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| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Screening |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | Description of Proposed Development |  |
|  | Municipal Address 5986-5992 Hazeldean Road <br> Description of Location The development is located on the south-east corner of the <br> Hazeldean Road and Springbrook Drive intersection, <br> approximately 400m east of Stittsville M ain Street. <br> Ward Ward 6: Stittsville-Kanata West <br> Land Use Classification GM 14 H(11): General Mixed Use Zone (height restriction of <br> $11 m)$ <br> Development Size Proposed three-story mixed-use building with gross floor <br> area of 1795.5m² (3 floors) <br> Number of accesses and <br> locations One access located approximately 40 metres east of <br> Springbrook Drive <br> Phases of development Single phase <br> Build-out year 2020 |  |

## $12 \quad$ Trip Generation Trigger

| Land Use Type | Minimum Development Size | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-family homes | 40 units |  | x |
| Townhomes or apartments | 90 units | x |  |
| Office | 3,500 sq.m. | x |  |
| Industrial | 5,000 sq.m. | x |  |
| Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop | 100 sq.m. | x |  |
| Destination retail | 1,000 sq.m. | x |  |
| Gas station or convenience market | 75 sq.m. | x |  |
| Other | 60 person trips or more | x |  |

Table 1 outlines the expected trip generation rates for the site. Residential trip rates were taken from Table 6.1 and Table 6.2 of the TRANS Trip Generation Residential Trip Rates report (tables included in Appendix A). Office trip generation rates and the Construction Rental land use were taken from the ITE Trip Generation M anual, 10 th Edition.

Table 1: Proposed Development Vehicle Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | ITE |  | Units | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Code |  | Rate | In | Out | Rate | In | Out |
| Office (per 1,000 sq. ft.) | 710 | 10.44 | 1.16 | $86 \%$ | $14 \%$ | 1.15 | $16 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Residential | - | 6 | 0.23 | $22 \%$ | $78 \%$ | 0.26 | $62 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Construction Rental (Existing, <br> per 1,000 sq. ft.) | 811 | 2.8 | $0.99 *$ | $72 \% *$ | $28 \% *$ | 0.99 | $28 \%$ | $72 \%$ |

* Note: A trip generation rate was not provided by ITE for the AM peak hour, therefore the PM peak hour rate was applied, and the directional trip distribution reversed.

Table 2 calculates the trips expected to be generated by each use type in the AM and PM peak periods.

Table 2: Proposed Development Vehicle Trip Generation Traffic Volumes

| Land Use |  |  |  | Un Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Office (per 1,000 sq. ft.) | 10.44 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Residential | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Construction Rental (Existing, per <br> 1,000 sq. ft.) | 2.8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |

Using a mode share of $67 \%$ for auto driver in Kanata/Stittsville for residential, and an auto driver mode share of $78 \%$ for other land uses, the number of persons generated by the site is expected to be 21 and 22 person trips during the AM and PM peak hours respectively. The Origin Destination survey results for Kanata/Stittsville can be found in Appendix $B$.

## 13 Location Triggers

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does the development propose a new drivew ay to a boundary street that <br> is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit, or Spine <br> Bicycle Networks? | x |  |
| Is the development in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit-oriented <br> Development (TOD) zone? |  | x |

## 14 Safety Triggers

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Are posted speed limits on a boundary street $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ or greater? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight |
| lines at a proposed driveway? |$\quad$ x

## 1.5 <br> Summary

Since the development satisfies both the location and safety triggers, the design review will be addressed in the TIA.

The planned development is removing some of the existing land uses, while keeping the Construction Rental business. The total new site is anticipated to generate 20 vehicle trips during the peak hour and less than 30 person trips during the peak hours.

Figure 1 illustrates the site location and Figure $\mathbf{2}$ shows an aerial view of the site.

Figure 1: Site Location


Source: geoOttawa Accessed 2019-07-23
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Figure 2: Aerial View of Site


Source: Google Earth Accessed 2019-07-23
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### 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions

2.1.1 Proposed Development

The proposed site plan application is for a three-storey mixed-use building with a footprint of $594.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and $1,782.95 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area (over three levels). The proposed new building will have a gross total of $970 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of Personal Services Business and Office space on the first two levels, and six (6) residential units ( $461.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) on the third level. The project will be built in one phase, with an estimated date of occupancy is 2020.

The existing Rental Village warehouse building will remain but the other buildings and office space on the site will be removed. The site will have 44 surface parking spaces, of which three will be accessible spaces. There will be only one access point, on Hazeldean Road, for all modes of transportation. Figure $\mathbf{3}$ illustrates the site plan.

The site is currently zoned as GM $14 \mathrm{H}(11)$. GM 14 is a General M ixed Use Zone which allows for residential, commercial, and institutional uses. GM 14 adds among others Personal Business Services as an allowed use.

Figure 3: Site Plan


Source: Argue Construction, 2019-08-29

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 2.1.2 | Existing Conditions |
| 2.1.2.1 | Roads and Traffic Control |

Hazeldean Road is a municipally-owned four-lane Arterial M ain Street with a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The roadway consists of a four lane cross-section plus auxiliary left turn and on-street cycling lanes in the vicinity of the site.

Springbrook Drive is a municipally-owned two-lane Minor Collector road with a posted speed limit of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Springbrook Drive has a wide grass median as it approaches Hazeldean Road, creating two separate carriageways.

The intersection of Hazeldean Road and Springbrook Drive is a signalized intersection.
Figure 4 shows the lane configuration at the intersection.
Figure 4: Lane Geometry - Hazeldean Road / Springbrook Drive Intersection


M ultiple commercial driveways and one private residential driveway accesses Hazeldean within 200 m of the proposed site as illustrated in Figure 5.

Figure 5: Adjacent Accesses and Land Use


Walking and Cycling
There are concrete sidewalks and on-road cycling facilities on both the north and south side of Hazeldean Road. There is an asphalt walkway running along the east side of Springbrook Drive. Figure 6 illustrates the cycling facilities in the area.
2.1.2.3

Transit
During the weekday commuter peak hours, the site is serviced by routes 61 and and 263. Routes 162, 301, and 303 operate during off peak hours.

Table 3 lists the transit routes and the headway, and Figure 7 illustrates the transit routes in the area.

Figure 6: Existing Cycling Network


Table 3: Peak Commuter Hour Transit Routes

| Route | Headway <br> (Peak Hours) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 61 St. Laurent - Hurdman | 15 minutes peak direction <br> 30 minutes off-peak direction |
| 263 Express M ackenzie King - Stanley Corners | 30 minutes peak direction only |
| 162 Terry Fox - Stittsville | No peak hour service |
| $301 \& 303$ Carlingwood Shopping | No peak hour service <br> (No Fare Routes) |

Figure 7: Existing Transit Service
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From 2014-2017 there were 10 collisions recorded at the intersection of Hazeldean Road and Springbrook Drive:

- nine (9) were property damage only, one had a non-fatal injury;
- eight (8) were in dry conditions, one was in slush, one was on packed snow;
- there were four rear-ends, three turning movements, two SMV (single motor vehicle), and one sideswipe.

East of the Hazeldean and Springbrook Drive intersection, there was one collision in 2014 near the driveway of the proposed site; the collision occurred during poor weather conditions and resulted in property damage only.
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|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.1.3 | Planned Conditions |
| 2.1.3.1 | Road and Transit Network Modifications |
|  | Hazeldean Road, between Stittsville M ain Street and Eagleson Road, has been identified <br> for a Transit Priority Project in the City's Transportation M aster Plan (TM P). However, <br> the widening is not included in the 2031 Affordable network concept and therefore the <br> timing of this project is unknown. |
| 2.1.3.2 Walking and Cycling |  |

The City of Ottawa TM P does not identify any walking and cycling changes within the study area.
2.1.3.3 Future Background Developments

The following future developments were identified in the area:

- A car dealership at 5835 Hazeldean Road (east of the site) with a one storey building with inventory parking; and,
- A residential development at 6111 Hazeldean Road (west of the site) expected to add up to 260 vehicles to Hazeldean Road by 2025.
2.2 Study Parameters
2.2.1

Study Area
The study area consists of the intersection of Hazeldean Road and Springbrook Drive, and the site driveway.
2.2.2 Time Periods

AM and PM Peak periods would govern traffic analysis, however the site trip generation is low and does not trigger the Network Impact components.
2.2.3

Horizon Years
Occupancy: 2020

## 2.3 <br> Exemptions Review

Table 4 presents the exemptions review table from the City of Ottawa's 2017
Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines.
Table 4: Exemptions Review

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Design Review | Component |  |  |
| 4.1 | 4.1.2 Circulation <br> Development Access | Only required for site plans | Included |
| and <br> Design | Networks | Only required for plans of subdivision | Excluded |
| 4.2 Parking | 4.2.1 Parking <br> Supply | Only required for site plans | Included |
|  | 4.2.2 Spillover <br> Parking | Only required for site plans where parking <br> supply is 15\% below unconstrained <br> demand |  |

Network Impact Component

| 4.5 <br> Transportation Demand M anagement | All Elements | Not required for non-residential site plans expected to have fewer than 60 employees and/ or students on location at any given time | Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.6 <br> Neighbourhood <br> Traffic <br> Management | 4.6.1 Adjacent Neighbourhoods | Only required when the development relies on Local or Collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds | Exempt |
| 4.8 Network Concept |  | Only required when proposed development generates more than 200 person trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by established zoning | Exempt |
| 4.9 Intersection Design | All Elements | Not required if site generation trigger is not met | Exempt |


| 3.0 | Forecasting |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Development-generated Travel Demand |
| 3.2 | Exempt, also see Section 1.2. <br> Background Network Travel Demands |
| 3.2.1 | Transportation Network Plans |
| 3.2.2 | The Hazeldean Road corridor has been identified in the TM P for future transit improvements. These improvements will focus on intersection improvements such as transit priority signals. <br> Background Growth |
| 3.2.3 | Background growth is assumed to be 2\% based on typical city growth rates. <br> Other developments |

The following future developments were identified in the area:

- A car dealership at 5835 Hazeldean Road (east of the site) with a one storey building with inventory parking; and,
- A residential development at 6111 Hazeldean Road (west of the site) expected to add up to 260 vehicles to Hazeldean Road by 2025.
3.3 Demand Rationalization

Exempt.

| 4.0 | Analysis |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | Development Design |
| 4.1.1 | Design for Sustainable M odes |
| 4.1.2 | The proposed development consists of a single 3-story mixed use building with access on Hazeldean Road. All of the required TDM - Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist required items and many of the other items that relate to the site plan are met, while some of the items of the checklist are not relevant for the site. The following are some of the other items that are included within the site design: <br> - The building is mixed use with both office space and residential units; <br> - The building is located closer to Hazeldean road, with no parking in-between the road and the building; and <br> - The site will feature the exact amount of parking required by the by-law. <br> Appendix C contains the TDM-supportive Development Design and Infrastructure checklist. <br> Circulation and Access |

Guest parking, loading zones, and short-stay deliveries will be accommodated on-site. Two parking spots are dedicated visitor parking for the residential units. All access is from Hazeldean Road.

The proposed site driveway is located on the existing driveway location, located approximately 30 m from the westbound stop bar on Hazeldean Road. The owner has consulted with adjacent neighbours seeking a driveway to the south of the site to Old Orchard Crescent, a local roadway. An access agreement was unable to be reached.

New Street Networks
Exempt, no new streets.

Parking Supply
4.2.1.1

## Auto Parking

Parking requirements are broken down in Table 5 which shows a total requirement of 44 spaces needed for the site. The parking supply meets the zoning requirements.

Table 5: Parking Requirements

| Use Type | Bylaw Specification | Parking Required |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Personal Business Service | 3.4 spaces $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 15 |
| Office | 2.4 spaces $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 12 |
| Residential | 1 space $/$ unit | 6 |
| Residential Visitor | 0.2 spaces $/$ unit | 2 |
| Existing Retail | 3.4 spaces $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 9 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |

## Bicycle Parking

Bicycle parking will be provided by an outdoor bike rack with 8 spaces.
4.2.2 Spillover Parking

Exempted, parking supply meets parking demand.

## 4.3

## Boundary Street Design

The Hazeldean Road existing site access provides full movements.
Hazelden Road midblock provides two eastbound and two westbound lanes with a centre left turn lane. On street cycling lanes are provided. Concrete sidewalks are provided on both the north and south sides of the roadway.

Table 6 summarizes the results of M ulti-M odal Levels of Service (M M LOS) analysis for segments (i.e. between signalized intersections). Pedestrian levels of service suffers primarily from the $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit on Hazeldean Road. Cycling benefits from
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the presence of bike lanes along Hazeldean Road, but is impacted by the traffic operating speed.

Target Levels of Service were obtained taken from of the City of Ottawa M M LOS Guidelines, Exhibit 22.

Hazeldean Road has been identified for future transit measures applicable to intersections which has no impact on the Segment M M LOS score presented.

Table 6: MMLOS Analysis - Hazeldean Road, Roadway Segment

|  | Criteria | Target | Hazeldean Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\#}{\#} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Sidewalk width | C | 2.0 m |
|  | Boulevard width |  | 0 m |
|  | AADT >3000? |  | Yes |
|  | On-Street Parking |  | No |
|  | Operating Speed |  | $>60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | Level of Service |  | F |
| 苟答 | Type of facility | C | Bike Lanes |
|  | Number of travel lanes |  | 4, with median |
|  | Bike lane width |  | $>1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | Operating speed |  | $>60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | Centreline (yes/no) |  | yes |
|  | Bike lane blockage freq. |  | Rare |
|  | Level of Service |  | C |
|  | Type of facility | D | Mixed traffic |
|  | Parking/ driveway friction Level of Service |  | Moderate |
| $\underset{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}}{3}$ | Number of lanes | D | 2 |
|  | Lane width |  | 3.4m-3.5m |
|  | Level of Service |  | A |

4.4 Access Intersections Design
4.4.1 Location and Design of Access

The site plan proposes to reduce the number of accesses from two driveways to one on Hazeldean Road. This driveway will be stop controlled for the minor approach (vehicles leaving the site), providing a full movement access.

The proposed driveway location is consistent with the existing site access location. The driveway is located 30 metres upstream of the westbound Hazeldean Road stop bar, and is located within the westbound left turn lane to Springbrook Drive.

Westbound left turns into the site can be accommodated by existing road geometry. No modifications are proposed to Hazeldean Road.
4.4.2 Intersection Control

The anticipated traffic volumes at the proposed site driveways warrant single lane approaches with Stop control in advance of the public sidewalks, consistent with the Highway Traffic Act. Traffic signage is not required.
4.4.3 Intersection Design

The access intersection will be stop controlled and therefore an M M LOS evaluation has not been undertaken at the driveways.
4.5 Transportation Demand M anagement

Exempted in Scoping and Screening.
$4.6 \quad$ Neighbourhood Traffic M anagement
Exempted in Scoping and Screening.
4.7 Transit

Exempted in Scoping and Screening.
4.8 Review of Network Concept

Exempted in Scoping and Screening.
$4.9 \quad$ Intersection Design
Exempted in Scoping and Screening.

## 5.0 <br> Conclusions

The site plan development proposed for 5986-5992 Hazeldean Road redevelops a portion of the site while maintaining the existing Construction Rental business. The new development is to include office, personal services business space and six new apartments. The total site will generate approximately 20 vehicle trips during the peak hours. The existing three site driveway are to be consolidated into a single driveway location in an existing location, approximately 30 metres from the Springbrook Drive intersection.

Operations at the existing driveway were monitored as part of the study, and staff at the existing office were interview. No operational problems were observed while the intersection and driveway were being monitored, and staff confirmed they have had no issues using the driveway.

The site plan has included all of the required TDM features and some of the other desired features. It is anticipated that the development will have very little impact and will operate similar to the existing conditions.

No network or adjacent roadway modifications are recommended to accommodate the proposed site plan.

## Appendix A

## TRANS Vehicle Trip Generation Tables

Table 6.1: Vehicle Trip Generation Rates

| Vehicle Trip Generation Rates AM and PM Peak Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE Land Use Code | $\underbrace{\text { Data Source }}_{$ Dwelling  <br>  Unit Type $}$ |  | Vehicle Trip Generation Rate |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2008 Count Data | ITE | OD Survey | Blended Rate |
| 210 | Single-detached dwellings | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & 0.89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 1.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \\ & 0.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & 0.81 \end{aligned}$ |
| 224 | Semi-detached dwellings, townhouses, rowhouses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & 0.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.52 \\ & 0.61 \end{aligned}$ |
| 231 | Low-rise condominiums (1 or 2 floors) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.53 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & 0.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.47 \\ 0.46 \end{array}$ |
| 232 | High-rise condominiums (3+ floors) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.53 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 233 | Luxury condominiums | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.53 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.43 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ |
| 221 | Low-rise apartments (2 floors) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 223 | Mid-rise apartments (3-10 floors) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30 \\ & 0.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & 0.26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 222 | High-rise apartments (10+ floors) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 6.2: Recommended Vehicle Trip Directional Splits

| Comparison of Directional Splits (Inbound/Outbound) AM and PM Peak Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE LandUse Code |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \text { Count } \\ \text { Data } \end{gathered}$ |  | ITE |  | Blended Rate |  |
|  |  |  | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Ouibound |
| 210 | Single-detached dwellings | AM | 33\% | 67\% | 25\% | 75\% | 29\% | 71\% |
|  |  | PM | 60\% | 40\% | 63\% | 37\% | 62\% | 39\% |
| 224 | Semi-detached dwellings, townhouses, rowhouses | AM | 40\% | 60\% | 33\% | 67\% | 37\% | 64\% |
|  |  | PM | 55\% | 45\% | 51\% | 49\% | 53\% | 47\% |
| 231 | Low-rise condominiums (1 or 2 floors) | AM | 36\% | 64\% | 25\% | 75\% | 31\% | 70\% |
|  |  | PM | 54\% | 46\% | 58\% | 42\% | 56\% | 44\% |
| 232 | High-rise condominiums (3+ floors) | AM | 36\% | 64\% | 19\% | 81\% | 28\% | 73\% |
|  |  | PM | 54\% | 46\% | 62\% | 38\% | 58\% | 42\% |
| 233 | Luxury condominiums | AM | 36\% | 64\% | 23\% | 77\% | 30\% | 71\% |
|  |  | PM | 54\% | 46\% | 63\% | 37\% | 59\% | 42\% |
| 221 | Low-rise apartments (2 floors) | AM | 22\% | 78\% | 21\% | 79\% | 22\% | 79\% |
|  |  | PM | 62\% | 38\% | 65\% | 35\% | 64\% | 37\% |
| 223 | Mid-rise apartments (3-10 floors) | AM | 22\% | 78\% | 25\% | 75\% | 24\% | 77\% |
|  |  | PM | 62\% | 38\% | 61\% | 39\% | 62\% | 39\% |
| 222 | High-rise apartments(10+ floors) | AM | 22\% | 78\% | 25\% | 75\% | 24\% | 77\% |
|  |  | PM | 62\% | 38\% | 61\% | 39\% | 62\% | 39\% |

## Appendix B

Kanata/ Stittsville OD Survey

## Kanata - Stittsville

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 105,210 | Actively Travelled |  | 83,460 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed Population | 49,640 | Number of | ehicles | 64,540 |
| Households | 38,010 | Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  | 82.6 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |
| Status (age 5+) |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Full Time Employed |  | 24,670 | 19,590 | 44,260 |
| Part Time Employed |  | 1,540 | 3,840 | 5,380 |
| Student |  | 13,630 | 13,410 | 27,040 |
| Retiree |  | 6,480 | 8,350 | 14,820 |
| Unemployed |  | 850 | 940 | 1,790 |
| Homemaker |  | 160 | 3,310 | 3,470 |
| Other |  | 350 | 1,010 | 1,360 |
| Total: |  | 47,690 | 50,440 | 98,120 |
| Traveller Characteristics |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Transit Pass Holders |  | 5,940 | 6,920 | 12,860 |
| Licensed Drivers |  | 36,280 | 36,790 | 73,070 |
| Telecommuters |  | 200 | 380 | 580 |
| Trips made by residents |  | 135,300 | 143,330 | 278,630 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.84 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person (age 5+) | 0.61 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.77 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 7.33 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.70 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.31 |
| Workers per Household | 1270 |



| Household Size |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 person | 5,810 | $15 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 11,660 | $31 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 7,490 | $20 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 8,890 | $23 \%$ |
| $5+$ persons | 4,160 | $11 \%$ |
| Total: | 38,010 | $100 \%$ |


| Households by Vehicle Availability |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 vehicles | 1,050 | $3 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 14,090 | $37 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 19,110 | $50 \%$ |
| 3 vehicles | 3,000 | $8 \%$ |
| $4+$ vehicles | 770 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 38,010 | $100 \%$ |


| Households by Dwelling Type |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached | 21,610 | $57 \%$ |
| Semi-detached | 3,890 | $10 \%$ |
| Townhouse | 10,550 | $28 \%$ |
| Apartment/Condo | 1,960 | $5 \%$ |
| Total: | 38,010 | $100 \%$ |



[^0]Program Evaluation
$\&$ Market Researc

## Travel Patterns



## Trips by Trip Purpose

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work or related | 27,180 | $29 \%$ | 17,020 | $18 \%$ | 14,550 | $9 \%$ |
| School | 7,070 | $7 \%$ | 2,500 | $3 \%$ | 15,110 | $9 \%$ |
| Shopping | 6,070 | $6 \%$ | 9,150 | $10 \%$ | 22,480 | $14 \%$ |
| Leisure | 8,450 | $9 \%$ | 10,590 | $11 \%$ | 17,090 | $11 \%$ |
| Medical | 2,520 | $3 \%$ | 1,170 | $1 \%$ | 2,660 | $2 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drive passenger | 6,570 | $7 \%$ | 5,470 | $6 \%$ | 15,190 | $9 \%$ |
| Return Home | 33,610 | $35 \%$ | 45,620 | $48 \%$ | 65,770 | $41 \%$ |
| Other | 3,560 | $4 \%$ | 3,590 | $4 \%$ | 8,440 | $5 \%$ |
| Total: | 95,030 | $100 \%$ | 95,110 | $100 \%$ | 161,290 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:30-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work or related | 18,030 | $69 \%$ | 11,020 | $70 \%$ | 7,430 | $24 \%$ |
| School | 4,890 | $19 \%$ | 2,280 | $15 \%$ | 11,740 | $39 \%$ |
| Shopping | 170 | $1 \%$ | 320 | $2 \%$ | 760 | $3 \%$ |
| Leisure | 340 | $1 \%$ | 400 | $3 \%$ | 780 | $3 \%$ |
| Medical | 330 | $1 \%$ | 230 | $1 \%$ | 350 | $1 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drive passenger | 1,260 | $5 \%$ | 580 | $4 \%$ | 4,760 | $16 \%$ |
| Return Home | 290 | $1 \%$ | 380 | $2 \%$ | 1,980 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | 670 | $3 \%$ | 430 | $3 \%$ | 2,560 | $8 \%$ |
| Total: | 25,980 | $100 \%$ | 15,640 | $100 \%$ | 30,360 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:30-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work or related | 390 | $2 \%$ | 350 | $1 \%$ | 930 | $2 \%$ |
| School | 370 | $2 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 90 | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 1,030 | $5 \%$ | 1,910 | $7 \%$ | 5,100 | $14 \%$ |
| Leisure | 2,140 | $11 \%$ | 3,080 | $11 \%$ | 4,130 | $11 \%$ |
| Medical | 230 | $1 \%$ | 180 | $1 \%$ | 400 | $1 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drive passenger | 1,980 | $10 \%$ | 1,980 | $7 \%$ | 3,410 | $9 \%$ |
| Return Home | 12,130 | $64 \%$ | 20,550 | $71 \%$ | 21,560 | $58 \%$ |
| Other | 680 | $4 \%$ | 860 | $3 \%$ | 1,850 | $5 \%$ |
| Total: | 18,950 | $100 \%$ | 28,910 | $100 \%$ | 37,470 | $100 \%$ |


| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | \% of 24 Hours | Within District (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 351,430 |  | $46 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 71,980 | $20 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 85,330 | $24 \%$ | $44 \%$ |


| AM Peak Period (6:30-8:59) | Destinations of Trips From | Origins of Trips To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Districts | District | \% Total | District | \% Total |
| Ottawa Centre | 4,560 | 8\% | 140 | 0\% |
| Ottawa Inner Area | 3,350 | 6\% | 970 | 2\% |
| Ottawa East | 660 - | 1\% | 2601 | 1\% |
| Beacon Hill | 280 | 0\% | 170 | 0\% |
| Alta Vista | 1,810 | 3\% | 660 \| | 1\% |
| Hunt Club | 490 | 1\% | 420 | 1\% |
| Merivale | 3,410 | 6\% | 1,200 | 3\% |
| Ottawa West | 2,020 | 4\% | 840 | 2\% |
| Bayshore / Cedarview | 5,010 | 9\% | 2,420 | 5\% |
| Orléans | 290 - | 1\% | $500 \mid$ | 1\% |
| Rural East | 100 \| | 0\% | 30 | 0\% |
| Rural Southeast | 50 \| | 0\% | 260 | 1\% |
| South Gloucester / Leitrim | 60 - | 0\% | 140 | 0\% |
| South Nepean | 690 | 1\% | 1,800 | 4\% |
| Rural Southwest | 1,130 | 2\% | 1,850 | 4\% |
| Kanata / Stittsvile | 30,360 | 54\% | 30,360 | 66\% |
| Rural West | 1,050 | 2\% | 3,250 | 7\% |
| Île de Hull | 670 \| | 1\% | 301 | 0\% |
| Hull Périphérie | 160 - | 0\% | 301 | 0\% |
| Plateau | 100 - | 0\% | 2301 | 0\% |
| Aylmer | 0 - | 0\% | 190 | 0\% |
| Rural Northwest | 20 - | 0\% | 601 | 0\% |
| Pointe Gatineau | 20 - | 0\% | 80 | 0\% |
| Gatineau Est | 0 - | 0\% | 601 | 0\% |
| Rural Northeast | $30 \mid$ | 0\% | 50 \| | 0\% |
| Buckingham / Masson-Angers | 30 - | 0\% | 10 | 0\% |
| Ontario Sub-Total: | 55,320 | 98\% | 45,270 | 98\% |
| Québec Sub-Total: | 1,030 | 2\% | 740 | 2\% |
| Total: | 56,350 | 100\% | 46,010 | 100\% |

Trips by Primary Travel Mode

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 63,470 | $67 \%$ | 63,830 | $67 \%$ | 92,190 | $57 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 15,220 | $16 \%$ | 14,920 | $16 \%$ | 31,880 | $20 \%$ |
| Transit | 12,200 | $13 \%$ | 12,270 | $13 \%$ | 4,050 | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 360 | $0 \%$ | 410 | $0 \%$ | 960 | $1 \%$ |
| Walk | 40 | $0 \%$ | 50 | $0 \%$ | 21,080 | $13 \%$ |
| Other | 3,730 | $4 \%$ | 3,660 | $4 \%$ | 11,130 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 95,020 | $100 \%$ | 95,140 | $100 \%$ | 161,290 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:30-08:59) | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 15,360 | $59 \%$ | 11,530 | $74 \%$ | 13,630 | $45 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,450 | $9 \%$ | 1,160 | $7 \%$ | 5,050 | $17 \%$ |
| Transit | 6,230 | $24 \%$ | 1,290 | $8 \%$ | 1,210 | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 30 | $0 \%$ | 80 | $1 \%$ | 220 | $1 \%$ |
| Walk | 0 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | 5,730 | $19 \%$ |
| Other | 1,900 | $7 \%$ | 1,560 | $10 \%$ | 4,510 | $15 \%$ |
| Total: | 25,970 | $100 \%$ | 15,660 | $100 \%$ | 30,350 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:30-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 13,850 | $73 \%$ | 17,660 | $61 \%$ | 21,240 | $57 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 3,240 | $17 \%$ | 4,270 | $15 \%$ | 8,570 | $23 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,270 | $7 \%$ | 5,980 | $21 \%$ | 670 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 40 | $0 \%$ | 100 | $0 \%$ | 260 | $1 \%$ |
| Walk | 40 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 4,570 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | 520 | $3 \%$ | 910 | $3 \%$ | 2,160 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 18,960 | $100 \%$ | 28,920 | $100 \%$ | 37,470 | $100 \%$ |


| Avg Vehicle Occupancy | From District | To District | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 1.24 | 1.23 | 1.35 |
| AM Peak Period | 1.16 | 1.10 | 1.37 |
| PM Peak Period | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.40 |


| Transit Modal Split | From District | To District | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | $26 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | $7 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## Appendix C

## TDM Checklist

## TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist:

Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

|  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Legend } \\ \text { REQUIRED }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance } \\ \text { that must be followed }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most |  |  |  |
| cases would benefit the development and its users |  |  |  |
| The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable |  |  |  |
| modes, and optimize development performance |  |  |  |$]$

TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures:
Non-residential developments
REQUIRED
1.2.3 Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)

REQUIRED
1.2.4 Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)
REQUIRED 1.2.5 Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and onroad cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11)
1.2.6 Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops
1.2.7 Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible
1.2.8 Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, or provide a separated cycling facility

### 1.3 Amenities for walking \& cycling

1.3.1 Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails
BASIC

Check if completed \&
add descriptions, explanations
or plan/drawing references


No.


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WALKING \& CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES |  |
|  | 2.1 | Bicycle parking |  |
| REQURED | 2.1.1 | Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.6) | $\square$ |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.2 | Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or wellused areas (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ |
| REQURED | 2.1.3 | Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than $50 \%$ of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 2.1.4 | Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met), plus the expected peak number of customer/visitor cyclists | $\square$ |
| BETIER | 2.1.5 | Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter and customer/visitor cyclists, plus an additional buffer (e.g. 25 percent extra) to encourage other cyclists and ensure adequate capacity in peak cycling season | $\square$ |
|  | 2.2 | Secure bicycle parking |  |
| REQUIRED | 2.2.1 | Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single office building, locate at least $25 \%$ of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ Exempl |
| BETTER | 2.2.2 | Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met) | $\square$ |
|  | 2.3 | Shower \& change facilities |  |
| BASIC | 2.3.1 | Provide shower and change facilities for the use of active commuters |  |
| 8ETTER | 2.3.2 | In addition to shower and change facilities, provide dedicated lockers, grooming stations, drying racks and laundry facilities for the use of active commuters | $\square$ |
|  | 2.4 | Bicycle repair station |  |
| BETTER | 2.4.1 | Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided) | $\square$ |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  | Check if completed $\boldsymbol{\&}$ add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Customer amenities |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops | $\square \quad$ NA. |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter | $\square$ |
| BETIER | 3.1.3 | Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building | $\square \quad$ AA. |
|  |  | RIDESHARING |  |
|  | 4.1 | Pick-up \& drop-off facilities |  |
| BAsIC | 4.1.1 | Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones | $\square$ |
|  | 4.2 | Carpool parking |  |
| Basic | 4.2.1 | Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools | $\square$ |
| betier | 4.2.2 | At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement | $\square$ |
|  | 5. | CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |
|  | 5.1 | Carshare parking spaces |  |
| BETTER | 5.1.1 | Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted nonresidential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces (see Zoning By-law Section 94) | $\square$ |
|  | 5.2 | Bikeshare station location |  |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 | Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection | $\square$ |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PARKING |  |
|  | 6.1 | Number of parking spaces |  |
| REQUIRED | 6.1.1 | Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 | Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 6.1.3 | Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (see Zoning By-law Section 104) | $\square$ |
| BEITER | 6.1.4 | Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ |
|  | 6.2 | Separate long-term \& short-term parking areas |  |
| BEITER | 6.2.1 | Separate short-term and long-term parking areas using signage or physical barriers, to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage employees from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa) | $\square$ |
|  | 7. | OTHER |  |
|  | 7.1 | On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips |  |
| BEMER | 7.1.1 | Provide on-site amenities to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands | $\square$ |

# TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: Residential Developments (multi-family or condominium) 

|  | Legend |
| :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED | The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed |
| BASIC | The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users |
| BETTER | The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance |

TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments

## 1. WALKING \& CYCLING: ROUTES

### 1.1 Building location \& access points

BAsic 1.1.1 Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances
BAsIC 1.1.2 Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations
BASIC 1.1.3 Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort

### 1.2 Facilities for walking \& cycling

REQUIRED 1.2.1 Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)
REQUIRED
1.2.2 Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.12)

## Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references



|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.3 | Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) | [ |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.4 | Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) | , |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .5$ | Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and onroad cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11) |  |
| BASIC | 1.2.6 | Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops |  |
| BASIC | 1.2.7 | Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible |  |
| BASIC | 1.2.8 | Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, or provide a separated cycling facility | $\square$ |
|  | 1.3 | Amenities for walking \& cycling |  |
| BASIC | 1.3.1 | Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 1.3.2 | Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious) | $\square$ |



|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4. | RIDESHARING |  |
|  | 4.1 | Pick-up \& drop-off facilities |  |
| BASIC | 4.1.1 | Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones | $\square$ |
|  |  | CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |
|  | 5.1 | Carshare parking spaces |  |
| BETIER | 5.1.1 | Provide up to three carshare parking spaces in an R3, R4 or R5 Zone for specified residential uses (see Zoning By-law Section 94) | $\square$ |
|  | 5.2 | Bikeshare station location |  |
| BETIER | 5.2.1 | Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection | $\square$ |
|  |  | PARKING |  |
|  | 6.1 | Number of parking spaces |  |
| REQUIRED | 6.1.1 | Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 | Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking | $\square$ |
| BAsIC | 6.1.3 | Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (see Zoning By-law Section 104) | $\square$ |
| BETIER | 6.1.4 | Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ |
|  | 6.2 | Separate long-term \& short-term parking areas |  |
| BEITER | 6.2 .1 | Provide separate areas for short-term and long-term parking (using signage or physical barriers) to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage residents from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa) | $\square$ |


[^0]:    * In 2005 data was only collected for household members aged $11^{+}$therefore these results cannot be compared to the 2011 data.

