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### 1.0 SCREENING FORM

A screening form is attached to this document for ease of reference (Appendix "A"). The screening form assessment indicated that the development meets all three triggers.

### 2.0 SCOPING

### 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions

### 2.1.1 Proposed Development

Exhibit 2.1 illustrates the proposed commercial/office development located at 6150 Hazeldean Road, bordered by Hazeldean Road to the north, future retirement home to the east, Neil Avenue to the south and vacant lands to the west.

The following provides a brief description of the proposed development:

- Existing Land Use Permitted: The existing land is currently zoned as Arterial Mainstreet (AM9) Zone ${ }^{1}$.
- Proposed Land Use: The development proposes:
- A single storey $465 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ restaurant that is not envisioned to be a fast food/drive-thru restaurant. The site is anticipated to be a pub style restaurant; and
- A 2-storey medical/office building ( $\sim 925 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) located east of the restaurant.
- Relevant Planning Regulations: To best of Castleglenn's knowledge, there are no planning regulations that would need to be used in the traffic analysis.
- Estimated Date of Occupancy: The development is expected to be build-out by 2020 horizon year.
- Planned Phasing of Development: For the purpose of this traffic study, the site is anticipated to be build-out in a single phase.
- Access Points: The proposed site would be served by a right-in/right-out along Hazeldean Road and a full movement along Neil Avenue. Both of these accesses are being currently constructed as part of the retirement home development east of the site.
- Parking Supply: The development would accommodate a total of 84 parking stalls ( 62 new parking stalls on-site and 22 stalls would be used from the adjacent retirement home) and 5 bicycle parking spaces.
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Exhibit 2.1: Proposed Site Plan

### 2.1.2 Existing Conditions

Study Area Roadways
The City of Ottawa's Transportation Master Plan (2013) ${ }^{2}$ outlines the roadway classifications and operational characteristics of the supporting roadway network.

- Hazeldean Road, Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street (South of Hazeldean Road) are defined as arterial roadways within the City of Ottawa's Transportation Master Plan. Stittsville Main Street north of Hazeldean Road is classified as a major collector.
- Hazeldean Road is an east-west direction road within the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa. The road is characterized by 4-lanes of travel (2-lanes in each direction) within the urban area and transitions to 2-lanes of travel west of Carp Road. The posted speed along Hazeldean Road is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ within the vicinity of the proposed site.
- Carp Road is in the north-south direction within the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa. The road is characterized by 2-lanes of travel (a single lane in each direction). The posted speed along Carp Road is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ north of Hazeldean Road and $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ south of Hazeldean Road.
- Stittsville Main Street is oriented in a north-south direction and falls within the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa. The road is characterized by 2-lanes of travel (a single lane in each direction) with a posted speed of 50 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ north of Hazeldean Road in the vicinity of St. Stephen Catholic School.
- Neil Avenue is defined as a local roadway (2-lanes of travel - one each direction) within the City's jurisdiction. Neil Avenue is oriented in the east-west direction with a posted speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and connects to Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street.


## Existing Driveways

The following lists the existing driveways within 200 m of the proposed site accesses:

- Jackson Trails Centre Traffic Control Signal Access located approximately 85m east of the proposed right-in/right-out access. This access serves the existing Jackson Trails commercial plaza.
- Mccooeye Lane located 75 m west of the proposed site access along Neil Avenue. Mccooeye Lane serves the few residential units south of Neil Avenue and connects to the commercial plaza at the corner of Stittsville Main Street and Carp Road.
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## Existing Area Traffic Management Measures

There are no traffic management measures observed along the short segment of Neil Avenue aside from the stop-controlled signs at either end of the local road connecting with Stittsville Main Street and Carp Road.

## Existing Intersection Configurations

- Carp Road \& Neil Avenue: This intersection is configured as a minor leg STOPControlled "T-intersection" located west of the proposed site. This intersection is configured with 2-travel approach lanes (one-lane in each direction) in the north-south direction. Neil Avenue is a 2-lane roadway (one-lane in each direction) and forms the east leg of the intersection.
- Stittsville Main Street \& Neil Avenue: This intersection is configured as a minor leg STOP-Controlled "T-intersection" located east of the proposed site. This intersection is configured with 2-thru travel approach lanes (one-lane in each direction) in the north-south direction. Neil Avenue is a 2-lane roadway (one-lane in each direction) and forms the west leg of the intersection. The intersection provides for auxiliary lanes along Stittsville Main Street (NB-LT and SB-LT) and on Neil Avenue (EB-RT).
- Hazeldean Road \& Stittsville Main Street: This traffic signal controlled is located east of the proposed development parcel. The intersection is accommodated by 4thru travel approach lanes (2-lanes-per-direction) in the east-west direction and two thru travel lanes (one-lane in each direction) in the north-south direction with auxiliary lanes on all directions.
- Hazeldean Road \& Carp Road: This traffic signal controlled is located west of the proposed development parcel. The intersection is accommodated by 2-thru travel approach lanes in the eastbound direction, a single westbound through lane, a single southbound travel lane and two northbound travel lanes. The intersection accommodates turning lanes on each approach.


## Existing Transit Provisions

There are bus stops located south of Neil Avenue along Stittsville Main Street (Route 261 \& 301) and along Carp Road (Route 61). Bus stops are also located at the Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street intersections along Hazeldean Road (Routes 61 \& 162).

A review of the City of Ottawa's
Transportation Master Plan (Nov. 2013)


Exhibit 2.2: Existing Transit Provisions
indicated Hazeldean Road (between Stittsville Main Street and Eagleson Road) as a Transit Priority, which include improvements to transit signal priority and queue jump [Map 5 Rapid Transit and Transit Priority Network - Affordable Network].

## Existing Cycling Facilities

Hazeldean Road provides for dedicated on-road bike lanes along its 4.5 km length between Carp Road and Terry Fox Drive. The bike lanes interconnect with numerous connecting roadways, commercial sites and designated recreational pathways. Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street (south of Hazeldean Road) is classified as "Spine Route" according to the City of Ottawa TMP (2013, Map 1) and as such would also be served by on-road bike lanes.

The proposed site provides for 5 bike parking spaces located throughout the proposed site. This would further encourage those motorists for whom cycling is an option to take advantage of the local cycling facilities.

## Existing Pedestrian Facilities

Table 2.1 indicates the pedestrian traffic crossing the various study area intersections within the vicinity of the proposed development. The highest pedestrian movement was observed to occur across the east leg of the Hazeldean Road / Stittsville Mains Street intersection where 18 pedestrians crossed during the afternoon peak period of travel demand.

Table 2.1: Existing Pedestrian Activities

| Intersections | AM Peak Period |  |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> Leg | South <br> Leg | East <br> Leg | West <br> Leg | North <br> Leg | South <br> Leg | East <br> Leg | West <br> Leg |
| Hazeldean/Stittsville <br> Main (March 2016) | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 3 |
| Hazeldean/Carp <br> (Nov. 2017) | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Stittsville Main/Neil <br> (June 2016) |  |  | 9 | 6 |  |  | 9 | 9 |
| Carp/Neil (Dec. <br> 2015) |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 3 |  |

Sidewalks are available along both sides of Stittsville Main Street and Hazeldean Road. Sidewalks are available on the east side of Carp Road (south of Hazeldean Road) to facilitate pedestrian activity.

## Collision Analysis

Five (5) year collision information (2012-to-2016) was reviewed for the study area intersections. The collision information provides the date and time of each collision, the environmental condition at the time of the collision, the type of collision (i.e. angle collision, rear-end), the level of damage involved, vehicle path/maneuver characteristics and the number of pedestrians involved (in the collision).

Table 2.2 above provides a summary of the collision information for the study area intersections. The table indicates:

- Carp Road / Hazeldean Road: A total of 63 collisions occurred at this intersection where approximately half ( $48 \%$ ) of the collisions were rear-end collisions followed by turning movement collisions (25\%) and angle collisions (21\%). Approximately 84\% resulted in property damage with $16 \%$ classified as non-fatal.
- Stittsville Main Street / Hazeldean Road: A total of 60 collisions occurred at this intersection where approximately $32 \%$ of the collisions were rear-end collisions followed by turning movement collisions (10\%). Angle, sideswipe and single vehicle collisions each represented $3 \%$ of the total collisions at the intersection. Approximately $77 \%$ resulted in property damage with $23 \%$ classified as non-fatal. Two collisions involved pedestrians.


## Existing Traffic Volumes

This traffic study would review/analyze the site access serving the proposed development Traffic counts for the study area were obtained from the City of Ottawa at the following intersections:

- Hazeldean Road / Stittsville Main Street (March 23 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$, 2016);
- Hazeldean Road / Carp Road (November 23rd, 2017); and
- Stittsville Main Street / Neil Avenue (June 21 ${ }^{\text {st, }}$ 2016).

Turning movement traffic counts were also undertaken by Castleglenn Consultants on December 2015 at the Carp Road / Neil Avenue. The turning movement counts are not expected to increase in and out of Neil Avenue since 2015. It is understood that the retirement home along Neil Avenue is anticipated to be completed Summer/Fall of 2018; therefore, the site traffic volumes would be accounted for in the forecast traffic volumes. The raw existing traffic counts were updated to reflect current traffic conditions by applying 2 percent annual growth at the two Hazeldean Road traffic-controlled signal intersections (with exception Stittsville Main Street north of Hazeldean, where 1 percent growth was assumed). Exhibit 2.3 illustrates the resulting existing (2018) traffic volumes at the study area intersections.

Table 2.2:Collision Summary (2012-2016)

| Intersection |  | Hazeldean/Carp ${ }^{1}$ | Hazeldean/Stittsville Main ${ }^{2}$ | Carp/Neil | Stittsville Main/Neil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impact <br> Type | Rear End | 30 | 38 | 1 |  |
|  | Single Vehicle | 4 | 3 |  |  |
|  | Angle | 13 | 4 |  | 1 |
|  | Sideswipe |  | 3 |  |  |
|  | Turning | 16 | 12 |  |  |
|  | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other |  |  |  | 1 |
| Class | Property damage only | 53 | 46 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Non-fatal | 10 | 14 |  |  |
|  | Fatal |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian involved |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| No. of Collisions |  | 63 | 60 | 1 | 2 |

1) Hazeldean/ Carp:

- 19 out of 30 rear-end collisions occurred in the WB direction where majority were making a right-turn movement. A dedicated WB right-turn lane is accommodated by the intersection. -7 out of 16 turning movement collisions occurred between EB-LT colliding with WB-TH movement.

2) Hazeldean / Stittsville Main:

- 28 out of 38 rear-end collisions occurred in the NB direction where majority were making a right-turn movement. A dedicated NB right-turn lane is accommodated by the intersection
- 9 out of 12 turning movement collisions occurred between WB-LT colliding with EB-TH movement.

| Hazeldean/Carp |  |  | Hazeldean/Stittsville Main |  |  | Carp/Neil |  |  |  | Stittsville Main/Neil |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rear End | 30 | 48\% | Rear End | 38 | 63\% | Rear End | 1 |  | 100\% | Rear End | 0 |  | $0 \%$ |
| Single Vehicle | 4 | 6\% | Single Vehicle | 3 | 5\% | Single Vehicle | 0 | F | $0 \%$ | Single Vehicle | 0 | - | $0 \%$ |
| Angle | 13 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | Angle | 4 | $7 \%$ | Angle | 0 | $F$ | $0 \%$ | Angle | 1 | F | 50\% |
| Sideswipe | 0 | $0 \%$ | Sideswipe | 3 | $5 \%$ | Sideswipe | 0 | F | $0 \%$ | Sideswipe | 0 | $F$ | $0 \%$ |
| Turning | 16 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | Turning | 12 | 20\% | Turning | 0 |  | 0\% | Turning | 0 |  | 0\% |
| Approaching | 0 | 0\% | Approaching | 0 | 0\% | Approaching | 0 | $F$ | 0\% | Approaching | 0 | $F$ | 0\% |
| Other | 0 | $0 \%$ | Other | 0 | 0\% | Other | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $0 \%$ | Other | 1 | $F$ | 50\% |
| Property Damage | 53 | 84\% | Property Damage | 46 | $77 \%$ | Property Damas | 1 |  | 100\% | Property Damage | 2 |  | 100\% |
| Non-Fatal | 10 | 16\% | Non-Fatal | 14 | 23\% | Non-Fatal | 0 |  | 0\% | Non-Fatal | 0 | $F$ | $0 \%$ |
| Fatal | 0 | 0\% | Fatal | 0 | 0\% | Fatal | 0 | $F$ | 0\% | Fatal | 0 | F | $0 \%$ |
| Pedestrians | 0 | 0\% | Pedestrians | 2 | 3\% | Pedestrians | 0 | - | 0\% | Pedestrians | 0 | F | $0 \%$ |



Exhibit 2.3: Existing Traffic Volumes

### 2.1.3 Planned Conditions

A review of the City of Ottawa's Transportation Master Plan (Nov. 2013) indicated that:

- Carp Road: is proposed to be widened from two to four lanes between Highway 417 and Hazeldean Road. The implementation for the widening is proposed to take place between 2020-2025 horizon years.
- Stittsville Main Street Extension: is a new two-lane roadway that would extend Stittsville Main Street to the north east (by approximately 1.5 km ) to ultimately connect with Palladium Drive. The TMP indicates the timing for this facility is proposed to occur during Phase-3 (2026-2031) of the plan.


## Other Adjacent Development Initiatives

A review of other adjacent developments planned within the greater study area was undertaken as part of this scoping report. The following summarizes the adjacent developments within the immediate study area that would be included part of this TIA:

- 6141 Hazeldean Road (Potter's Key): The proposed development would be located north of Hazeldean Road between Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street. The proposed development envisions approximately 400 residential units. It is understood that the development is currently under construction.
- 6130 Hazeldean Road: The proposed retirement residence development is located east of the proposed site. The development will accommodate a total of 230 units.


### 2.2 Study Area and Time Periods

### 2.2.1 Study Area

The traffic study will analyze the following adjacent study area intersections:

- Hazeldean Road / Stittsville Main Street;
- Hazeldean Road / Carp Road;
- Stittsville Main Street / Neil Avenue;
- Carp Road / Neil Avenue;
- Neil Avenue / Site Access; and
- Hazeldean Road / Site Access.


### 2.2.2 Time Periods

The study will analyze two-time periods (morning and afternoon peak hours) of travel demand as they were envisioned to represent the "worst-case" scenario in terms of traffic volumes.

### 2.2.3 Horizon Years

The traffic study will analyze build-out year (assumed to be 2020 horizon year) and 5-year post development (2025).

### 2.3 ExEmption Review

Table 2.3 is an extract from the TIA Guidelines (2017) in regards to possible reduction in scope of work of the traffic study. We would request the City to exempt sections 4.1.3, 4.2.2, 4.5 and 4.8 from the TIA report.

Table 2.3: Extract from TIA Guidelines (2017)

| Module | Element | Exemption Considerations | Include Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Review Component |  |  | In TIA |
| 4.1 Development Design | 4.1.2 Circulation and Access | - Only required for site plans | Y |
|  | 4.1.3 New Street Networks | - Only required for plans of subdivision | N |
| 4.2 Parking | 4.2.1 Parking Supply | - Only required for site plans | Y |
|  | 4.2.2 Spillover Parking | - Only required for site plans where parking supply is $15 \%$ below unconstrained demand |  |
| Network Impact Component |  |  |  |
| 4.5 Transportation Demand Management | All elements | - Not required for site plans expected to have fewer than 60 employees and/or students on location at any given time | N |
| 4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Management | 4.6.1 Adjacent Neighbourhoods | - Only required when the development relies on local or collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds | Y |
| 4.8 Network Concept |  | - Only required when proposed development generates more than 200 person-trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by established zoning | N |

### 3.0 Forecasting

### 3.1 Development-Generated Travel Demand

The following sections represents the traffic forecasting methodology.

### 3.1.1 Trip Generation and Mode Shares

The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation rates was used to determine the site traffic volumes for the proposed development.

Table 3.1: Trip Generation Rates

| Land use | Peak Period | $\stackrel{\text { Rate }}{\text { Per } 1,000 \text { SF }}$ | Split |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT |
| Medical/Office (Land Use 720) | AM | 2.30 | 79\% | 21\% |
|  | PM | 3.46 | 27\% | 73\% |
| Sit-Down Restaurant (Land Use 932) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | PM | 11.15 | 59\% | 41\% |

1- The restaurant is anticipated to be a pub style restaurant with opening hours around 10am or 11am. This does not coincide with the morning peak hour of adjacent street; therefore, no rates were assumed for the morning peak hour. Also, Drinking Place (Land Use 925) rates were reviewed that had an afternoon peak hour rate similar to a Sit-Down restaurant.

It is considered good practice to convert the vehicle trips to person trips given that the site surveyed in the ITE trip generation manual are in suburban areas with low non-auto mode share. To convert the vehicle trips to person trips, a factor of 1.3 was used. Table 3.2 depicts the person trips rate for each land use:

Table 3.2 Adjusted Person-Trip

| Land use | Peak Period | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Office <br> (Land Use 720) | AM | 2.99 |
| Sit-Down <br> Restaurant <br> (Land Use 932) | PM | 4.50 |
|  | PM | 14.50 |

The 2011 Trans OD Survey Report was reviewed to get an understanding of the existing travel mode shares for the area of Kanata-Stittsville (within the location of the proposed development). Table 3.3 depicts the existing and future travel demand for the study area. Given the nature of the proposed development, mode share within district was used.

Table 3.3 Future Travel Mode Share Targets [Table 5 of the TIA]

| Mode Share | Existing Mode Share |  | Future Mode Share | Rationale |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM/PM |  |  |
| Auto Driver | $45 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $57 \%$ | Higher end of within district auto mode share was <br> used for the purpose of this TIA. |  |
| Auto Passenger | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $17 \%$ |  |  |
| Transit | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | Within district, more walking trips than transit. |  |
| Walking | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $19 \%$ | Given the nature of the development, it is anticipated <br> to serve the community and therefore, attract walking <br> trips. |  |
| Cycling | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |  |
| Other | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ | -- |  |  |

The future travel mode share split was applied to the proposed development. Table 3.4 and 3.5 below depicts the restaurant and medical trips generated for each mode share:

Table 3.4: Restaurant Trips by each Mode

| Travel Mode | Future Mode Share | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Out | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto Driver | $57 \%$ | 24 | 17 | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| Auto Passenger | $17 \%$ | 7 | 5 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Transit | $4 \%$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Cycling | $3 \%$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Walking | $19 \%$ | 8 | 6 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Person Trips | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 43 | 30 | 72 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |

Table 3.5: Medical Trips by each Mode

| Travel Mode | Future Mode Share | AM |  |  | PM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | 57\% | 13 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 19 | 26 |
| Auto Passenger | 17\% | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Transit | 4\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Cycling | 3\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Walking | 19\% | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| Total Person Trips | 100\% | 24 | 6 | 30 | 12 | 33 | 45 |
|  | Net Auto Trips | 13 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 19 | 26 |

### 3.1.2 Trip Distribution \& Assignment

The existing travel patterns for the Kanata-Stittsville from the 2011 Trans-OD Survey Report indicates that nearly $60 \%$ of trips remain within the Kanata-Stittsville Area. It also should be noted that given the nature of the proposed development, the site is anticipated to attract local trips from the local community. Based on the above rationale, the total trips (restaurant and medical) were distributed and assigned on the road network as illustrated in Exhibit 3.1.

### 3.2 Background Network Travel Demands

This section of the forecasting report outlines the background network travel demand assumptions.

### 3.2.1 Transportation Network Plans

A review of the City of Ottawa's Transportation Master Plan (Nov. 2013) (TMP) indicated that:

- Carp Road: is proposed to be widened from two to four lanes between Highway 417 and Hazeldean Road. The implementation for the widening is proposed to take place between 2020-2025 horizon years.
- Stittsville Main Street Extension: is a new two-lane roadway that would extend Stittsville Main Street to the north east (by approximately 1.5 km ) to ultimately connect with Palladium Drive. The TMP indicates the timing for this facility is proposed to occur during Phase-3 (2026-2031) of the plan.

Although the Stittsville Main extension could alter the travel patterns, the implementation of the improvement is anticipated to be beyond the horizon years of this TIA report.


### 3.2.2 General Background Growth

The Transportation Master Plan population growth for the Kanata-Stittsville was reviewed to determine the general growth within the study area. It was determined that on average the annual growth within the Kanata-Stittsville is anticipated to be 2.6 percent. Given the proposed site area is near the urban limit, an annual growth rate of 2 percent was applied on all turning movements at the two Hazeldean Road signalized intersections (Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street) except the movements in and out of Stittsville Main north of Hazeldean Road (given it is predominately residential and Potter's Key development growth was already accounted for). This growth assumption would be applied to the base traffic volumes above and beyond the adjacent development background traffic volumes.

### 3.2.3 Other Area Development

A review of other adjacent developments planned within the greater study area was undertaken as part of this TIA report. The following summarizes the adjacent developments within the immediate study area that would be included part of this TIA:

- 6141 Hazeldean Road (Potter's Key): The proposed development would be located north of Hazeldean Road between Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street. The proposed development envisions approximately 400 residential units. It is understood that the development is currently under construction.
- 6130 Hazeldean Road: The proposed retirement residence development is located east of the proposed site. The development will accommodate a total of 230 units.


### 3.3 Demand Rationalization

This section rationalizes the assumed future travel demands for the study area to determine if there are any auto capacity limitations of the transportation network. The development projections and background traffic volumes were combined with the base traffic volumes to produce forecast traffic volumes (2020 \& 2025) at the study area intersection (See Appendix "B"). Table 4.1 depicts the forecast (2020 and 2025) traffic analysis.

The WB-LT (Forecast 2025 PM volumes $=550 \mathrm{vph}$ ) movement from Hazeldean Road onto Stittsville Main Street was determined to operate at congested level of service with v/c ratio above 1.00 . It is worthwhile to note:

- The WB-LT (Existing raw 2016 PM volumes = 445 vph ) movement does operate at capacity during existing conditions.
- The WB-LT site traffic volumes are forecasted to be less than 15 vph during the afternoon peak hour. This translates on an average to a single vehicle every 4 minutes during the peak hour of travel demand.
- The background traffic growth attribute $85 \%$ of growth on this movement (background growth volumes $\sim 90 \mathrm{vph}$ that includes annual $2 \%$ from 2016 to 2025 resulting in a total of $18 \%$ growth).
- The $2 \%$ annual background growth could be seen as conservative growth applied to the study area turning movements (albeit estimated annual growth for the greater Kanata-Stittsville area is $2.6 \%$ based on TMP population growth). Growth predominately within the Kanata-Stittsville area is occurring east and south-east of the study area and therefore, growth rate could be less in the next 7 years.
- Stittsville Main Street Extension (albeit beyond this TIA horizon year) that would connect to Huntmar/ New N-S arterial road (east of Huntmar) could potentially shift traffic from the WB-LT movement to the SB-TH movement at the intersection.

Optimizing the signal timing (if feasible) at the Hazeldean Road / Stittsville Main Street intersection by accommodating longer cycle length and more green time for the WB-LT movement does result in improvement in LOS from " $F$ " to " $D$ " during the morning peak hour but continues to have high v/c ratio during afternoon peak hour.

### 4.0 Analysis

### 4.1 Development Design

### 4.1.1 Design for Sustainable Mode

The City of Ottawa's TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist was completed for the proposed development (See Appendix "E"). The proposed site fronts Hazeldean Road, which is a transit priority corridor with bus stops located at the Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street intersections with Hazeldean Road. Sidewalks are provided along the study area streets that provide direct route to bus stops with adequate street lights and visibility. It should be appreciated that due to the nature and location of the proposed site, the sustainable mode measures are limited.

### 4.1.2 Circulation and Access

Loading, short term delivery and garbage pick-ups would be accommodated within the site internal roadway layout.

### 4.2 Parking

### 4.2.1 Parking Supply

The City of Ottawa Zoning By-Law ${ }^{3}$ requires the following parking stalls to be provided for the proposed development:

- Restaurant: The City's By-law requires a rate of 10 stalls-per- $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, which translates to a parking requirement of 46 stalls.
- Medical/Office: The City's By-law requires a rate of 4 stalls-per-100 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, which translates to a parking requirement of 38 stalls.

The total parking requirement for the site is estimated to be 84 stalls. The development provides for 62 parking stalls on-site and 22 stalls would be used from the adjacent future retirement home. The adjacent retirement home (which is being currently constructed) accommodates a total of 108 surface stalls with only 59 stalls being required for the development. This results in a surplus of 49 stalls of which 22 stalls would be used for the commercial development. The City of Ottawa By-law indicates that for bicycle parking requirement, a rate of 1-per- $250 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ is required for the restaurant and 1-per-1,500 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ for the medical use. This translates to bicycle requirement of 2 stalls for the restaurant and 1 for the medical office. A total of 5 bicycle stalls are provided by the proposed site, which meets the City of Ottawa By-law requirements.

### 4.3 Boundary Street Design

## Mobility

The study area corridors for the most part accommodate all modes of transportation that would serve the proposed development:

- Transit stops and routes along Hazeldean Road;
- Sidewalks along the study area corridors; and
- Cycling lanes along Hazeldean Road.


## Road Safety

The collision information in the past five years (2012-to-2016) were reviewed for the study area intersections (See section 2.1.2 - Existing Conditions collision analysis Table 2.2). The TIA guidelines indicate that the collision information should be reviewed to identify collision patterns with more than six collisions in five years. A collision pattern involves similar

[^2]directions and impact types. It was determined the following movements exhibited a collision pattern:

- Carp Road / Hazeldean Road:
- 19 out of 30 rear-end collisions occurred in the WB direction where majority were making a right-turn movement. The intersection currently provides a dedicated channelized WB right-turn lane. The site traffic volumes are anticipated to have negligible impact on this movement.
- 7 out of 16 turning movement collisions occurred between EB-LT colliding with WB-TH movement. The EB left-turn phase currently operates as a permitted phase. The proposed site is anticipated to have negligible impact on this movement.
- Stittsville Main Street / Hazeldean Road:
- 28 out of 38 rear-end collisions occurred in the NB direction where majority were making a right-turn movement. The intersection currently provides a dedicated channelized NB right-turn lane. The site traffic volumes are anticipated to have negligible impact on this movement given the site plan offers a right-in/right-out access from Hazeldean Road.
- 9 out of 12 turning movement collisions occurred between WB-LT colliding with EB-TH movement. The WB left-turn phase operates as a protected/permitted phase. The proposed site is anticipated to add less than 15 vph during the peak hour of travel demand. This translates on an average a single vehicle every 4 minute.

The above collision patterns are pre-existing conditions and the site traffic impacts on the movements noted above are anticipated to be negligible. Mitigation measures for the leftturn collisions with through movements noted above (i.e. EB-LT with WB-TH at Hazeldean Rd/Carp Rd \& WB-LT collisions with EB-TH at Hazeldean Rd/Stittsville Main) could include signal phase modification to fully protected left-turn phase. However, this strategy would most likely result in congested intersection operation for the noted left-turn movements above and the intersection as a whole.

## Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM)

The proposed site is forecasting to add less than 40 vph in the peak direction of travel demand. Approximately 24 vph are forecasted in the peak direction to use Neil Avenue east of the proposed development to connect to Stittsville Main Street. This translates on average to a single vehicle every 2 minutes in the peak direction of peak hour. The proposed site is surrounded by arterial roadway network and the impact on a small section of the local road Neil Avenue is not anticipated to be significant.

### 4.4 Access Intersection Design

### 4.4.1 Location and Design of Access

A full movement access would be located along Neil Avenue approximately 260 m west of Stittsville Main Street. A right-in/right-out access would be located along Hazeldean Road 60m west of the Jackson Trails Signal Access.

### 4.4.2 Intersection Control

Both site accesses would be stopped controlled with free flow conditions along Hazeldean Road and Neil Avenue.

### 4.4.3 Intersection Design

The site accesses are private driveways that would be configured as a single lane in each direction. Synchro analysis is completed below part of Module 4.7 below.

### 4.5 Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM)

### 4.5.1 Adjacent Neighbourhood

The site proposes two access points, a full movement access along Neil Avenue (local road) and another from Hazeldean Road (an arterial roadway). The additional traffic added on the local road Neil Avenue are forecasted to be:

- 13 vph ( 2 in / 13 out) during the morning peak hour; and
- 48 vph ( $27 \mathrm{in} / 21$ out) during the afternoon peak hour.

Approximately $80 \%$ of the above traffic are forecasted to head east to connect with Stittsville Main Street (impacting the 265 m section of Neil Avenue between the site access and Stittsville Main Street). The additional traffic on Neil Avenue (east of the proposed site access) results on average a single vehicle every 2 minutes during the peak direction of peak hour. Therefore, the proposed development is not anticipated to result in significant auto traffic increase on the local road.

### 4.6 Transit

### 4.6.1 Route Capacity

OC Transpo transit service within the vicinity of the site is currently provided with bus stops located south of Neil Avenue along Stittsville Main Street (Route 261 \& 301) and Carp Road (Route 61). Bus stops are also located at the Carp Road and Stittsville Main Street intersections along Hazeldean Road (Routes 61 \& 162).

For the purpose of this study, the total projected passenger demand generated by the development in both directions were less than 5 passengers during the afternoon peak hour. This
represents a $4 \%$ transit mode share for the proposed site given the nature of the development. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the current transit service would accommodate the proposed development.

### 4.6.2 Transit Priority

Transit services are not anticipated to be impacted by the development access and driveways. According to the TMP, Hazeldean Road (between Stittsville Main Street and Eagleson Road) is a Transit Priority corridor, which include improvements to transit signal priority and queue jump [Map 5 Rapid Transit and Transit Priority Network - Affordable Network]. As noted above, the impacts of transit generated demands by the new development is anticipated to be negligible and accommodated by the existing transit provisions offered by the study area.

### 4.7 InTERSECTION DESIGN

Table 4.1 indicates the overall level-of-service (LOS) for each intersection, critical movements and volume-to-capacity ratio for the existing, 2020 and 2025 horizon years. Forecast traffic volumes were analyzed using Synchro $10^{\mathrm{TM}}$ traffic analysis software to assess the impact of the forecast traffic on the intersections within the study area (See Appendix "C"). It should be noted that a volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio of greater than 0.9 was considered unsatisfactory.

All the study area intersections operate at a satisfactory level of service during both peak hours of travel demand. The WB-LT (Existing PM volumes $=462 \mathrm{vph}$ ) movement from Hazeldean Road onto Stittsville Main Street was determined to operate at congested level of service with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of over 1.00 during existing conditions. This would continue to operate at congested level of service with v/c ratio above 1.00 during the forecast conditions. It is worthwhile to note:

- The WB-LT site traffic volumes are forecasted to be less than 15 vph during the afternoon peak hour. This translates on an average to a single vehicle every 4 minutes during the peak hour of travel demand.
- The background traffic growth attribute $85 \%$ of growth on this movement (background growth volumes ~ 90 vph that includes annual $2 \%$ from 2016 to 2025 resulting in a total of $18 \%$ growth).
- The $2 \%$ annual background growth could be seen as conservative growth applied to the study area turning movements (albeit estimated annual growth for the greater Kanata-Stittsville area is $2.6 \%$ based on TMP population growth). Growth predominately within the Kanata-Stittsville area is occurring east and south-east of the study area and therefore, growth rate could be less in the next 7 years.
- Stittsville Main Street Extension (albeit beyond this TIA horizon year) that would connect to Huntmar/ New N-S arterial road (east of Huntmar) could potentially shift traffic from the WB-LT movement to the SB-TH movement at the intersection.

Optimizing the signal timing (if feasible) at the Hazeldean Road / Stittsville Main Street intersection by accommodating longer cycle length and more green time for the WB-LT movement does result in improvement in LOS from " $F$ " to " $D$ " during the morning peak hour. However, the WB-LT movement continues to exhibit high v/c ratio during the afternoon peak hour assuming optimized signal timing.

Table 4.1: Intersection Capacity Analysis Results

| Intersections |  | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OverallLOS | Critical Approach |  | OverallLOS | Critical Approach |  |
|  |  | Movement | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS, } \\ V / C \end{gathered}$ | Movement |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS, } \\ V / C \end{gathered}$ |
| Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazeldean / Stittsville Main | Traffic Control Signal |  | E | WB-LT | F, 1.23 | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB-LT } \\ & \text { WB-TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}, 1.28 \\ & \mathbf{E}, 0.94 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hazeldean / Carp | Traffic Control Signal | C | EB-LT | D, 0.72 | C | SB-LT | C, 0.71 |
| Stittsville Main / Neil | StopControl | B | EB-T/LT | C, 0.003 | C | EB-T/LT | E, 0.04 |
| Carp / Neil | StopControl | B | WB | B, 0.02 | B | WB | B, 0.06 |
| Forecast (2020) Traffic Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazeldean / Stittsville Main | Existing <br> Signal <br> Timing | E | WB-LT | F, 1.23 | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB-LT } \\ & \text { WB-TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}, 1.28 \\ & \mathbf{E}, 0.97 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Optimized Signal Timing | D | WB-LT | D, 0.81 | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB-LT } \\ & \text { WB-TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{1 . 0 4} \\ & \mathrm{D}, 0.86 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hazeldean / Carp | Traffic Control Signal | C | EB-LT | D, 0.72 | C | SB-LT | C, 0.67 |
| Stittsville Main / Neil | StopControl | B | EB-T/LT | C, 0.01 | C | EB-T/LT | E, 0.07 |
| Carp / Neil | StopControl | B | WB | B, 0.04 | B | WB | B, 0.08 |
| Forecast (2025) Traffic Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazeldean / Stittsville Main | Existing Signal Timing | E | WB-LT | F, 1.33 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB-LT } \\ & \text { WB-TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}, 1.42 \\ & \mathrm{~F}, 1.03 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Optimized Signal Timing | D | WB-LT | D, 0.80 | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB-LT } \\ & \text { WB-TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{1 . 0 9} \\ & \mathrm{D}, 0.86 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hazeldean / Carp | Traffic <br> Control <br> Signal | C | EB-LT | D, 0.74 | C | SB-LT | C, 0.77 |
| Stittsville Main / Neil | StopControl | B | EB-T/LT | C, 0.01 | C | EB-L/TH | E, 0.08 |
| Carp / Neil | StopControl | B | WB | B, 0.04 | C | WB | C, 0.10 |

Table 4.2 also depicts the Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) estimates for all modes of transportation for the study area traffic-controlled intersections and provides a comparison to the target LOS shown in the MMLOS guidelines. Appendix "D" illustrates the detailed MMLOS analysis for the study area intersections. Please note that the LOS shown in Table 4.2 assume the worst-case approach/crossing leg for all modes of transportation.

Table 4.2: MMLOS Analysis ${ }^{1}$

| Intersections | Pedestrian (PLOS) |  | Bicycle (BLOS) |  | Transit (TLOS) ${ }^{2}$ |  | Truck (TkLOS) |  | Vehicle $(\mathrm{LOS})^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PLOS | Target | BLOS | Target | TLOS $^{3}$ | Target | TkLOS | Target | LOS | Target |
| Hazeldean/Stittsville Main | E | C | F | C | F | D | E | D | D | D |
| Hazeldean/Carp | D | C | F | C | E | D | E | D | C | D |

- Arterial Main Street Land Use Designation/Policy Area was assumed for the proposed development (fronts Hazeldean Road).

2- LOS assumes 2025 horizon year during the worst-case afternoon peak hour of travel demand.
3- Transit LOS was based on Synchro delay estimate for the approach.
The following bullets summaries Table 4.2 above:

- Pedestrians - The PLOS is one level of service lower than the target for Hazeldean/Carp intersection and two level lower for Hazeldean/Stittsville Main. PLOS target is difficult to achieve at any intersection given the PLOS is based on multiple factors such as conflicting movements, crossing distance, cycling length, walking time, etc. It should be noted that PLOS "E" at the Hazeldean/Stittsville Main occurs at the east-west approach predominantly due to the wide pavement width (2-lanes at each approach, auxiliary lanes, bike lanes, etc.).
- Bicycles - The BLOS results in unsatisfactory level of service due to cyclist crossing a lane or two to make the left-turn movement along Hazeldean Road. The right-turn movement result in BLOS "D" or better. Hazeldean Road has bike lanes, which achieves BLOS "C" along the segment of the road.
- Transit - The TLOS are estimates based on the Synchro delay at the approaches of the intersections. Hazeldean Road is a transit priority corridor (isolated measures) within the study area and accommodates transit stops. It is anticipated that isolated measures would reduce travel time and improve TLOS once those measures are implemented.
- Trucks - Hazeldean Road, Stittsville Main (south of Hazeldean Rd) and Carp Road are classified as truck routes. The TkLOS " $E$ " is based on worst-case level of service for trucks turning from Hazeldean onto Stittsville Main and Carp Road south. The remaining movement operate at TkLOS "C" or better and Hazeldean Road segment achieves a TkLOS "A".
- Vehicles - The auto vehicle LOS is depicted in Table 4.2 are the overall level of service at the intersection. The results indicated that vehicle LOS meet the target LOS "D".


## Segment MMLOS for Boundary Streets:

The MMLOS was also assessed for the boundary streets that includes Hazeldean Road, Stittsville Main Street, Carp Road and Neil Avenue.

## Pedestrians

- Hazeldean Road offers a sidewalk of at least 2 m with boulevard that is wider than 2 m . Stittsville Main Street and Carp Road both offer at least 2 m wide sidewalk. Using Exhibit 4 of the MMLOS guidelines, all three boundary streets result in PLOS "C", which meet the target PLOS.
- Neil Avenue is a local road that is characterized by low traffic volumes with no sidewalks. This would result in a PLOS "F" as per Exhibit 4 of the MMLOS guidelines. However, given the nature of the existing land uses fronting Neil Avenue and the proposed commercial site fronting Hazeldean Road, pedestrian activities along Neil Avenue are anticipated to be low.
Bicycles - All arterial corridors accommodate bike lanes, which at worst case result in BLOS "C" and meet the target BLOS. Neil Avenue is a local road that falls under mixed traffic scenario and results in a BLOS "B", which meets the MMLOS target (general urban area for local road).

Transit - The segment TLOS is difficult to predict given it is based on qualitative highlevel assessment (as per Exhibit 15 of the MMLOS guidelines). However, the arterial roads are mixed traffic facility types and with limited driveways in the vicinity of the site, it can be anticipated that TLOS "D" can be achieved, which meets the target. It should be noted that Hazeldean Road is a transit priority corridor (isolated measures) within the study area. It is anticipated that isolated measures would reduce travel time and improve TLOS once those measures are implemented. There are no transit services along Neil Avenue, therefore no MMLOS segment analysis was undertaken.

Trucks - Hazeldean Road, Stittsville Main (south of Hazeldean Rd) and Carp Road are classified as truck routes. With wide pavement width and Hazeldean Road being a 4-lane facility, a segment TkLOS "A" or "B" can be achieved for the boundary streets, which exceeds the target TkLOS "D". Neil Avenue is a local road and therefore no target level of service is identified in the MMLOS guidelines.

### 5.0 Conclusion

The TIA report yields the following conclusions:

- All the study area intersections operate at a satisfactory level of service during both peak hours of travel demand. The WB-LT movement from Hazeldean Road onto Stittsville Main Street was determined to operate at congested level of service with v/c ratio over the 0.90 threshold.
a. The failure level of service at the WB left-turn movement is a pre-exiting condition.

[^3]b. The proposed development traffic volumes have negligible impact on the WB-LT.
c. Optimizing the signal timing (if feasible) at the Hazeldean Road / Stittsville Main Street intersection by accommodating longer cycle length and more green time for the WB-LT movement does result in improvement in LOS from " $F$ " to "D" during the morning peak hour. However, the WB-LT movement continues to exhibit high v/c ratio during the afternoon peak hour assuming optimized signal timing.

- The proposed vehicular site traffic volumes were determined to result in negligible impact on traffic operations.
- The proposed development is not anticipated to result in significant auto traffic increase on the local road.
- The impacts on non-auto mode by the new development is anticipated to be negligible and accommodated by the existing infrastructure offered by the study area.

The TIA report concluded that no roadway improvements or monitoring plan is required as a result of the proposed development. Therefore, the results indicate that the City of Ottawa should be encouraged to assemble the appropriate conditions that would permit the development application to proceed.

Yours Truly,


Arman Matti, P. Eng.
Transportation Engineer
March 2019


## Appendix A

## Screening Form

## City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines Screening Form

Ms. Rosanna Baggs<br>March $8^{\text {th }}, 2018$<br>Project Manager, City of Ottawa<br>110 Laurier Avenue West,<br>Ottawa, ON, K1G 6J9

Please see below the completed screening form for the proposed commercial/office development located at south of Hazeldean Road and north of Neil Avenue.

## 1. Description of Proposed Development

| Municipal Address | 6150 Hazeldean Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description of Location | The proposed site is located south of Hazeldean Road and <br> north of Neil Avenue. |
| Land Use Classification | Commercial/Offic |
| Development Size (units) | NA |
| Development Size $\left(\mathbf{m}^{2}\right)$ | Restaurant $\sim 465 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \&$ Retail/Office $\sim 925 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Total <br> development size $\sim 1,390 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Number of Accesses and | Two Access locations, one right-in/right-out by way of <br> Hazeldean Road and the other full-movement from Neil <br> Locations |
| Avenue. |  |

## 2. Trip Generation Trigger

The development will consist of:

- A single storey $465 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ restaurant that is not envisioned to be fast food/drive-thru restaurant; and
- A 2-storey medical/office building located east of the restaurant.

The medical/office component is less than the minimum threshold size, however, the restaurant (albeit not a fast-food restaurant) exceeds the minimum development size. Therefore, the Trip Generation Trigger is satisfied.

Table 2: Trip Generation Trigger

| Land Use Type | Minimum Development Size |
| :---: | :---: |
| Single-family homes | 40 units |
| Townhomes or apartments | 90 units |
| Office | $3,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Industrial | $5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop | $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Destination retail | $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Gas station or convenience market | $75 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

## 3. Location Triggers

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit or Spine Bicycle Networks? |  | $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ |
| Is the development in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transitoriented Development (TOD) zone? * | X |  |
| *DPA and TOD are identified in the City of Ottawa Official Plan (DPA in Section 2.5.1 and Schedules A and B; TOD in Annex <br> 6). See Chapter 4 for a list of City of Ottawa Planning and Engineering documents that support the completion of TIA). |  |  |
| 1- The proposed site would use the right-in/right-out access from Hazeldean Road that is cur adjacent retirement home east of the proposed site. | ing | for the |

The site is within DPA area, therefore, the Location Trigger is satisfied.

## 4. Safety Triggers

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Are posted speed limits on a boundary street are $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ or greater? |  | X |
| Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway? |  | X |
| Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/suburban conditions)? | X |  |
| Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection? |  | X |
| Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site? |  | X |

2460 Lancaster Road, Suite 200,
Ottawa, Ontario, K1B 4S5
Tel: 613-731-4052
Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development?
Does the development include a drive-thru facility?
1- To best of Castleglenn's Knowledge, we are not aware at this time of traffic operations or safety concerns within the study area.

## Given the above, the Safety Trigger is assumed to be satisfied.

## 5. Summary

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does the development satisfy the Trip Generation Trigger? | X |  |
| Does the development satisfy the Location Trigger? | X |  |
| Does the development satisfy the Safety Trigger? | X |  |

Please review the above screening information and let us know your comments or questions before proceeding to the next step of the TIA.

Yours Truly,


Arman Matti, P.Eng.
Transportation Engineer
Castleglenn Consultants Inc.

## Appendix B

## Forecast Traffic Volumes






## Appendix C

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 338 | 5 | 9 | 278 | 3 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 338 | 5 | 9 | 278 | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | 200 | - | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 367 | 5 | 10 | 302 | 3 |  |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 281 | 259 | 84 | 9 | 106 | 281 | 58 | 386 | 14 | 221 | 279 | 48 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 281 | 259 | 84 | 9 | 106 | 281 | 58 | 386 | 14 | 221 | 279 | 48 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1730 | 1786 | 1786 | 1674 | 1772 | 1716 | 1772 | 1758 | 1758 | 1786 | 1772 | 1786 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 305 | 282 | 91 | 10 | 115 | 0 | 63 | 420 | 15 | 240 | 303 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Cap，veh／h | 421 | 841 | 266 | 300 | 587 |  | 498 | 1323 | 47 | 536 | 985 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1247 | 2537 | 802 | 953 | 1772 | 1454 | 1076 | 3289 | 117 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 305 | 187 | 186 | 10 | 115 | 0 | 63 | 213 | 222 | 240 | 303 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1247 | 1697 | 1642 | 953 | 1772 | 1454 | 1076 | 1670 | 1737 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 25.5 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 30.6 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.49 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 421 | 563 | 544 | 300 | 587 |  | 498 | 671 | 698 | 536 | 985 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.72 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.20 |  | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.31 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 570 | 765 | 740 | 414 | 799 |  | 498 | 671 | 698 | 569 | 985 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 37.2 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 31.6 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 15.6 | 13.1 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 7.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 40.2 | 28.0 | 28.1 | 31.6 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 23.7 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | C | C | C | C |  | C | C | C | B | B |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 678 |  |  | 125 | A |  | 498 |  |  | 543 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 33.5 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 14.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 16.9 | 50.2 | 42.9 | 67.1 | 42.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 13.0 | 29.0 | ${ }^{*} 50$ | 48.0 | ${ }^{*} 50$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 10.7 | 11.6 | 32.6 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 0.8 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 24.9
HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6 th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1257 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 531 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 726 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 189 | 548 | - | - | 1035 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 590 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 479 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 186 | 548 | - | - | 1035 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 186 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 580 | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 479 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.4 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBT | NBR1 | VBLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 409 | 1035 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.061 | 0.011 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 14.4 | 8.5 | 0 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0 | - |  |



| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1348 | 1349 | 711 | 1341 | 1343 | 596 | 713 | 0 | 0 | 604 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | 743 | 743 | - | 598 | 598 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 中t |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 个t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 90 | 247 | 135 | 46 | 466 | 345 | 128 | 350 | 28 | 356 | 477 | 232 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 90 | 247 | 135 | 46 | 466 | 345 | 128 | 350 | 28 | 356 | 477 | 232 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1730 | 1786 | 1786 | 1674 | 1772 | 1716 | 1772 | 1758 | 1758 | 1786 | 1772 | 1786 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 98 | 268 | 147 | 50 | 507 | 0 | 139 | 380 | 30 | 387 | 518 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cap，veh／h | 172 | 808 | 430 | 322 | 669 |  | 327 | 948 | 74 | 546 | 919 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 871 | 2139 | 1138 | 917 | 1772 | 1454 | 883 | 3137 | 247 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 98 | 211 | 204 | 50 | 507 | 0 | 139 | 201 | 209 | 387 | 518 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 871 | 1697 | 1581 | 917 | 1772 | 1454 | 883 | 1670 | 1714 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 13.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 4.9 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 18.2 | 23.9 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 43.2 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 16.0 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 18.2 | 23.9 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 172 | 641 | 597 | 322 | 669 |  | 327 | 505 | 518 | 546 | 919 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.16 | 0.76 |  | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.71 | 0.56 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 174 | 645 | 601 | 324 | 673 |  | 327 | 505 | 518 | 546 | 919 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.4 | 26.5 | 26.7 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 33.2 | 33.3 | 21.8 | 19.6 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 |

Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh

| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 55.6 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 32.6 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 38.7 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 26.1 | 22.1 | 0.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | E | C | C | C | D |  | D | D | D | C | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 513 |  |  | 557 | A |  | 549 |  | 905 | A |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 32.4 |  |  | 37.0 |  |  | 36.4 |  | 23.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 26.0 | 42.3 | 51.7 | 68.3 | 51.7 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | $* 6.4$ | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 20.0 | 36.0 | $* 46$ | 62.0 | $* 46$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 20.2 | 17.6 | 45.2 | 25.9 | 31.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 3.4 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 31.2
HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个 ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 94 | 347 | 30 | 462 | 605 | 222 | 77 | 117 | 363 | 187 | 180 | 63 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 94 | 347 | 30 | 462 | 605 | 222 | 77 | 117 | 363 | 187 | 180 | 63 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 102 | 377 | 33 | 502 | 658 | 241 | 84 | 127 | 0 | 203 | 196 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 180 | 706 | 62 | 393 | 700 | 256 | 483 | 588 |  | 580 | 682 | 555 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3158 | 275 | 1701 | 2413 | 883 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 102 | 202 | 208 | 502 | 459 | 440 | 84 | 127 | 0 | 203 | 196 | 68 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1736 | 1701 | 1683 | 1613 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 5.4 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 15.3 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 3.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 5.4 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 15.3 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 3.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.16 | 1.00 |  | 0.55 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 180 | 380 | 388 | 393 | 488 | 468 | 483 | 588 |  | 580 | 682 | 555 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 1.28 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.17 | 0.22 |  | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.12 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 293 | 499 | 511 | 393 | 495 | 474 | 579 | 588 |  | 596 | 682 | 555 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 35.4 | 41.0 | 41.1 | 37.8 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 24.4 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 26.0 | 24.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 143.5 | 26.1 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／IR2． 2 |  | 4.9 | 5.1 | 19.5 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／vehLnGrp LOS |  | 42.2 | 42.2 | 181.3 | 67.7 | 68.6 | 24.6 | 29.7 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 27.0 | 24.7 |
|  |  | D | D | F | E | E | C | C |  | C | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 512 |  |  | 1401 |  |  | 211 | A |  | 467 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 41.4 |  |  | 108.7 |  |  | 27.7 |  |  | 24.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |



## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 73.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6 th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 292 | 274 | 87 | 10 | 127 | 292 | 60 | 403 | 15 | 231 | 292 | 50 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 292 | 274 | 87 | 10 | 127 | 292 | 60 | 403 | 15 | 231 | 292 | 50 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1730 | 1786 | 1786 | 1674 | 1772 | 1716 | 1772 | 1758 | 1758 | 1786 | 1772 | 1786 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 292 | 274 | 87 | 10 | 127 | 0 | 60 | 403 | 15 | 231 | 292 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cap, veh/h | 407 | 837 | 260 | 302 | 582 |  | 510 | 1342 | 50 | 546 | 990 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1234 | 2548 | 792 | 964 | 1772 | 1454 | 1087 | 3284 | 122 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 292 | 180 | 181 | 10 | 127 | 0 | 60 | 205 | 213 | 231 | 292 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1234 | 1697 | 1643 | 964 | 1772 | 1454 | 1087 | 1670 | 1736 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 24.7 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 30.4 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.48 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 407 | 558 | 540 | 302 | 582 |  | 510 | 682 | 709 | 546 | 990 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.72 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.22 |  | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.30 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 558 | 765 | 741 | 420 | 799 |  | 510 | 682 | 709 | 584 | 990 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 37.7 | 27.7 | 27.8 | 31.6 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 15.3 | 12.8 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 5.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 40.5 | 28.1 | 28.2 | 31.7 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | C | C | C | C |  | C | C | C | B | B |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 653 |  |  | 137 | A |  | 478 |  |  | 523 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 33.7 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  | 22.8 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 16.5 | 50.9 | 42.6 | 67.4 | 42.6 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 13.0 | 29.0 | ${ }^{*} 50$ | 48.0 | ${ }^{*} 50$ |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 10.3 | 11.1 | 32.4 | 11.6 | 12.0 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.3 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 24.7
HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | 1 |  | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 21 | 0 | - | 0 | 24 | 15 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1595 | - | - | - | 992 | 1065 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1008 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1014 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1595 | - | - | - | 990 | 1065 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 990 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1006 | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1014 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 3.6 | 0 | 8.5 |

HCM LOS A

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1595 | - | - | -1026 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | -0.004 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 0 | - | -8.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, $s$ | 0 | 0 | 9.9 |

HCMLOS A

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 739 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.004 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.9 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 382 | 16 | 233 | 240 | 119 | 32 | 49 | 294 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 382 | 16 | 233 | 240 | 119 | 32 | 49 | 294 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 65 | 382 | 16 | 233 | 240 | 119 | 32 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | ， | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 192 | 506 | 21 | 189 | 348 | 167 | 645 | 786 |  | 847 | 949 | 773 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3319 | 139 | 1701 | 2206 | 1058 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 65 | 195 | 203 | 233 | 181 | 178 | 32 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1714 | 1697 | 1761 | 1701 | 1683 | 1581 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 3.5 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.5 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 192 | 259 | 269 | 189 | 265 | 249 | 645 | 786 |  | 847 | 949 | 773 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.34 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 1.23 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.06 |  | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.17 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 201 | 544 | 565 | 189 | 540 | 507 | 788 | 786 |  | 847 | 949 | 773 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 37.6 | 44.6 | 44.7 | 46.1 | 43.7 | 44.0 | 15.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 12.8 | 13.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.0 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 141.5 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 |


| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 38.6 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 187.5 | 46.8 | 47.7 | 15.6 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 13.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | F | D | D | B | B |  | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 463 |  |  | 592 |  |  | 81 | A |  | 516 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 47.5 |  |  | 102.5 |  |  | 16.9 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c), s$ | 12.0 | 23.5 | 9.8 | 64.7 | 11.4 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 55.5 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}), \mathrm{s}$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 5.3$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 12$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ | ${ }^{*} 5.3$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 12$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 7.3 | 14.2 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 3.7 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 54.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6 th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．





| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 93 | 269 | 140 | 48 | 488 | 358 | 135 | 373 | 29 | 373 | 501 | 241 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 93 | 269 | 140 | 48 | 488 | 358 | 135 | 373 | 29 | 373 | 501 | 241 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1730 | 1786 | 1786 | 1674 | 1772 | 1716 | 1772 | 1758 | 1758 | 1786 | 1772 | 1786 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 93 | 269 | 140 | 48 | 488 | 0 | 135 | 373 | 29 | 373 | 501 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cap，veh／h | 172 | 800 | 404 | 313 | 650 |  | 347 | 1006 | 78 | 558 | 939 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.53 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 887 | 2182 | 1102 | 922 | 1772 | 1454 | 897 | 3141 | 243 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 93 | 207 | 202 | 48 | 488 | 0 | 135 | 198 | 204 | 373 | 501 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 887 | 1697 | 1588 | 922 | 1772 | 1454 | 897 | 1670 | 1714 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 12.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 17.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 41.2 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 15.8 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 17.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.69 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 172 | 622 | 582 | 313 | 650 |  | 347 | 535 | 549 | 558 | 939 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.54 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.75 |  | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.67 | 0.53 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 183 | 645 | 603 | 326 | 673 |  | 347 | 535 | 549 | 570 | 939 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.2 | 27.4 | 27.6 | 33.3 | 33.2 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 20.6 | 18.5 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 54.0 | 27.7 | 27.9 | 33.5 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 35.9 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 23.5 | 20.6 | 0.0 |


|  | D | C | C | C | D |  | D | C | C | C |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | 502 |  | 536 | A | 537 |  | 874 | A |  |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 32.7 |  | 37.4 |  | 34.0 |  | 21.9 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 25.2 | 44.4 | 50.4 | 69.6 | 50.4 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | $* 6.4$ | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 20.0 | 36.0 | $* 46$ | 62.0 | $* 46$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 19.0 | 16.4 | 43.2 | 24.2 | 30.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 3.4 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 30.2

HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 12 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 12 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 12 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 40 | 0 | - | 0 | 45 | 24 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 24 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | -6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1570 | - | - | -965 | 1052 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 999 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | -1002 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1570 | - | - | - | 960 | 1052 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 960 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -994 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | -1002 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 4.5 | 0 | 8.7 |

HCM LOS A

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1570 | - | - | -997 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.005 | - | - | -0.028 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |
| H | 0.1 |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, $s$ | 0 | 0 | 10.6 |

HCMLOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 661 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.032 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.6 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | - |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 |  | \％ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 375 | 31 | 504 | 629 | 306 | 80 | 152 | 379 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 375 | 31 | 504 | 629 | 306 | 80 | 152 | 379 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 102 | 375 | 31 | 504 | 629 | 306 | 80 | 152 | 0 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 171 | 723 | 59 | 399 | 646 | 314 | 454 | 565 |  | 556 | 677 | 551 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3174 | 261 | 1701 | 2195 | 1068 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 102 | 200 | 206 | 504 | 482 | 453 | 80 | 152 | 0 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1739 | 1701 | 1683 | 1580 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 5.4 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 4.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 5.4 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 4.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.15 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 171 | 386 | 396 | 399 | 495 | 465 | 454 | 565 |  | 556 | 677 | 551 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 1.26 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.18 | 0.27 |  | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.16 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 285 | 499 | 512 | 399 | 495 | 465 | 552 | 565 |  | 556 | 677 | 551 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 35.3 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 37.6 | 41.9 | 41.9 | 25.5 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 26.6 | 24.8 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 137.2 | 33.7 | 35.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 21.6 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 1.3 |

Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh

| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 38.6 | 41.6 | 41.7 | 174.8 | 75.6 | 76.9 | 25.7 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 27.8 | 25.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | F | E | E | C | C |  | C | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 508 |  |  | 1439 |  |  | 232 | A |  | 554 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 41.0 |  |  | 110.7 |  |  | 29.6 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c), s$ | 22.0 | 34.0 | 12.1 | 51.8 | 14.0 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 45.0 |
| Change Period $(Y+R c), s$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 15$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 12$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ | ${ }^{*} 15$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 12$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 17.3 | 14.5 | 5.7 | 12.3 | 7.4 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 9.7 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 73.6 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6 th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 382 | 16 | 233 | 240 | 119 | 32 | 49 | 294 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 382 | 16 | 233 | 240 | 119 | 32 | 49 | 294 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 65 | 382 | 16 | 233 | 240 | 119 | 32 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 255 | 489 | 20 | 288 | 486 | 233 | 585 | 713 |  | 797 | 894 | 728 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3319 | 139 | 1701 | 2206 | 1058 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 65 | 195 | 203 | 233 | 181 | 178 | 32 | 49 |  | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1761 | 1701 | 1683 | 1581 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 4.0 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 2.8 | 6.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 4.0 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 2.8 | 6.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 255 | 250 | 260 | 288 | 371 | 348 | 585 | 713 |  | 797 | 894 | 728 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.25 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.07 |  | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.18 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 255 | 475 | 493 | 288 | 594 | 558 | 609 | 713 |  | 826 | 894 | 728 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 42.8 | 51.3 | 51.4 | 39.1 | 42.6 | 42.8 | 20.7 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 17.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.5 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 15.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.4 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 43.3 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 54.7 | 43.6 | 44.0 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 17.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E | E | D | D | D | C | C |  | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 463 |  |  | 592 |  |  | 81 | A |  | 516 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 54.6 |  |  | 48.1 |  |  | 22.2 |  |  | 16.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 21.0 | 25.1 | 10.1 | 68.8 | 11.9 | 34.2 | 21.9 | 57.0 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 14$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 5.1$ | ${ }^{*} 44$ | ${ }^{*} 5.2$ | ${ }^{*} 44$ | ${ }^{*} 17$ | ${ }^{*} 32$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 16.3 | 15.9 | 3.4 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 4.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 38.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6 th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 375 | 31 | 504 | 629 | 306 | 80 | 152 | 379 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 375 | 31 | 504 | 629 | 306 | 80 | 152 | 379 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 102 | 375 | 31 | 504 | 629 | 306 | 80 | 152 | 0 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 201 | 587 | 48 | 487 | 732 | 356 | 421 | 594 |  | 507 | 640 | 521 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3174 | 261 | 1701 | 2195 | 1068 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 102 | 200 | 206 | 504 | 482 | 453 | 80 | 152 | 0 | 249 | 218 | 87 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1739 | 1701 | 1683 | 1580 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 6.2 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 27.3 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 11.5 | 5.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 6.2 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 27.3 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 11.5 | 5.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.15 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 201 | 314 | 322 | 487 | 561 | 527 | 421 | 594 |  | 507 | 640 | 521 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 1.04 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.19 | 0.26 |  | 0.49 | 0.34 | 0.17 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 222 | 461 | 472 | 487 | 686 | 644 | 424 | 594 |  | 507 | 640 | 521 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 40.3 | 48.9 | 49.0 | 35.1 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 26.7 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 30.7 | 28.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 50.2 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.1 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 42.3 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 85.3 | 49.7 | 50.2 | 26.9 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 29.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | F | D | D | C | C |  | C | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 508 |  |  | 1439 |  |  | 232 | A |  | 554 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 49.3 |  |  | 62.3 |  |  | 30.6 |  |  | 31.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 34.0 | 30.8 | 12.3 | 52.9 | 14.7 | 50.0 | 15.0 | 50.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 27 | ＊ 35 | ＊5．9 | ＊ 35 | ＊9．6 | ＊53 | ＊ 8.3 | ＊ 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 29.3 | 16.3 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 8.2 | 36.8 | 10.3 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 50.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 8 | 515 | 2 | 15 | 410 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 8 | 515 | 2 | 15 | 410 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 8 | 515 | 2 | 15 | 410 |





| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 蚄 |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 319 | 300 | 95 | 10 | 138 | 319 | 66 | 440 | 16 | 253 | 320 | 55 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 319 | 300 | 95 | 10 | 138 | 319 | 66 | 440 | 16 | 253 | 320 | 55 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1730 | 1786 | 1786 | 1674 | 1772 | 1716 | 1772 | 1758 | 1758 | 1786 | 1772 | 1786 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 319 | 300 | 95 | 10 | 138 | 0 | 66 | 440 | 16 | 253 | 320 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Cap，veh／h | 433 | 905 | 281 | 315 | 629 |  | 457 | 1216 | 44 | 505 | 943 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1221 | 2548 | 792 | 934 | 1772 | 1454 | 1060 | 3287 | 119 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 319 | 198 | 197 | 10 | 138 | 0 | 66 | 223 | 233 | 253 | 320 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1221 | 1697 | 1643 | 934 | 1772 | 1454 | 1060 | 1670 | 1736 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 27.2 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 33.2 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.48 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 433 | 603 | 584 | 315 | 629 |  | 457 | 618 | 642 | 505 | 943 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.74 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.22 |  | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.34 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 550 | 765 | 741 | 405 | 799 |  | 457 | 618 | 642 | 523 | 943 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.4 | 25.9 | 26.0 | 29.8 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 17.4 | 14.7 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 6.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 40.3 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 29.9 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | C | C | C | C |  | C | C | C | B | B |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 714 |  |  | 148 | A |  | 522 |  |  | 573 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 32.5 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 16.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 17.8 | 46.7 | 45.5 | 64.5 | 45.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 13.0 | 29.0 | ${ }^{*} 50$ | 48.0 | ${ }^{*} 50$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 11.7 | 12.7 | 35.2 | 13.3 | 12.5 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 25.8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 21 | 0 | - | 0 | 24 | 15 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1595 | - | - | - | 992 | 1065 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1008 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1014 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1595 | - | - | - | 990 | 1065 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 990 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1006 | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1014 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 3.6 | 0 | 8.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | A |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1595 | - | - | -1026 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | -0.004 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 0 | - | -8.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 值 |  |  | 体 |  | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 566 | 3 | 0 | 467 | 0 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 566 | 3 | 0 | 467 | 0 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 566 | 3 | 0 | 467 | 0 | 3 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 285 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 712 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 712 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.1 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 712 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.004 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.1 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | \％ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 418 | 18 | 253 | 262 | 119 | 35 | 49 | 321 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 418 | 18 | 253 | 262 | 119 | 35 | 49 | 321 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 65 | 418 | 18 | 253 | 262 | 119 | 35 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 198 | 547 | 23 | 190 | 387 | 171 | 633 | 764 |  | 829 | 924 | 753 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3315 | 142 | 1701 | 2272 | 1003 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 65 | 214 | 222 | 253 | 192 | 189 | 35 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1760 | 1701 | 1683 | 1591 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 3.4 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 5.3 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.4 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 5.3 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.63 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 198 | 280 | 290 | 190 | 287 | 271 | 633 | 764 |  | 829 | 924 | 753 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.33 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 1.33 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.06 |  | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.17 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 208 | 544 | 565 | 190 | 540 | 511 | 773 | 764 |  | 829 | 924 | 753 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.6 | 43.9 | 43.9 | 45.5 | 42.7 | 42.9 | 16.3 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 13.6 | 14.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 181.2 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.1 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 11.2 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 |

Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh

| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 37.5 | 48.2 | 48.1 | 226.6 | 45.4 | 46.1 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 14.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | F | D | D | B | B |  | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 501 |  |  | 634 |  |  | 84 | A |  | 516 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 46.8 |  |  | 117.9 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 12.0 | 24.8 | 10.0 | 63.2 | 11.4 | 25.5 | 19.0 | 54.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 5.3$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 12$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ | ${ }^{*} 5.3$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 12$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 7.3 | 15.3 | 3.2 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 14.3 | 12.7 | 3.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 61.5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 30 | 555 | 7 | 15 | 738 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 30 | 555 | 7 | 15 | 738 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 30 | 555 | 7 | 15 | 738 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1327 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 562 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 559 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 768 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 171 | 529 | - | - | 1009 | - |
| Stage 1 | 572 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 458 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 167 | 529 | - | - | 1009 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 167 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 558 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 458 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.2 |  | 0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 389 | 1009 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.093 | 0.015 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 15.2 | 8.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |



| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1477 | 1478 | 769 | 1479 | 1485 | 642 | 783 | 0 | 0 | 649 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | 799 | 799 | - | 672 | 672 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 性 |  | ＊ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 293 | 153 | 52 | 534 | 392 | 147 | 407 | 31 | 408 | 548 | 263 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 293 | 153 | 52 | 534 | 392 | 147 | 407 | 31 | 408 | 548 | 263 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1730 | 1786 | 1786 | 1674 | 1772 | 1716 | 1772 | 1758 | 1758 | 1786 | 1772 | 1786 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 102 | 293 | 153 | 52 | 534 | 0 | 147 | 407 | 31 | 408 | 548 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cap，veh／h | 156 | 827 | 421 | 310 | 673 |  | 318 | 944 | 72 | 531 | 915 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 850 | 2176 | 1107 | 892 | 1772 | 1454 | 859 | 3146 | 239 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 102 | 227 | 219 | 52 | 534 | 0 | 147 | 215 | 223 | 408 | 548 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 850 | 1697 | 1587 | 892 | 1772 | 1454 | 859 | 1670 | 1715 | 1701 | 1772 | 1514 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 13.5 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 5.3 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 19.6 | 26.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 45.6 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 17.3 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 19.6 | 26.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.70 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 156 | 645 | 603 | 310 | 673 |  | 318 | 501 | 514 | 531 | 915 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.66 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.79 |  | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.77 | 0.60 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 156 | 645 | 603 | 310 | 673 |  | 318 | 501 | 514 | 531 | 915 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 53.7 | 26.6 | 26.8 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 22.6 | 20.3 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 9.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 63.2 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 33.2 | 39.5 | 0.0 | 40.3 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 29.3 | 23.2 | 0.0 |


| LnGrp LOS | E | C | C | C | D |  | D | D | D | C |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 548 |  | 586 | A | 585 |  | 956 | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 33.8 |  | 38.9 |  | 37.4 |  | 25.8 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 26.0 | 42.0 | 52.0 | 68.0 | 52.0 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6.4$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 20.0 | 36.0 | ${ }^{*} 46$ | 62.0 | ${ }^{*} 46$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 21.6 | 19.3 | 47.6 | 28.0 | 34.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 32.8
HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 12 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 12 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 12 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 40 | 0 | - | 0 | 45 | 24 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 24 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 21 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1570 | - | - | - | 965 | 1052 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 999 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1002 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1570 | - | - | - | 960 | 1052 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 960 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 994 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1002 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 4.5 | 0 | 8.7 |

HCM LOS A

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1570 | - | - | -997 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.005 | - | - | -0.028 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 287 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 710 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 710 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.2 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 710 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.03 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.2 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | - |



## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 418 | 18 | 253 | 262 | 119 | 35 | 49 | 321 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 65 | 418 | 18 | 253 | 262 | 119 | 35 | 49 | 321 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 65 | 418 | 18 | 253 | 262 | 119 | 35 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 282 | 524 | 22 | 322 | 573 | 253 | 550 | 662 |  | 761 | 849 | 692 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3315 | 142 | 1701 | 2272 | 1003 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 65 | 214 | 222 | 253 | 192 | 189 | 35 | 49 | 0 | 312 | 76 | 128 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1760 | 1701 | 1683 | 1591 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 4.1 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 6.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 4.1 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 6.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.63 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 282 | 268 | 278 | 322 | 424 | 401 | 550 | 662 |  | 761 | 849 | 692 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.23 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.07 |  | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.19 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 282 | 457 | 474 | 330 | 619 | 585 | 570 | 662 |  | 787 | 849 | 692 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 43.2 | 52.7 | 52.8 | 38.0 | 41.0 | 41.2 | 23.8 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 19.9 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 11.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 1.4 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 43.6 | 58.1 | 58.0 | 49.6 | 41.8 | 42.1 | 23.8 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 20.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E | E | D | D | D | C | C |  | B | B | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 501 |  |  | 634 |  |  | 84 | A |  | 516 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 56.2 |  |  | 45.0 |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | 19.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 24.4 | 27.2 | 10.3 | 68.0 | 12.2 | 39.5 | 23.1 | 55.3 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 18$ | ${ }^{*} 35$ | ${ }^{*} 5.1$ | ${ }^{*} 45$ | ${ }^{*} 5.5$ | ${ }^{*} 48$ | ${ }^{*} 18$ | ${ }^{*} 32$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 17.7 | 17.8 | 3.6 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 15.1 | 16.0 | 4.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 39.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 郎 |  | ＊ | 性 |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 408 | 34 | 548 | 687 | 306 | 87 | 152 | 414 | 249 | 218 | 67 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 102 | 408 | 34 | 548 | 687 | 306 | 87 | 152 | 414 | 249 | 218 | 67 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1800 | 1786 | 1786 | 1786 | 1772 | 1772 | 1800 | 1772 | 1786 | 1800 | 1800 | 1730 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 102 | 408 | 34 | 548 | 687 | 306 | 87 | 152 | 0 | 249 | 218 | 67 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 201 | 595 | 49 | 503 | 795 | 354 | 405 | 576 |  | 480 | 601 | 490 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1714 | 3172 | 263 | 1701 | 2265 | 1009 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 102 | 217 | 225 | 548 | 511 | 482 | 87 | 152 | 0 | 249 | 218 | 67 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1714 | 1697 | 1739 | 1701 | 1683 | 1590 | 1714 | 1772 | 1514 | 1714 | 1800 | 1466 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 6.2 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 29.3 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 11.9 | 4.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 6.2 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 29.3 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 11.9 | 4.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.15 | 1.00 |  | 0.63 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 201 | 318 | 326 | 503 | 591 | 559 | 405 | 576 |  | 480 | 601 | 490 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 1.09 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.21 | 0.26 |  | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.14 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 224 | 461 | 472 | 503 | 710 | 670 | 409 | 576 |  | 480 | 601 | 490 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 40.1 | 49.2 | 49.3 | 33.2 | 39.3 | 39.3 | 27.5 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 30.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 66.9 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 17.9 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 1.2 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 42.1 | 51.8 | 51.9 | 100.0 | 48.6 | 49.1 | 27.8 | 33.5 | 0.0 | 33.8 | 34.5 | 30.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | F | D | D | C | C |  | C | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 544 |  |  | 1541 |  |  | 239 | A |  | 534 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 50.0 |  |  | 67.1 |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | 33.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+$ Rc），$s$ | 36.0 | 31.1 | 12.8 | 50.1 | 14.7 | 52.4 | 14.0 | 48.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊29 | ＊ 35 | ＊ 6.4 | ＊ 32 | ＊9．8 | ＊55 | ＊ 7.3 | ＊ 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 31.3 | 17.7 | 6.4 | 13.9 | 8.2 | 38.7 | 9.3 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 54.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

## Appendix D

MMLOS Analysis

| MMLOS Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersections | Hazeldean Rd/Stitsville Main |  |  |  | Hazeldean Rd/Carp Rd |  |  |  |
|  | Crossing Side | North | South | East | West | North | South | East | West |
|  | Lanes | 4 (88) | 3 (105) | 5 (72) | 5 (72) | 4 (88) | 4 (88) | 4 (88) | 4 (88) |
|  | Median | No (-4) | No (-4) | No (-4) | No (-4) | No (-4) | No (-4) | No (-4) | No (-4) |
|  | Conflicting LT | ProtPerm (-8) | ProtPerm (-8) | ProtPerm (-8) | ProtPerm (-8) | ProtPerm (-8) | Perm (-8) | Perm (-8) | Perm (-8) |
|  | Conflicting RT | Perm/Yield Control (-5) | Perm/yield control (-5) | Perm/yield control (-5) | Perm/yield control (-5) | Perm/Yield Control (-5) | Perm/Yield Control (-5) | Perm/yield control (-5) | Perm/yield control (-5) |
|  | RTOR | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) | Allowed (-3) |
|  | Leading Ped Interval | No (-2) | No (-2) | No (-2) | No (-2) | No (-2) | No (-2) | No (-2) | No (-2) |
|  | Corner Radius | 10 m to 15m (-6) | 10 m to 15m (-6) | 10 m to $15 \mathrm{~m}(-6)$ | 10 m to 15m (-6) | 10 m to $15 \mathrm{~m}(-6)$ | 15 m to $25 \mathrm{~m}(-8)$ | 10 m to 15m (-6) | 15 m to $25 \mathrm{~m}(-8)$ |
|  | Crosswalk Treatment | Standard transverse markings (-4) | Standard transverse markings <br> (-4) | Standard transverse markings <br> (-4) | Standard transverse markings (-4) | Standard transverse markings <br> (-4) | Standard transverse markings (-4) | Standard transverse | Standard transverse markings <br> (-4) |
|  | PETSI Score | 56 | 73 | 40 | 40 | 56 | 54 | 56 | 54 |
|  | Ped. Exposure to traffic LOS | D | C | E | E | D | D | D | D |
|  | Cycle Length | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
|  | Effective Walk Time | 30 | 30 | 35 | 35 | 23 | 23 | 30 | 30 |
|  | Avg Ped Delay | 34 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 39 | 39 | 34 | 34 |
|  | Ped Delay LOS | D | D | c | C | D | D | D | D |
|  |  | D (56) | C (73) | E (40) | E (40) | D (56) | D (54) | D (56) | D (54) |
|  | Los | E |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
|  | Approach From | North | South | East | West | North | South | East | West |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Bike lane arrangment on approach | Mixed Traffic | Bike Pocket | Bike Lane | Bike Lane | Bike Pocket | Bike Lanes | Bike Pocket | Bike Lanes |
|  | Right-turn lane configuration | Dedicated RT Lane (<50) | Bike pocket to left of RT lane | Shared | Shared | Bike lane shifts to left | Shared |  | Shared |
|  | Right turning speed |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bike lane shifts to left |  |
|  | Cyclists relative to RT motorists | D | D | No dedicated RT lane | No dedicated RT lane | D | No dedicated RT lane | D | No dedicated RT lane |
|  | Leff turn approach | One-lane Crossed | One-lane Crossed | $2+$ lanes crossed | $2+$ lanes crossed | One lane crossed | $2+$ lanes crossed | One lane crossed | $2+$ lanes crossed |
|  | Left-turn Operating speed | $<=40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $>=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $>=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $>=60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $>=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $>=60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $>=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
|  | Left turn cyclists - LOS | B | C | F | F | F | E | E | F |
|  | Avg. Delay | $<=40$ sec | $<=40$ sec | $>40 \mathrm{sec}$ | $\rangle=40$ sec | $<=30$ sec | $<=40 \mathrm{sec}$ | $<=40$ sec | $<=30$ sec |
| E | LOS | E | E F | F | E | D | E | E | D |
|  | Los | F |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| 兑 | Effective corner radius | 10m-to-15m | 10m-to-15m | 10m-to-15m | 10m-to-15m | $>15 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10m-to-15m | >15m | 10m-to-15m |
|  | No. of receiving lanes on departure from intersection | , | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | LOS | B | B $\quad$ E | E | E |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |

## Appendix E

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist

# TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: <br> Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial) 

| REQUIRED | Legend |
| :---: | :--- |
| The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance |  |
| that must be followed |  |

## TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures:

 Non-residential developments
## 1. WALKING \& CYCLING: ROUTES

### 1.1 Building location \& access points

BASIC 1.1.1 Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances
BASIC

BASIC
1.1.3 Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort

### 1.2 Facilities for walking \& cycling

REQUIRED 1.2.1 Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)
REQUIRED
1.2.2 Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.12)

Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references

| TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WALKING \& CYCLING: ROUTES |  |
|  | 1.1 | Building location \& access points |  |
| BASIC | 1.1.1 | Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances | 凹 |
| BASIC | 1.1.2 | Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations | $\boxtimes$ Building fronting Hazeldean with bus routes |
| BASIC | 1.1.3 | Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort | $\boxtimes$ |
|  | 1.2 | Facilities for walking \& cycling |  |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.1 | Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3) | $\boxtimes$ Building fronting arterial main street (Hazeldean) with bus stops within 600 m |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.2 | Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.12) | Connection provided from buildings to sidewalk along Hazeldean |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .3$ | Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) |  | Buildings front Hazeldean Rd, which accommodate sidewalks |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .4$ | Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) |  | Buildings front Hazeldean Rd, which accomodates sidewalks |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .5$ | Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and onroad cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11) | 区 | Site fronts Hazeldean Rd which accommodates sidewalks and cycling lanes |
| BASIC | 1.2.6 | Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops | $\boxtimes$ | Building fronts Hazeldean Rd, arterial main street |
| BASIC | 1.2.7 | Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible | $\square$ |  |
| BASIC | 1.2.8 | Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, or provide a separated cycling facility | $\square$ |  |
|  | 1.3 | Amenities for walking \& cycling |  |  |
| BASIC | 1.3.1 | Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails | $\square$ |  |
| basic | $1.3 .2$ | Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious) | $\square$ |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|ll|l|l|}\hline & \text { TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: } \\ \text { Non-residential developments }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Check if completed \& } \\ \text { add descriptions, explanations } \\ \text { or plan/drawing references }\end{array}\right\}$

| TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Customer amenities |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter | $\square$ |
| better | 3.1.3 | Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building | $\square$ |
|  |  | RIDESHARING |  |
|  |  | Pick-up \& drop-off facilities |  |
| BASIC | 4.1.1 | Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones | $\square$ |
|  | 4.2 | Carpool parking |  |
| BASIC | 4.2.1 | Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools | $\square$ |
| better | 4.2.2 | At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement | $\square$ |
|  | 5. | CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |
|  | 5.1 | Carshare parking spaces |  |
| better | 5.1.1 | Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted nonresidential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces (see Zoning By-law Section 94) | $\square$ |
|  | 5.2 | Bikeshare station location |  |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 | Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection | $\square$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|lll|l|}\hline & \text { TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: } \\ \text { Non-residential developments }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Check if completed \& } \\ \text { add descriptions, explanations } \\ \text { or plan/drawing references }\end{array}\right\}$

## Appendix $\mathbf{F}$

Turning Movement Templates


## Appendix G

## Pre-Qualification Letter

## TIA Plan Reports

On 14 June 2017, the Council of the City of Ottawa adopted new Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. In adopting the guidelines, Council established a requirement for those preparing and delivering transportation impact assessments and reports to sign a letter of certification.

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that s/he meets the four criteria listed below.

## CERTIFICATION

1. I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines;
2. I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;
3. I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and
4. I am either a licensed ${ }^{1}$ or registered ${ }^{2}$ professional in good standing, whose field of expertise [check $\sqrt{ }$ appropriate field(s)] is either transportation engineering $\mathbb{X}$ transportation planning

1,2 License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.

Dated at _Ottawa___ this __ $4^{\text {th }}-$ day of $\qquad$ January $\qquad$ , 2019 $\qquad$ . (City)

Name: Arman Matti (Please Print)

Professional Title: $\qquad$ Transportation Engineer $\qquad$

Arman Matt
Signature of Individual certifier that $\mathrm{s} /$ he meets the above four criteria

| Office Contact Information (Please Print) |
| :--- |
| Address: |
| 2460 Lancaster Road, Suite 200 Ottawa ON |
| City / Postal Code: |
| K1B 4S5 |
| Telephone / Extension: |
| 613-731-4052 |
| E-Mail Address: |
| amatti@ castleglenn.ca |


[^0]:    1 City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2008-250

[^1]:    2 Transportation Master Transportation Plan, November 2013 Publication 19-82, Map 5

[^2]:    3 Zoning By-Law 2008-250 - Parking, Queuing and Loading Provisions (Sections 100-114)

[^3]:    4 MMLOS Guidelines Exhibit 11, Mixed Traffic, 2-travel lanes, 50km/hr no marked centerline or classified as residential

