



# SERVICING BRIEF & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

1243 Teron Road  
Ottawa, Ontario

Report No. 19057

February 13, 2020  
Revised May 11, 2020  
Revised July 13, 2020  
Revised September 1, 2020



*Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains*

700 Long Point Circle  
Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

613-425-8044  
d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

# SERVICING BRIEF & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

1243 Teron Road  
Ottawa, Ontario

This report describes the services and addresses the stormwater management requirements of a 2.20 hectare (21,994 sq.m.) property that will be severed from a property with the municipal address of 1243 Teron Road in Kanata, Ottawa. The property includes an easement immediately adjacent to the northwest property line that varies in width from 8 to 10 and is 2,183 sq.m. in area. The easement will remain undeveloped and, therefore, for the purposes of the calculations within this report the area of the easement is ignored and the net area of 1.98 hectares (19,811 sq.m.) is used. The property is currently vacant A 9,281 sq.m. one-storey office / warehouse building is proposed.

This report forms part of the stormwater management design for the proposed development. Refer to drawing C-1 to C-6 also prepared by D. B. Gray Engineering Inc.

## WATER SUPPLY FOR FIREFIGHTING:

There are two existing fire hydrants in the municipal road right-of-way located about 16 m west and 77 m east of the proposed entrance. The proposed building will have a sprinkler system. An on-site private fire hydrant is proposed to be located approximately 23 m unobstructed distance to the proposed fire department connection, less than the required minimum 45 m. The on-site hydrant will connect to a proposed private 200mm watermain which will connect to an existing 300 mm municipal watermain in Teron Road.

A fire flow of 233.3 L/s (14,000 L/min) is required, as calculated as per the Fire Underwriter Survey "Water Supply For Fire Protection".

The boundary conditions for the 233.3 L/s fire flow (based on the city's computer model of the municipal water distribution system) were received from the City. They include a HGL (hydraulic grade line) of 121.50 m during the above flow rate in the 300mm municipal watermain at the subject location which calculates to be 380 kPa (56 psi). Since the pressure is above 138 kPa (20 psi) there is an adequate water supply for firefighting from the existing municipal water distribution system.

A model was created using EPANET software to analyze the hydraulics of the proposed 200mm private watermain serving the proposed on-site fire hydrant. Using the 121.5 m HGL boundary condition and using a 137 L/s flowrate (95 L/s to the new on-site fire hydrant, 38 L/s to the proposed sprinkler system and a maximum daily demand of 4.0 L/s) the pressure at the hydrant was determined to be 213 kPa (30.9 psi). Since the pressure is above 138 kPa (20 psi), the private watermain is adequately sized.

As per City of Ottawa Tech Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, the aggregate fire flow of all contributing fire hydrants within 150 m of the building can be used to supply the required fire flow. The private on-site hydrant will be a Class AA contributing 5,700 L/min (95 L/s) (as per Table 1 of ISTB-2018-02). That leaves 8,300 L/min to be supplied by the two existing municipal fire hydrants. They are Class AA hydrants; and since one is within 75 m, and the other is within 150 m of the building, they can contribute up to 5,700 L/min (95 L/s) and 3,800 L/min (63.3 L/s) respectively (as per Table 1). Therefore, the aggregate flow from all three hydrants is 15,200 L/min (253.3 L/s), which is greater than the required fire flow.

#### WATER SERVICE:

As previously mentioned the proposed building will have a sprinkler system. To service the sprinkler system, a 150 mm water service, connecting to the private 200mm watermain, is proposed. (Also as previously mentioned the private 200mm watermain will connect to an existing 300 mm municipal watermain in Teron Road.) The 150mm service will be adequate for the domestic demand.

Based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines the daily average consumption rate for a light industrial development is 35,000 litres per day per hectare. The maximum daily peaking factors is 1.5 of the daily average demand and maximum hourly peaking factor is 1.8 of the maximum daily demand. Based on this rate and peaking factors, and assuming an eight hour day, the maximum daily demand is calculated to be 2.7 L/s. Based on the peaking factors the maximum daily demand is 4.0 L/s and maximum hourly demand is 7.2 L/s.

To determine water pressure under these demands, boundary conditions, based on the City of Ottawa computer simulation of the water distribution system, at the subject location, are required. In summary, we requested the boundary conditions for the subject area based on the following:

- Average Daily Demand: 2.7 L/s.
- Maximum Daily Demand: 4.0 L/s.
- Maximum Hourly Demand: 7.2 L/s
- Fire Flow Demand: 233.3 L/s
- Maximum Daily + Fire Flow Demand: 237.3 L/s

Based on the boundary conditions received from the city, the minimum HGL (hydraulic grade line) is 126.9 m and the maximum is 130.7 m. With these HGLs the water pressure at the water meter is calculated to vary from 397 kPa to 435 kPa (58 to 63 psi). This is an acceptable range of water pressures in the municipal watermain for the proposed development.

## SANITARY SERVICE:

Based on the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines for a light industrial property (35,000 L/ha/day; 5.75 peaking factor (based on Appendix 4-B.1); and a 0.33 L/s/ha infiltration flow) the post development flow is calculated to be 5.82 L/s. This flow will be adequately handled by the proposed sanitary sewer service connection (200mm at 2.0% - 48.4 L/s capacity and 200mm at 0.32% - 19.4 L/s capacity), since at the design flow it will only be 12% to 30% full.

The proposed 200mm sanitary service will connect to an existing 200mm municipal sanitary sewer (at a proposed manhole) which, with a 0.41% slope, has a capacity of 21.9 L/s. The 5.82 L/s in sanitary flows contributing to the existing 200mm municipal sanitary sewer is expected to have an acceptable impact given its capacity.

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT:

### Water Quality:

In a letter dated April 15, 2020, the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) stated: *“Please provide the water quality treatment plan for the site development to achieve an enhanced level of WQ protection (80% TSS removal).”* An oil/grit separator (OGS) manhole is proposed to be located in stormwater detention area outlet culvert. An AquaShield Aqua-Swirl Concentrator model AS-6 was selected to achieve a minimum 80% TSS removal. Based on software supplied by the manufacturer, the Aqua-Swirl AS-2 will remove approximately 86% of TSS from the runoff produced by the drainage area. Output from the manufacturer’s software is attached to the report. The Aqua-Swirl model AS-6 has a sediment capacity of 1.8 cubic metres and an oil/debris capacity of 1476 litres.

An erosion and sediment control plan has been developed to be implemented during construction, (see drawing C-2 and notes 2.1 to 2.8 on drawing C-4). In summary: to filter out construction sediment; a silt fence barrier will be installed at the perimeter of the site where runoff will drain onto adjacent properties; a straw bale flow check will be installed at the outlet from the stormwater detention area; a sediment capture filter sock inserts will be installed in all new catch basins as they are installed; a geotextile fabric mud mats will be install at the point of egress onto public roads; and any material deposited on a public road will be removed at the end of each day.

### Water Quantity:

The stormwater quantity control measures detailed in this report are based on the following criteria: The post development release rate for the 5 and 100-year storm events shall be controlled to equal to or less than the flow produced by the pre-development (existing) conditions.

Calculations are based on the Rational Method. The runoff coefficients for the 100 year event are increased by 25% to maximum 1.00.

It is calculated that the pre-development conditions reflect a 5-year runoff coefficient of 0.20 and 0.25 for the 100-year. Using the Airport Formula for sheet flow, it is calculated that the existing time of concentration is 25 minutes for the 5-year event and 24 minutes for the 100-year. Using the Rational Method; the pre-development (existing) 5-year peak flow is 67.01 L/s and 148.30 L/s for the 100-year. Therefore the maximum allowable release rate is 67.01 L/s and 148.30 L/s for the 5 and 100-year respectively.

Stormwater will be stored within the development on the roof and on the surface in a stormwater detention (depressed grassed area) located in the front yard of the proposed development.

#### Drainage Area I

(Uncontrolled Flow Off Site – 1126 sq.m.):

The runoff from the perimeter of the site will be allowed to flow uncontrolled off the site. The flow from is calculated at 10 minutes concentration.

	100-year	5-year
Maximum flow rate:	13.97 L/s	6.52 L/s

#### Drainage Area II (Roof – 9,281 sq.m.):

All 42 roof drains will be a flow control type which will restrict the flow and cause the storm water to pond on the roof. The flow control type roof drain shall be installed with a parabolic shaped slotted weir (1 slot per weir drain at 0.0124 l/s per mm per slot - 5 USgpm per inch per slot): Watts roof drain with a Watts Accutrol Weir RD-100-A1 or equal. The roof drain will be installed at the low point of the roof which will be 150 mm lower than the perimeter of the roof. Thirty-two scuppers, each 740mm wide and installed 150 mm above the roof drains, are required as per the Ontario Building Code.

	100-year	5-year
The maximum release rate:	66.99 L/s	51.03 L/s
The maximum ponding depth:	129 mm	98 mm
The maximum stored volume:	306.75 cu.m.	135.57 cu.m.

#### Drainage Area III (9,404 sq.m.):

During five-year event an inlet control device (ICD) located in the inlet of the culvert for the stormwater detention area will control the release of stormwater from the property. During the one hundred-year event, in addition to the ICD, a retaining wall will act as a broad-crested weir will control the release of stormwater. The ICD and weir will restrict the flow and force the stormwater to back up into the detention area. The top of the lower section of the wall will be at the 100-year elevation and 26.0 m long and will release 70.34 L/s. The ICD shall be a plug style with a round orifice design (with the orifice located at the bottom of the plug) manufactured by Pedro Plastics (or approved equal manufactured by IPEX) and shall be sized by the manufacturer for a discharge rate of 3.98 L/s at 0.99 m head. It is calculated that an orifice area of 23,779 sq.mm.

(±174 mm diameter) and a discharge coefficient of 0.61 will restrict the outflow rate to 63.98 L/s at a head of 0.99 m. Based on this orifice the maximum outflow rate for the 1:5 year storm event is calculated to be 60.49 l/s at 0.89 m.

	100-year	5-year
The maximum ICD release rate:	63.98 L/s	60.49 L/s
The maximum weir release rate:	<u>70.34 L/s</u>	<u>0.00 L/s</u>
The maximum release rate:	134.32 L/s	60.49 L/s
The maximum ponding elevation:	81.84 m	81.73 m
The maximum ponding depth:	0.99 m	0.89 m
The maximum stored volume:	240.12 cu.m.	205.71 cu.m.

The Entire Site:

	100-year	5-year
Maximum allowable release rate:	148.30 L/s	67.01 L/s
Maximum release rate:	148.30 L/s	67.01 L/s
Maximum stored volume:	546.87 cu.m.	341.28 cu.m.

Therefore, the maximum post-development release rates for both the 5-year and 100-year storm events are equal to the maximum allowable.

Stormwater released through the ICD and weir will be conveyed off the site to the Teron Road roadside ditch. An existing culvert crossing Teron Road conveys the stormwater to the roadside ditch on the opposite side of the road where it appears to drain north to March Road. (A topographic survey has been ordered and is expected to confirm.)

The unrestricted flowrate resulting from one in five-year storm event will produce a peak flow of 162.9 L/s which will be adequately by the proposed storm sewer system with the last pipe segment (450mm at 0.31% - 165.6 L/s capacity) being at 98% of its capacity.

## CONCLUSIONS:

1. An on-site fire hydrant is proposed to be located approximately 23 m unobstructed distance to the proposed fire department connection, less than the required minimum 45 m.
2. There is an adequate water supply for firefighting from the municipal watermain.
3. The private watermain connecting to a proposed on-site fire hydrant is adequately sized during fire flow conditions.
4. The aggregate flow from the private on-site fire hydrant plus two municipal hydrants within 150 m of the building is greater than the required fire flow.
5. The proposed water service connection is adequately sized to serve the development.

6. There is an acceptable range of water pressures in the municipal watermain for the proposed development.
7. The expected sanitary sewage flow rate will be adequately handled by the existing sanitary sewer service connection.
8. The sanitary flow contributing to the existing municipal sanitary sewer is expected to have an acceptable impact.
9. The Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority's (MVCA's) criterion for water quality is a minimum 80% TSS removal. The proposed AquaShield Aqua-Swirl Concentrator model AS-6 oil/grit separator (OGS) manhole will remove approximately 86% of TSS from the runoff produced by the drainage area.
10. An erosion and sediment control plan has been developed to be implemented during construction.
11. The maximum post-development release rate for both the 5-year and 100-year storm event are equal to the maximum allowable. To achieve the maximum release rates the maximum required stored volume is 546.87 cu.m. for the 100-year event and is 341.28 cu.m. for the 5-year event.
12. The unrestricted flowrate resulting from one in five-year storm event will produce a peak flow that will be adequately handled by the proposed storm sewer system.

# D. B. GRAY ENGINEERING INC.

*Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains*

700 Long Point Circle  
Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

613-425-8044  
d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

14-Nov-19

1243 Teron Road  
Ottawa, Ontario

## Fire Flow Requirements

### Proposed 1 Storey Warehouse Building

Fire flow requirement as calculated as per Fire Underwriter Survey "Water Supply For Fire Protection".

$$F = 220 C A^{0.5} = \text{the required fire flow in litres per minute}$$

C = coefficient related to the type of construction  
= 0.8 Non-combustible Construction (unprotected structural components)

A = total floor area (all storeys excluding basements at least 50% below grade)

Proposed Building	Ground Floor	9281 sq.m.
	<b>TOTAL FIRE AREA:</b>	<b>9281 sq.m.</b>

$$F = 16,955 \text{ L/min}$$

$$= 17,000 \text{ L/min (rounded off to the nearest 1,000 L/min)}$$

15% Charge for Free-burning Occupancy

$$= 19,550 \text{ L/min}$$

40% Reduction for Sprinkler System

$$= 7,820 \text{ L/min}$$

Increase for Separation Exposed Buildings

			Adjacent Building			Length- Height Factor
			Constuction	Length m	Storeys	
13%	North	10.1 to 20m	N.C.	18	2	36
0%	East	>45m				0
0%	South	>45m				0
0%	West	>45m				0
13%	Total Increase for Exposure (maximum 75%)					
= 2,542	L/min Increase					

$$= 14,272 \text{ L/min}$$

$$F = 14,000 \text{ L/min (rounded off to the nearest 1,000 L/min)}$$

$$= 233.3 \text{ L/s}$$



# D. B. GRAY ENGINEERING INC.

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle  
Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

613-425-8044  
d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

15-Nov-19

REVISED 26-Nov-19

1243 Teron Road  
Ottawa, Ontario

## Water Demand

### DAILY AVERAGE

LIGHT INDUSTRIAL: 35,000 L /gross ha / day (as per Ottawa Design Guidelines)  
2.19 ha (land area)  
76650 L / day  
8 hour day  
159.7 L/min      2.7 l/s      42.2 USgpm

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

1.5 (Peaking Factor as per Ottawa Design Guidelines)  
239.5 L/min      4.0 l/s      63.3 USgpm

### MAXIMUM HOURLY DEMAND

1.8 (Peaking Factor as per Ottawa Design Guidelines)  
431.2 L/min      7.2 l/s      113.9 USgpm

Elevation of Water Meter: 86.36 m ASL  
Finish Floor Elevation: 85.46 m ASL

### Static Pressure at Water Meter

MAXIMUM HGL: 130.7 m ASL      63 psi      435 kPa  
MINIMUM HGL: 126.9 m ASL      58 psi      397 kPa



Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com>

---

**RE: 1243 Teron Rd**

1 message

---

**Kuruville, Santhosh** <Santhosh.Kuruville@ottawa.ca>  
To: Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com>  
Cc: Caoimhin Kennedy <c.kennedy@dbgrayengineering.com>

Tue, Nov 26, 2019 at 9:31 AM

Hi Doug,

Please find attached the boundary conditions for the subject application.

Thanks,

**Santhosh**

---

**From:** Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com>  
**Sent:** November 15, 2019 5:11 PM  
**To:** Kuruville, Santhosh <Santhosh.Kuruville@ottawa.ca>  
**Cc:** Caoimhin Kennedy <c.kennedy@dbgrayengineering.com>  
**Subject:** 1243 Teron Rd

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hi Santhosh

We are working on a warehouse building at [1243 Teron Rd.](#)

Please provide the boundary conditions at this location. We have calculated the following expected demands:

Average daily demand: 2.7 L/s.

Maximum daily demand: 4.0 L/s.

Maximum hourly daily demand: 7.2 L/s 10

Fire Flow demand: 233.3 L/s

Fire Flow + Max Day: 237.3 L/s

Calculations are attached. A sketch showing the approximate location of the proposed water service is also attached.

Thanks, Doug

\_\_\_\_\_

*Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains*

**700 Long Point Circle**

**Tel: 613-425-8044**

Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

[d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com](mailto:d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com)

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

---

 **1243 Teron Rd \_Boundary Conditions\_25Nov2019.docx**  
1050K

## Boundary Conditions - 1243 Terron Road

### Provided Information:

Date Provided

November-19

Scenario	Demand	
	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	162	2.7
Maximum Daily Demand	240	4.0
Peak Hour	432	7.2
Fire Flow Demand	14,238	237.3

### Location:



## Results:

### Connection 1 - Terron Road

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)
Maximum HGL	130.7	67.4
Peak Hour	126.9	62.2
Max Day plus Fire	121.5	54.4

<sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation = 83.2m

## Notes:

1. A second connection is required for this commercial building as the basic day demand is greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/d (0.6 L/s).

## Disclaimer

*The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.*

26-Nov-19  
 REVISED 13-Jul-20  
 REVISED 9-Aug-20

## 1243 Teron Road (Kanata) Ottawa, Ontario

### EPANET HYDRAULIC MODELLING RESULTS

137 L/s: Hydrant Flow (95 L/s) + Sprinkler Flow ( 600USgpm - 38 L/s) + Max Daily Demand (4.0 L/s)

Node ID	Demand	Head	Elevation	Pressure		
	L/s	m	m	m	psi	kPa
1 Reservoir 1 (300 WM - Teron Rd)	-137.00	121.50	82.61	38.89	55.3	381
2 Proposed FH	137.00	106.72	85.02	21.70	30.9	213

Link ID	Diameter	Length	Roughness	Loss Coeff.	Flow	Velocity
	mm	m			L/s	m/s
Pipe 1	200	96.5	110	4.00	137.00	4.36



Network Table - Nodes

Node ID	Elevation m	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m
Junc 2	85.02	99.00	113.50	28.48
Resvr 1	121.50	-99.00	121.50	0.00



Network Table - Links

Link ID	Length m	Diameter mm	Roughness	Flow LPS	Velocity m/s
Pipe 1	96.5	200	110	99.00	3.15

**SANITARY SEWER DESIGN FORM**

Average Daily Flows:  
 Residential: 280 l/capita / day  
 Commercial: 28,000 l/ha / day  
 Institutional: 35,000 l/ha / day  
 Light Industrial: 35,000 l/ha / day  
 Heavy Industrial: 55,000 l/ha / day

Infiltration Allowance: 0.33 l/s / ha

Peaking Factor:  
 Residential (Harmon Equation):  $P.F. = 1 + \frac{14}{4 + p^{0.5}}$

$p = \text{Population} / 1000$   
 Harmon Correction Factor  
 Commercial & Institutional: 1.5 if contribution > 20%  
 Commercial & Institutional: 1.0 if contribution < 20%

PROJECT: 1243 Teron Road  
 Designed By: D.B.G.  
 26-Nov-19

LOCATION		Section							Cumulative				SEWER DATA						COMMENTS								
FROM	TO	Single Family	Semi Townhouse	Duplex / Triplex	Apartments (average)	Apartments (1 Bed)	Apartments (2 Bed)	Apartments (3 Bed)	Residential Total Area	Pop.	Residential Peaking Factor	Area	Flow	Flow	Area	Infiltration Flow	Total Flow	Type of Pipe		Dia. Actual (mm)	Dia. Nom. (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	Capacity (l/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Ratio	
		ppu = 3.4 No. of Units	ppu = 2.7 No. of Units	ppu = 2.3 No. of Units	ppu = 1.8 No. of Units	ppu = 1.4 No. of Units	ppu = 2.1 No. of Units	ppu = 3.1 No. of Units	ha	0	3.2	ha	l/ha/day	l/s	ha	l/s	l/s	l/s	PVC	203.2	200	2.0	20.1	48.4	1.49	0.12	
	BILDG	MH-SA.1								0		2.19	35000	5.10	2.19	0.72	5.82		PVC	203.2	200	0.32	18.0	19.4	0.60	0.30	Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
	MH-SA.1	MH-SA.2								0		2.19		5.10	2.19	0.72	5.82		PVC	203.2	200	0.32	18.0	19.4	0.60	0.30	Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1
																											Peaking Factor as per City Guidelines Appendix 4-B.1

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

The orifice calculations are based on the following formula:

$$Q = C_d \times A_o \sqrt{2gh} \times 1000$$

where:

Q = flowrate in litres per second

$C_d$  = coefficient of discharge

$A_o$  = orifice area in sq.m.

g = 9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>

h = head above orifice in meters

Flow control roof drain calculations are based on the following formula:

$$Q = N \times S \times d \times F$$

where:

Q = flowrate in litres per second

N = number of roof drains

S = slots per weir

d = pond depth at roof drain in mm

F = flowrate through each slot

0.0124 litres per second per mm pond depth (5 USgpm per inch)

The length of the broad-crested weir is based on the following formula:

$$L = Q / (1.705 \times H^{3/2})$$

where:

L = the length of the weir in m

Q = the flow rate in m<sup>3</sup>/s

H = the depth of water above the top of the weir

Storage calculations on the roof area and the lower portion of the stormwater detention area are based on the following formula for volume of a cone:

$$V = (A \times d)/3$$

where:

V = volume in cu.m.

A = ponding area in sq.m.

d = ponding depth in meters

Storage calculations for the upper portion of the stormwater detention area are based on the following formula for volume of a prismoidal shape (the formula is accurate if both length and width are changing proportionally):

$$V = (A_{top} + A_{bottom} + (A_{top} \times A_{bottom})^{0.5}) / 3 \times d$$

where:

V = volume in cu.m.

$A_{top}$  = area of pond in sq.m.

$A_{bottom}$  = area of bottom of depressed area

d = ponding depth in meters

## Summary Tables

ONE HUNDRED YEAR EVENT				
Drainage Area	Maximum Allowable Release Rate (L/s)	Maximum Release Rate (L/s)	Maximum Volume Required (cu.m)	Maximum Volume Stored (cu.m)
AREA I (Uncontrolled Flow Off Site)	-	13.97	-	-
AREA II (Roof - discharges to Area III)	-	66.99	306.75	306.75
AREA III	-	134.32	240.12	240.12
TOTAL (Release Rate = AREA I + AREA III)	148.30	148.30	546.87	546.87

FIVE YEAR EVENT				
Drainage Area	Maximum Allowable Release Rate (L/s)	Maximum Release Rate (L/s)	Maximum Volume Required (cu.m)	Maximum Volume Stored (cu.m)
AREA I (Uncontrolled Flow Off Site)	-	6.52	-	-
AREA II (Roof - discharges to Area III)	-	51.03	135.57	135.57
AREA III	-	60.49	205.71	205.71
TOTAL (Release Rate = AREA I + AREA III)	67.01	67.01	341.28	341.28

1243 Teron Road  
 Ottawa, Ontario

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS  
 Rational Method

ONE HUNDRED YEAR EVENT

Pre-Development Conditions

Roof Area:	0	sq.m	1.00
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	0	sq.m	1.00
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.875
Pasture / Woodland - Sandy Loam / Clay Silt Loam:	19811	sq.m	0.25
			Table 5.7 x 125% City Sewer Guidelines
Total Catchment Area:	19811	sq.m	0.25

Airport Formula  

$$T_c = \frac{3.26 (1.1 - C) (L)^{1/2}}{Sw^{0.33}} \text{ min}$$

Runoff Coefficient (C):	0.25	see above
Sheet Flow Distance (L):	167	m
Slope of Land (Sw):	4	%

Time of Concentration (Sheet Flow): 24 min

Area (A):	19811	sq.m
Time of Concentration:	23.6	min
Rainfall Intensity (i):	108	mm/hr (100-year event)
Runoff Coefficient (C):	0.25	

100 Year Maximum Allowable Release Rate (2.78AiC): 148.30 L/s

## DRAINAGE AREA I (Uncontrolled Flow Off Site)

(ONE HUNDRED YEAR EVENT)

Roof Area:	0	sq.m	1.00
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	0	sq.m	1.00
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.875
Landscaped Area:	<u>1126</u>	<u>sq.m</u>	<u>0.25</u>
Total Catchment Area:	1126	sq.m	0.25
Area (A):	1126	sq.m	
Time of Concentration:	10	min	
Rainfall Intensity (i):	179	mm/hr	
Runoff Coefficient (C):	0.25		
Flow Rate (2.78AiC):	13.97	L/s	

# DRAINAGE AREA II (Roof)

(ONE HUNDRED YEAR EVENT)

Roof Area:	9281	sq.m	1.00
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	0	sq.m	1.00
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.875
Landscaped Area:	0	sq.m	0.25

Total Catchment Area: 9281 sq.m 1.00

No. of Roof Drains: 42  
 Slots per Wier: 1 0.0124 l/s/mm/slot (5 USgpm/in/slot)

Depth at Roof Drain: 129 mm

Maximum Release Rate: 66.99 L/s Pond Area: 7154 sq.m

Achieved Volume: 306.75 cu.m

Maximum Volume Required: 306.75 cu.m

Time (min)	i (mm/hr)	2.78AiC (L/s)	Release Rate (L/s)	Stored Rate (L/s)	Stored Volume (cu.m)
5	243	626.20	66.99	559.21	167.76
10	179	460.70	66.99	393.71	236.23
15	143	368.68	66.99	301.69	271.52
20	120	309.49	66.99	242.50	290.99
25	104	267.94	66.99	200.95	301.42
30	92	237.03	66.99	170.04	306.07
35	83	213.06	66.99	146.07	306.75
40	75	193.88	66.99	126.89	304.54
45	69	178.16	66.99	111.17	300.15
50	64	165.01	66.99	98.02	294.06
55	60	153.84	66.99	86.85	286.59
60	56	144.21	66.99	77.22	278.01
65	53	135.83	66.99	68.84	268.49
70	50	128.46	66.99	61.47	258.18
75	47	121.92	66.99	54.93	247.20
80	45	116.08	66.99	49.09	235.64
85	43	110.83	66.99	43.84	223.56
90	41	106.07	66.99	39.08	211.03
95	39	101.75	66.99	34.76	198.11
100	38	97.79	66.99	30.80	184.82
105	36	94.17	66.99	27.18	171.21
110	35	90.83	66.99	23.84	157.32
115	34	87.74	66.99	20.75	143.15
120	33	84.87	66.99	17.88	128.75
125	32	82.21	66.99	15.22	114.12
130	31	79.72	66.99	12.73	99.30
135	30	77.40	66.99	10.40	84.28
140	29	75.22	66.99	8.22	69.09
145	28	73.17	66.99	6.18	53.74
150	28	71.24	66.99	4.25	38.23
180	24	61.67	61.67	0.00	0.00
210	21	54.56	54.56	0.00	0.00
240	19	49.04	49.04	0.00	0.00
270	17	44.62	44.62	0.00	0.00
300	16	41.00	41.00	0.00	0.00

# DRAINAGE AREA III

(ONE HUNDRED YEAR EVENT)

Roof Area:	0	sq.m	1.00
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	7345	sq.m	1.00
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.875
Landscaped Area:	2059	sq.m	0.25

Total Catchment Area: 9404 sq.m 0.84

Water Elevation: 81.84 m

Invert of Culvert Inlet: 80.76 m

Centroid of ICD Orifice: 80.85 m

Head: 0.99 m

Stormwater Detention Area

(detailed calculation next page)

Orifice Diameter:	174	mm				
Orifice Area:	23779	sq.mm	Bottom Area (sq.m)	Top Area (sq.m)	Avg. Depth (m)	Volume (cu.m)
			163	343	1.08	240.12

Coefficient of Discharge: 0.61

Achieved Volume: 240.12 cu.m

Maximum ICD Release Rate: 63.98 L/s

Maximum Weir Release Rate: 70.34 L/s

Total Maximum Release Rate: 134.32 L/s

Maximum Volume Required: 240.12 cu.m

Time (min)	i (mm/hr)	2.78AiC (L/s)	Flow from Roof (L/s)	TOTAL Inflow (L/s)	ICD Release Rate (L/s)	Weir Release Rate (L/s)	TOTAL Release Rate (L/s)	Stored Rate (L/s)	Stored Volume (cu.m)
5	243	530.31	66.99	597.30	63.98	0.00	63.98	533.32	160.00
10	179	390.15	66.99	457.14	63.98	0.00	63.98	393.16	235.90
15	143	312.23	66.99	379.22	63.98	48.43	112.42	266.80	240.12
20	120	262.09	66.99	329.08	63.98	65.00	128.98	200.10	240.12
25	104	226.91	66.99	293.90	63.98	69.84	133.82	160.08	240.12
30	92	200.73	66.99	267.72	63.98	70.34	134.32	133.40	240.12
35	83	180.44	66.99	247.43	63.98	69.10	133.08	114.34	240.12
40	75	164.19	66.99	231.18	63.98	67.15	131.13	100.05	240.12
45	69	150.88	66.99	217.87	63.98	64.95	128.93	88.93	240.12
50	64	139.74	66.99	206.73	63.98	62.71	126.69	80.04	240.12
55	60	130.28	66.99	197.27	63.98	60.52	124.51	72.76	240.12
60	56	122.13	66.99	189.12	63.98	58.44	122.42	66.70	240.12
65	53	115.03	66.99	182.02	63.98	56.47	120.45	61.57	240.12
70	50	108.79	66.99	175.78	63.98	54.63	118.61	57.17	240.12
75	47	103.25	66.99	170.24	63.98	52.90	116.88	53.36	240.12
80	45	98.31	66.99	165.30	63.98	51.29	115.27	50.02	240.12
85	43	93.85	66.99	160.85	63.98	49.78	113.76	47.08	240.12
90	41	89.83	66.99	156.82	63.98	48.37	112.35	44.47	240.12
95	39	86.17	66.99	153.16	63.98	47.05	111.03	42.13	240.12
100	38	82.82	66.99	149.81	63.98	45.81	109.79	40.02	240.12
105	36	79.75	66.99	146.74	63.98	44.64	108.62	38.11	240.12
110	35	76.92	66.99	143.91	63.98	43.54	107.53	36.38	240.12
115	34	74.30	66.99	141.29	63.98	42.51	106.49	34.80	240.12
120	33	71.88	66.99	138.87	63.98	41.53	105.52	33.35	240.12
125	32	69.62	66.99	136.61	63.98	40.61	104.59	32.02	240.12
130	31	67.51	66.99	134.50	63.98	39.74	103.72	30.78	240.12
135	30	65.54	66.99	132.53	63.98	38.91	102.89	29.64	240.12
140	29	63.70	66.99	130.69	63.98	38.12	102.10	28.59	240.12
145	28	61.96	66.99	128.95	63.98	37.37	101.35	27.60	240.12
150	28	60.33	66.99	127.32	63.98	36.66	100.64	26.68	240.12
180	24	52.23	61.67	113.90	63.98	27.68	91.67	22.23	240.12
210	21	46.20	54.56	100.76	63.98	17.72	81.70	19.06	240.12
240	19	41.53	49.04	90.56	63.98	9.91	73.89	16.67	240.12
270	17	37.79	44.62	82.41	63.98	3.61	67.59	14.82	240.12
300	16	34.72	41.00	75.72	63.98	0.00	63.98	11.74	211.36



# DRAINAGE AREA III (CONTINUED)

(ONE HUNDRED YEAR EVENT)

## Detailed Volume Calculations

Upper Portion of the Stormwater Detention Area  
(based on the volume of a prizmoidal shape)

Slope 1	Slope 2	Measured Bottom Area (sq.m.)	Measured Bottom Length (m)	Average Bottom Width (m)	Average Bottom Elevation (m)	Water Elevation (m)	Top Area (sq.m.)	Volume (cu.m.)
33.33%	33.33%	163	26.0	6.3	80.90	81.84	343	232.51

Lower Portion of Stormwater Detention Area  
(based on the volume of an inverted pyramid)

Measured Top Area (sq.m.)	Bottom Elevation (m)	Water Elevation (m)	Volume (cu.m.)
163	80.76	80.90	7.61

---

TOTAL VOLUME: 240.12 cu.m.

# FIVE YEAR EVENT

## Pre-Development Conditions

Roof Area:	0	sq.m	0.90	
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	0	sq.m	0.90	
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.70	
Pasture / Woodland - Sandy Loam / Clay Silt Loam:	19811	sq.m	0.20	
<table border="1" style="float: right;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Table 5.7 City Sewer Guidelines</td> </tr> </table>				Table 5.7 City Sewer Guidelines
Table 5.7 City Sewer Guidelines				
Total Catchment Area:	19811	sq.m	0.20	

### Airport Formula

$$T_c = \frac{3.26 (1.1 - C) (L)^{1/2}}{S_w^{0.33}} \text{ min}$$

Runoff Coefficient (C):	0.20	see above
Sheet Flow Distance (L):	166.5	m
Slope of Land (Sw):	4	%
Time of Concentration (Sheet Flow):	25.0	min

Area (A):	19811	sq.m
Time of Concentration:	25	min
Rainfall Intensity (i):	61	mm/hr
Runoff Coefficient (C):	0.20	
5 Year Maximum Allowable Release Rate (2.78AiC):	67.01	L/s

## DRAINAGE AREA I (Uncontrolled Flow Off Site)

(FIVE YEAR EVENT)

Roof Area:	0	sq.m	0.90
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	0	sq.m	0.90
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.70
Landscaped Area:	<u>1126</u>	<u>sq.m</u>	<u>0.20</u>
Total Catchment Area:	1126	sq.m	0.20
Area (A):	1126	sq.m	
Time of Concentration:	10	min	
Rainfall Intensity (i):	104	mm/hr (5-year event)	
Runoff Coefficient (C):	0.20		
Flow Rate (2.78AiC):	6.52	L/s	

# DRAINAGE AREA II (Roof)

(FIVE YEAR EVENT)

Roof Area:	9281	sq.m	0.90
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	0	sq.m	0.90
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.70
Landscaped Area:	0	sq.m	0.20

Total Catchment Area: 9281 sq.m 0.90

No. of Roof Drains: 42  
 Slots per Wier: 1 0.0124 l/s/mm/slot (5 USgpm/in/slot)

Depth at Roof Drain: 98 mm

Maximum Release Rate: 51.03 L/s Pond Area: 4151 sq.m

Achieved Volume: 135.57 cu.m

Maximum Volume Required: 135.57 cu.m

Time	i	2.78AiC	Release	Stored	Stored
min.	mm/hr	L/s	Rate	Rate	Volume
			L/s	L/s	cu.m
5	141	327.83	51.03	276.80	83.04
10	104	241.95	51.03	190.92	114.55
15	84	194.03	51.03	143.00	128.70
20	70	163.13	51.03	112.10	134.52
25	61	141.41	51.03	90.38	135.57
30	54	125.23	51.03	74.20	133.56
35	49	112.66	51.03	61.64	129.43
40	44	102.60	51.03	51.57	123.78
45	41	94.34	51.03	43.32	116.95
50	38	87.43	51.03	36.41	109.22
55	35	81.56	51.03	30.53	100.76
60	33	76.50	51.03	25.47	91.69
65	31	72.09	51.03	21.06	82.13
70	29	68.20	51.03	17.18	72.14
75	28	64.76	51.03	13.73	61.79
80	27	61.68	51.03	10.65	51.13
85	25	58.91	51.03	7.88	40.19
90	24	56.40	51.03	5.37	29.01
95	23	54.12	51.03	3.09	17.61
100	22	52.03	51.03	1.00	6.02
105	22	50.12	50.12	0.00	0.00
110	21	48.35	48.35	0.00	0.00
115	20	46.72	46.72	0.00	0.00
120	19	45.21	45.21	0.00	0.00
125	19	43.80	43.80	0.00	0.00
130	18	42.48	42.48	0.00	0.00
135	18	41.25	41.25	0.00	0.00
140	17	40.10	40.10	0.00	0.00
145	17	39.02	39.02	0.00	0.00
150	16	37.99	37.99	0.00	0.00
180	14	32.93	32.93	0.00	0.00
210	13	29.15	29.15	0.00	0.00
240	11	26.23	26.23	0.00	0.00
270	10	23.88	23.88	0.00	0.00
300	9	21.96	21.96	0.00	0.00

# DRAINAGE AREA III

(FIVE YEAR EVENT)

Roof Area:	0	sq.m	0.90
Asphalt/Concrete Area:	7345	sq.m	0.90
Gravel Area:	0	sq.m	0.70
Landscaped Area:	2059	sq.m	0.20

Total Catchment Area: 9404 sq.m 0.75

Water Elevation: 81.73 m

Invert of Culvert Inlet: 80.76 m

Centroid of ICD Orifice: 80.85 m

Head: 0.89 m

				Stormwater Detention Area			
		Bottom	Top	Avg. Depth			
		Area	Area	(m)	Volume		
		(sq.m)	(sq.m)				
Orifice Diameter:	174	mm					
Orifice Area:	23779	sq.mm	163	321	0.97	205.71 cu.m	

Coefficient of Discharge: 0.61

Achieved Volume: 205.71 cu.m

Maximum ICD Release Rate: 60.49 L/s

Maximum Weir Release Rate: 0.00 L/s

Total Maximum Release Rate: 60.49 L/s

Maximum Volume Required: 205.71 cu.m

Time min	i mm/hr	2.78AiC L/s	Flow from		ICD Release Rate L/s	Weir Release Rate L/s	TOTAL Release Rate L/s	Stored Rate L/s	Stored Volume cu.m
			Roof (L/s)	Total Inflow (L/s)					
5	141	275.61	51.03	326.64	60.49	0.00	60.49	266.15	79.85
10	104	203.41	51.03	254.43	60.49	0.00	60.49	193.95	116.37
15	84	163.12	51.03	214.15	60.49	0.00	60.49	153.66	138.30
20	70	137.14	51.03	188.17	60.49	0.00	60.49	127.69	153.22
25	61	118.88	51.03	169.91	60.49	0.00	60.49	109.42	164.14
30	54	105.28	51.03	156.31	60.49	0.00	60.49	95.82	172.48
35	49	94.72	51.03	145.74	60.49	0.00	60.49	85.26	179.04
40	44	86.26	51.03	137.28	60.49	0.00	60.49	76.80	184.32
45	41	79.32	51.03	130.34	60.49	0.00	60.49	69.86	188.62
50	38	73.51	51.03	124.53	60.49	0.00	60.49	64.05	192.15
55	35	68.57	51.03	119.60	60.49	0.00	60.49	59.11	195.06
60	33	64.31	51.03	115.34	60.49	0.00	60.49	54.85	197.48
65	31	60.60	51.03	111.63	60.49	0.00	60.49	51.15	199.47
70	29	57.34	51.03	108.37	60.49	0.00	60.49	47.88	201.11
75	28	54.44	51.03	105.47	60.49	0.00	60.49	44.99	202.44
80	27	51.85	51.03	102.88	60.49	0.00	60.49	42.40	203.51
85	25	49.52	51.03	100.55	60.49	0.00	60.49	40.07	204.34
90	24	47.42	51.03	98.44	60.49	0.00	60.49	37.96	204.97
95	23	45.50	51.03	96.52	60.49	0.00	60.49	36.04	205.43
100	22	43.74	51.03	94.77	60.49	0.00	60.49	34.29	205.71
105	22	42.13	50.12	92.25	60.49	0.00	60.49	31.76	200.12
110	21	40.65	48.35	89.00	60.49	0.00	60.49	28.52	188.21
115	20	39.28	46.72	86.00	60.49	0.00	60.49	25.51	176.03
120	19	38.00	45.21	83.21	60.49	0.00	60.49	22.73	163.62
125	19	36.82	43.80	80.62	60.49	0.00	60.49	20.13	150.99
130	18	35.71	42.48	78.20	60.49	0.00	60.49	17.71	138.15
135	18	34.68	41.25	75.93	60.49	0.00	60.49	15.45	125.13
140	17	33.71	40.10	73.81	60.49	0.00	60.49	13.32	111.93
145	17	32.80	39.02	71.82	60.49	0.00	60.49	11.33	98.57
150	16	31.94	37.99	69.94	60.49	0.00	60.49	9.45	85.06
180	14	27.68	32.93	60.61	60.49	0.00	60.49	0.12	1.35
210	13	24.51	29.15	53.67	53.67	0.00	53.67	0.00	0.00
240	11	22.05	26.23	48.28	48.28	0.00	48.28	0.00	0.00
270	10	20.08	23.88	43.96	43.96	0.00	43.96	0.00	0.00
300	9	18.46	21.96	40.42	40.42	0.00	40.42	0.00	0.00

# DRAINAGE AREA III (CONTINUED)

(FIVE YEAR EVENT)

## Detailed Volume Calculations

Upper Portion of the Stormwater Detention Area  
(based on the volume of a prizmoidal shape)

Slope 1	Slope 2	Measured Bottom Area (sq.m.)	Measured Bottom Length (m)	Average Bottom Width (m)	Average Bottom Elevation (m)	Water Elevation (m)	Top Area (sq.m.)	Volume (cu.m.)
33.33%	33.33%	163	26.0	6.3	80.90	81.73	321	198.11

Lower Portion of Stormwater Detention Area  
(based on the volume of an inverted pyramid)

Measured Top Area (sq.m.)	Bottom Elevation (m)	Water Elevation (m)	Volume (cu.m.)
163	80.76	80.90	7.61

---

TOTAL VOLUME: 205.71 cu.m.

26-Nov-19  
 REVISED 1-May-20  
 REVISED 13-Jul-20  
 REVISED 5-Aug-20

1243 Teron Road  
 Ottawa, Ontario

BROAD CRESTED WEIR CALCULATIONS

1:100 YEAR EVENT

Lower Section of Retaining Wall  
 at Stormwater Detention Area  
 (26m wide / T.O.W. 81.84)

Length of Weir based on an assumed coefficient of discharge (Cd):

if Q=	70.34 l/s (maximum permitted flow)	assumes Cd= 0.577 (assumes P/H is large)
=	0.07034 cu.m./s	
& H=	0.014 m (max. depth of water above top of weir)	
then L=	26.00 m (length of weir) $L = ( Q / ((1.705 \times H^{3/2}))$	

Length of Weir based on a calculate coefficient of discharge (Cd):

if P=	0.95 m (depth of pond)
& Lp=	31.0 m (width of pond: perpendicular to direction of flow)
then Vp=	0.0024 m/s (velocity in pond: $V_p = Q / (P+H) / L_p$ )
& E=	0.013605 m (energy: $E = H + 2V^2/2g$ )
& Cd=	0.577 ( $Cd = 0.577 \times (E/H)^{3/2}$ )
if Q=	70.34 l/s (maximum permitted flow)
=	0.07034 cu.m./s
& H=	0.01 m (depth of water above top of weir)
then L=	26.00 m (length of weir) $L = ( Q / ((Cd^{2/3}) \times (2 \times 9.81)^{1/2}) \times H^{3/2}$ )

# D.B. GRAY ENGINEERING INC.

*Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains*

700 Long Point Circle  
Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9  
613-425-8044  
d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

## STORM SEWER COMPUTATION FORM

RATIONAL METHOD Q = 2.78 A I R FIVE YEAR EVENT

Project: 1243 Taron Road

Designed By: DBG

Date: December 20, 2019

n = 0.013

Page: 1 of 1

LOCATION		AREA (ha)			Individual 2.78 A R	Accum. 2.78 A R	Time of Conc. (min)	Rainfall Intensity i (mm/hr)	Peak Flow Q (L/s)	SEWER DATA						COMMENTS		
		Hard R = 0.90	Gravel R = 0.70	Landscape R = 0.20						Roof R = 0.90	Type of Pipe	Dia. Actual (mm)	Dia. Nominal (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)		Capacity (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)
STREET	FROM TO																	
	CB-1	0.0376			0.094	0.094	10.00	104.2	9.8	PVC	254.0	250	0.43	36.8	40.7	0.80	0.76	0.24
	CB/MH-2	0.1447		0.0306	0.379	0.473	10.76	100.3	47.5	PVC	304.8	300	0.34	33.7	58.8	0.81	0.70	0.81
	CB/MH-3	0.1443		0.0112	0.367	0.840	11.46	97.1	81.6	PVC	381.0	375	0.25	49.7	91.5	0.80	1.03	0.89
	CB-5	0.0420			0.105	0.105	10.00	104.2	10.9	PVC	254.0	250	0.43	41.7	40.7	0.80	0.87	0.27
	CB/MH-4	0.2099		0.0356	0.545	1.490	12.49	92.6	138.1	PVC	381.0	375	0.70	19.3	153.0	1.34	0.24	0.90
	MH-6				1.490	1.490	12.73	91.7	136.6	CONC	457.2	450	0.26	89.2	151.7	0.92	1.61	0.90
	CB/MH-7	0.1560		0.0336	0.409	1.899	14.34	85.7	162.9	CONC	457.2	450	0.31	28.0	165.6	1.01	0.46	0.98
	SWM																	
	Detention Area																	





# Sizing Report

2733 Kanasita Drive • Suite 111 • Chattanooga, TN 37343 • Phone: (423) 870-8888 • Fax: (423) 826-2112 • www.aquashieldinc.com

## Site Information

Project Name: 1243 Trenton Road

Site Area (hectares): 1.8685

Unit Label: OGS

Runoff Coeff. : 0.82

Unit Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Target Removal Efficiency(%): 80% based on NJDEP

## Product Recommendation

Aqua-Swirl™ Model	Net Annual TSS Removal Efficiency	Chamber Diameter	Maximum Inside Diameter (mm)		Oil/Debris Storage Capacity	Sediment Storage Capacity
			Offline	BYP <sup>5</sup>		
<b>AS-6</b>	<b>86.11 %</b>	1830 mm.	381 mm.	912 mm.	1478 L	1.82 m <sup>3</sup>

## Rainfall Information

NCDC Station<sup>1</sup>: OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A Data Range<sup>4</sup>: 261,759 readings taken hourly between 1967 to 2007 (~40 years)

Rainfall Event Range (mm/hre)	Rainfall Interval Point (mm/hre)	Operating Rate (Lps/m <sup>2</sup> )	Total Rainfall (%)	Removal Efficiency (%) <sup>2</sup>	Relative Efficiency(%)
02.00 - 03.00	02.50	04.05	44.18	93.58	41.34
03.00 - 04.00	03.50	05.67	21.52	90.97	19.58
04.00 - 05.00	04.50	07.29	11.68	87.91	10.27
05.00 - 06.00	05.50	08.91	06.68	84.40	05.64
06.00 - 07.00	06.50	10.53	04.03	80.45	03.24
07.00 - 08.00	07.50	12.16	01.99	76.06	01.51
08.00 - 09.00	08.50	13.78	01.84	71.22	01.31
09.00 - 10.00	09.50	15.40	01.81	65.94	01.19
10.00 - 15.00	12.50	20.26	04.12	47.41	01.95
15.00 - 20.00	17.50	28.36	01.02	07.64	00.08
<b>Total Cumulative Rainfall %:</b>			<b>98.87<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Net Annual %:</b>	<b>86.11</b>

## Sales Agent Information

Agent Name: Emmanuel Dion

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Company Name: Soleno

Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: edion@soleno.com

City, State Zip: , ON

## Footnotes

- Recorded as hourly precipitation rainfall data (inches), National Climatic Data Center (NCDC)
- Based on Tennessee Tech University laboratory testing of the AquaSwirl™ Model AS-3 for OK-110 silica particles 50-125 microns (Neary 2002)
- 90% Rainfall Event, calculated as a cumulative percentile of individual events, www.stormwatercenter.net, sizing criteria (Center for Watershed Protection)
- NCDC data may not be consecutive, skipping days, months and/or years in the range of dates.
- The Aqua-Swirl™ Internal Bypass (BYP) provides full treatment of the "first flush," while the peak design storm is diverted and channeled through the main conveyance pipe. Please refer to your local representative for more information.
- When applicable, the performance curve was adjusted via Pecllet Scaling to provide estimated sizing per NJDEP PSD (d50 = 67 microns).

# DATA SHEET

## AQUA-SWIRL®

**PRODUCT DESCRIPTION :** Hydrodynamic separator

**FUNCTION :** System that maximizes removal of Total Suspended Solids (TSS), oils and floating debris from surface runoff before it is conveyed to an outlet.

**RAW MATERIALS :** Made from high-density polyethylene (HDPE) ASTM D3350

**TECHNICAL DATA :** Diameter: 750 mm (30 in) to 3300 mm (132 in)  
 Higher flow rates are custom manufactured  
 Height: The height of the unit and the stack are variable  
 Size of particles to control: from coarse silt to very coarse sand (60 microns and over)  
 Installation: networked with or parallel to the storm water sewer system  
 Structural strength: CAN/CSA - S6 - 02 (CL-625) and AASHTO (H-25 and HS-25)



AQUA-SWIRL

**AVAILABLE COUPLERS :** Adapts to all types of HDPE, PVC, concrete, steel and CSP pipes

## TECHNICAL DATA TABLE

Model	Nom. dia. of the chamber		Ext. dia. of the chamber		Height of the chamber		Weight		Maximum nom. diam. of the pipe connection				Oils and floating debris storage capacity		Sediment storage capacity	
	mm	ft	mm	in	mm	in	kg	lb	Off-line		On-line		liters	gallons	m <sup>3</sup>	ft <sup>3</sup>
									mm	in	mm	in				
AS-2	750	2.5	871	34.3	1524	60	141	311	200	8	300	12	140	37	0.3	10
AS-3	1050	3.5	1219	48	2642	104	464	1024	250	10	525	21	416	110	0.6	20
AS-4	1350	4.5	1549	61	2642	104	686	1512	300	12	600	24	719	190	0.9	32
AS-5	1500	5	1722	67.8	2642	104	816	1799	300	12	750	30	1022	270	1.3	45
AS-6	1800	6	2067	81.4	2642-2794	104-110	1108-1142	2443-2518	300	12	900	36	1476	390	1.8	65
AS-7	2100	7	2393	94.2	2896-2946	114-116	1467-1482	3235-3267	375	15	900	36	2044	540	2.6	90
AS-8	2400	8	2718	107	2896-3099	114-122	1770-1841	3901-4058	375	15	1200	48	2687	710	3.3	115
AS-9	2700	9	3045	119.9	2896-3251	114-128	2172-2315	4788-5103	450	18	1200	48	3444	910	4.1	145
AS-10	3000	10	3371	132.7	2896-3251	114-128	2523-2701	5563-5103	525	21	1500	60	4277	1130	5.1	180
AS-11	3300	11	3716	146.3	2896-3251	114-128	3277-3526	7226-7774	525	21	1500	60	5383	1422	6.3	222
AS-XX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Note 1: Values in the table are approximate and may change without notice.

AS-XX: Custom made unit.

\* Contact your Soleno representative to know the treatment throughput for the unit, according to local regulations.

**APPLICATION :** Control of TSS, oils and floating debris by hydrodynamic separation

**OPTION :** Factory-welded bell with integrated gasket with clips

## City of Ottawa Servicing Study Checklist

### General Content

**Executive Summary (for large reports only):** not applicable

**Date and revision number of the report:** see page 1 of Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development:** see drawings C-1 to C-4

**Plan showing the site and location of all existing services:** see drawings C-1 to C-4

**Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere:** not applicable

**Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies:** not available

**Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports ( Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defensible design criteria:** not applicable

**Statement of objectives and servicing criteria:** see page 1 of Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area:** see drawings C-1 to C-4

**Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development ( Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).** see drawings C-1 to C-4

**Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths:** not applicable

**Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts:** not applicable

**Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable:** not applicable

**Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing:** see note 1.5 on drawing C-4

**All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:**

- **Metric scale:** included
- **North arrow:** included
  - **(including construction North):** not included
- **Key Plan:** included

- **Name and contact information of applicant and property owner:** not available
- **Property limits:** included
  - **including bearings and dimensions:** not included
- **Existing and proposed structures and parking areas:** included
- **Easements, road widening and rights-of-way:** included
- **Adjacent street names:** included

**Development Servicing Report: Water**

**Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available:** not applicable

**Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development:** see page 2 of Servicing Brief

**Identification of system constraints:** see page 2 of Servicing Brief

**Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure:** see page 2 of Servicing Brief

**Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow locations throughout the development:** see page 2 & 5 to 8 of Servicing Brief

**Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves:** see page 2 of Servicing Brief

**Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design:** not applicable

**Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves:** not applicable

**Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification:.** not applicable

**Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range:** not applicable

**Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing systems, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions:** not applicable

**Description of off-site required feeder mains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation:** not applicable

**Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines:** see page 2 of Servicing Brief

**Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference:** not applicable

## **Development Servicing Report: Wastewater**

**Summary of proposed design criteria:** see page 3 of Servicing Brief

**(Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure):** not applicable

**Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and /or justification for deviations:** not applicable

**Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and conditions of sewers:** not applicable

**Descriptions of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development:** see page 3 of Servicing Brief

**Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and / or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable):** not applicable

**Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix C) format.** see page 12 of Servicing Brief

**Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains:** see page 3 of Servicing Brief

**Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality):** not applicable

**Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development:** not applicable

**Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity:** not applicable

**Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding:** not applicable

**Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc:** not applicable

## **Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist**

**Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property):** see page 4 of Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.** not applicable

**A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern:** see drawing C-1 & C-4

**Water quality control objective (e/g/ controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects:** see Stormwater Management Report Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements:** Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Descriptions of the references and supporting information.**  
**Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.** not applicable

**Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks:** not applicable

**Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed:** the pre-application consultation record is not yet been issued

**Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists:** not applicable

**Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).** see drawings C-1 to C-4 and Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or , if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.** see drawings C-1 to C-4 and Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions:** see Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another. :** not applicable

**Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities. :** not applicable

**If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event:** not applicable

**Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses:** Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements. :** not applicable

**Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development:** see page 3 of Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report

**100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading:**

**Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations. :** not applicable

**Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourses of drainage corridors:** see drawing C-2 & notes 2.1 to 2.7 on drawing C-4

**Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplains elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current:** not applicable

**Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation. :** not applicable

#### **Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist**

**The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:**

**Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act: see page 19 of Servicing Brief and Stormwater Management Report**

**Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act:**

**Changes to Municipal Drains. :** not applicable

**Other permits (National Capital commission, Parks Canada, public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of transportation etc.) :** not applicable

#### **Conclusion Checklist**

**Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations:** see page 6 of Servicing Brief

**Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.**

**All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario:** included