



DRAFT REPORT

Geotechnical Investigation

*Proposed Central Library
555 Albert Street
Ottawa, Ontario*

Submitted to:

Richard Fouchard

City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Crescent
Ottawa, Ontario

Submitted by:

Golder Associates Ltd.

1931 Robertson Road,
Ottawa, Ontario, K2H 5B7

+1 613 592 9600

19131600

February 2020



Distribution List

1 e-copy - City of Ottawa

1 e-copy - Golder

DRAFT

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION	4
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND SITE	4
3.0 PROCEDURE	5
4.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	5
4.1 General	5
4.2 Overview of Subsurface Conditions	6
4.3 Fill Material	6
4.4 Glacial Till	6
4.5 Bedrock	7
4.6 Groundwater Conditions	8
4.7 Corrosion Testing	9
5.0 DISCUSSION AND GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS	9
5.1 Site Grading	9
5.2 Foundation Design	9
5.2.1 Shallow Spread Footings	9
5.2.1.1 Footings on Bedrock	10
5.2.1.2 Footing on Glacial Till	10
5.2.2 Steel H-Pile or Steel Pipe Pile Foundations	10
5.2.2.1 Founding Elevations	10
5.2.2.2 Axial Geotechnical Resistance	11
5.3 Impacts to Existing Structures	12
5.4 Frost Protection	12
5.5 Seismic Design Considerations	12
5.6 Garage Floor Slab	13
5.7 Garage Excavation and Groundwater Control	13
5.8 Temporary Building Excavation Shoring	14
5.9 Ground Movements	15

5.10	Foundation Wall Backfill	15
5.11	Lateral Earth Pressures for Design	16
5.12	Permanent Drainage	18
5.13	Site Servicing	18
5.14	Pavement Design	18
5.15	Corrosion and Cement Type	20
6.0	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	20
7.0	CLOSURE	21

Important Information and Limitations of This Report

FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Plan

Figure 2 – Cross Section A-A'

Figure 3 – Cross Section B-B'

Figure 4 – Results of Grain Size Distribution Testing – Fill

Figure 5 – Results of Grain Size Distribution Testing – Glacial Till

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Borehole Logs – Current Investigation

APPENDIX B

Borehole Logs and Results of UCS Testing - Previous Investigation

APPENDIX C

Results of Chemical Analysis

APPENDIX D

Results of Hydraulic Conductivity Testing – Previous Investigation

APPENDIX E

Groundwater Inflow

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) was retained by the City of Ottawa (the City) to conduct a geotechnical investigation in order to provide geotechnical input to the detailed design of the proposed Ottawa Central Library site located at 555 Albert Street in Ottawa, Ontario. A Site Location Plan is attached as Figure 1. It is understood that the development will consist of a 4 to 5 storey structure with up to two levels of underground parking as well as an asphalt surfaced laneway with parking. The investigation and reporting were carried out in general accordance with the scope of work provided in our proposal no. P19131600 dated October 4, 2019.

The purpose of this investigation was to assess the general subsurface and groundwater conditions within the study area by means of a limited number of boreholes and associated laboratory testing. Based on an interpretation of the factual information obtained during the current investigation, along with the existing subsurface information available for the site from previous investigations, a general description of the soil and groundwater conditions is presented. These interpreted subsurface conditions and available project details were used to prepare engineering guidelines on the geotechnical design aspects of the project, including construction considerations which could influence design decisions.

The reader is referred to the 'Important Information and Limitations of This Report' which follows the text but forms an integral part of this document.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND SITE

The site is currently owned by the City of Ottawa and was recently being used as a staging area for the construction of the Combined Sewage Storage Tunnel (CSST) and Ottawa Light Rail Transit (OLRT) projects. The property is bordered to the north by the west OLRT tunnel portal, and the CSST tunnel passes beneath the site.

The preliminary plans and information provided indicate that the proposed building footprint is an irregularly shaped rectangular area measuring approximately 65 m by 140 m. It is assumed that below grade excavations would extend to approximately 1 m below the founding slab to a depth of 7 to 9 mbgs.

Seven existing boreholes from previous investigations (completed by Golder Associates) have been used to supplement the current investigation. The locations of these previous boreholes are shown on the attached Site Plan (Figure 1). The results of the previous investigations are contained in the following reports:

- Golder Report No. 10-1121-0222 titled *"Geotechnical Data Report, Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation, Ottawa Light Rail Transit, (OLRT) Tunnel (Segment 2), Ottawa, Ontario"* and dated December 2011.
- Golder Report No. 13-1121-0143 titled *"Geotechnical Data Report, Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation, Combined Sewage Storage Tunnel (CSST), Ottawa, Ontario"* and dated July 2015.
- Golder Report No. 1522242 titled: *"Summary of Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Results, 557 Wellington Street and Adjacent Property, Ottawa, Ontario"*, and dated May 2015.
- Golder Report No. 06-1120-331-300 titled *"Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Lemieux Island High Pressure Transmission Main (HPTM) Replacement Program Part 2, City Centre Drive to Commissioners Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario"*, and dated December 2006.

Based on the results of previous investigations and the published geology maps available from the Geologic Survey of Canada (GSC) for this area, the subsurface conditions at this site are expected to consist of a surficial layer of fill, overlying a thick deposit of glacial till. The glacial till is underlain by interbedded limestone and shale bedrock of the Verulam formation. Depth to bedrock within the footprint of the proposed structure varies between about 6 m below the existing ground surface on the north side and 13 m below the existing ground surface in the center of the proposed structure.

3.0 PROCEDURE

The fieldwork for this investigation was carried out between November 20 and December 3, 2019. During that time, a total of 18 boreholes (numbered 19-01 to 19-09, 19-101, and 19-102) were advanced at the approximate locations shown on the attached Site Plan (Figure 1). At boreholes 19-04, 19-09, and 19-101, additional holes were advanced adjacent to the borehole (i.e. 19-04A, 19-04B, 19-09A, 19-09B, 19-09C, 19-101A, and 19-101B) to attempt to obtain additional samples below the depth of original refusal.

The boreholes were advanced using a truck-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig supplied and operated by CCC Drilling of Ottawa, Ontario. The boreholes were advanced to depths ranging from between 0.7 and 8.2 m below the existing ground surface.

Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were carried out within the overburden at regular intervals of depth. Samples of the soils encountered were recovered using 35 mm diameter split-spoon sampling equipment.

The fieldwork was supervised by technicians from our staff who located the boreholes, directed the drilling and in-situ testing operations, logged the boreholes and samples, and took custody of the soil and bedrock samples retrieved. On completion of the drilling operations, the soil samples were transported to our laboratory for further examination and laboratory testing, which included natural water content and grain size distribution tests on selected soil samples.

Two samples of soil, one from each of boreholes 19-101 and 19-102 was submitted to Eurofins Environment Testing for basic chemical analyses related to potential sulphate attack on buried concrete elements and potential corrosion of buried ferrous elements.

The borehole locations were selected in consultation with the City of Ottawa, marked in the field, and subsequently surveyed by City of Ottawa personnel. The geodetic reference system used for the survey is the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83). The borehole coordinates are based on the Modified Transverse Mercator (MTM Zone 9) coordinate system. The elevations are referenced to Geodetic datum (CGVD28).

4.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

4.1 General

Information on the subsurface conditions is presented as follows:

- Borehole records from the current investigation are provided in Appendix A.
- Borehole records and results of UCS testing from previous investigations are provided in Appendix B.
- Results of the basic chemical analyses are provided in Appendix C.
- Results of hydraulic conductivity testing carried out during previous investigations are provided in Appendix D.

- Results of the water content testing are provided on the Record of Borehole Sheets.
- Results of the grain size distribution testing are provided on Figures 4 and 5.

The Record of Borehole sheets describe the subsurface conditions at the borehole locations only. The stratigraphic boundaries shown on the borehole records are inferred from non-continuous sampling in some cases, observations of drilling progress as well as results of SPTs and, therefore, represent transitions between soil types rather than exact planes of geological change. Furthermore, subsurface soil, bedrock and groundwater conditions will vary between and beyond the borehole locations.

Unless otherwise noted, the following sections present a more detailed overview of the subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes advanced during the current investigation. It should be noted that the shallow subsurface conditions noted on the borehole logs from the previous investigations may have changed since the boreholes were drilled, as such only auger refusal/bedrock depths and hydraulic response tests from previous drilling are discussed herein.

4.2 Overview of Subsurface Conditions

In general, the subsurface stratigraphy within the area of the investigation consists of surficial fill materials overlying glacial till at depths of 1.4 to 3.7 m below the existing ground surface.

4.3 Fill Material

Fill material was encountered in each of the boreholes from ground surface. The fill is heterogeneous in nature and consists of gravelly sand, to gravelly silty sand, to silty sand, to sand and gravel, to sand, and contains brick fragments, concrete fragments, pockets of silty clay, ash, and cobbles and boulders.

SPT “N” values measured within the fill ranged from 2 to 100 blows per 0.3 m of penetration. The SPT “N” values suggest that the fill has a highly variable very loose to very dense state of packing.

The fill material was fully penetrated in most of the boreholes at depths of between about 1.4 and 3.7 m below the existing ground surface.

The results of natural moisture content testing carried out on six samples of the fill gave values ranging from between 8 and 22 percent. The results of grain size distribution testing carried out on three samples of the fill are presented on Figure 4.

Auger refusal was encountered within the fill material at a depth of about 2.4 m below the existing ground surface in borehole 19-07. Auger refusal was also encountered at shallow depths in unsampled boreholes 19-04A, 19-09A, 19-09B, 19-101A, and 19-101B at depths of between about 0.7 and 3.4 m below the existing ground surface. It is likely that at many locations’ auger refusal was caused by the presence of cobbles and boulders.

4.4 Glacial Till

A deposit of glacial till was encountered beneath the fill material at all of the boreholes. The glacial till typically consists of a heterogeneous mixture of gravel, cobbles, and boulders in a matrix of sand and silt with a trace to some clay. At some locations, the till consists of clayey sand containing gravel, cobbles and boulders. The glacial till was not fully penetrated in the current investigation but was proven to depths of between about 2.9 and 8.2 m below the existing ground surface.

SPT “N” values within the glacial till layer gave ‘N’ values ranging from 8 blows to 100 blows per 0.3 m of penetration, but more generally between 35 and greater than 50 blows per 0.3 m of penetration indicating a loose, but more generally dense to very dense state of packing. Higher blow counts, however, could be indicative of boulders and cobbles in the till rather than the state of packing.

The results of natural moisture content testing carried out on four samples of the glacial till gave values ranging from 5 to 10 percent. The results of grain size distribution testing carried out on three samples of the glacial till are presented on Figure 5.

4.5 Bedrock

Previous boreholes were extended through the glacial till deposit into the underlying bedrock using rotary diamond drilling techniques. The depths and elevations to bedrock surface are summarized below:

Borehole No.	Ground Surface Elevation (masl)	Depth to Bedrock (m)	Elevation of Bedrock (masl)
T-1	65.71	6.99	59.22
T-2	66.32	8.09	58.72
T-74	63.41	6.29	57.12
T-75	61.79	11.08	50.71
W-058	61.40	7.36	54.04
W-059	61.68	9.84	51.84
W-060	61.23	9.17	52.06
W-061	61.55	9.01	52.54
W-062	62.95	5.88	57.07
13-3	62.49	11.08	51.41
13-4	62.20	10.64	51.56
13-5	62.11	11.51	51.33
13-6	61.95	11.15	50.8
14-601	62.34	13.43	48.91
14-602	63.00	11.3	51.70
14-603	61.41	8.38	53.03
14-604	56.44	4.34	52.10

The bedrock consists of limestone with shale interbeds of the Verulam formation. Additional description of the bedrock is provided on the Borehole records provided in Appendix B.

The results of laboratory testing carried out on samples of the cored bedrock from previous investigations measured Uniaxial Compressive Strengths (UCS) of between about 19 and 64 MPa, indicating the samples of the rock tested is medium strong to strong. Results of the UCS testing carried out are presented in Appendix B.

4.6 Groundwater Conditions

Monitoring wells were installed in boreholes 19-01, 19-02, 19-03, 19-05, 19-06, 19-07, 19-08, 19-09C, and 19-102 in the current investigation. Monitoring wells were also installed in boreholes 13-3, 13-4, 13-6, T-75, W-058, W-060, and W-062 during the previous investigations. The groundwater levels observed in the monitoring wells have been summarized in the following table:

Well ID	Geologic Unit of Screened Interval	Groundwater Level		Date of Measurement	Hydraulic Conductivity (cm/s)
		Depth (mbgs)	Elevation (masl)		
19-01	Glacial Till	2.60	58.39	December 10, 2019	--
19-02	Glacial Till	3.36	60.27	December 10, 2019	--
19-03	Glacial Till	5.66	56.92	December 10, 2019	--
19-05	Glacial Till	5.17	56.54	December 10, 2019	--
19-06	Fill/Glacial Till	2.34	62.04	December 10, 2019	--
19-07	Fill	2.14	58.97	December 10, 2019	--
19-08	Glacial Till	5.52	56.86	December 10, 2019	--
19-09C	Glacial Till	4.50	58.16	December 10, 2019	--
19-102	Glacial Till	4.25	58.44	December 10, 2019	--
13-3	Glacial Till	--	--	February 22, 2013	4×10^{-5}
13-4	Fill/Glacial Till	--	--	February 22, 2013	6×10^{-5}
13-6	Bedrock	--	--	February 22, 2013	1×10^{-4}
T-75	Glacial Till	3.06	58.73	June 28, 2011	--
W-058	Glacial Till	3.71	57.69	January 20, 2011	2×10^{-6}
W-060	Fill/Glacial Till	3.51	57.72	January 20, 2011	5×10^{-6}
W-062	Glacial Till	2.58	60.37	January 20, 2011	1×10^{-6}
15-01	Glacial Till	4.53	57.65	March 9, 2015	--
15-02	Glacial Till	5.52	56.32	March 9, 2015	--
15-03	Glacial Till	6.1	--	March 3, 2015	--
06-24	Glacial Till	2.60	59.92	December 8, 2006	--
06-25	Glacial Till	1.98	60.64	December 8, 2006	--
06-26	Glacial Till	3.47	60.57	December 8, 2006	--
06-27	Glacial Till	3.30	62.42	December 8, 2006	--

It should be noted that groundwater levels are expected to fluctuate seasonally. Higher groundwater levels are expected during wet periods of the year, such as spring.

4.7 Corrosion Testing

Two samples of soil, one each from boreholes 19-101 and 19-102 were submitted to Eurofins Environment Testing for basic chemical analysis related to potential sulphate attack on buried concrete elements and corrosion of buried ferrous elements. The results of this testing are provided in Appendix C and are summarized below.

Borehole / Sample Number	Sample Depth (m)	Chloride (%)	Sulphate (%)	pH	Resistivity (Ohm-cm)
19-101 SA 4	2.4 – 2.9	0.016	0.01	8.3	3330
19-102 SA 7	4.6 – 5.2	0.007	0.02	8.5	4170

5.0 DISCUSSION AND GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the report provides engineering information related to the geotechnical design aspects of the project based on our interpretation of the available subsurface information and on our understanding of the project requirements. The discussion below focuses on the development of the proposed structure.

The information in this portion of the report is provided for detailed design purposes in support of the design by the engineers and architects. The recommendations provided herein are consistent with the Ontario Building Code of 2012 (OBC 2012). Where comments are made on construction, they are provided only in order to highlight aspects of construction which could affect the design of the project. Contractors bidding on or undertaking any work at the site should examine the factual results of the investigation, satisfy themselves as to the adequacy of the information for construction and make their own interpretation of the factual data as it affects their proposed construction techniques, schedule, equipment capabilities, costs, sequencing and the like.

This report addresses only the geotechnical aspects of the subsurface conditions at this site.

The geo-environmental (chemical) aspects, including the consequences of possible surface and/or subsurface contamination resulting from previous activities or uses of the site and/or resulting from the introduction onto the site of materials from off-site sources, are outside the terms of reference for this report. The results of a concurrent Phase II Environmental Site Assessment for this project is provided under separate cover.

5.1 Site Grading

It is understood that, as currently proposed, the design finished grades will generally remain unchanged.

5.2 Foundation Design

Based on the conceptual design information provided to Golder, the proposed structure will have one to two underground parking levels. As such, the excavation for the structure is expected to extend to depths of about 7 to 9 m below existing site grades.

The subsurface conditions present below the fill at this site generally consist of glacial till over limestone bedrock.

5.2.1 Shallow Spread Footings

In some areas of the structure, the structure may be founded on spread footings supported on the underlying bedrock provided that they can be designed using the bearing resistance values provided below. Where bedrock is deeper than the footing elevation, footings may be placed on glacial till.

5.2.1.1 Footings on Bedrock

Spread footings founded on clean, sound and undisturbed bedrock are considered to be a feasible option. For spread footings placed on sound bedrock, a factored Ultimate Limit States (ULS) bearing resistance of 1,000 kPa can be used for design of the foundations. Serviceability Limit States (SLS) net bearing resistances do not generally apply to the design of foundations on the bedrock, provided the bedrock surface is properly cleaned of soil and loose highly weathered/fractured bedrock at the time of construction. The ULS bearing resistance for foundations on bedrock may need to be reduced within the vicinity of the existing CSST which crosses over the site (as outlined in Section 5.3 of this report) in order to comply with CSST requirements.

For ULS sliding resistance of a cast-in-place footing placed on bedrock, an unfactored sliding friction coefficient of 0.70 can be used. In accordance with OBC 2012 requirements, a resistance factor of 0.8 should be applied to the sliding resistance between the footings and the underlying bedrock.

5.2.1.2 Footing on Glacial Till

The structure may be also founded on spread footings supported on the underlying glacial till provided that they can be designed using the bearing resistance values provided below.

Spread footings founded on the compact to dense glacial till (i.e., SPT 'N' values higher than about 25) below about Elevation 55.0 m are considered to be a feasible option. An SLS net bearing resistance of 250 kPa and a factored ULS bearing resistance of 400 kPa can be used for design of pad footings up to 5.0 m in width and for strip footings up to 2.0 m in width placed on native and undisturbed glacial till below this elevation. The SLS values provided correspond to total and differential settlement values of 25 and 19 mm, respectively.

It should be noted that the expected settlements of spread footings placed directly on the underlying bedrock are very small, differential settlements of up to about 25 mm may occur between the spread footings placed on glacial till and those placed directly on the underlying bedrock. The design of the new structure will have to consider these differential settlements between the foundations supported on bedrock, and those supported on the more compressible glacial till. Structural separation maybe required between the foundations supported on bedrock, and those supported on glacial till.

For ULS sliding resistance of a cast-in-place footing placed on glacial till, an unfactored friction coefficient of 0.45 can be used. In accordance with OBC 2012 requirements, a resistance factor of 0.8 should be applied to the sliding resistance between the footings and the underlying glacial till.

5.2.2 Steel H-Pile or Steel Pipe Pile Foundations

5.2.2.1 Founding Elevations

Should the above preliminary bearing resistance not be sufficient for the design of the structure, or should the structure not contain the deep underground parking, the proposed structure may be supported on closed-ended steel pipe piles or steel H-piles driven to refusal on the underlying bedrock.

Based on the borehole results from this investigation and previous studies, the following table provides an overview of the expected elevations of the bedrock surface within the vicinity of the building.

Approximate Location	Borehole Number	Approximate Bedrock Surface Elevation (m)
Northwest Corner of Building	W-062	57.1
Northeast Corner of Building	T-1	59.2
	T-2	58.7
	T-74	57.1
	W-062	57.1
Middle of Building	14-601	48.9
	14-602	51.7
	T-75	50.7
	13-6	50.8
	W-060	52.1
	13-5	51.3
Southwest Corner of Building	W-059	51.8
	13-4	51.6
	14-603	53.0

As an alternative to driven piles (i.e. H-piles and/or closed-ended pipe piles), the use of an open-ended drilled pile advanced into the bedrock could also be considered. This pile type requires a specialized contractor and is generally more expensive than driven piles, but the use of drilled piles greatly reduces the risk of pile deflections, pile damage and piles ‘hanging up’ in the glacial till. The drilled pipe piles should be advanced to a minimum embedment depth of 1.5 m into the bedrock.

5.2.2.2 Axial Geotechnical Resistance

For an HP 310x110 pile driven to found on the limestone bedrock, the factored axial geotechnical resistance at ULS may be taken as 1,800 kN. Serviceability Limit States (SLS) resistances do not apply to piles founded on the limestone bedrock, since the SLS resistance for 25 mm of settlement is greater than the factored axial geotechnical resistance at ULS. It should be noted that pre-drilling may be required to advance the piles through the lower, very dense portions of the till if piles driven to bedrock are considered.

The preliminary ULS pile capacities discussed herein have been based on semi-empirical analyses using laboratory and in-situ test data and incorporate a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.4. Higher resistance values (0.5 for Pile Driver Analyzer or 0.6 for static pile load test methods) can be used where a field testing program is completed.

Pile installation should be in accordance with OPSS 903 (*Construction Specification for Deep Foundations*). For driven piles, the drawings should incorporate the appropriate note stating that the piles (both H-piles and pipe piles) should be equipped with pile points (e.g. Titus Standard H Point, or similar) and should be driven to bedrock. The pile points will provide additional protection to the pile tips against damage from boulders during driving, and they will also provide some penetration into the underlying bedrock. For piles driven to refusal on bedrock, and as described in OPSS 903, it is a generally accepted practice to reduce the hammer energy after abrupt peaking is met on the bedrock surface, and to then gradually increase the energy over a series of blows to seat the pile.

As a result of the two levels of underground basement, it is possible that some of the piles will be very short (i.e., less than 3 m in length). The piles should be at least 3 m in length to provide sufficient lateral confinement from the surrounding soils. In areas where the bedrock is less than 3 m below the pile caps, the piles should be pre-drilled into the bedrock to provide at least 3 m in length, or a spread footing placed directly onto the glacial till or bedrock surface could be used at these locations.

5.3 Impacts to Existing Structures

It is understood that the existing CSST tunnel crosses beneath the proposed development. Detailed information regarding the CSST has not been provided as part of this assignment, however it is also understood that there is limited rock cover of the CSST at this site location and it is understood that this pipe was installed by tunneling (i.e. not open cut).

For design purposes, the proposed shallow foundations or piles for the new building should be designed/located to have a minimum setback in accordance with the requirements set out in the design criteria outlined by the CSST team which may be up to 10 metres from the side of the pipe to avoid additional stresses from the deep foundations being imposed onto the tunnel. Preliminary guidance provided by the CSST team is found in the Structural Design Criteria and Site Construction Approaches for Building Over the Combined Sewage Storage Tunnel, 557 Wellington/Albert and 584 Wellington/Albert Development Blocks. In addition, the ULS capacity for shallow footings on the underlying bedrock should be reduced to 500 kPa within 10 metres of the existing collector sewer.

It is also understood that the existing OLRT right-of-way crosses to the northwest of the proposed development. For design purposes, the proposed shallow foundations or piles for the new building should be designed/located to have a minimum setback in accordance with the requirements set out in the design criteria provided by the OLRT team. In addition, any shoring design will need to consider impacts due to ground movements on the adjacent OLRT as outlined in Section 5.8 below.

5.4 Frost Protection

All perimeter and exterior foundation elements or interior foundation elements (i.e., footings, pile caps, grade beams, etc.) in unheated areas should be provided with a minimum of 1.5 m of earth cover for frost protection purposes. Isolated, unheated exterior foundation elements adjacent to surfaces which are cleared of snow cover during winter months should be provided with a minimum of 1.8 m of earth cover.

As an alternative to earth cover, consideration could be provided to the use of an insulation detail. Additional guidance on insulation details can be provided if required.

5.5 Seismic Design Considerations

The 2012 Ontario Building Code (OBC 2012) contains seismic analysis and design methodology. The seismic Site Class value, as defined in Section 4.1.8.4 of the OBC 2012, depends on the average shear wave velocity of the upper 30 m of soil and/or rock below founding level. The OBC permits the Site Class to be specified based solely on the stratigraphy and in situ testing data (i.e., shear strengths and standard penetration test results), rather than from direct measurements of the shear wave velocity.

Based on the in situ testing data, this site can be assigned a Site Class of C for seismic design purposes according to the 2012 OBC. A higher site class (i.e. a Site Class A or B) would likely be applicable for footings on or within 3 m of the limestone bedrock; however, this would need to be confirmed with site specific shear wave velocity testing.

5.6 Garage Floor Slab

In preparation for the construction of the garage floor slab, all fill and, all loose, wet, and disturbed material should be removed from beneath the floor slab down to the undisturbed native soil or bedrock. Provision should be made for at least 250 mm of OPSS Granular A to form the base of the floor slab. Any bulk fill required to raise the grade up to the underside of the Granular A should consist of OPSS Granular B Type II. The underslab fill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and should be compacted to at least 95% of the Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) using suitable vibratory compaction equipment.

Provision should be made for drainage underneath the floor slab consisting of perforated pipe subdrains in a surround of 19 mm clear stone, fully wrapped in geotextile, which leads by gravity drainage to an adjacent storm sewer or sump pit from which the water is pumped. For preliminary design purposes, these drains should be placed at approximately 6 m centres.

5.7 Garage Excavation and Groundwater Control

It is understood that the two levels of underground garage parking will extend about 7 to 9 m below the existing ground surface. Accordingly, excavation to these depths will be through surficial fill and into the underlying glacial till.

The bulk of the groundwater inflow to the proposed excavation will occur through the glacial till unit. Based on previous investigations conducted by Golder, the average ground elevation measured onsite was determined to be 62.3 meters above sea level (masl), and the geometric mean of groundwater elevation was measured to be 58.5 masl (i.e. 3.8 mbgs). It is understood that the proposed excavation will be about 130 m by 50 m in plan and will be founded at an elevation of about 54.3 masl (i.e. about 8 m below the average ground surface). The hydraulic conductivity of the glacial till was determined to be as high as 6×10^{-7} m/s, based on the maximum hydraulic conductivity of in-situ measurements at three on-site locations.

The equation for groundwater flow into an unconfined circular excavation was used to estimate the groundwater inflow to the proposed excavation, based on an average water table depth of 3.8 m below ground surface (mbgs) and a glacial till hydraulic conductivity of 6×10^{-7} m/s. The rate of groundwater inflow into the proposed excavation is estimated to be between approximately 212,000 L/day and 32,000 L/day (see Appendix E). A safety factor of 1.5 was applied to the inflow calculations. The radius of influence for the proposed excavation for steady-state flow was estimated to be approximately 15 m from the edge of the excavation (see Appendix E). Higher rates of inflow could occur following rainfall events and during snowmelt. Incident precipitation will also add to the water to be pumped out of the excavation. A 100 mm precipitation event would result in the accumulation of approximately 650,000 litres of direct precipitation, assuming all overland flow is diverted from the excavation.

The rate of groundwater inflow to the excavation will depend on many factors including the contractor's schedule and rate of excavation, the size of the excavation, the material, incident precipitation, and the time of year at which the excavation is made (e.g., fluctuation in seasonal groundwater elevation). The estimate rates of groundwater inflow are moderate and therefore should be possible to control by pumping with suitably sized pumps from well filtered sumps within the excavations. The contractor should be fully responsible for design of the groundwater control system.

According to O.Reg 63/16 and O.Reg 387/04, if the volume of water to be pumped from excavations for the purpose of construction dewatering is greater than 50,000 litres per day and less than 400,000 litres per day, the water taking will need to be registered as a prescribed activity in the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) and requires the completion of a "Water Taking Plan" and a "Discharge Plan". Alternatively, a Permit to

Take Water (PTTW) is required from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation (MECP) if a volume of water greater than 400,000 litres per day is to be pumped from the excavations. Based on a conservative estimate of the cumulative daily groundwater and stormwater volumes to be managed during construction as described, EASR registration may be required.

No unusual problems are anticipated in excavating the overburden using conventional hydraulic excavating equipment, recognizing that cobbles and boulders could be present in the fill and glacial till.

In accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) of Ontario, the soil that will be encountered within the excavations (fill and glacial till) would be generally classified as Type 3 soils. Below the groundwater level, the glacial till soils would be classified as Type 4 soil. Provided that the groundwater level is lowered as the excavation progresses, excavations may be made with side slopes at 1 horizontal to 1 vertical, or flatter, otherwise excavations below the groundwater level in these deposits would likely require flatter side slopes (e.g., 3 horizontal to 1 vertical) to remain stable.

Where site conditions (such as the presence of soft or weak soils, proximity of existing structures and utilities, or space restrictions) do not allow for the above noted side slopes then suitable safety and support measures must be undertaken according to the requirements of the OSHA. These measures include installation of a suitable shoring system to create and maintain positive support to the sidewalls of the excavation. Guidelines on excavation shoring are provided in Section 5.8.

The glacial till soils that will form the floor of the foundation excavations are expected to be sensitive to disturbance. Consideration should therefore be given to protecting the subgrade in foundation areas with a mud slab of lean concrete or a layer of compacted granular fill materials. The thickness of the mud slab and/or compacted granular fill working mat will depend on the size and weight of the equipment to be used at the bottom of the excavation. Any disturbed soil will need to be removed prior to placing the protective layer. That mud slab/granular fill materials should be placed immediately following inspection and approval of the subgrade. The period of time between exposure of the subgrade and covering with the protective layer should be limited to as brief as possible and, in the interim, no construction traffic should be permitted on the subgrade.

5.8 Temporary Building Excavation Shoring

The excavation for the proposed structure will extend about 7 to 9 m below the existing ground surface and may be close to the property limits and, as such, vertical (or near vertical) excavation walls may be required.

The contractor is fully responsible for the detailed design and performance of the temporary shoring systems. However, this section of the report provides some general guidelines on possible concepts for the shoring to be used by the designers for assessing the possible impacts of the shoring design and site works as well as to evaluate, at the design stage, the potential for impacts of this shoring on the adjacent properties. Temporary shoring can be used in combination with open cuts above the top of shoring, however, the earth pressure distribution must take into account the effects of the soil pressures from the upper open cut section.

The shoring method(s) chosen to support the excavation sides must take into account the soil and bedrock stratigraphy, the permissible movement of the shoring, the groundwater conditions, the methods adopted to manage the groundwater and construct the shoring systems, the potential ground movements associated with the excavation and construction of the shoring system, and their impact on adjacent structures and utilities.

It is understood that the excavation floor level will generally be about 7 to 9 m in depth below the existing ground surface elevation. The City of Ottawa right-of-ways for Commissioner Street and Albert Street, which contain below grade services, are located adjacent to the east and south sides, respectively, of the proposed excavation for the building. As such, any services located in close proximity to and/or within the zone of influence of the shoring system could be affected by ground movements behind the shoring. Details on the utilities in these areas should be confirmed during the detailed design studies to better tailor the shoring guidelines provided herein. Additionally, the right-of-way for the OLRT is located adjacent to the north side of the proposed excavation for the building and, if in close proximity to and/or within the zone of influence of the shoring system could be affected by ground movements behind the shoring.

For preliminary design purposes, a soldier pile and timber lagging system is considered a suitable shoring method that may be considered for the proposed 7 to 9 m deep excavation at the site. Due to the presence of very dense till with boulders at shallow depth on the site, the soldier piles may require predrilling to provide sufficient embedment for toe fixity. The shoring system must be provided with appropriate lateral support.

Where foundations or settlement sensitive infrastructure, such as buried utilities, are present within the zone of influence of the shoring system, the deflections may need to be greatly limited and a secant pile wall with pre-stressed tie backs may be required. Steel sheet pile systems would not be suitable where very dense till is present at shallow depth. Soldier pile and lagging walls are considered suitable for the sides of the excavations (provided that settlement-sensitive structures or utilities are not present in the zone of influence of the walls) where the objective is to maintain an essentially vertical excavation wall and the movements above and behind the wall need only be sufficiently limited so that relatively flexible features (such as roadways or sidewalks) will not be adversely affected.

For all of the above systems, some form of lateral support to the wall is required for excavation depths greater than about 3 to 4 m. Lateral restraint could be provided by means of tie-backs consisting of grouted soil or bedrock anchors. However, the use of rock/ground anchor tie-backs would require the permission of the adjacent property owners since the anchors would be installed beneath their properties. The presence of utilities beneath the adjacent streets, which could interfere with the tie-backs, should also be considered. Alternatively, interior struts can be considered, connected either to the opposite side of the excavation (if not too distant) or to raker piles and/or footings within the excavation.

5.9 Ground Movements

During the excavation for the underground levels of the proposed buildings, lateral deformation and vertical settlement of the adjacent ground will occur as a result of installation and deflection of the retaining/shoring system and dewatering activities. The ground movements induced could affect the stability or performance of buildings or underground utilities adjacent to the excavation. Therefore, the magnitude and extent of ground movement and potential impacts on surrounding infrastructure should be assessed prior to construction to confirm movements will be in tolerable limits and monitored during construction.

5.10 Foundation Wall Backfill

Foundation/basement walls should be backfilled with free draining non-frost susceptible granular fill meeting the requirements of OPSS Granular B Type I or II materials. The backfill should be compacted to 95 percent of the material's SPMDD using suitable compaction equipment. To reduce compaction induced stresses, only light compaction rollers or plate tampers should be used within 1.0 m of the wall. In any areas where the temporary shoring wall serves as the outside form for the foundation wall, vertical drainage must be installed against the shoring wall. The drainage channels could consist of filtered drainage wick such as Miradrain (or proven equivalent).

Water flow from either the granular backfill or drainage channels should be collected by means of a perforated drain line located at the base of the wall. This drain line should be provided with a granular surround and should lead to a sump pit from which water can be pumped.

Beneath hard surfacing (e.g., pavements or sidewalks/walkways), the granular backfill for the foundation wall should be placed to form a frost taper at 3 horizontal to 1 vertical to a depth of 1.8 m (i.e., the frost depth). The purpose of this frost taper is to limit the severity of differential heaving that could occur between areas backfilled with non-frost susceptible engineered fill and the adjacent areas underlain by the existing frost susceptible soils.

5.11 Lateral Earth Pressures for Design

The lateral earth pressures acting on the garage/foundation walls will depend on the existing soil conditions, on the magnitude of surcharge including construction loadings, on the freedom of lateral movement of the structure, and on the drainage conditions behind the walls. Seismic (earthquake) loading must also be taken into account in the design.

The details on the wall backfill drainage are provided in Section 5.12 of this report.

The following recommendations are made concerning the design of the foundation walls.

Where the wall support and structure allow lateral yielding, (e.g., for unrestrained retaining walls), active earth pressures may be used in the design of the wall. Where the support does not allow lateral yielding, (i.e., for the proposed basement walls) at-rest earth pressures should be assumed for design.

If a shored excavation (in overburden) is used as part of the formwork for the wall, the lateral earth pressures for foundation walls are based on the existing retained soils and the following parameters (unfactored) may be used:

Soil	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Coefficients of static lateral earth pressure	
		Active, K_a	At rest, K_o
Existing Fill	21	0.33	0.50
Glacial Till	22	0.31	0.47

The shoring designer should carefully review the subsurface information and determine appropriate earth pressure parameters for use in their design. In particular, higher values may need to be assumed in order to limit deflection of the shoring near existing structures.

If the garage/foundation wall is backfilled with granular free draining fill either in a zone with width equal to at least 50 percent of the height of the wall or within the wedge-shaped zone defined by a line drawn at 1 horizontal to 1 vertical (1H:1V) extending up and back from the rear face of the footing/pile cap/grade beam, the following parameters (unfactored) may be used:

Material	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Coefficients of static lateral earth pressure	
		Active, K_a	At rest, K_o
Granular A or Granular B Type II	22	0.27	0.43
Granular B Type I	22	0.31	0.47

Seismic loading will result in increased lateral earth pressures acting on the walls. The walls should be designed to withstand the combined lateral loading for the appropriate static pressure conditions given above, plus the earthquake-induced dynamic earth pressure.

The horizontal seismic coefficient, k_h , used in the calculation of the seismic active pressure coefficient is taken as 1.0 times the design PGA (i.e., $k_h = 0.32$). For structures which allow lateral yielding, k_h is taken as 0.5 times the design PGA (i.e., $k_h = 0.16$).

The following seismic active pressure coefficients (K_{AE}) may be used in design; these coefficients reflect the K_{AE} obtained using the k_h values described above and assumed no vertical acceleration and wall to soil friction. These seismic earth pressure coefficients assume that the back of the wall is vertical and the ground surface behind the wall is flat. Where sloping backfill is present above the top of the wall, the lateral earth pressures under seismic loading conditions should be calculated by treating the weight of the backfill located above the top of the wall as a surcharge.

Wall Type	Site PGA (2475-year Earthquake)	K_{AE}	
		Granular A/Granular B Type II	Granular B Type I
Yielding Wall	0.32g	0.39	0.43
Non-Yielding Wall		0.53	0.59

The earthquake-induced dynamic pressure distribution, which is to be added to the static earth pressure distribution, is a linear distribution with maximum pressure at the top of the wall and minimum pressure at its toe (i.e., an inverted triangular pressure distribution).

A minimum surcharge pressure of 12 kPa due to traffic and compaction induced pressure should be included in the total lateral earth pressures for the structural design of the wall.

The total pressure distribution (static plus seismic) may be determined as follows:

$$\sigma_h(d) = K_o \gamma d + (K_{AE} - K_a) \gamma (H-d) + q$$

Where: $\sigma_h(d)$ = Lateral earth pressure at depth, d , (kPa)

K_o = Coefficient of static earth pressure

γ = Unit weight of the backfill soil (kN/m³); as given previously

d = Depth below the top of the wall (m)

K_{AE} = Seismic active earth pressure coefficient

q = Surcharge to account for traffic and compaction pressure, where applicable

H = Total height of the wall (m)

All of the lateral earth pressure equations are given in an unfactored format and will need to be factored for Ultimate Limit States design purposes.

5.12 Permanent Drainage

The measured groundwater depth at the site is variable, but it is generally considered to be between about 2 to 4 m below existing site grades. To manage the long term groundwater levels and the interaction with the proposed development, a drainage system diverting collected groundwater inflow to the sewer system is recommended. It is recommended that a hydrogeological assessment be completed to provide input toward the volumes of water anticipated to be diverted to the municipal sewer system.

The subfloor drainage system (i.e., below the lowest garage level) may consist of a network of robust sub-drain pipes conveying collected groundwater to a sump or sumps from which the groundwater can be pumped to a municipal sewer. The drainage system would consist of interconnected perforated drain pipes (bedded and backfilled with free draining granular soils) installed around the perimeter and within the building footprint. The capacity of the subfloor drainage system should be modified during construction as required.

Drainage, such as a composite synthetic drainage system or equivalent, should be provided to the exterior walls. The composite drain must withstand the design horizontal earth pressures used for basement wall design, and should be connected to the basement level underslab drainage system. The drainage system collector pipes should drain to a sump for collection and discharge to a sewer.

5.13 Site Servicing

At least 150 mm of OPSS Granular A should be used as pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes. Where unavoidable disturbance to the subgrade surface occurs during construction, it may be necessary to place a sub-bedding layer consisting of 300 mm of compacted OPSS Granular B Type II beneath the Granular A. The bedding material should, in all cases, extend to the spring line of the pipe and should be compacted to at least 95% of the material's SPMDD. The use of clear crushed stone as a bedding layer should not be permitted anywhere on this project since fine particles from the sandy backfill materials and native soils could potentially migrate into the voids in the clear crushed stone and cause loss of lateral pipe support.

Cover material, from the spring line of the pipe to at least 300 mm above the top of pipe, should consist of OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type I with a maximum particle size of 25 mm. The cover material should be compacted to at least 95% of the material's SPMDD.

It should generally be possible to re-use the existing inorganic fill and glacial till as trench backfill provided it is properly moisture conditioned. Where the trench will be covered with hard surfaced areas, the type of material placed in the frost zone (between subgrade level and 1.8 m depth) should match the soil exposed on the trench walls for frost heave compatibility. Trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and should be compacted to at least 95% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory compaction equipment.

5.14 Pavement Design

In preparation for pavement construction, all topsoil, unsuitable fill, disturbed, or otherwise deleterious materials (i.e., those materials containing organic material) should be removed from the pavement areas. Some of the existing fill could remain provided that it is free of organic matter, and that the subgrade be subjected to a proof roll with a loaded tandem truck to reveal weak or soft areas prior to the construction of the new pavement structure. Soft or weak areas should be removed and repaired with acceptable earth borrow or OPSS Select Subgrade Material (SSM) or Granular B.

Pavement areas requiring grade raising to proposed subgrade level should be brought to grade using acceptable (compactable and inorganic) earth borrow, OPSS SSM or Granular B. These materials should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and should be compacted to at least 95% of the material's SPMDD using suitable compaction equipment.

The surface of the pavement subgrade should be crowned or sloped to promote drainage of the pavement granular structure towards perimeter swales or subdrains placed at the subgrade level

The following light and heavy duty pavement designs are recommended for this project:

Material		Light Duty Pavement Thickness of Pavement Elements (mm)	Heavy Duty Pavement Thickness of Pavement Elements (mm)
Bituminous Concrete OPSS 1150	Superpave 12.5 mm	60	40
	Superpave 19.0 mm	-	50
Granular Material OPSS 1010	Granular A Base	150	150
	Granular B, Type II Subbase	300	450
	Prepared and Approved Subgrade		

The granular base and subbase materials should be uniformly compacted as per OPSS 310, Method A. The asphaltic concrete should be compacted in accordance with the procedures outlined in OPSS 310.

The asphaltic cement should consist of PG 58-34 and the design of the mixes should be based on a Traffic Category B.

The above pavement designs are based on the assumption that the pavement subgrade has been acceptably prepared (i.e., grade raise fill has been adequately compacted to the required density and the subgrade surface not disturbed by construction operations or precipitation). Depending on the actual conditions of the pavement subgrade at the time of construction, it could be necessary to increase the thickness of the subbase and/or to place a woven geotextile beneath the granular materials.

Where the new pavements will connect to existing pavements, the new pavement structures should be continued at least to the limits of construction, with any longitudinal transitions and/or tapers occurring thereafter. At these locations, the longitudinal transitions should be constructed by cutting the existing pavement structure vertically to the bottom of the existing subbase. The new granular layers should then be tapered up or down, as required, at a slope of 5 horizontal to 1 vertical to match the existing pavement structure. The asphaltic concrete does not need to be tapered between the new construction and the existing pavement. However, the asphaltic concrete of the existing pavement should be milled back an additional 300 mm to a depth of about 60 mm or 40 mm in areas where its thickness is greater than 100 mm, matching the proposed surface course of the new asphaltic concrete. A tack coat should be provided and the new surface course asphaltic concrete placed over the milled surface to form the new pavement joint. Where the existing pavement is less than 100 mm, then a butt joint on a vertical saw cut surface is acceptable. A tack coat should be placed on the vertical saw cut surface. The tack coat should be in accordance with the City SP F-3107.

5.15 Corrosion and Cement Type

Two samples of soil, one from each boreholes 19-101 and 19-102 were submitted to EXOVA Laboratories Ltd. for chemical analysis related to potential corrosion of exposed buried steel and concrete elements (corrosion and sulphate attack). The results of this testing are provided in Appendix C. The results indicate that concrete made with Type GU Portland cement should be acceptable for concrete substructures.

The results also indicate an elevated potential for corrosion of buried ferrous elements, which should be considered in the design of substructures and pile foundations.

6.0 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

At the time of writing this report, only conceptual details related to the proposed building as well as adjacent significant structures such as the CSST and OLRT were available. This information suggests this building will consist of a 4 to 5 storey tower with up to two garage levels to be located at the property. Golder Associates should review the final drawings and specifications for this project prior to tendering to confirm that the guidelines in this report have been adequately interpreted.

The construction activities could impact the existing adjacent structures and buildings. Appropriate damage assessments (pre and post condition surveys for example) should be carried out as necessary.

During construction, sufficient foundation inspections, subgrade inspections, in-situ density tests, materials testing, pile and rock anchor installation monitoring should be carried out to confirm that the conditions exposed are consistent with those encountered in the boreholes, and to monitor conformance to the pertinent project specifications. Concrete testing should be carried out in a CCIL certified laboratory.

The soils at this site are sensitive to disturbance from ponded water, construction traffic and frost. All bearing surfaces must be inspected prior to filling or concreting to ensure that strata having adequate bearing capacity have been reached and that the bearing surfaces have been properly prepared.

7.0 CLOSURE

We trust that this report provides sufficient geotechnical engineering information to facilitate the design of this project. If you have any questions regarding the contents of this report or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Golder Associates Ltd.

Sarah Ghadbane, P.Eng.
Geotechnical Engineer

Chris Hendry, P.Eng.
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

SG/hdw

[https://golderassociates.sharepoint.com/sites/116386/project files/6 deliverables/19131600-001-r-rev0-central library draft geotechnical report-february 2020.docx](https://golderassociates.sharepoint.com/sites/116386/project%20files/6%20deliverables/19131600-001-r-rev0-central%20library%20draft%20geotechnical%20report-february%202020.docx)

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation

IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT

Standard of Care: Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has prepared this report in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering and science professions currently practicing under similar conditions in the jurisdiction in which the services are provided, subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this report. No other warranty, expressed or implied is made.

Basis and Use of the Report: This report has been prepared for the specific site, design objective, development and purpose described to Golder by the Client, **City of Ottawa**. The factual data, interpretations and recommendations pertain to a specific project as described in this report and are not applicable to any other project or site location. Any change of site conditions, purpose, development plans or if the project is not initiated within eighteen months of the date of the report may alter the validity of the report. Golder cannot be responsible for use of this report, or portions thereof, unless Golder is requested to review and, if necessary, revise the report.

The information, recommendations and opinions expressed in this report are for the sole benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely on this report or any portion thereof without Golder's express written consent. If the report was prepared to be included for a specific permit application process, then the client may authorize the use of this report for such purpose by the regulatory agency as an Approved User for the specific and identified purpose of the applicable permit review process, provided this report is not noted to be a draft or preliminary report, and is specifically relevant to the project for which the application is being made. Any other use of this report by others is prohibited and is without responsibility to Golder. The report, all plans, data, drawings and other documents as well as all electronic media prepared by Golder are considered its professional work product and shall remain the copyright property of Golder, who authorizes only the Client and Approved Users to make copies of the report, but only in such quantities as are reasonably necessary for the use of the report by those parties. The Client and Approved Users may not give, lend, sell, or otherwise make available the report or any portion thereof to any other party without the express written permission of Golder. The Client acknowledges that electronic media is susceptible to unauthorized modification, deterioration and incompatibility and therefore the Client cannot rely upon the electronic media versions of Golder's report or other work products.

The report is of a summary nature and is not intended to stand alone without reference to the instructions given to Golder by the Client, communications between Golder and the Client, and to any other reports prepared by Golder for the Client relative to the specific site described in the report. In order to properly understand the suggestions, recommendations and opinions expressed in this report, reference must be made to the whole of the report. Golder cannot be responsible for use of portions of the report without reference to the entire report.

Unless otherwise stated, the suggestions, recommendations and opinions given in this report are intended only for the guidance of the Client in the design of the specific project. The extent and detail of investigations, including the number of test holes, necessary to determine all of the relevant conditions which may affect construction costs would normally be greater than has been carried out for design purposes. Contractors bidding on, or undertaking the work, should rely on their own investigations, as well as their own interpretations of the factual data presented in the report, as to how subsurface conditions may affect their work, including but not limited to proposed construction techniques, schedule, safety and equipment capabilities.

Soil, Rock and Groundwater Conditions: Classification and identification of soils, rocks, and geologic units have been based on commonly accepted methods employed in the practice of geotechnical engineering and related disciplines. Classification and identification of the type and condition of these materials or units involves judgment, and boundaries between different soil, rock or geologic types or units may be transitional rather than abrupt. Accordingly, Golder does not warrant or guarantee the exactness of the descriptions.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT (cont'd)

Special risks occur whenever engineering or related disciplines are applied to identify subsurface conditions and even a comprehensive investigation, sampling and testing program may fail to detect all or certain subsurface conditions. The environmental, geologic, geotechnical, geochemical and hydrogeologic conditions that Golder interprets to exist between and beyond sampling points may differ from those that actually exist. In addition to soil variability, fill of variable physical and chemical composition can be present over portions of the site or on adjacent properties. **The professional services retained for this project include only the geotechnical aspects of the subsurface conditions at the site, unless otherwise specifically stated and identified in the report.** The presence or implication(s) of possible surface and/or subsurface contamination resulting from previous activities or uses of the site and/or resulting from the introduction onto the site of materials from off-site sources are outside the terms of reference for this project and have not been investigated or addressed.

Soil and groundwater conditions shown in the factual data and described in the report are the observed conditions at the time of their determination or measurement. Unless otherwise noted, those conditions form the basis of the recommendations in the report. Groundwater conditions may vary between and beyond reported locations and can be affected by annual, seasonal and meteorological conditions. The condition of the soil, rock and groundwater may be significantly altered by construction activities (traffic, excavation, groundwater level lowering, pile driving, blasting, etc.) on the site or on adjacent sites. Excavation may expose the soils to changes due to wetting, drying or frost. Unless otherwise indicated the soil must be protected from these changes during construction.

Sample Disposal: Golder will dispose of all uncontaminated soil and/or rock samples 90 days following issue of this report or, upon written request of the Client, will store uncontaminated samples and materials at the Client's expense. In the event that actual contaminated soils, fills or groundwater are encountered or are inferred to be present, all contaminated samples shall remain the property and responsibility of the Client for proper disposal.


Follow-Up and Construction Services: All details of the design were not known at the time of submission of Golder's report. Golder should be retained to review the final design, project plans and documents prior to construction, to confirm that they are consistent with the intent of Golder's report.

During construction, Golder should be retained to perform sufficient and timely observations of encountered conditions to confirm and document that the subsurface conditions do not materially differ from those interpreted conditions considered in the preparation of Golder's report and to confirm and document that construction activities do not adversely affect the suggestions, recommendations and opinions contained in Golder's report. Adequate field review, observation and testing during construction are necessary for Golder to be able to provide letters of assurance, in accordance with the requirements of many regulatory authorities. In cases where this recommendation is not followed, Golder's responsibility is limited to interpreting accurately the information encountered at the borehole locations, at the time of their initial determination or measurement during the preparation of the Report.

Changed Conditions and Drainage: Where conditions encountered at the site differ significantly from those anticipated in this report, either due to natural variability of subsurface conditions or construction activities, it is a condition of this report that Golder be notified of any changes and be provided with an opportunity to review or revise the recommendations within this report. Recognition of changed soil and rock conditions requires experience and it is recommended that Golder be employed to visit the site with sufficient frequency to detect if conditions have changed significantly.

Drainage of subsurface water is commonly required either for temporary or permanent installations for the project. Improper design or construction of drainage or dewatering can have serious consequences. Golder takes no responsibility for the effects of drainage unless specifically involved in the detailed design and construction monitoring of the system.

Path: \\golder\golder\atlanta\active\spatial\m\CityOfOttawa\CentralLibrary\99_PROD\19131600_CityOfOttawa_Geotech\k0_PROD\0001_Geotech\Investigation | File Name: 19131600_0001_B0_0001.dwg | Printed By: zsave Date: 2020-01-23 Time: 2:16:17 PM | Last Edited By: zsave Date: 2020-01-23 Time: 2:16:28 PM



LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE BOREHOLE LOCATION, CURRENT INVESTIGATION
- BOREHOLE LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS
- CSST ALIGNMENT
- CROSS-SECTION LOCATION

- REFERENCE(S)**
- BASE DRAWING PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA ON SEPTEMBER 19, 2019, FILE NO. 190917 - 3D Building Slab Edges.3dm
 - CSST ALIGNMENT DRAWING PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA ON NOVEMBER 15, 2019, FILE NO. ASBUILT RINGS FROM 3200 TO 3378.dwg
 - PROJECTION: TRANSVERSE MERCATOR, DATUM: NAD 83 (CSRS), COORDINATE SYSTEM: MTM ZONE 9, VERTICAL DATUM: CGVD28

DRAFT




CLIENT CITY OF OTTAWA			
PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION CENTRAL LIBRARY OTTAWA, ONTARIO			
TITLE SITE PLAN			
CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2019-12-11	
	DESIGNED	----	
	PREPARED	JM	
	REVIEWED	CRG	
	APPROVED	----	
PROJECT NO. 19131600	CONTROL 0001	REV. A	FIGURE 1



IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM A3 (1189 mm x 841 mm) TO A4 (297 mm x 210 mm)

Path: \\golder\golderottawa\Active\Special_IM\CityOfOttawa\CentralLibrary\99_PROD\19131600_CityOfOttawa_Geotech\40_PROD\0001_Geotech\Investigation | File Name: 19131600-0001-BG-0002.dwg | Last Edited By: zsaave Date: 2020-01-23 Time: 2:16:05 PM | Printed By: zsaave Date: 2020-01-23 Time: 2:16:56 PM



LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE BOREHOLE LOCATION, CURRENT INVESTIGATION
- BOREHOLE LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

BOREHOLE/MONITORING IDENTIFIER → 19-01

GROUNDWATER LEVEL →

STRATIGRAPHY →

WELL SCREEN →

SUBSURFACE STRATIGRAPHY

ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	GLACIAL TILL
TOPSOIL	LIMESTONE BEDROCK
FILL	

DRAFT

0 5 10
1:200 VERTICAL METRES

0 25 50
1:1,000 HORIZONTAL METRES

CLIENT
CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
CENTRAL LIBRARY
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

TITLE
CROSS-SECTION A-A'


CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2020-01-21
DESIGNED	----	
PREPARED	JM	
REVIEWED	----	
APPROVED	----	

PROJECT NO. 19131600 CONTROL 0001 REV. A FIGURE 2

25 mm

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM ANSI B

Path: \\golder\golderottawa\Active\Special_IM\CityOfOttawa\CentralLibrary\99_PROD\19131600_CityOfOttawa_Geotech\40_PROD\0001_B0-0003.dwg | File Name: 19131600-0001_B0-0003.dwg | Last Edited By: zsaue Date: 2020-01-23 Time: 2:11:05 PM | Printed By: zsaue Date: 2020-01-23 Time: 2:17:25 PM



LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE BOREHOLE LOCATION, CURRENT INVESTIGATION
- BOREHOLE LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

BOREHOLE/MONITORING IDENTIFIER → 19-01

GROUNDWATER LEVEL →

STRATIGRAPHY →

WELL SCREEN →

SUBSURFACE STRATIGRAPHY

ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	SAND
TOPSOIL	GLACIAL TILL
FILL	LIMESTONE BEDROCK

DRAFT

0 5 10
1:200 VERTICAL METRES

0 25 50
1:1,000 HORIZONTAL METRES

CLIENT
CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
CENTRAL LIBRARY
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

TITLE
CROSS-SECTION B-B'

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2020-01-23
DESIGNED	----	
PREPARED	ZS	
REVIEWED	----	
APPROVED	----	


PROJECT NO. 19131600 CONTROL 0001 REV. A FIGURE 3

25 mm

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM A3S/B

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

FIGURE 4



COBBLE SIZE	COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT AND CLAY
	GRAVEL SIZE		SAND SIZE			

	Borehole	Sample	Depth (m)	Constituents (%)			
				Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay
■	19-01	1	0.00-0.61	55	28	17	
◆	19-09	3	1.52-2.13	50	35	15	
▲	19-102	2	0.76-1.37	28	49	23	

Project: 19131600




C:\Users\mireland\Golder Associates\Ottawa Geotech Lab - Documents\Active\2019\19131600\Figures\

Created by: MI
Checked by: GM

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

FIGURE 5

GLACIAL TILL



COBBLE SIZE	COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT AND CLAY
	GRAVEL SIZE		SAND SIZE			

	Borehole	Sample	Depth (m)	Constituents (%)			
				Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay
■	19-02	6	3.81-4.42	8	47	30	15
◆	19-03	5	2.50-3.05	5	44	45	6
▲	19-05	7	4.57-5.18	6	41	48	5

Project: 19131600



C:\Users\mireland\Golder Associates\Ottawa Geotech Lab - Documents\Active\2019\19131600\Figures\

Created by: MI

Checked by: GM


APPENDIX A

Borehole Logs – Current Investigation

METHOD OF SOIL CLASSIFICATION

The Golder Associates Ltd. Soil Classification System is based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)

Organic or Inorganic	Soil Group	Type of Soil		Gradation or Plasticity	$C_u = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$		$C_c = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$			Organic Content	USCS Group Symbol	Group Name			
INORGANIC (Organic Content ≤30% by mass)	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS (>50% by mass is larger than 0.075 mm)	GRAVELS (>50% by mass of coarse fraction is larger than 4.75 mm)	Gravels with ≤12% fines (by mass)	Poorly Graded	<4		≤1 or ≥3			≤30%	GP	GRAVEL			
				Well Graded	≥4		1 to 3				GW	GRAVEL			
			Gravels with >12% fines (by mass)	Below A Line	n/a						GM	SILTY GRAVEL			
				Above A Line	n/a						GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL			
		SANDS (≥50% by mass of coarse fraction is smaller than 4.75 mm)	Sands with ≤12% fines (by mass)	Poorly Graded	<6		≤1 or ≥3				SP	SAND			
				Well Graded	≥6		1 to 3				SW	SAND			
			Sands with >12% fines (by mass)	Below A Line	n/a						SM	SILTY SAND			
				Above A Line	n/a						SC	CLAYEY SAND			
			Organic or Inorganic	Soil Group	Type of Soil	Laboratory Tests	Field Indicators					Organic Content	USCS Group Symbol	Primary Name	
							Dilatancy	Dry Strength	Shine Test		Thread Diameter				Toughness (of 3 mm thread)
INORGANIC (Organic Content ≤30% by mass)	FINE-GRAINED SOILS (≥50% by mass is smaller than 0.075 mm)	SILTS (Non-Plastic or PI and LL plot below A-Line on Plasticity Chart below)	Liquid Limit <50	Rapid	None	None	>6 mm	N/A (can't roll 3 mm thread)	<5%	ML	SILT				
				Slow	None to Low	Dull	3mm to 6 mm	None to low	<5%	ML	CLAYEY SILT				
				Slow to very slow	Low to medium	Dull to slight	3mm to 6 mm	Low	5% to 30%	OL	ORGANIC SILT				
			Liquid Limit ≥50	Slow to very slow	Low to medium	Slight	3mm to 6 mm	Low to medium	<5%	MH	CLAYEY SILT				
				None	Medium to high	Dull to slight	1 mm to 3 mm	Medium to high	5% to 30%	OH	ORGANIC SILT				
		CLAYS (PI and LL plot above A-Line on Plasticity Chart below)	Liquid Limit <30	None	Low to medium	Slight to shiny	~ 3 mm	Low to medium	0% to 30%	CL	SILTY CLAY				
			Liquid Limit 30 to 50	None	Medium to high	Slight to shiny	1 mm to 3 mm	Medium	(see Note 2)	CI	SILTY CLAY				
			Liquid Limit ≥50	None	High	Shiny	<1 mm	High		CH	CLAY				
		HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS (Organic Content >30% by mass)	Peat and mineral soil mixtures								30% to 75%	PT	SILTY PEAT, SANDY PEAT		
Predominantly peat, may contain some mineral soil, fibrous or amorphous peat								75% to 100%	PEAT						



Note 1 – Fine grained materials with PI and LL that plot in this area are named (ML) SILT with slight plasticity. Fine-grained materials which are non-plastic (i.e. a PL cannot be measured) are named SILT.
Note 2 – For soils with <5% organic content, include the descriptor “trace organics” for soils with between 5% and 30% organic content include the prefix “organic” before the Primary name.

Dual Symbol — A dual symbol is two symbols separated by a hyphen, for example, GP-GM, SW-SC and CL-ML.

For non-cohesive soils, the dual symbols must be used when the soil has between 5% and 12% fines (i.e. to identify transitional material between “clean” and “dirty” sand or gravel.

For cohesive soils, the dual symbol must be used when the liquid limit and plasticity index values plot in the CL-ML area of the plasticity chart (see Plasticity Chart at left).

Borderline Symbol — A borderline symbol is two symbols separated by a slash, for example, CL/CI, GM/SM, CL/ML.

A borderline symbol should be used to indicate that the soil has been identified as having properties that are on the transition between similar materials. In addition, a borderline symbol may be used to indicate a range of similar soil types within a stratum.

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED ON RECORDS OF BORHEOLES AND TEST PITS

PARTICLE SIZES OF CONSTITUENTS

Soil Constituent	Particle Size Description	Millimetres	Inches (US Std. Sieve Size)
BOULDERS	Not Applicable	>300	>12
COBBLES	Not Applicable	75 to 300	3 to 12
GRAVEL	Coarse Fine	19 to 75 4.75 to 19	0.75 to 3 (4) to 0.75
SAND	Coarse Medium Fine	2.00 to 4.75 0.425 to 2.00 0.075 to 0.425	(10) to (4) (40) to (10) (200) to (40)
SILT/CLAY	Classified by plasticity	<0.075	< (200)

MODIFIERS FOR SECONDARY AND MINOR CONSTITUENTS

Percentage by Mass	Modifier
>35	Use 'and' to combine major constituents (i.e., SAND and GRAVEL)
> 12 to 35	Primary soil name prefixed with "gravelly, sandy, SILTY, CLAYEY" as applicable
> 5 to 12	some
≤ 5	trace

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Standard Penetration Resistance (SPT), N:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) required to drive a 50 mm (2 in.) split- spoon sampler for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.).

Cone Penetration Test (CPT)

An electronic cone penetrometer with a 60° conical tip and a project end area of 10 cm² pushed through ground at a penetration rate of 2 cm/s. Measurements of tip resistance (q_t), porewater pressure (u) and sleeve frictions are recorded electronically at 25 mm penetration intervals.

Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance (DCPT); N_d:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) to drive uncased a 50 mm (2 in.) diameter, 60° cone attached to "A" size drill rods for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.).

PH: Sampler advanced by hydraulic pressure

PM: Sampler advanced by manual pressure

WH: Sampler advanced by static weight of hammer

WR: Sampler advanced by weight of sampler and rod

NON-COHESIVE (COHESIONLESS) SOILS

Compactness²

Term	SPT 'N' (blows/0.3m) ¹
Very Loose	0 - 4
Loose	4 to 10
Compact	10 to 30
Dense	30 to 50
Very Dense	>50

1. SPT 'N' in accordance with ASTM D1586, uncorrected for overburden pressure effects.

2. Definition of compactness terms are based on SPT-'N' ranges as provided in Terzaghi, Peck and Mesri (1996) and correspond to typical average N₆₀ values. Many factors affect the recorded SPT-'N' value, including hammer efficiency (which may be greater than 60% in automatic trip hammers), groundwater conditions, and grain size. As such, the recorded SPT-'N' value(s) should be considered only an approximate guide to the compactness term. These factors need to be considered when evaluating the results, and the stated compactness terms should not be relied upon for design or construction.

Field Moisture Condition

Term	Description
Dry	Soil flows freely through fingers.
Moist	Soils are darker than in the dry condition and may feel cool.
Wet	As moist, but with free water forming on hands when handled.

SAMPLES

AS	Auger sample
BS	Block sample
CS	Chunk sample
DD	Diamond Drilling
DO or DP	Seamless open ended, driven or pushed tube sampler – note size
DS	Denison type sample
FS	Foil sample
GS	Grab Sample
RC	Rock core
SC	Soil core
SS	Split spoon sampler – note size
ST	Slotted tube
TO	Thin-walled, open – note size
TP	Thin-walled, piston – note size
WS	Wash sample

SOIL TESTS

w	water content
PL, w _p	plastic limit
LL, w _L	liquid limit
C	consolidation (oedometer) test
CHEM	chemical analysis (refer to text)
CID	consolidated isotropically drained triaxial test ¹
CIU	consolidated isotropically undrained triaxial test with porewater pressure measurement ¹
D _R	relative density (specific gravity, G _s)
DS	direct shear test
GS	specific gravity
M	sieve analysis for particle size
MH	combined sieve and hydrometer (H) analysis
MPC	Modified Proctor compaction test
SPC	Standard Proctor compaction test
OC	organic content test
SO ₄	concentration of water-soluble sulphates
UC	unconfined compression test
UU	unconsolidated undrained triaxial test
V (FV)	field vane (LV-laboratory vane test)
γ	unit weight

1. Tests anisotropically consolidated prior to shear are shown as CAD, CAU.

COHESIVE SOILS

Consistency

Term	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	SPT 'N' ^{1,2} (blows/0.3m)
Very Soft	<12	0 to 2
Soft	12 to 25	2 to 4
Firm	25 to 50	4 to 8
Stiff	50 to 100	8 to 15
Very Stiff	100 to 200	15 to 30
Hard	>200	>30

1. SPT 'N' in accordance with ASTM D1586, uncorrected for overburden pressure effects; approximate only.

2. SPT 'N' values should be considered ONLY an approximate guide to consistency; for sensitive clays (e.g., Champlain Sea clays), the N-value approximation for consistency terms does NOT apply. Rely on direct measurement of undrained shear strength or other manual observations.

Water Content

Term	Description
w < PL	Material is estimated to be drier than the Plastic Limit.
w ~ PL	Material is estimated to be close to the Plastic Limit.
w > PL	Material is estimated to be wetter than the Plastic Limit.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Unless otherwise stated, the symbols employed in the report are as follows:

I. GENERAL

π	3.1416
$\ln x$	natural logarithm of x
\log_{10}	x or log x, logarithm of x to base 10
g	acceleration due to gravity
t	time

II. STRESS AND STRAIN

γ	shear strain
Δ	change in, e.g. in stress: $\Delta \sigma$
ε	linear strain
ε_v	volumetric strain
η	coefficient of viscosity
ν	Poisson's ratio
σ	total stress
σ'	effective stress ($\sigma' = \sigma - u$)
σ'_{vo}	initial effective overburden stress
$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$	principal stress (major, intermediate, minor)
σ_{oct}	mean stress or octahedral stress $= (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/3$
τ	shear stress
u	porewater pressure
E	modulus of deformation
G	shear modulus of deformation
K	bulk modulus of compressibility

III. SOIL PROPERTIES

(a) Index Properties

$\rho(\gamma)$	bulk density (bulk unit weight)*
$\rho_d(\gamma_d)$	dry density (dry unit weight)
$\rho_w(\gamma_w)$	density (unit weight) of water
$\rho_s(\gamma_s)$	density (unit weight) of solid particles
γ'	unit weight of submerged soil ($\gamma' = \gamma - \gamma_w$)
D_R	relative density (specific gravity) of solid particles ($D_R = \rho_s / \rho_w$) (formerly G_s)
e	void ratio
n	porosity
S	degree of saturation

(a) Index Properties (continued)

w	water content
w_l or LL	liquid limit
w_p or PL	plastic limit
I_p or PI	plasticity index $= (w_l - w_p)$
w_s	shrinkage limit
I_L	liquidity index $= (w - w_p) / I_p$
I_C	consistency index $= (w_l - w) / I_p$
e_{max}	void ratio in loosest state
e_{min}	void ratio in densest state
I_D	density index $= (e_{max} - e) / (e_{max} - e_{min})$ (formerly relative density)

(b) Hydraulic Properties

h	hydraulic head or potential
q	rate of flow
v	velocity of flow
i	hydraulic gradient
k	hydraulic conductivity (coefficient of permeability)
j	seepage force per unit volume

(c) Consolidation (one-dimensional)

C_c	compression index (normally consolidated range)
C_r	recompression index (over-consolidated range)
C_s	swelling index
C_α	secondary compression index
m_v	coefficient of volume change
c_v	coefficient of consolidation (vertical direction)
c_h	coefficient of consolidation (horizontal direction)
T_v	time factor (vertical direction)
U	degree of consolidation
σ'_p	pre-consolidation stress
OCR	over-consolidation ratio $= \sigma'_p / \sigma'_{vo}$

(d) Shear Strength

τ_p, τ_r	peak and residual shear strength
ϕ'	effective angle of internal friction
δ	angle of interface friction
μ	coefficient of friction $= \tan \delta$
c'	effective cohesion
c_u, s_u	undrained shear strength ($\phi = 0$ analysis)
p	mean total stress $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$
p'	mean effective stress $(\sigma'_1 + \sigma'_3)/2$
q	$(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2$ or $(\sigma'_1 - \sigma'_3)/2$
q_u	compressive strength $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$
S_t	sensitivity

* Density symbol is ρ . Unit weight symbol is γ where $\gamma = \rho g$ (i.e. mass density multiplied by acceleration due to gravity)

Notes: 1
2

$\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi'$
shear strength = (compressive strength)/2

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-01

SHEET 1 OF 1




LOCATION: N 5030969.3 ;E 366627.0

BORING DATE: November 28, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION			
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m													
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT								
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³					
								nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○					Wp ——— W ——— WI							
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80					
0		GROUND SURFACE		60.99																
	Wash Boring HW Casing	FILL - (SW/GW) SAND and GRAVEL, fine to coarse, some non-plastic fines; brown to grey brown; non-cohesive, wet, very loose to dense		0.00	1	SS	36										M			
1					2	SS	10													Bentonite Seal
					3	SS	3													Silica Sand
2																				
					4	SS	3													
		(SM/ML) SAND and SILT, some gravel; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, compact to very dense		58.34													32 mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen			
3				2.65																
				5	SS	21														
4																				
5																				

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-02

SHEET 1 OF 1



LOCATION: N 5030975.7 ;E 366666.5

BORING DATE: November 28, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m											
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT						
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³			
								20	40	60	80	Wp	W		WI			
0		GROUND SURFACE		63.63														
	Wash Boring HW Casing	FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, some non-plastic fines; dark brown to grey brown, contains brick, concrete fragments and ash; non-cohesive, moist, loose to compact		0.00	1	SS	18										Flush Mount Casing	
1																		
2																		
3			(SM/ML) SAND and SILT, some gravel and low plasticity fines; grey, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet, loose to very dense		60.58	5	SS	8										Silica Sand
4																		
5																		
6																		
7		End of borehole Sampler Refusal		57.38	9	SS	>50											
				6.25														
8																		
9																		
10																		

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-03

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030859.5 ;E 366615.9

BORING DATE: November 26, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ⊙		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵			10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³
0	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		62.58													
		FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, fine to coarse, some non-plastic fines; grey; non-cohesive, moist, dense		0.00	1	SS	35								Flush Mount Casing		
		FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, angular gravel; grey to dark brown, contains brick and ash; non-cohesive, moist, compact		61.97													
1				0.61	2	SS	20										
		(SM/ML) SAND and SILT, some gravel; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet, dense to very dense		61.21													
				1.37	3	SS	33								Bentonite Seal		
2	Wash Boring HW Casing				4	RC	DD										
					5	SS	73								MH		
3					6	SS	83										
					7	SS	87								Silica Sand		
4					8	SS	82										
5					9	SS	>55										
					10	RC	DD								32 mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen		
6					11	SS	55										
					12	RC	DD										
					13	SS	50										
7		End of Borehole		55.57											WL in screen measured at 5.57 mbgs (Elev. 57.01) on Dec. 11, 2019		
				7.01													
8																	
9																	
10																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-04

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030891.6 ;E 366647.9

BORING DATE: November 21, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³		
								nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○				Wp ———— W ———— WI					
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80		
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.66													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, fine to medium, some non-plastic fines; grey brown; non-cohesive, moist, very dense		0.00	1	SS	100										
				62.06													
		FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; grey, contains brick and ash; non-cohesive, moist, loose		0.60													
1					61.29	2	SS	7									
					1.37												
		(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; brown, contains pockets of silty clay; non-cohesive, moist, compact		1.37	3	SS	11										
2				60.53													
		(SM/ML) SAND and SILT, some gravel to gravelly; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist, very dense		2.13	4	SS	54										
3				59.54													
		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		3.12	5	SS	60										
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-04A

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030891.6 ;E 366647.9

BORING DATE: November 25, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. U -		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
							20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻³
0	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		62.66 0.00												
		No Sampling - Alternate to 19-04 advanced to obtain samples below previous refusal														
1		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		61.97 0.69												
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-04B

SHEET 1 OF 1


LOCATION: N 5030891.6 ;E 366647.9

BORING DATE: November 25, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
							20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³			
							nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○				Wp ——— W ——— WI						
							20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80			
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.66													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	No Sampling - Alternate to 19-04 advanced to obtain samples below previous refusal		0.00													
1																	
2																	
3		(SM/ML) SILTY SAND to sandy SILT, some gravel to gravelly; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist, very dense		59.61 3.05	1	SS	66										
4		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		59.00 3.66													
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-05

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030930.6 ;E 366620.5

BORING DATE: December 2, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION										
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT													
								20		40		60				80		10 ⁻⁶		10 ⁻⁵		10 ⁻⁴		10 ⁻³	
								20		40		60				80		Wp		W		Wi			
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.71																					
	Wash Boring HW Casing	FILL - (SW) gravelly SAND, fine to coarse, some non-plastic fines; brown to grey, contains cobbles and boulders; non-cohesive, wet, very dense		0.00	1	SS	>50									Flush Mount Casing									
1				2	SS	57																			
2				3	SS	>50											Bentonite Seal								
					(SM/ML) SAND and SILTY SAND, some gravel; grey, with brown mottling, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist, dense to very dense		59.58																		
				2.13																					
							4	SS	50																
3																									
		5	SS	>50											Silica Sand										
4		6	SS	60																					
5		7	SS	42											MH										
					8	SS	43							32 mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen											
6																									
					9	SS	73							WL in screen measured at 5.08 mbgs (Elev. 56.63) on Dec. 10, 2019											
7		End of Borehole		54.70	10	SS	>80																		
				7.01																					
8																									
9																									
10																									

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: JS

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-06

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030956.4 ;E 366683.0

BORING DATE: November 21, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION								
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT													
								20		40		60		80				10 ⁻⁶		10 ⁻⁵		10 ⁻⁴		10 ⁻³	
								20		40		60		80				20		40		60		80	
0		GROUND SURFACE		64.38																					
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, angular gravel, some non-plastic fines; grey brown; non-cohesive, moist, loose		0.00	1	SS	8																		
1			FILL - (SM/GM) SAND and GRAVEL, some non-plastic fines to silty; grey brown, contains brick fragments, pieces of wood and fly ash; non-cohesive, moist to wet, loose to compact		63.01	2	SS	9																	
2		(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, dense		1.37	3	SS	7																		
3				61.49	4	SS	21																		
				2.89	5	SS	41																		
4		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		60.78																					
				3.60																					
5																									
6																									
7																									
8																									
9																									
10																									

Bentonite Seal

Silica Sand

32 mm Diam. PVC
#10 Slot ScreenWL in screen
measured at
2.31 mbgs (Elev.
62.07) on Dec. 10,
2019

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-07

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030990.5 ;E 366645.3

BORING DATE: December 2, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³		
								nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○				Wp ——— W ——— WI					
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80		
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.11 0.00													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, some non-plastic fines; grey; non-cohesive, moist, compact			1	SS	21										
1			FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; brown to dark brown, contains brick and concrete fragments; moist to wet, very loose to loose		60.50 0.61												
					2	SS	7										
					3	SS	2										
2																	
					4	SS	>50										
		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		58.67 2.44													
3																	
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10																	

<

Bentonite Seal

Silica Sand

32 mm Diam. PVC
#10 Slot ScreenWL in screen
measured at
2.14 mbgs (Elev.
58.97) on Dec. 10,
2019

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: JS

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-08

SHEET 1 OF 1




LOCATION: N 5030852.8 ;E 366588.8

BORING DATE: December 3, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
							20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³			
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.38													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SP) gravelly SAND, fine to medium, some non-plastic fines; grey, contains brick, concrete fragments, cobbles and boulders; non-cohesive, moist, very dense to loose		0.00	1	SS	55									Flush Mount Casing 	
1					2	SS	37										
2				3	SS	29											
3				4	SS	8											
4			FILL - (SP) SAND, fine to medium, some silt; brown; non-cohesive, moist, compact		59.18	5	SS	13									
			(SM/ML) SAND and SILT, some gravel; brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet, very dense		3.20												Bentontie Seal
		58.72			6	SS	91										
5					7	SS	>50										
					8	SS	>100										
6																	
7					9	SS	91										
8		End of Borehole		54.91	10	SS	72										
				7.47													
9																	
10																	
													</				

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: JS

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-09

SHEET 1 OF 1







LOCATION: N 5030839.9 ;E 366615.3

BORING DATE: November 20, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ⊙		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³		
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.62 0.00													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SM/GM) SAND and GRAVEL, fine to coarse, some non-plastic fines to silty; grey brown, contains brick, concrete fragments, wood pieces and ash; non-cohesive, moist to wet, loose to dense													Flush Mount Casing 		
1				1	SS	40										Bentontie Seal 	
		2	SS	5										Silica Sand 			
2		(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, very dense		3	SS	12									M 32 mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen 		
				4	SS	83											
3		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		59.62 3.00													
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10																	

Flush Mount Casing

Bentontie Seal

Silica Sand

M

32 mm Diam. PVC
#10 Slot Screen

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-09A

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030839.9 ;E 366615.3

BORING DATE: November 25, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵		
0	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		62.62 0.00											
1		No Sampling - Alternate to 19-09 advanced to obtain samples below previous refusal													
2		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		60.79 1.83											
3															
4															
5															
6															
7															
8															
9															
10															

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-09B

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030839.9 ;E 366615.3

BORING DATE: November 25, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ⊙		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
							20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻³
0	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		62.62 0.00												
1		No Sampling - Alternate to 19-09 advanced to obtain samples below previous refusal														
2		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		61.05 1.57												
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-09C

SHEET 1 OF 1


LOCATION: N 5030840.5 ;E 366613.6

BORING DATE: November 27, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm



DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-101

SHEET 1 OF 1


LOCATION: N 5030938.2 ;E 366637.7

BORING DATE: November 21, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ⊙		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³		
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.73 0.00													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SW) gravelly SAND, fine to coarse, some non-plastic fines; grey brown, contains brick; non-cohesive, moist, very dense to compact		1	SS	53											
1				2	SS	14											
		(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist, very dense		60.36 1.37													
2				3	SS	55											
					4	SS	74										
3		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		58.79 2.94													
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-101A

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030938.2 ;E 366637.7

BORING DATE: November 25, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U -		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
							20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻³
0	Power Auger 200 mm diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		61.73 0.00												
		No Sampling - Alternate to 19-101 advanced to obtain samples below previous refusal														
1		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		60.97 0.76												
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-101B

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030938.2 ;E 366637.7

BORING DATE: November 25, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵		
0	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		61.73											
		No Sampling - Alternate to 19-101 advanced to obtain samples below previous refusal		0.00											
1															
2															
3															
4		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		58.33											
5				3.40											
6															
7															
8															
9															
10															

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

PROJECT: 19131600

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 19-102

SHEET 1 OF 1



LOCATION: N 5030878.2 ;E 366639.5

BORING DATE: November 20, 2019

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m											
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT						
								20	40	60	80							
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.69														
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SW) gravelly SAND, fine to coarse, some non-plastic fines to silty; grey to dark brown, contains brick and ash; non-cohesive, moist, very dense to loose		0.00	1	SS	95											
1					2	SS	10											
					3	SS	9											
2			(SM) SILTY SAND, fine to coarse, some gravel; grey brown, contains cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet, dense to very dense		60.56													
		2.13																
					4	SS	37											
3						5	SS	68										
					6	SS	53											
4																		
5					7	SS	59											
					8	SS	>100											
6																		
					9	SS	60											
7					10	SS	65											
					11	SS	80											
8																		
		End of Borehole Auger Refusal		54.54														
				8.15														
9																		
10																		

MIS-BHS 001 19131600.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 20-2-18 ZS

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

**GOLDER**

LOGGED: DG

CHECKED: CRG

APPENDIX B

Borehole Logs and Results of UCS Testing - Previous Investigation

PROJECT: 10-1121-0068

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: T-1

SHEET 1 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030996.80 ;E 366695.16

DRILLING DATE: 03/05/2010

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -68° AZIMUTH: 141°

DRILL RIG: CME75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.		RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate										BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean										PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular										PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break										BR - Broken Rock										NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
				DEPTH (m)	RECOVERY					R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA					HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec					WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
					TOTAL CORE %			SOLID CORE %	R.Q.D. %				FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Joon	Jr	Ja	10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10	W1 W2 W3 W4 W5 W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
0		GROUND SURFACE		65.71																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: DWM/CP

CHECKED: MJT

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

PROJECT: 10-1121-0068

LOCATION: N 5030996.80 ;E 366695.16

INCLINATION: -68° AZIMUTH: 141°

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: T-1

DRILLING DATE: 03/05/2010

DRILL RIG: CME75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

SHEET 2 OF 4

DATUM: Geodetic

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.		RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate			BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean			PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular			PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break			BR - Broken Rock			NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
				DEPTH (m)	ELEV.			RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA			HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec			WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
								TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Jc	Jr	Ja	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	W1	W2	W3		W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
10		--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								</

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: DWM/CP

CHECKED: MJT

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

LOCATION: N 5030996.80 :E 366695.16

DRILLING DATE: 03/05/2010

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -68° AZIMUTH: 141°

DRILL RIG: CME75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

[illegible]

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

LOGGED: DWM/CP

CHECKED: MJT

SHEET 4 OF 4

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

[illegible]

1 : 50

LOGGED: DWM/CP

CHECKED: MJT

PROJECT: 10-1121-0068

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: T-2

SHEET 1 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030988.22 ;E 366705.01

DRILLING DATE: 06/05/2010

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -70° AZIMUTH: 270°

DRILL RIG: CME75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.		RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate										BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean										PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular										PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break										BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.										NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
				DEPTH (m)	R.Q.D. %			RECOVERY		FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA						HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec				WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
								TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %			TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Joon	Jr	Ja	Jc	Jd	Jf	Jg	Jh	Ji	Jj	Jk	Jl	Jm	Jn	Jo	Jp	Jq	Jr	Js	Jt	Ju	Jv	Jw	Jx	Jy	Jz																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
0		GROUND SURFACE		66.32																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															</

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: CP

CHECKED: MJT

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

LOCATION: N 5030988.22 :E 366705.01

DRILLING DATE: 06/05/2010

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -70° AZIMUTH: 270°

DRILL RIG: CME75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

[illegible]

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

LOGGED: CP

CHECKED: MJT

PROJECT: 10-1121-0068

LOCATION: N 5030988.22 ;E 366705.01

INCLINATION: -70° AZIMUTH: 270°

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: T-2

DRILLING DATE: 06/05/2010

DRILL RIG: CME75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

SHEET 3 OF 4

DATUM: Geodetic

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.		RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate				BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean				PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular				PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break				BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.				NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
				DEPTH (m)	RECOVERY			R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA		HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec		WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
					TOTAL CORE %						SOLID CORE %	TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Jcon	Jr	Ja	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	W1	W2		W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
20	Rotary Drill HQ Core	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --- Fresh, thinly bedded, grey, fine grained, strong to very strong, fossiliferous LIMESTONE interbedded with shale seams (2 to 50mm thick)	+		9																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: CP

CHECKED: MJT

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

[illegible]

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

LOGGED: CP

CHECKED: MJT

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: T-68

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030967.29 ;E 366619.07

BORING DATE: September 1, 2011

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				k, cm/s					
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ⊙		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²
0		GROUND SURFACE		60.59													
	Power Auger 200mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE		0.00													
		Grey crushed stone (FILL)		0.15													
		Brown coarse sand, some gravel (FILL)		0.29													
				59.77													
1		Very dense brown sandy silt, some gravel, with cobbles (FILL)		0.82	1	50 DO	100								M		
				59.22													
		Dense to very dense brown to grey brown SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL)		1.37													
2					2	50 DO	43								Bentonite Seal		
						3	50 DO	47									
3																	
						4	50 DO	54							MH		
4																	
					5	50 DO	>50										
					6	50 DO	>50										
5																	
					7	50 DO	>50										
6																	
					8	50 DO	>50										

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KS

CHECKED: SD

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: T-74

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030956.27 ;E 366672.13

BORING DATE: April 4, 2011

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING						
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	20 40 60 80				10 ⁻⁸ 10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻²									
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U -					WATER CONTENT PERCENT				
								20 40 60 80				20 40 60 80									
0		GROUND SURFACE		63.41																	
	Power Auger 200mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	Grey crushed stone (FILL)		0.00																	
		Compact to dense brown sandy silt, trace gravel and clay (FILL)		0.15	1	A.S.															
1					2	50 DO	20														
					3	50 DO	41														
2																					
			Very dense brown SANDY SILT, trace gravel, with cobbles and sand layers (GLACIAL TILL)		61.13 2.28	4	50 DO	59													
3					5	50 DO	55														
4					6	50 DO	>100														
		Very dense dark grey SANDY SILT, some gravel, trace clay, with cobbles (GLACIAL TILL)		58.84 4.57	7	50 DO	55														
5																					
					8	50 DO	57														
6																					
		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE T-74		57.12																	
7																					
8																					
9																					
10																					



DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: JMR/DAC

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: --

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DATUM: Geodetic

1 : 50

DLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: T-74

SHEET 2 OF 2

LOCATION: N 5030956.27 ;E 366672.13

DRILLING DATE: April 4, 2011

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.										NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
				DEPTH (m)			RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP W/L CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA			HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec			WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
							TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Joon	Jr	Ja	10 ⁻⁹		10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁷	10 ⁻⁶	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
		--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---	+	46.95	7																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															

'B' 'A'

Bentonite Seal

W.L. in Screen at
Elev. 57.96m on
June 28, 2011

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: JMR/DAC

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM


LOCATION: N 5030923.18 :E 366623.23

BORING DATE: March 23-24, 2011

DATUM: Geodetic

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE
1 : 50



DLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: T-75

SHEET 2 OF 2


LOCATION: N 5030923.18 ;E 366623.23

BORING DATE: March 23-24, 2011

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶		
10	Rotary Drill HQ Core	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --- Very dense grey SANDY SILT to SILTY SAND, some gravel, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL)		50.71	14	50 DO	>50								
11		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE T-75													
12															
13															
14															
15															
16															
17															
18															
19															
20															

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KS

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 2

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

CHECKED: MRR

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: T-75

SHEET 2 OF 2

LOCATION: N 5030923.18 ;E 366623.23

DRILLING DATE: March 23-24, 2011

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD		DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.		RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate										BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean				PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular				PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break				BR - Broken Rock		NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
					DEPTH (m)	RECOVERY			R.Q.D. %	FRACT INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP W/L CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec				WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
						TOTAL CORE %						SOLID CORE %	TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Jcon	Jr	Ja	10 ⁰ C	10 ⁰ C	10 ⁰ C	10 ⁰ C	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---			8																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KS

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/16/11 JEM/JM

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: W-058

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030845.23 ;E 366541.33

BORING DATE: October 18, 2010

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING									
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT													
								20		40		60		80				10 ⁻⁸		10 ⁻⁶		10 ⁻⁴		10 ⁻²	
								20		40		60		80				Wp		W		Wi			
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.40																					
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	Dense to very loose brown to grey to black silty sand, some gravel, trace to some reddish brick fragments, trace black cinders/asphalt, trace ash (FILL)		0.00													Flush Mount Protective Casing set in Bentonite								
1				1	50 DO	34																			
2				2	50 DO	8												Bentonite Seal							
3				3	50 DO	7																			
4				4	50 DO	18												M							
5				5	50 DO	81																			
6		6	50 DO	50																					
7		7	50 DO	50																					
8		8	50 DO	50																					
9		9	50 DO	50																					
10																									

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: JC

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 1

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

CHECKED: MRR

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: W-059

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030871.95 ;E 366581.57

BORING DATE: November 11-12, 2010

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s					ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING					
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m															
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ○				WATER CONTENT PERCENT						
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²	Wp			W	Wi		
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80							
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.68																		
	Power Auger 200mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE		0.00																		
		Grey crushed stone (FILL)		0.14																		
		Brown silty sand to sand, trace gravel (FILL)		0.29																		
1				60.79																		
		Compact to dense grey brown silty fine sand, trace to some gravel, trace organic matter, occasional brown fine to medium sand layer (FILL)		0.89	1	50 DO	18															
				60.00																		
2		Dense brown SANDY SILT, trace gravel (GLACIAL TILL)		1.68	2	50 DO	42															
				59.55																		
		Dense to very dense grey brown SILTY SAND, trace to some gravel, occasional cobbles (GLACIAL TILL)		2.13																		
					3	50 DO	44															
3					4	50 DO	82															
4		Very dense grey to grey brown SILTY SAND, some gravel, occasional cobbles (GLACIAL TILL)		58.02																		
				3.66																		
					5	50 DO	96															
5																						
					6	50 DO	55															
					7	50 DO	53															
6		Very dense grey SANDY SILT, trace to some gravel, occasional cobbles (GLACIAL TILL)		55.73																		
				5.95																		
					8	50 DO	80															
7					9	50 DO	>100															
					10	50 DO	>100															
8																						
9		Very dense grey SANDY SILT, trace gravel and clay, occasional cobbles (GLACIAL TILL)		53.30																		
				8.38	11	50 DO	>100															
					12	50 DO	>100															
10		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE W-059		51.84																		

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: RI

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 1

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

CHECKED: MRR

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: W-060

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5030910.36 ;E 366594.56

BORING DATE: November 24, 2010

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○				Wp — W — WI					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.23													
	Power Auger 200mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE		0.00											Flush Mount Protective Casing set in Bentonite		
		Loose to dense brown sandy silt, trace medium sand layers (FILL)		0.10													
1					1	50 DO	9								Bentonite Seal		
2					2	50 DO	35										
					3	50 DO	44								Silica Sand		
3																	
			Grey brown silty sand, trace gravel, some pieces of wood (FILL)		58.18												
			Very dense grey brown SILTY fine SAND, trace gravel (GLACIAL TILL)		3.05 57.93 3.30	4	50 DO	>100									
4					5	50 DO	78								MH 32mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen		
					6	50 DO	97										
5					7	50 DO	>100								Silica Sand		
6					8	50 DO	>100										
7					9	50 DO	>100										
8					10	50 DO	>100								Grout		
9															W.L. in Screen at Elev. 57.72m on Jan. 20, 2011		
		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE W-060		52.06													
10																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: JD

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 1

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

CHECKED: MRR

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: W-061

SHEET 1 OF 1







LOCATION: N 5030949.23 ;E 366639.83

BORING DATE: October 18, 2010

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m										
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80		
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.55													
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	Grey crushed stone (BASE)		0.00													
		Brown sand, trace silt and gravel (FILL)		0.15													
		Dark brown silty sand, some gravel, occasional cobbles, brick (FILL)		0.23	3	GRAB	-										
				60.94													
		Dense brown to grey brown SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay, with cobbles and sand seams (GLACIAL TILL)		0.61													
1					1	50 DO	32										
					2	50 DO	41										
2																	
					4	50 DO	37										
			Very dense grey brown to grey SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay, with cobbles and sand seams (GLACIAL TILL)		59.01												
3					2.54												
					5	50 DO	60										
4					6	50 DO	26									MH	
					7	50 DO	92										
5																	
		Very dense grey SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay, with cobbles (GLACIAL TILL)		56.37													
				5.18													
					8	50 DO	80										
6																	
					9	50 DO	51									MH	
7																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: RI

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 2

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

[illegible]

CHECKED: MRR

COLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: W-061

SHEET 2 OF 2

LOCATION: N 5030949.23 ;E 366639.83

DRILLING DATE: October 18, 2010

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD		DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate										BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean										PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular										PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break										BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.										NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
								RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec				WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
								TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION		Jcont	Jr	Ja	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
								Rotary Drill	HQ Core				--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---		Fresh, medium to thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, medium strong LIMESTONE BEDROCK, with thinly laminated black shale layers		End of Drillhole																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
20					42.02	C7																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: RI

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 1

DATUM: Geodetic

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

POLRT-SOIL 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

CHECKED: MRR

PROJECT: 10-1121-0222

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: W-062

SHEET 1 OF 2

LOCATION: N 5030983.21 ;E 366665.88

DRILLING DATE: October 19, 2010

DATUM: Geodetic

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate										BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean										PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular										PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break										BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.										NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
							RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT INDEX PER 0.25m	DIP w.r.t. CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA		HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec				WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
							TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION		Jo	on	Jr	Ja	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
6		BEDROCK SURFACE		57.07																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: CC

CHECKED: MRR

OLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 2 OF 2

DATUM: Geodetic

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing

CHECKED: MRR

COLRT-ROCK 1011210222-1300.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 12/06/11 JEM/JM

SHEET 1 OF 4

DATUM: CGVD1928

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

[illegible]

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 13-3

SHEET 2 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030826.51 ;E 366567.39

DRILLING DATE: February 13, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME-75

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶			10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²
10	Power Auger RD HQ3	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --- (ML) sandy SILT, some gravel, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance; grey, (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, very dense		51.41	14	SS	>50										
11		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 13-3															
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KE

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: --

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DATUM: CGVD1928


1 : 50

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: --

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DATUM: CGVD1928

1 : 50



CSST-ROCK 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

SHEET 1 OF 4

DATUM: CGVD1928

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION										
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m																			
								20		40		60		80				10 ⁻⁸		10 ⁻⁶		10 ⁻⁴		10 ⁻²		
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + rem V. ⊕		Q - ● U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT												
							20	40	60	80		20	40	60	80											
0	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	GROUND SURFACE		62.20																						
		(SM) SILTY SAND, trace to some gravel; brown to black, (FILL); non-cohesive		0.00																						
		(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance, trace mortar, glass, ash, brick fragments, and organic matter; grey to brown, (FILL); non-cohesive, moist, loose to compact		61.79																						
1					1	SS	20																			
2					2	SS	4																			
					3	SS	53																			
3																										
					4	SS	22																			
4			- Becoming very dense below 3.81 m depth		5	SS	50																			
5		- Becoming wet at 4.88 m depth		6	SS	50																				
6		(SM/ML) sandy SILT to SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace sand seams, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance; (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, very dense		56.87																						
				5.33																						
				7	SS	73																				
				8	SS	50																				
7				9	SS	50																				
				10	SS	89																				
				11	SS	63																				
9				12	SS	50																				
10				13	SS	50																				
CONTINUED NEXT PAGE																										

1 : 50



LOGGED: KE

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 13-4

SHEET 2 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030852.88 ;E 366587.26

DRILLING DATE: February 4, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME-75

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶			10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²
10	Power Auger	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---															
11		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 13-4		51.56													
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KE

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME-75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DATUM: CGVD1928

1 : 50



INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: --

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DATUM: CGVD1928

1 : 50

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 13-5

SHEET 1 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030879.81 ;E 366599.42

DRILLING DATE: February 12-14, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -69.5° AZIMUTH: 203°

DRILL RIG: CME-75

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - ● rem V. U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT Wp ———— W ———— WI					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.11													
		Overburden - Not Sampled		0.00													
1																	
2																	
3																	
4																	
5	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)																
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10																	
		CONTINUED NEXT PAGE															

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 13-5

SHEET 2 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030879.81 ;E 366599.42

DRILLING DATE: February 12-14, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -69.5° AZIMUTH: 203°

DRILL RIG: CME-75

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. U -		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²
10	Power Auger	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --- Overburden - Not Sampled															
11	Rotary Drill HQ3 Core																
12		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 13-5		51.33													
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

LOCATION: N 5030879.81 ;E 366599.42

INCLINATION: -69.5° AZIMUTH: 203°

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: 13-5

DRILLING DATE: February 12-14, 2013

DRILL RIG: CME-75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

SHEET 3 OF 4

DATUM: CGVD1928

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	SYMBOLIC LOG																NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
				ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT INDEX PER 0.25m	ANGLE WRT CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec					WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
							TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Joon	Jr	Ja	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³		W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
		BEDROCK SURFACE		51.33																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: 13-5

SHEET 4 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030879.81 ;E 366599.42

DRILLING DATE: February 12-14, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -69.5° AZIMUTH: 203°

DRILL RIG: CME-75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.												NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
							RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	ANGLE WRT CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA			HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec	WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
							TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION				Joon	Jr	Jb		10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
							88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888		88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	88888888

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 13-6

SHEET 1 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030907.61 ;E 366614.54

DRILLING DATE: February 8, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME-75

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION			
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m												
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + rem V. ⊕		Q - ● U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²	Wp	W
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.95											MON. WELL				
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; brown, (FILL); non-cohesive		0.00											Bentonite Seal				
				61.67															
		(SM) SILTY SAND, trace gravel, mortar, ash, brick, and glass fragments; grey brown, (FILL); non-cohesive, dry, very loose to very dense		0.28															
1					1	SS	3												
					2	SS	64												
2																			
					3	SS	36												
3			(SP) SAND, fine to medium, trace gravel, brick, ash, and mortar, some low plasticity fines; brown, (FILL); non-cohesive, moist, dense		59.44														
					2.51														
					4	SS	49												
4			(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace brown medium to coarse sand seams, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance; grey brown, (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, dense to very dense		58.29														
				3.66															
				5	SS	>50													
5																			
				6	SS	>50													
6																			
				7	SS	53													
7																			
				8	SS	66													
				9	SS	>50													
8																			
				10	SS	>50													
				11	SS	>50													
9																			
				12	SS	>50													
10				13	SS	42													
		CONTINUED NEXT PAGE																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KE

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 13-6

SHEET 2 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030907.61 ;E 366614.54

DRILLING DATE: February 8, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928


INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME-75

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION									
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				k, cm/s														
								20		40		60		80					10 ⁻⁸		10 ⁻⁶		10 ⁻⁴		10 ⁻²	
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + rem V. ⊕		Q - ● U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					Wp — W — Wi							
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80											
10	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --- (SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace brown medium to coarse sand seams, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance; grey brown, (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, dense to very dense																								
				13	SS	42																				
				14	SS	33																				
11		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 13-6		50.80																						
12																										
13																										
14																										
15																										
16																										
17																										
18																										
19																										
20																										

MON. WELL

Silica Sand

Bentonite Seal

Silica Sand

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KE

CHECKED: WAM/AJS

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/21/15 JM

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: --

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DATUM: CGVD1928

1 : 50



PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: 13-6

SHEET 4 OF 4

LOCATION: N 5030907.61 ;E 366614.54

DRILLING DATE: February 8, 2013

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME-75

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Downing Drilling

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.																NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
							RECOVERY		R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	ANGLE WRT CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA			HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec			WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
							TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %				TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Joon	Jr	Ja	10 ⁰	10 ¹	10 ²	W1	W2	W3	W4		W5	W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
							000000	000000																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
		-- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --	+	40.44	8																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		</

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: KE

CHECKED: WAM/AJS



THE ROBERT M. BUCHAN
DEPARTMENT OF MINING

Goodwin Hall
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario, Canada
K7L 3N6
Tel 613 533-2230
Fax 613 533-6597

April 29, 2013

Mr. Stephen Dunlop
Golder Associates Limited
32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9

Re: Golder Ottawa CSST Project #13-1121-0005

Dear Mr. Dunlop:

Ten core specimens were received in a single shipment from which nine unconfined compression and nine Brazilian indirect tensile strength assessments were made.

The unconfined test specimens, of adequate received length, were subjected to a process of preparation that included:

- diamond sawing to prepare cylindrical samples having parallel end faces
- diamond lathing, to prepare sample faces parallel to within ± 0.025 mm
- testing to failure within a servo-controlled compression frame

Test results are tabled, photographs of pre- and post-test specimens are illustrated, and a summary billing statement for work that has been completed are included with this report.

Yours sincerely,

J. F. Archibald, Ph.D., P. Eng., FCIM

Sample Failure Test Results (Ottawa CSST Project #13-1121-0005) – April, 2013

Sample Hole (depth)	Density (g/cm ³)	UCS (MPa)	Young's Modulus (GPa)	Poisson's ratio (μ)	Brazilian Indirect Tensile Strength (and range) (MPa)
13-3 (13.33-13.64 m)	---	---	---	---	7.8 (4.7-9.2)
13-3 (13.73-14.02 m)	2.70	48.0	20.532	0.21	---
13-3 (15.55-16.02 m)	2.70	28.8 (pf)	12.168	0.15	9.1 (6.2-10.8)
13-3 (17.23-17.61 m)	2.70	44.6 (f)	18.243	0.12	9.6 (7.4-11.9)
13-4 (12.42-12.77 m)	2.70	51.1	19.466	0.12	9.6 (8.3-12.2)
13-4 (14.10-14.47 m)	2.70	43.4 (pf)	20.143	0.14	8.4 (5.4-12.0)
13-4 (16.53-16.86 m)	2.68	18.5 (pf)	14.221	---	9.5 (7.3-11.5)
13-6 (12.11-12.47 m)	2.69	32.7 (pf)	8.792	---	8.0 (6.1-10.3)
13-6 (14.97-15.31 m)	2.70	63.9 (pf)	36.749	0.13	7.3 (5.5-10.3)
13-6 (16.47-16.77 m)	2.70	35.0 (pf)	12.385	0.16	7.1 (5.9-8.1)


(pf) – indicates that sample failure occurred partially along pre-existing foliation surface

(f) - indicates that sample failure occurred entirely along pre-existing foliation surface

Photographs of Pre-Test Samples








Photographs of Post-Test Samples







SHEET 1 OF 3

DATUM: CGVD1928

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

CHECKED: SD

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 14-601

SHEET 2 OF 3

LOCATION: N 5030901.52 ;E 366634.38

DRILLING DATE: November 26-27, 2014

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 850

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT Wp I — W — WI					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶			10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²
		--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---															
10	Wash Boring HW Casing	(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND, 20-40% low to medium plasticity fines; grey brown to grey, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist, compact to very dense RDR 3 - ≥ 5															
11				10	SS	>50											
12	Rotary Drill HQ3 Core			11	RC	DD											
13				12	SS	>50											
				13	RC	DD											
14		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 14-601		48.91													
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: SD

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: 14-601

SHEET 3 OF 3

LOCATION: N 5030901.52 ;E 366634.38

DRILLING DATE: November 26-27, 2014

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 850

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.		RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	JN - Joint FLT - Fault SH - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate				BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Clean				PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular				PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth RO - Rough MB - Mechanical Break				BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.				NOTES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
				DEPTH (m)	RECOVERY			R.Q.D. %	FRACT. INDEX PER 0.25m	ANGLE WRT CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec				WEATH- ERING INDEX																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
					TOTAL CORE %						SOLID CORE %	TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION				Joon	Jr	Ja	10 ⁰	10 ¹	10 ²	10 ³	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5		W6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
		BEDROCK SURFACE		48.91																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: AJS

CSSST-ROCK 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 14-602

SHEET 1 OF 3

LOCATION: N 5030915.66 ;E 366656.86

DRILLING DATE: November 28 - December 3, 2014

DATUM: CGVD1928


INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: Portable Drill

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				k, cm/s					
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ●		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²		
0		GROUND SURFACE		63.00													
	Portable Drill - Wash Boring NW Casing	FILL - (SP) SAND, some gravel; brown, with cobbles; non-cohesive, moist, compact		0.00	1	SS	29										
1		FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; brown, with mica, organic matter and cobbles; non-cohesive, moist, compact		62.39 0.61	2	SS	10										
						3	SS	11									
2						4	SS	19									
			(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND, 20-40% low to medium plasticity fines; grey brown, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet, very dense	60.56 2.44	5	SS	>50										
3						6	SS	52									
4						7	RC	DD									
5						8	SS	>50									
						9	RC	DD									
6						10	RC	DD									
7						11	RC	DD									
8					12	RC	DD										
9					13	SS	>50										
10																	
		CONTINUED NEXT PAGE															

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: SD

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 14-602

SHEET 2 OF 3

LOCATION: N 5030915.66 ;E 366656.86

DRILLING DATE: November 28 - December 3, 2014

DATUM: CGVD1928


INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: Portable Drill

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT Wp ———— W ———— WI					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶			10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²
10	Portable Drill NQ3 Core	--- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE --- (SM) gravelly SILTY SAND, 20-40% low to medium plasticity fines; grey brown, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet, very dense		51.70													
11		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 14-602															
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: SD

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

SHEET 3 OF 3

DATUM: CGVD1928

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

DEPTH SCALE METRES	DRILLING RECORD		DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV.	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	RECOVERY				FRACT INDEX PER 0.25m	ANGLE W/ CORE AXIS	DISCONTINUITY DATA				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K, cm/sec				WEATH-ERING INDEX				NOTES	
					DEPTH (m)			TOTAL CORE %	SOLID CORE %	R.Q.D. %	TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION			Jcon	Jr	Ja	10 ⁰	10 ¹	10 ²	10 ³	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5		W6
BR - Broken Rock NOTE: For additional abbreviations refer to list of abbreviations & symbols.																											

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000	1001	1002	1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008	1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020	1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026	1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032	1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038	1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044	1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050	1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056	1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062	1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068	1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074	1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080	1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086	1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092	1093	1094	1095	
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	--

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50

LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: AJS

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 14-603

SHEET 1 OF 2

LOCATION: N 5030855.87 ;E 366544.85

DRILLING DATE: January 13, 2015

DATUM: CGVD1928

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 55

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION			
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m												
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. + rem V. ⊕		Q - U - ● ○		WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²	Wp — W — Wi	
								20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80				
0		GROUND SURFACE		61.41													MON. WELL		
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, fine, trace gravel; dark brown, with organic matter; non-cohesive, moist RDR = 3		0.00	1	SS	33										Monument Casing		
		- Blow count high due to frozen soil		60.80															
				0.61															
1		FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, fine, trace gravel; brown; non-cohesive, moist, loose RDR = 2			2	SS	5												
				59.89															
				1.52															
2		FILL - (SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; dark brown, with cobbles; moist, compact RDR = 2			3	SS	18												
						4	SS	15											
				58.51															
				2.90															
3	FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; dark brown to black, with crushed stone and organic matter; moist, dense RDR = 2-3				5	SS	32												
			57.60																
			3.81																
4	(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND, 20-40% low to medium plasticity fines; brown to grey brown, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance (GLACIAL TILL); moist, compact to very dense RDR = 3-4				6	SS	22									Native Backfill and Bentonite			
					7	SS	56												
					8	SS	65												
6		(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND, 20-40% low to medium plasticity fines; grey, presence of cobbles and/or boulders inferred from auger resistance (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist, very dense RDR = 5		55.31															
				6.10	9	SS	>50												
7					10	SS	>50												
					11	SS	>50												
8																			
				53.03												Bentonite Seal			
	Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 14-603																		
9																			
10																			

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: SD

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

[illegible]

PROJECT: 13-1121-0143

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 14-604

SHEET 1 OF 2

LOCATION: N 5030851.90 ;E 366526.55

DRILLING DATE: January 15, 2015

DATUM: CGVD1928





INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: ---

DRILL RIG: CME 55

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Marathon Drilling

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²				
								SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa		nat V. rem V.	+ ⊕	Q - U -	● ○	WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
														Wp	W			WI	
							20	40	60	80	20	40	60	80					
0		GROUND SURFACE		56.44															
	Power Auger 200 mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)	TOPSOIL/FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND; dark brown; moist		0.00															
		FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, trace to some gravel; brown, with metal wire; moist, very loose to loose RDR = 1		56.13															
1					1	SS	3												
2					2	SS	5												
					3	SS	>50												
				53.90															
3	Rotary Drill NQ3 Core	Reinforced PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE (Retaining Wall Footing)		2.54															
					4	RC	DD												
					5	RC	DD												
					52.86														
4		(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; grey, with cobbles (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist		3.58															
					6	RC	DD												
					7	RC	DD												
				52.10															
		Borehole continued on RECORD OF DRILLHOLE 14-604																	
5																			
6																			
7																			
8																			
9																			
10																			

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: SD

CSST-SOIL 1311210143.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 07/17/15 JM

[illegible]

PROJECT: 1522242-3000

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 15-01

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: February 28, 2015

DATUM: Geodetic

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES		HEADSPACE ORGANIC VAPOUR CONCENTRATIONS [PPM] \oplus				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m	HEADSPACE COMBUSTIBLE VAPOUR CONCENTRATIONS [PPM] \square				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								ND = Not Detected				Wp \mid \bigcirc W \mid WI					
								ND = Not Detected				20 40 60 80					
								20	40	60	80						
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.18													
	Percussion Drill 105 mm Diam. Casing	FILL - (SW/SM) gravelly SAND, angular, some brown sand; grey; non-cohesive		0.00													
1		FILL - Mixture of SILTY SAND; black, orange brick, fly ash, gravel		61.42 0.76	1	SS								CHEM			
		FILL - (SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; non-cohesive, moist		60.66 1.52													
2					2	SS	\oplus	ND									
3		FILL - Orange brick, fly ash, gravel		59.13 3.05	3	SS	\oplus	\square	ND					CHEM			
		(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; brown (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist		3.20													
4					3A	SS								CHEM	Bentonite Seal		
5				4	SS	\oplus	\square										
6		(SM) SILTY SAND, trace gravel; brown, trace cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); moist		56.08 6.10	5	SS	\oplus	\square									
7		(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace silt; grey, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive; moist to wet		55.17 7.01	6	SS	\oplus	\square									
8														Silica Sand			
9														51 mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen			
10		End of Borehole		52.43 9.75										W.L. in Screen at Elev. 57.645 m on March 9, 2015			

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: AC

MIS-BHS 001 1522242-3000.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 05/12/15 JEM

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: February 28, 2015

DATUM: Geodetic

MIS-BHS 001 1522242-3000.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 05/12/15 JEM

1 : 50



CHECKED: AC

PROJECT: 1522242-3000

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 15-04

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: March 1, 2015

DATUM: Geodetic

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			HEADSPACE ORGANIC VAPOUR CONCENTRATIONS [PPM] \oplus				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.30m	ND = Not Detected				10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻³					
								HEADSPACE COMBUSTIBLE VAPOUR CONCENTRATIONS [PPM] \square				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								ND = Not Detected				Wp \mid \bigcirc W \mid WI					
								20	40	60	80						
0		GROUND SURFACE															
	Percussion Drill 105 mm Diam. Casing	FILL - Gravel, blast rock, wood, boulders		0.00													
1																	
		FILL - Orange brick, fly ash, gravel		1.22													
2					1	SS	\oplus	\square							CHEM	Bentonite Seal	
		(SM) SILTY SAND, trace to some gravel; grey brown (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, moist to wet		2.44	1A	SS	\oplus	\square							CHEM		
3					2	SS	\oplus	\square									
					2A	SS	\oplus	\square								Silica Sand	
4																	
					3	SS	\oplus	\square									
5																	
		(SM) SILTY SAND, some gravel; grey brown, with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet		5.35												51 mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen	
6					4	SS	\oplus	\square									
					4A	SS	\oplus	\square									
7		End of Borehole		7.01													
8																	
9																	
10																	

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: HEC

CHECKED: AC

MIS-BHS 001 1522242-3000.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 05/12/15 JEM

PROJECT: 06-1120-331

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH 06-24

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: Nov. 6-7, 2006

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								20	40	60	80	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴			10 ⁻²	10 ⁻¹
						</											

DEPTH SCALE

1:75



LOGGED: D.G.

CHECKED: S.A.T.

MIS-BHS 001 06-1120-331-2000.GPJ GLDR CAN GDT 12/18/06

PROJECT: 06-1120-331

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH 06-25

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: Nov. 7, 2006

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
								Cu, kPa		nat V. + Q - rem V. ⊕ U - ○		10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻³					
								20	40	60	80	Wp ——— W ——— Wt					
0		GROUND SURFACE		62.82													
		Grey crushed stone (FILL)		60.00													
		Loose to compact brown sand and silty sand, some gravel with cobbles, brick, concrete and organic matter (FILL)		0.15												Flush mount casing set in bentonite	
1					1	50 DO	16										
2					2	50 DO	11										
		Very dense brown SANDY SILT, some gravel, trace clay with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL)		60.53												Native Backfill	
				2.29	3	50 DO	>100										
3		Compact to very dense grey SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL)		59.77													
				3.05	4	50 DO	>100										
4	Power Auger 200mm Diam. (Hollow Stem)				5	50 DO	22									Bentonite Seal	
5					6	50 DO	25									Silica Sand	
6					7	50 DO	78									50mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen	
7		End of Borehole		56.11												Water level in screen at elev. 60.64m on Dec. 8, 2006	
				6.71													
8																	
9																	
10																	
11																	
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	

Flush mount casing set in bentonite

Native Backfill

Bentonite Seal

Silica Sand

50mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen

Water level in screen at elev. 60.64m on Dec. 8, 2006

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 75



LOGGED: D.G.

CHECKED: S.A.T.

PROJECT: 06-1120-331

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH 06-26

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: Nov. 7-8, 2006

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH				WATER CONTENT PERCENT																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
								20		40		60				80		10 ⁻⁶		10 ⁻⁵		10 ⁻⁴		10 ⁻³																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
								Cu, kPa		nat V. + rem V. ⊕		Q - U - ○				Wp				W				Wi																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		

Flush mount casing set in bentonite

MH

Native Backfill

Bentonite Seal

MH

Silica Sand

50mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen

Native Backfill

Water level in screen at elev. 60.57m on Dec. 8, 2006

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 75



LOGGED: D.G.

CHECKED: S.A.T.

MIS-BHS 001 06-1120-331-2000.GPJ GLDR CAN.GDT 12/19/06

PROJECT: 06-1120-331

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH 06-27

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: See Site Plan

BORING DATE: Nov. 8, 2006

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

PENETRATION TEST HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DEPTH SCALE METRES	BORING METHOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m				HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s				ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION		
		DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS/0.3m	SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa				WATER CONTENT PERCENT					
0		GROUND SURFACE		65.72													
		Loose dark grey sand with brick and concrete (FILL)		0.00													
1					1	DO	4										
2					2	DO	9										
				63.43													
		Compact to very dense brown to grey SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay with cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL)		2.29	3	DO	27										
3					4	DO	30										
4					5	DO	48										
5					6	DO	43										
6					7	DO	62										
				59.17	8	DO	88										
		Brown fine SAND		6.55													
7		End of Borehole		6.71													
8																	
9																	
10																	
11																	
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	

Flush mount casing set in bentonite

Native Backfill

MH

Bentonite Seal

Silica Sand

50mm Diam. PVC #10 Slot Screen

Native Backfill

Water level in screen at elev. 62.42m on Dec. 8, 2006

DEPTH SCALE

1:75



LOGGED: D.G.

CHECKED: S.A.T.

APPENDIX C

Results of Chemical Analysis



Environment Testing

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Golder Associates Ltd. (Ottawa)
1931 Robertson Road
Ottawa, ON
K2H 5B7
Attention: Chaitanya Raj Goyal
PO#:
Invoice to: Golder Associates Ltd. (Ottawa)

Report Number: 1924174
Date Submitted: 2020-01-16
Date Reported: 2020-01-23
Project:
COC #: 853358

					Lab I.D. Sample Matrix Sample Type Sampling Date Sample I.D.	
Group	Analyte	MRL	Units	Guideline	1475824 Soil 2020-01-16 19-101 sa4	1475825 Soil 2020-01-16 19-102 sa7
Anions	Cl	0.002	%		0.016	0.007
	SO4	0.01	%		0.01	0.02
General Chemistry	Electrical Conductivity	0.05	mS/cm		0.30	0.24
	pH	2.00			8.31	8.54
	Resistivity	1	ohm-cm		3330	4170

Guideline =

* = Guideline Exceedence

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted.
Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit, AO = Aesthetic Objective, OG = Operational Guideline, MAC = Maximum Acceptable Concentration, IMAC = Interim Maximum Acceptable Concentration, STD = Standard, PWQO = Provincial Water Quality Guideline, IPWQO = Interim Provincial Water Quality Objective, TDR = Typical Desired Range

APPENDIX D

**Results of Hydraulic Conductivity
Testing – Previous Investigation**

HVORSLEV SLUG TEST ANALYSIS RISING HEAD TEST W-058

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \frac{L_e}{R_e} \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] 30.48$$


where: r_c = casing radius (feet)
 R_e = filter pack radius (feet)
 L_e = length of screened interval (feet)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (feet)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 0.05$
 $R_e = 0.33$
 $L_e = 12.9$
 $t_1 = 480$
 $t_2 = 5400$
 $h_1/h_0 = 0.83$
 $h_2/h_0 = 0.39$

RESULTS

K=	2E-06 cm/sec
K=	5E-03 ft/day



Project Name: CTP OLRT Ottawa
 Project No.: 10-1121-0222
 Test Date: 12/7/2010

Analysis By: MSL
 Checked By: SRW
 Analysis Date: 1/27/2011

HVORSLEV SLUG TEST ANALYSIS RISING HEAD TEST W-060

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \frac{L_e}{R_e} \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] 30.48$$


where: r_c = casing radius (feet)
 R_e = filter pack radius (feet)
 L_e = length of screened interval (feet)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (feet)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 0.05$
 $R_e = 0.33$
 $L_e = 7.0$
 $t_1 = 180$
 $t_2 = 2700$
 $h_1/h_0 = 0.63$
 $h_2/h_0 = 0.30$

RESULTS

K=	5E-06 cm/sec
K=	2E-02 ft/day



Project Name: CTP OLRT Ottawa
 Project No.: 10-1121-0222
 Test Date: 12/21/2010

Analysis By: MSL
 Checked By: SRW
 Analysis Date: 1/27/2011

HVORSLEV SLUG TEST ANALYSIS RISING HEAD TEST W-062

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \frac{L_e}{R_e} \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] 30.48$$


where: r_c = casing radius (feet)
 R_e = filter pack radius (feet)
 L_e = length of screened interval (feet)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (feet)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 0.05$
 $R_e = 0.33$
 $L_e = 11.7$
 $t_1 = 1800$
 $t_2 = 5400$
 $h_1/h_0 = 0.74$
 $h_2/h_0 = 0.49$

RESULTS

K=	1E-06 cm/sec
K=	4E-03 ft/day



Project Name: CTP OLRT Ottawa
 Project No.: 10-1121-0222
 Test Date: 12/7/2010

Analysis By: MSL
 Checked By: SRW
 Analysis Date: 1/27/2011

BOUWER AND RICE SLUG TEST ANALYSIS FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-3

$$K = \frac{r_c^2 \ln\left(\frac{R_e}{r_w}\right)}{2L_e} \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{y_0}{y_t} \quad \text{where } K=\text{m/sec}$$

where:

r_c = casing radius (metres);

r_w = radial distance to undisturbed aquifer (metres)

R_e = effective radius (metres);

y_0 = initial drawdown (metres)

L_e = length of screened interval (metres);


y_t = drawdown (metres) at time t (seconds)

INPUT PARAMETERS

r_c = 0.03
 r_w = 0.10
 L_e = 2.52
 $\ln(R_e/r_w)$ = 2.13
 y_0 = 0.62
 y_t = 0.54
 t = 100.0

RESULTS

$K = 4\text{E-}07 \quad \text{m/sec}$
 $K = 4\text{E-}05 \quad \text{cm/sec}$



Project Name: City of Ottawa/CSST Tunnel
 Project No.: 13-1121-0005
 Test Date: 02/22/13

Analysis By: DH
 Checked By: SRW
 Analysis Date: 2/27/2013


**HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS
FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-3 Test 1**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$

where: r_c = casing radius (metres)
 R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
 L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS	RESULTS
$r_c = 1.9\text{E-}02$	
$R_e = 5.0\text{E-}02$	
$L_e = 0.9$	
$t_1 = 0$	
$t_2 = 2500$	
Head Ratio ₁ = 1.00	
Head Ratio ₂ = 0.98	

K=	5E-09	m/sec
K=	5E-07	cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
Test Date: **2/14/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
Checked By: **SRW**
Analysis Date: **2/20/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-3 Test 2**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$

where:


- r_c = casing radius (metres)
- R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
- L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
- t = time (seconds)
- h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.9\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 5.0\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 3.1$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 2500$
Head Ratio₁ = 1.00
Head Ratio₂ = 0.90

RESULTS

K= 9E-09 m/sec
K= 9E-07 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
 Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
 Test Date: **2/14/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
 Checked By: **SRW**
 Analysis Date: **2/20/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-3 Test 3**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$

where:


- r_c = casing radius (metres)
- R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
- L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
- t = time (seconds)
- h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.9\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 5.0\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 2.7$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 2500$
Head Ratio₁ = 0.85
Head Ratio₂ = 0.44

RESULTS

K= 7E-08 m/sec
K= 7E-06 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
 Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
 Test Date: **2/15/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
 Checked By: **SRW**
 Analysis Date: **2/20/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

BH13-3 Test 1

Interval Information

Borehole Radius [R] (m)	Interval Information		
	Top (m)	Bottom (m)	Length (m)
0.048	18.85	21.58	2.73

Steady State Equation:

$$K = \frac{Q \ln(L/D) + \sqrt{1 + (L/D)^2}}{2\pi LP}$$

(Thiem 1906)

Steps	Hydraulic Conductivity m/s
1	4.E-08
2	3.E-08
3	5.E-08
4	No Take
5	No Take


RESULTS:

K= 4E-08 m/s
K= 4E-06 cm/s

Test Information

	Test Data	
1	Flow Rate (Q) =	3.3E-06 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	18.6 mH ₂ O
2	Flow Rate (Q) =	3.3E-06 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	23.3 mH ₂ O
3	Flow Rate (Q) =	6.7E-06 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	31.5 mH ₂ O
4	Flow Rate (Q) =	0.0E+00 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	25.6 mH ₂ O
5	Flow Rate (Q) =	0.0E+00 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	19.8 mH ₂ O

Pressure and Hydraulic Conductivity



Constant Head Test
City of Ottawa CSST Tunnel
Ottawa, Ontario

BH13-3 Test 1

Project No.	13-1121-0005
Date:	2/25/2013
Calcs By:	DH
Review:	SRW

**BOUWER AND RICE SLUG TEST ANALYSIS
FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-4**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2 \ln\left(\frac{R_e}{r_w}\right)}{2L_e} \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{y_0}{y_t} \quad \text{where } K = \text{m/sec}$$

where:

r_c = casing radius (metres);

r_w = radial distance to undisturbed aquifer (metres)

R_e = effective radius (metres);

y_0 = initial drawdown (metres)

L_e = length of screened interval (metres);


y_t = drawdown (metres) at time t (seconds)

INPUT PARAMETERS

r_c = 0.06
 r_w = 0.10
 L_e = 2.40
 $\ln(R_e/r_w)$ = 2.04
 y_0 = 0.41
 y_t = 0.30
 t = 800.0

RESULTS

K = 6E-07 m/sec
 K = 6E-05 cm/sec



Project Name: City of Ottawa/CSST Tunnel
 Project No.: 13-1121-0005
 Test Date: 02/22/13

Analysis By: DH
 Checked By: SRW
 Analysis Date: 2/27/2013

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-4 Test 2**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$


where: r_c = casing radius (metres)
 R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
 L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.9\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 5.0\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 2.4$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 2500$
Head Ratio₁ = 1.00
Head Ratio₂ = 0.99

RESULTS

K= 2E-09 m/sec
K= 2E-07 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
Test Date: **2/5/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
Checked By: **SRW**
Analysis Date: **2/20/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-4 Test 3**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$

where:


- r_c = casing radius (metres)
- R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
- L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
- t = time (seconds)
- h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.9\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 5.0\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 4.1$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 2500$
Head Ratio₁ = 0.98
Head Ratio₂ = 0.93

RESULTS

K= 5E-09 m/sec
K= 5E-07 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
 Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
 Test Date: **2/5/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
 Checked By: **SRW**
 Analysis Date: **2/20/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-4 Test 4**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$


where: r_c = casing radius (metres)
 R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
 L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.9\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 5.0\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 5.0$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 200$
Head Ratio₁ = 0.90
Head Ratio₂ = 0.41

RESULTS

K= 6E-07 m/sec
K= 6E-05 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
Test Date: **2/6/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
Checked By: **SRW**
Analysis Date: **2/20/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

BH13-4 Test 1

Interval Information

Borehole Radius [R] (m)	Interval Information		
	Top (m)	Bottom (m)	Length (m)
0.048	16.57	21.60	5.03

Steady State Equation:

$$K = \frac{Q \ln(L/D) + \sqrt{1 + (L/D)^2}}{2(P)LP}$$

(Thiem 1906)

Steps	Hydraulic Conductivity m/s
1	4.E-07
2	5.E-07
3	5.E-07
4	5.E-07
5	4.E-07


RESULTS:

K= 4E-07 m/s
K= 4E-05 cm/s

Test Information

	Test Data	
1	Flow Rate (Q) =	5.3E-05 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	21.2 mH ₂ O
2	Flow Rate (Q) =	8.3E-05 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	24.9 mH ₂ O
3	Flow Rate (Q) =	9.0E-05 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	28.8 mH ₂ O
4	Flow Rate (Q) =	7.3E-05 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	23.9 mH ₂ O
5	Flow Rate (Q) =	6.0E-05 m ³ /sec
	Pressure (P) =	21.1 mH ₂ O

Pressure and Hydraulic Conductivity



Constant Head Test
City of Ottawa CSST Tunnel
Ottawa, Ontario

BH13-4 Test 1

Project No.	13-1121-0005
Date:	2/25/2013
Calcs By:	DH
Review:	SRW

BOUWER AND RICE SLUG TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-6**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2 \ln\left(\frac{R_e}{r_w}\right)}{2L_e} \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{y_0}{y_t} \quad \text{where } K=\text{m/sec}$$

where:

r_c = casing radius (metres);

r_w = radial distance to undisturbed aquifer (metres)

R_e = effective radius (metres);

y_0 = initial drawdown (metres)

L_e = length of screened interval (metres);


y_t = drawdown (metres) at time t (seconds)

INPUT PARAMETERS

r_c = 0.03
 r_w = 0.05
 L_e = 1.76
 $\ln(R_e/r_w)$ = 7.44
 y_0 = 0.70
 y_t = 0.26
 t = 1000.0

RESULTS

$K = 1\text{E-}06 \quad \text{m/sec}$
 $K = 1\text{E-}04 \quad \text{cm/sec}$



Project Name: City of Ottawa/CSST Tunnel
 Project No.: 13-1121-0005
 Test Date: 02/22/13

Analysis By: DH
 Checked By: SRW
 Analysis Date: 2/27/2013

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-6 Test 1**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$

where:


- r_c = casing radius (metres)
- R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
- L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
- t = time (seconds)
- h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.8\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 4.8\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 3.6$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 2000$
Head Ratio₁ = 1.00
Head Ratio₂ = 0.98

RESULTS

K= 2E-09 m/sec
K= 2E-07 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
 Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
 Test Date: **2/11/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
 Checked By: **SRW**
 Analysis Date: **2/21/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-6 Test 2**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$


where: r_c = casing radius (metres)
 R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
 L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.8\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 4.8\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 3.6$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 1000$
Head Ratio₁ = 1.00
Head Ratio₂ = 0.99

RESULTS

K= 1E-09 m/sec
K= 1E-07 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
Test Date: **2/12/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
Checked By: **SRW**
Analysis Date: **2/21/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

HVORSLEV TEST ANALYSIS **FALLING HEAD TEST BH13-6 Test 3**

$$K = \frac{r_c^2}{2L_e} \ln \left[\frac{L_e}{2R_e} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L_e}{2R_e} \right)^2} \right] \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \right] \text{ where } K = (\text{m/sec})$$


where: r_c = casing radius (metres)
 R_e = filter pack radius (metres)
 L_e = length of screened interval (metres)
 t = time (seconds)
 h_t = head at time t (metres)

INPUT PARAMETERS

$r_c = 1.8\text{E-}02$
 $R_e = 4.8\text{E-}02$
 $L_e = 3.2$
 $t_1 = 0$
 $t_2 = 2000$
Head Ratio₁ = 0.98
Head Ratio₂ = 0.82

RESULTS

K= 2E-08 m/sec
K= 2E-06 cm/sec



Project Name: **CSST Tunnel/City of Ottawa**
Project No.: **13-1121-0005**
Test Date: **2/12/2013**

Analysis By: **DH**
Checked By: **SRW**
Analysis Date: **2/21/2013**

Golder Associates Ltd.

APPENDIX E


Groundwater Inflow

Inflow to Excavation

Dupuit-Forchheimer Equation: $Q=\pi K((h_o^2- hp^2)/\ln(R/r))$

K (m/sec)	6E-07	Maximum Till K-Testing at: 13-3, 13-4, W-058, W-060, W-062		
h _o (m)	6.5	r - radius of pit		
h _p (m)	2.3	R - radius of influence		
r (m)	45.5	SF - Safety Factor		
SF	1.5			

	Q	R	Rad of Inf. from edge	m ³ /day	L/day
Initial*	2.4E-03	47	2	210	209,936
	1.0E-03	50	5	87	86,619
	5.3E-04	55	10	45	45,458
Steady-State**	3.7E-04	60	15	32	31,696
	2.9E-04	65	20	25	24,788
	2.1E-04	75	30	18	17,834
	1.7E-04	85	40	14	14,317
	1.4E-04	95	50	12	12,182
	1.2E-04	105	60	11	10,739
	1.0E-04	125	80	9	8,902
	9.0E-05	145	100	8	7,770
	7.2E-05	195	150	6	6,196
	6.2E-05	245	200	5	5,359



Excavation Details	
Footprint Area (m2)	6500
Ground Elevation (masl)	62.3 (Average from 13-5, 13-6, T-75, W-061, W-062, 14-601, 14-602, T-74, 15-1, 15-2, 15-3)
Groundwater Level (masl)	58.5 (Average from historical, +0.2 masl for safety)
Average depth of bedrock (mbgs)	52.0 (Average from 13-5, 13-6, T-75, W-061, W-062, 14-601, 14-602, T-74, 15-1, 15-2, 15-3)

Sichart and Kyrieleis Equation: $R=3000\Delta h(K^{1/2})$


Radius of Influence of Excavation (m) 15

Notes

L - litres
m - metres
mbgs - metres below ground surface
Initial*: Potential worst-case inflow rate when trench is initially rapidly dewatered
Steady-State**: Steady state inflow rate

Rainfall Amount - Based on a 100 mm precipitation event in 24 hours with a return of 10 years

Excavation Area (m ²)	6,500
10 year Rainfall event (m)	0.1
Max Vol Precipitation (L)	650,000





golder.com