tank would perform during high flow events, and if together, they provide adequate peak flow control for discharge to Poole Creek.

The rainwater tank was sized using a design and costing tool developed by the Sustainable Technologies Evaluation Program (STEP) which takes into account impervious area that is draining to the tank, as well as other factors such as roof type, snowmelts, and irrigation requirements for water reuse. The input and output of the costing tool are appended for reference (Appendix A).

### 2.1.1 Green Infrastructure Water Balance Design Spreadsheet

The green infrastructure water balance design spreadsheet used for this analysis tracks the volume of water in each part of the system (runoff, rock trench conditions, and groundwater) during each time-step for the infiltration gallery, rain tank, bioswale, and directly off site. The water balance spreadsheet uses the rainfall, soil characteristics, and drainage areas to calculate the volume of water for each of the following, for every time-step:

Table 1:	Design	<b>Spreadsheet</b>	<b>Parameters</b>

Bioswale	Rain Tank	Infiltration Gallery
<ul> <li>Rain water into bioswale</li> <li>Volume into ponding</li> <li>Beginning of time-step ponding volume</li> <li>Volume out of ponding</li> <li>Unsaturated runoff (overflows to infiltration gallery)</li> <li>Rain into amended soil</li> <li>Beginning of time-step amended soil water volume (initial value is set to field capacity)</li> <li>Water from amended soil to trench</li> <li>Water that stays in the amended soil</li> <li>Beginning of time-step trench volume</li> <li>Exfiltration from trench</li> <li>Rock pit overflow to infiltration gallery</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rain vater into rain tank (from roof)</li> <li>Rain tank wet use (winter months)</li> <li>Rain tank dry use (summer months)</li> <li>Beginning of time- step tank volume (set as empty)</li> <li>Tank overflow to infiltration gallery</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rain water into trench</li> <li>Beginning of time- step trench water volume</li> <li>Trench exfiltration</li> <li>Depth of water in trench</li> <li>Outflow from orifice 1 and 2</li> <li>Overflow drainflow</li> </ul>

No initial abstractions or losses other than infiltration were assumed during the analysis of the scenarios. The initial condition of the amended soil water content was set to field capacity to



Stormwater Management Design December 2016

represent a 'wet start' for the soil. It is expected that dry soils would have an increased capacity for capture above that shown in this analysis.

The volumes in each portion of the system are summed to give a total volume of water each component sees. For example, the total volume of drain flow for each time step is summed to determine the total volume of drain flow.

The bioswale contains a special soil mix, amended soil, which is topsoil with additional materials added to increase infiltration and capture. Typically, amended soils are sandier than topsoil and the amendment changes the typical soil characteristics such as porosity, field capacity, wilting point, and infiltration rate. Table 2 below shows the soil characteristics for both topsoil and amended soil.

### Table 2: Typical Soil Characteristics

Soil Characteristic	Typical Topsoil <sup>1</sup>	Amended Soil <sup>2</sup>
Porosity	0.35	0.53
Field Capacity	0.15	0.32
Wilting Point	0.10	0.13
Infiltration rate (mm/hr.)	1.5-3.5*	30-70*
*This infiltration rate assumes mature	lawns with well- established gras	s, bare topsoil rates may differ

<sup>\*</sup>This infiltration rate assumes mature lawns with well- established grass, bare topsoil rates may differ <sup>1</sup>Typical values for sandy-loam, loam soils adapted from the BC Agricultural, Food, and Fisheries Ministry <sup>2</sup>Typical amended soil values as determined by soil testing for the standard amended soil mixes used in B.C., TRCA, and Markham

### 2.1.2 Sizing

The post-development conditions assumed the roof and courtyards of the apartment and longterm care buildings was directly connected to the rainwater tank.

The groundwater levels across the site were monitored in piezometers installed in January 2016. The readings taken 10 to 15 days post installation indicated the site had a groundwater table between 1.16 m and 3.67 m. Due to the time of year, the groundwater may not have been stabilized at the time of these initial measurements. Subsequent monitoring in September 2016 and December 2016 indicate long term groundwater levels should be between 2.5 m and 4.0 m.

As infiltration testing was not completed prior to starting the LID design, a suitably low infiltration rate for the soil type was assumed. The preliminary sizing used 5 mm/hr as the infiltration rate. Once soils testing was completed, the measured saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) rates were converted to infiltration rates and compared to the assumed infiltration rate.

The measured Ksat values were converted to infiltration rates using the following steps:



Stormwater Management Design December 2016

- 1. Conversion of Ksat rates from m/sec to cm/sec by multiplying by 100
- 2. Reducing the Ksat rates by an order of magnitude for safety, as recommended by Stantec's Hydrogeologist (example 1.6x10-5 cm/sec reduced to 1.6x10-6 cm/sec)
- 3. Convert to infiltration using the TRCA/CVC appendix C formula:  $y = 6E 11x^{3.7363}$

Table 3 below shows the values calculated using this process

### **Table 3: Infiltration Testing Results**

Location	Measure Ksat m/sec	Converted Ksat cm/sec	Reduce by Order of Magnitude	Infiltration Rate mm/hr
TP1	1.60E-07	1.60E-05	1.60E-06	15
TP2	2.20E-08	2.20E-06	2.20E-07	9
TP3	6.30E-07	6.30E-05	6.30E-06	22
TP4	1.60E-07	1.60E-05	1.60E-06	15

The assumed infiltration rate of 5 mm/hr. was kept as a conservative value for the design of the facility.

The inputs for the all the drainage areas, including the LID features, for the water balance can be seen in Table 4. The green infrastructure water balance design spreadsheet can be seen in Appendix B.

Table 4: Summary	of Pre-Develo	pment Design	Water Bal	ance Inputs
rabie neannary	01110 001010	onion Doolgn	mater bai	

Parameter	Pre-Development Site	Unit
Impervious Area	0	Sq. m
Pervious Area	29801	Sq. m
Total Area	29801	Sq. m
Soil Depth	300	mm
Soil Exfiltration Rate	5	mm/hr
Soil Porosity	0.35	
Soil Field Capacity	0.15	
Soil Wilting Point	0.1	
Soil Infiltration Rate	3.5	mm/hr

The inputs for the drainage area, including the LID features, for the water balance can be seen in Table 5. The green infrastructure water balance design spreadsheet can be seen in Appendix B.



Stormwater Management Design December 2016

Parameter	Bioswale	Infiltration	Rain Tank	Direct Off	Unit
		Gallery		Site Flow	
Impervious Area	240	8,743	10,071	1,300	Sq. m
Pervious Area	3116	6,331	0	0	Sq. m
Total Area	3556	15,074	10,071	1,300	Sq. m
Soil Depth	300	N/A	N/A	150	mm
Soil Exfiltration Rate	5	5	N/A	5	mm/hr.
Soil Porosity	0.53	0.35	N/A	0.35	
Soil Field Capacity	0.32	0.15	N/A	0.15	
Soil Wilting Point	0.13	0.1	N/A	0.1	
Soil Infiltration Rate	30	3.5	N/A	3.5	mm/hr.
Trench Surface Area	190	560.7	N/A	N/A	Sq. m
Trench Depth	0.7	1.9	N/A	N/A	mm
Trench Porosity	0.35	0.35	N/A	N/A	
Ponding Depth	0.075	N/A	N/A	N/A	mm
Volume Full	46.55	592.2	335	N/A	Cu. m

### Table 5: Summary of Post-Development Design Water Balance Inputs

### 2.1.3 Results

The proposed LID measures partially infiltrate the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year 12-hour events while controlling the flow from site similar to the pre-development flow.

Table 6 summarizes the pre- and post-development modelled conditions.

	Pre-Develop	ment Conditions	Post-Development Conditions		
Storm Event <sup>1</sup>	Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Runoff Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s) <sup>2</sup>	Runoff Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	
2 Year	0.44	616	0.02	472	
5 Year	0.60	906	0.18	707	
10 Year	0.71	1125	0.40	992	
25 Year	0.84	1400	0.67	1349	
50 Year	0.93	1593	0.85	1584	
100 Year	1.02	1796	1.05	1853	
<sup>1</sup> 12-hour SCS 1 <sup>2</sup> Includes drai	ype II n flow from infiltratio	n gallery and uncontro	lled runoff offsite		

The post-development volumes and flows account for drain flow and overflow from the infiltration gallery as well as uncontrolled flows from the remaining impervious areas that drain directly to Poole Creek. Overflow from the bioswale and the rain tank are not included as they are already accounted for as an input to the infiltration gallery. Drain times for the infiltration gallery and bioswale for the modelled events is included in Appendix B.

Table 7 shows the design event infiltration and flow volumes for each LID control measure.



Stormwater Management Design December 2016

Storm	Biosv	vale	Rain Tank	Infiltration	Gallery	Direct Off Site Runoff	Total Site R	Total Site Runoff, Infiltra Reuse	
Event	Infiltration Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Drainflow Volume <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	Drainflow Volume <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	Infiltration Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Runoff Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Runoff Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Infiltration Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Runoff Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Reused Volume <sup>3</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )
2 Year	59.1	85.1	97.5	612.0	218.6	55.9	671.2	274.4	335.0
5 Year	59.9	133.5	244.7	613.8	632.6	74.9	673.7	707.5	335.0
10 Year	60.1	165.4	341.4	614.4	905.3	87.4	674.5	992.6	335.0
25 Year	60.3	205.5	462.3	615.3	1246.3	103.0	675.6	1349.3	335.0
50 Year	60.1	323.0	541.3	614.8	1470.7	113.2	675.0	1583.9	335.0
100 Year	60.4	262.2	632.7	615.5	1728.2	125.0	675.9	1853.2	335.0
<sup>1</sup> 12-hour S <sup>2</sup> This volur	CS Type II ne is convey	ed to the inf	filtration galle	ery for further i	infiltration				

### Table 7: Event Infiltration and Drain Flow Volumes

<sup>3</sup>Water reuse from rain tank for irrigation onsite from June-September

The recommend LID measures capture from 1007 m3 of the 2-year event (79%) and 1011 m3 of

the 100-year event (35%) while keeping peak flows at pre-development levels.

# 2.2 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN SPREADSHEET AND PCSWMM MODEL

The green infrastructure water balance design spreadsheet (GIWB) was used in conjunction with the existing PCSWMM model for the area provided by the City of Ottawa. The reductions in flows and volumes are not exactly the same between the two models due to differences within the methods used for calculation. The GIWB does not include any abstractions on the runoff; including evaporation, depression storage or infiltration. The GIWB assumes the entire area's runoff contributes to the LID/GI facility and then models how the water moves through the facility by tracking the volume for each time step. This results in higher flows in both the pre-and post-development scenarios. Despite these differences the storage calculations for the site were within 10% of each other. The larger storage conditions of the two models were used for the site design.

The continuous model for evaluating the erosion used a slightly different methodology. The attenuated storage hydrograph from the GIWB (volume in storage in each time step) was subtracted from the initial continuous rain depth for each time step. The resulting rainfall represents the runoff from site and was run though the PCSWMM model generates exceedance duration curves to evaluate the erosion in the creek.



Summary December 2016

## 3.0 Summary

In summary, the stormwater management strategy for the site consists of the following elements:

- Quantity control to be achieved through infiltration gallery, rainwater harvesting tank and bioretention (bioswale). Quantity control is provided to up to the 100-year event and the infiltration gallery, harvesting tank, and bioretention are designed to detain, retain, and infiltrate the post-development flow to pre-development rates up to the 100-year event.
- The GIWB and the PCSWMM model results are in agreement and both show the site can outlet to Poole Creek.



# **APPENDIX A**

Rainwater Tank Costing and Design Tool

# **Rainwater Harvesting Design & Costing Tool Report**

## How to View the Design & Costing Tool Report

This report consists of several pages or "tabs". To navigate the pages, click on the tabs located at the bottom of the Excel workbook. The report pages are marked "Page\_1", "Page\_2", etc., a nd the page tabs will appear as follows:

55								
34								
35								
36								
37								
20								
	▶	Pa Pa	ge_0 🖉 Pa	ge_1 / Pag	je_2 / Pag	e_3   Pag	e_5/🎾 /	1
Rea	dy	2						

## **Printing the Report**

### To print the entire report:

For users of Microsoft Excel 2003 (or earlier versions) click on the 'File' drop-down menu item, and then click on Print. Under the "Print what" heading, change "Active sheet(s)" to "Entire workbook". Select the desired printer and click the OK button. For users of Microsoft Excel 2010 click 'File' (Microsoft Excel 2007 users click on the Office Button in the top-left corner of the screen) and then click on Print. Under the "Settings" heading change "Print Active Sheets" to "Print Entire Workbook". Select the desired printer and click the OK button.

### To print just one page of the report:

Select the desired report page by clicking on the appropriate tab at the bottom of the screen. For users of Microsoft Excel 2003 (or earlier versions) click on the 'File' drop-down menu item, and then click on Print. Select the desired printer and click on the "OK" button. For users of Microsoft Excel 2010 click 'File' (Microsoft Excell 2007 users click on the Office Button in the top-left corner of the screen) and then click on Print. Select the desired printer and click the OK button.

### Saving the Report

Once you have viewed the report, be sure to save the workbook if you wish to view the report in the future. To save the file in Microsoft Excel 2003 (or earlier versions), click on the 'File' drop-down menu item, and click on 'Save as' and specify the desired file name and location. In Microsoft Excel 2010 click on 'File' (or click on the Office Button in the top-left corner of the screen in Microsoft Excel 2007), and click on 'Save as' and specify the desired file name and location.

# **Rainwater Harvesting Design & Costing Tool Report**

## **Project Details**

## **Building Details**

Province:	Ontario (Eastern)			
City:	Ottawa			
Annual rainfall characteristics:	527.3 mm	(Minimum on record)		
	687.2 mm	(Typical year - Median)*		
	911.8 mm	(Maximum on record)		
Building type:	Institutional			
Number of occupants:	500			
Days occupied per week:	7			
		_		
Total building roof surface area:	10,071.0 m <sup>2</sup>			
Rainwater catchment area:	10,071.0 m <sup>2</sup>			
Proportion of roof surface collected:	100%			

## **Catchment Surface Details**

Roofing material:	Asphalt Flat Roof
Initial loss factor:	1.00 mm
Continuous loss factor:	20.0%

## **Pre-storage Treatment Details**

Pre-storage treatment method:	N/A
Initial loss factor:	0.00 mm
Continuous loss factor:	0.0%

## **Snowfall/Snowmelt Contribution Details**

\*Unless otherwise stated, all figures and charts provided in this report are based upon the data generated during a "typical year" (the median annual rainfall on record) for the selected city.

## **Rainwater Demand**

## **Indoor Fixtures**

Fixture	Fixture Type	Water Usage	Unit	Water Usage Duration	Units	Number of Occupants	Uses Per Occupant Per day	Daily Water Demand (L/day)
Toilet (male)	N/A	0.0	L/flush	0	Flush	0.0	0.0	0.0
Toiet (female)	N/A	0.0	L/flush	0	Flush	0.0	0.0	0.0
Urinal	N/A	0.0	L/flush	0	Flush	0.0	0.0	0.0
Laundry	N/A	0.0	L/load	0	Load	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dishwasher	N/A	0.0	L/load	0	Load	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lavatory Faucets	N/A	0.0	L/min	0	Minutes	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shower	N/A	0.0	L/min	0	Minutes	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	N/A	0.0	Litres	0	Day	0.0	0.0	0.0

### **Total Indoor Rainwater Demand:**

0 Litres/day

0 Litres/day

Daily Rainwater Demand (Occupancy Adjustment):

(Building occupied 7 of 7 days per week)

## **Outdoor Fixtures - Hose Watering & Basic Irrigation System**

Irrigation Season:	Hose watering:	N/A	-	N/A
_	Irrigation system:	June	-	September

Fixture	Fixture Type	Water Usage	Unit	Water Usage Duration /Area	Units	Irrigation Efficiency (%)	Uses per Day	Daily Water Demand (L/day)
Garden hose	N/A	0.0	L/min	0.0	Minutes	N/A	0.0	0.0
Irrigation system	25 mm [1 in.] cov	25.4	L/m2	6331.0	m2	45.0%	0.1	35607.4

### **Total Outdoor Rainwater Demand:**

35,607 Litres/day\*

\*(peak volume during irrigation season)

# **Rainwater Demand**

## **Outdoor Fixtures - Advanced Irrigation System**

Irrigation Season:	N/A	-	N/A	

Irrigation Controller:	N/A
Control Factor (CF):	0
Evapotranspiration Rate (ET):	0 mm/month
Number of Times Irrigated per Week:	0

Landscape/Zone	Area (m²)	Species Factor (Ks)	Micro-climate Factor (Type)	Micro- climate Factor (Kmc)	Irrigation Type	Irrigation Efficiency (IE) (%)	Daily Water Demand (L/day)
N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0%	0.0
N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0%	0.0
N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0%	0.0
N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0%	0.0
N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0%	0.0
N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0%	0.0

Total Irrigation System Rainwater Demand:	0 Litres/day

## **Rainwater Demand**

## **Daily Rainwater Demand**

Indoor Rainwater Demand:	0 Litres/day
Outdoor Rainwater Demand:	35,607 Litres/day*
Total Rainwater Demand:	35,607 Litres/day*

\*(peak volume during irrigation season)

## **Monthly Rainwater Demand**



## **Annual Rainwater Demand**

Indoor Rainwater Demand	0.0 m³/year
Outdoor Rainwater Demand	4,344.1 m <sup>3</sup> /year
Total Rainwater Demand	4,344.1 m <sup>3</sup> /year

(1 m<sup>3</sup> = 1,000 L)

# Tank Sizing & Analysis - Analyze User Specified Tank Size(s)

## **Tanks Analyzed**

Number of Tank Sizes Analyzed:	1 Tanks

### Tank Size(s)

1. Tank Volume:	335,000 Litres
2. Tank Volume:	0 Litres
3. Tank Volume:	0 Litres
4. Tank Volume:	0 Litres
5. Tank Volume:	0 Litres

### **Tank Sizing Design Factor**

Tank Unused Volume ("Dead space"):	15.0%

#### **Tank Analysis Details**

For each tank size specified, a 3-page analysis report has been generated. Please refer to the following report pages for details.

## Tank Performance Details - Rainwater Usage (Water Savings)

## Tank Performance Summary

User Specified Tank Size	335,000 Litres		
Annual Rainwater Use	2476.2 m <sup>3</sup> /yr		
Annual Rainwater Demand	4344.1 m <sup>3</sup> /yr		
Proportion of Demand Met	57%		

## Rainwater Usage (Water Savings) for Smaller & Larger Tank Sizes



Chart values based upon a typical year for the selected city.

## **Rainwater Usage (Water Savings) for Different Rainfall Amounts**

Type of Year	Annual Rainfall (mm)	Rainwater Use (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Rainwater Demand Met (%)
Dry	527.3	2,026.3	46.6%
Moderately Dry	623.8	2,024.5	46.6%
Typical	687.2	2,476.2	57.0%
Moderately Wet	776.7	2,567.7	59.1%
Wet	911.8	3,376.3	77.7%

## **Tank Performance Analysis - Rainwater Deficit (Top-up Requirements)**

## Tank Performance Summary

User Specified Tank Size	335,000 Litres
Annual Rainwater Use	2476.2 m <sup>3</sup> /yr
Annual Rainwater Demand	4344.1 m <sup>3</sup> /yr
Annual Rainwater Supply Deficit	1867.9 m³/yr
Annual no. of Dry Days (Days Top-up Required)	66 Days

## Rainwater Usage (Water Savings) & Deficit (Top-up Requirements)



Chart values based upon a typical year for the selected city.

## Rainwater Deficit (Top-up Requirements) for Different Rainfall Amounts

Type of Year	Annual Rainfall (mm)	Rainwater Use (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Rainwater Deficit/Top-up Required (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Number of Days with Rainwater Deficit
Dry	527.3	2,026.3	2,317.8	77
Moderately Dry	623.8	2,024.5	2,319.6	75
Typical	687.2	2,476.2	1,867.9	66
Moderately Wet	776.7	2,567.7	1,776.4	60
Wet	911.8	3,376.3	967.8	35

# **Tank Performance Analysis - Overflow Analysis**

## **Tank Performance Summary**

User Specified Tank Size	335,000 Litres
Annual Rainwater Use	2476.2 m³/yr
Annual Overflow from Tank	2,617.5 m <sup>3</sup> /yr
Percent of Rainwater Collected that is Used	49%
Annual no. of Overflow Events	36 Events

## **Overflow Analysis**



Chart values based upon a typical year for the selected city.

## **Rainwater Deficit (Top-up Requirements) for Different Rainfall Amounts**

Type of Year	Annual Rainfall (mm)	Rainwater Use (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Rainwater Overflow (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Proportion of Rainwater Collected that is Used	Number of Overflow Events
Dry	527.3	2,026.3	1,952.4	50.9%	29
Moderately Dry	623.8	2,024.5	2,705.1	42.8%	37
Typical	687.2	2,476.2	2,617.5	48.6%	36
Moderately Wet	776.7	2,567.7	3,219.7	44.4%	52
Wet	911.8	3,376.3	3,514.9	49.0%	49
# **APPENDIX B**

Green Infrastructure Water Balance Design Spreadsheet

nput for 25 mm Event									
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS)	)	Cistern	1	Infiltration G	Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow		
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m	Impervious area:	10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300		
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m		
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m		
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	480.6 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m		
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m		
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:			
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	507.6 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m		
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m		
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr		
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm		
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35		
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15		
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1		
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr		
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m		
Pond Depth	0.075 m								
Ponding Volume	14.3								

#### Output for 25 mm Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	60.37	0.00	527.11		587.49
Total drainflow	262.20	0.00	1851.66		2113.87
Total runoff	0.00	667.66	0.00	124.96	792.61
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	322.58	1003.02	2378.78	124.96	3829.33
Total rainfall	322.58	968.02	2378.78	124.96	3794.33
% Treated	100%	31%	100%	0%	79%
% untreated	0%	69%	0%	100%	21%
% Captured	19%	33%	22%	0%	24%
EIA	81%	69%	78%	100%	77%

nput for 2-year Event								
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS	)	Cistern		Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow		
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m		10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300	
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m	
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m	
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	560.7 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m	
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m	
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:		
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	592.2 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m	
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m	
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm	
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35	
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15	
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1	
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	
Pond Depth	0.075 m							
Ponding Volume	14.3							

#### Output for 2-year Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	59.14	0.00	612.04		671.17
Total drainflow	85.12	0.00	218.55		218.55
Total runoff	0.00	97.53	0.00	55.88	55.88
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	144.25	432.89	830.58	55.88	1280.97
Total rainfall	144.25	432.89	830.58	55.88	1280.97
% Treated	100%	77%	100%	0%	96%
% untreated	0%	23%	0%	100%	4%
% Captured	41%	77%	74%	0%	79%
EIA	59%	23%	26%	100%	21%

nput for 5-year Event								
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS	i)	Cistern		Infiltration G	Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow	
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m	Impervious area:	10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300	
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m	
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m	
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	560.7 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m	
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m	
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:		
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	592.2 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m	
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m	
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm	
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35	
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15	
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1	
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	
Pond Depth	0.075 m							
Ponding Volume	14.3							

#### Output for 5-year Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	59.85	0.00	613.83		673.68
Total drainflow	133.46	0.00	632.61		632.61
Total runoff	0.00	244.72	0.00	74.88	74.88
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	193.31	580.09	1246.44	74.88	1716.54
Total rainfall	193.31	580.09	1246.44	74.88	1716.54
% Treated	100%	58%	100%	0%	96%
% untreated	0%	42%	0%	100%	4%
% Captured	31%	58%	49%	0%	59%
EIA	69%	42%	51%	100%	41%

nput for 10-year Event									
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS)	)	Cisterr	ı	Infiltration G	Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow		
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m	Impervious area:	10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300		
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m		
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m		
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	560.7 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m		
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m		
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:			
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	592.2 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m		
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m		
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr		
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm		
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35		
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15		
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1		
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr		
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m		
Pond Depth	0.075 m								
Ponding Volume	14.3								

#### Output for 10-year Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	60.09	0.00	614.38		674.47
Total drainflow	165.42	0.00	905.29		905.29
Total runoff	0.00	341.35	0.00	87.35	87.35
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	225.51	676.72	1519.67	87.35	2002.48
Total rainfall	225.51	676.72	1519.67	87.35	2002.48
% Treated	100%	50%	100%	0%	96%
% untreated	0%	50%	0%	100%	4%
% Captured	27%	50%	40%	0%	50%
EIA	73%	50%	60%	100%	50%

nput for 25-year Event									
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS)	)	Cisterr	ו	Infiltration G	Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow		
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m	Impervious area:	10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300		
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m		
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m		
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	560.7 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m		
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m		
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:			
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	592.2 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m		
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m		
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr		
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm		
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35		
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15		
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1		
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr		
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m		
Pond Depth	0.075 m								
Ponding Volume	14.3								

#### Output for 25-year Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	60.33	0.00	615.28		675.60
Total drainflow	205.47	0.00	1246.31		1246.31
Total runoff	0.00	462.26	0.00	102.96	102.96
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	265.80	797.62	1861.59	102.96	2360.24
Total rainfall	265.80	797.62	1861.59	102.96	2360.24
% Treated	100%	42%	100%	0%	96%
% untreated	0%	58%	0%	100%	4%
% Captured	23%	42%	33%	0%	43%
EIA	77%	58%	67%	100%	57%

nput for 50-year Event									
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS)	)	Cistern	ı	Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow			
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m	Impervious area:	10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300		
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m		
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m		
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	560.7 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m		
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m		
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:			
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	592.2 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m		
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m		
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr		
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm		
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35		
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15		
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1		
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr		
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m		
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m		
Pond Depth	0.075 m								
Ponding Volume	14.3								

#### Output for 50-year Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	60.14	0.00	614.84		674.98
Total drainflow	232.01	0.00	1470.74		1470.74
Total runoff	0.00	541.34	0.00	113.17	113.17
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	292.15	876.71	2085.58	113.17	2594.25
Total rainfall	292.15	876.71	2085.58	113.17	2594.25
% Treated	100%	38%	100%	0%	96%
% untreated	0%	62%	0%	100%	4%
% Captured	21%	38%	29%	0%	39%
EIA	79%	62%	71%	100%	61%

Input for 100-year Event								
Area to Bioswale (Incl. BS)		Cistern		Infiltration G	Infiltration Gallery		Directly Connected Uncontrolled Flow	
Paved + non rain garden pervious Area	3166 sq.m	Impervious area:	10071 sq.m	Area to Gallery	15074	Area offsite	1300	
Raingarden Area	190 sq.m		sq.m	Lawn Area over trench	0 sq.m	Pervious area	0 sq.m	
Total area:	3356 sq.m	Total area:	10071 sq.m	Total area:	15074 sq.m	Total area:	1300 sq.m	
Trench surf. area:	190 sq.m			Trench exfiltration. area:	560.7 sq.m	Trench exfiltration. area:	sq.m	
Trench depth:	0.7 m	Watered Area	0 sq.m	Trench depth:	1.9 m	Trench depth:	m	
Trench porosity:	0.35	Cistern Drain Time	2 days	Trench porosity:	0.95	Trench porosity:		
Trench full:	46.55 cu.m	RB / Cistern Volume	335 cu.m	Trench full:	592.2 cu.m	Trench full:	cu.m	
Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	0 cu.m	Trench initial vol:	cu.m	
Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	0 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	Subsoil exfil. rate:	5 mm/hr	
Soil depth:	300 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	0 mm	Soil depth:	150 mm	
Soil porosity:	0.53	Soil porosity:	0	Soil porosity:	0.35	Soil porosity:	0.35	
Soil field cap:	0.32	Soil field cap:	0	Soil field cap:	0.15	Soil field cap:	0.15	
Soil wilt point:	0.13	Soil wilt point:	0	Soil wilt point:	0.1	Soil wilt point:	0.1	
Soil infil. rate	30 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	0 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	Soil infil. rate	3.5 mm/hr	
Soil wilt point vol:	7.41 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	Soil wilt point vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil porosity vol:	30.21 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	Soil porosity vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil field cap vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	Soil field cap vol:	0 cu.m	
Soil initial vol:	18.24 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	Soil initial vol:	0 cu.m	
Pond Depth	0.075 m							
Ponding Volume	14.3							

#### Output for 100-year Event

Summary	Bioswale	Cistern	Infiltration Gallery	Directly offsite	Total
Total evaporation	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total exfiltration	60.37	0.00	615.54		675.92
Total drainflow	262.20	0.00	1728.23		1728.23
Total runoff	0.00	632.66	0.00	124.96	124.96
Total Reused		335.37			335.37
Sum	322.58	968.02	2343.78	124.96	2864.47
Total rainfall	322.58	968.02	2343.78	124.96	2864.47
% Treated	100%	35%	100%	0%	96%
% untreated	0%	65%	0%	100%	4%
% Captured	19%	35%	26%	0%	35%
EIA	81%	65%	74%	100%	65%

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix E LID Design and Water Balance September 25, 2020

## E.2 GROUNDWATER MOUNDING CALCULATIONS

#### Groundwater Mounding Calculations - 10mm event during seasonally high groundwater level conditions

This spreadsheet will calculate the height of a groundwater mound beneath a stormwater infiltration basin. More information can be found in the U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5102 "Simulation of groundwater mounding beneath hypothetical stormwater infiltration basins".

The user must specify infiltration rate (R), specific yield (Sy), horizontal hydraulic conductivity (Kh), basin dimensions (x, y), duration of infiltration period (t), and the initial thickness of the saturated zone (hi(0), height of the water table if the bottom of the aquifer is the datum). For a square basin the half width equals the half length (x = y). For a rectangular basin, if the user wants the water-table changes perpendicular to the long side, specify x as the short dimension and y as the long dimension. Conversely, if the user wants the values perpendicular to the short dimension, x as the long dimension. All distances are from the center of the basin. Users can change the distances from the center of the basin at which water-table aquifer thickness are calculated.

Cells highlighted in yellow are values that can be changed by the user. Cells highlighted in red are output values based on user-specified inputs. The user MUST click the blue "Re-Calculate Now" button each time ANY of the user-specified inputs are changed otherwise necessary iterations to converge on the correct solution will not be done and values shown will be incorrect. Use consistent units for all input values (for example, feet and days)

use consistent units (e.g. feet & days or inches & hours)		Conversion	Table		
Input Values			inch/hour	feet/day	/
0.0030	R	Recharge (infiltration) rate (feet/day)	0.6	57	1.33
0.100	Sy	Specific yield, Sy (dimensionless, between 0 and 1)			
0.03	к	Horizontal hydraulic conductivity, Kh (feet/day)*	2.0	00	4.00 In the report accompanying this spreadsheet
88.560	х	1/2 length of basin (x direction, in feet)			(USGS SIR 2010-5102), vertical soil permeability
55.760	У	1/2 width of basin (y direction, in feet)	hours	days	(ft/d) is assumed to be one-tenth horizontal
2.000	t	duration of infiltration period (days)	3	86	1.50 hydraulic conductivity (ft/d).
10.000	hi(0)	initial thickness of saturated zone (feet)			

maximum thickness of saturated zone (beneath center of basin at end of infiltration period)

maximum groundwater mounding (beneath center of basin at end of infiltration period)



Ground-

.060 Δh(max) Distance from

water center of basin Mounding, in in x direction, in

feet feet

0.060

0.060



0.030 0.020 0.010 0.000

-0.010

#### Disclaimer

This spreadsheet solving the Hantush (1967) equation for ground-water mounding beneath an infiltration basin is made available to the general public as a convenience for those wishing to replicate values documented in the USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5102 "Groundwater mounding beneath hypothetical stormwater infiltration basins" or to calculate values based on user-specified site conditions. Any changes made to the spreadsheet (other than values identified as user-specified) after transmission from the USGS could have unintended, undesirable consequences. These consequences could include, but may not be limited to: erroneous output, numerical instabilities, and violations of underlying assumptions that are inherent in results presented in the accompanying USGS published report. The USGS assumes no responsibility for the consequences of any changes made to the spreadsheet. If changes are made to the spreadsheet, the user is responsible for documenting the changes and justifying the results and conclusions.

20

40

60

80

100

120

140

#### Groundwater Mounding Calculations - 100-yr event during long-term groundwater level conditions

This spreadsheet will calculate the height of a groundwater mound beneath a stormwater infiltration basin. More information can be found in the U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5102 "Simulation of groundwater mounding beneath hypothetical stormwater infiltration basins".

The user must specify infiltration rate (R), specific yield (Sy), horizontal hydraulic conductivity (Kh), basin dimensions (x, y), duration of infiltration period (t), and the initial thickness of the saturated zone (hi(0), height of the water table if the bottom of the aquifer is the datum). For a square basin the half width equals the half length (x = y). For a rectangular basin, if the user wants the water-table changes perpendicular to the long side, specify x as the short dimension and y as the long dimension. Conversely, if the user wants the values perpendicular to the short dimension, x as the long dimension. All distances are from the center of the basin. Users can change the distances from the center of the basin at which water-table aquifer thickness are calculated.

Cells highlighted in yellow are values that can be changed by the user. Cells highlighted in red are output values based on user-specified inputs. The user MUST click the blue "Re-Calculate Now" button each time ANY of the user-specified inputs are changed otherwise necessary iterations to converge on the correct solution will not be done and values shown will be incorrect. Use consistent units for all input values (for example, feet and days)

use consistent units (e.g. feet & days <b>or</b> inches & hours)		Conversion	Table		
Input Values			inch/hour	feet/day	
0.0030	R	Recharge (infiltration) rate (feet/day)	0.67	7	1.33
0.100	Sy	Specific yield, Sy (dimensionless, between 0 and 1)			
0.03	к	Horizontal hydraulic conductivity, Kh (feet/day)*	2.00	о ,	4.00
88.560	x	1/2 length of basin (x direction, in feet)			(USGS SIR 2010-5102), vertical soil permeability
55.760	У	1/2 width of basin (y direction, in feet)	hours	days	(ft/d) is assumed to be one-tenth horizontal
9.000	t	duration of infiltration period (days)	36	5	1.50 hydraulic conductivity (ft/d).
10.000	hi(0)	initial thickness of saturated zone (feet)			



Ground-

maximum thickness of saturated zone (beneath center of basin at end of infiltration period) maximum groundwater mounding (beneath center of basin at end of infiltration period)



Distance from



#### Disclaimer

This spreadsheet solving the Hantush (1967) equation for ground-water mounding beneath an infiltration basin is made available to the general public as a convenience for those wishing to replicate values documented in the USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5102 "Groundwater mounding beneath hypothetical stormwater infiltration basins" or to calculate values based on user-specified site conditions. Any changes made to the spreadsheet (other than values identified as user-specified) after transmission from the USGS could have unintended, undesirable consequences. These consequences could include, but may not be limited to: erroneous output, numerical instabilities, and violations of underlying assumptions that are inherent in results presented in the accompanying USGS published report. The USGS assumes no responsibility for the consequences of any changes made to the spreadsheet. If changes are made to the spreadsheet, the user is responsible for documenting the changes and justifying the results and conclusions.

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix F Geotechnical Investigation September 25, 2020

## Appendix F GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION



# patersongroup

Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

**Materials Testing** 

**Building Science** 

**Archaeological Services** 

#### **Geotechnical Investigation**

Proposed Mixed Use Development 5731 Hazeldean Road Ottawa, Ontario

**Prepared For** 

Nautical Lands Group

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca February 5, 2016

Report PG3710-1

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Intro	oduction		PAG	E 1
2.0	Prop	oosed Project			1
	•	•			
3.0	Meth	nod of Investigation			~
	3.1				2
	3.2 3.3	Analytical Testing.	· · · · · · ·		3 3
4.0	Obs	ervations			
	4.1	Surface Conditions			4
	4.2	Subsurface Profile.			4
	4.3	Groundwater		'	4
5.0	Disc	ussion			
	5.1	Geotechnical Assessment.		(	6
	5.2	Site Grading and Preparation		(	6
	5.3	Foundation Design		'	7
	5.4	Design for Earthquakes.		9	9
	5.5				9
	5.6 5.7	Pavement Structure	· · · · · · ·		9 1
					•
6.0	Desi	ign and Construction Precautions			_
	6.1	Foundation Drainage and Backfill.		1	3
	6.2	Protection of Footings Against Frost Action.		14	4
	0.3	Excavation Side Sidpes.			4 5
	0.4 6.5	Groundwater Control		· · · · ·	6
	6.6	Winter Construction		···· 1	6
	6.7	Corrosion Potential and Sulphate	· · · · · · ·	1	7
7.0	Reco	ommendations		1	8
8.0	State	ement of Limitations		1	9

#### APPENDICES

Appendix 1	Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets
	Symbols and Terms
	Analytical Test Results

Appendix 2 Figure 1 - Key Plan Drawing PG3710-1 - Test Hole Location Plan

## 1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Nautical Lands Group to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed mixed use development to be located at 5731 Hazeldean Road in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 2).

The objectives of the current investigation were to:

- determine the subsurface conditions by means of boreholes.
- □ provide geotechnical recommendations for the design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect the design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project. This report contains geotechnical findings and includes recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the proposed development as understood at the time of writing this report.

Investigating the presence or potential presence of contamination on the subject property was not part of the scope of work of this present investigation. Therefore, the present report does not address environmental issues.

## 2.0 **Proposed Development**

The proposed development will consist of four multi-storey buildings with mixed use. The proposed buildings will include two 4 storey retirement buildings along the southwest property line and the north-east property line. Also, a two storey office building in the south corner and a two storey retail building in the east corner. One level of underground parking is expected to be constructed at the proposed development. Atgrade parking areas, access lanes, and landscaped areas are also anticipated as part of the development.



## 3.0 Method of Investigation

#### 3.1 Field Investigation

#### **Field Program**

The field program for the investigation was carried out from January 13, 2016 to January 18, 2016. At that time, 25 boreholes were drilled to a maximum depth of 6.7 m. The borehole locations were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the subject site. The locations of the boreholes are shown on Drawing PG3710-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

The boreholes were drilled using a track-mounted auger drill rig operated by a two-person crew. All fieldwork was conducted under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel with the direction of a senior engineer. The drilling procedure consisted of augering to the required depths at the selected locations, sampling and testing the overburden.

#### Sampling and In Situ Testing

Soil samples were recovered from a 50 mm diameter split-spoon or the auger flights. The split-spoon and auger samples were classified on site and placed in sealed plastic bags. All samples were transported to our laboratory. The depths at which the split-spoon and auger samples were recovered from the boreholes are presented as SS and AU, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets.

Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were conducted and recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sample 300 mm into the soil after the initial penetration of 150 mm using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

Undrained shear strength tests were conducted in cohesive soils with a field vane apparatus.

The overburden thickness was evaluated by a dynamic cone penetration test (DCPT) at some borehole locations. The DCPT consists of driving a steel drill rod, equipped with a 50 mm diameter cone at the tip, using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm. The number of blows required to drive the cone into the soil is recorded for each 300 mm increment.

The subsurface conditions observed in the boreholes were recorded in detail in the field. The soil profiles are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

#### Groundwater

Flexible polyethylene standpipes were installed in 14 boreholes to permit groundwater results subsequent to the sampling program completion.

#### Sample Storage

All samples will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one month after issuance of this report at which time the samples will be discarded unless otherwise directed.

#### 3.2 Field Survey

The borehole locations were selected by Paterson and surveyed by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk LTD. The locations and ground surface elevation at the boreholes are presented on Drawing PG3710-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

#### 3.3 Analytical Testing

One soil sample was submitted for analytical testing to assess the potential for exposed ferrous metals and the sulphate potential against subsurface concrete structures. The results are discussed further in Subsection 6.7.

## 4.0 Observations

#### 4.1 Surface Conditions

Generally, the subject site is grass and tree covered, relatively flat and approximately at grade with adjacent roadways and properties. Poole Creek Ravine runs across the north-west corner of the site.

#### 4.2 Subsurface Profile

Generally, the subsurface profile at the boreholes consists of a topsoil layer followed by a stiff to very stiff brown silty clay layer, which is underlain by loose to compact sandy silt and a compact to dense glacial till. A layer of firm silty clay was encountered below the stiff brown silty clay layer in BH 5, BH 6, BH 7, BH 10, BH 11, BH 12 and BH 14 at an approximate elevation of 99.50 m and below.

Practical refusal to DCPT was encountered at 8.71 and 10.01 m in BH 1 and BH 6, respectively. Also, practical refusal to auger was encountered in BH 7, BH 14 BH 16 to BH 21 and BH 23 to BH 25 at depths ranging depth 2.8 m to 5.9 m.

Specific details of the soil profile at each test hole location are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets provided in Appendix 1.

Based on available geological mapping, the subject site consists of interbedded dolostone and limestone of the Gull River formation and an approximate drift thickness of 2 to 15 m.

#### 4.3 Groundwater

The measured groundwater levels at the borehole locations are presented in Table 1. Groundwater readings could be influenced by surface water infiltrating the backfilled boreholes. Also, groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations and could vary at the time of construction.

Table 1 - Groundwater Elevation Summary				
Test Hole Ground		Groundwa	ater Levels, m	
Number	Elevation, m	Depth	Elevation	Recording Date
BH 1	102.93	1.16	101.77	January 28, 2016
ВН 3	103.07	DRY	n/a	January 28, 2016
BH 4	103.15	DRY	n/a	January 28, 2016
BH 6	103.25	3.06	100.19	January 28, 2016
BH 7	102.91	3.52	99.39	January 28, 2016
BH 9	103.12	1.43	101.69	January 28, 2016
BH 11	103.23	2.39	100.84	January 28, 2016
BH 13	103.14	4.53	98.58	January 28, 2016
BH 14	103.04	3.63	99.41	January 28, 2016
BH 16	103.19	3.67	99.52	January 28, 2016
BH 18	103.40	1.23	102.17	January 28, 2016
BH 21	103.14	1.83	101.31	January 28, 2016
BH 23	103.28	1.54	101.74	January 28, 2016
BH 25	103.52	2.95	100.57	January 28, 2016
<b>Note:</b> Groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations and therefore levels could differ at the time of construction. The borehole locations were selected by Paterson and surveyed by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebeck LTD				

Vollebekk LTD.

## 5.0 Discussion

#### 5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is adequate for the proposed mixed use development. The proposed buildings will be founded on shallow foundations placed on the native stiff silty clay, glacial till or bedrock bearing surface.

Due to the presence of the silty clay layer, the subject site will be subjected to grade raise restrictions. If higher than permissible grade raises are required, preloading with or without a surcharge, lightweight fill and/or other measures could reduce the risks of unacceptable long-term post construction total and differential settlements.

Depending on the extent of the underground parking garage and potential grade raise, the top portion of the bedrock may be encountered during excavation and construction. All contractors should be prepared to remove some bedrock within the southwest portion of the subject site. If a contractor requires more information on the bedrock quality, additional boreholes could be drilled into the bedrock to determine characteristics.

The above and other considerations are discussed in the following sections.

## 5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

#### **Stripping Depth**

Topsoil, deleterious fill and soils containing significant amounts of organics, should be stripped from under any buildings and other settlement sensitive structures. All bearing surfaces and subgrade soils should be protected during construction to ensure an undisturbed surface is maintained during site preparation activities.

#### Fill Placement

Fill placed for grading beneath the structure(s) or other settlement sensitive areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. This material should be tested and approved prior to delivery to the site. The fill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to 98% of standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

Non-specified existing fill along with site-excavated soil can be placed as general landscaping fill where surface settlement is a minor concern. The backfill materials should be spread in thin lifts and at a minimum compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. If the non-specified backfill is to be placed to increase the subgrade level for areas to be paved, the fill should be compacted in maximum 300 mm lifts and compacted to 95% of SPMDD. Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for placement as backfill against foundation walls unless a composite drainage blanket connected to a perimeter drainage system is provided.

#### 5.3 Foundation Design

#### **Shallow Foundation**

Using continuously applied loads, footings for the proposed buildings can be designed with the following bearing resistance values presented in Table 2.

Table 2 - Bearing Resistance Values					
Bearing Surface	Bearing Resistance Value at SLS (kPa)	Factored Bearing Resistance Value at ULS (kPa)			
Stiff to Very Stiff Silty Clay	150	250			
Firm Silty Clay	75	125			
Compact to Dense Glacial Till 150 250					
<b>Note:</b> Strip footings, up to 2 m wide, and pad footings, up to 3 m wide, placed over an undisturbed, silty clay bearing surface can be designed using the abovenoted bearing resistance values. The firm					

silty clay bearing surface can be designed using the abovenoted bearing resistance values. The firm silty clay bearing resistance values should be considered for footings placed below an elevation of approximately 99.50 m within the northeastern portion of the subject site.

The bearing resistance values are provided on the assumption that the footings are placed on undisturbed soil bearing surfaces. An undisturbed soil bearing surface consists of one from which all topsoil and deleterious materials, such as loose, frozen or disturbed soil, whether in situ or not, have been removed, in the dry, prior to the placement of concrete for footings.

Bearing resistance values for footing design should be confirmed at the time of construction.

The above-noted bearing resistance value at SLS will be subjected to potential postconstruction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively. A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the above noted bearing resistance value at ULS.

For the parking garage structure, footings may be founded partially on bedrock on the west portion and on firm silty clay on the east portion. Concrete filled trenches that extend through the silty clay to the dense glacial till deposit. Provided the trenches can be completed with stable excavations and in dry condition, the bearing resistance value will be 150 kPa (SLS) and 250 kPa (ULS) for the concrete fill trench footings founded on the dense glacial till.

#### Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to an engineered fill, stiff silty clay or glacial till above the groundwater table when a plane extending horizontally and vertically from the underside of the footing at a minimum of 1.5H:1V passing through in situ soil of the same or higher bearing capacity as the bearing medium soil.

Footings placed on a clean surface sounded bedrock surface can be designed using a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of **1,000 kPa** incorporating a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the bearing resistance value at ULS and a bearing resistance value at serviceability limit states (SLS) of **500 kPa**.

A clean, surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface should be free of loose materials, and have no near surface seams, voids, fissures or open joints which can be detected from surface sounding with a rock hammer.

Footings bearing on an acceptable bedrock bearing surface and designed for the bearing resistance values provided herein will be subjected to negligible potential post-construction total and differential settlements.

#### Permissible Grade Raise Restriction

Based on the current borehole hole information, a permissible grade raise restriction of 1 m is recommended for the subject site. A post-development groundwater lowering of 0.5 m was assumed.

If higher grade raises and/or higher loading conditions are required, post construction settlements can be reduced by several methods. The following options can be considered:

- preloading and surcharging
- □ lightweight fill (LWF)

1

## 5.4 Design for Earthquakes

Based on current information, the foundations for the proposed buildings can be designed using a seismic site response **Class D** as defined in the Ontario Building Code 2012 (OBC 2012; Table 4.1.8.4.A). A higher site class, such as Class C, may be applicable for foundation design. However, a site specific seismic shear wave velocity test is recommended to confirm the higher site class. Based on the long-term groundwater level, undrained shear strength values noted throughout the silty clay deposit and depth of the silty clay/clayey silt deposit, the underlying soils are not considered to be susceptible to liquefaction.

#### 5.5 Basement Slab

With the removal of all topsoil and deleterious fill, containing organic matter, within the proposed building footprint, the undisturbed native soil surface is considered acceptable subgrade to commence backfilling for floor slab construction. Any soft areas should be removed and backfilled with appropriate backfill material. OPSS Granular B Type II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, are recommended for backfilling below the floor slab. The upper 200 mm of sub-slab fill is recommended to consist of 19 mm clear crushed stone.

The subgrade is expected to be susceptible to disturbance by construction traffic (labourers and equipment). A mud slab or granular pad, approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of construction, should be considered to ensure that disturbance does not occur during construction activities.

#### 5.6 Basement Wall Design

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the proposed structure's basement walls. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a dry unit weight of 20 kN/m<sup>3</sup>.

The foundation wall is anticipated to be provided with a perimeter drainage system; therefore, the retained soils should be considered drained. For the undrained conditions, the applicable effective unit weight of the retained soil can be designed with13 kN/m<sup>3</sup>. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when calculating the effective unit weight. The total earth pressure ( $P_{AE}$ ) includes both the static earth pressure component ( $P_o$ ) and the seismic component ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ).

Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The parameters for design calculations for the two conditions are presented below.

#### **Static Conditions**

The static horizontal earth pressure ( $p_o$ ) could be calculated with a triangular earth pressure distribution equal to  $K_o \cdot \gamma \cdot H$  where:

- $K_{o}$  = at-rest earth pressure coefficient of the applicable retained soil, 0.5
- $\gamma$  = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)
- H = height of the wall (m)

An additional pressure with a magnitude equal to  $K_{o} \cdot q$  and acting on the entire height of the wall should be added to the above formula for any surcharge loading, q (kPa), that may be placed at ground surface adjacent to the wall. The surcharge pressure should only be applicable for static analyses and not be calculated in conjunction with the seismic loading case. Actual earth pressures could be higher than the "at-rest" case if care is not exercised during the compaction of the backfill materials to maintain a minimum separation of 0.3 m from the walls with the compaction equipment.

#### Seismic Conditions

The total seismic force ( $P_{AE}$ ) includes both the earth force component ( $P_o$ ) and the seismic component ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ).

The seismic earth force ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ) could be calculated using 0.375  $\cdot a_c \cdot \gamma \cdot H^2/g$  where:

 $a_c = (1.45 - a_{max}/g)a_{max}$   $\gamma = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)$ H = height of the wall (m)g = gravity, 9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup> The peak ground acceleration,  $(a_{max})$ , for the Ottawa area is 0.32g according to OBC 2012. The vertical seismic coefficient is assumed to be zero. The earth force component (P<sub>o</sub>) under seismic conditions could be calculated using P<sub>o</sub> = 0.5 K<sub>o</sub>  $\gamma$  H<sup>2</sup>, where K<sub>o</sub> = 0.5 for the soil conditions presented above.

The total earth force  $(P_{AE})$  is considered to act at a height, h (m), from the base of the wall, where:

 $h = \{P_{o} \cdot (H/3) + \Delta P_{AE} \cdot (0.6 \cdot H)\} / P_{AE}$ 

The earth forces calculated are unfactored. For the ULS case, the earth loads should be factored as live loads, as per OBC 2012.

#### 5.7 Pavement Structure

For design purposes, the pavement structure presented in the following tables could be used for the design of car only parking areas and access lanes, if required.

Table 3 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Car Only Parking Areas					
Thickness (mm)	Material Description				
50	Wear Course - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete				
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone				
300	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II				
	<b>SUBGRADE</b> - In situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil				

Table 4 - Recommended Pavement Structure   Access Lanes and Heavy Truck Parking Areas					
Thickness (mm)	Material Description				
40	Wear Course - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete				
50	Binder Course - HL-8 or Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete				
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone				
450	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II				
	<b>SUBGRADE</b> - In situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil				

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this project.

If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be sub-excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type II material.

The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the SPMDD.

#### Pavement Design over Parking Garage

All pavement designs overtop of the parking garage area should be approved by the structural engineer to ensure loads are acceptable with the parking garage structure design.

The current details for above the parking garage are unknown. However, upon request, Paterson can provide details and information if hardscaping is expected to be placed over the proposed parking garage structure.

#### Pavement Structure Drainage

Satisfactory performance of the pavement structure is largely dependent on the contact zone between the subgrade material and the base stone in a dry condition. Failure to provide adequate drainage under conditions of heavy wheel loading can result in the fine subgrade soil being pumped into the voids in the stone subbase, thereby reducing load carrying capacity.

Due to the impervious nature of the subgrade materials consideration should be provided to installing subdrains during the pavement construction. The subdrains should extend in four orthogonal directions and longitudinally when placed along a curb. The clear crushed stone surrounding the drainage lines or the pipe, should be wrapped with suitable filter cloth. The subdrain inverts should be approximately 300 mm below subgrade level and placed in accordance with City of Ottawa standard drawing R1. The subgrade surface should be shaped to promote water flow to the drainage lines.

## 6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

## 6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

#### Water Infiltration Control Measures at Founding Level

Most of the lower parking level is anticipated to be founded on a silty clay or glacial till layer. At the time of construction, an inspection is recommended to be completed by the geotechnical engineer to determine if any significant groundwater infiltration is noted through the subsurface profile, at the time of construction. If any significant water infiltration from excavation side walls or excavation base is observed, a waterproofing membrane will be recommended to reduce the volume of water infiltration to allow for a relatively dry excavation base.

#### **Underfloor Drainage**

Underfloor drainage is recommended to control water infiltration due to potential groundwater infiltration at the proposed founding elevation. For design purposes, Paterson recommends, a 150 mm in diameter perforated pipes be placed at 6 m centres. The spacing of the underfloor drainage system should be confirmed at the time of completing the excavation when water infiltration can be better assessed.

#### Foundation Drainage

A perimeter foundation drainage system is recommended to be provided for proposed structures. The system should consist of a 100 to 150 mm diameter, geotextile-wrapped, perforated, corrugated, plastic pipe, surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of 10 mm clear crushed stone, placed at the footing level around the exterior perimeter of the structure. The pipe should have a positive outlet, such as a gravity connection to the storm sewer.

Backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of freedraining, non frost susceptible granular materials. The site materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for placement as backfill unless a composite drainage system (such as system Platon or Miradrain G100N) connected to a drainage system is provided.

## 6.2 **Protection of Footings Against Frost Action**

Perimeter footings of heated structures are recommended to be protected against the deleterious effects of frost action. A minimum of 1.5 m of soil cover alone, or a combination of soil cover and foundation insulation should be provided.

Exterior unheated footings, such as isolated exterior piers, are more prone to deleterious movement associated with frost action than the exterior walls of the structure proper and require additional protection, such as soil cover of 2.1 m or a combination of soil cover and foundation insulation.

The parking garage should not require protection against frost action due to the founding depth. Unheated structures, such as the access ramp wall footings, may be required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. A minimum of 2.1 m of soil cover alone, or a minimum of 0.6 m of soil cover, in conjunction with foundation insulation, should be provided.

## 6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

#### Temporary Side Slopes

The temporary excavation side slopes should either be excavated to acceptable slopes or retained by shoring systems from the beginning of the excavation until the structure is backfilled.

The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be excavated at 1H:1V or shallower. The shallower slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsurface soil is considered to be mainly Type 2 and 3 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should maintain safe working distance from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

A trench box is recommended to be installed at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. Services are expected to be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations should not be remain exposed for extended periods of time.

#### Temporary Shoring

Temporary shoring may be required to complete the excavation of the overburden soil where insufficient room is available for open cut methods. The shoring requirements should be reviewed by the contractor completing the excavation work and designed by a structural engineer, specializing in shoring design. The shoring will depend on the depth of the excavation, the proximity of the adjacent structures and the elevation of the adjacent building foundations, roadways and underground services. Additional recommendations and parameters can be provided upon request.

#### 6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

Bedding and backfill materials should be in accordance with the most recent Material Specifications and Standard Detail Drawings from the Department of Public Works and Services, Infrastructure Services Branch of the City of Ottawa.

A minimum of a 150 mm of OPSS Granular A should be placed for pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes for a soil subgrade. The bedding thickness should be increased to 300 mm for areas where the subgrade consists of bedrock. The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe should consist of OPSS Granular A. The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

The site excavated material may be placed above cover material if the excavation operations are completed in dry weather conditions and the site excavated material is approved by the geotechnical consultant. All cobbles greater than 200 mm in the longest dimension should be removed prior to the site materials being reused.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to reduce differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD. Within the frost zone (1.8 m below finished grade), non frost susceptible materials should be used when backfilling trenches below the original bedrock level.

If the trench excavations are within the silty clay layer only, clay seals should be provided in the service trenches to reduce long-term lowering of the groundwater level. The seals should be a minimum of 1.5 m long (in the trench direction) and should extend from trench wall to trench wall. Generally, the seals should extend from the frost line and fully penetrate the bedding, subbedding and cover material. The barriers should consist of relatively dry and compactable brown silty clay placed in maximum 225 mm thick loose layers and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD. The clay seals should be placed at the site boundaries, roadway intersections and at a maximum distance of every 50 m in the service trenches.

#### 6.5 Groundwater Control

#### Groundwater Control for Building Construction

The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

Infiltration levels are anticipated to be low to moderate through the excavation face, depending on the local groundwater table and the glacial till gradation throughout the excavation surface. The groundwater infiltration should be controllable with open sumps and pumps.

A temporary MOE permit to take water (PTTW) may be required for this project if more than 50,000 L/day are to be pumped during the construction phase. A minimum of four to five months should be allocated for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MOE.

#### 6.6 Winter Construction

Precautions must be provided if winter construction is considered for this project.

Where excavations are completed in proximity of existing structures which may be adversely affected due to the freezing conditions. In particular, where a shoring system is constructed, the soil behind the shoring system will be subjected to freezing conditions and could result in heaving of the structure(s) placed within or above frozen soil. Provisions in the contract documents should be provided to protect the excavation walls from freezing, if applicable.



In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the installation of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. The excavation base should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.

Trench excavations and pavement construction are difficult activities to complete during freezing conditions without introducing frost in the subgrade or in the excavation walls and bottoms. Precautions should be considered if such activities are to be completed during freezing conditions. Additional information could be provided, if required.

#### 6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The analytical testing results are presented in Table 5 along with industry standards for the applicable threshold values. The results are indicative that Type 10 Portland cement (Type GU, or normal cement) would be appropriate for this site.

Table 5 - Corrosion Potential					
Parameter	Laboratory Results	Threshold	Commentary		
	BH 16 - SS 4				
Chloride	<5 µg/g	Chloride content greater than 400 mg/g	Negligible concern		
рН	7.63	pH value less than 5.0	Neutral Soil		
Resistivity	65.5 ohm.m	Resistivity greater than 1,500 ohm.cm	Low to Moderate Corrosion Potential		
Sulphate	15 µg/g	Sulphate value greater than 1 mg/g	Negligible Concern		

## 7.0 Recommendations

A materials testing and observation services program is a requirement for the provided foundation design data to be applicable. The following aspects of the program should be performed by the geotechnical consultant:

- Review detailed grading plan(s) from a geotechnical perspective.
- Review groundwater conditions at the time of construction to determine if waterproofing is required.
- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials used.
- □ Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- **G** Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

A report confirming that the construction work has been conducted in general accordance with the above recommendations could be issued, upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory materials testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.

## 8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations provided in the report are in accordance with Paterson's present understanding of the project. Paterson request permission to review the recommendations when the drawings and specifications are completed.

A geotechnical investigation is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions encountered during construction differ from the borehole locations, Paterson requests immediate notification to permit reassessment of the recommendations.

The recommendations provided should only be used by the design professionals associated with this project. The recommendations are not intended for contractors bidding on or constructing the project. The latter should evaluate the factual information provided in the report. The contractor should also determine the suitability and completeness for the intended construction schedule and methods. Additional testing may be required for the contractors purpose.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Nautical Lands Group, or their agent(s) is not authorized without review by Paterson Group for the applicability of our recommendations to the altered use of the report.

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Cameron P. Benn, B.Eng.



Joe Forsyth, P.Eng.

#### **Report Distribution:**

- Nautical Lands Group (3 copies)
- Paterson Group (1 copy)

# **APPENDIX 1**

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. DATUM FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. BH 1 BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 18, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+102.93TOPSOIL 0.20 Very loose, brown SANDY SILT, some clay 1.07 1+101.93 SS 1 83 2 SS 2 100 2 2+100.93 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY 3+99.93 CLÁY, some sand - grey by 3.7m depth 4+98.93 5+97.93 <u>6.10</u> 6 + 96.93Dynamic Cone Penetration Test commenced at 6.10m depth 7+95.93 8+94.93 <u>8.71</u> End of Borehole Practical refusal to DCPT at 8.71m depth (GWL @ 1.16m-Jan. 28, 2016)

20

Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100
#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Geotechnical Investigation **Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH 2** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 18, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 Ο Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.02TOPSOIL 0.25 1+102.02 SS 1 17 5 SS 2 100 3 2+101.02 SS 3 100 4 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY 3+100.02CLÁY, some sand 39 Ā 4+99.02 - firm to stiff and grey by 3.7m depth 5+98.02 6+97.02 6.40 End of Borehole (GWL at 3.7m depth based on field observations) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) Undisturbed △ Remoulded

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Geotechnical Investigation **Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. BH 3 BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 18, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) N VALUE or RQD RECOVERY NUMBER TYPE o/0 Ο Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.07TOPSOIL 0.28 Loose, brown SANDY SILT, trace clay 1+102.07 SS 1 71 5 1.27 SS 2 100 4 2+101.07 SS 3 100 4 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY 3+100.07CLÁY, some sand 4+99.07 - firm and grey by 3.7m depth 5+98.07 6+97.07 6.40 End of Borehole (BH dry - Jan. 28, 2016)

20

Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Geotechnical Investigation **Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. DATUM FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. BH 4 BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 18, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) N VALUE or RQD RECOVERY NUMBER TYPE o/0 Water Content % Ο **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.15TOPSOIL 0.25 AU 1 1+102.15 SS 2 100 4 SS 3 100 5 2+101.15 SS 4 3 100 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY 3+100.15CLÁY, some sand 4+99.15 - grey by 4.0m depth 5+98.15 SS 5 100 1 6+97.15 SS 6 100 1 6.70 End of Borehole (BH dry - Jan. 28, 2016) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) Undisturbed △ Remoulded

natersonar		ın	Con	sulting		SOIL	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA				
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	tario ł	(2E 7J	Eng	ineers	G P 5	eotechnic roposed F 731 Hazel	al Invest Retiremende dean Roa	igation nt & Comn ad Ottawa	nercial De Ontario	velopment	
DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'S	Sulliv	van, Vollek	oekk Ltd.		FILE NO.	DC0710	
REMARKS										PG3/10	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger		1		DA	ΑTE	January 1	5, 2016	1		BH 5	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Re • 5	esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.	ws/0.3m Cone	eter iction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	ECOVER!	I VALUE or ROD			• <b>v</b>	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezom Constru
				8	2 -	- 0-	103.22	20	40 60	80	
		ss	1	25	3	1 -	-102.22				
		ss	2	100	3	2-	-101.22				
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> some sand						3-	-100.22			1	y9 ⊊
- firm to stiff and grey by 4.3m depth						4-	-99.22				
						5-	-98.22	<u> </u>			
						6-	-97.22				
End of Borehole (GWL at 3.0m depth based on field observations)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengtl urbed △	9 80 10 n (kPa) Remoulded	00

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation** Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. DATUM FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. BH<sub>6</sub> BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 15, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) N VALUE or RQD RECOVERY NUMBER TYPE o/c Water Content % Ο **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.25TOPSOIL 0.25 1+102.25 SS 1 100 7 SS 2 100 4 2+101.25 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLÁY, some sand 3+100.254+99.25 - firm and grey by 4.3m depth 5+98.25 6+97.25 6.55 Dynamic Cone Penetration Test commenced at 6.55m depth 7+96.25 8+95.25 9+94.25 10.01 10 + 93.25End of Borehole Practical refusal to DCPT at 10.01m depth (GWL @ 3.06m-Jan. 28, 2016) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) Undisturbed △ Remoulded

natersonar		ır	Con	sulting	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA				
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	tario ł	(2E 7)	Eng	ineers	C F 5	Geotechnic Proposed F 1731 Hazel	al Invest Retireme dean Roa	tigation nt & Commercial Development ad. Ottawa. Ontario	
DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'S	Sulli	van, Vollet	oekk Ltd.	FILE NO.	
REMARKS									
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	January 1	4, 2016	BH 7	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	/IPLE 것	ы. ы.	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* ECOVER	UALUI			O Water Content %	
GROUND SURFACE				8	Z	- 0-	102.91	20 40 60 80	
0.46		ss	1	100	4	1-	-101.91		
		ss	2	100	4	2-	-100.91		
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> some sand						3-	-99.91		
		G	3			4-	-98.91		
- firm and grey by 4.9m depth		_ X ss	4	90	50-	- 5-	-97.91		
Practical refusal to augering at 5.59m depth									
(GWL @ 3.52m-Jan. 28, 2016)									
								20 40 60 80 100	
								Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH 8** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 14, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT Piezometer Construction DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.01TOPSOIL 0.30 1+102.01 SS 1 100 3 SS 2 100 4 2+101.01 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY 69 CLÁY, some sand 3+100.01 ¢Ο G 3 Ā 4+99.01 G 4 - soft to firm and grey by 4.0m depth G 5 5+98.01 <u>5.33</u> Loose, grey SILT 5.64 SS 6 83 4 GLACIAL TILL: Dense, grey silty 6+97.01 sand, some gravel and clay SS 7 91 36 6.65 End of Borehole (GWL @ 3.7m depth based on field observations) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) Undisturbed △ Remoulded

natersonar		In	Con	sulting		SOIL	_ PRO	FILE AI	ND TEST DATA		
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Oni	tario ł	(2E 7J	Eng 5	ineers	G Pi	eotechnic roposed F	cal Invest Retirement dean Boo	igation nt & Comr	nercial Dev	velopment	
DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'S	Sulliv	an, Vollet	oekk Ltd.		FILE NO.	DC0710	
REMARKS										PG3/10	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	January 1	15, 2016	1		BH 9	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blov 0 mm Dia	ws/0.3m Cone	tion
	LATA P	TPE	IBER	% VERY	ALUE RQD	(m)	(m)		Vator Cont	opt %	szome
GROUND SURFACE	STF	Тх	NUN	RECO	N OF	0-	103 12	20	40 60	80	ё.О
TOPSOIL0.25		_					103.12				
		ss	1	100	3	1-	-102.12				
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> some sand		ss	2	100	4	2-	-101.12	Å		1	
						3-	-100.12			11	
4.40 Compact, grey <b>SILT</b> 5.18		ss	3	58	10	5-	-98.12	<b>X</b>			
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Loose to compact, grey clayey sand with silt and gravel		ss	4	100	3	6-	-97.12				
6.70		85	5	67	13						
(GWL @ 1.43m-Jan. 28, 2016)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strength	80 11 n (kPa) Remoulded	00

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. PG3710 BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 15, 2016

BORINGS BY CIVIE 55 Power Auger				D	AIE .	January I	5,2016	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone
	RATA	ХРЕ	MBER	° overy	/ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	○ Water Content %
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	ли	REC	N OF			20 40 60 80
TOPSOIL0.30		_				0-	-103.09	
Loose, brown <b>SANDY SILT,</b> some clay		7 ~ ~	4	75	6	1-	-102.09	
1.50		A 33	1	/3	0			
		ss	2	92	4	2-	-101.09	
Interlayered brown SANDY SILT and brown CLAYEY SILT		ss	3	100	3			
		ss	4	100	3	3-	-100.09	⊻
<u>3.70</u>						4-	-99.09	
Firm to stiff, grey <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> some sand						-	00.00	
5 79						5-	-98.09	
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, grey silty sand with some clay and gravel		∛ss	5	100	18	6-	-97.09	
End of Borehole	<u>`^^^</u> ^^	7						
(GWL @ 3.0m depth based on field observations)								
								20     40     60     80     100       Shear Strength (kPa)       ▲ Undisturbed     △ Remoulded

natersonar		In	Con	sulting	3	SOII	_ PRO	FILE AND TEST DATA
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Oni	tario ł	(2E 7J	Eng	ineers	G P	eotechnic Proposed F	cal Invest Retirement	igation nt & Commercial Development
DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'S	Sulliv	van, Vollet	oekk Ltd.	FILE NO.
REMARKS								PG3710
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	1	1		D	ATE	January 1	15, 2016	BH11
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m
	TA P	Ŕ	ER	ERY	E C E	(m)	(m)	
GROUND SUBFACE	STR	НЛ	NUME		N VA.	5		○         Water Content %
TOPSOIL 0.38						- 0-	103.23	
0.00		ss	1	100	3	1-	-102.23	
		ss	2	100	4	2-	-101.23	
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> some sand						3-	-100.23	
- firm to stiff and grey by 4.2m depth						4-	-99.23	
5.80						5-	-98.23	
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, grey silty sand with clay and gravel		ss	3	83	18	6-	-97.23	
End of Borehole (GWL @ 2.39m-Jan. 28, 2016)								
								20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH12** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 15, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.21TOPSOIL 0.30 Brown SILTY CLAY, some sand 0.76 1+102.21 SS 1 83 4 Very loose, brown SANDY SILT, some clay SS 2 100 3 2+101.21 2.30 5 3+100.21 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY ₽ CLAY, some sand 4+99.21 - firm and grey by 4.5m depth 5+98.21 SS 3 75 13 6.00 6+97.21 GLACIAL TILL: Loose, grey clayey silty sand with gravel SS 4 100 4 6.70 End of Borehole (GWL @ 3.7m depth based on field observations)

20

Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH13** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 14, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT Piezometer Construction DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.14TOPSOIL 0.30 1+102.14 SS 1 100 3 Brown SILTY CLAY SS 2 100 4 2+101.14 2.20 SS 3 15 100 Compact, brown SANDY SILT, 3+100.14some clay SS 4 100 17 3.66 Compact, grey SILT 4+99.14 SS 5 67 10 4.27 SS 6 8 58 5+98.14 GLACIAL TILL: Loose to compact, grey silty sand with clay and gravel 6+97.14 SS 7 50 19 6.70 End of Borehole (GWL @ 4.53m-Jan. 28, 2016) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa)

Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

natersonar		ır	Con	sulting	1	SOIL	- PRO	FILE AI	ND TES	ST DATA	<b>\</b>
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On	tario ł	(2E 7J	Eng	ineers	G P	eotechnic roposed F	al Invest Retiremen	igation nt & Comr	nercial De	evelopment	
DATUM Ground surface elevations	s prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'S	Sulliv	an, Vollet	pekk Ltd.	iu, Ollawa	FILE NO.		
REMARKS										PG3/10	)
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger		1		DA	ATE	January 1	4, 2016	1		BH14	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>			ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. Blo 0 mm Dia	ows/0.3m . Cone	eter ction
GBOUND SUBFACE	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD		(,	0 V 20	Vater Con	tent %	Piezom
TOPSOIL 0.36						- 0-	103.04	20	+0 0		
0.00		ss	1	100	4	1-	-102.04				
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> some sand		ss	2	92	4	2-	-101.04				
						3-	-100.04	4	A		
- firm to stiff and grey by 4.3m depth						4-	-99.04				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, grey silty sand, some clay and gravel		ss	3	75	26	5-	-98.04	<u>A</u>			
End of Borehole	· <u> ^ ^ ^ ^ / ^ / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</u>					6-	-97.04				
Practical refusal to augering at 6.04m depth (GWL @ 3.63m-Jan. 28, 2016)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt	0 80 1 h (kPa) Remoulded	⊣ 100

# Dates Sold Profile AND TEST DATA154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATAGeotechnical Investigation<br/>Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development<br/>5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'	Sulliv	an, Vollet	oekk Ltd.		FILE NO. PG371(	)
				_		1	4 0040		HOLE NO. BH15	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger			SVI		DATE	January I	4, 2016	Don B	esist Blows/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLO'					DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia. Cone	leter uction
	RATA	(PE	<b>(BER</b>	° ∥	ALUE RQD		()		Vater Content %	ezom
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ţ	NUN	RECO	N OL (			20	40 60 80	Щ. Э С О Ц
TOPSOIL 0.30						- 0-	-103.02			
Brown SILTY CLAY, some sand										
Loose, brown <b>SILTY SAND,</b> some		ss	1	100	4	1-	-102.02			
<u>1.50</u>		17 17								
		ss	2	100	4	2-	-101.02			
										219 ⊽
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand						3-	-100.02			▲ <sup>±</sup>
		ss	3	100	5					
						4-	-99.02			140
4.50								Å		<b>A</b>
		∦ ss	4	88	50+	5-	-98.02			
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, grey silty			_							
sand with clay and gravel		ss	5	33	31	6-	-97 02			
		ss	6	100	39		07.02		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
End of Borehole	<u>`^^^^</u>	¥1								
(GWL @ 2.7m depth based on field										
observations)										
								20	40 60 80	 100
								Shea	ar Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH16** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 14, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone • (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.19TOPSOIL 0.20 1+102.19 SS 1 100 3 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand 2 SS 100 3 2+101.19 Å 3.00 3+100.19 SS 3 92 9 Loose, brown SANDY SILT, some clay 4+99.19 4.20 SS 4 5 92 GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, SS 5 75 16 grey silty sand, some clay and gravel 5+98.19 5.43 SS 6 0 50+ End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 5.43m depth (GWL @ 3.67m-Jan. 28, 2016)

20

Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. PRG3710 Mole NO. BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 14, 2016 FILE NO. Pen, Resist, Blows/0.3m

SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. F	lesist	. Blows/( Dia Cor	).3m	tion
	ATA P	PE	BER	VERY	ALUE RQD	(m)	(m)			Ocentant		szomet
GROUND SUBFACE	STR	ТТ	MUN	RECO	N VI OF			20	vater 40	60 Content	% 80	0 <u>e</u> ⊡
	)					0-	103.15					
		-             	1	100	5	1-	-102.15					
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY			2	100						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.20						2-	-101.15					¥
Some clay 3.05	5	ss	3	100	16	3-	-100 15					
		ss	4	100	10		100.10					
grey silty sand with clay and gravel		ss	5	67	26	4-	-99.15					
4.72	<u>2 [^^^^^</u>	x ss	6	100	50+				<u></u>			
Practical refusal to augering at 4.72m depth												
(GWL @ 2.0m depth based on field observations)												
								20 She ▲ Undis	40 ar Str	60 rength (kF △ Remo	<b>80 10</b> <b>2a)</b> Dulded	00

# Dates Soil PROFILE AND TEST DATA 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'	Sulliva	an, Vollek	oekk Ltd.		FILE NO. PG3710				
REMARKS								HOLE NO. BH18					
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE 、	January 1	3, 2016		FILE NO. BH18 Resist. Blows/0.3m 50 mm Dia. Cone Water Content % 40 60 80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE 거	61	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone	uction			
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	" COVER	VALUI Dr RQD			0 <b>N</b>	/ater Content %	Constr			
GROUND SURFACE	07		4	R	N	0-	-103 40	20	40 60 80	- NXX			
_ <b>TOPSOIL</b> 0.20 Very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> some sand		-					100.40						
1.50		ss b	1	100	9	1-	-102.40			<b>₽</b> ₩			
Compact, brown SANDY SILT,		ss	2	100	11	2-	-101.40						
2.84 End of Borehole		ss	3	100	11								
Practical refusal to augering at 2.84m depth													
(GWL @ 1.23m-Jan. 28, 2016)													
								20 Shea ▲ Undistr	40 60 80 100 ar Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded				

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH19** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 13, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT Piezometer Construction DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.30TOPSOIL 0.25 1+102.30 SS 1 75 4 Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand SS 2 100 3 2+101.30₽ SS 3 100 14 3.00 3+100.30 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand 3.28 SS 4 50+ 67 with clay and gravel End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 3.28m depth (GWL @ 2.4m depth based on field observations) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) Undisturbed △ Remoulded

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Geotechnical Investigation **Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. DATUM FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH20** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 13, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) N VALUE or RQD RECOVERY NUMBER TYPE o/0 Water Content % Ο **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.04TOPSOIL 0.20 1+102.04 SS 1 75 3 Very stiff to stiff, brown **SILTY CLAY**, some sand SS 2 100 4 2+101.04

SS

3

100

4

3+100.04

20

Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

Ā

End of Boreho Practical refus depth

<u>3.5</u> 0		ss	4	100	4					
GLACIAL TILL: Loose to dense, brown silty sand with clay and gravel		ss	5	100	8	4-	-99.04			
4.95	5	ss	6	53	50+					
End of Borehole										
Practical refusal to augering at 4.95m depth										
(GWL @ 3.7m depth based on field observations)										

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Geotechnical Investigation **Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH21** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 13, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT Piezometer Construction DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone • (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+103.14TOPSOIL 0.25 1+102.14 SS 1 100 4 SS 2 100 4 2+101.14 Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand SS 3 100 3 3+100.14SS 4 0 16 4+99.14 SS 5 17 12 4.50 GLACIAL TILL: Dense, grey silty SS 6 36 58 5+98.14 and with clay and gravel 5.51 🕸 SS 7 100 50+ End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 5.51m depth (GWL @ 1.83m-Jan. 28, 2016) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa)

Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario 0 .1 rfc . 1 -ti ida ٨ . . Vallabakk Ltd

DATUM Ground surface elevations	provi	ded b	y Anr	nis, Oʻ	Sulliva	an, vollet	DEKK Ltd.		FILE NO. PG3710	
REMARKS									HOLE NO. PHOO	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE .	January 1	3, 2016		ВП22	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone	eter ction
	<b>TRATA</b>	TYPE	JMBER	°° SOVERY	VALUE ROD	(11)	(11)	• W	/ater Content %	iezome
GROUND SURFACE	S.	5	NI	REC	z <sup>6</sup>		100.04	20	40 60 80	шО
TOPSOIL0.25	-/ <del>/</del> */	-				0-	-103.24			
Very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> some sand		ss	1	100	4	1-	-102.24			
2.20		ss	2	100	3	2-	-101.24			-
Loose, brown <b>SILT,</b> some to trace		ss	3	100	6	3-	-100.24			
3.50		ss	4	100	5		100121			
		ss	5	33	20	4-	-99.24			
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to very dense, grey silty sand, some clay and gravel		ss	6	92	48	5-	-98.24			-
		ss	7	100	60		07.04			-
End of Borehole <u>6.30</u>		⊠ SS	8	100	50+	6-	-97.24			
(GWL @ 3.0m depth based on field observations)										
								Shea	urbed △ Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. DATUM FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH23** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 13, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ATA PLOT Piezometer Construction DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) VERY ALUE RQD BER 딘 W-1---

	TR	ТХ	MU	°° 8	r Å				wat	er Cor	itent 9	6	. <u>⊜</u> ⊡
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	RE	o N	0-	102.20	2	04	06	0 8	0	
<b>TOPSOIL</b> 0.25		_				0-	103.28						▩ 🗱
		ss	1	100	4	1-	-102.28						
Very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> some sand		ss	2	100	3	2-	-101.28		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3.00		ss	3	100	34				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Dense, grey SILTY SAND, some 3.20 clay		SS	4	80	50+	3-	-100.28						
End of Borehole													
Practical refusal to augering at 3.20m depth													
(GWL @ 1.54m-Jan. 28, 2016)													
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
									: : :	: : :		1 : : : !	

40

60

Shear Strength (kPa)

80

△ Remoulded

100

20

▲ Undisturbed

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Geotechnical Investigation **Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development** 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. FILE NO. **PG3710** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH24** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 13, 2016 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Piezometer Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone • (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 20 40 60 80 0+103.30TOPSOIL 0.20 1+102.30 SS 1 100 6 Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY SS 2 100 5 2+101.30 2.20 SS 3 100 50 +GLACIAL TILL: Dense, grey silty ₽ sand with clay and gravel 3.05 3+100.30 End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 3.05m depth (GWL @ 2.7m depth based on field observations) Note: Additional borehole was drilled 2.5m off of BH 24 to confirm practical refusal. 40 60 80 100 20

Shear Strength (kPa)

△ Remoulded

Undisturbed

# patersongroup

SOIL	PROFIL	E AND	TEST	DATA
------	--------	-------	------	------

Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Retirement & Commercial Development 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On	tario k	(2E 7J	5		57	31 Hazel	dean Roa	ad, Ottav	va, Oi	ntario	
DATUM Ground surface elevations	prov	ided b	y Anr	nis, O'	Sulliv	an, Vollek	oekk Ltd.		FIL	E NO. PG3710	)
REMARKS									но		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger		1		D	ATE	January 1	3, 2016	1		BH25	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen.	Resis 50 mi	t. Blows/0.3m n Dia. Cone	eter ction	
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD		(11)	0	Wate	r Content %	Piezome Construc
TOPSOIL 0.25						- 0-	103.52				
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some		Sau ∏	1				100 50				
<u>1.50</u>		ss N	2	50	6	1-	-102.52				
		ss	3	75	44	2-	-101.52				<u></u>
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with clay and gravel		ss	4	83	31	3-	-100 52				
2.96		ss	5	83	32		100.02				
End of Borehole		≍ SS	5	33	50+						
Practical refusal to augering at 3.86m depth											
(GWL @ 2.95m-Jan. 28, 2016)											
								20 20 Sh ▲ Und	40 ear St listurbed	60 80 60 kPa) 60 kPa) 60 centre for the second	 100

# SYMBOLS AND TERMS

## SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

Relative Density	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value	
Very Soft	<12	<2	
Soft	12-25	2-4	
Firm	25-50	4-8	
Stiff	50-100	8-15	
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30	
Hard	>200	>30	

# SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

## SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

## **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

#### RQD % ROCK QUALITY

90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

## SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard
		Penetration Test (SPT))

- TW Thin wall tube or Shelby tube
- PS Piston sample
- AU Auger sample or bulk sample
- WS Wash sample
- RC Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

# SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

# **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**

MC%	-	Natural moisture content or water content of sample, %						
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)						
PL	-	Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)						
PI	-	Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL)						
Dxx	-	Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size						
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)						
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer						
Сс	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$						
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10						
Cc and	Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:							

Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

# **CONSOLIDATION TEST**

p'o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth				
p'c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample				
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)				
Сс	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)				
OC Ratio		Overconsolidaton ratio = p'c / p'o				
Void Ratio	D	Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids				
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)				

# PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.

# SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued) STRATA PLOT Topsoil Asphalt Peat Sand Silty Sand Fill Δ Sandy Silt Clay Silty Clay Clayey Silty Sand Glacial Till Shale Bedrock

# MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION









### Certificate of Analysis

#### Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 18948

Report Date: 22-Jan-2016 Order Date: 18-Jan-2016

Project Description: PG3710

	Client ID:	BH16 SS4	-	-	-
	Sample Date:	18-Jan-16	-	-	-
	Sample ID:	1604086-01	-	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-
Physical Characteristics					
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	77.8	-	-	-
General Inorganics	-		-		-
рН	0.05 pH Units	7.63	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	65.5	-	-	-
Anions					
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	15	-	-	-

# **APPENDIX 2**

FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN

DRAWING PG3710-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN



FIGURE 1 KEY PLAN



.ocad drawings\geotechnical\pg37xx\pg3710-1 thlp.

# patersongroup

# consulting engineers

to:	Nautical Lands Group - Mr. Jim Gowland - JGowland@NauticalLandsGroup.com					
re:	Permeameter Test Program and Water Level Measurements					
	Proposed Mixed Use Development					
	5731 Hazeldean Road - Ottawa					
date:	September 6, 2016					
file:	PG3710-MEMO.01					
from:	Michael Killam					

Further to your request, Paterson Group (Paterson) conducted a permeameter test investigation for the proposed mixed use development to be located at 5731 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa. The purpose of the investigation was to provide hydraulic conductivity values to be encountered within the subsoils of the proposed infiltration system at the aforementioned site. The memo should be read in conjunction with Paterson report PG3710-REP.01.

# **Proposed Project**

It is our understanding that the proposed development will consist of four mixed use, multistorey buildings with one level of underground parking. At-grade parking areas, access lanes and landscaped areas are also anticipated as part of the development.

# **Field Investigation**

# Field Program

The field program conducted by Paterson for the investigation was completed on March 1, 2016. At that time, four (4) test pits were excavated to 2 m below existing grade followed by permeameter testing over a depth of 0.3 m below the base of the excavation at each test hole location. The test hole locations were selected by Stantec and distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the proposed infiltration system to be located along the east property boundary. The test hole locations are presented on Drawing PG3710-1 - Test Hole Location Plan attached to this report.

# In-Situ Testing

Permeameter testing was conducted using a Pask (Constant Head Well) Permeameter. An 83 mm hole was excavated using a Riverside/Bucket auger to a depth of 0.3 m below the base of the 2 m excavation at each location. All soil from the auger flights were visually inspected and initially classified on site. The permeameter reservoir was filled with water and inverted into the hole, ensuring it was relatively vertical and rests on the bottom of the hole. The water level of the reservoir was monitored at 1 minute intervals until the rate of fall out of the permeameter reached equilibrium, known as *quasi "steady state"* flow rate. Quasi steady state flow can be considered to have been obtained after measuring 3 to 5 consecutive rate of fall readings with

Mr. Jim Gowland Page 2 File: PG3710-MEMO.01

identical values. The values for the steady state rate of fall were recorded for each location.

# **Field Observations**

# **Surface Conditions**

Generally, the ground surface across the subject site is grass and tree covered, relatively flat and approximately at grade with the adjacent roadways and properties. Poole Creek ravine runs within the west portion of the subject site.

## **Subsurface Profile**

Generally, the subsurface profile at the test pits located along the east property boundary consists of a topsoil layer followed by compact clayey silt layer, which is underlain by a stiff to very stiff brown silty clay. Permeameter testing for TP1, TP2, and TP 4 were carried out in stiff to very stiff brown silty clay, while TP 3 was conducted in the clayey silt layer.

## Groundwater

Groundwater infiltration was not present in the test pits at the time of excavation. On September 1, 2016, groundwater levels were re-measured in piezometers installed at select borehole locations. Groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations and, therefore, the groundwater levels could vary at the time of development.

Table 1 - Groundwater Level Readings							
Borehole	Ground	Groundw	ater Levels	Decending Dete			
Number	Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Recording Date			
BH 1	102.93	3.93	99.00	September 1, 2016			
BH 3	103.07	Dry to 1.31	Dry to 101.76	September 1, 2016			
BH 4	103.15	1.46	101.69	September 1, 2016			
BH 6	103.25	2.58	100.67	September 1, 2016			
BH 7	102.91	2.89	100.02	September 1, 2016			
BH 9	103.12	3.59	99.53	September 1, 2016			
BH 11	103.23	3.17	100.06	September 1, 2016			
BH 13	103.14	2.53	100.61	September 1, 2016			
BH 14	104.04	-	Not Found	September 1, 2016			
BH16	103.19	-	Not Found	September 1, 2016			
BH 18	103.40	2.65	100.75	September 1, 2016			
BH21	103.14	-	Not Found	September 1, 2016			

patersongroup

Mr. Jim Gowland Page 3 File: PG3710-MEMO.01

BH 23	103.28	2.80	100.48	September 1, 2016
BH 25	103.52	1.90	101.62	September 1, 2016

# Results

A total of 4 constant head Pask permeameter tests were conducted along the east property boundary to verify the existing hydraulic conductivities of the soils below the proposed infiltration system at the subject site. The permeameter test locations were selected by Stantec in a manner to provide general coverage of the proposed infiltration system. Preparation and testing of this investigation are in accordance with the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) B65-12 - Annex E. The hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{fs}$ ) values for each test hole location is presented in Table 2.

Hydraulic conductivity values were determined using Engineering Technologies Canada (ETC) Ltd. reference tables provided in the most recent ETC Pask Permeameter User Guide dated March 2016.

Table 2 - Hydraulic Conductivity Values					
Test hole Number	18T	K (mala a a)			
	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	ĸ <sub>fs</sub> (m/sec)		
TP 1	428366	5014794	1.60E-07		
TP 2	428332	5014832	2.20E-08		
TP 3	428290	5014878	6.30E-07		
TP 4	428263	5014890	1.60E-07		

The values measured within the test hole are consistent with similar material Paterson has encountered on other sites and typical values for clayey silt and silty clay. These values typically range from  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  m/sec due to the variability of the material encountered.

We trust that this information satisfies your requirements.

# Paterson Group Inc.

Michael Killam, P.Eng.



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

patersongroup


## patersongroup

consulting engineers

to:	Nautical Lands Group - Mr. Jim Gowland - jgowland@nauticallandsgroup.com
cc:	Nautical Lands Group - Mr. Mark Williams - mwilliams@nauticallandsgroup.com
re:	Slope Stability Review for Storm Outlet Structure - Poole Creek Proposed Mixed Use Development - 5731 Hazeldean Road - Ottawa
date:	January 24, 2017
file:	PG3710-MEMO.03

Further to your request and authorization, Paterson Group (Paterson) prepared the current report to summarize our slope stability review findings for the stormwater outlet structure along Poole Creek. The memorandum report also provides design and construction recommendations from a geotechnical perspective.

### **1.0 Background Information**

Paterson reviewed the following drawings prepared by Novatech Engineering Limited for our study:

- Grading Plan Project Number 105093-09, Drawing No. 105093-GR, Revision 7 dated September 12, 2011.
- General Plan of Services Project Number 105093-09, Drawing No. 105093-GP, Revision 7 dated September 12, 2011.

Based on the aforementioned drawings, it is our understanding that the stormwater outlet structure is to be located near the northeast corner of 20 Cedarow Court and extends through the south valley corridor wall of Poole Creek. It is further understood that the stormwater outlet structure will consist of a 675 mm diameter outlet pipe connected to an approximately 2 m high concrete headwall with an associated rip-rap channel to direct stormwater to Poole Creek located at the bottom of the valley corridor. It is expected that the storm outlet pipe will be installed using conventional "cut and cover" methods using a trench box.

On January 19, 2017, Paterson Group (Paterson) completed a site visit to review the existing site conditions at the location of the proposed stormwater outlet structure. The south valley wall, where the stormwater outlet structure will be constructed, consists of an approximately 3 m high slope, which is grass covered with some brush and mature tree cover. The subject slope was noted to be shaped to an approximate 2.5H:1V profile and no signs of surficial erosion were observed along the subject slope.

Based on available information, the subsoils profile along the subject slope is anticipated to consist of a stiff silty clay underlain by a glacial till deposit followed by bedrock.

### 2.0 Slope Stability Review

From a geotechnical perspective, the existing slope at the location of the proposed stormwater outlet channel is considered stable. Based on our review, the slope has an overall global slope stability factor of safety of greater than 1.5 under static conditions and a factor of safety of greater than 1.1 under seismic conditions.

To maintain the overall long-term stability of the existing slope at the proposed stormwater outlet structure, the following design and construction recommendations should be adhered to:

- □ The outlet structure headwall should be designed by a structural engineer specializing in these works. The design should include a free draining backfill with a drainage pipe along the toe of the wall with a positive outlet to permit drainage for any surface water that could become trapped behind the headwall structure.
- □ The site excavated material may be placed above the pipe cover material if the excavation operations are completed in dry weather conditions and the site excavated material is approved by the geotechnical consultant. If present, all cobbles greater than 200 mm in the longest dimension should be removed prior to the site materials being reused.
- The service trench backfill along the subject slope should consist of a relatively dry, workable brown silty clay approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of construction. The material should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick loose lifts compacted to a minimum 95% SPMDD using a sheepsfoot roller and shaped to a 2.5H:1V profile to match the existing slope face. The reinstated area should be capped with a minimum 150 mm thick layer of topsoil mixed with a hardy grass seed covered with an erosion control blanket to minimize surficial erosion.

We trust that this information satisfies your requirements.

Best Regards,

Paterson Group Inc.

Richard Groniger, C. Tech. **Paterson Group Inc.** 

Head Office and Laboratory 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344



Northern Office and Laboratory 63 Gibson Street North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4 Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334 Ac

David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

St. Lawrence Office 993 Princess Street - Suite 100 Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3 Tel: (613) 542-7381

# patersongroup

consulting engineers

to:	Nautical Lands Group - Mr. Jim Gowland - jgowland@nauticallandsgroup.com
cc:	Nautical Lands Group - Mr. Mark Williams - mwilliams@nauticallandsgroup.com
re:	Grading Plan Review Proposed Mixed Use Development - 5731 Hazeldean Road - Ottawa
date:	January 19, 2017
file:	PG3710-MEMO.02
from:	Richard Groniger

Further to your request and authorization, Paterson Group (Paterson) prepared the current report to summarize our grading plan review findings for the aforementioned site. The following memorandum should be read in conjunction with Paterson Report PG3710-1 Revision 1 dated May 10, 2016.

### **Grading Plan Review**

Paterson reviewed the following grading plan prepared by Stantec for the aforementioned site:

Grading Plan - Project Number 160401195, Drawing No. GP-1, Sheet No. 4 of 7, Revision 3 dated December 16, 2016.

Based on our review of the aforementioned grading plan, the proposed grade raises are in compliance with our permissible grade raise recommendations. Therefore, no lightweight fill (LWF) will be required based on the current grading design.

We trust that this information satisfies your immediate requirements.

Best Regards,

Paterson Group Inc.

Richard Groniger, C. Tech.



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

### Paterson Group Inc.

Head Office and Laboratory 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 Northern Office and Laboratory 63 Gibson Street North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4 Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334 St. Lawrence Office 993 Princess Street - Suite 100 Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3 Tel: (613) 542-7381

## patersongroup

### consulting engineers

to:	Nautical Lands Group - Mr. Jim Gowland - jgowland@nauticallandsgroup.com
re:	Groundwater Assessment - Low Impact Development (LID) Design
	Proposed Mixed Use Development
	5731 Hazeldean Road - Ottawa
date:	January 25, 2017
file:	PG3710-MEMO.04
from:	Richard Groniger

Further to your request and authorization, Paterson Group (Paterson) prepared the following memo to provide our current groundwater information for the aforementioned site. The current memo should be read in conjunction with Report PG3710-1 Revision 1 dated May 10, 2016.

### **1.0 Background Information**

The field portion of our geotechnical investigation was completed on January 13, 2016 to January 18, 2016. At that time, a total of twenty-five (25) boreholes were extended across the site to provide general coverage of the proposed development. A total of 112 representative soils samples were recovered from the site and visually examined in our laboratory for review.

Based on the subsoil information recovered from the test holes, the majority of the site (specifically within the north and west portion of the site) consists of a silty clay deposit, which extends to greater than 5 m below the existing ground surface. The remainder of the site (southwest portion of the site) consists of a relatively thin layer of weathered, brown silty clay crust overlying a sandy silt and/or glacial till which in turn is overlying relatively shallow bedrock.

Based on the ground surface elevations at the test hole locations, the site and regional topography slopes gradually down in a north to northeast direction toward Poole Creek which borders the north property boundary.

### 2.0 Groundwater Levels

### Long Term Groundwater Level

As part of the field investigation and laboratory review, the long term groundwater level was determined based on the observed colouring, consistency and moisture levels of the recovered soil samples. Using these soils sample observations to accurately describe the long term groundwater conditions at the subject site, the site should be segregated into two parcels for discussion purposes. The first larger parcel (Parcel A), where a relatively deep silty clay deposit was encountered, encompasses the north and east portion of the site (BH 1 to BH 15, BH 20 and BH 21). The second parcel (Parcel B), where a thin silty clay layer followed by a more permeable sandy silt and/or glacial till followed by a relatively shallow bedrock is present, is located within the southwest portion (BH 16 to BH 19 and BH 22 to BH 25). The long-term groundwater levels noted at the borehole locations are presented in Tables 1 and 2 on the following pages.

### Seasonally High Groundwater Level

Seasonally high groundwater levels generally occur in March and April during spring conditions, specifically during heavy rain events in conjunction with increased temperatures and spring thaw. Based on our experience in the Ottawa area and in the immediate area of the subject site, we have provided the following general estimate for the seasonally high groundwater level. Generally, for deep silty clay deposits within the Ottawa area and similarly to Parcel A of the subject site, the seasonally high groundwater level is estimated to be **0.5 m** above the long term groundwater level. For Parcel B where the overburden generally consists of a sandy silt to glacial will with relatively shallow bedrock, the seasonally high groundwater level is estimated to be **1.0 m** above the long term groundwater level. The seasonally high groundwater level at the borehole locations based on these observations is presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 - Parcel A - Long Term and Seasonally High Groundwater Level											
Borehole Number	Ground Elevation	Long-Term Le	Groundwater vels	Seasonally High Groundwater Level							
	(m)	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)						
BH 1	102.93	3.70	99.23	3.20	99.73						
BH 2	103.02	3.70	99.32	3.20	99.82						
BH 3	103.07	3.70	99.37	3.20	99.87						
BH 4	103.15	3.70	99.45	3.20	99.95						
BH 5	103.22	3.70	99.52	3.20	100.02						
BH 6	103.25	3.70	99.55	3.20	100.05						
BH 7	102.91	4.50	98.41	4.00	98.91						
BH 8	103.01	3.70	99.31	3.20	99.81						
BH 9	103.12	3.70	99.42	3.20	99.92						
BH10	103.09	3.70	99.39	3.20	99.89						
BH 11	103.23	3.70	99.53	3.20	100.03						
BH 12	103.21	3.70	99.51	3.20	100.01						
BH 13	103.14	3.70	99.44	3.20	99.94						
BH 14	103.04	3.70	99.34	3.20	99.84						
BH 15	103.02	3.70	99.32	3.20	99.82						
BH 20	103.40	4.60	98.80	4.10	99.30						
BH 21	103.14	3.80	99.34	3.30	99.84						
Note: The bore Vollebekk Ltd.	ehole locations w and are reference	ere selected in the e to geodetic datu	e field by Paterson a um.	nd surveyed by Anr	nis, O'Sullivan,						

Table 2 - Par	Table 2 - Parcel B - Long Term and Seasonally High Groundwater Level											
Borehole Number	Ground Elevation	Long-Term Le	Groundwater evels	Seasonally High Groundwater Level								
	(m)	Depth (m) Elevation (m)		Depth (m)	Elevation (m)							
BH 16	103.19	3.70	99.49	2.70	100.49							
BH 17	103.15	2.30	100.85	1.30	101.85							
BH 18	103.40	2.40	101.00	1.40	102.00							
BH 19	103.30	2.40	100.90	1.40	101.90							
BH 22	103.24	3.00	100.24	2.00	101.24							
BH 23	103.28	3.00	100.28	2.00	101.28							
BH 24	103.30	2.70	100.60	1.70	101.60							
BH 25	103.52	3.80	99.72	2.80	100.72							
Note: The bore Vollebekk Ltd.	hole locations wa	ere selected in the ce to geodetic dati	∍ field by Paterson a um.	nd surveyed by Anr	ıis, O'Sullivan,							

### **Groundwater Flow Direction**

Based on the current groundwater levels information from the existing boreholes, the general groundwater flow direction is in a north direction toward Poole Creek.

### **Post-Development Groundwater Lowering**

For design purposes, a post-development long term groundwater lowering of **0.5 m** and **1.0 m** can be assumed for Parcel A and Parcel B, respectively.

We trust that this information satisfies your requirements.

Best Regards,

Paterson Group Inc.



### Paterson Group Inc.

Head Office and Laboratory 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344



Northern Office and Laboratory 63 Gibson Street North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4 Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334

David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

St. Lawrence Office 993 Princess Street - Suite 100 Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3 Tel: (613) 542-7381

### SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix G Product Specifications September 25, 2020

### Appendix G PRODUCT SPECIFICATIONS

td c:\users\dthiffault\desktop\1195-1591\rpt\_2020-09-25\_servicing.docx

# Volume III: TEMPEST™ INLET CONTROL DEVICES

# Municipal Technical Manual Series



LMF (Low to Medium Flow) ICD HF (High Flow) ICD MHF (Medium to High Flow) ICD



# IPEX Tempest™ Inlet Control Devices

**Municipal Technical Manual Series** 

Vol. I, 1st Edition

© 2011 by IPEX. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without prior written permission. For information contact: IPEX, Marketing, 2441 Royal Windsor Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, L5J 4C7.

The information contained here within is based on current information and product design at the time of publication and is subject to change without notification. IPEX does not guarantee or warranty the accuracy, suitability for particular applications, or results to be obtained therefrom.



### ABOUT IPEX

At IPEX, we have been manufacturing non-metallic pipe and fittings since 1951. We formulate our own compounds and maintain strict quality control during production. Our products are made available for customers thanks to a network of regional stocking locations throughout North America. We offer a wide variety of systems including complete lines of piping, fittings, valves and custom-fabricated items.

More importantly, we are committed to meeting our customers' needs. As a leader in the plastic piping industry, IPEX continually develops new products, modernizes manufacturing facilities and acquires innovative process technology. In addition, our staff take pride in their work, making available to customers their extensive thermoplastic knowledge and field experience. IPEX personnel are committeed to improving the safety, reliability and performance of thermoplastic materials. We are involved in several standards committees and are members of and/or comply with the organizations listed on this page.

For specific details about any IPEX product, contact our customer service department.

### CONTENTS

### **TEMPEST INLET CONTROL DEVICES Technical Manual**

About IPEX

	Product Information: TEMPEST Low, Medium Flow (LMF) ICD									
	Purpose									
	Product Description									
	Product Function									
	Product Construction									
	Product Applications									
	Product Installation									
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a square catch basin:									
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a round catch basin:									
	Product Technical Specification									
	General									
	Materials									
	Dimensioning									
	Installation									
	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD Product Description									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICDProduct Description.9Product Function.9Product Construction.9Product Applications.9									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Applications       .9         Product Installation       .9									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Applications       .9         Product Installation       .9         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin:									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Applications       .9         Product Installation       .9         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin:       .10									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Applications       .9         Product Installation       .9         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin:       .10									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Applications       .9         Product Installation       .9         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin:       .11         Product Technical Specification       .11									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description       .9         Product Function       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Construction       .9         Product Applications       .9         Product Installation       .9         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin:       .10         Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square or round catch basin:       .11         Product Technical Specification       .11         Materials       .11									
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description									

IPEX

### IPEX Tempest™ LMF ICD

4



### PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST LOW, MEDIUM FLOW (LMF) ICD

#### Purpose

To control the amount of storm water runoff entering a sewer system by allowing a specified flow volume out of a catch basin or manhole at a specified head. This approach conserves pipe capacity so that catch basins downstream do not become uncontrollably surcharged, which can lead to basement floods, flash floods and combined sewer overflows.

#### **Product Description**

Our LMF ICD is designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter and larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 14 preset flow curves, the LMF ICD has the ability to provide flow rates: 2lps – 17lps (31gpm – 270gpm)



#### **Product Function**

The LMF ICD vortex flow action allows the LMF ICD to provide a narrower flow curve using a larger orifice than a conventional orifice plate ICD, making it less likely to clog. When comparing flows at the same head level, the LMF ICD has the ability to restrict more flow than a conventional ICD during a rain event, preserving greater sewer capacity.





#### **Product Construction**

Constructed from durable PVC, the LMF ICD is light weight 8.95 Kg (19.7 lbs).

#### **Product Applications**

Will accommodate both square and round applications:



Square Application

Universal Mounting Plate **Round Application** 







Universal Mounting Plate Hub Adapter





TEMPEST LMF ICD

### PRODUCT INSTALLATION

### Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers,
    (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device.
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
   (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts the ends of the anchors
- Install the universal mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal mounting plate and has created a seal.



- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

### Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- 2. Use the spigot catch basin wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to sure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors
- Install the CB spigot wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between CB the spigot wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Apply solvent cement on the hub of universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of spigot CB wall plate slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the universal mounting plate hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the mounting plate and has created a seal.

### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut back the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

6

### **PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION**

#### General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's must have no moving parts.

#### Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

#### Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

#### Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD

### IPEX Tempest™ LMF ICD

8

### **PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD**

### **Product Description**

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD is designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device virtually to accommodate any storm sewer size.

Available in 5 preset flow curves, these ICDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

**HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves** 6.00 5.00 4.00 m) pad 3.00 2.00 1.00 60 100 120 140 Flow Q (Lps)

### **Product Function**

TEMPEST HF (High Flow): designed to manage moderate to higher flows between 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevents the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter and has been designed to limit



head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.

TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump: The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these



applications, a HF Sump is offered. The HF

Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.

#### **TEMPEST MHF (Medium to High Flow):**

The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.

### **Product Construction**

The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.82 Kg (14.6 lbs).

#### **Product Applications**

The HF and MHF ICD are available to accommodate both square and round applications:



The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:



**Square Application** 

Universal Mounting Plate Hub Adapter



IPFX Tempest™ I MF ICD

9

### PRODUCT INSTALLATION

### Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
   (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts the ends of the anchors
- 5. Install the universal from wall mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the LMF device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal wall mounting plate and has created a seal.



- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

### Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- 2. Use the round catch basin spigot adaptor to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to sure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors
- Install the spigot CB wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot CB wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Put solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of spigot CB wall plate and slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

10 IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD

### Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF Sump into a Square or Round Catch Basin:

### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, mastic tape and metal strapping
  - Material: (2) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (2) washers, (2) nuts, HF Sump pieces (2).
- 2. Apply solvent cement to the spigot end of the top half of the sump. Apply solvent cement to the hub of the bottom half of the sump. Insert the spigot of the top half of the sump into the hub of the bottom half of the sump.
- 3. Install the 8" spigot of the device into the outlet pipe. Use the mastic tape to seal the device spigot into the outlet pipe. You should use a level to be sure that the fitting is standing at the vertical.
- 4. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make a series of 2 holes along each side of the body throat. The depth of the hole should be between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the 2 holes.
- 5. Install the anchors (2) in the holes by using a hammer. Put the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors. Remove the nuts on the anchors at the end.
- Cut the metal strapping to length and connect each end of the strapping to the anchors. Screw the nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). The device should be completely flush with the catch basin wall.

#### 

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

### PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

### General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control where specified. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's must have no moving parts.

### Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

### Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

### Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD

IPEX Tempest™ LMF ICD

### 12 IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD

### SALES AND CUSTOMER SERVICE

Canadian Customers call IPEX Inc. Toll free: (866) 473-9462 www.ipexinc.com

U.S. Customers call IPEX USA LLC Toll free: (800) 463-9572 www.ipexamerica.com

#### About the IPEX Group of Companies

As leading suppliers of thermoplastic piping systems, the IPEX Group of Companies provides our customers with some of the largest and most comprehensive product lines. All IPEX products are backed by more than 50 years of experience. With state-of-the-art manufacturing facilities and distribution centers across North America, we have established a reputation for product innovation, quality, end-user focus and performance.

Markets served by IPEX group products are:

- Electrical systems
- Telecommunications and utility piping systems
- PVC, CPVC, PP, ABS, PEX, FR-PVDF and PE pipe and fittings (1/4" to 48")
- · Industrial process piping systems
- Municipal pressure and gravity piping systems
- Plumbing and mechanical piping systems
- PE Electrofusion systems for gas and water
- Industrial, plumbing and electrical cements
- Irrigation systems

Products manufactured by IPEX Inc. and distributed in the United States by IPEX USA LLC.

Tempest<sup>™</sup> is a trademark of IPEX Branding Inc.

This literature is published in good faith and is believed to be reliable. However it does not represent and/or warrant in any manner the information and suggestions contained in this brochure. Data presented is the result of laboratory tests and field experience.

A policy of ongoing product improvement is maintained. This may result in modifications of features and/or specifications without notice.

MNMNTPIP110817 © 2011 IPEX MN0038UC





### SPECIFICATION DRAINAGE

# **Control-Flo** Roof Drainage System



www.zurn.com



#### THE ZURN "CONTROL-FLO CONCEPT"

Originally, Zurn introduced the scientifically-advanced "Control-Flo" drainage principle for dead-level roofs. Today, after thousands of successful applications in modern, large dead-level roof areas, Zurn engineers have adapted the comprehensive "Control-Flo" data to **sloped roof** areas.

### WHAT IS "CONTROL-FLO"?

It is an advanced method of removing rain water off deadlevel or sloped roofs. As contrasted with conventional drainage practices, which attempt to drain off storm water as quickly as it falls on the roof's surface, "Control-Flo" drains the roof at a controlled rate. Excess water accumulates on the roof under controlled conditions...then drains off at a lower rate after a storm abates.

#### **CUTS DRAINAGE COSTS**

Fewer roof drains, smaller diameter piping, smaller sewer sizes, and lower installation costs are possible with a "Control-Flo" drainage system because roof areas are utilized as temporary storage reservoirs.

#### **REDUCES PROBABILITY OF STORM DAMAGE**

Lightens load on combination sewers by reducing rate of water drained from roof tops during severe storms thereby reducing probability of flooded sewers, and consequent backflow into basements and other low areas.

### THANKS TO EXCLUSIVE ZURN "AQUA-WEIR" ACTION

Key to successful "Control-Flo" drainage is a unique scientifically-designed weir containing accurately calibrated notches with sides formed by parabolic curves which provide flow rates directly proportional to the head. Shape and size of notches are based on predetermined flow rates, and all factors involved in roof drainage to assure permanent regulation of drainage flow rates for specific geographic locations and rainfall intensities.



#### DEFINITION

### DEAD LEVEL ROOFS

#### DIAGRAM "A"

A dead-level roof for purposes of applying the Zurn "Control-Flo" drainage principle is one which has been designed for zero slope across its entire surface. Measurements shown are for maximum distances.



(Plan View)



(Section View)

### **SLOPED ROOFS**

#### DIAGRAM "B"

A sloped roof is one designed commonly with a shallow slope. The Zurn "Control-Flo" drainage system can be applied to any slope which results in a total rise up to 152mm (6").

The total rise of a roof as calculated for "Control-Flo" application is defined as the vertical increase in height in inches, from the low point or valley of a sloping roof (A) to the top of the sloping section (B). (Example: a roof that slopes 3mm (1/8") per foot having a 7.25m (24') span would have a rise of 7.25m x 3mm or 76mm (24' x 1/8" or 3")).

Measurements shown are for maximum distances.



(Section View)

Dimensions and other measurements given in metric and imperial forms.



### SPECIFICATION DATA



**ENGINEERING SPECIFICATION:** ZURN Z-105 "Control-Flo" roof drain for dead -level or sloped roof construction, Dura-Coated cast iron body. "Control-Flo" weir shall be linear functioning with integral membrane flashing clamp/ gravel guard and Poly-Dome. All data shall be verified proportional to flow rates.

### **ROOF DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS**

Basic roofing design should incorporate protection that will prevent roof overloading by installing adequate overflow scuppers in parapet walls.

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

The "Control-Flo" roof drainage data is tabulated for four areas  $(232.25m^2 (2500 \text{ sq. ft.}), 464.502m^2 (5000 \text{ sq. ft.}), 696.75m^2 (7500 \text{ sq. ft.}), 929m^2 (10,000 \text{ sq. ft.}) notch areas ratings) for each locality. For each notch area rating the maximum discharge in L.P.M. (G.P.M.) - draindown in hours, and maximum water depth at the drain in inches for a dead level roof — 51mm (2 inch) rise — 102mm (4 inch) rise and 152mm (6 inch) rise—are tabulated. The rise is the total change in elevation from the valley to the peak. Values for areas, rise or combination thereof other than those listed, can be arrived at by extrapolation. All data listed is based on the fifty-year return frequency storm. In other words the maximum conditions as listed will occur on the average of once every fifty years.$ 

**NOTE**: The tabulated "Control-Flo" data enables the individual engineer to select his own design limiting condition. The limiting condition can be draindown time, roof load factor, or maximum water depth at the drain. If draindown time is the limiting factor because of possible freezing conditions, it must be recognized that the maximum time listed will occur on the average of once every 50 years and would most likely be during a heavy summer thunder storm. Average winter draindown times would be much shorter in duration than those listed.

### **GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS**

On sloping roofs, we recommend a design depth referred to as an equivalent depth. An equivalent depth is the depth of water attained at the drains that results in the same roof stresses as those realized on a dead-level roof. In all cases this equivalent depth is almost equal to that attained by using the same notch area rating for the different rises to 152mm (6"). With the same depth of water at the drain the roof stresses will decrease with increasing total rise. Therefore, it would be possible to have a depth in excess of 152mm (6") at the drain on a sloping roof without exceeding stresses normally encountered in a 152mm (6") depth on a dead-level roof. However, it is recommended that scuppers be placed to limit the maximum water depth on any roof to 152mm (6") to prevent the overflow of the weirs on the drains and consequent overloading of drain piping. In the few cases where the data shows a flow rate in excess of 136 L.P.M. (30 G.P.M.) if all drains and drain lines are sized according to recommendations, and the one storm in fifty years occurs, the only consequence will be a brief flow through the scuppers or over-flow drains.

**NOTE**: An equivalent depth is that depth of water attained at the drains at the lowest line or valley of the roof with all other conditions such as notch area and rainfall intensity being equal. For Toronto, Ontario a notch area rating of 464.50m<sup>2</sup> (5,000 sq. ft.) results in a 74mm (2.9 inch) depth on a dead level roof for a 50-year storm. For the same notch area and conditions, equivalent depths for a 51mm (2"), 102mm (4") and 152mm (6") rise respectively on a sloped roof would be 86mm (3.4"), 104mm (4.1") and 124mm (4.9"). Roof stresses will be approximately equal in all cases.



The exclusive Zurn "Selecta-Drain" Chart (pages 8—11) tabulates selection data for 34 localities in Canada. Proper use of this chart constitutes your best assurance of sure, safe, economical application of Zurn "Control-Flo" systems for your specific geographical area. If the "Selecta-Drain Chart does not cover your specific design criteria, contact Zurn Industries Limited, Mississauga, Ontario, for additional data for your locality. Listed below is additional information pertinent to proper engineering of the "Control-Flo" system.

### **ROOF USED AS TEMPORARY RETENTION**

The key to economical "Control-Flo" is the utilization of large roof areas to temporarily store the maximum amount of water without overloading average roofs or creating excessive draindown time during periods of heavy rainfall. The data shown in the "Selecta-Drain" Chart enables the engineer to select notch area ratings from 232.25 m<sup>2</sup> (2,500 ft.<sup>2</sup>) to 929m<sup>2</sup> (10,000 ft.<sup>2</sup>) and to accurately predict all other design factors such as maximum roof load, L.P.M. (G.P.M.) discharge, draindown time and water depth at the drain. Obviously, as design factors permit the notch area rating to increase the resulting money saved in being able to use small leaders and drain lines will also increase.

#### **ROOF LOADING AND RUN-OFF RATES**

The four values listed in the "Selecta-Drain" Chart for notch area ratings for different localities will normally span the range of good design. If areas per notch below 232.25m<sup>2</sup> (2,500 ft.<sup>2</sup>) are used considerable economy of the "Control-Flo" concept is being lost. The area per notch is limited to 929m<sup>2</sup> (10,000 ft.<sup>2</sup>) to keep the draindown time within reasonable limits. Extensive studies show that stresses due to water load on a sloping roof for any fixed set of conditions are very nearly the same as those on a dead-level roof. A sloping roof tends to concentrate more water in the valleys and increase the water depth at this point. The greater depth around the drain leads to a faster run-off rate, particularly a faster early run -off rate. As a result, the total volume of water stored on the roof is less, and the total load on the sloping roof is less. By using the same area on the sloping roof as on the dead-level roof the increase in roof stresses due to increased water depth in the valleys is offset by the decrease in the total load due to less water stored. The net result of the maximum roof stress is approximately the same for any single span rise and fixed set of conditions. A fixed set of conditions, would be the same notch area, the same frequency store, and the same locality.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR STRUCTURAL SAFETY: Normal practice of roof design is based on 18kg (40 lbs.) per 929 cm<sup>2</sup> (sq ft.). (Subject to local codes and by-laws.) Thus it is extremely important that design is in accordance with normal load factors so deflection will be slight enough in any bay to prevent progressive deflection which could cause water depths to load the roof beyond its design limits.

#### **ADDITIONAL NOTCH RATINGS**

The 'Selecta-Drain" Chart along with Tables I and II enables the engineer to select "Control-Flo" Drains and drain pipe sizes for most Canadian applications. These calculations are computed for a proportional flow weir that is sized to give a flow of 23 L.P.M. (5 G.P.M.) per inch of head. The 23 L.P.M. (5 G.P.M.) per inch of head notch opening is selected as the bases of design as it offers the most economical installation as applied to actual rainfall experienced in Canada.

Should you require design criteria for locations outside of Canada or for special project applications please contact Zurn Industries Limited, Mississauga, Ontario.

#### LEADER AND DRAIN PIPE SIZING

Since all data in the "Selecta-Drain" Chart is based on the 50-year-storm it is possible to exceed the water depth listed in these charts if a 100-year or 1000-year storm would occur. Therefore, for good design it is recommended that scuppers or other methods be used to limit water depth to the design depth and tables I and II be used to size the leaders and drain pipes. If the roof is capable of supporting more water than the design depth it is permissible to locate the scuppers or other overflow means at a height that will allow a greater water depth on the roof. However, in this case the leader and drain pipes should be sized to handle the higher flow rates possible based on a flow rate of 23 L.P.M. (5 G.P.M.) per inch of depth at the drain.

#### **PROPER DRAIN LOCATION**

The following good design practice is recommended for selecting the proper number of "Control-Flo" drains for a given area. **On dead-level roofs**, drains should be located no further than 15.25m (50 feet) from edge of roof and no further than 30.50m (100 feet) between drains. See diagram "A" page 2. **On sloping roofs**, drains should be located in the valleys at a distance no greater than 15.25m (50 feet) from each end of the valleys and no further than 30.50m (100 feet) between drains. See diagram "B" page 2. Compliance with these recommendations will assure good run off regardless of wind direction.

## Saves Specification Time, Assures Proper Application



### QUICK, EASY SELECTION

Using the "Selecta-Drain" Chart (pages 9-13) in combination with the steps and examples appearing below, should save you countless hours in engineering specification time. This vast compilation of data is related to the proper selection of drains for 34 cities. All cities in alphabetical order by province. If a specific city does not appear in the tabulation, chooses the city nearest your area and select the proper drain using these factors.

### 3 EASY STEPS...

#### AND 3 TYPICAL EXAMPLES FOR APPLICATION OF SURE, SCIENTIFIC CONTROL OF DRAINAGE FROM DEAD-LEVEL AND SLOPING ROOFS WITH THE ZURN CONCEPT.

NOTE: Where roof area to be drained is adjacent to one or more vertical walls projecting above the roof, then a percentage of the of the wall(s) must be added to the roof area in determining total roof area to be drained.

	TORONTO, ONTARIO	DEAD-LEVEL ROOF	102mm (4 INCH) SLOPE	152mm (6 INCH) SLOPE
1	Determine total roof area or indi- vidual areas when roof is divided by expansion joints or peaks in the case of sloping roof.	Roof Area: 56.52m x 152.40m = 8918.40r (192ft x 500ft = 96,000 sq. ft.) (See Z105 layout bottom of this page.)	3 Individual Roof Areas:         19.50m x 152.40m = 2972.80m²         (64ft x 500ft = 32,000 sq. ft.)         Valleys 152.40m (500ft) long         3 x 2972.80 = 8918.40m²         (3 x 32,000 = 96,000 sq. ft.)	2 Individual Roof Areas: 29.87m x 152.40m = 4552m <sup>2</sup> (98ft x 500ft = 49,000 sq. ft.) Valleys 152.40m (500ft) long 2 x 4552 = 9104m <sup>2</sup> (2 x 49,000 = 98,000 sq. ft.)
2	Divide roof area or individual areas by Zurn Notch Area Rating selected to obtain the total num- ber of notches required.	Zurn Notch Area Rating select for Toronto = 464.50m <sup>2</sup> (5, sq. ft.) from "Selecta-Drain Ch page 11. Total Roof Area = 8918.40 (96,000 sq. ft.) Entire ro 464.50m <sup>2</sup> (5,000 sq. ft.) no area = 19.2 notches—USE 20	ted Zurn Notch Area Rating selected for Toronto = $464.50m^2$ (5,000 art, page 11. Total Roof Area = $2972.80m^2$ (32,000 sq. ft.) Each area. 464.50m <sup>2</sup> (5,000 sq. ft.) notch area = 6.4 notches—USE 7 PER AREA.	Zurn Notch Area Rating selected for Toronto = $464.50m^2$ (5,000 sq. ft.) from "Selecta-Drain Chart, page 11. Total Roof Area = $4552m^2$ (49,000 sq. ft.) Each area. $464.50m^2$ (5,000 sq. ft.) notch area = 9.8 notches—USE 10 PER AREA.
3	Determine total number of drains required by not exceeding maxi- mum spacing dimensions in the preceding instructions. See Diagrams "A" or "B", page 2. Divide total number of notches required to determine the number of notches per drain. Note maximum water depth at drain and use this dimension to determine scupper height. Maximum scupper height to be used is 152mm (6"). Use this flow rate to size leaders and drain lines.	*10 drains required. All dra must have two notches each a total of 20 notches. Flow rate is 66 L.P.M. (1 G.P.M.) per notch. Size lead for 2 notch weirs for a flow rate 66 L.P.M. (14.5 G.P.M.) 50 i (two inch) pipe size leaders quired. Maximum water de and scupper height is 74 (2.9"). Requires 19 hours dra down time maximum. For drain, vertical and horizo pipe sizing data see Tables I a II on page 6 and 7.	<ul> <li>**5 drains per area required for located in the valleys 15.25m (50ft.) from each end with 3 in the middle at 30.50m (100ft.) spacings. Two drains on ends e of with two notches—3 drains in middle on notch each for a total of 7 notches.</li> <li>Maximum flow rate 93 L.P.M. (20.5 G.P.M.) per notch. Leader ain- size 50mm (2") for single notch weirs—75mm (3") notch weirs.</li> <li>Maximum water depth and scupper height is 104mm (4.1"). Requires 11 hours draindown time maximum. For drain, vertical and horizontal pipe sizing data see Tables L and</li> </ul>	**5 drains per area required located in the valleys 15.25m (50ft.) from each end with 3 in the middle at 30.50m (100ft.) spacing in the middle. 10 notches are required therefore all drains must have two notches. Flow rate is 111 L.P.M. (24.5 G.P.M.) per notch. Size all leaders for 2 notch weirs. 75mm (3") pipe size required. Maxi- mum water depth and scupper height is 124mm (4.9"). Requires 9 hours draindown time maximum. For drain, vertical and horizontal pipe sizing data see Tables.
	*See Diagram "A" page 2 for reco **See Diagram "B" page 2 for reco	mmended drain placement. mmended drain placement.	pipe sizing data see Tables I and II on page 6 and 7.	pipe sizing data see Tables T and II on page 6 and 7.









#### **ROOF DRAINAGE DATA**

The flow rate for any design condition can be easily read from the data contained on the following pages; the tabulations shown below (and on the opposite page) can be used to simplify selection of drain line sizes.

**TABLE 1** - SUGGESTED RELATION OF DRAIN OUTLETAND VERTICAL LEADER SIZE TO ZURN CONTROL-FLOROOF DRAINS (BASED ON NATIONAL PLUMBING CODE ASA-A40.8 DATA ON VERTICAL LEADERS).

	Max. Flow per Notch in L.P.M. (G.P.M.)										
No. of Notches	Pipe Size										
in Drain	50mm (2")	75mm (3")	100mm (4")								
1	136* (30*)	_	—								
2	68 (15)	136* (30*)	—								
3	45 (10)	136* (30*)	—								
4	—	105 (23)	136* (30*)								
5	_	82 (18)	136* (30*)								
6	—	68 (15)	136* (30*)								

\*Maximum flow obtainable from 1 notch with 152mm (6") water depth at drain.

**Table 1** should be used to select **vertical drain** leaders which at the same time establishes the drain outlet size. This table illustrates the minimum flow per notch in L.P.M. (G.P.M.) Since the Z-105 drain is available with a minimum of one and a maximum of six notches, calculations have already been a made and are listed in this table for any quantity of weir notch openings established in your design. It was determined ten drains with two notches each weir would be required in the Dead-Level Roof example on page 5. A 66 L.P.M. (14.5 G.P.M.) discharge per notch flow rate was also established.

Once this design criteria has been determined it will be the key to the proper selection of all drain outlet sizes, vertical and horizontal storm drain sizes in Table I and II. Enter the column "Number of Notches in Drain", Table I, read down the column to the figure 2 which indicates two notches in weir, then read across until you reach a figure equal to or closest figure in excess of 66 L.P.M. (14.5 G.P.M.) You will find fifteen in the column under 50mm (2") which represents the pipe size. Therefore all drain outlets and vertical leaders are 50mm (2") size.

Let us digress for a moment assuming a specific structure requires a total of six drains each containing a weir with a different number of notches. One with 1, one with 2, etc. Table 1 discloses the pipe size for one notch is 50mm (2"), two notch is 50mm (2"), three notch is 75mm (3"), four notch is 75mm (3"), five notch is 75mm (3") and six notch is 75mm (3") as they all equal or closely exceed the 66 L.P.M. (14.5 G.P.M.) design.

NOTE: Although pipe size calculations should be based on accumulated flow rate, local by-laws should be referred to for minimum pipe size requirements and roof drain spacing.

TABLE II should be used to select horizontal storm drain piping. Use the same flow rate 66 L.P.M. (14.5 G.P.M.) used to establish the vertical leaders to size the storm drainage system and main storm drain. Let us assume the ten drains each with two notch weirs were actually on the roof in two separate lines of five drains each and joined at a common point before leaving the building. Since Table II includes 3mm (1/8"), 6mm (1/4") and 13mm (1/2") per foot slope, let us use 6mm (1/4") as our basis for selection which will take us to the centre section. Starting with the first of five drains we enter the extreme left column in Table II and read down to the figure 2 since this drain has two notches in weir, read across horizontally and the size of first section of horizontal storm drain is 75mm (3") between 1st and 2nd drain, return to left hand column proceed reading down until you reach figure 4 then read across horizontally and the pipe size will be 100mm (4") between 2nd and 3rd drain, 100mm (4") between 3rd and 4th and 125mm (5") (if available) between 4th and 5th. If not available use 150mm (6"). (You may be tempted to use 100mm (4") since the capacity is close. We recommend you go to the larger size.) Pipe size leaving 5th drain would be 150mm (6"). The same sizing would hold true for the second line of five drains. Since both columns of five drains each are being joined together before leaving the building there will be total of twenty notches discharging into the main building storm sewer. Enter left hand column Table II, read down until you reach the figure twenty, then read across horizontally to the 6mm (1/4") per 305mm (1') slope column and you will see a 150mm (6") storm drain will handle the job adequately. The same procedure should be followed for sloped roof installations. The above method of sizing was done to better acquaint you with Table II and its use. The more economical and practical way of laying out and installing this same job is illustrated in the control-flo layout shown on bottom of page 5.

NOTE: Although pipe size calculations should be based on accumulated flow rates, local by-laws should be referred to for minimum pipe size requirements and roof drain spacing.

### Select Proper Horizontal Storm Drain Piping



### Table II — SUGGESTED RELATION OF HORIZONTAL STORM DRAIN SIZE TO ZURN CONTROL-FLO ROOF DRAINAGE

Total No. of		MAX.	FLOW F	PER NOTCH IN L.P.M. (G.P.M.)					Μ	MAX. FLOW PER NOTCH IN L.P.M. (G.P.M.)					MAX. FLOW PER NOTCH IN L.P.M. (G.P.M.)							
Notches Discharging		Storm D	rain Size	3mm (1	/8") per 3	305mm ( <sup>-</sup>	1') Slope		Stor	m Drain	Size 6m	m (1/4")	per 305n	nm (1') S	lope	Storn	n Drain S	Size 13m	m (1/2")	per 305r	nm (1') S	lope
to Storm Drain	75 (3")	100 (4")	125 (5")	150 (6")	200 (8")	250 (10")	300 (12")	375 (15")	75 (3")	100 (4")	125 (5")	150 (6")	200 (8")	250 (10")	300 (12")	75 (3")	100 (4")	125 (5")	150 (6")	200 (8")	250 (10")	300 (12")
1	136* (30*)							—	136* (30*)						—	136* (30*)					—	-
2	77 (17)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	-	—	-	109 (24)	136* (30*)	-	—	-	-	-	136* (30*)	-	-	-	—	—	-
3	50 (11)	118 (26)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	_	—	73 (16)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	-	—	100 (22)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	—	-
4	36 (8)	86 (19)	136* (30*)	-	_	_	—	_	55 (12)	127 (28)	136* (30*)	—	_	_	-	77 (17)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	-
5	-	65 (15)	127* (28*)	136* (30*)	—	_	—	_	-	100 (22)	136* (30*)	—	_	_	—	59 (13)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	—	-
6	-	59 (13)	105 (23)	136* (30*)	_	-	_	-	_	82 (18)	136* (30*)	—	_	_	-	50 (11)	118 (26)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	-
7	-	50 (11)	91 (20)	136* (30*)	_	-	_	-	_	73 (16)	127 (28)	136* (30*)	_	_	-	_	100 (22)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	-
8	-	—	77 (17)	127 (28)	136* (30*)	—	—	—	-	64 (14)	114 (25)	136* (30*)	—	—	—	-	86 (19)	136* (30*)	—	—	—	-
9	-	_	68 (15)	114 (25)	136* (30*)	_	_	-	-	55 (12)	100 (22)	136* (30*)	_	_	-	-	77 (17)	136* (30*)	_	—	_	-
10	-	_	64 (14)	100 (22)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	-	_	91 (20)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	-	68 (15)	123 (27)	136* (30*)	_	—	-
11	_	_	55 (12)	91 (20)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	_	82 (18)	132 (29)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	64 (14)	114 (25)	136* (30*)	_	_	_
12	-	-	-	82 (18)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	-	-	73 (16)	118 (26)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	59 (13)	105 (23)	136* (30*)	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	77 (17)	136* (30*)	-	—	-	-	-	68 (15)	109 (24)	136* (30*)	-	—	-	55 (12)	95 (21)	136* (30*)	—	—	-
14	-	—	—	73 (16)	136* (30*)	—	—	-	-	—	64 (14)	100 (22)	136* (30*)	_	—	-	-	86 (19)	136* (30*)	—	—	-
15	-	—	—	68 (15)	136* (30*)	-	—	-	-	-	59 (13)	95 (21)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	-	82 (18)	132 (29)	136* (30*)	—	-
16	-	—	—	64 (14)	136* (30*)	—	_	-	-	_	_	91 (20)	136* (30*)	-	—	-	-	77 (17)	123 (27)	136* (30*)	-	_
17	—	_	_	59 (13)	127 (28)	136* (30*)	—	—	-	_	_	82 (18)	136* (30*)	_	—	-	_	73 (16)	118 (26)	136* (30*)	—	-
18	—	_	_	55 (12)	118 (26)	136* (30*)	—	—	-	_	_	77 (17)	136* (30*)	_	—	-	_	68 (15)	109 (24)	136* (30*)	—	-
19	-	_	_	_	114 (25)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	_	73 (16)	136* (30*)	_	-	_	_	64 (14)	105 (23)	136* (30*)	_	-
20	-	_	_	_	109 (24)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	_	68 (15)	136* (30*)	_	-	_	_	59 (13)	100 (22)	136* (30*)	_	-
23	-	_	_	-	91 (20)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	_	64 (14)	132 (29)	136* (30*)	—	_	_	55 (12)	86 (19)	136* (30*)	_	-
25	-	_	_	-	86 (19)	136* (30*)	—	-	-	_	_	59 (13)	123 (27)	136* (30*)	—	-	_	_	77 (17)	136* (30*)	—	-
30	-	—	—	-	73 (16)	127 (28)	136* (30*)	-	-	—	—	—	100 (22)	136* (30*)	—	-	—	—	64 (14)	136* (30*)	—	-
35	-	_	_	-	59 (13)	109 (24)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	—	86 (19)	136* (30*)	—	_	_	_	55 (12)	123 (27)	136* (30*)	-
40	-	_	_	_	55 (12)	95 (21)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	—	77 (17)	136* (30*)	-	_	_	_	_	105 (23)	136* (30*)	-
45	—	—	—	—	—	86 (19)	136* (30*)	—	-	—	—	—	68 (15)	123 (27)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	—	95 (21)	136* (30*)	_
50	-	_	_	_	_	77 (17)	123 (27)	136* (30*)	-	_	_	_	59 (13)	109 (24)	136* (30*)	-	_	_	_	86 (19)	136* (30*)	_
55	-	_	_	_	_	68 (15)	114 (25)	136* (30*)	-	_	_	_	_	100 (22)	136* (30*)	-	_	_	_	77 (17)	136* (30*)	-
60	-	_	_	_	_	64 (14)	105 (23)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	_	91 (20)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	_	68 (15)	127 (28)	136* (30*)
65	_	_	_	_	_	59 (13)	95 (21)	136* (30*)	_	_	_	—	_	82 (18)	136* (30*)	_	—	—	_	64 (14)	118 (26)	136* (30*)
70	-	-	-	-	-	55 (12)	91 (20)	136* (30*)	-	-	-	—	-	77 (17)	127 (28)	-	—	—	-	59 (13)	109 (24)	136* (30*)

\*Maximum flow obtainable from 1 notch with 152mm (6") water depth at drain.



**TABLE III**- TO BE USED WHEN ROOF STORM WATERRUN OFF AND OTHER SURFACE WATER RUN OFF IS BEING<br/>CONSOLIDATED INTO ONE COMMON MAIN HORIZONTAL<br/>STORM SEWER.

Flow capacity of vertical leaders litres per minute (gallons per minute)

Pipe Size	Maximum Capacity L.P.M. (G.P.M.)
50mm (2")	136 (30)
75mm (3")	409 (90)
100mm (4")	864 (190)
†125mm (5")	1582 (348)
150mm (6")	2550 (561)

†In some areas 125mm (5") drainage pipe may not be available.

Flow capacity of horizontal storm sewers litres per minute (gallons per minute).

	Slope per 305mm (1'0")								
Pipe Size	3mm (1/8")	6mm (1/4")	13mm (1/2")						
75mm (3")	163 (36)	232 (51)	327 (72)						
100mm (4")	355 (78)	505 (111)	714 (157)						
†125mm (5")	646 (142)	914 (201)	1291 (284)						
150mm (6")	1050 (231)	1487 (327)	2100 (462)						
200mm (8")	2264 (498)	3205 (705)	4528 (996)						
250mm (10")	4100 (902)	5796 (1275)	8201 (1804)						
300mm (12")	6669 (1467)	9437 (2076)	13338 (2934)						
375mm (15")	12120 (2666)	17157 (3774)	24239 (5332)						

Note: Although pipe size calculations should be based on accumulated flow rate, local by-laws should be referred to for minimum pipe size requirements and roof drain spacing.

#### SCUPPER AND OVERFLOW DRAINS

Roofing members and understructures, weakened by seepage and rot resulting from improper drainage and roof construction can give away under the weight of rapidly accumulated water during flash storms. Thus, it is recommended, and often required by building codes, to install scuppers and overflow drains in parapet-type roofs. Properly selected and sized scuppers and overflow drains are vital to a well-engineered drainage system to prevent excessive loading, erosion, seepage and rotting.



	SQUARE METRE	DOOF						TOTAL R	OOF SLOPE					
LOCATION	(SQUARE FOOT)	LOAD FACTOR	C	DEAD LEVEL		5	1mm (2") RIS	Ē	10	2mm (4") RIS	βE	15	2mm (6") RIS	ε
	NOTCH AREA RATING	KGS. (LBS.)	L.P.M. (G.P.M.) Discharge	Draindown Time Hrs.	mm (in.) Water Depth									
	232 (2,500)	4.7 (10.4)	45.5 (10)	7	51 (2)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	72.5 (16)	4	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	3.2	96.5 (3.8)
Calgary, Alberta	465 (5,000)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	17	63.5 (2.5)	66 (14.5)	14	73.5 (2.9)	82 (18)	9	91.5 (3.6)	97.5 (21.5)	7.5	109 (4.3)
	697 (7,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	28	68.5 (2.7)	72.5 (16)	22	81.5 (3.2)	88.5 (19.5)	15	99 (3.9)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)
	929 (10,000)	6.8 (15.1)	66 (14.5)	38	73.5 (2.9)	77.5 (17)	31	86.5 (3.4)	93 (20.5)	22	104 (4.1)	109 (24)	17	122 (4.8)
Edmonton,	232 (2,500)	4.5 (9.9)	43 (9.5)	7	48.5 (1.9)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	72.5 (16)	4	81.5 (3.2)	82 (18)	3	91.5 (3.6)
	465 (5,000)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	17	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	14.5	76 (3)	84 (18.5)	9.5	94 (3.7)	97.5 (21.5)	7.5	109 (4.3)
Alberta	697 (7,500)	6.6 (14.5)	63.5 (14)	28	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	24	84 (3.3)	97.5 (21.5)	16	104 (4.1)	107 (23.5)	12	119.5 (4.7)
	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	38	76 (3.0)	79.5 (17.5)	32	89 (3.5)	100 (22)	22	112 (4.4)	113.5 (25)	18	127 (5.0)
	232 (2,500)	3.8 (8.3)	36.5 (8)	6	40.5 (1.6)	38.5 (8.5)	4	43 (1.7)	52.5 (11.5)	3	58.5 (2.3)	61.5 (13.5)	2.3	68.5 (2.7)
Penticton, British	465 (5,000)	4.0 (8.8)	38.5 (8.5)	13	43 (1.7)	41 (9)	9	45.5 (1.8)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	5	76 (3)
Columbia	697 (7,500)	4.2 (9.3)	41 (9)	21	45.5 (1.8)	43 (9.5)	14.5	48.5 (1.9)	61.5 (13.5)	10.5	68.5 (2.7)	72.5 (16)	8	81.5 (3.2)
	929 (10,000)	4.2 (9.3)	41 (9)	27	45.5 (1.8)	45.5 (10)	20	51 (2)	63.5 (14)	14	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	11	84 (3.3)
	232 (2,500)	3.3 (7.3)	32 (7)	5.5	35.5 (1.4)	38.5 (8.5)	4	43 (1.7)	47.5 (10.5)	2.8	53.5 (2.1)	57 (12.5)	2	63.5 (2.5)
Vancouver,	465 (5,000)	4.0 (8.8)	38.5 (8.5)	13	43 (1.7)	45.5 (10)	10	51 (2)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	5	76 (3)
Columbia	697 (7,500)	4.5 (9.9)	43 (9.5)	22	48.5 (1.9)	50 (11)	17	56 (2.2)	63.5 (14)	11	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	8.5	84 (3.3)
	929 (10,000)	4.9 (10.9)	47.5 (10.5)	30	53.5 (2.1)	54.5 (12)	24	61 (2.4)	68 (15)	15	76 (3)	79.5 (17.5)	12	89 (3.5)
	232 (2,500)	3.3 (7.3)	32 (7)	5.5	35.5 (1.4)	38.5 (8.5)	4	43 (1.7)	43 (9.5)	2.5	48.5 (1.9)	54.5 (12)	2	61 (2.4)
Victoria, British	465 (5,000)	4.0 (8.8)	38.5 (8.5)	13	43 (1.7)	45.5 (10)	10	51 (2)	54.5 (12)	6	61 (2.4)	68 (15)	5	76 (3)
Columbia	697 (7,500)	4.5 (9.9)	43 (9.5)	22	48.5 (1.9)	50 (11)	16	56 (2.2)	59 (13)	10	66 (2.6)	75 (16.5)	8	84 (3.3)
	929 (10,000)	4.7 (10.4)	45.5 (10)	30	51 (2)	54.5 (12)	23	61 (2.4)	63.5 (14)	14	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	12	89 (3.5)
	232 (2,500)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	8	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	7	76 (3)	82 (18)	4.5	91.5 (3.6)	92.5 (21)	3.5	106.5 (4.2)
Brandon,	465 (5,000)	7.3 (16.1)	73 (16)	20	81.5 (3.2)	84 (18.5)	17	94 (3.7)	97.5 (21.5)	11	109 (4.3)	113.5 (25)	8.5	127 (5)
Manitoba	697 (7,500)	8.3 (18.2)	79.5 (17.5)	32	89 (3.5)	93 (20.5)	27	104 (4.1)	107 (23.5)	19	119.5 (4.7)	125 (27.5)	15	139.5 (5.5)
	929 (10,000)	9.0 (19.8)	86.5 (19)	43	96.5 (3.8)	100 (22)	38	112 (4.4)	113.5 (25)	26	127 (5.0)	132 (29)	21	147.5 (5.8)
	232 (2,500)	4.7 (10.4)	45.5 (10)	7	51 (2)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	75 (16.5)	4	84 (3.3)	86.5 (19)	3.2	96.5 (3.8)
Winnipeg,	465 (5,000)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	17	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	15	76 (3)	84 (18.5)	10	94 (3.7)	100 (22)	7.5	112 (4.4)
Manitoba	697 (7,500)	6.6 (14.5)	63.5 (14)	28	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	24	84 (3.3)	93 (20.5)	16	104 (4.1)	107 (23.5)	12	119.5 (4.7)
	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	39	76 (3)	82 (18)	32	91.5 (3.6)	97.5 (21.5)	22	109 (4.3)	113.5 (25)	17	127 (5.0)
	232 (2,500)	6.4 (14)	62 (13.5)	9	68.5 (2.7)	70.5 (15.5)	7	78.5 (3.1)	79.5 (17.5)	4.5	89 (3.5)	91 (20)	3.5	101.5 (4.0)
Campbellton, New	465 (5,000)	9.0 (19.8)	86.5 (19)	22	96.5 (3.8)	91 (20)	18	101.5 (4)	102.5 (22.5)	12	115 (4.5)	113.5 (25)	9	127 (5.0)
Brunswick	697 (7,500)	10.4 (22.9)	100 (22)	35	112 (4.4)	102.5 (22.5)	28	114.5 (4.5)	118 (26)	20	132 (5.2)	132 (29)	15	147.5 (5.8)
	929 (10,000)	11.3 (25)	109 (24)	47	122 (4.8)	111.5 (24.5)	40	124.5 (4.9)	127.5 (28)	29	142 (5.6)	141 (31)	22	157.5 (6.2)



LOCATION Chatham, New Brunswick Moncton, New Brunswick Saint John, New Brunswick Gander, Newfound- land St. Andrews, Newfound- land	SQUARE METRE	ROOF LOAD FACTOR	TOTAL ROOF SLOPE												
	(SQUARE FOOT)		DEAD LEVEL			5	1mm (2") RIS	E	10	2mm (4") RIS	SE	15	2mm (6") RIS	ε	
	NOTCH AREA RATING	KGS. (LBS.)	L.P.M. (G.P.M.) Discharge	Draindown Time Hrs.	mm (in.) Water Depth										
Chatham, New Brunswick	232 (2,500)	4.5 (9.9)	43 (9.5)	7	48.5 (1.9)	52.5 (11.5)	5.5	58.5 (2.3)	63.5 (14)	3.5	71 (2.8)	77.5 (17)	2.9	86.5 (3.4)	
	465 (5,000)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	16	61 (2.4)	63.5 (14)	13	71 (2.8)	77.5 (17)	9	86.5 (3.4)	91 (20)	7	101.5 (4.0)	
	697 (7,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	27	68.5 (2.7)	68 (15)	22	76 (3)	84 (18.5)	14	94 (3.7)	102.5 (22.5)	12	114.5 (4.5)	
	929 (10,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	37	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	30	84 (3.3)	91 (20)	20	101.5 (4.0)	107 (23.5)	16	119.5 (4.7)	
Moncton, New Brunswick	232 (2,500)	4.3 (9.4)	41 (9)	7	45.5 (1.8)	54.5 (12)	6	61 (2.4)	63.5 (14)	3.5	71 (2.8)	72.5 (16)	2.7	81.5 (3.2)	
	465 (5,000)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	17	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	14	76 (3)	82 (18)	9	91.5 (3.6)	93 (20.5)	7	104 (4.1)	
	697 (7,500)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	28	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	24	89 (3.5)	93 (20.5)	16	104 (4.1)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)	
	929 (10,000)	7.5 (16.6)	73.5 (16)	39	81.5 (3.2)	84 (18.5)	34	94 (3.7)	100 (22)	23	112 (4.4)	113.5 (25)	17	127 (5.0)	
	232 (2,500)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	8	61 (2.4)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	75 (16.5)	4	84 (3.3)	86.5 (19)	3	96.5 (3.8)	
Saint John,	465 (5,000)	7.5 (16.6)	72.5 (16)	20	81.5 (3.2)	79.5 (17.5)	16	89 (3.5)	95.5 (21)	11	106.5 (4.2)	104.5 (23)	8	117 (4.6)	
Brunswick	697 (7,500)	8.7 (19.2)	84 (18.5)	32	94 (3.7)	93 (20.5)	27	104 (4.1)	107 (23.5)	19	119.5 (4.7)	118 (26)	13.5	132 (5.2)	
	929 (10,000)	9.7 (21.3)	93 (20.5)	44	104 (4.1)	104.5 (23)	38	117 (4.6)	113.5 (25)	27	127 (5.0)	127.5 (28)	20	142 (5.6)	
Gander,	232 (2,500)	3.5 (7.8)	34 (7.5)	5.5	38 (1.5)	45.5 (10)	5	51 (2.0)	57 (12.5)	3.5	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	2.5	76 (3.0)	
	465 (5,000)	4.7 (10.4)	45.5 (10)	15	51 (2.0)	57 (12.5)	12	63.5 (2.5)	72.5 (16)	8	81.5 (3.2)	82 (18)	6.5	91.5 (3.6)	
land	697 (7,500)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	25	61 (2.4)	63.5 (14)	21	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	13.5	89 (3.5)	93 (20.5)	11	104 (4.1)	
	929 (10,000)	6.1 (13.5)	59 (13)	35	66 (2.6)	70.5 (15.5)	29	78.5 (3.1)	84 (18.5)	19	94 (3.7)	100 (22)	15	112 (4.4)	
	232 (2,500)	3.5 (7.8)	34 (7.5)	5.5	38 (1.5)	45.5 (10)	5	51 (2.0)	59 (13)	3.5	66 (2.6)	63.5 (14)	2.5	71 (2.8)	
St. Andrews,	465 (5,000)	5.2 (11.4)	47.5 (10.5)	15	53.5 (2.1)	59 (13)	13	66 (2.6)	72.5 (16)	8	81.5 (3.2)	79.5 (17.5)	6	89 (3.5)	
land	697 (7,500)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	26	63.5 (2.5)	66 (14.5)	21	73.5 (2.9)	82 (18)	14	91.5 (3.6)	88.5 (19.5)	10	99 (3.9)	
St. Andrews, Newfound- land	929 (10,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	36	71 (2.8)	72.5 (16)	30	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	20	96.5 (3.8)	95.5 (21)	14.5	106.5 (4.2)	
	232 (2,500)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	8	63.5 (2.6)	68 (15)	7	76 (3.0)	77.5 (17)	4.5	86.5 (3.4)	86.5 (19)	3.2	96.5 (3.8)	
St. John's, Newfound-	465 (5,000)	8.5 (18.7)	82 (18)	21	91.5 (3.6)	91 (20)	18	101 (4.0)	100 (22)	11	112 (4.4)	113.5 (25)	9	127 (5.0)	
land	697 (7,500)	10.6 (23.4)	102.5 (22.5)	34	114.5 (4.5)	109 (24)	29	122 (4.8)	122.5 (27)	21	137 (5.4)	132 (29)	15	147.5 (5.8)	
	929 (10,000)	11.8 (26)	113.5 (25)	48	127 (5.0)	129.5 (28.5)	43	145 (5.7)	143 (31.5)	33	160 (6.3)	150 (33)	24	167.5 (6.6)	
	232 (2,500)	4.9 (10.9)	47.5 (10.5)	7.5	53.5 (2.1)	61.5 (13.5)	6.5	68.5 (2.7)	75 (16.5)	4	84 (3.3)	84 (18.5)	3	94 (3.7)	
Torbay, Newfound-	465 (5,000)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	18	68.5 (2.7)	75 (16.5)	15.5	84 (3.3)	88.5 (19.5)	10	99 (3.9)	102.5 (22.5)	8	114.5 (4.5)	
land	697 (7,500)	7.3 (16.1)	70.5 (15.5)	29	78.5 (3.1)	84 (18.5)	25	94 (3.7)	100 (22)	17.5	112 (4.4)	113.5 (25)	13	127 (5)	
	929 (10,000)	8.0 (17.7)	77.5 (17)	40	86.5 (3.4)	88.5 (19.5)	34	99 (3.9)	107 (23.5)	24	119.5 (4.7)	122.5 (27)	19	137 (5.4)	
	232 (2,500)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	8	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	7	76 (3.0)	77.5 (17)	4.5	86.5 (3.4)	86.5 (19)	3.2	96.5 (3.8)	
Halifax,	465 (5,000)	8.5 (18.7)	82 (18)	21	91.5 (3.6)	91 (20)	18	101.5 (4.0)	100 (22)	11	112 (4.4)	113.5 (25)	9	127 (5.0)	
NOVA SCOTIA	697 (7,500)	10.6 (23.4)	102.5 (22.5)	34	114.5 (4.5)	109 (24)	29	122 (4.8)	122.5 (27)	21	137 (5.4)	132 (29)	15	147.5 (5.8)	
	929 (10,000)	11.8 (26)	113.5 (25)	48	127 (5.0)	129.5 (28.5)	43	145 (5.7)	143 (31.5)	33	160 (6.3)	150 (33)	24	167.5 (6.6)	



	SQUARE METRE (SQUARE FOOT)	ROOF LOAD FACTOR	TOTAL ROOF SLOPE												
LOCATION Sydney, Nova Scotia Yarmouth, Nova Scotia Thunder Bay, Ontario				DEAD LEVEL		5	1mm (2") RIS	E	10	2mm (4") RIS	E	15	2mm (6") RIS	ε	
	NOTCH AREA RATING	KGS. (LBS.)	L.P.M. (G.P.M.) Discharge	Draindown Time Hrs.	mm (in.) Water Depth										
	232 (2,500)	4.3 (9.4)	41 (9)	6.5	45.5 (1.8)	45.5 (10)	5	51 (2.0)	57 (12.5)	3.5	6.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	2.5	76 (3)	
Sydney, Nova Scotia	465 (5,000)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	16	61 (2.4)	59 (13)	13	66 (2.6)	75 (16.5)	8	84 (3.3)	84 (18.5)	6.5	94 (3.7)	
	697 (7,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	28	68.5 (2.7)	68 (15)	22	76 (3)	84 (18.5)	14	94 (3.7)	97.5 (21.5)	11	109 (4.3)	
	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	38	76 (3)	75 (16.5)	30	84 (3.3)	91 (20)	20	101.5 (4)	104.5 (23)	16	117 (4.6)	
Yarmouth, Nova Scotia	232 (2,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	9	68.5 (2.7)	70.5 (15.5)	7.5	78.5 (3.1)	82 (18)	4.5	91.5 (3.6)	91 (20)	3.5	101.5 (4)	
	465 (5,000)	8.3 (18.2)	79.5 (17.5)	21	89 (3.5)	88.5 (19.5)	18	99 (3.9)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)	116 (25.5)	9	129.5 (5.1)	
	697 (7,500)	9.4 (20.8)	91 (20)	34	101.5 (4)	102.5 (22.5)	29	114.5 (4.5)	118 (26)	21	132 (5.2)	132 (29)	15	147.5 (5.8)	
	929 (10,000)	10.4 (22.9)	100 (22)	45	112 (4.4)	109 (24)	41	122 (4.8)	129.5 (28.5)	29	145 (5.7)	141 (31)	22	157.5 (6.2)	
	232 (2,500)	4.9 (10.9)	47.5 (10.5)	7.5	53.5 (2.1)	61.5 (13.5)	6.5	68.5 (2.7)	75 (16.5)	4	84 (3.3)	88.5 (19.5)	3.5	91.5 (3.6)	
Thunder Bay, Ontario	465 (5,000)	6.1 (13.5)	59 (13)	18	66 (2.6)	72.5 (16)	15	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	9.5	96.5 (3.8)	102.5 (22.5)	7.5	114.5 (4.5)	
	697 (7,500)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	28	71 (2.8)	77.5 (17)	24	86.5 (3.4)	93 (20.5)	16	104 (4.1)	109 (24)	13	122 (4.8)	
	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	38	76 (3)	84 (18.5)	33	94 (3.7)	97.5 (21.5)	22	109 (4.3)	116 (25.5)	18	129.5 (5.1)	
Guelph,	232 (2,500)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	8	61 (2.4)	63.5 (14)	7	71 (2.8)	86.5 (19)	5	96.5 (3.8)	100 (22)	3.7	112 (4.4)	
	465 (5,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	19	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	15.5	84 (3.3)	97.5 (21.5)	11	109 (4.3)	116 (25.5)	9	129.5 (5.1)	
Ontario	697 (7,500)	7.3 (16.1)	70.5 (15.5)	29	78.5 (3.1)	82 (18)	25	91.5 (3.6)	104.5 (23)	18	117 (4.6)	125 (27.5)	14	139.5 (5.5)	
	929 (10,000)	8.0 (17.7)	77.5 (17)	40	86.5 (3.4)	84 (18.5)	34	94 (3.7)	109 (24)	26	122 (4.8)	132 (29)	20	147.5 (5.8)	
	232 (2,500)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	8.5	63.5 (2.5)	72.5 (16)	7.5	81.5 (3.2)	93 (20.5)	5	104 (4.1)	109 (24)	4	122 (4.8)	
Hamilton,	465 (5,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	19	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	16	89 (3.5)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)	122.5 (27)	9	137 (5.4)	
Untano	697 (7,500)	6.8 (15.1)	66 (14.5)	28	73.5 (2.9)	84 (18.5)	26	94 (3.7)	111.5 (24.5)	20	124.5 (4.9)	127.5 (28)	15	142 (5.6)	
Hamilton, Ontario	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	39	76 (3)	86.5 (19)	34	96.5 (3.8)	116 (25.5)	27	129.5 (5.1)	134 (29.5)	21	150 (5.9)	
	232 (2,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	9	68.5 (2.7)	77.5 (17)	8	86.5 (3.4)	91 (20)	5	101.5 (4)	109 (24)	4	122 (4.8)	
Kingston,	465 (5,000)	7.5 (16.6)	72.5 (16)	20	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	18	96.5 (3.8)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)	122.5 (27)	9.5	137 (5.4)	
Untario	697 (7,500)	8.5 (18.7)	82 (18)	31	91.5 (3.6)	93 (20.5)	28	104 (4.1)	111.5 (24.5)	20	124.5 (4.9)	132 (29)	15	147.5 (5.8)	
	929 (10,000)	8.7 (19.2)	86.5 (19)	42	96.5 (3.8)	97.5 (21.5)	38	109 (4.3)	116 (25.5)	27	129.5 (5.1)	68 (15)	21	152.5 (6)	
	232 (2,500)	6.1 (13.5)	59 (13)	8.5	66 (2.6)	72.5 (16)	7.5	81.5 (3.2)	88.5 (19.5)	5	99 (3.9)	107 (23.5)	4	119.5 (4.7)	
London,	465 (5,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	20	76 (3)	84 (18.5)	17	94 (3.7)	102.5 (22.5)	12	114.5 (4.5)	122.5 (27)	9.5	137 (5.4)	
Untario	697 (7,500)	8.0 (17.7)	77.5 (17)	30	86.5 (3.4)	88.5 (19.5)	27	99 (3.9)	109 (24)	19	122 (4.8)	129.5 (28.5)	15	145 (5.7)	
	929 (10,000)	8.5 (18.7)	82 (18)	41	91.5 (3.6)	91 (20)	36	101.5 (4)	113.5 (25)	27	127 (5)	134 (29.5)	21	150 (5.9)	
	232 (2,500)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	8	61 (2.4)	68 (15)	7	76 (3)	86.5 (19)	5	96.5 (3.8)	100 (22)	3.8	112 (4.4)	
North Bay,	465 (5,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	19	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	16	89 (3.5)	97.5 (21.5)	11	109 (4.3)	113.5 (25)	9	127 (5)	
Untafio	697 (7,500)	7.5 (16.6)	72.5 (16)	30	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	26	96.5 (3.8)	107 (23.5)	19	119.5 (4.7)	122.5 (27)	14	137 (5.4)	
	929 (10,000)	8.3 (18.2)	77.5 (17)	40	86.5 (3.4)	93 (20.5)	36	104 (4.1)	111.5 (24.5)	26	124.5 (4.9)	127.5 (28)	20	142 (5.6)	



	SQUARE METRE	DOOL	TOTAL ROOF SLOPE												
LOCATION	(SQUARE FOOT)	LOAD FACTOR	C	DEAD LEVEL		5	1mm (2") RIS	E	10	2mm (4") RIS	SE	15	ε		
	NOTCH AREA RATING	KGS. (LBS.)	L.P.M. (G.P.M.) Discharge	Draindown Time Hrs.	mm (in.) Water Depth										
	232 (2,500)	4.7 (10.4)	45.5 (10)	7	51 (2)	59 (13)	6.5	66 (2.6)	77.5 (17)	4.5	86.5 (3.4)	86.5 (19)	3.2	96.5 (3.8)	
Ottawa, Ontario	465 (5,000)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	17	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	14	76 (3)	86.5 (19)	10	96.5 (3.8)	100 (22)	7.5	112 (4.4)	
	697 (7,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	27	68.5 (2.7)	75 (16.5)	23	84 (3.3)	93 (20.5)	16	104 (4.1)	107 (23.5)	12	119.5 (4.7)	
	929 (10,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	36	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	32	89 (3.5)	97.5 (21.5)	22	109 (4.3)	113.5 (25)	18	127 (5)	
St. Thomas,	232 (2,500)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	8	61 (2.4)	68 (15)	7	76 (3.0)	86.5 (19)	5	96.5 (3.8)	104.5 (23)	4	117 (4.6)	
	465 (5,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	19	71 (2.8)	77.5 (17)	16	86.5 (3.4)	97.5 (21.5)	11	109 (4.3)	118 (26)	9	132 (5.2)	
Ontario	697 (7,500)	7.1 (16.6)	68 (15)	29	76 (3.0)	82 (18)	26	91.5 (3.6)	102.5 (22.5)	18	114.5 (4.5)	125 (27.5)	15	139.5 (5.5)	
Timmins, Ontario	929 (10,000)	7.5 (16.6)	72.5 (16)	40	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	34	96.5 (3.8)	107 (23.5)	24	119.5 (4.7)	132 (29)	20	147.5 (5.8)	
Timmins, Ontario Toronto, Ontario	232 (2,500)	4.3 (9.4)	41 (9)	7	45.5 (1.8)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	72.5 (16)	4	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	3.3	96.5 (3.8)	
	465 (5,000)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	16	61 (2.4)	63.5 (14)	14	71 (2.8)	82 (18)	9	91.5 (3.6)	97.5 (21.5)	7.5	109 (4.3)	
Ontario	697 (7,500)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	27	68.5 (2.7)	70.5 (15.5)	22	78.5 (3.1)	86.5 (19)	15	96.5 (3.8)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)	
	929 (10,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	36	71 (2.8)	72.5 (16)	30	81.5 (3.2)	91 (20)	21	101.5 (4.0)	109 (24)	17	122 (4.8)	
Toronto.	232 (2,500)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	8	61 (2.4)	66 (14.5)	7	73.5 (2.9)	82 (18)	4.5	91.5 (3.6)	97.5 (21.5)	3.5	109 (4.3)	
	465 (5,000)	6.8 (15.1)	66 (14.5)	19	73.5 (2.9)	77.5 (17)	16	86.5 (3.4)	93 (20.5)	11	104 (4.1)	111.5 (24.5)	9	124.5 (4.9)	
Ontario	697 (7,500)	8.0 (17.7)	77.5 (17)	30	86.5 (3.4)	84 (18.5)	26	94 (3.7)	100 (22)	18	112 (4.4)	120.5 (26.5)	14	134.5 (5.3)	
	929 (10,000)	8.7 (19.2)	82 (18)	42	91.5 (3.6)	86.5 (19)	34	96.5 (3.8)	104.5 (23)	24	117 (4.6)	127.5 (28)	20	142 (5.6)	
	232 (2,500)	6.1 (13.5)	59 (13)	8.5	66 (2.6)	70.5 (15.5)	7.5	78.5 (3.1)	84 (18.5)	4.5	94 (3.7)	107 (23.5)	4	119.5 (4.7)	
Windsor,	465 (5,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	20	76 (3.0)	79.5 (17.5)	16	89 (3.5)	97.5 (21.5)	11	109 (4.3)	118 (26)	9	132 (5.2)	
Ontario	697 (7,500)	8.0 (17.7)	77.5 (17)	30	86.5 (3.4)	86.5 (19)	26	96.5 (3.8)	107 (23.5)	18	119.5 (4.7)	125 (27.5)	15	139.5 (5.5)	
	929 (10,000)	8.7 (19.2)	82 (18)	42	91.5 (3.6)	91 (20)	36	101.5 (4.0)	113.5 (25)	26	127 (5.0)	129.5 (28.5)	20	145 (5.7)	
	232 (2,500)	4.9 (10.9)	47.5 (10.5)	7.5	53.5 (2.1)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	68 (15)	3.8	76 (3.0)	79.5 (17.5)	3	89 (3.5)	
Charlottetown, Prince	465 (5,000)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	19	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	15.5	84 (3.3)	88.5 (19.5)	10	99 (3.9)	100 (22)	7.5	112 (4.4)	
Edward	697 (7,500)	7.8 (17.2)	75 (16.5)	31	84 (3.3)	86.5 (19)	26	96.5 (3.8)	102.5 (22.5)	18	114.5 (4.5)	113.5 (25)	13	127 (5.0)	
	929 (10,000)	8.7 (19.2)	84 (18.5)	42	94 (3.7)	97.5 (21.5)	37	106.5 (4.2)	111.5 (24.5)	26	124.5 (4.9)	125 (27.5)	20	139.5 (5.5)	
	232 (2,500)	5.2 (11.4)	50 (11)	7.5	56 (2.2)	61.5 (13.5)	7	68.5 (2.7)	79.5 (17.5)	4.5	89 (3.5)	97.5 (21.5)	3.5	109 (4.36)	
Montreal,	465 (5,000)	5.9 (13)	57 (12.5)	17	63.5 (2.5)	70.5 (15.5)	15	78.5 (3.1)	88.5 (19.5)	10	99 (3.9)	109 (24)	8	122 (4.8)	
Quebec	697 (7,500)	6.1 (13.5)	59 (13)	27	66 (2.6)	72.5 (16)	23	81.5 (3.2)	93 (20.5)	16	104 (4.1)	113.5 (25)	13	127 (5.0)	
	929 (10,000)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	36	68.5 (2.7)	77.5 (17)	31	86.5 (3.4)	95.5 (21)	22	106.5 (4.2)	120.5 (26.5)	19	134.5 (5.3)	
	232 (2,500)	5.4 (12)	52.5 (11.5)	8	58.5 (2.3)	63.5 (14)	7	71 (2.8)	79.5 (17.5)	4.5	89 (3.5)	97.5 (21.5)	3.5	109 (4.3)	
Quebec City,	465 (5,000)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	18	68.5 (2.7)	70.5 (15.5)	15	78.5 (3.1)	84 (18.5)	10	94 (3.7)	104.5 (23)	8	117 (4.6)	
QUEDEC .	697 (7,500)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	28	71 (2.8)	72.5 (16)	23	81.5 (3.2)	86.5 (19)	15	96.5 (3.8)	107 (23.5)	12	119.5 (4.7)	
	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	37	76 (3.0)	77.5 (17)	31	86.5 (3.4)	88.5 (19.5)	20	99 (3.9)	109 (24)	17	122 (4.8)	



LOCATION	SQUARE METRE (SQUARE FOOT)	ROOF LOAD FACTOR KGS. (LBS.)	TOTAL ROOF SLOPE												
			DEAD LEVEL			5	1mm (2") RIS	Ε	10	2mm (4") RIS	ε	15	152mm (6") RISE		
	NOTCH AREA RATING		L.P.M. (G.P.M.) Discharge	Draindown Time Hrs.	mm (in.) Water Depth										
Regina, Saskatchewan	232 (2,500)	4.5 (9.9)	43 (9.5)	7	48.5 (1.9)	54.5 (12)	6	61 (2.4)	72.5 (16)	4	81.5 (3.2)	79.5 (17.5)	3	89 (3.5)	
	465 (5,000)	6.4 (14)	61.5 (13.5)	18	68.5 (2.7)	68 (15)	14	76 (3.0)	86.5 (19)	10	96.5 (3.8)	97.5 (21.5)	7.5	109 (4.3)	
	697 (7,500)	7.3 (16.1)	70.5 (15.5)	29	78.5 (3.1)	77.5 (17)	24	86.5 (3.4)	100 (22)	17	112 (4.4)	109 (24)	12	122 (4.8)	
	929 (10,000)	8.3 (18.2)	79.5 (17.5)	40	89 (3.5)	82 (18)	32	91.5 (3.6)	104.5 (23)	24	117 (4.6)	118 (26)	18	132 (5.2)	
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan	232 (2,500)	4.0 (8.8)	38.5 (8.5)	6	43 (1.7)	57 (12.5)	6	63.5 (2.5)	66 (14.5)	3.8	73.5 (2.9)	77.5 (17)	2.8	86.5 (3.4)	
	465 (5,000)	5.7 (12.5)	54.5 (12)	16	61 (2.4)	68 (15)	14.5	76 (3.0)	82 (18)	9	91.5 (3.6)	95.5 (21)	7	106.5 (4.2)	
	697 (7,500)	6.6 (14.6)	63.5 (14)	28	71 (2.8)	75 (16.5)	24	84 (3.3)	91 (20)	16	101.5 (4.0)	104.5 (23)	12	117 (4.6)	
	929 (10,000)	7.1 (15.6)	68 (15)	38	76 (3.0)	82 (18)	32	91.5 (3.6)	97.5 (21.5)	22	109 (4.3)	113.5 (25)	18	127 (5.0)	





**ZURN INDUSTRIES LIMITED** 3544 NASHUA DRIVE · MISSISSAUGA, ONT L4V 1L2 PHONE: 905/405-8272 · FAX: 905/405-1292

©2010 Zurn Industries, LLC

orm 81-31, Rev. 9/10

www.zurn.com
# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF – 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD (PHASE 2)

Appendix H Correspondence September 25, 2020

# Appendix H CORRESPONDENCE

td c:\users\dthiffault\desktop\1195-1591\rpt\_2020-09-25\_servicing.docx



**BETWEEN:** 

Z. MICHAEL TERKUC and ANGELA TERKUC, of the Village of Stittsville, in the Township of Goulbourn, in the Regional Munipality of Ottawa-Carleton,

# Hereinafter called the "GRANTORS"

# OF THE FIRST PART

AND:

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF GOULBOURN, Hereinafter called the "TOWNSHIP"

OF THE SECOND PART

# WHEREAS:

٠

1. The Grantors are the registered owners of an easement for storm sewer and drainage works transferred to them by Grant of Easement dated July 28, 1983 and registered April 6 1984 as Instrument No.NS 234946 over the lands described in Schedule "A";

2. The Township is now the registered owner of Joseph Circle in the Township of Goulbourn and of certain easements for storm sewers and drainage works transferred to the Township by the Grantors herein by Transfer of Easement dated January 9, 1984 and registered *June 38*, 1984 as Instrument No. 371917, which lands and easements are now more particularly described in Schedule "B" hereto annexed and which lands and easements form part of the benefiting lands described in Schedule "B" of the said Grant of Easement;

3. The Grantors have agreed to grant unto the Township in common with all others entitled thereto the rights and easements for storm sewer and drainage works granted unto the Grantors by the said Instrument No." for the benefit of the lands described in Schedule "B" for the purpose of enabling the Township to construct, operate, repair and maintain storm sewer and drainage works and equipment appurtenant thereto on the lands described in Schedule "A" hereto annexed.

# -2 -246082

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH that in consideration of the sum of ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) of lawful money of Canada now paid by the Township to the Grantors the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged the Grantors hereby grant to the Township, its successors and assigns, in common with all others entitled thereto to be used and enjoyed as appurtenant to the said lands of the Township described in Schedule "B" hereto for the following purposes:

To enter on and construct, repair and replace storm 1. sewers and drainage works and equipment appurtenant thereto from time to time including all fixtures and equipment as the Township may from time to time or at any time hereafter deem requisite over, under and along and across the lands described in Schedule "A" hereof for the purpose of providing part of the drainage system of the Township of Goulbourn.

 $\sim 1$ 

-1----

્યુય

Together with the right of free unimpeded access to 2. the Township its workmen, vehicles, supplies and equipment at all times and for all purposes necessary for or incidental to the exercise and enjoyment of the rights hereby granted, over the lands described in Schedule "A" hereof and the lands of the Grantor in Instrument No.NS234946, adjacent thereto which may from time to time be unencumbered by other buildings or other structures from the highways or lands abbuting thereon to and from the said storm sewer and drainage works and fixtures or any part or parts thereof which are to be constructed, repaired and replaced and maintained.

To trim, fell, and remove any trees and brush necessary 3. and incidental to permit access to construct, maintain and repair any part of the said storm sewer and drainage system.

The easements hereinbefore set forth are granted to 4. the Township on the conditions that the Township , its successors and assigns shall be responsible for any damage caused by it or its workment, servants, agents or employees to the lands described in Schedule "A" hereto and the adjoining lands and further covenant and agree to replace as far as





















ς.

# - 3 -

possible at its own expense any soil or turf removed in connection with any of its work herein referred to.

This Grant and everything herein contained shall enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns.

f.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Grantors herein have hereunto set their hands and seals this day of , 1984. SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED in the presence of as attorney for Z. Mchael Terkuc as attorney for Terkuc Angela

• 



by Z. Michael Terkuc and AngedaxTankag.

₹ %

t 1

• •

·\_ .

\*See footnote

Ottawa

at



• Where a party is unable to read the instrument or where a party signs by making his mark or in foreign characters add "after the instrument had been read to him and he appeared fully to understand it". Where executed under a power of attorney insert "(name of attorney) as attorney for (name of party)"; and for next clause substitute "I verily believe that the person whose signature I witnessed was authorized to execute the instrument as attorney for (name)".

# AFFIDAVIT AS TO AGE AND SPOUSAL STATUS

I, RAY TERKUC X#WE=ZF=MICHAEL=TERKUC=and=ANGELA=TERKUC

of the Village of Stittsville, in the Township of Goulbourn

# in the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton

"If attorney make onth and say: When W& I executed the attached instrument, as attorney for Z. MICHAEL TERKUC and as attorney for ANGELA TERKUC, they were spouses of one another within the meaning of Section 1(f) of The Family Law Reform Act and when they executed the Power of attorneys they had attained the age of majority. The Power of Attorneys are in full force and effect and have not been revoked.

XXXXE were I wasat least eighteen years old.

# Within the meaning of section 1(f) of the Family Law Reform Act:---

# 

\*\*Not a Matrimonial Home, etc. ace footnote. That Z. Micheal Terkuc and Zvone (Mike) Terkuc are one and the same person and that the said Z. Michael Terkuc also known as Zvone (Mike) Terkuc and Angela Terkuc are not non residents in Canada within the provisions of The Canada Income Tax Act.

(SEVERALLY) SWORN before me at the City of Ottawa, in the Regional erkte= Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton 19<sup>84</sup> this 24th day of Mebruary Antela-Tekt WAING AFFIDAVITS, STE

\*Where alfidavit made by attorney substitute: "When I executed the attached instrument as attorney for (name), he she was typical status and, if applicable, name of spouse) within the meaning of Section 1(f) of the Family Law Reform Act and when he she executed the power of attorney, he/she had attained the age of majority".

•

\*\*#Place species down in Community we Section (2) Place 11 and Reference of the section of th

WHEREAS Power of attorney was granted to Hay Terkuc by Power of Attorney dated December 13, 1983, and registered in the Land Registry Office of Ottawa-Carleton No. 4 on the 6th day of March, 1984, as Instrument Number 358313, AND 1N the Land Registry Office of Otlawa-Carleton No. 5 on March 5, 1984, as Number NS231281. AND WHEREAS Power of Attorney was granted to Ray Terkuc by Power of Attorney dated December 13, 1983, and registered in the Land Registry Office of Ottawa-Carleton

No. 4 on the 6th day of March, 1984, as Instrument Number 358314, AND in the Land

Registry Office of Ottawa-Carleton No. 5 on March 5, 1984, as Number NS 231280.

٠

۰.

•



# Schedule "A"

ALL AND SINGULAR THAT certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate, lying and being in the Township of Goulbourn, formerly in the Old Township of Goulbourn, in the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton AND BEING COMPOSED OF Part of the East half of Lot 26 and part of the West half of Lot 27 in Concession 12 of the said Township and which said parcel or tract of land may be more particularly described as follows:

PREMISING that all bearings mentioned herein are astronomic and referred

t

to the northwesterly limit of Highway #15 as widened across the said East half of Lot 26 and the West half of Lot 27, and shown to be North 48 degrees 15 minutes 10 seconds East on a plan registered in the Registry Office for the Registry Division of Ottawa-Carleton (No. 5) as Instrument No. 10069.

COMMENCING at an iron bar marking the intersection of the established division line between the East and West halves of Lot 26 and the northwesterly limit of Highway #15 as widened by said Plan No. 10069, the said point of commencement being distant 16.49 feet measured on a bearing North 40 degrees 36 minutes 50 seconds West from the most southerly corner of the East half of Lot 26;

THENCE on a bearing of North 48 degrees 15 minutes 10 seconds East along the said northwesterly limit of Highway #15 as widened, a distance of 20 feet to the most southerly corner of the lands described in Instrument No. 35674 registered in the said Registry Office.

THENCE on a bearing of North 42 degrees 02 minutes 50 seconds West parallel to the said established division line between the East and West halves of Lot 26, a distance of 252.10 feet to an iron bar distant 20 feet southeasterly from an old log fence, the said iron bar defining the most westerly corner of the said lands described in Instrument No. 35674;

THENCE on a bearing of North 45 degrees, 37 minutes 40 seconds East and parallel to the said old log fence, a distance of 389.5 feet to an iron bar marking the most northerly corner of the said lands described in Instrument No. 35674;

THENCE on a bearing of South 52 degrees 16 minutes 50 seconds East a distance of 274.5 feet to an iron bar marking the most easterly limit of the said lands described in Instrument No. 35674, the said iron bar being on the northwesterly

•

1

の真

2.21

· ···

÷.

( - D)

limit of Highway #15 as widened by the said Plan No. 10069 and distant 457.8 feet measured along the said northwesterly limit on a bearing of North 48 degrees 15 minutes 10 seconds East from the point of commencement;

-2-

÷. -

THENCE on a bearing of North 48 degrees 15 minutes 10 seconds East and along the said northwesterly limit of Highway #15 as widened a distance

of 1533.73 feet to a point distant 20 feet measured on a bearing of South 48 degrees 15 minutes 10 seconds west from the established division line between the East and West halves of Lot 27, the said point being the most southerly corner of the lands described as "Secondly" in Instrument No. 11372 registered in the aforesaid Registry Office;

THENCE Northwesterly and parallel to the southwesterly limit of the said Lot 27 and along the southwesterly limit of the said lands described in Instrument No. 11372, a distance of 979.6 feet, more or less, to an old fence defining the southeasterly limit of the lands described as "firstly" in said Instrument No. 11372:

THENCE Southwesterly and parallel to the Southerly limit of said Lot 27 and along the said old fence defining the southeasterly limit of the lands described in Instrument Nos. 11372 and 14393, a distance of 970 feet more or less, to the established division line between Lots 26 and 27;

THENCE northwesterly along the said established division line between Lots 26 and 27, a distance of 1993.2 feet more or less, as per Instrument

No. 14393, to the northwesterly limit of the said lots;

THENCE Southwesterly along the northwesterly limit of said Lot 26, a distance of 996 feet more or less, to the aforesaid established division line between the East and West halves of Lot 26;

THENCE southeasterly and along the said established division line between the East and West halves of Lot 26 a distance of 2942.8 feet more or less to the point of commencement.

The above described lands are intended to be the same lands described in Instrument Nos. 11906 and 12569, registered in the said Registry Office.

•





# LANDS OWNED BY THE TOWNSHIP

The street, namely: Joseph Circle on Plan 4M-433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa being the whole of Parcel Street-1 in the Register for Section 4M-433.

# LANDS OVER WHICH THE TOWNSHIP HAS AN EASEMENT FOR STORM SEWERS AND DRAINAGE WORKS

Part of Lot 4 on Plan 4M-\_433 \_ registered in (1) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 1 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 4-1 in the

Register for Section 4M- 433 .

- Part of Lot 5 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (2) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 22 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-<u>4527</u> being part of Parcel 5-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433 .
  - Part of Lot 6 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (3) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 23 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-<u>4527</u> being part of Parcel 6-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

ţ

### . . . .

.

# SCHEDULE "B" cont'd

# B-2

- (4) Part of Lot 9 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 2 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 9-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.
- (5) Part of Lot 10 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in

the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 3on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 10-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433 .

- (6) Part of Lot 11 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 4 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 11-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.
- (7) Part of Lot 12 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 5 on a plan of survey of

record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 12-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

- (8) Part of Lot 13 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 6 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 13-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433 .
- (9) Part of Lot 14 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 7 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 14-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433

-7

٦.

SCHEDULE "B" cont'd B-3 Part of Lot 15 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (10)the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 8 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 15-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

Part of Lot 16 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (11)

> the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 9 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 16-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

- Part of Lot 17 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (12) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 10 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 17-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433 .
- Part of Lot 18 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (13) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 11 on a plan of survey of

record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 18-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

- Part of Lot 19 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (14) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 12 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 19-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433 .
- Part of Lot 20 on Plan 4M-433 registered in (15) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 13 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 20-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433

• 6. 2

٠.

-,=

5 A.

	SCHEDULE "B" cont'd B-4
16)	Part of Lot 21 on Plan $4M-\underline{433}$ registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 14 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan $4R-\underline{4527}$ being part of Parcel 21-1 in the Register for Section $4M-\underline{433}$ .

- (17) Part of Lot 22 on Plan 4M-433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 15 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 22-1 in the Register for Section 4M-433.
- (18) Part of Lot 23 on Plan 4M-433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 16 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 23-1 in the Register for Section 4M-433.
- (19) Part of Lot 24 on Plan 4M-<u>433</u> registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa

designated as Part 17 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan  $4R-\underline{4527}$  being part of Parcel 24-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

- (20) Part of Lot 25 on Plan 4M-<u>433</u> registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 18 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-<u>4527</u> being part of Parcel 25-1 in the Register for Section 4M-<u>433</u>.
- (21) Part of Lot 26 on Plan 4M-<u>433</u> registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 19 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-<u>4527</u> being part of Parcel 26-1 in the

# Register for Section 4M- 433.

a second second

# SCHEDULE "B" cont'd

246082 B-5

٢,

Part of Lot 27 on Plan 4M- 433 registered in (22) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 20 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 27-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

- (23) Part of Lot 28 on Plan 4M-433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 21 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 28-1 in the Register for Section 4M-433.
- Part of Lot 29 on Plan 4M-<u>433</u> registered in (24) the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa designated as Part 24 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R- 4527 being part of Parcel 29-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433 .
- (25) Part of Block 36 on Plan 4M-433 registered in the Land Registry Office No. 4 for the Land Titles Division of Ottawa-Carleton at Ottawa

designated as Part 25 on a plan of survey of record deposited in the said office as Plan 4R-4527 being part of Parcel 36-1 in the Register for Section 4M- 433.

· 1

23

····

	· · ·	·
Listionery Co. Lid _0821 wd.Oct. /81	Form 1 Land Transfer Tax Act	24608 SEFER to All Instructions
- IN THE MATTER OF THE CONVE CONCession BY (print names of all transferors in	YANCE OF (insert brief description of land) Part ( 2, Township of Goulbourn (ull) Z. MICHAEL TERKUC and ANGE	of Lots 26 and 27, LA TERKUC
TO (see instruction 1 and print name GOULBOURN I, (see instruction 2 and print name(	es of all transferees in full) THE CORPORATION	
MAKE OATH AND SAY THAT: 1. I am (place a clear mark within (see instruction 2) (a) A person in trust for w	the square opposite that one of the following paragraph	s that describes the capacity of the deponent(s)); yance is being conveyed;

	<ul> <li>(c) A transferez named in the above - described conveyance;</li> <li>(d) The authorized agent or solicitor acting in this transaction for . THE GOULBOURN</li> </ul>	CORPORATION. OF THE (insert r	TOWNSHIP OF
	described in paragraph(s) (a), (b), (c) above; <i>(strike out references to</i> (e) The President, Vice-President, Manager, Secretary, Director, or Treasu	inapplicable paragraphs) urer authorized to act for (insert merri	e(s) of corporation(s))
	<ul> <li>described in paragraph(s) (a), (b), (c) above. (strike out references to</li> <li>(f) A transferee described in paragraph (</li> <li>) (insert only one of paragraph (</li> <li>this affidavit on my own behalf and on behalf of</li></ul>	inapplicable paragraphs) graph (a), (b) or (c) above, as applica	ble) and am making
	who is my spouse described in paragraph ( ). (insert only one of paragraph ( ). (insert only one of paragraph and as such, I have personal knowledge of the facts herein deposed to.	ragraph (a), (b) or (c) above, as applie	cable)
2.	I have read and considered the definitions of "non-resident corporation" and 1 (1)(f) and (g) of the Act. <i>[see Instruction 3</i> )	d "non-resident person" set out resp	ectively in clauses $\frac{1}{2}$
3.	The following persons to whom or in trust for whom the land conveyed in the resident persons within the meaning of the Act. (see instruction 4). $nil$ .	e above-described conveyance is bein	g conveyed are non-
4.	THE TOTAL CONSIDERATION FOR THIS TRANSACTION IS ALLOCATE         (a) Monies paid or to be paid in cash         (b) Mortgages (i) Assumed (show principal and interest to be credited against purchase price)         (ii) Given back to vendor         (c) Property transferred in exchange (detail below)         (d) Securities transferred to the value of (detail below)         (e) Liens, legacies, annuities and maintenance charges to which transfer is subject         (f) Other valuable consideration subject to land transfer tax	ED AS FOLLOWS: \$ .1.00 \$ .nil \$ .nil \$ .nil \$ .nil \$ .nil \$ .nil nil nil	ALL BLANKS MUST BE FILLED IN.

		(g) VALUE OF LAND, BUILDING, FIXTURES AND GOODWILL SUBJECT \$ 1.00 \$ 1.00	"NIL"
		(h) VALUE OF ALL CHATTELS - items of tangible personal property (Retail Sales Tax is payable on the value of all chattels unless exempt under the provisions of the Retail Sales Tax Act, R.S.O. 1980, c.454, as amended)	ABLE
		(i) Other consideration for transaction not included in (g) or (h) above $s \frac{n11}{1.00}$	
	5.	If consideration is nominal, describe relationship between transferor and transferee and state purpose of conveyance. (see instruct 5) Transfer. to. a municipality. for storm sewers and drainage works	tion 
j	6. 7.	If the consideration is nominal, is the land subject to any encumbrance? nil Other remarks and explanations, if necessary	• • • • • •
		SWORN before me at the City of Ottawa Regional Municipality of in the Ottawa-Carleton this 28 day of June (YNN)(B) SET Contentission for this 28 day of June (YNN)(B) SET Contentission for for taking Affidavits, Judicial District of Ottawa - Carlston, for Bell, Baker, A Commissioner for taking Affidavits Carlston, for Bell, Baker, A Commissioner for taking Affidavits Carlston for Bell, Baker,	
	A, 8.	Describe navore of instament Grant of Easement (i) Address of property being conveyed (il available) . NOL . available.	 
	<b>C</b> .	(ii) Assessment Roll * (il available) not. available Mailing address(es) for future Notices of Assessment under the Assessment Act for property being conveyed (see instruction 6). BOX 189, Stittsville, Ontario.	
	D.	(i) Registration number for last conveyance of property being conveyed fit available). DOL: a vailable	• • • • • •



**SUNIFAX 1983** 

30 Production Drive Scarborough, Ont. M1H 2X9

÷

# Affidauit - Planning Act

Term 1 130 Affidavit, Planning Act 246082 I and Tables Act and Registry Act Revised Nov., 1982

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLANNING ACT (as amended)

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE TITLE TO Part, of Lots 26 and 27, Concession 12, Township of Goulbourn

Deed, Mortgage, AND IN THE MATTER OF A Grant of Easement Discharge of Mortgage, AND DOOD POOL 2 MICHARING TERMINE

Lease, Transfer, Charge, etc.	THEREOF, FROM Z. MICHAEL LERKUC AND ANGELA LERKUC					
	TO THE CORPORATION OF THE	TOWNSHIP OF GOUL	BOURN			
	DATED	1984.				
			-			
	I, JUDITH M. OYEN		٩			
	of the City of	Ottawa	in the Regional			
	Municipality of Ottawa-Car	leton	· ·			
	MAKE OATH AND SAY AS FOLLO	WS:				
	1. I am the Solicitor for					
	the Grantee named in the above mentioned Instrument, and have knowledge of the matters hereinafter sworn.					
	2. A consent under section 49 of t Instrument is not required because	he Planning Act, as am	ended, in respect of the said			
Delete (a) if not applicable	OX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	TAXXXXAA KXX XXXXXXXXX (XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ĸĦĸĸĸĦĸĦĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸ ĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸ			

State other reason if any

1

• 1

.\*

No. No. and the second se

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

(b) The Grantor is a municipality exempt pursuant to Section 49(3)(c) of the Planning Act, 1983.



· · ·

•

•• 5

LYNN D. VEFTC: , A CCARLissiones for taking Attidavits, Judicial District of Ottawa - Carleton, for Bell, Baker, Barristers and Solicitors Expires October 19, 1965

. .. . .**...** 

L. United

a example there are a second provide the second provides the second second second second second second second s

. .. . .

π.

i

. . -

•

.

•

# 1984

and TERKUC TERKUC

# THE BOURN С Ч -000 NOI

EASEMENT

citors

5.5

o

-5 Ċ,

-

ಾರಂ

5 **. K** 

<sub>о</sub> f

G



# Lynch, Amanda

Diamond, Emily (MOECC) <emily.diamond@ontario.ca></emily.diamond@ontario.ca>
Friday, February 05, 2016 9:41 AM
Lynch, Amanda
Moroz, Peter
RE: 5731 Hazeldean Road proposed development

Hi Amanda,

The option of going through with the Kanata Master Servicing Study would not require an Environmental Compliance Approval through the MOECC as the storm water would be discharging directly to the storm sewer.

As discussed, the MOECC has no objections to the option of treating storm water on-site and discharging to Poole Creek. An ECA would be required for this option as this would be direct discharge to a watercourse.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Thank you,

Emily Diamond

Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change

Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, Ontario, K1H 1E1 Tel: 613-521-3450 ext 238 Fax: 613-521-5437 e-mail: <u>emily.diamond@ontario.ca</u>

From: Lynch, Amanda [mailto:Amanda.Lynch@stantec.com]
Sent: February-02-16 3:13 PM
To: Diamond, Emily (MOECC)
Cc: Moroz, Peter
Subject: 5731 Hazeldean Road proposed development

Hi Emily,

Thank you again for meeting with us today. I am sending this email for record of confirmation of our preconsultation meeting with you regarding the proposed development at 5731 Hazeldean Road.

We discussed that the Kanata Master Servicing Study includes the subject site as connected to the storm sewer in Huntmar Road and discharging to the future Pond 5. Due to timing of development and construction of Pond 5 the site would likely need to connect to the Fairwinds interim pond in the interim condition. You confirmed that in this case an ECA would not be required since the subject site would discharge to a storm sewer. We also discussed the option to provide on-site quality and quantity control and discharge directly to Poole Creek in order to reduce infrastructure and cost sharing costs and invest in low-impact development measures on-site instead. You confirmed for us that this option would require a direct sumbmission to the MOECC for a stormwater management ECA as it would we discharging to a watercourse. Additionally, we asked if the MOECC had any other concerns with regards to the option to discharge to Poole Creek and we were told only that it is noted that Poole Creek supports cool water fish and measures to mitigate temperature impacts should be implemented. We noted that the MVCA had previously indicated this to us and mitigating measures would be incorporated into the design.

In summary, the MOECC had no objections to the option to provide on-site quality and quantity control and discharge to Poole Creek, and confirmed that an ECA would be required for this servicing option.

Could you please reply to this email with your confirmation of this pre-consultation meeting and the ECA requirements for the 5731 Hazeldean Road site.

Thank you,

Amanda Lynch, P.Eng., ENV SP

Water Resources Engineer

Stantec

Phone: (613) 784-2202 Fax: (613) 722-2799

Amanda.Lynch@stantec.com

Design with community in mind

### stantec.com

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.



To:	Nadege Balima, P.Eng.	From:	Amanda Lynch, P.Eng.
	Project Manager Infrastructure Approvals City of Ottawa		Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting
File:	160401195	Date:	January 30, 2017

### Reference: 5731 Hazeldean Road – LID Monitoring Plan - DRAFT

The following is submitted as a draft monitoring plan for the SWM measures proposed at 5731 Hazeldean Road. This memorandum is to be read in conjunction with the site design report Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief- 5731 Hazeldean Road, Stantec, September 9, 2016 and its supporting documents including the site LID design report.

The proposed development site is located in the Kanata West area and at a minimum should follow the monitoring requirements outlined in the Kanata West Overall Monitoring Plan (City of Ottawa 2012 see attached excerpt). Due to the unique nature of the proposed site SWM these monitoring requirements have been expanded for this site to include pre-construction and construction monitoring in addition to the post-construction criteria. In order to ensure the stormwater infrastructure is functioning as designed, the following maintenance and monitoring is recommended for the site;

- Annual inspection and maintenance of the catchbasins, catchbasin manholes, and area drains.
- Annual inspection of any sediment accumulation within the infiltration gallery and bioswale which may require removal to promote the overall function of the bioswale/infiltration gallery over time.

The proponent will be responsible for the monitoring and inspection for the duration of the monitoring program as well as the long-term operation and maintenance of the LID measures, catchbasins, catchbasin manhole, and area drains.

The recommended monitoring plan includes:

- Pre-Construction Monitoring;
- During Construction Monitoring; and
- Post-Construction Monitoring.





# MONITORING OBJECTIVES AND TARGETS

The primary objective of the monitoring program is to confirm that the constructed SWM and LID measures are meeting the design performance levels which include both water quantity and quality objectives. The secondary objective of the program is to provide routine inspections to assess maintenance requirements. The following section outlines the proposed monitoring targets for the site.

Poole Creek is designated as cool water fish habitat therefore creek temperatures must remain around 19-25°C (per Fisheries and Oceans Canada, *Fish Habitat Primer*, 2008). Continuous temperature monitoring at the site discharge and instream must demonstrate that the site construction activities and post-construction development are not impacting the temperatures in the creek.

Turbidity testing will need to be completed downstream of the site outlet during construction and should show no more than 5NTU increase in turbidity above background levels (upstream levels in the creek).

TSS sampling will be completed before and after construction. Post-construction monitoring should confirm the 80% TSS removal criterion is met by the site controls.

Water level monitoring is to be used to assess actual storage requirements and discharge rates. Facilities constructed and operated as designed should have results confirming that design discharges are generally not exceeded.

# MONITORING PLANS

### MONITORING LOCATIONS

Adequate monitoring of the overall system will require data collection from various locations. A plan identifying each of the locations is attached as Figure 1.0. The **Table 1** below provides a description of each of these locations and at which monitoring stages they will need to be included.

Location ID	Description	Pre-construction		Construction		Post-Construction	
		Quality	Quantity/Flow	Quality	Quantity/Flow	Quality	Quantity/Flow
1	Upstream of outlet in Poole Creek	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2	Downstream of outlet in Poole Creek	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3	Upstream of OGS (at STM104)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Y	Y

Table 1: Summary of Monitoring Locations





Location ID	Description	Pre-c	construction	Co	nstruction	Post-0	Construction
4	Downstream of OGS (at STM101)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Y	Y
5	Infiltration Gallery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ν	Y
6a & 6b	Rainwater Harvesting Tank	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ν	Y
7	Bioswale (at monitoring port)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ν	Y

### **PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING**

On-site inspections by an inspector, Certified Arborist, or qualified biologist are recommended to ensure the proper installation of:

- Sediment and erosion control measures.
- Tree protection measures.

To confirm seasonal groundwater levels for infiltration measures and provide a basis of comparison for post-construction conditions, the pre-construction monitoring for this site should also consist of seasonal water level monitoring of the on-site monitoring wells and piezometers from winter 2017 to Fall 2017.

Table 2 shows the recommended pre-construction monitoring activities and how they will apply to this site.





### Table 2: Recommended Pre-Construction Monitoring

Parameter	Location	Method	Frequency
Water Quality (TSS, TP, temperature)	Upstream and downstream of proposed discharge location	Grab samples – quality Continuous logger -	Quality – monthly following minimum 10mm rainfall event
		temperature	Temperature - Continuous
Groundwater	Level- across site	Levels – manual measurement	Levels- Monthly
	Quality- at upstream and downstream limits	Quality – grab sample	Quality- annual
Vegetative units and buffers	Across site and adjacent natural features and/or perimeter lands	Visual observation	Seasonally

### DURING CONSTRUCTION MONITORING

In addition to an environmental inspector, the following is recommended during construction:

- Pruning of any limbs or roots disrobed during construction by a Certified Arborist;
- Maintenance of vegetated setbacks from creek;
- Fueling of machinery to be done at designated locations away from the Core Environmental Features and their associated buffer;
- Storage of machinery and material, fill, etc. to be done in designated areas away form the Core Environmental Features and their associated buffer;
- Equipment movement through natural areas and associated buffers to be controlled;
- Nest searches, if construction during the breeding bird season (May 1 to July 31); and
- Regular inspection and maintenance of the catchbasins, catchbasin manholes, area drains, and culvert.

**Table 3** shows the recommended during-construction monitoring activities and how they will apply to this site.





### Table 3: Recommended During-Construction Monitoring

Parameter	Location	Method	Frequency
Water Quality (Turbidity temperature)	Upstream and downstream of proposed discharge location	Grab samples/in-situ testing – quality	Quality – following all events >10mm or during all construction dewatering activities
		Continuous logger - temperature	Temperature - Continuous
Groundwater	Level- across site	Levels – manual measurement	Levels- monthly
	Quality- at upstream and downstream limits	Quality – grab sample	Quality- annual
Vegetative units and buffers	Across site and adjacent natural features and/or perimeter lands	Visual observation	Seasonal
E&SC measures	At outlets from sedimentation facilities	Turbidity testing – quality Continuous logger - temperature	Minimum monthly or following all runoff events, continuously for Temperature
Detailed buffer observations	Any vegetated area identified for protection	Visual observation	Seasonal

### **POST-CONSTUCTION MONITORING**

The post-construction monitoring program is recommended to be phased into two periods as follows:

- Stage 1 years 1 to 3: frequent monitoring and inspection following significant rainfall events >10mm or at least 4 times per year from May to October (inclusive)
- Stage 2 after year 3: annual monitoring and inspection in the spring to identify any maintenance needed as a result of winter weather/operations.

Monitoring during stage 1 will be required to provide sufficient evidence of compliant performance of the LID features as required by the City of Ottawa for LID Pilot Project sites. Monitoring during stage 2 will be required to ensure the system continues to operate properly and is in compliance with criteria outlined in the MOECC ECA.

**Table 4** and **Table 5** shows the recommended post-construction monitoring activities and how they will apply to this site. It is noted that all water quality sampling and temperature monitoring will be completed upstream of the outfall to Poole Creek in order to collect samples and data that is not influenced by discharge from the CMHC site discharging at the same outlet to Poole Creek.





Parameter	Location	Method	Frequency
Water Quality in Poole Creek (TSS, TP, temperature)	Upstream and downstream of proposed discharge location	Grab samples – quality Continuous logger - temperature	Quality – Monthly following rainfall event >10mm Temperature - Continuous
Groundwater	Level- across site Quality- at upstream and downstream limits	Levels – manual measurement Quality – grab sample	Levels- seasonal Quality- annual
Vegetative units and buffers	Across site and adjacent natural features and/or perimeter lands	Visual observation	Seasonal
Quality Control Performance of SWM systems (at- source, conveyance, and end-of-pipe facilities	Water quality (TSS and TP) upstream and downstream of water quality unit. Water temperature before property line.	Grab samples – quality	Once per year following significant rainfall event (25 mm) Once per month following rainfall event >10mm from May 1st to Oct 31st
		Continuous logger - temperature	Continuous for temperature
Quantity Control Performance of SWM systems (at- source, conveyance, and end-of-pipe facilities	On-site SWM systems	Continuous water level monitoring	Continuous water level monitoring of RWH Tank, infiltration gallery and bioswale
Detailed buffer observations	Any vegetated area identified for protection	Visual inspection	Seasonal

# Table 4: Recommended Post-Construction Monitoring Years 1-3



January 30, 2017 Nadege Balima, P.Eng. Page 7 of 11

Reference: 5731 Hazeldean Road – LID Monitoring Plan - DRAFT

### Table 5: Recommended Post-Construction Monitoring after Year 3

Parameter	Location	Method	Frequency
Water Quality in Poole Creek (TSS, TP)	Upstream and downstream of proposed discharge location	Grab samples – quality	Quality – twice per year following rainfall event >10mm
Groundwater	Level- across site Quality- at upstream and downstream limits	Levels – manual measurement Quality – arab sample	Levels- seasonal Quality- annual
Vegetative units and buffers	Across site and adjacent natural features and/or perimeter lands	Visual observation	Seasonal
Quality Control Performance of SWM systems (at- source, conveyance, and end-of-pipe facilities	Water quality (TSS and TP) upstream and downstream of water quality unit.	Grab samples – quality	Twice per year following rainfall event >10mm from May 1st to Oct 31st
Quantity Control Performance of SWM systems (at- source, conveyance, and end-of-pipe facilities	On-site SWM systems	Inspection and manual measurement	Twice per year following rainfall event >10mm
Detailed buffer observations	Any vegetated area identified for protection	Visual inspection	Seasonal

# **POST-CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE**

In addition to monitoring of the LID features, regular or routine maintenance will be required. It is anticipated that inspection of each of the facilities would be completed in conjunction with appropriate monitoring events however, separate visits may be needed to complete the necessary maintenance. The following section outlines routine maintenance that should be completed for each of the LID features.



January 30, 2017 Nadege Balima, P.Eng. Page 8 of 11

### Reference: 5731 Hazeldean Road – LID Monitoring Plan - DRAFT

### **RAINWATER HARVESTING TANK**

The rainwater Harvesting system consists of two 167m<sup>3</sup> storage tanks which collect runoff from the tributary roof tops. The tanks provide storage of runoff to be used for irrigation of the site landscaped areas. An overflow pipe is provided from each of the tanks that connects to the site storm sewers and allow runoff volume greater than the available tank storage to drain to the storm sewer. During months when irrigation is not required, the proposed system will be switched to allow the irrigation pump to discharge to the storm sewer to ensure the tank does not sit full.

Routine inspection and maintenance activities for the proposed rainwater harvesting tank should include the activities listed in **Table 6** below.

Activity	Frequency Years 1-3	Frequency After Year 3
Manual measurement of water level in tank	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season Two visits during irrigation season	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season
Manual measurement of any sediment accumulation in the tank	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season Two visits during irrigation season	One inspection mid-irrigation season One inspection at end of irrigation season
cleanout if sediment depth exceeds 100mm. Sediment should be removed via a vacuum truck following drawdown of the tank	As needed	As needed
Review of water level data to ensure that high/low floats triggering the pumps are operating properly	Twice during irrigation season	Not applicable no water level monitoring

Table 6: Rainwater Harvestir	Post-construction Maintenance	and Operation Activities
------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------

Routine operational requirements:

- At the end of the irrigation season: The valves controlling the feed to the irrigation system should be closed and the valve for the storm sewer should be opened



January 30, 2017 Nadege Balima, P.Eng. Page 9 of 11

### Reference: 5731 Hazeldean Road – LID Monitoring Plan - DRAFT

- At the start of the irrigation season: The valves controlling the feed to the irrigation system should be opened and the valve for the storm sewer should be closed

### BIOSWALE

The proposed bioswale is located along the northeastern property line and has an area of approximately 190m<sup>2</sup>. The swale consists of a 300mm amended soil layer above a 700mm thick clear stone trench. A 250mm perforated subdrain is located at the top of the trench layer to provide drainage of the system in larger rainfall events.

Routine inspection and maintenance activities for the proposed bioswale should include the activities listed in **Table 7** below.

Activity	Frequency Years 1-3	Frequency After Year 3
Frequent watering of planted vegetation following initial planting to ensure vegetation is well established.	As needed in year 1 depending on precipitation	As needed in drought years
Removal of any trash build up in bioswale.	Once in spring, summer and fall	Once in spring, summer and fall
Inspection of bioswale for sediment build-up and excess water ponding	Once per month following rainfall event >10mm	Once in spring, summer, and fall following a rainfall event >10mm
Removal, disposal and replacement of mulch layer	Recommended every two years or as inspection requires if sediment accumulation is observed.	Recommended every two years or as inspection requires if sediment accumulation is observed.

### Table 7: Bioswale Post-construction Maintenance Activities

### INFILTRATION GALLERY

The proposed infiltration gallery consists of Stormtech MC-4500 prefabricated storage cells that increase the storage volume available in the system foot print. The units are backfilled with clearstone to provide additional storage volume. The total system storage is 592m<sup>3</sup> with footprint area of 474m<sup>2</sup>. The proposed system is located below the parking lot near the northern corner of the site.

Routine inspection and maintenance activities for the proposed infiltration gallery should include the activities listed in **Table 8** below.



January 30, 2017 Nadege Balima, P.Eng. Page 10 of 11

### Reference: 5731 Hazeldean Road – LID Monitoring Plan - DRAFT

### Table 8: Infiltration Gallery Post-construction Maintenance Activities

Activity	Frequency Years 1-3	Frequency After Year 3
Manual measurement of water level in tank	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season
	Two visits during irrigation season	
Manual measurement of any sediment accumulation in the tank	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season	One inspection mid- irrigation season
	Two visits during irrigation season	One inspection at end of irrigation season
cleanout if sediment depth exceeds 100mm. Sediment should be removed via a vacuum truck following drawdown of the tank	As needed	As needed

### OIL GRIT SEPARATOR

The proposed oil/grit separator is a StormCeptor STC3000 and is sized to provide 80% TSS removal for the site. The unit is located below the drive isle immediately upstream of the infiltration gallery.

Routine inspection and maintenance activities for the proposed oil/grit separator should include the activities listed in **Table 9** below.



January 30, 2017 Nadege Balima, P.Eng. Page 11 of 11

### Reference: 5731 Hazeldean Road – LID Monitoring Plan - DRAFT

### Table 9: Infiltration Gallery Post-construction Maintenance Activities

Activity	Frequency Years 1-3	Frequency After Year 3
Manual measurement of water level in tank	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season
	Two visits during irrigation season	
Manual measurement of any sediment accumulation in the unit	Seasonal inspection at start and end of irrigation season	One inspection mid- irrigation season
	Two visits during irrigation season	One inspection at end of irrigation season
cleanout if sediment depth exceeds 250mm. Sediment should be removed via a vacuum truck following drawdown of the tank	As needed	As needed

### ANNUAL REPORTING

Annual reports will be prepared in accordance with the *Implementation Plan Kanata West Development Area*; and submitted to the City of Ottawa and the MOECC. Reports will summarize monitoring activities, maintenance requirements or recommendations as necessary, and overall system performance.

### STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Amanda Lynch, P.Eng. Water Resources Engineer Phone: (613) 784-2202 Fax: (613) 722-2799 Amanda.Lynch@stantec.com

Attachments: Kanata West Overall Monitoring Plan, Monitoring Location Plan



### **Stormwater Management Monitoring**

The City provides on-going monitoring of stormwater management facilities for suspended solids, phosphorous, and temperature (if required by the classification of the receiver). Visual inspections of SWM facilities occur after each large event (15 mm or greater) and debris is removed as necessary to ensure proper functioning of the facility. Routine inspections of inlets/outlets and the depth of sediment are carried out at the same time.

Construction inspection staff regularly checks these facilities prior to the City assuming the facilities after 80% build-out and identify any remedial measures required to be implemented by the developer to ensure the protection of the receiving stream. An operation and maintenance manual must be prepared and provided to the City prior to assumption of the facility.

The following represents a standardized SWM monitoring program for the Kanata West Area:

# **Monitoring Program:**

- 1. The stormwater monitoring program shall be implemented for a minimum of two years and shall continue to be implemented until such time that the MOE's Ottawa District Office provides written notice that the program may be discontinued;
- 2. Monitoring will be provided during the period between May 1<sup>st</sup> and October 31<sup>st</sup> for water quality and water level as well as general performance. Water quality would be established for both baseflow and rainfall event conditions;
- Routine operational inspections would be conducted over the life of the facility, to confirm: general site conditions (erosion/landscaping); ensure monitoring equipment is functioning appropriately; and that orifices and weirs are not clogged with debris. Routine cleaning of any blockages would be done during the inspections, if required;
- 4. Pond water levels would be monitored to determine drawdown characteristics of the facility (typically 24 48 hours). No flow monitoring is proposed in this program;
- Water quality samples would consist of composite samples (collected utilizing automated equipment or by grab sampling) taken at the facility inlet and outlet during/after specified rainfall events. The routine inspection would typically be conducted coincident with sample collection activities;
- 6. Water quality parameters would include the Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Total Phosphorous (TP) and Water Temperature (spot measurement);
- 7. The sample collection, preservation, handling and analytical methods including detection limits, would be documented. Sample analysis to be conducted per Standard Methods or approved equivalent at a CALA certified laboratory. Field and lab QA/QC procedures would reflect standard sampling protocols (i.e. samples delivered within 12 hours to lab following collection);

- 8. Provide monitoring (sampling, water levels) during the following events over a two year period to ensure that the facility is performing as designed:
- Two small rainfall events (less than 7mm)
- Two medium rainfall events (7-15mm)
- Three large rainfall events (greater than 15mm)

9. The requirement is for a minimum of the 7 noted events of the size specified. Monitoring activities would typically capture a number of other events during the process, as the amount of rainfall from an event is not predictable in advance. All events that are monitored/sampled, are to be reported in the annual reports. The sampling of all the events within a one year period, although possible, is not acceptable as the intent is a two year program;

- 10. Rainfall measurements should be obtained from the nearest available City of Ottawa raingauge(s) to the facility;
- 11. A topographic/bathymetric survey should be undertaken after 3-5 years of operation to determine sediment deposition and sediment deposition rates. This would allow for more concise forecast of forebay cleanout frequency; either confirming or revising the frequency in final SWM report.

### **Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report**

The Annual Stormwater monitoring report shall be prepared and submitted to the District Manager, Ottawa District Office of MOE within six months following the end of the monitoring period. The Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report shall also be provided to the City of Ottawa's Planning and Growth Management Department so that the City can review and include results in its annual Overall Monitoring Report in accordance with the *Implementation Plan Kanata West Development Area*; the Report is to contain the following:

- 1. A description of the physical works, its location, and how it is designed to function;
- 2. A tabulation, interpretation and summary of all monitoring data with an assessment of the performance of the facility based on TSS removal including comparison of the water quality data with applicable criteria such as the Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO's);
- An evaluation of the pond's performance and its ability to meet the design performance criteria of 70% TSS removal (during the monitoring period) and ability to achieve an appropriate draw down time (24 – 48 hours);
- 4. A description of any operating problems encountered and corrective actions taken during the reporting period and the need for further investigation in the following reporting period for pond refinements or ways of improving the performance of the facility to meet the performance target;
- 5. Any need for modifications of the monitoring program and/or the work plan;
- 6. A summary of any complaints received during the reporting period and any steps taken to address the complaints;

- 7. Appendices of inspection logs and facility photos;
- 8. Any other information that is deemed to have been obtained by the Owner pursuant to the requirements of the Certificate of Approval that the MOE District Manager requires for inclusion in the reports.