

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

## Engineering

Land / Site Development

Municipal Infrastructure

Environmental / Water Resources

Traffic / Transportation

Structural

Recreational

### Planning

Land / Site Development

Planning Application Management

Municipal Planning Documents & Studies

Expert Witness (OMB)

Wireless Industry

## Landscape

## Architecture

Urban Design & Streetscapes

Open Space, Parks & Recreation Planning

Community & Residential Developments

Commercial & Institutional Sites

Environmental Restoration



# Highland Park Cemetery Visitation Centre

Servicing & Stormwater Management Report

# Highland Park Cemetery Visitation Centre Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Prepared By:

NOVATECH Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2M 1P6

June 3, 2019

Novatech File: 101063 Ref: R-2019-086



June 3, 2019

**BY COURIER** 

City of Ottawa Planning & Growth Management Department 110 Laurier Avenue West 4th Floor Infrastructure Approvals Division Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

## Attention: Mark Young, Planner

Dear Sir:

## Reference: Highland Park Cemetery – Visitation Centre Servicing and Stormwater Management Report Our File No.: 101063

Please find enclosed the Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for the proposed construction of a Visitation Centre at the Highland Park Cemetery. The report outlines the detailed servicing and stormwater management design to meet the requirements of the City of Ottawa and Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) in support of an application for Site Plan approval.

A copy of this report has been forwarded directly to the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority.

If you have any questions, please call the undersigned.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH

Alex McAuley, P.Eng. Project Manager | Land Development Engineering

Enclosed.

cc: Niall Oddie – Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority

M:\2001\101063\DATA\Reports\SWM\20190603-SWM Report.docx

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	INT	ROD	DUCTION 1	
1.1	1	Bacl	kground Reports 1	
1.2	2	Exis	ting Conditions 1	
1.3	3	Prop	posed Building	•
1.4	1	Sco	pe 2	•
1.5	5	App	rovals	•
2.0	S	ERV	ICING 2	)
2.7	1	Grad	ding2	•
2.2	2	Wat	er Supply2	•
2.3	3	Was	stewater Disposal	5
2.4	1	Pave	ement Design	5
3.0	S	TOR	M DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 4	ŀ
3.1	1	Stor	mwater Management Criteria 4	•
3.2	2	Prop	bosed Drainage System	•
3.3	3	Dep	ressed Loading Zone5	)
3.4	1	Stor	mwater Quantity Control5	)
	3.4.	1	Storm Drainage Area Plan	;
	3.4.	2	Model Parameters	ì
	3.4.	3	Design Storms	ì
	3.4.	4	Modelling Files and Schematics	,
	3.4.	5	Ditches	,
	3.4.	6	Storm Outlet	,
	3.4.	7	Stormwater Management Facility (Inline Dry Pond) 8	}
3.5	5	Stor	mwater Quality Control	5
	3.5.	1	BMP Treatment Train	}
	3.5.	2	Grassed Swales (Ditches)	}
	3.5.	3	Maintenance	)
3.6	5	Infilt	ration10	)
4.0	E	ROS	ION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL12	:
	4.1.	1	Temporary Measures12	)
	4.1.	2	Permanent Measures12	)
5.0	C	ONC	LUSIONS13	,
6.0	C	LOS	URE13	5

## LIST OF TABLES

Pavement Structure
Depressed Loading Zone Storage
Subcatchment Parameters
Summary of Peak Flows
Grassed Channel
Infiltration from Initial Abstractions

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Key Plan
Figure 2	Location Plan
Figure 3	<b>Existing Conditions Plan</b>

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Correspondence
Appendix B	Septic System Design Brief
Appendix C	Stormwater Management Calculations / Modelling

## LIST OF DRAWINGS

1513-A1.02	Site Plan (May 1, 2019, Hobin Architects)
101063-GR	Grading and Servicing Plan
101063-PP1	Outlet Plan and Profile
101063-PRE	Pre-Development Storm Drainage Area Plan
101063-POST	Post-Development Storm Drainage Area Plan

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Novatech has been retained to provide design services for the proposed addition of a visitation centre to the existing Highland Park Cemetery. This report provides the detailed design for site servicing, storm drainage and stormwater management for the proposed visitation centre.

The Highland Park Cemetery is located at 2037 McGee Side Road, in Carp (Ottawa), Ontario. Refer to **Figure 1** – Key Plan. The specific area of the property being developed is shown on the **Figure 2** – Location Plan.

## 1.1 Background Reports

This report references the following background documents:

- Pre-Consultation Minutes, City of Ottawa (April 19, 2018)
- "Hydrogeological Assessment" letter prepared by Gemtec (Jan 25, 2019);
- "Geotechnical Investigation" prepared by Gemtec (Jan 25, 2019);
- Carp River Subwatershed Study
- City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines

## **1.2 Existing Conditions**

Highland Park Cemetery has been in operation for over 30 years. The cemetery property consists of approximately 49 ha and is mostly vegetated with a mix of agricultural fields, maintained grass and laneways. There is an existing office/maintenance building complete with well and septic system. The neighbouring land uses are primarily agricultural fields. An existing ditch acts as the drainage outlet for the cemetery. Given the rural location, there are no municipal services available on McGee Side Road. Refer to **Figure 3** – Existing Conditions Plan.

## <u>Soils</u>

The soils on this site are primarily topsoil underlain by silty clay over glacial till. Boreholes and test pits were advanced by Gemtec. Refer to the "Geotechnical Investigation" prepared by Gemtec (Jan 25, 2019) for more information.

## Topography / Storm Outlet

Under existing conditions, the site is gradually sloped towards the north east and drains towards the Carp River. The existing cemetery is serviced by localized swales and culverts.

The pre-development drainage areas are shown on the Pre-Development Storm Drainage Area Plan (101063-PRE). Under pre-development conditions the site generally drains in two directions, to the existing ditch at the north property line, and to the east towards Oak Creek Side Road through the existing agricultural fields.

## 1.3 Proposed Building

The proposed visitation centre consists of a new building, parking lot / laneways and associated infrastructure. Refer to Site Plan (1513-A1.02 prepared by Hobin Architects).

## 1.4 Scope

The scope of Novatech's design is limited to the grading and servicing of the proposed visitation centre. This work includes the immediately adjacent parking lot / laneways, the septic system, the two roadside water quality ditches, and the stormwater management facility. Analysis of the existing infrastructure onsite is beyond the scope of this report.

## 1.5 Approvals

The proposed stormwater conveyance and stormwater management design will require approval from the City of Ottawa, the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and the Ottawa Septic System Office (OSSO).

## 2.0 SERVICING

Since municipal services are not available on McGee Side Road, it is proposed to service the proposed visitation centre with drilled well and septic system.

The stormwater runoff will be conveyed overland via proposed swales, which will complement the existing swales and culverts.

## 2.1 Grading

Based on the architectural design, the proposed building will be elevated above the surrounding land and existing driveways. The elevated design allows for grading away from the proposed building, and towards proposed grassed swales. The architectural plans call for a depressed loading zone with direct basement access. It is proposed to construct an exterior heated concrete ramp to access the basement level. Drainage of the depressed loading zone will be discussed in **Section 3.3** of this report.

## 2.2 Water Supply

The building will be serviced by a new drilled well that was drilled and tested as part of the "Hydrogeological Assessment" report (Gemtec, July 2010) and subsequent "Hydrogeological Assessment – Comments/Update" letter (Gemtec, Jan 25, 2019). The test well may be used for domestic water supply per the recommendations by Gemtec. The approximate location of the well is shown on the Grading and Servicing Plan (Drawing 101063-GR).

## 2.3 Wastewater Disposal

The building will be serviced by an individual sewage disposal system in accordance with the recommendations of the "Hydrogeological Assessment" report (Gemtec, July 2010) and subsequent "Hydrogeological Assessment – Comments/Update" letter (Gemtec, Jan 25, 2019). The sewage disposal system shown on the Grading and Servicing Plan (Drawing 101063-GR) is a fully raised conventional (Class IV) tile fields based on a design flow of 6,000 L/day.

A Sewage System Permit application will be required from the Ottawa Septic System Office.

Refer to **Appendix B** for details on the proposed septic system design.

## 2.4 Pavement Design

The typical pavement cross-section is shown on the Grading and Servicing Plan (Drawing 101063-GR).

The pavement structure being proposed is as follows:

## Table 1: Pavement Structure

Pavement Material Description	Layer Thickness (mm)		
	Heavy Duty	Light Duty	
Asphalt Wear Course (Superpave 12.5)	40	50	
Asphalt Base Course (Superpave 19.0)	50	-	
OPSS Granular A	150	150	
OPSS Granular B Type II	450	300	
TOTAL	540	500	

The proposed pavement structure meets the recommendations provided in the Geotechnical Investigation report prepared by Gemtec.

## 3.0 STORM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The stormwater management criteria and storm drainage design are based on a pre-consultation meeting with City of Ottawa staff. Correspondence is provided in **Appendix A**.

## 3.1 Stormwater Management Criteria

The following criteria have been applied to the stormwater management design:

## Water Quantity

- Provide storage to attenuate post-development peak flows to pre-development conditions for all storms up-to and including the 100-year storm event.
- Design a storm drainage system to safely convey post-development flows for all storms up-to and including the 100-year storm event.
- Provide 100-year level of service for the depressed basement loading zone.

## Water Quality

- Provide an Enhanced level of water quality treatment corresponding to 80% long-term removal of total suspended solids (TSS).
- Implement conveyance best management practices.

## Infiltration

 Infiltrate 73 mm annually based on the total drainage area; per the Carp River Subwatershed Study for low-pervious soils.

## Erosion and Sediment Control

 Provide erosion and sediment control to minimize erosion and sediment transport during and after construction.

## 3.2 Proposed Drainage System

The proposed storm drainage system has been designed to minimise the impact to the existing storm drainage system for the cemetery. The proposed storm drainage system will drain overland towards the north property line and will expand the existing outlet ditch into a dry stormwater facility.

The area of expansion will be serviced with roadside water quality ditches along the laneways, directing drainage towards the outlet ditch / stormwater management facility. The depressed basement loading zone adjacent the building (Area B04) will be serviced with a catchbasin and trench drain. Stormwater will be collected with a sump pump system inside the proposed building and pumped to the surface.

## 3.3 Depressed Loading Zone

The proposed basement loading zone is located below the elevation of the surrounding parking and landscaped areas. The lowered elevation will require that any drainage to this area be pumped. It is proposed to construct a catchbasin and trench drain to collect stormwater runoff and direct it to a sump pump system. The sump pump system will be required to provide a 100year level of service, in combination with surface storage in the depressed loading zone without impacting the basement.

It is proposed to provide a duplex sump pump system, with each pump capable of providing 3 L/s (3000gph) of constant flow, for a combined maximum flow rate of 6 L/s. The details of the sump pump system will be designed by the mechanical engineer as part of the mechanical design for the building. To be conservative, it is assumed that only one pump would be operating to determine the required storage volumes indicated in **Table 2** below.

Location	Storm Event	Pump Rate (L/s)	Elevation <sup>1</sup> (m)	Required Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Available Volume (m³)
Catchbasin	-	-	109.70	-	0.4
Trench Drain	2-year	3	109.83	4.5	5.2
Surface Dending	5-year	3	109.87	6.6	
Surface Ponding	100-year	3	109.96	15.1	10 5
Basement Floor	-	-	110.00	19.5	19.5
Power Failure	5-year (45 mm rainfall)	0	110.00	19.5	

Table 2: Depressed Loading Zone Storage

<sup>1</sup>PCSWMM model results for a 4-hour Chicago Storm.

Based on the above table, a duplex sump pump system, with only one pump operating will be able to accommodate the 100-year storm event, without impacting the basement floor. In the event of a complete power failure, including the onsite automatic backup generator, the available storage provided is equivalent to the amount of runoff from a 5-year 4-hour Chicago storm (approx. 45 mm of rainfall).

## 3.4 Stormwater Quantity Control

The PCSWMM hydrologic / hydraulic model was used to complete the storm drainage analysis of the proposed storm drainage system and stormwater management facility. The hydrologic analysis included the delineation of storm drainage areas and the selection of modelling parameters for each subcatchment area.

## 3.4.1 Storm Drainage Area Plan

The drainage area plan (Drawing 101063-POST) generally maintains the existing storm drainage strategy for the cemetery. The drainage boundary between the north outlet and the drainage towards the east have been adjusted to reflect the current grading design and existing topography. They have also been delineated based on land cover (i.e. building rooftop, parking lot, grassed areas).

## 3.4.2 Model Parameters

Hydrologic modelling parameters for each subcatchment were developed based on soil type, land use, and topography. Modelling parameters were determined as follows:

- Soil types were identified based on the "Geotechnical Investigation" prepared by Gemtec (Jan 25, 2019);
- Land use and ground cover were determined from aerial photos images (Figure 3);
- SCS Curve Numbers were assigned for each ARM subcatchment based on the soil types and land use for areas with less than 20% imperviousness. The ARM subcatchments use the NASHYD routine (runoff-based calculations), which is best suited for large rural catchments.
- For areas with more than 20% imperviousness, standard subcatchments were used (Horton's infiltration-based calculations).

The subcatchment input parameters used to pre-development and post-development models are shown in **Table 3**.

Area ID	Area (ha)	CN (HSG 'C')	la (mm)	Tc (min)	Runoff Coef.	% Imp. (%)
Existing Cond	litions				-	
EX01	8.88	77	7.6	23	-	-
Proposed Cor	nditions				-	-
A01	7.56	77	7.6	10	-	-
A02	0.37	77	7.6	10	-	-
B01	0.05	-	-	-	0.90	100%
B02	0.42	-	-	-	0.85	93%
B03	0.43	77	7.6	10	-	-
C01	0.05	-	-	-	0.90	100%
C02	0.48	-	-	-	0.85	93%
C03	0.45	77	7.6	10	-	-
TOTAL	9.81	-	-	-	-	-

## **Table 3: Subcatchment Parameters**

## 3.4.3 Design Storms

The hydrologic analysis was completed using the following design storms; generated using IDF parameters presented in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012).

<u>3 Hour Chicago Storms:</u> 25mm 3hr Chicago storm 2-year 3hr Chicago storm 5-year 3hr Chicago storm 100-year 3hr Chicago storm

## 12 Hour SCS Type II Storms:

25mm 12 hour SCS Type II storm 2-year 12 hour SCS Type II storm 5-year 12 hour SCS Type II storm 100-year 12 hour SCS Type II storm

Of the two modelled storm distributions, the 12-hour SCS distribution generated the highest peak flows and was selected as the critical distribution for this site.

## 3.4.4 Modelling Files and Schematics

The PCSWMM model schematic and 100-year model output are provided in **Appendix C**.

## 3.4.5 Ditches

The ditches have been designed to provide stormwater conveyance and water quality treatment. They have been sized to convey the 100-year peak flows, based on Manning's equation. All storm drainage will be confined within the drainage easements. The proposed ditch cross-sections are shown on the Grading and Servicing Plan (Drawing 101063-GR). In all cases, the maximum capacity of the proposed roadside ditches exceeds the 100-year design flow. Supporting Manning's calculations for the north and east ditches are included in **Appendix C**.

## 3.4.6 Storm Outlet

**Table 4** provides a summary of the total pre-development and uncontrolled / controlled post-development peak flows at the outlet.

#### Peak Flow (L/s) Area ID 25mm 2-year 5-year 100-year Existing Conditions (allowable release rate) 4-hour Chicago Storm 61.1 140.2 280.8 800.9 12-hour SCS Storm 189.6 342.8 860.0 Post-Development Conditions (controlled) 4-hour Chicago Storm 82.6 111.0 146.9 695.0 12-hour SCS Storm 112.7 211.3 772.8

## Table 4: Summary of Peak Flows

The controlled peak flows meet the allowable release rates for the 2-year, 5-year and 100-year storm events. In addition, there is existing uncontrolled runoff which is directed to the storm outlet and is being routed through the inline dry pond.

The proposed works will reduce the drainage area towards the east. Therefore, no further analysis of drainage towards the east was completed. The grading design has increased the total contributing drainage area to the north outlet from 8.88 ha to 9.81 ha (additional 0.93 ha). This represents a 9.5% increase in the overall drainage to the north outlet.

The outlet channels have been designed to convey the 100-year peak flow. The additional drainage area from the proposed works will have no adverse impact on the existing outlet ditch or downstream culverts. Peak flows will be attenuated by the inline dry pond. Manning's capacity calculations and flow depths for the outlet channel to the inline dry pond are provided in **Appendix C**.

## 3.4.7 Stormwater Management Facility (Inline Dry Pond)

An inline dry pond will provide stormwater management by attenuating peak flows. A low flow channel (i.e., the existing outlet channel) is provided along one side of the dry pond. The total storage volume within the dry pond is approximately 500 m<sup>3</sup>.

The outlet control structure will consist of a 300mm PVC pipe, within a ditch inlet catchbasin, and 1.5 m wide overflow weir. The weir will be constructed of 150 mm dia.  $D_{50}$  rip-rap.

Details of the storm outlet and inline dry pond are shown on the Outlet Plan and Profile drawing (101063-PP1).

## 3.5 Stormwater Quality Control

The Highland Park Cemetery is located within the jurisdiction of Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority which requires an *Enhanced* level of treatment (80% long term removal of total suspended solids).

## 3.5.1 BMP Treatment Train

A treatment train approach will be used to provide to required quality control of stormwater. The initial part of the treatment train are site level conveyance controls and Best Management Practices. The following site level conveyance controls and Best Management Practices will be implemented to promote infiltration and filter sediment:

- The overall site drainage patterns generally remain the same. The natural landscape or pre-development conditions will be maintained where possible to promote infiltration and minimize erosion and sediment transport.
- Roof leaders are to be directed to infiltration galleries (rain gardens) consisting of 100mm rip-rap stone 0.5 m thick, which will promote retention and infiltration.
- Stormwater from roof areas is considered 'clean' and with roof leaders draining to the infiltration galleries, quality control of stormwater in these areas is not required.
- The drainage system for the proposed works will consists of grassed ditches instead of storm sewers. This will promote surface water infiltration within the drainage system. The proposed ditches will be constructed at minimum grades, where possible.

## 3.5.2 Grassed Swales (Ditches)

Although grassed ditches and swales are generally used for the conveyance of stormwater, under the appropriate conditions they permit significant amounts of total suspended solid (TSS) removal. Grassed ditches are effective for treatment when the bottom width is maximized while the depth of flow and channel slope is minimized.

The proposed ditches will have a trapezoidal cross-section, a bottom width of 2.0m, minimum depth of 0.3m, and side slopes of 3:1. The two proposed water quality ditches are approximately 100m each in length.

The grassed ditches have been designed based on guidelines from the following publications:

- Young et. al., "Evaluation and Management of Highway Runoff Water Quality (FHWA, 1996)
- Stormwater Best Management Practices in an Urban Setting: Selection and Monitoring (FHWA, 1996)
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (MOE, 2003)

Case studies on the effectiveness of grassed ditches and swales for water quality control have provided variable results, which precludes the ability to precisely calculate pollutant removal efficiencies. However, the above referenced publications indicate that properly designed grassed channels can provide in excess of 80% long-term TSS removal, which will meet the requirements for an Enhanced level of quality control as per the MOE guidelines.

Both dry and wet swales demonstrate good pollutant removal, with dry swales providing significantly better performance for metals and nitrate. Dry swales typically remove 65 percent of total phosphorus (TP), 50 percent of total nitrogen (TN), and between 80 and 90 percent of metals. Wet swale removal rates are closer to 20 percent of TP, 40 percent of TN, and between 40 and 70 percent of metals. The total suspended solids removal for both swale types is typically between 80 and 90 percent. (FHWA, 1996)

The proposed ditches and swales servicing the proposed expansion have been designed to meet MOE standards for water quality treatment. The recommended MOE & FHWA criteria for water quality are summarized in **Table 5**.

Criteria	Recommended	West Swale Areas B1-B4	East Swale Areas C1-C3			
	Channel Dimens	ions				
Channel Slope	< 4.0% (MOE)	0.45% to 1.28%	0.34% to 1.0%			
Bottom Width	> 0.75 m (MOE)	2.0 m	2.0 m			
Side Slopes (H:V)	> 2.5:1 (MOE)	3:1	3:1			
28	25mm Event (Water Quality – 4-hour Chicago)					
Peak Flow	-	0.058 m³/s	0.067 m³/s			
Flow Depth	± 0.1 m (FHWA)	0.07 – 0.08 m	0.08 – 0.11 m			
Velocity	< 0.5 m/s (MOE)	0.32 – 0.38 m/s	0.30 – 0.34 m/s			
	100-year Event (4-hour Chicago)					
Peak Flow	-	0.253 m³/s	0.302 m³/s			
Flow Depth	< 0.5 m (MOE)	0.16 – 0.19 m	0.19 – 0.24 m			
Velocity	< 0.5 m/s (MOE)	0.53 – 0.59 m/s	0.51 – 0.56 m/s			

 Table 5: Grassed Channel Design (Based on MOE & FHWA Guidelines)

The results of the hydrologic / hydraulic analysis summarized in **Table 5** indicate that the flow depths and velocities in the roadside ditches meet the recommended flow depth and velocity criteria for the 25mm event (water quality event). The flow depth criteria is met during the 100-year event, but the velocity criteria is slightly exceeded. As the grass outlet channels meet the water quality criteria for frequent storm events they should provide long-term water quality control.

## 3.5.3 Maintenance

Pollutant removal efficiencies of swales are related to flow retardance, vegetation density and the stiffness of grass blades, providing a "scrub brush" effect (Khan, 1993). Best removal rates have been achieved through dense turf grasses where a uniform blade height is maintained at least 50mm (2 in) above the design water depth. Grasses too short do not provide sufficient flow reduction or pollutant filtration; grasses too long tend to bend and flatten, allowing the runoff to skim over the bent grass, reducing flow retardance and filtration. (FHWA, 1996).

Based on the above statement, the proposed ditches should be planted with dense turf grass or similar vegetation. The height of vegetation in the ditches should be maintained at approximately 150 to 200mm (6 to 8 inches) by the Owner.

Annual inspection of the ditches is recommended to monitor accumulation of sediment or debris:

- Sediment removal should be performed when sediment depths build up to no more than 100mm;
- Grass damaged during the sediment removal process should be promptly replaced using the same seed mix used during initial vegetation establishment; and,
- If any areas are eroded, they should be filled, compacted, and reseeded so that the final grade is level with the bottom of the ditch.

The proposed storm drainage system, in conjunction with site level best management practices, will provide the requisite level of water quality treatment.

## 3.6 Infiltration

## Infiltration Target

The Carp River Subwatershed Study identifies the site as a low recharge zone, with an annual infiltration target of 73 mm/year based on low imperviousness soils. This is supported by the Geotechnical Report. The 73 mm / year of infiltration required corresponds to 7,161 m<sup>3</sup>/ year, based on an overall drainage area of 9.81 ha or 730 m<sup>3</sup> / year based on the proposed development area (1.00 ha).

## Infiltration from Initial Abstractions

The overall drainage area is predominantly pervious with an initial abstraction estimated to be 7.6 mm. The average annual rainfall is 515 mm for the months May to October. This is based on measurements at the Ottawa Macdonald–Cartier International Airport for a 30-year period (1971-2000). Infiltrating the first 7.6mm of rainfall (measured daily) corresponds to infiltrating 59% of the annual rainfall or 26,769 m<sup>3</sup> / year. Refer to **Table 6** for the infiltration calculations from initial abstractions.

Area ID	Area	CN	la	Annual Rainfall	% Infiltrated	Volume Infiltrated
	(ha)		(mm)		(%)	(m³)
	Proposed Conditions					
A01	7.56	77	7.6		59%	22,971
A02	0.37	77	7.6		59%	1,124
B03	0.43	77	7.6	51511111	59%	1,307
C03	0.45	77	7.6		59%	1,367
TOTAL	9.75	-	-	50,213 m <sup>3</sup>	59%	26,769
	Total Infiltration required 7,161					

## Table 6: Infiltration from Initial Abstractions

## Proposed Best Management Practices

To meet the 730 m<sup>3</sup>/year annual infiltration criteria the following infiltration best management practices are proposed:

- Two (2) infiltration galleries (i.e. rain gardens).
  - Will intercept roof runoff from the west and east building rooftops / roof leaders.
- Amended topsoil within each of the two (2) outlet ditches.
  - Amended topsoil will be placed along the bottom (2.0m width) over the entire length of each outlet ditch (approx. 100m).

Each infiltration gallery (rain garden) will be filled with 0.30 m of 100 mm  $D_{50}$  Riverstone. The area, storage volumes and retention time are as follows:

<u>Parameter</u>	West Infiltration Gallery	East Infiltration Gallery
Bottom Area:	72 m <sup>2</sup>	98 m²
Storage Volume:	8.6 m <sup>3</sup>	11.8 m³
Retention Time:	12 hours	12 hours

The drawdown (retention) time for the infiltration galleries is approximately 12 hours. This is due to the low / moderate permeability of the surrounding silty sand soils. The estimated percolation rate for the native silty sand soils is 20 mm/hr. This value has been divided by 2 to account for clogging (10 mm/hr).

In addition to the infiltration galleries, the west and each outlet ditches will have 150 mm of amended topsoil (approx. 400 m<sup>2</sup>). Assuming a void ratio of 15% the amended topsoil will provide approximately 9.0 m<sup>3</sup> of additional storage for infiltration. The retention time for the amended topsoil is approximately 2.3 hours.

## Annual Rainfall and Volume Captured

Based on thirty (30) years of climate data (1971-2000) from the Ottawa CDA Environment Canada Weather Station (STA ID: 6105976), the average annual precipitation in Ottawa is 914 mm (rain + snow). The average annual rainfall is 733 mm, and the annual rainfall between May and October is 515 mm. Refer to the Climate Normals provided in **Appendix C**.

The storage provided for infiltration equates to an infiltration depth over the drainage areas. Based on the 30 years of daily rainfall data, the storage provided for infiltration equates to capturing and infiltrating a percentage of the annual rainfall (515 mm):

Infiltration System	% of Annual Rainfall Infiltrated	Volume of Rainfall Infiltrated
East Infiltration Gallery	84%	216.7 m³/year
West Infiltration Gallery	92%	235.9 m³/year
Amended Topsoil	7%	666.9 m³/year
TOTAL	-	1,119.4 m³/year

The annual volume of rainfall that will be infiltrated is 1,119.4 m3/year. This exceeds the Carp River Subwatershed Study target of 73 mm/year or 730 m<sup>3</sup>/year. Refer to calculations provided in **Appendix C**.

## 4.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Erosion and sediment control measures would be implemented during construction in accordance with the "Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites" (Government of Ontario, May 1987). Details and specific locations of temporary and permanent Erosion and Sediment Control measures are shown on the Grading and Servicing Plan (101063-GR).

## 4.1.1 Temporary Measures

Temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented on-site during construction in accordance with the Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control.

The following erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented during construction.

- Placement of geotextile or filter bags under catch basins and maintenance holes;
- Silt fences around the area under construction;
- Light duty straw bales at key locations in the ditches and swales as shown on the plans;
- Vegetating disturbed areas.

The erosion and sediment control measures are to be implemented prior to construction and will remain in place during all phases of construction. Regular inspection and maintenance of the erosion control measures are to be undertaken.

## 4.1.2 Permanent Measures

Permanent erosion and sediment control measures will include the following:

- Roof leaders are to be directed to the infiltration galleries / rain gardens.
- Ditches and swales are to be constructed at minimum grade, where possible.
- Ditches and swales are to be vegetated to provide permanent erosion and sediment control.
- Rip-rap will be installed at significant changes in grades of the proposed swales where shown on the plans.

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions are as follows:

## <u>Servicing</u>

- Potable water will be provided by means of a new well drilled as part of the Hydrogeological Assessment report and letter prepared by Gemtec.
- The proposed septic system is based on design flow pof 4,050L/day for a fully raised conventional system. A Sewage System Permit application will be required from the Ottawa Septic System Office.
- The underside of footing (USF) elevation shown on the plan was set at a minimum 0.3m above the groundwater elevation indicated in the Geotechnical Report prepared by Gemtec.

## Stormwater Management

- Storm drainage and stormwater management will be provided via swales and roadside ditches.
- Quantity control of storm runoff is provided to control to the pre-development levels to the existing tributary to the Carp River.
- The vegetated swales will provide an Enhanced level of water quality treatment corresponding to 80% long-term total suspended solids removal.
- Erosion and sediment control will be provided to minimize erosion and sediment transport during and after construction.

## 6.0 CLOSURE

This report outlines detailed servicing and stormwater design to meet the requirements of the City of Ottawa and Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and is submitted to clear the conditions of Draft Approval in support of Final Approval of the Site Plan.

## NOVATECH



Alex McAuley, P.Eng. Project Manager | Land Development



Conrad Stang, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Project Manager | Water Resources



SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

Website

(613) 254-9643 (613) 254-5867 www.novatech-eng.com

<sup>SCALE</sup> 1:4000	0	40	80	120	160	
JUNE 2019	<sup>јов</sup> 1	01063	3	FIGURE	IG 3	

CUT11V17 DIA//2 270mm VA22m

## Appendix A

## Correspondence

## Site Plan Control Application Pre-Consultation- 2037 McGee Side Road

Meeting Date: April 19, 2018

#### Attendee:

- John Cole, Pinecrest Remembrance Services
- Leila Emmrys, Hobin Architecture
- Susan Gordon, Novatech
- Alex McAuley, Novatech
- Sobha Kunjikutty, Water Resources Engineer, MVCA
- Niall Oddie, Environmental Planner, MVCA
- Kevin Hall, Infrastructure PM, City of Ottawa
- Kerry Reed, Environmental Planner, City of Ottawa
- Mark Young, Urban Designer, City of Ottawa

#### Information:

- Lise Guevremont, Planner 2, Parks and Recreation, City of Ottawa
- Amira Shehata, Transportation PM, City of Ottawa

#### Proposal:

The proponent wishes to develop a new one-storey funeral home (1019 sq m), with a partial basement (400 sq. m.). The building will consist of assembly, office and storage spaces (embalming and cremation services will continue to be done at the existing Pinecrest facility). A second phase of approx. 900 sq. m. will be considered, based on demand, in 5-10 years.

#### Application type:

The application will be considered as: "Application for New Development", "Manager Approval, Public Consultation", "– see link http://app06.ottawa.ca/online\_services/forms/ds/site\_plan\_control\_en.pdf

### Submission requirements:

#### Plan of Survey (5 copies)

#### Site Plan (15 copies)

- Site plans should show conceptually the proposed Phase II expansion.
- Site plan should also provide total area of the proposal including parking. Parkland Cashin-lieu will be calculated based this area.
- Site plan should show the 30 meters setback from the adjacent watercourse.
- Site plan should show accessibility design of the parking lot.
- Site plan should confirm parking compliance.
- Please separate grading from site plan. Keep the plan clear.

#### Landscape Plan (15copies)

• Indicate proposed paving for parking lot and around the building

#### Building Elevations (15 copies)

- Show façade treatments
- Show any signage location and dimensions.

#### Planning Rationale (4 copies)

- Please address Official Plan designation.
- Given the nature of the proposal, planning rationale can be in cover letter format.

#### Grading and Drainage Plan (15 copies)

#### Lighting Plan (7 Copies)

• A Letter from a certified Engineer to confirm the proposal lighting meets the City's Standard is sufficient.

#### **Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (15 Copies)**

#### Stormwater Management Brief (7copies)

- SWM Quantity: The post-development stormwater generated from the proposed site should be restricted to the pre-development flow for the 5-year and 100-year peak events.
- SWM Quality: A normal level of quality control treatment is required for the proposed development.
- Infiltration Target: The Carp River Watershed Subwatershed Study indicates that a moderate target of 104mm/yr can be used unless the soils present on site are clay or if there is a high groundwater table. If these conditions are present, a revised target of 73mm/yr can be used.

#### Geotechnical Study (7 copies)

#### Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis (7 copies)

The report should provide discussion relating to Recharge Area identified in the Carp Road CDP.

Please provide electronic copy (PDF) of all plans and studies required.

All plans and drawings must be produced on A1-sized paper and folded to 21.6 cm x 27.9 cm  $(8\frac{1}{2}x 11^{2})$ .

A scale of 1:200 is recommended for the Site Plan and Landscape Plan.

Note that many of the plans and studies collected with this application must be signed, sealed and dated by a qualified engineer, architect, surveyor, planner or designated specialist.

#### Other Development Considerations :

- ROW protection requirement can be waived, as McGee Side Road ends at Oak Creek Road.
- A permit from MVCA will be required if any modifications to the watercourse at the northern boundary of the site are required or anticipated as a result of SWM. Surface water, groundwater features and fish habitat do not trigger the requirements for an EIS under the policies of the OP.
- Extra engineering design needs to be considered for the design of the ramp to the loading facility. Flood protection from the 100 year storm event will be critical.
- There is no requirement for a tree conservation report.
- The landowner indicated that the trees on the subject property were planted but I recommend that if they are removing trees they should survey for butternut and if butternut is present on the site, then a qualified Butternut Health Assessor (BHA) will conduct the necessary assessment to enable the MNRF to determine whether or not provincial authorization is required prior to the removal of any trees.
- There are SAR grassland bird observations in the vicinity but there is no suitable habitat on site or adjacent to the site where the septic system has been identified to be installed as the land is currently mowed lawn and active cash crops, therefore no EIS is required.
- Accessibility Design Standards particularly for parking, as the standards are stricter that the Traffic and Parking By-law in regards to accessible parking spaces. A copy of the accessibility design standards can be found on City's Website.
- Construction activities should follow Protocol for Wildlife Protection during Construction.
- Proposal does not trigger any transportation studies
- Parkland Cash-in-lieu will be required at 5% of the proposed development area (including parking area). The value of land will be determined as of the day before planning approval is given for Site Plan Control. The calculation is based on City of Ottawa *Guidelines for Parkland Dedication*. (attached)
- Should consult with Fire Services that the proposal water tank is sufficient.

Appendix B

## Septic System Design Brief

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

May 31, 2019

## Septic System Design Brief

Highland Park Cemetery Expansion 2037 McGee Side Road, Ottawa

Report Reference: R-2019-086 Novatech File No.: 101063

## **Existing Conditions**

Highland Park Cemetery has been in operation for over 30 years. The cemetery property consists of approximately 49 ha and is mostly vegetated with a mix of agricultural fields, maintained grass and laneways. There is an existing office/maintenance building (110m<sup>2</sup>) serviced by a well and a septic system. The existing system has a theoretical design flow of 900L/day. The office building is intended to remain.

## Proposed Development Scenario

The owners of Highland Park cemetery are proposing to construct a visitation centre on the existing property. The new building (1015m<sup>2</sup>) would be serviced by a well and a fully raised conventional septic system, separate from the well and septic system servicing the existing office/maintenance building.

The new building includes:

- Visitation rooms (2 rooms)
- Office space
- Kitchen (food provided by external catering)
- Water closets
- Embalming room (process liquids collected separately and disposed off-site)

The preliminary floor plans prepared by Hobin Architecture Incorporated are attached for reference.

## Purpose

This report has been prepared in support of a Septic System Permit Application to the Ottawa Septic System Office.

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Native Soil Conditions

Gemtec/Houle Chevrier Engineering prepared the following documents in support of the site development and the septic system design:

- Geotechnical Investigation Highland Park Cemetery Visitation Centre, January 25, 2019.
- Geotechnical Investigation Highland Park Cemetery Visitation Centre, March 2010.

A review of these documents provided the following soil information in the area of the septic tile field:

<u>Topsoil</u>: The investigations confirmed that the topsoil generally extends to a depth of 0.3m and 0.4m below the existing ground surface at the site of the proposed septic tile field.

<u>Insitu Soils</u>: The geotechnical consultant noted that the silty sand and silty clay in the area of the septic tile field has a relatively low permeability. It was recommended to design a fully raised bed and imported sand mantle.

<u>Water Table Elevation</u>: The static groundwater elevation is approximately 109.70, which is approximately 1.7m below the surface.

## **Design Flow**

The theoretical design flow is based on two visitations daily with 150 guests each. Staff are on-site during visitations. The proposed building is not expected to have any full-time staff.

Activity	People	Flow	Total Flow
		(L/day)	(L/day)
Visitors (Assembly Hall), 150 visitor	ple		
Food Service provided	100	20	2,000
No Food Service provided	200	8	1,600
Employees			
Per 8 hour shift	6	75	450
			4,050

The design flow used to size the septic system is 4,050L/day.

## Septic System Design

The proposed building will be serviced by a Class 4 tile field. The proposed septic system consists of a septic tank, a manhole, gravity sewer, a lift pump, and an absorption trench leaching bed.

M:\2001\101063\DATA\REPORTS\SEPTIC SYSTEM\20190531-SEPTIC SYSTEM REPORT.DOC

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

Refer to the Grading & Servicing Plan and the Septic System Plan (**101063-GR** and **101063-SEP**) for septic system details.

## Septic Tanks

The minimum septic tank required would be 3x design flow. The septic tank would require an effluent filter.

Size required: 3 x 4,050 = 12,150L Size provided: 18,500L

## Absorption Trench Leaching Bed Design

## Length of distribution pipe

Length of distribution pipe required:  $L = QT/200 = (6,000 \times 8)/200 = 240m$ (Design Flow (Q) increased based on capacity of the septic tank) Length of distribution pipe provided: L = 12 runs at 23m = 276m

## Loading Rate Calculations

Contact area required:	A = Q/6 = 6,000/6
	$A = 1,000m^2$
Contact area provided:	$A = 1,300m^2$ (including mantle)

## **Setbacks**

The following minimum setbacks are required:

- Tile field to any Drilled well: 18.0m
- Tile field to Property line: 6.0m
- Septic tank to any Drilled well: 15.0m
- Septic tank to Building: 1.5m

## **Septic System Installation**

The septic system is to be installed in accordance with the following engineering drawings prepared by Novatech:

- Grading & Servicing Plan (101063-GR)
- Septic System Plan (101063-SEP)

M:\2001\101063\DATA\REPORTS\SEPTIC SYSTEM\20190531-SEPTIC SYSTEM REPORT.DOC

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

The proposed septic system consists of a septic tank, a manhole, gravity sewer, a lift pump, and an absorption trench leaching bed.

A mantle is required in the direction of the surface drainage. The imported sand, which is to be used to construct the septic system including the mantle, is to have a percolation rate of 8 min/cm, with less than 8% silt, tested and approved before placement.

Construction traffic and materials are to be kept away from the septic system, including the mantle.

The surface area of the septic system is to be graded to provide positive drainage, and treated with 100mm permeable topsoil and seed. No impermeable material is to be placed over or adjacent to the area bed.

This septic system has been designed to treat domestic waste only. The following are not to be connected to the septic system:

- Water softener
- Sump pump
- Embalming waste
- Eavestroughs/Roof Drains
- Refrigeration or Condensing Units

Novatech's design and inspection services do not relieve the septic system installer of the responsibility for guaranteeing workmanship and materials.

Prepared by:

NOVATECH

Sonley.

Lisa Bowley, P.Eng Project Manager | Land Development Engineering

## **Attachments**

- 1. Preliminary floor plans prepared by Hobin Architecture Incorporated
- 2. <u>Drawings</u> Grading and Servicing Plan Septic System Plan

101063-GR, revision 3 101063-SEP, revision 1

M:\2001\101063\DATA\REPORTS\SEPTIC SYSTEM\20190531-SEPTIC SYSTEM REPORT.DOC

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

	Highland Park Cemetery
R	
	4 MAY 1/19     155/ED FOR INFORMATION     3 JAN, 8/19     155/ED FOR INFORMATION     2 Dec. 14/10     EMBALMING ROOMS ADDED     1 AUG. 31/10     155/ED FOR COSTING
	no.         date         revision           It is the responsibility of the appropriate contractor to check and verify all dimen- sions on site and report all errors and/ or omissions to the architect.           All contractors must comply with all pertinent codes and by-laws.
	Do not scale drawings. This drawing may not be used for construction until signed. Copyright reserved.
R	
	Hobin Architecture Incorporated
	63 Pamilla Street Outava, Oniario Canada K13 K7 T: 613 238 7200 F: 618 238 2005 E-mail@holmac.com hobinarc.com
	PROJECT/LOCATION: HIGHLAND PARK REMEMBERANCE 2037 McGEE SIDE ROAD
	DRAWING TITLE: GROUND FLOOR PLAN
	ВВ 17/12/10 1:100 РОЈЕСТ: 1513 РОЈЕСТ: 1513
	A2.02
	REVISION NO.

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

	Aller.		H C	igl	nla	nc	l Pa	ark	C
	+								
	4	MAY	1/19	199	UED I	FOR		MAT	
	) 2	JAN. Dec.	0/19 14/18	EME	JALM	ING	INF05	IS AL	DDED
	1	AUG.	31/18	199	UED	<i>-0</i> R	<i>со</i> ят	ING	
D TI C	Do r This Cons	not s draw truct right	cale ring ι ion ι rese	draw may intil erved	ings not signe	be u ed.	used	for	
	He Inv 63	obin A corpoi Pamíli	rchite rated a Stree	cture t					
	OU Ca T: 4	tawa, C nada H 613-23( 613-23)	Intario (19 3K) 8-7200 5-2005	7			+		$\geq$
	Er	neil@h bine	obinerc rc.cor	.com n		H		ΒΙ	N
P	RO	JECT	r∟oc. HIG	atio GHL	<sup>N:</sup> AN	D F	PAR	ĸ	
		F	203		MBI Gee	ER/	ANC ROAI	DE D	
۱L	RAN		ЕM	E: EN	ΤF	LO	OR	PL	AN
D	В								
D BI		WN B	Y:	DATE 18/01/	<b>=:</b> 02		SCA 1:100	LE:	
D BI		WN B	Y:	DATE 18/01/	<b>:</b> 02		SCA 1:100 PRO 1513	JEC	T:
D		WN B	Y:	DATE 18/01/	E: 02		SCA 1:100 PRO 1513 DRA	LE: JEC WING	т: з NO.: 01
		WN B	Y:	DATI 18/01/	<b>⊒</b> ∙ 02		SCA 1:100 PRO 1513 DRA A	LE: JEC WING	т. G NO. O'

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTE:			SCALE	DESIGN	FOR REVIE	EW ONLY		LOCATION	
THE POSITION OF ALL POLE LINES, CONDUITS,				LAB				2037 McGEE SIDE ROAD, CITY OF OTTAWA	
WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER INDEREDOPLING LITH THES AND			4.000	CHECKED			NO\/ATECH	PINECREST REMEMBRANCE SERVICES	
STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON			1:200	ARM				HIGHLAND PARK CEMETERY	
THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN,			1	DRAWIN			Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive	DRAWING NAME	PROJECT No.
				CHECKED			Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6		101063
BEFORE STARTING WORK, DETERMINE THE EXACT			1:200	LAR			Telephone (613) 254-9643 Exercipida (613) 254-5967		REV # 1
LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LABILITY FOR	1 ISSUED WITH SEPTIC SYSTEM DESIGN BRIEF	JUNE 3/19 ARM		APPROVED			Website www.novatech-eng.com	SEPTIC SYSTEM PLAN	DRAWING No.
DAMAGE TO THEM.	No. REVISION	DATE BY	1	SMG					101063-SEP
			-	-					PLANS1.DWG - 1000mmc707mm

Th

1071.61 (Mean) McGEE SIDE ROAD

<u>\_</u>

LOCATION PLAN SCALE = 1: 2000 260.08

(1071.37 P1)

APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF EXISTING OFFICE/MAINTENANCE BUILDING AND SEPTIC BED (900L/day)

286.34

## Appendix C

## Stormwater Management Calculations / Modelling

## Highland Park Cemetery (101063) Manning's Equation for Outlet Ditches

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

Doromotor	Unito	West Ditc	h Capacity	East Ditcl	h Capacity	Outlet Ditch Capacity		
Farameter	Units	Min Slope	Max Slope	Min Slope	Max Slope	Min Slope	Max Slope	
Depth	m	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	1.50	1.25	
Bottom Width	m	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	
Side slope (L)	1 to X	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Side slope (R)	1 to X	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Top Width (L)	m	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	4.50	3.75	
Top Width (R)	m	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	4.50	3.75	
Top Width (total)	m	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	10.00	8.50	
Area	m <sup>2</sup>	0.870 0.870 0.87		0.870	0.870	8.250	5.938	
Perimeter	m	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	10.49	8.91	
R=A/P	m	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.79	0.67	
n	-	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	
Slope	m/m	0.0045	0.0128	0.0034	0.0100	0.0025	0.0200	
V	m/s	0.55	0.93	0.48	0.82	0.95	2.40	
Q	m <sup>3</sup> /s	0.48	0.80	0.41	0.71	7.81	14.24	

\*Manning's equation for flat bottom ditch (refer to Drawing 101063-GR).

## Highland Park Cemetery (101063) Infiltration Calculations

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

Storage Provided for Infiltration and Retention Time

	ſ	Dimensions of In	filtration System	Retnetion Time					
Location	Height	Bottom Area	Assumed Void Ratio	Storage Volume	Percolation Rate <sup>1</sup>	Infiltration Rate	Retention Time (hours)		
	(m)	(m <sup>2</sup> )		(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/s)			
West Rain Garden	0.30	72.0	40%	8.6		0.20	12.0		
East Rain Garden	0.30	98.0	40%	11.8	10	0.27	12.0		
Ammended Topsoil in Outlet Ditches	0.15	400.0	15%	9.0		1.11	2.3		

<sup>1</sup> Percolation rate estimated for silty sand = 20 mm/hr; divided by 2 to account for clogging.

		Infiltratio	on Criteria	Annual Infiltration						
Location	Drainage Area to Infiltration Systems (ha)	Annual Infiltration Requirement <sup>1</sup> (mm/year)	Volume to be Infiltrated Annually <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	Infiltration Depth (mm)	% of Annual Rainfall (515mm) Infiltrated <sup>3</sup> (%)	Amount of Annual Rainfall Infiltrated (mm/year)	Volume of Rainfall Infiltrated / Year (m <sup>3</sup> /year)			
West Rain Garden	0.05			17.3	84%	433.4	216.7			
East Rain Garden	0.05	73	730.0	23.5	92%	471.7	235.9			
Ammended Topsoil in Outlet Ditches	1.88			0.5	7%	35.5	666.9			
TOTAL	1.98	73	730.0	-	-	-	1,119.4			

<sup>1</sup> Annual infiltration requirement from Carp River Subwatershed Study (Dec. 2004); for low recharge areas.

<sup>2</sup> Volume to be infiltrated = 73mm / year x total development area (1.00 ha).

<sup>3</sup> Based on 30-years (1971 - 2000) of daily climate data (May - October).

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Climate

Home > Data > Climate Normals & Averages

## Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

The minimum number of years used to calculate these Normals is indicated by a code for each element. A "+" beside an extreme date indicates that this date is the first occurrence of the extreme value. Values and dates in bold indicate all-time extremes for the location.

Data used in the calculation of these Normals may be subject to further quality assurance checks. This may result in minor changes to some values presented here.

OTTAWA CDA * ONTARIO												
Latitude:	45°23'00.000" N	Longitude:	75°43'00.000" W	Elevation:	79.20 m							
Climate ID:	6105976	WMO ID:		TC ID:	WCG							

\* This station meets <u>WMO standards</u> for temperature and precipitation.

### ▼ Temperature

	<u>Temperature</u>													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
Daily Average (°C)	-10.5	-8.6	-2.4	6.0	13.6	18.4	21.0	19.7	14.7	8.2	1.5	-6.6	6.3	Δ
Standard Deviation	2.9	2.7	2.5	1.9	1.8	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.7	3.3	0.8	Α
Daily Maximum (°C)	-6.1	-3.9	2.1	10.9	19.1	23.8	26.4	25.0	19.7	12.6	4.9	-2.9	11.0	Α
Daily Minimum (°C)	-14.8	-13.2	-7.0	1.1	8.0	13.0	15.5	14.3	9.7	3.7	-1.9	-10.3	1.5	A
Extreme Maximum (°C)	11.7	12.2	25.6	31.2	35.0	36.7	37.8	37.8	36.7	29.4	23.3	16.1		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1932/ 14	1953/ 21	1945/ 28	1990/ 27	1921/ 21	1921/ 22	1913/ 04	1917/ 01	1931/ 11	1891/ 03	1961/ 03	1951/ 07		
Extreme Minimum (°C)	-37.8	-38.3	-36.7	-20.6	-7.2	0.0	3.3	1.7	-4.4	-12.8	-23.9	-38.9		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1925/ 19	1934/ 17	1938/ 04	1923/ 01	1902/ 10	1910/ 04	1942/ 10	1934/ 30	1947/ 28	1933/ 26	1925/ 30	1933/ 29		

### Precipitation

<b>Precipitation</b>														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
Rainfall (mm)	22.9	16.1	33.6	59.7	80.9	91.2	88.9	87.6	86.8	76.2	60.5	28.8	733.2	Α
Snowfall (cm)	49	41	32	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	52	203	A

11	1/8	/20	1	4

#### Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

Precipitation (mm)	64.2	51.6	64.9	67.7	81.0	91.2	88.9	87.6	86.8	79.1	77.0	74.1	914.2	Α
Average Snow Depth (cm)	21	25	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	7	Δ
Median Snow Depth (cm)	21	25	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	7	Α
Snow Depth at Month- end (cm)	23	26	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	16	7	Δ
Extreme Daily Rainfall (mm)	40.1	38.4	41.8	48.3	75.9	77.5	74.2	90.4	93.2	58.4	49.0	73.2		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1995/ 15	1997/ 21	1980/ 21	1956/ 15	1916/ 17	1946/ 17	1899/ 11	1943/ 23	1942/ 09	1995/ 05	1907/ 07	1933/ 31		
Extreme Daily Snowfall (cm)	56	46	48	33	19	0	0	0	0	22	53	38		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1894/ 29	1895/ 08	1947/ 02	1970/ 02	1907/ 04	1890/ 01	1890/ 01	1890/ 01	1890/ 01	1933/ 24	1912/ 25	1973/ 20		
Extreme Daily Precipitation (mm)	55.9	45.7	48.8	48.3	75.9	77.5	74.2	90.4	93.2	58.4	53.3	73.2		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1894/ 29	1895/ 08	1962/ 12	1956/ 15	1916/ 17	1946/ 17	1899/ 11	1943/ 23	1942/ 09	1995/ 05	1912/ 25	1933/ 31		
Extreme Snow Depth (cm)	53	97	89	66	8	0	0	0	0	18	30	51		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1971/ 30	1971/ 24	1971/ 12	1971/ 01	1963/ 11	1961/ 01	1961/ 01	1961/ 01	1961/ 01	1997/ 27	1995/ 28	1970/ 25		

## ▼ Days with Maximum Temperature

		<u>Days</u>	with	Max	imum	Tem	pera	<u>ture</u>						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Νον	Dec	Year	Code
<= 0 °C	23.3	19.8	10.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	19.1	79.7	Δ
> 0 °C	7.7	8.5	20.1	29.1	31.0	30.0	31.0	31.0	30.0	31.0	24.2	11.9	285.5	Δ
> 10 °C	0.0	0.1	3.0	15.3	29.5	30.0	31.0	31.0	29.5	20.5	5.4	0.4	195.6	Δ
> 20 °C	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.6	12.8	24.1	29.8	27.4	13.6	2.6	0.1	0.0	113.2	Δ
> 30 °C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.3	4.3	2.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	Δ
> 35 °C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	Δ

## ▼ Days with Minimum Temperature

		Days	s with	<u>n Mini</u>	<u>mum</u>	Tem	perat	<u>ure</u>						
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Year Code														Code
> 0 °C	1.0	1.1	4.5	17.5	30.3	30.0	31.0	31.0	29.5	23.6	10.5	1.8	211.9	<u>A</u>

11/8/2014

Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

<= 2 °C	30.9	27.9	29.5	18.5	2.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	12.3	24.3	30.4	177.9	<u>A</u>
<= 0 °C	30.0	27.2	26.5	12.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	7.4	19.5	29.2	153.4	<u>A</u>
< -2 °C	29.0	25.6	21.9	7.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	13.1	26.2	125.7	Α
<-10 °C	21.8	18.7	10.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	15.2	67.9	<u>A</u>
<-20 °C	8.6	5.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	18.9	A
< - 30 °C	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.7	A

## $\blacksquare$ Days with Rainfall

			Di	ays w	<mark>/ith R</mark>	ainfa	L							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 0.2 mm 3.9 3.3 6.3 10.8 13.4 12.9 12.4 12 14.1 13.7 10.7 5.1 118.5 A													<u>A</u>	
>= 5 mm	1.5	1.1	2.1	4	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.3	4.7	3.7	2.1	45	Δ
>= 10 mm	0.73	0.47	1	1.9	2.7	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.8	2.3	1.9	1.1	23.9	<u>A</u>
>= 25 mm	0.23	0.07	0.20	0.30	0.37	0.80	0.70	0.83	0.63	0.47	0.40	0	5	Δ

## ▼ Days With Snowfall

			Da	ys Wi	ith Sn	<u>owfa</u>								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 0.2 cm 14.8 10.6 8.2 2.7 0.17 0 0 0 0 1.1 5.5 13.4 56.6 A													<u>A</u>	
>= 5 cm	3.4	2.7	2.6	0.37	0	0	0	0	0	0.10	1.2	3.6	13.9	<u>A</u>
>= 10 cm	0.80	0.93	0.83	0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0.40	1.4	4.6	<u>A</u>
>= 25 cm	0	0.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.07	0.23	<u>A</u>

## ▼ Days with Precipitation

			Days	s with	Prec	<mark>ipita</mark>	<u>tion</u>							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 0.2 mm 16.6 12.2 12.4 12.4 13.4 12.9 12.4 12.0 14.1 14.2 14.7 16.1 163.4 A													<u>A</u>	
>= 5 mm	4.3	3.0	4.3	4.6	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.3	4.9	4.7	5.2	57.0	<u>A</u>
>= 10 mm	1.4	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.7	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.3	28.5	<u>A</u>
>= 25 mm	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1	5.3	Α

## ▼ Days with Snow Depth

			Days	s witl	n Sno	<mark>w De</mark>	<u>pth</u>							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 1 cm 30.3 28 25.7 5.4 0.04 0 0 0 0 0.40 5.9 23.8 119.5 A												<u>A</u>		
>= 5 cm	28.2	27.6	23.5	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	3.7	20.3	107.1	<u>A</u>
>= 10 cm	24.2	24.3	20.5	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	1.6	13.8	87	Δ
>= 20 cm	15.6	16.4	12.8	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.17	5.3	51.7	<u>A</u>

## ▼ Degree Days

					D	<u>egree</u>	<u>Days</u>							
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Year Code														
Above 24 °C	0	0	0	0	0.2	2.7	6.9	3.2	0.5	0	0	0	13.4	Α

11/8/2014

#### Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

Above 18 °C	0	0	0	0.9	13	51	99.8	71.6	16.4	0.5	0	0	253	Α
Above 15 °C	0	0	0	3.8	37.3	114.2	186.1	147.7	46.2	3.4	0	0	538.6	<u>A</u>
Above 10 °C	0	0	0.6	19.8	125.9	253.7	340.6	299.7	148.4	31.6	2.7	0	1222.8	<u>A</u>
Above 5 °C	0.1	0.3	8	76	266.3	403.2	495.6	454.7	291.1	115.3	21.1	0.8	2132.4	<u>A</u>
Above 0 °C	4.7	6.9	43.7	188.6	420.7	553.2	650.6	609.7	441	254.2	85.7	12.1	3270.9	<u>A</u>
Below 0 °C	329.8	249.1	118.9	8.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	39.8	217.5	963.9	<u>A</u>
Below 5 °C	480.2	383.8	238.2	46	0.7	0	0	0	0.1	16.5	125.2	361.2	1651.7	<u>A</u>
Below 10 °C	635.1	524.9	385.8	139.7	15.2	0.5	0	0	7.4	87.7	256.8	515.4	2568.5	A
Below 15 °C	790.1	666.2	540.2	273.7	81.6	11	0.5	3	55.3	214.5	404.1	670.4	3710.6	A
Below 18 °C	883.1	751	633.2	360.8	150.3	37.8	7.2	20	115.4	304.6	494.1	763.4	4520.8	Α

## ▼ Soil Temperature

			<u>s</u>	oil Te	empe	<u>ratur</u>	<u>e</u>							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
at 5 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	-0.2	-0.7	-0.2	3.0	11.1	16.6	19.1	18.2	14.2	8.3	3.5	0.5	7.8	A
at 5 cm depth (PM obs) (°C)	-0.2	-0.6	-0.0	5.2	14.4	20.2	23.0	21.8	17.0	10.3	4.1	0.5	9.6	A
at 10 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	0.0	-0.5	-0.1	3.2	11.2	16.7	19.2	18.4	14.6	8.8	3.8	0.8	8.0	Δ
at 10 cm depth (PM obs) (°C)	0.0	-0.4	0.0	4.7	13.6	19.4	22.1	21.1	16.6	10.2	4.2	0.8	9.4	Δ
at 20 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	0.5	-0.1	0.3	3.4	11.5	17.0	19.6	19.0	15.3	9.7	4.6	1.4	8.5	Δ
at 20 cm depth (PM obs) (°C)	0.5	-0.0	0.3	4.1	12.6	18.3	21.0	20.2	16.2	10.2	4.8	1.4	9.1	Δ
at 50 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	1.1	0.3	0.3	2.5	9.8	15.0	17.8	17.8	15.2	10.4	5.6	2.2	8.2	Δ
at 100 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	2.9	2.0	1.6	2.5	7.6	12.3	15.2	16.2	15.0	11.7	7.8	4.5	8.3	Δ
at 150 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	5.0	3.9	3.3	3.5	6.8	10.7	13.6	15.0	14.8	12.7	9.7	6.7	8.8	<u>C</u>
at 300 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	7.0	5.9	5.1	4.6	5.7	8.1	10.4	12.1	12.9	12.3	10.7	8.7	8.6	Δ

## ▼ Evaporation

<u>Evaporation</u>														
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Year Cod										Code				
Lake Evaporation (mm)	0	0	0	0	3.6	4.3	4.5	3.7	2.4	1.4	0	0	0	<u>C</u>

## ▼ Bright Sunshine

Bright Sunshine														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
<b>Total Hours</b>	101.2	129.8	159.8	189.4	230.3	253.3	276.8	246.7	171.5	136.7	83.6	82.0	2061.1	<u>C</u>
Days with measureable	21.6	22.3	24.7	25.5	27.9	28.6	30.2	29.7	26.5	25.8	20.9	19.7	303.4	<u>C</u>

11/8/2014					Canadiar	Climate No	ormals 197	71-2000 St	tation Data	1				
% of possible daylight hours	35.7	44.3	43.3	46.8	50.0	54.1	58.4	56.5	45.5	40.2	29.1	30.1	44.5	<u>C</u>
Extreme Daily	8.9	10.4	11.6	13.5	14.9	15.2	15.0	14.0	12.7	10.6	9.6	8.1		Δ
Date (yyyy/dd)	1981/ 31	1974/ 26	1987/ 24	1974/ 26	1997/ 27	1979/ 25	1978/ 01	1978/ 05	1991/ 01	1976/ 01	1985/ 01	1979/ 30		

## ▼ Radiation

Radiation														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
Extreme Global - RF1 (MJ/m2)	11.4	16.7	22.6	27.9	31.3	32.5	30.8	28.8	23.6	17.2	11.7	8.7		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1994/ 31	1994/ 27	1994/ 30	1986/ 23	1990/ 30	1987/ 20	1996/ 01	1987/ 01	1991/ 01	1992/ 01	1985/ 01	1989/ 01		
Extreme Net - RF4 (MJ/m2)	2.6	1.8	11.8	15.3	17.7	19.3	19.3	15.7	12.5	7.8	3.7	1.7		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1988/ 31	1986/ 28	1996/ 31	1993/ 14	1987/ 15	1987/ 17	1997/ 16	1995/ 07	1996/ 01	1995/ 01	1988/ 03	1987/ 10		

## Legend

- A = WMO "3 and 5 rule" (i.e. no more than 3 consecutive and no more than 5 total missing for **either** temperature **or** precipitation)
- B = At least 25 years
- C = At least 20 years
- D = At least 15 years

Date modified: 2014-07-09

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Time of Concentration Calculations**

(Uplands Overland Flow Method)

	Subcato	hment Paran	neters		Overland	I Flow <sup>(1)</sup>		C	Concentr	ated Flow	/ <sup>(2)</sup>	Overall		
Area	Area		la	Length	Slope	Velocity	Travel	Length	Slope	Velocity	Travel	Time of	Min Time of	
ID	(1)				(0()	(	Time		(0())		Time	Concentration	Concentration	
	(na)		(mm)	(m)	(%)	(m/s)	(min)	(m)	(%)	(m/s)	(min)	(min)	(min)	
Existing C	onditions													
EX01	8.88	79	6.8	100	0.5%	0.20	8.33	400	0.5%	0.32	20.83	29	29	
Proposed	Condition	s												
A01	7.50	79	6.8	100	0.5%	0.20	8.33	350	0.5%	0.32	18.23	27	27	
A02	0.37	77	7.6	50	0.5%	0.20	4.17	150	0.5%	0.32	7.81	12	12	
B03	0.43	77	7.6	50	2.0%	0.40	2.08	100	1.0%	0.46	3.62	6	10	
C03	0.45	77	7.6	50	2.0%	0.40	2.08	100	1.0%	0.46	3.62	6	10	

<sup>1</sup> Cultivated staight row

<sup>2</sup> Grassed waterways

<sup>3</sup> Minimum 10-minutes

## Highland Park Cemetery (101063) PCSWMM Model Schematic – Pre-Development

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Highland Park Cemetery (101063) PCSWMM Model Schematic – Post-Development

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

M:\2001\101063\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\PCSWMM\Model Schematic-Output\Model Output (100yr-PRE).pdf

#### Highland Park Cemetery (101063) PCSWMM Model Output - Pre-Development (100-year, 12-hour SCS Storm)

Ending Date ...... 04/17/2019 00:00:00 Antecedent Dry Days ..... 0.0 Report Time Step ...... 00:01:00

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Dry Weather Inflow	0.000	0.000
Wet Weather Inflow	0.000	0.000
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.436	4.356
External Outflow	0.436	4.356
Flooding Loss	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Exfiltration Loss	0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Continuity Error (%)	0.000	

Analysis begun on: Fri May 31 10:58:08 2019 Analysis ended on: Fri May 31 10:58:08 2019 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

This is a *BETA* ve Create a ticket, po	METHOD (ARM)	- PCSWMM BH	ETA VERSION	7.2.2780					
	rsion of ARM st on the PC;	- your feed SWMM feature	iback and su request fo	ggestions ar rum, or emai	e solic: l us di:	ited.			
Simulation start ti Simulation end time Runoff wet weather Report time steps: Number of data poin	me: : time steps: ts:	04, 04, 300 60 144	/16/2019 00: /17/2019 00: ) seconds seconds 11	00:00 00:00					
**************************************	*********** noff Method ******								
Subcatchment	Runoff Meth	nod	Raingag	e	Area (ha)	Time (min)	of Concentration	Time to Peak (min)	Time (min)
A01 A02 C03 B03	Nash IUH Nash IUH Nash IUH Nash IUH		Raingag Raingag Raingag Raingag	e e e e	7.56 0.37 0.45 0.43	27 12 10 10		18 8 6.67 6.67	117 47 38.33 38.33
**************************************									
Subcatchment	Total Precip (mm)	Total Losses (mm)	Total Runoff (mm)	Total Runoff 10^6 ltr	Peak Runo: LPS		Runoff Coeff (fraction)		
A01 A02 C03 B03	93.91 93.91 93.91 93.91 93.91	44.837 47.977 47.977 47.977	49.048 45.514 45.089 45.093	3.708 0.168 0.203 0.194	730.0 44.60 56.23 53.73	577 04 32 33	0.522 0.485 0.48 0.48		
WARNING ARM01: Comp	uted UH depth	n for ARM su	ubcatchment	CO3 is not u	nity. Co	onsider	reducing wet wea	ther time step.	
EPA STORM WATER MAN	AGEMENT MODE1	L - VERSION	5.1 (Build	5.1.013)					
EPA STORM WATER MAN 	AGEMENT MODEL	L - VERSION ased for Noo ased for Noo	5.1 (Build de Outlet_St de West_Swal	5.1.013)  ructure01 e01					
EPA STORM WATER MAN WARNING 02: maximum WARNING 02: maximum ***********************************	AGEMENT MODE: a depth increa a depth increa s 1 	L - VERSION	5.1 (Build 	5.1.013)  ructure01 e01					
EPA STORM WATER MAN WARNING 02: maximum WARNING 02: maximum ***********************************	AGEMENT MODE: a depth increa depth increa s 1 .ents 5 21 22 s 0 0	L - VERSION ased for Noo	5.1 (Build 	5.1.013)  ructure01 e01					
EPA STORM WATER MAN WARNING 02: maximum WARNING 02: maximum ***********************************	AGEMENT MODE: a depth increa s 1 ents 5 21 22 s 0 0 Data Source S12hr-100yn	L - VERSION ased for Nod ased for Nod	5.1 (Build de Outlet_St de West_Swal Da Ty IN	ta Rec pe Int	ording erval min.				
EPA STORM WATER MAN WARNING 02: maximum WARNING 02: maximum ***********************************	AGEMENT MODE: a depth increa a depth increa s 1 .ents 5 21 22 s 0 0 Data Source s12hr-100y; * Y * Area	L - VERSION ased for Noo ased for Noo for Noo	5.1 (Build de Outlet_St de West_Swal Da Ty IN %Imperv	5.1.013)  ructure01 e01 ta Rec pe Int  TENSITY 30 %Slope Rain	ording erval min. Gage		Outlet		
EPA STORM WATER MAN WARNING 02: maximum WARNING 02: maximum ***********************************	AGEMENT MODE: a depth increa a depth increa s 1 .ents 5 21 22 s 0 0 Data Source S12hr-100yr * Y * Area 0.05 0.37 0.05 0.48	L - VERSION ased for Nod ased for Nod b width 33.33 140.00 30.00 33.33 80.00	5.1 (Build de Outlet_St de West_Swal Da Ty IN %Imperv 100.00 93.00 95.00 100.00 93.00	5.1.013)  ructure01 e01 ta Rec pe Int  TENSITY 30 %Slope Rain 	ording erval  min. Gage gage gage gage gage gage gage gage		Outlet West_Infil West_SwaleOl Pump_Storage East_Infil East_SwaleOl		

Date: 05/31/19

M:\2001\101063\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\PCSWMM\Model Schematic-Output\Model Output (100yr-POST).pdf

Dry Pond01	JUNCTION	107.96	1.45	0.0		
East Swale01	JUNCTION	110.29	1.00	0.0		
East Swale02	JUNCTION	110.10	1.00	0.0		
East Swale03	JUNCTION	110.04	1.00	0.0		
East Swale04	JUNCTION	109.82	1.00	0.0		
East Swale05	JUNCTION	109.62	1.00	0.0		
Outlet Structure	)1 JUNCTION	107.75	1.45	0.0		
Outlet Structure	2 JUNCTION	107.72	1.48	0.0		
Outlet Swale01	JUNCTION	109.00	1.50	0.0		
Outlet Swale02	JUNCTION	108.63	1.00	0.0		
Outlet Swale03	JUNCTION	108.18	1.02	0.0		
Outlet Swale04	JUNCTION	108.05	1.15	0.0		
West Swale01	JUNCTION	110.72	1.00	0.0		
West_Swale02	JUNCTION	110.58	1.00	0.0		
West Swale03	JUNCTION	110.30	1.00	0.0		
West_Swale04	JUNCTION	109.87	1.00	0.0		
West_Swale05	JUNCTION	109.66	1.00	0.0		
Out-Site	OUTFALL	107.70	1.00	0.0		
East Infil	STORAGE	110.84	0.50	0.0		
Pump Storage	STORAGE	109.70	0.30	0.0		
West Infil	STORAGE	111.27	0.50	0.0		
Tink Cummoner						
*************						
Name	From Node	To Node	Туре	Length	%Slope Ro	oughness
Control Pipe	Outlet Structure	e01 Outlet Struct	ire02 CONDUIT	7	.0 0.428	36 0.0130
Dry Pond01	Dry Pond01	Outlet Structure	e01 CONDUIT	50.0	0.4200	0.0450
East Infil	East Infil	East Swale01	CONDUIT	5.0	1.0001	0.0150
East Swale01	East Swale01	East Swale02	CONDUIT	19.3	0.9845	0.0450
East Swale02	East Swale02	East Swale03	CONDUIT	16.8	0.3571	0.0450
East Swale03	East Swale03	East Swale04	CONDUIT	29.8	0.7383	0.0450
East Swale04	East Swale04	East Swale05	CONDUIT	27.4	0.7299	0.0450
East Swale05	East Swale05	Outlet Swale01	CONDUIT	14.3	0.8392	0.0450
Outlet Swale01	Outlet Swale01	Outlet Swale02	CONDUIT	8.0	4.6300	0.0450
Outlet Swale02	Outlet Swale02	Outlet Swale03	CONDUIT	22.0	2.0459	0.0450
Outlet Swale03	Outlet Swale03	Outlet Swale04	CONDUIT	35.0	0.3714	0.0450
Outlet Swale04	Outlet Swale04	Dry Pond01	CONDUIT	35.0	0.2571	0.0450
Site Outlet	Outlet Structure	e02 Out-Site	CONDUIT	4.0	0.5000	0.0450
West_Infil	West_Infil	West_Swale01	CONDUIT	5.0	1.0001	0.0150
West_Swale01	West_Swale01	West_Swale02	CONDUIT	21.5	0.6512	0.0450
West Swale02	West Swale02	West Swale03	CONDUIT	30.0	0.9334	0.0450
West_Swale03	West Swale03	West Swale04	CONDUIT	33.5	1.2837	0.0450

West_Swale04	West_Swale04	West_Swale05	CONDUIT	23.7	0.8861	0.0450
West_Swale05	West_Swale05	Outlet_Swale01	CONDUIT	11.5	1.3914	0.0450
Pumpl	Pump_Storage	West_Swale01	TYPE3 PUMP			
Pump2	Pump_Storage	West_Swale01	TYPE3 PUMP			
Control_Weir	Outlet_Structure	e01 Outlet_Structu	ure02 WEIR			

#### 

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *						
Conduit	Shape	Full Depth	Full Area	Hyd. Rad.	Max. Width	No. of Barrels	Full Flow
Control Pipe	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	63.31
Dry Pond01	Dry Pond	1.45	19.32	0.99	18.95	1	27670.07
East Infil	RECT OPEN	0.20	0.60	0.18	3.00	1	1258.57
East Swale01	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	7848.71
East Swale02	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	4727.29
East Swale03	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	6796.71
East Swale04	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	6758.26
East_Swale05	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	7246.36
Outlet_Swale01	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	17020.72
Outlet Swale02	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	11314.36
Outlet Swale03	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	4820.91
Outlet Swale04	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	4011.23
Site Outlet	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	5593.42
West_Infil	RECT_OPEN	0.20	0.60	0.18	3.00	1	1258.57
West_Swale01	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	6383.21
West_Swale02	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	7642.18
West_Swale03	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	8962.30
West Swale04	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	7446.18
West_Swale05	TRAPEZOIDAL	1.00	5.00	0.60	8.00	1	9330.86

#### 

Transect Area:	Dry_Pond				
	0.0016	0.0035	0.0057	0.0081	0.0108
	0.0151	0.0236	0.0365	0.0533	0.0712
	0.0893	0.1077	0.1263	0.1452	0.1644
	0.1838	0.2035	0.2235	0.2437	0.2642
	0.2849	0.3059	0.3272	0.3487	0.3705

Date: 05/31/19 M:\2001\101063\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\PCSWMM\Model Schematic-Output\Model Output (100yr).pdf

	0.3925	0.4149	0.4374	0.4603	0.4833
	0.5067	0.5303	0.5542	0.5783	0.6027
	0.6274	0.6523	0.6775	0.7029	0.7286
	0.7546	0.7808	0.8073	0.8340	0.8610
	0.8883	0.9158	0.9436	0.9717	1.0000
Hrad:					
	0.0268	0.0500	0.0711	0.0906	0.1090
	0.0678	0.0639	0.0705	0.0870	0.1144
	0.1414	0.1680	0.1943	0.2201	0.2457
	0.2709	0.2958	0.3204	0.3447	0.3688
	0.3925	0.4160	0.4393	0.4623	0.4851
	0.5077	0.5301	0.5523	0.5742	0.5960
	0.6176	0.6390	0.6603	0.6814	0.7023
	0.7230	0.7437	0.7641	0.7845	0.8047
	0.8247	0.8447	0.8645	0.8842	0.9038
	0.9232	0.9426	0.9618	0.9810	1.0000
Width:					
	0.0620	0.0711	0.0803	0.0895	0.0987
	0.2250	0.3758	0.5265	0.6235	0.6327
	0.6419	0.6511	0.6603	0.6694	0.6786
	0.6878	0.6970	0.7062	0.7154	0.7245
	0.7337	0.7429	0.7521	0.7613	0.7704
	0.7796	0.7888	0.7980	0.8072	0.8164
	0.8255	0.8347	0.8439	0.8531	0.8623
	0.8715	0.8806	0.8898	0.8990	0.9082
	0.9174	0.9265	0.9357	0.9449	0.9541
	0.9633	0.9725	0.9816	0.9908	1.0000

NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.

 Water Quality
 NO

 Infiltration Method
 HORTON

 Flow Routing Method
 DYNWAVE

 Surcharge Method
 EXTRAN

 Starting Date
 04/16/2019 00:00:00

 Antecedent Dry Days
 0.0

 Report Time Step
 00:05:00

 Dry Time Step
 00:05:00

 Routing Time Step
 5.00 sec

 Variable Time Step
 YES

 Maximum Trials
 8

 Number of Threads
 4

 Head Tolerance
 0.001500 m

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Total Precipitation	0.094	93.910
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	0.004	3.948
Surface Runoff	0.089	88.979
Final Storage	0.001	1.319
Continuity Error (%)	-0.357	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Dry Weather Inflow	0.000	0.000
Wet Weather Inflow	0.089	0.886
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.427	4.273
External Outflow	0.514	5.138
Flooding Loss	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Exfiltration Loss	0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.002	0.021
Continuity Error (%)	0.000	

Time-Step Critical Elements

Highest Flow Instability Indexes All links are stable.

## 

noucing	rine beep bunnery			
* * * * * * * *	******			
Minimum	Time Step	:	0.86	sec
Average	Time Step	:	2.61	sec
Maximum	Time Step	:	5.00	sec
Percent	in Steady State	:	-0.00	
Average	Iterations per Step	:	2.00	
Percent	Not Converging	:	0.00	

#### 

Subcatchment	Total Precip mm	Total Runon mm	Total Evap mm	Total Infil mm	Imperv Runoff mm	Perv Runoff mm	Total Runoff mm	Total Runoff 10^6 ltr	Peak Runoff LPS	Runoff Coeff
B01 B02	93.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	94.20	0.00	94.20	0.05	11.16	1.003
B04 C01	93.91 93.91	0.00	0.00	3.15	87.98	1.57	89.56	0.04	10.18	0.954
C02	93.91	0.00	0.00	4.47	86.17	2.13	88.30	0.42	105.83	0.940

#### 

		Average	Maximum	Maximum	Time of Max	Reported
		Depth	Depth	HGL	Occurrence	Max Depth
Node	Туре	Meters	Meters	Meters	days hr:min	Meters

Dry Pond01	JUNCTION	0.36	1.09	109.05	0	06:50	1.09
East Swale01	JUNCTION	0.02	0.14	110.43	0	06:30	0.14
East Swale02	JUNCTION	0.03	0.18	110.28	0	06:30	0.18
East Swale03	JUNCTION	0.03	0.15	110.19	0	06:30	0.15
East Swale04	JUNCTION	0.03	0.15	109.97	0	06:30	0.15
East Swale05	JUNCTION	0.03	0.16	109.78	0	06:31	0.16
Outlet Structure01	JUNCTION	0.49	1.30	109.05	0	06:50	1.30
Outlet Structure02	JUNCTION	0.10	0.29	108.01	0	06:50	0.29
Outlet Swale01	JUNCTION	0.05	0.24	109.24	0	06:33	0.24
Outlet Swale02	JUNCTION	0.09	0.43	109.06	0	06:49	0.43
Outlet Swale03	JUNCTION	0.25	0.87	109.05	0	06:50	0.87
Outlet Swale04	JUNCTION	0.32	1.00	109.05	0	06:50	1.00
West Swale01	JUNCTION	0.03	0.14	110.86	0	06:30	0.14
West Swale02	JUNCTION	0.02	0.14	110.72	0	06:30	0.14
West Swale03	JUNCTION	0.02	0.12	110.42	0	06:30	0.12
West Swale04	JUNCTION	0.02	0.13	110.00	0	06:31	0.13
West Swale05	JUNCTION	0.03	0.13	109.79	0	06:31	0.13
Out-Site	OUTFALL	0.06	0.22	107.92	0	06:50	0.22
East Infil	STORAGE	0.27	0.31	111.15	0	06:15	0.31
Pump Storage	STORAGE	0.04	0.24	109.94	0	06:35	0.24
West_Infil	STORAGE	0.27	0.31	111.58	0	06:15	0.31

#### 

Туре	Maximum Lateral Inflow LPS	Maximum Total Inflow LPS	Time Occu days	of Max urrence hr:min	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Flow Balance Error Percent
JUNCTION	0.00	838.95	0	06:40	0	5.14	-0.068
JUNCTION	162.04	173.21	0	06:30	0.627	0.662	-0.005
JUNCTION	0.00	173.00	0	06:30	0	0.662	-0.000
JUNCTION	0.00	172.57	0	06:30	0	0.662	-0.006
JUNCTION	0.00	172.15	0	06:30	0	0.662	0.005
JUNCTION	0.00	171.68	0	06:30	0	0.662	0.009
JUNCTION	0.00	781.36	0	06:47	0	5.14	0.096
JUNCTION	0.00	772.82	0	06:50	0	5.14	0.000
JUNCTION	764.14	1002.39	0	06:33	3.88	5.14	-0.003
JUNCTION	0.00	1002.43	0	06:33	0	5.14	-0.024
JUNCTION	0.00	1002.34	0	06:33	0	5.14	-0.003
JUNCTION	0.00	932.78	0	06:33	0	5.14	0.008
	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION	Maximum Lateral Inflow           JUNCTION         0.00           JUNCTION         162.04           JUNCTION         162.04           JUNCTION         0.00           JUNCTION         0.00	Maximum         Maximum           Lateral         Total           Inflow         Inflow           Type         LPS           JUNCTION         0.00         838.95           JUNCTION         162.04         173.21           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15           JUNCTION         0.00         717.63           JUNCTION         0.00         711.68           JUNCTION         0.00         772.82           JUNCTION         76.41         1002.33           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.43           JUNCTION         0.00         102.43           JUNCTION         0.00         932.78	Maximum         Maximum           Lateral         Total         Time           Inflow         Inflow         Occu           Type         LPS         LPS         days           JUNCTION         0.00         838.95         O           JUNCTION         162.04         173.21         O           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15         O           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15         O           JUNCTION         0.00         71.68         O           JUNCTION         0.00         78.36         O           JUNCTION         0.00         772.82         O           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.43         O           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.43         O           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.33         O	Maximum Lateral         Maximum Total         Time of Max           Inflow         Inflow         Occurrence           Type         LPS         LS         days hr:min           JUNCTION         0.00         838.95         0         06:30           JUNCTION         162.04         173.21         0         06:30           JUNCTION         0.00         172.57         0         06:30           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15         0         06:30           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15         0         06:30           JUNCTION         0.00         781.36         0         66:47           JUNCTION         0.00         772.82         0         06:53           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.34         0         06:33           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.34         0         06:33	Maximum         Maximum         Lateral           Lateral         Total         Time of Max         Inflow           Inflow         Inflow         Occurrence         Volume           Type         LPS         LS         days hr:min         10^6 ltr           JUNCTION         0.00         838.95         0         06:40         0           JUNCTION         162.04         173.21         0         06:30         0.627           JUNCTION         0.00         172.57         0         06:30         0           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15         0         06:30         0           JUNCTION         0.00         71.68         0         06:30         0           JUNCTION         0.00         772.82         0         06:53         3.88           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.43         0         06:33         0           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.43         0         06:33         0           JUNCTION         0.00         92.78         0         06:33         0	Maximum         Maximum         Lateral         Total           Lateral         Total         Time of Max         Inflow         Inflow           Inflow         Inflow         Cccurrence         Volume         Volume           Type         LPS         LPS         days hr:min         10^6 ltr         10^6 ltr           JUNCTION         0.00         838.95         0         06:40         0         5.14           JUNCTION         162.04         173.21         0         06:30         0         0.662           JUNCTION         0.00         172.57         0         06:30         0         0.662           JUNCTION         0.00         172.15         0         06:30         0         0.662           JUNCTION         0.00         71.68         0         06:47         0         5.14           JUNCTION         0.00         781.36         0         66:50         0         5.14           JUNCTION         0.00         772.82         0         06:33         0         5.14           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.43         0         06:33         0         5.14           JUNCTION         0.00         1002.34

Date: 05/31/19 M:\2001\101063\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\PCSWMM\Model Schematic-Output\Model Output (100yr).pdf

West_Swale01 West_Swale02 West_Swale03 West_Swale04 West_Swale05 Out-Site East_Infil Pump_Storage West_Infil	JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL STORAGE STORAGE STORAGE	135.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 11.16 10.18 11.16	149.49 149.23 148.77 148.35 148.00 772.82 11.16 10.18 11.16	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	06:30 06:30 06:30 06:31 06:50 06:15 06:30 06:15	0.521 0 0 0 0 0.0471 0.0412 0.0471	0.6 0.6 0.6 5.14 0.0471 0.0471 0.0412	-0.007 -0.002 0.002 0.005 0.000 -0.006 -0.003 -0.003
**************************************	*** ary ***							

No nodes were surcharged.

No nodes were flooded.

	Average	Avg	Evap	Exfil	Maximum	Max	Time	of Max	Maximum
Storage Unit	Volume 1000 m3	Pcnt Full	Pcnt Loss	Pcnt Loss	Volume 1000 m3	Pcnt Full	Occu days	rrence hr:min	Outflow LPS
East Infil	0.010	88	0	0	0.012	100	0	06:04	11.16
Pump Storage	0.002	10	0	0	0.012	64	0	06:35	3.00
West_Infil	0.008	90	0	0	0.009	100	0	06:04	11.16

Flow	Avg	Max	Total
Freq	Flow	Flow	Volume

Outfall Node	Pcnt	LPS	LPS	10^6 ltr
Out-Site	85.68	156.32	772.82	5.138
System	85.68	156.32	772.82	5.138

Link	Туре	Maximum  Flow  LPS	Time Occu days	of Max urrence hr:min	Maximum  Veloc  m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth
Control_Pipe	CONDUIT	212.59	0	06:50	3.02	3.36	0.98
Dry_PondUl	CHANNEL	/81.36	0	06:47	0.18	0.03	0.82
East_Infil	CONDUIT	11.16	0	06:15	0.33	0.01	0.06
East_Swale01	CONDUIT	173.00	0	06:30	0.44	0.02	0.16
East_Swale02	CONDUIT	172.57	0	06:30	0.42	0.04	0.16
East_Swale03	CONDUIT	172.15	0	06:30	0.47	0.03	0.15
East_Swale04	CONDUIT	171.68	0	06:30	0.45	0.03	0.16
East_Swale05	CONDUIT	171.36	0	06:31	0.58	0.02	0.12
Outlet_Swale01	CONDUIT	1002.43	0	06:33	1.33	0.06	0.32
Outlet Swale02	CONDUIT	1002.34	0	06:33	0.73	0.09	0.65
Outlet Swale03	CONDUIT	932.78	0	06:33	0.50	0.19	0.94
Outlet Swale04	CONDUIT	838.95	0	06:40	0.49	0.21	1.00
Site Outlet	CONDUIT	772.82	0	06:50	1.10	0.14	0.25
West Infil	CONDUIT	11.16	0	06:15	0.33	0.01	0.06
West Swale01	CONDUIT	149.23	0	06:30	0.44	0.02	0.14
West Swale02	CONDUIT	148.77	0	06:30	0.50	0.02	0.13
West Swale03	CONDUIT	148.35	0	06:30	0.50	0.02	0.12
West Swale04	CONDUIT	148.00	0	06:31	0.48	0.02	0.13
West Swale05	CONDUIT	147.83	0	06:31	0.63	0.02	0.10
Pump1	PUMP	3.00	0	06:01		1.00	
Pump2	PUMP	0.00	0	00:00		0.00	
Control Weir	WEIR	560.23	õ	06:50			0.69
_							
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *						
Elow Classificati	on Cummoru						

Flow Classification Summary

Adjusted ----- Fraction of Time in Flow Class ------

Date: 05/31/19 M:\2001\101063\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\PCSWMM\Model Schematic-Output\Model Output (100yr).pdf

Conduit	/Actual Length	Up Dry Dry	Down Dry	Sub Crit	Sup Crit	Up Crit	Down Crit	Norm Ltd	Inlet Ctrl				
Control_Pipe Dry_Pond01	1.00	0.04 0.0	0.00	0.11	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-			
East_Infil East_Swale01 East_Swale02	1.00	0.02 0.0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00				
East_Swale03 East_Swale04	1.00	0.03 0.0	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.76	0.00				
East_Swale05	1.00	0.03 0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00				
Outlet_Swale02	1.00	0.03 0.0	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.00				
Outlet_Swale04	1.00	0.04 0.0	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00				
West_Infil	1.00	0.33 0.0	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
West_Swale01 West_Swale02	1.00	0.02 0.0	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.00				
West_Swale03 West_Swale04	1.00	0.03 0.0	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.00				
West_Swale05	1.00	0.03 0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00				
Conduit  Control Pipe	Both End	Hours Fi ds Upstrea	111 am Dnst	tream	Hou: Above Norma	rs Full 1 Flow 	Ho Capa Lim	urs city ited .01					
Outlot Swalon4	0.0	n1 0	11	0 61	0.0	01	0	01					
Outlet_Swale04	0.0	01 0.0	)1	0.61	0.0	01	0	.01					
Outlet_Swale04	0.0	01 0.0	01	0.61	0.0	01	0	.01					
Outlet_Swale04 ********* Pumping Summary ************************************	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o: Start-Up	)1 	0.61 Min Flow LPS	0.0 0.0 Flov	01  g w	Max IPS	.01 .01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-br	* Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 Pumping Summary Pump	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o: Start-Up:	)1 E 1 3	0.61 Min Flow LPS	Avo Flov	g w S	Max Flow LPS	.01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-hr	* Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 Pumping Summary Pump	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o. Start-Up	E 1	0.61 Min Flow LPS	Ave Flor	g w s	Max Flow LPS	.01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-hr	% Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 Pumping Summary Pump	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o Start-Up	E 1	0.61 Min Flow LPS	Avg Flor LPS	g w s	Max Flow LPS	.01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-hr	% Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 Pumping Summary Pump	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o Start-Up	Ξ Ξ Ξ	Min Flow LPS	0.0 Avg Flor LP	g w S	Max Flow LPS	.01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-hr	% Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 Pumping Summary Pump	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o Start-Up	)]  	Min Flow LPS	Avg Flor	 g w s	Max Flow LPS	.01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-hr	% Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 ********* Pumping Summary Pump	0.0 Percent Utilized	Number o. Start-Up:	)]  3	Min Flow LPS	0.0	g w S	Max Flow LPS	.01 To Vol 10^6	tal ume ltr	Power Usage Kw-hr	% Ti Pump Low	me Off Curve High	
Outlet_Swale04 Pumping Summary Pump Pump Pump1 Pump2	Percent Utilized 48.45 0.00	Number o Start-Up	Σ L ( ) (	0.61 Min Flow LPS	0.0 Avg Flot LP 1.3 0.00	0 9 W S S 0 0	0 0 Max Flow LPS 3.00 0.00	.01 To 10^6 0. 0.	041	Power Usage Kw-hr	% Ti Pump Low	mme Offf Curve High 100.0 0.0	