

SERVICING & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

MICROTEL INN & SUITES



Project No.: CP-17-0199 – 340 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa, ON

City File No.: D07-12-17-0158

Prepared for:

MasterBUILT Hotels Ltd.
c/o ACTIVAR
2-107 Fourth Avenue
Ottawa, ON K1S 2L1

Prepared by:

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.
115 Walgreen Road
Carp, ON K0A 1L0

January 15, 2019

McINTOSH PERRY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 1.0 | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Purpose | 1 |
| 1.2 | Regulatory Approvals..... | 1 |
| 1.3 | Site Description | 2 |
| 2.0 | BACKGROUND STUDIES | 3 |
| 2.1 | Geotechnical Considerations..... | 4 |
| 3.0 | PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY | 4 |
| 4.0 | EXISTING SERVICES..... | 4 |
| 4.1 | Water Servicing..... | 4 |
| 4.2 | Sanitary Sewer | 5 |
| 4.3 | Storm Sewer | 5 |
| 5.0 | PROPOSED SERVICING | 5 |
| 5.1 | Water Servicing..... | 5 |
| 5.2 | Sanitary Sewer | 8 |
| 5.3 | Storm Sewer | 9 |
| 6.0 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT | 10 |
| 6.1 | Design Criteria and Methodology | 10 |
| 6.2 | Runoff Calculations | 10 |
| 6.2.1 | Pre-Development Drainage..... | 11 |
| 6.2.2 | Post-Development Drainage | 11 |
| 6.3 | Quantity Control..... | 12 |
| 6.4 | Quality Control..... | 14 |
| 6.5 | Soakaway Pit..... | 15 |
| 6.5.1 | Soakaway Pit Design | 15 |
| 6.5.2 | Storage Configuration | 16 |
| 6.5.3 | Maintenance Design Parameters..... | 17 |
| 7.0 | SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL..... | 18 |
| 8.0 | SUMMARY | 19 |

| | | |
|------|--------------------------------|----|
| 9.0 | RECOMMENDATION..... | 20 |
| 10.0 | STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS | 21 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|---|
| Figure 1: Key Map: 340 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa..... | 2 |
|---|---|

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Regulatory Authority Process/Permit(s) | 1 |
| Table 2: Water Demands | 6 |
| Table 3: Water Pressure at Junctions per Scenario | 7 |
| Table 4: Fire Protection Confirmation | 7 |
| Table 5: Water Age Analysis Results | 7 |
| Table 6: Pre-Development Runoff Summary | 11 |
| Table 7: Post-Development Runoff Summary | 11 |
| Table 8: Allowable Release Rate Summary | 12 |
| Table 9: Post-Development Restricted Runoff Summary | 13 |
| Table 10: Storage Summary | 14 |
| Table 11: Soakaway Pit - MECP Requirements | 15 |

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Background Documents

APPENDIX B: City of Ottawa Pre-Consultation Notes and MVCA Correspondence

APPENDIX C: Watermain Flow and Fire Calculations

APPENDIX D: Sanitary Sewer Calculation

APPENDIX E: Pre-Development Drainage Plan

APPENDIX F: Post-Development Drainage Plan

APPENDIX G: Stormwater Management Calculations

APPENDIX H: City of Ottawa Design Checklist

APPENDIX I: Design Brief - Arcadia Commercial by IBI Group

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1 Purpose

McIntosh Perry (MP) has been retained by Activar c/o Microtel Inn and Suites by Wyndham to prepare this Servicing and Stormwater Management Report in support of the Site Plan Control process for the proposed Microtel Inn & Suites, located at 340 Huntmar Drive within the City of Ottawa (City File No. D07-12-17-0158).

The main purpose of this report is to present a servicing design for the development in accordance with the recommendations and guidelines provided by the City of Ottawa (City), the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA), the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) and the Ministry of Transportation (MTO). This report will address the water, sanitary and storm sewer servicing for the development, ensuring that existing and available services will adequately service the proposed development.

This report should be read in conjunction with the following drawings:

- CP-17-0199, C101 – Site Grading and Drainage Plan,
- CP-17-0199, C102 – Site Servicing Plan, and
- CP-17-0199, C103 – Sediment & Erosion Control Plan.

1.2 Regulatory Approvals

This report is subject to approval by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), City of Ottawa, Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and the Ministry of Transportation (MTO). A table describing the required regulatory approvals and permits subject to this site has been provided below.

Table 1: Regulatory Authority Process/Permit(s)

| Regulatory Authority | Process/Permit | Status |
|---|---|--|
| City of Ottawa | Building Permit | Submission pending. |
| City of Ottawa | Site Plan Approval (D07-12-17-0158) | Submitted; approval in-progress. |
| City of Ottawa – Committee of Adjustment | Consent (B-00294) | Complete/approved. |
| City of Ottawa – Committee of Adjustment | Minor Variance (D08-02-17/A-00352) | Complete/approved. |
| Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks | Environmental Compliance Approval | Submission pending City of Ottawa Staff authorization. |
| Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority | Permit under Ontario Regulation 153/06 “Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses” | Submitted; approval in-progress. |
| Ministry of Transportation | Building and Land Use Permit (BL-2018-420-00000017) | Complete/approved. |

1.3 Site Description

The subject property is located in the City of Ottawa within Ward 4-Kanata North. The site forms part of the Kanata West Concept Plan Lands and is a part of the Arcadia Commercial Development. See Figure 1 - Site Location from the *Design Brief – Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group in Appendix 'A' of this report for more details.

The property is part of Block 1 on registered plan 4M-1563. The subject property has been subdivided from the Arcadia Commercial Development (Block 1) as part of a severance application. The subject property is described as Parts 1-3 on registered plan 4R-30733. See Appendix 'A' of this report for copies of the registered plans. The site has an area of approximately 0.64ha. It is currently undeveloped and consists mostly of grass and vegetation. The site is bound by Huntmar Drive to the west, Country Glen Way to the east and Feedmill Creek/undeveloped land to the south. See *Figure 1 - Key Map: 340 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa*.

The subject property is a severed parcel that was previously part of an approved Site Plan proposal for the Arcadia Commercial Development. The Site Plan approval was completed for City File No.: D07-12-14-0014.

The proposed development consists of a standalone 1,470m² hotel building. Parking and drive aisles will be provided throughout the site along with landscaping. There will be two site entrances to both Country Glen Way and to a proposed internal access road (by others). See drawing *A1 - Site Plan* by Dredge Leahy Architects Inc. within Appendix 'A' of this report for more details.

It is anticipated that the work for this project will occur prior to the development of the adjacent retained lands. Due to the difference in schedule, barrier curb along with 3:1 grading to match existing ground elevations will be provided on the retained lands as temporary works to restrict vehicular access to the retained lands. Minto has issued a letter acknowledging and agreeing to the temporary works (available in Appendix 'A' of this report). All service infrastructure required to support the proposed development has already been installed, as corroborated by IBI's as-built drawings for Country Glen Way and site visits by McIntosh Perry staff. Minto's private access and Country Glen Way also have been paved with base course asphalt and barrier curbs to support the proposed development and shall be completed by Minto to approved conditions as per the Site Plan Agreement between Minto and the City of Ottawa.



Figure 1 - Key Map: 340 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa

2.0 BACKGROUND STUDIES

Background studies that have been completed for the proposed site include City of Ottawa as-built drawings, a topographical survey, a geotechnical report and a Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA).

As-built drawings of existing services within the vicinity of the proposed site were reviewed in order to determine accurate servicing and stormwater management schemes for the site.

A topographic survey of the site was completed by McIntosh Perry Surveying Inc.

The following reports have previously been completed and are available under separate cover:

- Kanata West Master Servicing Study completed by Stantec/Cumming Cockburn Ltd./IBI Group, dated June 2006.
- Phase I Environment Site Assessment - 370 Huntmar Drive completed by Paterson Group Inc., dated October 24th, 2013.
- Arcadia Retail Development - Transportation Impact Study completed by Delcan, dated November 2013.
- Environmental Impact Statement - Minto Arcadia Commercial Development completed by Kilgour & Associates Ltd., dated May 21st, 2014.
- Geotechnical Investigation - 370 Huntmar Drive completed by Paterson Group Inc., dated June 26th, 2014.
- Design Brief – Arcadia Commercial 370 Huntmar Drive completed by IBI Group, dated October 2014.

The following MECP Environmental Compliance Application Approvals have been completed for the Arcadia Commercial development and existing stormwater management pond are available in Appendix 'A':

- 1359-8XNNKL - Arcadia Development - Phase 1 – Stormwater Management Pond
- 5440-9W3SZT - Country Glen Way - Ward 4 Kanata North - Storm/Sanitary Sewer Country Glen Way
- 3448-9W3RBH - 370 Huntmar Drive - Ward 4 Kanata North – Arcadia Retail Development storm/Sanitary Sewer

2.1 Geotechnical Considerations

In reference to the *Geotechnical Investigation Report by Paterson Group Inc. dated August 28, 2018*, some notable points have been identified in regards to the civil work to be completed on the site.

Primarily, the sites subsurface soil characteristic is described as hard to stiff, brown silty clay atop stiff to firm, grey silty clay. Due to the impervious nature of the material, it has been recommended that 3m long orthogonally placed subdrains be included in each catchbasin to improve parking area subbase drainage. Also, due to the nature of the subsurface material a permissible grade raise restriction of 2 m is recommended within 5 m of the building footprint and a 3 m in parking areas and drive aisles.

Secondly, groundwater is anticipated to be at 2.4 to 4 m depth, determined from standpipes installed in the boreholes.

Tertiarily, to reduce long-term groundwater lowering, Paterson Group recommended clay seals be placed within the service trench(s) at the site boundaries and at strategic locations not more than 60 m intervals.

Lastly, when backfilling service trenches where hard surface is proposed Paterson Group recommends that the backfill should match the soils exposed by the trench walls to limit the possibility of differential frost heaving.

3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY

A pre-consultation meeting was conducted on June 16th, 2017 regarding the proposed site. The notes, including specific design parameters from the City of Ottawa, can be found in Appendix 'B'.

4.0 EXISTING SERVICES

The proposed site will connect to existing services that were constructed as part of the Arcadia Commercial Development. An as-built drawing for Country Glen Way and Arcadia Commercial Development internal access road have been included within the appendix for reference.

See drawing *C-100 - Site Servicing Plan* and drawing *C-101 - Plan and Profile Country Glen Way* by IBI Group in Appendix 'A' of this report for more details.

4.1 Water Servicing

The Arcadia Commercial Development access road located along the northern property line of the proposed site has an existing 200mm diameter watermain including valves and hydrants. There is an existing 200mm diameter service stub for the proposed site. Country Glen Way has an existing 300mm diameter watermain

including valve chambers and hydrants. There is also an existing 600mm diameter watermain with Huntmar Drive.

4.2 Sanitary Sewer

The Arcadia Commercial Development access road has an existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer. There is an existing 250mm diameter service stub extending from EX MH212A for the proposed site. Country Glen Way has a 300mm diameter trunk sanitary sewer servicing the Arcadia Commercial Development. The proposed site will flow to this sewer, however the connection will be made via the access road.

4.3 Storm Sewer

The Arcadia Commercial Development access road has an existing storm sewer network ranging in size from 600mm diameter to 975mm diameter. There is an existing 375mm diameter service stub extending from EX MH212 for the proposed site. Country Glen Way has an existing 1350mm diameter trunk storm sewer servicing for the Arcadia Commercial Development. There is an existing 375mm diameter service stub extending from EX MH205 for the proposed site.

5.0 PROPOSED SERVICING

5.1 Water Servicing

Three connections to the existing watermains within proximity to the site have been proposed. A new watermain is proposed throughout the subject property and will range from 150mm to 200mm in diameter.

The existing watermain stub within the Minto's internal access road is to be removed and replaced with a new 200mm watermain. The tee connection within Minto's internal access road is also to be removed and replaced with a cross connection. From the cross connection a new watermain is to be extended and connected to the existing 600mm watermain within Huntmar Drive. The connection to the 600mm diameter watermain shall be a tee connection by contractor with City forces present and the existing watermain is to be isolated between chambers no.2 and no.4 within Huntmar Drive. An additional connection to the existing 300mm diameter watermain within the Country Glen Way right-of-way will also be made. An automatic flushing chamber has also been proposed due to the possibility of relatively low water demand, on an interim basis, prior to the full build-out of the surrounding development being completed. Residual chloring readings will be taken from time-to-time by the City and the need for the flushing chamber may be reviewed. Two private fire hydrants have been proposed within the subject property to provide sufficient fire protection for the development.

For the purposes of this development, the water connection to the public infrastructure within Country Glen Way is to be considered the primary service connection and the connection to the private system to be considered as a redundant connection made to improve water circulation and quality.

The proposed building will be equipped with a sprinkler system for fire protection. The required fire protection from the Ontario Building Code (OBC) is 9,000 L/min (See Appendix 'C' for calculation). The required fire protection from the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) is 11,000 L/min (provided for information purposes only).

The water demands for the proposed building have been calculated to adhere to the *Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution* manual and can be found in Appendix ‘C’. The results have been summarized on the following page:

Table 2: Water Demands

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Water Demand Rate (Hotel) | 225 L/(bed-space/d) |
| Suites | 108 |
| Average Day Demand (L/s) | 0.28 |
| Maximum Daily Demand (L/s) | 0.42 |
| Peak Hourly Demand (L/s) | 0.76 |
| FUS Fire Flow Requirement (L/s) | 183.33 |
| Max Day + Fire Flow (L/s) | 183.98 |

A water model was previously completed for the Arcadia Commercial Development by IBI Group. The water demands assigned for the site (AC180 (Blks 100,200)) were calculated as follows: the average and maximum daily demands are 0.03 L/s and 0.04 L/s respectively. The peak hourly demand was calculated as 0.08 L/s and a fire demand of 183.33 L/s. See *Design Brief – Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group in Appendix ‘I’ of this report for more details. As per correspondence with IBI Group it has been confirmed that a watermain loop is not required to service the hotel on an interim basis (prior to full buildout of the development). See Appendix ‘C’ for correspondence.

Revised boundary conditions have been provided by the City of Ottawa for the current conditions and are available in Appendix ‘C’. The subject site is located in pressure zone 1W. A water model was completed using Bentley’s WaterCAD based on the interim conditions of the Arcadia Commercial Development. The results determined that the proposed 200mm/150mm watermain can adequately service the proposed development and provide sufficient fire flow since Hydrant H-11 and Hydrant H-12 produced available fire flows of 20,317 L/min and 16,346 L/min, respectively. Refer to drawing for more details. The results are available in Appendix ‘C’ of this report.

Prior to connecting to the municipal water distribution system, it is essential to determine whether the system has adequate capacity and that the overall impact to the existing system is minimal. A WaterCAD model was generated to determine the capacity, pressure and size of pipes required to service the proposed site. Three (3) different scenarios were analyzed within the model, namely average daily, maximum day + fire flow and peak hourly demands.

When modelling the proposed water distribution system for 340 Huntmar Drive, it was necessary to determine which scenario produced a greater demand: the maximum day + fire flow or peak hourly. It was concluded that the maximum day + fire flow scenario would govern the design process, since it produced the higher demand. A layout of the WaterCAD model has been attached in Appendix C.

The normal operating pressure range is anticipated to be 493 kPa to 680 kPa and will not be less than 275 kPa (40 psi) or exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). The proposed watermain will meet the minimum required 20 psi (140 kPa) at the ground level under maximum day demand and fire flow conditions. A pressure reducing valve is required for the site since the subject site is located in pressure zone 1W and the pressure will exceed 552 kPa (80 psi) in the peak hourly and average day scenarios.

Table 3: Water Pressure at Junctions per Scenario

| Junction | Average Day (psi) | Peak Hourly (psi) | Max. Day + Fire Flow (psi) |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| J-5 | 92.59 | 86.91 | 72.43 |
| J-6 (BLDG) | 91.73 | 86.05 | 71.57 |
| J-4 | 92.29 | 86.61 | 72.14 |
| J-2 | 96.18 | 90.50 | 76.03 |
| J-3 | 94.24 | 88.56 | 74.08 |
| J-1 | 98.65 | 92.97 | 78.50 |

To confirm the adequacy of fire flow to protect the proposed development, public and private on-site fire hydrants within 150 m of the proposed building were analysed per City of Ottawa ISTB 2018-02 Appendix I Table 1. The results are demonstrated below.

Table 4: Fire Protection Confirmation

| Building | Fire Flow Demand (L/min.) | Fire Hydrant(s) within 75m | Fire Hydrant(s) within 150m | Combined Fire Flow (L/min.) |
|----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Microtel | 11,000 | 2 | - | 11356 |

Due to the difference in construction schedules between Microtel lands and the adjacent Minto lands, water quality concerns have been raised regarding the time from backbone watermain and circulation. To address the concern, a flushing chamber as per City Standard Drawing W3.2 has been shown on the plans and shall be installed pending the City's determination if it is required. Also, a water age analysis was conducted by IBI Group (correspondence and results available within Appendix 'C') to determine the residence time in three scenarios. The scenarios include the watermain remaining a dead end watermain (*Water Age Analysis*), a connection to the 600mm diameter watermain on Huntmar Drive while still being a dead end watermain (*Water Age Huntmar*) and lastly, if the loop is applied on Microtel lands as well as a connection made to Huntmar Drive (*Water Age Huntmar Looped*). The results of all three scenarios are presented in the table below.

Table 5: Water Age Analysis Results

| Scenarios | Water Age | Water Age Huntmar | Water Age Huntmar Looped |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Residence Time (hrs) | 25.3 | 20.6 | 18.4 |

(See Appendix 'C' for correspondence and results)

5.2 Sanitary Sewer

A new 200mm diameter gravity sanitary service will be connected to the existing 250mm diameter service stub within the internal access road for the Arcadia Commercial Development. Two sanitary manholes will be installed to service the site. A maintenance manhole (MH2A) will be installed just inside the property line as per the *City of Ottawa – Sewer Design Guidelines*.

A sanitary sewer design was previously completed for the Arcadia Commercial Development. See *Design Brief – Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group in Appendix 'I' of this report for more details. Sanitary flows from the building drain to the connection on the internal access road for the Arcadia Commercial Development then to the sanitary sewer within Country Glen Way. From there, the flows are directed down Campeau Drive to Didsbury Road. The sanitary sewer within Didsbury Road then outlets to the Signature Ridge Pump Station. As per the IBI design brief the Signature Ridge Pump Station was upgraded to accommodate the Arcadia Commercial Development, including the subject site.

As noted within the IBI design Brief, the subject property falls within portions of drainage areas BLK200, BLK100, 213A, 214A and 205C. A flow of 0.76 L/s was calculated for the subject property. See Appendix 'D' for the existing sanitary design sheet and drainage area plan highlighting the specific site area and relative sanitary sewers.

The subject site is proposed to be a Microtel Inn & Suites hotel. Within the building there are a total 108 rooms along with a breakfast area and swimming pool. Based on Ontario Building Code (OBC) the suggested occupancy for the building is 216. The peak design flows for the proposed building were calculated using criteria from the *City of Ottawa – Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012*. The proposed site (0.64ha) will generate a flow of 0.986 L/s, see the *Sanitary Flow Calculation* and *Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet* in Appendix 'D' for more details.

It is acknowledged that, from time to time, the indoor swimming pool within proposed hotel will require backwashing/flushing through routine maintenance periods. The discharge will be permitted at a determined controlled rate as determined by the Mechanical Engineer. Correspondence relating to the discharge rate can be found in Appendix 'C'. The pool will only be permitted to discharge backwash to the sanitary sewer system during off-peak hours (10:00PM to 5:00AM).

The existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer extended from EX. MH212A, to which the proposed service is connected, has a capacity 48.85 L/s with a 0.61% slope. Therefore, it is anticipated that there is sufficient capacity for the sanitary sewer within the Arcadia Commercial Development internal access road. Although the sanitary flow is slightly higher for the proposed development, the existing sanitary sewers will adequately service the proposed site.

5.3 Storm Sewer

A new sewer system will be extended from two existing 375mm diameter storm stubs; Country Glen Way and the Arcadia Commercial Development Access Road. The new onsite pipe network will collect storm flows and restrict runoff prior to leaving the site. The storm service from the proposed building will be connected to the existing 375mm diameter stub along the internal access road. Proposed manhole (MH1) will collect both the weeping tile subdrain and the overflow pipe from the soakaway pit which will be further detailed in Section 6.0.

Runoff from the proposed site will be collected and directed towards the entrance on Country Glen Way where it will be connected to the existing 375mm diameter stub. A 1200mm diameter catchbasin manhole (CBMH3) and 1500mm diameter catchbasin manhole (CBMH2) will collect flows from the parking lot prior to outletting to the existing stub. The storm sewers will range from 250mm to 525mm in diameter throughout the subject property.

The minor storm sewers will be sized for the 5-year flow without any restriction. A storm sewer design sheet was created using the rational method and City of Ottawa 5-year storm event. Storm flows will be controlled by an inlet control device (ICD) to limit flows to specified release rate as per the *Design Brief – Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group.

The storm design sheet calculates the proper sizing of the storm pipes within the development. Drainage area information, along with respective pipe slopes and other necessary information was utilized to evaluate the performance of the storm sewer network. The time of concentration calculated for the storm sewer system is based on a 10 minute inlet time at the uppermost sewer run. Within the design sheet, pipe capacities and associated full flow velocities have been calculated. The design flow (peak flow) was checked against the theoretical capacity to ensure that each storm sewer pipe can convey the 5-year unrestricted flow.

Based on the storm sewer design completed by IBI Group for the Arcadia Commercial Development, the existing 375mm diameter stub on the internal access road has a capacity of 143.09 L/s for the 5-year storm event which is adequate for the portion of subject site draining to the outlet (37.92 L/s). The existing 375mm stub on Country Club Way has a capacity of 179.22 L/s for the 5-year storm event which is adequate for the portion of subject site draining to the outlet (122.40 L/s).

See CP-17-0199 - POST and Storm Sewer Design Sheet in Appendix 'F' of this report for more details. The Stormwater Management design for the subject property will be outlined in Section 6.0.

6.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

6.1 Design Criteria and Methodology

Stormwater management for the proposed site will be maintained through positive drainage away from the proposed building and into a new underground storm sewer system. The storm system will capture the parking lot runoff and direct the flow to a restriction device located within CBMH2. The restricted flow will then release into the existing trunk sewer located in Country Glen Way. Similarly the emergency overland flow route for the proposed site will be directed to the entrance at Country Glen Way. Also, as per the Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWMSS), the site will require a soakaway pit to be incorporated into the design. The City of Ottawa has requested at the pre-consultation meeting, that the roof of the proposed building will need to be captured and directed to the soakaway pit. The quantitative and qualitative properties of the storm runoff for both the pre & post development flows are further detailed below.

6.2 Runoff Calculations

Runoff calculations presented in this report are derived using the Rational Method, given as:

$$Q = 2.78CIA \text{ (L/s)}$$

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| Where | C | = Runoff coefficient |
| | I | = Rainfall intensity in mm/hr (City of Ottawa IDF curves) |
| | A | = Drainage area in hectares |

It is recognized that the Rational Method tends to overestimate runoff rates. As a result, the conservative calculation of runoff ensures that any stormwater management facility sized using this method is expected to function as intended.

The following coefficients were used to develop an average C for each area:

| | |
|------------------------|------|
| Roofs/Concrete/Asphalt | 0.90 |
| Gravel | 0.60 |
| Undeveloped and Grass | 0.20 |

As per the *City of Ottawa - Sewer Design Guidelines*, the 5-year balanced 'C' value must be increased by 25% for a 100-year storm event to a maximum of 1.0.

As per the pre-consultation meeting with the City of Ottawa the time of concentration (Tc) used for pre-development shall be calculated using a Tc of 20 minutes and post-development flows shall be calculated using a Tc of 10 minutes.

6.2.1 Pre-Development Drainage

The existing site drainage limits are demonstrated on the Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan See CP-17-0199 - PRE in Appendix 'E' of this report for more details. A summary of the Pre-Development Runoff Calculations can be found below.

Table 6: Pre-Development Runoff Summary

| Drainage Area | Area (ha) | Runoff Coefficient (2/5-Year) | Runoff Coefficient (100-Year) | 2-year Peak Flow (L/s) | 5-year Peak Flow (L/s) | 100-year Peak Flow (L/s) |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| A1 | 0.64 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 18.38 | 24.81 | 52.96 |
| Total | 0.64 | | | 18.38 | 24.81 | 52.96 |

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

6.2.2 Post-Development Drainage

The proposed site drainage limits are demonstrated on the Post-Development Drainage Area Plan. See CP-17-0199 - POST in Appendix 'F' of this report for more details. A summary of the Post-Development Runoff Calculations can be found below.

Table 7: Post-Development Runoff Summary

| Drainage Area | Area (ha) | Runoff Coefficient (2/5-Year) | Runoff Coefficient (100-Year) | 2-year Peak Flow (L/s) | 5-year Peak Flow (L/s) | 100-year Peak Flow (L/s) |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| B1 | 0.15 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 28.25 | 38.33 | 72.98 |
| B2 | 0.21 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 39.70 | 53.86 | 101.85 |
| B3 | 0.20 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 34.77 | 47.17 | 89.83 |
| B4 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 1.51 | 2.05 | 4.03 |
| B5 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 5.74 | 7.79 | 15.31 |
| Sub-Total | 0.64 | | | 109.99 | 149.21 | 283.99 |
| External Drainage Areas | | | | | | |
| EX1 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 18.76 | 25.45 | 48.47 |
| EX2 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 2.93 | 3.98 | 7.57 |
| Total | 0.76 | | | 131.68 | 178.64 | 340.04 |

Runoff for area B1 will be restricted before outletting to the existing storm system within Arcadia Commercial Development access road. The flow will be controlled within roof drains for area B1. Runoff for area B2 & B3 and external drainage areas EX1 will be restricted before outletting to the existing storm system within Country Glen Way. The flow will be controlled by an inlet control device located within CBMH2. The restriction device

will account for the unrestricted flow (Area B4, B5 & EX.2) leaving the site. See Appendix 'G' for calculations. This restriction and quality control will be further detailed in Sections 6.3 and 6.4.

6.3 Quantity Control

The total post-development runoff for the proposed site has been restricted to match the outlet flows calculated in the *Design Brief – Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group. The subject property is located within 9 different drainage areas as per the *Design Brief*. A total of 6 ICD's/Roof Drains were utilized within the site area. The allocated flow for the drainage areas have been outlined below. The drainage areas associated with the subject property have been allocated total flows of 134.17 L/s and 142.85 L/s for the 5- and 100-year storm events, respectively.

Table 8: Allowable Release Rate Summary

| *Existing Drainage Area | Area (ha) | *Release Rate as per Design Brief - Arcadia Commercial | | ICD # / Roof Drain # | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | | 5-Year | 100-Year | | |
| 206A/206B | 0.38 | 85.00 | | 206A | Restricted |
| 206C | 0.07 | 10.00 | | 206B | |
| 206D | 0.04 | 14.00 | | 206C | |
| BLK100 | 0.06 | 2.00 | | Roof 100 | |
| BLK200 | 0.04 | 1.00 | | Roof 200 | |
| 215 | 0.04 | 10.00 | | 215 | |
| **216A/216B | 0.07 | 12.17*** | 20.85^ | | Unrestricted |
| Total | 0.70 | 134.17 | 142.85 | | |

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

*As per *Design Brief - Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group.

**Area 216A/216B have been accounted for as unrestricted flow within the previous design.

***Extrapolated from * Appendix C, page 5, *Outlet EX MH 303 100-yr Design, Uncontrolled Runoff (Q100)*.

^ As per * Appendix C, page 3, *Storm Sewer Design Sheet*.

The resulting allowable release rate of 142.85 L/s during the 100-year storm event is in keeping with the Design Brief by IBI Group. The above rates for individual existing drainage areas omitting area 216A/216B have been taken from Table 11 of the IBI Design Brief located within Appendix 'I' of this report (which have been highlighted). The allowable release rate for existing area 216A/216B have been extrapolated from Appendix C, page 5 within the IBI Design Brief. For reference, the calculations have been marked on page 5 of Appendix C within the IBI Design Brief.

As the ultimate stormwater design has two areas outletting to the subject site from the Design Brief by IBI Group, areas EX1 and EX2 have been accounted for within the stormwater management design. Reducing site flows will be achieved using flow restrictions and will create the need for onsite storage. Runoff from areas B1, B2, B3 & EX1 will be restricted as shown in the table on the following page.

Table 9: Post-Development Restricted Runoff Summary

| Drainage Area | Post Development Unrestricted Flow (L/s) | | | Post Development Restricted Flow (L/s) | | | |
|---------------|--|---------------|---------------|--|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | 2-Year | 5-Year | 100-Year | 2-Year | 5-Year | 100-Year | |
| B1 | 28.25 | 38.33 | 72.98 | 2.40 | 3.60 | 6.60 | Restricted - Roof Drains |
| B2 | 39.70 | 53.86 | 101.85 | 96.17 | 109.34 | 109.34 | Restricted - CBMH2 |
| B3 | 34.77 | 47.17 | 89.83 | | | | |
| EX1 | 18.76 | 25.45 | 48.47 | | | | |
| B4 | 1.51 | 2.05 | 4.03 | 1.51 | 2.05 | 4.03 | Unrestricted |
| B5 | 5.74 | 7.79 | 15.31 | 5.74 | 7.79 | 15.31 | |
| EX2 | 2.93 | 3.98 | 7.57 | 2.93 | 3.98 | 7.57 | |
| Total | 131.68 | 178.64 | 340.04 | 108.76 | 126.76 | 142.85 | |

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

Though the entirety of the Minto Commercial lands including the Microtel land is 240 L/s/ha, which results in an allowable release rate of 153.6 L/s for the Microtel lands (0.64 ha), it was decided to instead keep with the overall release rate designed by IBI Group for the subject lands so as to not require modifications to IBI Groups design.

Runoff from Area B1 will be restricted through thirteen (10) roof drains before discharging to the new storm sewer downstream of MH#1. The total flow leaving the roof will be 2.40 L/s, 3.60 L/s and 6.60 L/s during the 2, 5 and 100-year storm events, respectively. This will result in ponding depths of 25, 30 and 55mm for the 2, 5 and 100-year storm events, respectively. All of the storage required for this area will be located on the proposed roof, and emergency roof scuppers will be installed to ensure ponding does not exceed the proposed ponding limits.

Runoff from Areas B2 and B3 will be restricted at CBMH#2 through an IPEX Tempest HF Type E or an approved equivalent (Design Head of 2.42 m). This orifice plug will restrict areas B2 and B3 to 109.34 L/s for both the 5 and 100-year storm events. The restriction creates a water surface elevation (WSEL) of 97.78 m for the 5-year storm event and 97.90 m for the 100-year storm event. The storage for this area will be provided above the parking lot structures CBMH#3 and CBMH#2. See the table on the following page for details of the required and provided storage volumes. To ensure that no ponding will occur during the 2-year rainfall event as per City of Ottawa PEIDTB-2016-01 Section 8.3.11.1, the pipe span between CBMH#3 and CBMH#2 has been sized to

accommodate the required storage during the 2-year rainfall event (calculations are available within Appendix 'G').

Table 10: Storage Summary

| Drainage Area | Storage Required (m ³) | Storage Available (m ³) | Depth of Ponding (m) | Storage Required (m ³) | Storage Available (m ³) | Depth of Ponding (m) | Storage Required (m ³) | Storage Available (m ³) |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | 2-Year | | 5-Year | | | 100-Year | | |
| B1 | 21.92 | 27.75 | 0.03 | 30.60 | 33.30 | 0.055 | 58.67 | 61.05 |
| B2 & B3 | 9.46 | 9.80 | 0.18 | 18.19 | 21.44 | 0.30 | 78.52 | 84.78 |

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

In the event that there is a rainfall above the 100yr storm event, or a blockage within the storm sewer system, an emergency overland flow route has been provided so that the storm water runoff will be conveyed towards the east entrance at Country Glen Way.

6.4 Quality Control

As per the Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWMSS), a soakaway pit is required for the proposed site. See Appendix 'A' for the applicable excerpt. This will be further detailed in Section 6.5.

The development will employ Best Management Practices (BMP's) wherever possible. The intent of implementing stormwater BMP's is to ensure that water quality and quantity concerns are addressed at all stages of development. Lot level BMP's include directing the runoff from the roof into a soakaway pit. Each proposed catch basin will be equipped with a sump, which will provide an opportunity for initial filtration of any sediment by means of particle settlement.

An IPEX Tempest HF inlet control device will restrict flows from the site, causing temporary ponding. There will be an opportunity for particle settlement during this process; however the full benefits of a larger scale end-of-pipe facility will only be realized at the downstream Stormwater Management Pond. The existing SWM facility will provide the required quality control for the site. As per the *Design Brief- Arcadia Commercial* by IBI Group (S.4.3, p.9), the existing storm sewer within the Arcadia Commercial Development outlets to the Campeau Drive storm sewer which outlets to an interim SWM Pond (future Pond 1 as per KWMSS) which provides the required quality control for the development prior to outletting to the Carp River. This facility has been designed to accommodate runoff from the Arcadia Commercial Development where the subject property is located. As shown in the *Arcadia – Stage 2 SWM Report and Stage 2 Inlet Design Brief* by IBI Group (provided by Minto and available within Appendix 'A' of this report) the subject site is within the stormwater drainage area ex303 draining into the SWM facility as per figure 2. Quality control will be provided within this SWM facility, therefore no additional on-site quality treatment has been provided.

6.5 Soakaway Pit

As per the Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWMSS) an infiltration target of 50-70mm/yr is required to be achieved on the subject site. A percolation time of 50 min/cm has been provided from the geotechnical engineering consultant and can be found in Appendix 'A'. Based on the conversion outlined within the *TRCA/CVC LID Guide*, the infiltration rate has been estimated to be 12mm/hr for the site.

6.5.1 Soakaway Pit Design

In order to meet the required infiltration target as per the KWSS Master Servicing Study, a Soakaway Pit has been designed for the site as per the *Credit Valley Conservation Authority (CVC) and Toronto Region Conservation's (TRCA) Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide (2010)*, Section 4.4.2. The Soakaway Pit will be constructed at the east side of the site within the parking area. Storm runoff from the flat roof will be collected within the storm network and discharge into the soakaway pit. The pit has been designed to meet the criteria noted in the following table:

Table 11: Soakaway Pit - MECP Requirements

| No. | Design Element | Criteria | Proposed Works |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Water Table Depth | The seasonally high water depth should be greater than 1m below the bottom of the soakaway pit | The water table depth is greater than 1m below the bottom of the soakaway as per the geotechnical report. (97.12 – 96.88) |
| 2 | Depth to Bedrock | The depth to bedrock should be greater than 1m below the bottom of the soakaway pit | Depth of bedrock is greater than 1m below the bottom of the soakaway pit |
| 3 | Soils | Soil percolation rate should be greater than 15mm/hr | As per the correspondence with the Geotechnical Engineer the percolation time of the soil is 50 mins/cm and using conversion Table C1 within Appendix C of the CVC LID Guide the soil percolation is 12mm/hr. |
| 4 | Storage Volume | A minimum storage volume of 5mm over the rooftop area should be accommodated in the soakaway pit without overflowing. The maximum target storage volume should be 20 mm over the rooftop area. | The maximum target storage of 25mm over the rooftop area will be used to ensure the required infiltration is met. |
| 5 | Location | >4m from the building | Soakaway pit is >4m from the building |
| 6 | Storage Media | Trench is comprised of clear stone (50 mm diameter) with non-woven filter cloth lining the trench | Soakaway pit is specified to have 50mm clear stone and to be lined with geotextile. |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|--|---|
| 7 | Conveyance Pipe | The roof leader should extend into the soakaway pit for the full length of the pit. The extension of the roof leader should be perforated to allow water to fill the pit along the length of the pipe. The perforated pipe should be located near the surface of the trench. | The roof leader has been extended to run the full length of the soakaway pit and is perforated and is located near the top of the trench. |
|---|-----------------|--|---|

6.5.2 Storage Configuration

The length of the trench will be maximized as the direction of flow is parallel with the Soakaway Pit. This will ensure proper distribution of water into the entire trench. The maximum allowable depth of trench below the perforated pipe was determined using the equation for $d_{r\max}$ within Section 4.4.2 of the *TRCA/CVC LID Planning and Design Guide (2010)* as shown below.

- Maximum Allowable Soakaway Pit Depth

$$d_{r\max} = \frac{i(t_s)}{V_r}$$

$d_{r\max}$ = maximum stone reservoir depth below perforated pipe (m)

i = infiltration rate for native soils (mm/hr)

t_s = time to drain (24 to 48 hours) (hr)

V_r = Void space ratio for aggregate used

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

Following the determination of the maximum depth under the perforated pipe (0.88 m), the minimum footprint surface area equation from the *TRCA/CVC LID Planning and Design Guide (2010)* was used using the required water quality volume as per Table 3.2 within the *MOE Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide (2003)* as demonstrated below.

- Minimum Footprint Surface Area

$$A_{f-min} = \frac{WQV}{[d_r(V_r)]}$$

WQV = water quality volume (m³); As per Table 3.2 from the MOE SWMPDG

d_r = stone reservoir depth (m)

V_r = void space ratio for aggregate used

A_{f-min} = minimum footprint surface area (m²)

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

The resulting minimum surface area for the soakaway pit was determined to be 142.22 m². For the situation application of the proposed soakaway pit the required infiltration to meet the target 75mm/yr was analysed including both proposed pervious areas as well as the soakaway pit (analysis available within Appendix 'G'). It

was concluded that with an augmentation of 25% between pre-development and post-development (As per the KWMSS), approximately 530.72 m³ of water is to be infiltrated within the soakaway pit per year. Using rainfall information from Environment Canada's website for the Ottawa International Airport the data was analysed to determine the annual number of rainfall events up to the 25mm event and the respective average days between events. The information, available within Appendix 'G', was used to determine volume of runoff from the roof of the proposed building to be infiltrated. The resulting value is 618.51 m³/year. Since this value is above the required infiltration needed in the soakaway pit (530.72 m³/year), if the soakaway pit can drawdown the 25mm event within the average days between rainfall events (5 days) then the infiltration target outlined by the KWMSS has been met.

To determine the rate at which the soakaway pit infiltrates water, the percolation time provided by the Geotechnical Consultants of 50 cm/mins was converted using Appendix C, Table C1 within the *TRCA/CVC LID Planning and Design Guide (2010)* resulting in a percolation rate of 12.0mm/hr. As suggested by the *TRCA/CVC LID Planning and Design Guide (2010)* a safety factor of 2 was applied to the percolation rate, resulting in a rate of 6.0mm/hr. This value was then multiplied by the area of the soakaway pit and converted to an infiltration rate of 0.34 L/s.

The volume of water to be infiltrated during the 25mm event is equal to the depth (0.025 m) by the area of the roof (1470.19 m²), resulting in a volume of 36.75 m³ of water. The soakaway pit volume was then designed to fit this amount of water in the void spaces of the clear stone by dividing the required volume by the porosity of the material (40%). Once determined, the depth of the storage media, taken as the bottom of granulars minus the elevation 1 m above groundwater (0.45 m), was divided from the total volume to provide the required area of the soakaway pit (204.19 m²).

Lastly, the volume of water for the 25mm rainfall event (36.75 m³; converted to 36750 L) was divided by the infiltration rate (0.34 L/s) to determine the time in hours that the water will infiltrate. The resulting time is 30 hours or 1.2 days (See Appendix 'G' for calculations).

Since the drawdown time for the 25mm rainfall event is below the average time between events (5 days), it can be concluded that the infiltration target set out by the KWMSS has been achieved.

6.5.3 Maintenance Design Parameters

Maintenance will be required to ensure effective operation, longevity and aesthetic functioning of the SWMP and may include: sediment removal, trash removal, maintenance of vegetation and inspection of the inlet(s) and outlet(s).

Estimates of the longevity of infiltration SWMPs are based on professional opinion. Equation 7.1 and Table 7.4 from the MOE Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual may be used as guidance for estimating longevity (based on monitoring results in literature and the native soil permeability). Recognizing the subjectiveness of Equation 7.1, there needs to be flexibility in assessing the lifespan of infiltration SWMPs based

on site-specific information. As the majority of the site is made up of the proposed roof the runoff entering the SWM Area will have limited opportunity for carrying sediments to the infiltration structure.

Our recommendation for the SWM Area is to have annual inspections completed for the Soakaway pit including a CCTV of the pipe network within the SWM area. The inspection should note any sediment build-up, standing water or any trash on the within the structure. Based on the reviews maintenance may be required to ensure the SWM Area is functioning as designed.

7.0 SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL

Before construction begins, temporary silt fence, straw bale or rock flow check dams will be installed at all natural runoff outlets from the property. It is crucial that these controls be maintained throughout construction and inspection of sediment and erosion control will be facilitated by the Contractor or Contract Administration staff throughout the construction period.

Silt fences will be installed where shown on the final engineering plans, specifically along the downstream property limits. The Contractor, at their discretion or at the instruction of the City, MVCA or the Contract Administrator shall increase the quantity of sediment and erosion controls on-site to ensure that the site is operating as intended and no additional sediment finds its way off site. The rock flow, straw bale & silt fence check dams and barriers shall be inspected weekly and after rainfall events. Care shall be taken to properly remove sediment from the fences and check dams as required. Fibre roll barriers are to be installed at all existing curb inlet catchbasins and filter fabric is to be placed under the grates of all existing catchbasins and manholes along the frontage of the site and any new structures immediately upon installation. The measures for the existing/proposed structures is to be removed only after all areas have been paved. Care shall be taken at the removal stage to ensure that any silt that has accumulated is properly handled and disposed of. Removal of silt fences without prior removal of the sediments shall not be permitted.

Although not anticipated, work through winter months shall be closely monitored for erosion along sloped areas. Should erosion be noted, the Contractor shall be alerted and shall take all necessary steps to rectify the situation. Should the Contractor's efforts fail at remediating the eroded areas, the Contractor shall contact the City and/or MVCA to review the site conditions and determine the appropriate course of action. As the ground begins to thaw, the Contractor shall place silt fencing at all required locations as soon as ground conditions both warrant and permit. Please see the *Site Grading, Drainage and Sediment & Erosion Control Plan* for additional details regarding the temporary measures to be installed and their appropriate OPSD references.

8.0 SUMMARY

- A new 1,470m² hotel will be constructed along the west property line at 340 Huntmar Drive.
- A new watermain, ranging in diameter from 150mm to 200mm watermain will be installed to service the site, connecting to the watermain on the Arcadia Commercial Development internal access road and the existing watermain within Country Glen Way.
- A new 250mm sanitary sewer will be installed to service the proposed hotel and connect to the Arcadia Commercial Development internal access road.
- The proposed storm sewer, ranging in diameter from 250mm to 3750mm, will be installed throughout the site and drain to the existing storm sewers on Country Glen Way and the internal access road.
- Storage for the 5- through 100-year storm events will be provided within the parking lot areas above the proposed storm structures and on the proposed flat roof.
- An approved downstream SWM Facility (has been previously constructed to provide appropriate quality control for the Carp River.

9.0 RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information presented in this report, we recommend that City of Ottawa approve this Servicing and Stormwater Management Report in support of the proposed Microtel Inn & Suites.

This report is respectfully being submitted for approval.

Regards,

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.



Ryan Kennedy, P.Eng.
Practice Area Lead, Land Development
T: 613.903.5766
E: r.kenndy@mcintoshperry.com

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tyler Ferguson".

Tyler Ferguson, P.Eng.
Project Engineer, Land Development
T: 613.903.4426
E: t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com

October 27, 2017
Revised: May 9, 2018
Revised: August 22, 2018
Revised: September 19, 2018
Revised: November 23, 2018
Revised: January 15, 2019

H:\01 Project - Proposals\2017 Jobs\CP\0CP-17-0199 Activar_Kanata Microtel_Huntmar & Campeau\03 - Servicing\Report\CP-17-0199_Servicing Report.docx

10.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report was produced for the exclusive use of MasterBUILT Hotels Ltd c/o Activar. The purpose of the report is to assess the existing stormwater management system and provide recommendations and designs for the post-construction scenario that are in compliance with the guidelines and standards from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, City of Ottawa and local approval agencies. McIntosh Perry reviewed the site information and background documents listed in Section 2.0 of this report. While the previous data was reviewed by McIntosh Perry and site visits were performed, no field verification/measures of any information were conducted.

Any use of this review by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, without a reliance report is the responsibility of such third parties. McIntosh Perry accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions or actions made based on this review.

The findings, conclusions and/or recommendations of this report are only valid as of the date of this report. No assurance is made regarding any changes in conditions subsequent to this date. If additional information is discovered or becomes available at a future date, McIntosh Perry should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions presented in this report, and provide amendments, if required.

APPENDIX A BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Scale

Project Title

Drawing Title


Sheet No.




DESIGN BRIEF ARCADIA RETAIL DEVELOPMENT

SITE LOCATION

FIGURE 1





APPROVED UNDER SECTION 51 OF THE PLANNING ACT BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA THIS 21 DAY OF April 2016

MICHAEL MIZZI, MCIW RPP, ACTING GENERAL MANAGER
PLANNING AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT
PLANNING AND INFRASTRUCTURE PORTFOLIO
CITY OF OTTAWA

CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION
PLAN 4M-1503

I CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN IS REGISTERED IN THE LAND REGISTRY OFFICE FOR THE LAND TITLES DIVISION OF OTTAWA-CARLETON NO.4 AT 3:30 O'CLOCK ON THE 26 DAY OF April 2016 AND ENTERED IN THE PARCEL REGISTER FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER 0450-0604 AND THAT THE REQUIRED CONSENTS ARE REGISTERED AS PLAN DOCUMENT NUMBER 04781949

F. Lamont
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE LAND REGISTRAR

THIS PLAN COMPRISES PART OF THE LAND IDENTIFIED BY PIN 04510-0604.

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION of
PART OF LOT 3
CONCESSION 1
GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF MARCH
CITY OF OTTAWA

Scale 1 : 750
30 22.5 15 7.5 0 15 30 Metres

METRIC CONVERSION
DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

GRID SCALE CONVERSION
DISTANCES ARE GROUND AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO GRID BY MULTIPLYING BY THE COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999914.

BEARING NOTE
BEARINGS HEREON ARE GRID BEARINGS DERIVED FROM THE CAN-NET VRS. NETWORK MONUMENT - OTTAWA - (N 5036741.327, E 327757.614) AND FITZROY (N 5036741.327, E 327757.614) AND ARE REFERRED TO THE CENTRAL MERIDIAN 76° 30' WEST LONGITUDE OF THE 3° MTM ONTARIO COORDINATE SYSTEM, NAD83 (ORIGINAL) ZONE 9.

| OBSERVED REFERENCE POINTS DERIVED FROM GPS OBSERVATIONS USING THE CAN-NET VIRTUAL REFERENCE STATION NETWORK: | | |
|--|------------|-----------|
| MTM ZONE 9, NAD83 (ORIGINAL) | | |
| COORDINATES TO URBAN ACCURACY PER SEC 14(2) OF O.R.G. 216/10 | | |
| POINT ID | NORTHING | EASTING |
| (A) | 5018037.34 | 349095.66 |
| (B) | 5018201.04 | 349332.80 |
| COORDINATES CANNOT, IN THEMSELVES, BE USED TO RE-ESTABLISH CORNERS OR BOUNDARIES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. | | |

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
I CERTIFY THAT :
1. THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SURVEYS ACT, THE SURVEYORS ACT AND THE LAND TITLES ACT AND THE REGULATIONS MADE UNDER THEM.
2. THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE 24th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2015.

April 9/15
DATE

Brian J. Webster
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR

OWNER'S CERTIFICATE
THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT :
1. BLOCKS 1 AND 2 INCLUSIVE, THE STREET, NAMELY voie Country Glen Way HAVE BEEN LAID OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR INSTRUCTIONS.
2. THE STREET IS DEDICATED AS PUBLIC HIGHWAY.

DATED: 04/16/16
SUSAN MURPHY
VICE PRESIDENT,
DEVELOPMENT
I HAVE THE AUTHORITY
TO BIND THE CORPORATION

DATED: 04/16/16
BRENT STRACHAN
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT,
MINTO COMMUNITIES ONTARIO
I HAVE THE AUTHORITY
TO BIND THE CORPORATION

MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.

LEGEND

| SYMBOL | DENOTES | FOUND MONUMENTS |
|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ■ | SET MONUMENTS | SET MONUMENTS |
| IB | IRON BAR | IRON BAR |
| IB8 | ROUND IRON BAR | ROUND IRON BAR |
| SIB | STANDARD IRON BAR | STANDARD IRON BAR |
| SSIB | SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR | SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR |
| CC | CUT CROSS | CUT CROSS |
| CP | CONCRETE PIN | CONCRETE PIN |
| N&W | NAIL & WASHER | NAIL & WASHER |
| WIT | WITNESS | WITNESS |
| PI | PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER | PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER |
| MEAS | MEASURED | MEASURED |
| PROP | PROPORTIONED | PROPORTIONED |
| OU | ORIGIN UNKNOWN | ORIGIN UNKNOWN |
| SG | STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD. | STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD. |
| P1 | REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1502 | REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1502 |
| P2 | PLAN 4R-26467 | PLAN 4R-26467 |
| SG1 | SG COORDINATE FILE PER 4M-1502 | SG COORDINATE FILE PER 4M-1502 |


ALL SET MONUMENTS SHOWN HEREON ARE IRON BARS (IB) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.


Stantec Geomatics Ltd.
Ontario Land Surveyors
Canada Lands Surveyors
1331 CLYDE AVENUE, SUITE 400, OTTAWA, ON. K2C 3G4
PHONE (613)722-4420 FAX (613)722-2799
brian.webster@stantec.com
stantec.com

DRAWN BY: CEC/ME CHECKED BY: BL PM: BW FIELD: AL PROJECT No.: 161613058-132

\\wattson\16163058-11\mfrs_surveyor\composh\reference_400\drawing\16163058-114-d1_034.dwg

23 November 2017 13:38 PM






1 SITE PLAN
A1 SCALE: 1 : 250

| | |
|---|--|
| MICROTEL HOTEL KANATA 340 HUNTMAR DRIVE OTTAWA, ONTARIO | |
| OWNER / APPLICANT: | ACTIVAR INC. 2-107 FOURTH AVE. OTTAWA, ON. K1S 2L1 |
| ARCHITECTURE: | DREDGE LEAHY ARCHITECTS INC. 25 HOLLAND AVE. SUITE 100 OTTAWA, ON. K1Y 4R9 |
| CIVIL ENGINEERING: | McINTOSH PERRY 115 WALGREEN RD., RR3 CARP, ON. K0A 110 |
| PLANNING: | McINTOSH PERRY |
| ELECTRICAL / MECH. ENGINEERING: | LRL ENGINEERING 5430 CANOTEK RD. OTTAWA, ON. K1J 9G2 |
| LANDSCAPE DESIGN: | GINO J. AIELLO LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT 50 CAMELOT DR., OTTAWA, ON. K2G 5X8 |
| STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING: | McINTOSH PERRY |

| ZONING COMPLIANCE TABLE | | |
|---|------------|----------------------|
| Project: Microtel Kanata | | |
| Address: 340 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa, ON | | |
| PARTS 1, 2, & 3 OF BLOCK 1 REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563 CITY OF OTTAWA SURVEYED BY STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD. | | |
| Zoning: MC H(45) Property Identification Number : 045100966 Existing Lot Area: 6,350 m ² Site Development Area Frontage: 10.74 m Country Glen Way / 86.3 m Huntmar Dr. Building Gross Floor Area: 4,035 m ² | | |
| | REQUIRED | PROVIDED |
| Minimum Lot Area | no minimum | 6,350 m ² |
| Minimum Lot Width | no minimum | 86 m |
| Minimum Front Yard | no minimum | 2 m |
| Minimum Interior Side Yard | no minimum | 0.5 m |
| Minimum Rear Yard | no minimum | 58 m |
| Maximum Building Height | 45 m | 17 m |
| Minimum width of landscaping abutting a street | no minimum | >3 m |
| Minimum width of landscaping: parking to lot line not abutting a street (100 or more spaces) | 3 m | 3 m |
| Minimum % of landscape area | 15 % | 15 % |
| Parking Requirements | | |
| Minimum parking rates for Hotel (Area C): 1 per guest unit | 108 | 102 |
| Reduced size parking spaces (maximum 40% of total) | 2 | 2 |
| Barrier-free parking spaces | 108 | 102 |
| Total Parking spaces (including barrier free parking spaces) | 5 | 6 |
| Bicycle Parking | 2 | 2 |
| Leading Spaces (3.5 x 7 m.) | | |

Notes
1- One Lot for Zoning Provisions (Section 93) shall apply to this site and the adjacent Arcadia Retail Complex
2- Refer to Minor Variance Application D08-02-17/A-00352 for reduced parking.

| SITE PLAN LEGEND | |
|---------------------|---|
| SYMBOL | DESCRIPTION |
| --- | PROPERTY LINE |
| --- | SETBACK LINE |
| --- | FUTURE DEVELOPMENT |
| --- | NEW CURB |
| DC | NEW DEPRESSIONED CURB |
| ▲ | ENTRANCE / EXIT |
| ⊕LS | LIGHT STANDARD (REFER TO LIGHTING PLAN) |
| ⊕E | ELECTRIC CAR CHARGING STATION |
| E↓ | EXISTING SIGN |
| F↓ | ACCESSIBLE PARKING SIGN |
| F↓ | FIRE ROUTE SIGN |
| T↓ | TRAFFIC SIGN (ONE-WAY ONLY) |
| SS↓ | STOP SIGN |
| ♿ | ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACE |
| □ | STANDARD PARKING SPACE (2.6 X 5.2 M.) |
| □ | REDUCED SIZE PARKING SPACE (2.4 X 5.2 M. OR 2.4 X 4.6 M.) |
| □ | BICYCLE PARKING SPACE (0.6 X 1.8 M.) |
| ▨ | LANDSCAPED AREA |
| ▨ | PAINTED LINES |



| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 3 | RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION COMMENTS | 05/09/2018 |
| 2 | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 04/12/2018 |
| 1 | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION | 10/27/2017 |

Issue:
Prime Consultant:
Expert-Consult:

DREDGE LEAHY ARCHITECTS INC.
100 - 25 Holland Ave.
Ottawa, ON K1Y 4R9
613.724.9865
dl-arch.ca

APPROVED ☐ REFUSED ☐
THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 20____
DERICK MOODIE, MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW WEST
PLANNING, INFRASTRUCTURE AND ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, CITY OF OTTAWA

Project:
Project: MICROTEL KANATA - 340
HUNTMAR DRIVE, KANATA, ON

340 HUNTMAR DRIVE, KANATA, ON

Drawing:
Dessin: SITE PLAN


Drawn by:
Dessiné par: S.G.
Scale:
Echelle: As indicated






Designed by:
Conçu par: S.G. / M.D.
Date: 10/25/17

Approved by:
Approuvé par: M.D.
Client Project No.
No. du Projet du Client: 1394

Seal:
Sceau: ONTARIO ASSOCIATION OF ARCHITECTS
Project No.
No. du Projet: 1394
Sheet No.:
No. de la feuille: A1

D07-12-17-0158





APPROVED ☐ REFUSED ☐

THIS DAY OF , 20


DERRICK MOODIE, ACTING MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES

| | | | |
|------------|--|----------|---------|
| 10 | As-built | 17:01:17 | DPS |
| 9 | Revised as per City Comments | 16:08:26 | DGY |
| 8 | Revised as per new Campeau & asbuilt w/m | 16:08:03 | DGY |
| 7 | Issued for Construction | 16:07:13 | DGY |
| 6 | Add Interim Access Rd | 16:05:16 | DGY |
| 5 | Issued for Tender | 16:04:05 | DGY |
| 4 | Revised as per City Comments | 14:10:02 | DGY |
| 3 | Revised as per City Comments | 14:08:22 | DGY |
| 2 | Issued for SPA Resubmission | 14:06:27 | DGY |
| 1 | Issued for SPA | 13:11:18 | D.G.Y. |
| No. | Description | Date | Checked |
| Issued for | | | |

All measurements and conditions must be checked on the work by the contractor. This drawing not to be used for construction until signed.

Date

plotted at 135355-Arcadia Retail Development, 370 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Plot Name: C-101 Plot Style: AIA STANDARD-FULL C101 Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: 3/16/2017 11:10 AM Last Saved By: d.martin Last Saved At: Mar 16, 17




drawn by DPS
checked by DGY
printed

scale HOR 1:500
VERT. 1:50
date NOV. 2013
file 35355



Arcadia Retail Development
Kanata, Ontario



drawing title:
PLAN AND PROFILE
COUNTRY GLEN WAY
370 HUNTMAR DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON.




333 Preston Street Tower 1, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel (613)225-1311 FAX (613)225-9868



| PROP OF ROAD | 97.490 | 97.590 | 97.690 | 97.790 | 97.890 | 97.990 | 98.090 | 98.190 | 98.290 | 98.390 | 98.490 | 98.590 | 98.690 | 98.790 | 98.890 | 98.990 | 99.090 | 99.190 | 99.290 | 99.390 | 99.490 | 99.590 | 99.690 | 99.790 | 99.890 | 99.990 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| TOP OF WATERMAIN | 94.24 | 94.19 | 94.14 | 94.09 | 94.04 | 93.99 | 93.94 | 93.89 | 93.84 | 93.79 | 93.74 | 93.69 | 93.64 | 93.59 | 93.54 | 93.49 | 93.44 | 93.39 | 93.34 | 93.29 | 93.24 | 93.19 | 93.14 | 93.09 | 93.04 | 92.99 |
| STORM SEWER | 94.12 | 94.07 | 94.02 | 93.97 | 93.92 | 93.87 | 93.82 | 93.77 | 93.72 | 93.67 | 93.62 | 93.57 | 93.52 | 93.47 | 93.42 | 93.37 | 93.32 | 93.27 | 93.22 | 93.17 | 93.12 | 93.07 | 93.02 | 92.97 | 92.92 | 92.87 |
| SANITARY SEWER | 92.95 | 92.90 | 92.85 | 92.80 | 92.75 | 92.70 | 92.65 | 92.60 | 92.55 | 92.50 | 92.45 | 92.40 | 92.35 | 92.30 | 92.25 | 92.20 | 92.15 | 92.10 | 92.05 | 92.00 | 91.95 | 91.90 | 91.85 | 91.80 | 91.75 | 91.70 |
| STATION | 0+080 | 0+090 | 0+100 | 0+110 | 0+120 | 0+130 | 0+140 | 0+150 | 0+160 | 0+170 | 0+180 | 0+190 | 0+200 | 0+210 | 0+220 | 0+230 | 0+240 | 0+250 | 0+260 | 0+270 | 0+280 | 0+290 | 0+300 | 0+310 | 0+320 | 0+330 |

denotes as built grade

D07-12-14-0014



INFILTRATION TARGETS

| SOIL TYPE | RECHARGE |
|-------------------|----------|
| FINE SAND | MODERATE |
| PALEOZOIC BEDROCK | MODERATE |
| TILL | MODERATE |
| CLAY | LOW |

- Kanata-West Concept Plan Boundary
- Area Tributary To Feedmill Creek (Existing Conditions)
- Area Tributary To Maple Grove Ditch System and Poole Creek (Existing Conditions)
- OPEN SPACE

NOTE:
SOIL TYPES AND RECHARGE POTENTIAL FROM
CASP RIVER WATERSHED/SUBWATERSHED STUDY BY
ROBINSON CONSULTANTS INC. 2004.
TARGET INFILTRATION RATES OBTAINED FROM
ENVIRONMENTAL FACT SHEETS FROM 2004 REPORT.

FIG. 5.4

that global climatic change may have on the stormwater infrastructure of Ontario. In this area:

- Surface storage on streets and parking lots is used in the stormwater management system during storms less frequent than the five-year storm
- ponds for water quality control only
- utilizes some of the most modern stormwater quantity control mechanisms including, orifices in the catchbasins, local infiltration, and by directing roof runoff to the lawns

Since effort has been taken to reduce the flows entering the sewer system there are few options to retrofit the existing sewer system to cope with climatic change. The study considered how the minor system might be redesigned if the design storm were to increase by 15 per cent. Existing sewer pipes would surcharge under this scenario of increased rainfall. Therefore, to convey the increased peak flows, the diameter of these pipes would need to be increased. The incremental cost of installing larger diameter pipe was estimated at about two percent of the total system cost. The additional cost of larger sewers to accommodate the increased flows expected under climate change is not large in relative terms.

Similar studies concur with this approach (Infrastructure Canada December 2006). "A study in North Vancouver found that drainage infrastructure could be "adapted to more intense rainfall events by gradually upgrading key sections of pipe during routine, scheduled infrastructure maintenance. When changes to infrastructure such as pipe size are necessary, it is predicted to be less costly than the possible losses due to failed infrastructure"

Changes in the Upper Carp Subwatershed

This is a large subwatershed, approximately 5000 hectares. Of this, 3000 hectares is approved for urban development in the City's Official Plan, in Stittsville and Kanata, including Kanata West.

The Kanata West Development Area is planned to be implemented over a 20 +/- year period allowing any new policies and information to be incorporated as development proceeds. As part of the Carp River Restoration Project, one of the few permanent water flow monitoring systems in Ottawa has been put in place for Kanata West. The results from this monitoring will be beneficial in determining the effects of climate change over time and the adaptive management measures that can be put in place to accommodate increased flows and assist in developing municipal policies.

Continuous monitoring of water level and/or streamflow (year round) will occur at three locations in the upper Carp River watershed: Carp River at Richardson Side Road, Carp River at Maple Grove Road, and Poole Creek at Maple Grove Road. All of these streamflow monitoring stations will be permanent gauges as part of the ongoing MVC long term monitoring program. Data from the Kinburn gauge may also be useful in assessing long term trends.

While the imperviousness of Kanata West development is expected to be typical or slightly higher than historic urban development (due to intensification requirements in the Provincial Policy Statement), both the Carp River Subwatershed Study and the Master Servicing Study require that infiltration rates be maintained. This requirement is being implemented with each development application and also moderates the increase in runoff resulting from urbanization.

Natural Environment (NE) 20%

All three alternatives will have essentially the same impact on the natural environment. Alternative I has a minor increased impact due to the number of ponds (8) and their location within the KWCP.

5.5.2 Selection of Stormwater Management Alternatives

Based on the above evaluation, Alternative III is selected as the preferred stormwater management alternative. This option offers the greatest amount of flexibility for phasing opportunities while providing an economical servicing solution that meets the objectives of the Carp River Watershed/Subwatershed Study.

5.6 Best Management Practices

The Carp River Watershed/Subwatershed Study (Robinson Consultants, November 2004) proposes target infiltration rates of 104 mm/yr and 73 mm/yr for areas of moderate and low recharge, respectively, within the KWCP. To meet the identified infiltration targets suggested the following best management practices (BMP's) were recommended and are shown on Figures 7.3.3 through 7.3.7 in Appendix 3.4.

- Subsurface Infiltration;
- Biofilters;
- Wet ponds; and
- Dry ponds.

A water balance and subsurface hydrogeological investigation at the detailed design stage will dictate which of the proposed BMPs will be selected for specific developments.

Given the establishment of the dominant soil associations that exist in the Study area (see Figure 5.4), and considering the extent of the poorly draining soils within the nearly flat topography, it is apparent that drainage in the Study area is primarily governed by the characteristics of the poorly draining silty clay to clay soils underlying all but a small percentage of the Study area. As a result, the establishment of the infiltration rates of the soils can be simplified to reflect the silty clay to clay soils and the till material over bedrock. Table 5.6 below summarizes the anticipated infiltration rates of these two principal soil groups, based on soil characteristics and borehole data regarding degree of compaction.

Table 5.6 -Summary of Infiltration Rates of Principal Soil Groups

| Soil Groups | Estimated Infiltration Rates ¹ (mm/yr) | Percent of Annual Rainfall Infiltrated |
|--|---|--|
| Castor, Dalhousie, North Gower (silty clay to clay) | 50-70 mm/yr | 5-7 |
| Anstruther, Farmington, Nepean (sandy loams to till) | 70-100 mm/yr | 7-11 |



Ministry of the Environment
Ministère de l'Environnement

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER 1359-8XNNKL

Issue Date: September 17, 2012

Minto Communities Inc.
180 Kent St, No. 200
Ottawa, Ontario
K1P 0B6

Site Location: Arcadia Development - Phase I
450 Huntmar Drive
City of Ottawa, ON

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

the establishment of stormwater management *Works* for the collection, transmission, treatment and disposal of stormwater runoff from a catchment area of approximately 9 hectares, to provide Normal Level of water quality protection and to attenuate post-development peak flows to pre-development levels, discharging to the Carp River, for all storm events up to and including the 100-year return storm, consisting of the following:

Stormwater Management System

an interim stormwater management system to service the Arcadia Development Phase I, located to the east of Phase I and II developments, relying on the following:

- An interim wetland having a design minimum liquid retention volume of approximately 4,377m³ at elevation 94.22m, which includes Phase II drainage area and external arterial and commercial lands for a total drainage area of 36 hectares, with a controlled discharge flow rate of 8.03m³/sec.
- The wetland is equipped with a forebay of approximately 12m wide average and 113m in length and a bottom elevation of 92.45m with a permanent pool elevation of 93.00m, draining to the wet cell through a submerged permeable rock check dam.
- An outlet structure comprised of two components, a 400mm diameter 10m in length corrugated steel pipe (CSP) outlet pipe and an overflow weir of 4m in length with an overflow invert at 94.00m and rip rap protection.
- A baseflow drain to provide extended release of flow from the facility with a drain invert at 93.00m, 12 m in length comprised of clear stone trench wrapped in geotextile fabric.
- An outlet ditch of approximately 330m length with an upstream invert of 92.60m and a downstream invert of 91.70m, discharging to the Carp River.

The above, including erosion/sedimentation control measures during construction and all other controls and appurtenances essential for the proper operation of the aforementioned *Works*.

For the purpose of this environmental compliance approval, the following definitions apply:

"Approval" means this entire document and any schedules attached to it, and the application;

"Director" means a person appointed by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the *EPA* for the purposes of Part II.1 of the *EPA*;

"District Manager" means the District Manager of the Ottawa District Office;

"EPA" means the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.E.19, as amended;

"Ministry" means the ministry of the government of Ontario responsible for the *EPA* and *OWRA* and includes all officials, employees or other persons acting on its behalf;

"Owner" means Minto Communities Inc. and its successors and assignees;

"OWRA" means the Ontario Water Resources Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.40, as amended;

"Regional Director" means the Regional Director of the Eastern Region of the Ministry;

"Source Protection Plan" means a drinking water source protection plan prepared under the Clean Water Act, 2006; and

"Works" means the sewage works described in the *Owner's* application, and this *Approval*.

You are hereby notified that this environmental compliance approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

(1) The *Owner* shall ensure that any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the *Works* is notified of this *Approval* and the conditions herein and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure any such person complies with the same.

(2) Except as otherwise provided by these conditions, the *Owner* shall design, build, install, operate and maintain the *Works* in accordance with the description given in this *Approval*, and the application for approval of the *Works*.

(3) Where there is a conflict between a provision of any document in the schedule referred to in this *Approval* and the conditions of this *Approval*, the Conditions in this *Approval* shall take precedence, and where there is a conflict between the documents in the schedule, the document bearing the most recent date shall prevail.

(4) Where there is a conflict between the documents listed in the Schedulesubmitted documents, and the application, the application shall take precedence unless it is clear that the purpose of the document was to amend the application.

(5) The Conditions of this *Approval* are severable. If any Condition of this *Approval*, or the application of any requirement of this *Approval* to any circumstance, is held invalid or unenforceable, the application of such condition to other circumstances and the remainder of this *Approval* shall not be affected thereby.

2. EXPIRY OF APPROVAL

The approval issued by this *Approval* will cease to apply to those parts of the *Works* which have not been constructed within five (5) years of the date of this *Approval*.

3. CHANGE OF OWNER

The *Owner* shall notify the *District Manager* and the *Director*, in writing, of any of the following changes within thirty (30) days of the change occurring:

(a) change of *Owner*;

(b) change of address of the *Owner*;

(c) change of partners where the *Owner* is or at any time becomes a partnership, and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the Business Names Act , R.S.O. 1990, c.B17 shall be included in the notification to the *District Manager*; and

(d) change of name of the corporation where the *Owner* is or at any time becomes a corporation, and a copy of the most current information filed under the Corporations Information Act , R.S.O. 1990, c. C39 shall be included in the notification to the *District Manager*.

4. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .

(1) The *Owner* shall ensure that the design minimum liquid retention volume(s) is maintained at all times .

(2) TThe *Owner* shall conduct visual inspections of the SWM facility at the time of conducting the monitoring sampling required in Condition 6, prepare a photo record of the facility and, if necessary, clean and maintain the *Works* to prevent the excessive buildup of sediments and/or vegetation.

(3) The *Owner* shall maintain a logbook to record the results of these inspections and any cleaning and maintenance operations undertaken, and shall keep the logbook at the Owner's offices for inspection by the *Ministry*. The logbook shall include the following:

(a) the name of the *Works*; and

(b) the date and results of each inspection, maintenance and cleaning, including an estimate of the quantity of any materials removed.

5. RECORD KEEPING

The *Owner* shall retain for a minimum of five (5) years from the date of their creation, all records and information related to or resulting from the operation and maintenance and monitoring

activities required by this *Approval*.

6. MONITORING PROGRAM

(1) Upon commencement of operation of the *Works*, the *Owner* shall implement a monitoring program based on water levels and effluent discharge from the site during the following number of events until such time when the works are decommissioned and/or replaced with the ultimate stormwater management facility.

| Table 1 - Monitoring Program | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Parameter (milligrams per litre unless otherwise indicated) | Frequency | Sample Type |
| <i>Sample point: Pond's influent</i> | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | as per subsection (2) | Composite (*) |
| | | |
| <i>Sample point: Pond's effluent</i> | | |
| Flow drawdown estimate (m ³ /sec) | as per subsection (2) | field |
| Total Suspended Solids | as per subsection (2) | three equal volume grab samples |
| Total Phosphorus | as per subsection (2) | three equal volume grab samples |
| Temperature (°C) | as per subsection (2) | field |
| Pond and Carp River water levels (m) | as per subsection (2) | field |

Note: (*) Composite samples collected utilizing automated equipment or a minimum of three equal volume grab samples per sample event.

(2) The *Owner* shall implement the monitoring program with the following minimum sample event frequency:

- (a) Two (2) small rainfall events (less than 7mm);
- (b) Two (2) medium rainfall events (7-15 mm); and
- (c) Three (3) large rainfall events (greater than 15 mm).

(3) The *Owner* shall submit an annual stormwater monitoring report to the *District Manager* by March 31 of each calendar year and provide a copy to the City of Ottawa's Infrastructure Approvals Division - Planning Branch and to the Planning and Growth Management Department so the City can review and include results in the Kanata West Overall Monitoring Report. The annual reports shall cover the monitoring period for the previous calendar year.

(4) The *Owner* shall provide a copy of the annual monitoring reports and its associated data to the City of Ottawa, so that the City can review it and include those results in the City's Annual Overall

Monitoring Report in accordance with the Implementation Plan for the Kanata West Development Area.

(5) The *Owner* shall include in the annual monitoring reports for the reporting period the following:

- (a) a description of the physical works, its location, and how it is designed to function;
- (b) monitoring results and interpretation of data for accuracy or deviation from the design quality and quantity controls and confirm the current hydrological and hydraulic models and an estimate of baseflow from the stormwater management (SWM) facility;
- (c) an evaluation of the pond's performance and its ability to meet the design performance criteria of 70% TSS removal (during the monitoring period);
- (d) an estimate of the percentage of build out for the contributing drainage area of the SWM facility;
- (e) an estimate of the SWM facility's baseflow and flow drawdown characteristics;
- (f) a description of any consideration that may need to be implemented upon transition and/or decommissioning of the interim facility once an ultimate SWM facility is provided;
- (g) estimated of the flow drawdown characteristics of the SWM facility;
- (h) a description of any operating problems encountered and corrective actions taken during the reporting period and the need to further investigation in the following reporting period for pond refinements or ways of improving the performance of the facility to meet the performance target;
- (i) any need for modifications of the monitoring program;
- (j) a summary of any complaints received during the reporting period and any steps taken to address the complaints;
- (k) inspection logs and facility photos taken at time of monitoring events; and
- (l) any other information as required by the District Manager from time to time.

7. SOURCE WATER PROTECTION

The *Owner* shall, within sixty (60) calendar days of the Minister of the Environment posting approval of a *Source Protection Plan* on the environmental registry established under the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1993 for the area in which this *Approval* is applicable, apply to the *Director* for an amendment to this *Approval* that includes the necessary measures to conform with all applicable policies in the approved *Source Protection Plan*.

Schedule A

Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) supporting documents:

1. Application for the Approval of Municipal and Private Water and Sewage Works submitted by Fairouz Wahab, P.Eng., Project Manager of Minto Communities Inc, dated June 7, 2012 and supporting documentation.
2. Technical Memo from the Model Keeper, Greenland International Consulting to Don Herweyer of the City of Ottawa, dated June 20, 2012.
3. Arcadia Phase I Stormwater Management Report, Rev 2- MOE Submission, prepared by IBI Group of Ottawa, ON, dated June 2012.
4. Arcadia Interim SWMF Design Brief, Rev 3 - MOE Submission, prepared by IBI Group of Ottawa, ON, dated June 2012.
5. Letter from Peter Spal, P.Eng. of IBI Group to Edgar Tovilla, P.Eng. of the MOE, dated September 4, 2012, in response to information requested.
6. City of Ottawa's Kanata West Overall Monitoring Plan, City of Ottawa's website printout dated September 4, 2012.

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

1. Condition 1 is imposed to ensure that the *Works* are built and operated in the manner in which they were described for review and upon which approval was granted. This condition is also included to emphasize the precedence of Conditions in the *Approval* and the practice that the Approval is based on the most current document, if several conflicting documents are submitted for review.
2. Condition 2 is included to ensure that, when the *Works* are constructed, the *Works* will meet the standards that apply at the time of construction to ensure the ongoing protection of the environment..
3. Condition 3 is included to ensure that the Ministry records are kept accurate and current with respect to approved *Works* and to ensure that subsequent owners of the works are made aware of the *Approval* and continue to operate the works in compliance with it.
4. Condition 4 is included to require that the *Works* be properly operated and maintained such that the environment is protected .
5. Condition 5 is included to require that all records are retained for a sufficient time period to adequately evaluate the long-term operation and maintenance of the *Works*.
6. Condition 6 is included to enable the *Owner* to evaluate and demonstrate the performance of the *Works* , on a continual basis, so that the *Works* are properly operated and maintained at a level which is consistent with the Implementation Plan - Kanata West Development Area report, the Carp River Overall Monitoring Program and requirements specified in the Minister's Decision Letter of March 30, 2011, and that the *Works* does not cause any impairment to the receiving watercourse.
7. Condition 7 is included to ensure that the works covered by this *Approval* will conform to the significant threat policies and designated Great Lakes policies in the *Source Protection Plan*.

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The environmental compliance approval number;
6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
7. The name of the Director, and;
8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

AND

The Director appointed for the
purposes of Part II.1 of the
Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor
12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

*** Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal 's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca**

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 17th day of September,
2012

Mansoor Mahmood, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of
the *Environmental Protection Act*

ET/
c: District Manager, MOE Ottawa
Peter Spal, P. Eng., IBI Group



Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change
Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Action en matière de changement
climatique

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER 5440-9W3SZT

Issue Date: May 1, 2015

Minto Communities Inc.
180 Kent Street West, No. 200
Ottawa, Ontario
K1P 0B6

Site Location: Country Glen Way - Ward 4 Kanata North
Lot Part of 3, Concession 1 March
City of Ottawa

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act , R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

Installation of storm and sanitary sewers to provide service for the Arcadia Retail Development site in the Community of Kanata West, City of Ottawa. The proposed works are as follows:

Storm sewers (250-1500mm dia.) on Country Glen Way, from the parking lot near the southeast entrance of the mall, discharging to existing storm sewers on Campeau Drive;

Sanitary sewers (200-300mm dia.) on Country Glen Way, from the southeast entrance of the mall, discharging to existing sanitary sewers on Campeau Drive;

including control measures during construction and all other appurtenances essential for the proper operation of the aforementioned works;

all in accordance with the supporting documents listed in Schedule "A" forming part of this Approval.

Schedule "A"

Applications for Environmental Compliance Approval , dated February 12, 2015, received April 15, 2015, submitted by Minto Communities Inc.;
Engineering Drawings dated November 2013, prepared by Demetrius Yannouloupoulos of IBI Group;

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The environmental compliance approval number;
6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
7. The name of the Director, and;
8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

AND

The Director appointed for the
purposes of Part II.1 of the
Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment and
Climate Change
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor
12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

*** Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-3717 or www.ert.gov.on.ca**

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 1st day of May, 2015

Edgardo Tovilla, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of
the *Environmental Protection Act*

HZ/
c: District Manager, MOECC Ottawa
Demetrius Yannouloupoulos, IBI Group



Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change
Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Action en matière de changement
climatique

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER 3488-9W3RBH

Issue Date: May 1, 2015

Minto Communities Inc.
180 Kent Street W, No. 200
Ottawa, Ontario
K1P 0B6

Site Location: 370 Huntmar Drive - Ward 4 Kanata North
City of Ottawa

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act , R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

Installation of storm and sanitary sewers to provide service for the Arcadia Retail Development site in the Community of Kanata West, City of Ottawa. The proposed works are as follows:

Storm sewers (250-600mm dia.) providing drainage from TCB110D located at the west limit of the site to Block 800 near the east limit with inlets at Blocks 500, 600, and 700, discharging northerly towards existing 600mm dia. storm sewers on Campeau Drive;

Storm sewers (250-975mm dia.) providing drainage for the central and western areas of the site with inlets at Blocks 100, 200, 300, 400, and 900, discharging southeast towards 1350mm dia. storm sewers on Country Glen Way;

Sanitary sewers (150-200mm dia.) providing service for Blocks 500, 600, 700, 800, discharging northerly towards existing 200mm dia. sanitary sewers on Campeau Drive;

Sanitary sewers (150-250mm dia.) providing service for Blocks 100, 200, 300, 400, and 900, discharging easterly towards existing 300mm dia. sanitary sewers on Country Glen Way;

including control measures during construction and all other appurtenances essential for the proper operation of the aforementioned works;

all in accordance with the supporting documents listed in Schedule "A" forming part of this Approval.

Schedule "A"

Applications for Environmental Compliance Approval , dated February 2, 2015, received April 14, 2015, submitted by Minto Communities Inc.;

Engineering Drawings dated November 2013, prepared by Demetrius Yannouloupoulos of IBI Group;

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice

requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The environmental compliance approval number;
6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
7. The name of the Director, and;
8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

AND

The Director appointed for the
purposes of Part II.1 of the
Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment and
Climate Change
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor
12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

*** Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal 's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-3717 or www.ert.gov.on.ca**

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 1st day of May, 2015

Edgardo Tovilla, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of
the *Environmental Protection Act*

HZ/
c: District Manager, MOECC Ottawa
Demetrius Yannoulopoulos, IBI Group

Tyler Ferguson

Subject: RE: 340 Huntmar - Percolation Rate

From: Scott Dennis <sdennis@Patersongroup.ca>

Sent: Thursday, April 26, 2018 4:13 PM

To: Curtis Melanson <c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com>

Cc: Mat Mault (mat.mault@activar.ca) <mat.mault@activar.ca>; Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>; David Gilbert <DGilbert@Patersongroup.ca>

Subject: RE: 340 Huntmar - Percolation Rate

Curtis,

The estimated percolation rate for the silty clay at the 340 Huntmar Site is 35 to 50 mins/cm. This is based on data from a nearby site on Palladium Drive. Please let me know if you require additional information.

Regards,
Scott Dennis
Geotechnical Engineer

patersongroup
Solution Oriented Engineering

T: (613) 226-7381 ext. 332
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario
K2E 7J5



October 2nd, 2018
McIntosh Perry
115 Walgreen Road, R.R.3
Carp, ON, K0A 1L0

Attn: Benjamin Claire
Senior Land Use Planner Microtel

Re: 340 & 370 Huntmar Road, Microtel Kanata Site Plan
Country Glenn Way and Private Access Road Construction

Mr. Clare,

In support of the Site Plan application for the Kanata Microtel Hotel development (City File No. D07-12-14-0014) located at 340 Huntmar Road, Minto Communities Inc. (Minto) has prepared the following letter in order to confirm our commitments regarding the property development.

Minto will apply to remove Blocks 100 and 200 or Parts 1-5 shown on the attached plan 4R-30733 from the existing Ministry of Environment (MOE) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) Number 3488-9W3RBH Issued May 1, 2015 from Block 1 defined on 4M-1563 for the property address 370 Huntmar Drive. A copy of the ECA has been attached for reference.

The East-West private entrance that connects Huntmar Drive to Country Glenn Way has all underground services, base course asphalt and curbing installed as of July 2018. Country Glenn Way has also been constructed to base course asphalt with curbing from Campeau Drive to the intersection with the private entrance since July 2018. The attached PDF markup (dated 2018.09.18) of Minto's original site plan (Revision 9, 2016.08.26 prepared by IBI) identifies these sections of roadways. The proposed locations of the Jersey Barriers have also been identified on the plan and will be placed in October of 2018. Minto has no objection to the installation of temporary curbing on-site if it has been approved by the City of Ottawa. The cost to remove the temporary curbing and reinstate damaged or affected surfaces will be borne by the future tenant or owner of Parts 4, 5 and 6 on Plan 4R-30733.

A copy of the Commence Work Notification has been provided to verify City of Ottawa approval was obtained for the original site plan application prepared by Minto for this property.

Minto acknowledges that a revised site plan will be required for the entire property however, one will only be provided once another tenant has been brought on in order to minimize the number of revisions to the plan.




An Easement and Operating Agreement was entered into between Minto and the owner of the Microtel property. This agreement addresses access to the site from the surrounding public roadways. The agreement will be reviewed by our legal counsel to determine if additional agreements or an amendment to this agreement will be required in order to address stormwater drainage between the Microtel development and the lands retained by Minto.

Feel free to contact the undersigned with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Curtiss Scarlett, P. Eng., Minto Communities Inc.

J:\35805-ArcadiaSWM\5.9 Drawings\59sww\current\35805_ Model Drainage area.dwg Layout Name: Fig 2 Plot Style: ----- Plot Scale: 1:2,5849 Plotted At: 9/23/2014 12:48 PM Last Saved By: SVukic Last Saved At: Sep. 23, 14



APPENDIX B
CITY OF OTTAWA PRE-CONSULTATION NOTES

Peter Kirkimtzis

From: McCreight, Laurel <Laurel.McCreight@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 2:26 PM
To: 'Mat Mault'
Cc: Curtis Melanson; m.dredge@dl-arch.ca
Subject: Pre-Consultation Follow-Up: 340 Huntmar
Attachments: RE: Pre-consultation Request for Kanata Microtel Inn & Suites; Plan & Study List.pdf

Hi Mat,

Sorry for the delay in following up on our pre-consultation meeting on Friday June 16th regarding 340 Huntmar Drive. Please find a summary of our meeting below, as well as a Plan and Study list attached.

General

- Proposal for a Microtel Inn & Suites
- Land is part of a previously approved Minto for Arcadia Retail Complex
- Will sever off parcel for hotel
 - Will require a Severance application to the [Committee of Adjustment](#)
 - Please consult with Amanda Marsh (amanda.marsh@ottawa.ca) the Committee of Adjustment Planner on the severance application
- Possibly short on parking
 - Review [Section 106\(3\)](#) of the Zoning By-law for requirements on small car parking to potentially meet parking requirements
 - If parking requirements cannot be met, a [Minor Variance](#) can be applied for at the Committee of Adjustment
- Please use the address of 340 Huntmar and not 370
 - The Committee of Adjustment will assign a new address as part of the severance process
- A new [Site Plan Control application](#) (New- Manager Approval, Public Consultation) will be required as a result of the severance
- Please refer to the link for "Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans" in the attached plan/study list for proper submission requirements

Engineering

- Looking for verification regarding as-builts by Minto in order to support servicing through their site
- Water age analysis required
- An infiltration gallery will be required for the site, as this was missed in the previous Arcadia Site Plan and is required through the Kanata West Master Servicing Study
 - The geotechnical investigation will provide the percolation rate
- A Joint Use and Maintenance Agreement will be required
 - Can be done through the severance process
- The site has an existing ECA
 - What type of amendment is needed/required to proceed
 - Will require confirmation from MOE
- Please contact Mark Fraser (mark.fraser@ottawa.ca) for any engineering questions

Urban Design

- Keep in mind the treatment of internal drive aisles
- Respect the design of the commercial site in terms of parking in the middle

- Take advantage of the Feedmill Creek Corridor
 - Think of putting the pool component creekside near the future pedestrian pathway (which will be on the north side of the creek)
 - Outdoor patio outside of pool area
 - Access to outdoor trail network
- Design of hotel
 - Contemporary and appropriate
 - Please provide a stronger base- masonry on 1st floor
 - Provide a secondary access out to Huntmar on the backside of the building

Urban Design Review Panel

- The proposed development is subject to review by the [Urban Design Review Panel](#)
- The submission requirements and agenda schedule is contained in the UDRP link above
- An informal preconsultation is not necessary
- This can be run congruently with the site plan
- Will try to be on the agenda within the first month of site plan application being submitted
- Items to be aware of from previous UDRP (Arcadia site plan)
 - Reference the Kanata West Concept Plan
 - Address the public realm (Huntmar)
 - The Queensway is a scenic entry route; describe what would be seen from the highway
 - Animation of end treatments

Transportation

- See attached e-mail from Riley Carter's for preliminary comments (the West Group's new Project Manager for transportation is Rosanna Baggs)

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,
Laurel

Laurel McCreight MCIP, RPP

Planner
Development Review West
Urbaniste
Examen des demandes d'aménagement ouest

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

☎ 613.580.2424 ext./poste 16587

ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

APPENDIX C

WATERMAIN FLOW & FIRE CALCULATIONS

Tyler Ferguson

Subject: RE: Follow-up on water consumption requirements - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

From: Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 2:02 PM
To: Allan Kyd <AKyd@minto.com>; Ryan Kennedy <r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>
Cc: Curtis Melanson <c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com>; Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on water consumption requirements - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

Based on the daily water consumption and the required fire flow demand our water model shows that a second watermain feed is not required to service the hotel site.

Regards,

Lance Erion P.ENG

Associate

IBI GROUP
400-333 Preston Street
Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada
tel +1 613 225 1311 ext 516 fax +1 613 225 9868



Defining the cities of tomorrow
ibigroup.com

NOTE: This email message/attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.
NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.

From: Allan Kyd [<mailto:AKyd@minto.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 1:29 PM
To: 'Ryan Kennedy' <r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>; Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>
Cc: Curtis Melanson <c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com>; Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: Follow-up on water consumption requirements - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

Thanks Ryan. Much appreciated.

Lance is this what you're looking for?

Let me know.

Thanks,

Allan

Allan Kyd
Leasing Manager



Minto Properties
200-180 Kent St, Ottawa, ON, K1P 0B6
T 613.786.7934 | F 6137863001
minto.com

From: Ryan Kennedy [<mailto:r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 1:24 PM
To: Allan Kyd
Cc: Lance Erion (lerion@ibigroup.com); Curtis Melanson; Benjamin Clare
Subject: RE: Question regarding water consumption requirements - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

Hi Allan,

Per IBI's request below, please note the following demands for the hotel:

- Average daily demand = 0.28 L/s
- Maximum daily demand = 0.41 L/s
- Peak hour demand = 0.75 L/s
- Fire demand = 150 L/s

Hope this helps -let me know if you require anything further.

Thanks.

Ryan Kennedy, P. Eng.

Practice Area Lead | Land Development
115 Walgreen Road, RR 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0
T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2243) | F. 613.836.3742 | C. 613.868.5790
r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

McINTOSH PERRY

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. If you want to read all of the legal language around this concept, click [here](#).

From: Benjamin Clare
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 10:54 AM
To: Curtis Melanson <c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: FW: Question regarding water consumption requirements - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

Hi Curtis,

See below, for your input. Please also copy me when you respond to Allan re: servicing plans, easements, etc.

Thanks,

Benjamin Clare, MCIP RPP

Senior Land Use Planner
T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2290) | C. 613.552.0925

From: Allan Kyd [<mailto:AKyd@minto.com>]

Sent: November-24-17 10:38 AM

To: Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>

Cc: Ed Ireland <ed.ireland@IBIGroup.com>; Jean-Michel Le Blanc <JLeBlanc@minto.com>; Curtiss Scarlett <CScarlett@minto.com>; 'Lance Erion' <lerion@IBIGroup.com>

Subject: Question regarding water consumption requirements - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

Hi Ben,

We're trying to finalize our water loop requirements and our 'civil' was asking what the hotel's:

- 1) daily consumption requirements and
- 2) fire demand

Capacity currently provided is:

> My analysis shows the fire flow available, in our design we calculated a fire flow demand of 183.3 l/s for retail. Does the Hotel require a higher fire flow than 194 l/s, also the City can require a second water main connection if the average flow exceeds 50,000 l/day, do you have the Hotel's daily water demand.

Could you let us know? See Lance's email below for more detail.

Tx,

AK



Allan Kyd

Leasing Manager

Minto Properties

200-180 Kent St, Ottawa, ON, K1P 0B6

T 613.786.7934 | F 613.786.3001

minto.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lance Erion [<mailto:lerion@IBIGroup.com>]

Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 9:51 AM

To: Allan Kyd

Cc: Ed Ireland; Jean-Michel Le Blanc; Curtiss Scarlett

Subject: RE: AKs reply to completion of interior water loop - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

There is no issue with pressure or water stagnating as a hotel is a high user of water. I need to know the fire demand for the hotel and the daily water consumption, can you request this from the hotel's civil engineer.

Regards,

Lance Erion P.Eng
Associate

IBI Group
400-333 Preston Street
Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada

tel 613 225 1311 ext 516
fax 613 225 9868
email lerion@IBIGroup.com
web www.ibigroup.com

NOTE: This e-mail message and attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.

NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.

-----Original Message-----

From: Allan Kyd [mailto:AKyd@minto.com]

Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 7:08 AM

To: Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>

Cc: Ed Ireland <ed.ireland@IBIGroup.com>; Jean-Michel Le Blanc <JLeBlanc@minto.com>; Curtiss Scarlett <CScarlett@minto.com>

Subject: AKs reply to completion of interior water loop - Country Glen Way Construction Drawings - Arcadia Hotel

Thanks for getting back Lance. I think we're less concerned with the water service capacity being able to service the hotel requirements but will get confirmation that we're OK. What we wanted to know is, will the City require us to complete the primary loop to Campeau Dr. before allowing the Hotel to use their water service. Apparently there could be some concern about water stagnating in the pipe and perhaps some pressure issues. I've heard the City typically wants the water to have two primary service outlets to be operational. Not exactly sure as this is not my area of expertise. Is that something you can provide us some direction on?

Let us know would you.

Thanks,

Allan
Sent from my iPad

Allan Kyd
Leasing Manager
Minto Properties
200-180 Kent St, Ottawa, ON, K1P 0B6
T 613.786.7934 | F 6137863001
minto.com

You are receiving this email because you may have expressly consented to receive commercial electronic messages from Minto Group of Companies (Minto Properties Inc, Minto Communities Canada Inc., Minto Communities LLC.) and affiliates. To unsubscribe, please click [here](#). Contact Minto Group of Companies at 200-180 Kent Street, Ottawa ON K1P 0B6 or 1-877-751-2852. Click [here](#) to access our privacy policy.

From: Fraser, Mark <Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>
Sent: November 22, 2018 2:36 PM
To: Sean Leflar
Cc: Ryan Kennedy; Tyler Ferguson; Benjamin Clare; Evan Garfinkel
Subject: RE: 340 Huntmar Drive: WTR Connection to Huntmar Draft
Attachments: 340 Huntmar Drive_Rev2.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Sean,

Please find attached updated boundary conditions.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services
Development Review West Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1
Tel: 613.580.2424 ext. 27791
Fax: 613-580-2576
Mail: Code 01-14
Email: Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and/or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Sean Leflar <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>
Sent: November 22, 2018 1:09 PM
To: Fraser, Mark <Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Ryan Kennedy <rkennedy@IBIGroup.com>; Tyler Ferguson <t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com>; Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>; Evan Garfinkel <e.garfinkel@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: RE: 340 Huntmar Drive: WTR Connection to Huntmar Draft

Good Afternoon Mark,

I shall update the note accordingly.

Thank you,

Sean Leflar

Civil Engineering Technologist
115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0
T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2252) | F. 613.836.3742
s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

From: Fraser, Mark <Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>
Sent: November 22, 2018 12:55 PM
To: Sean Leflar <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>
Cc: Ryan Kennedy <rkennedy@IBIGroup.com>; Tyler Ferguson <t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com>; Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>; Evan Garfinkel <e.garfinkel@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: RE: 340 Huntmar Drive: WTR Connection to Huntmar Draft

Hi Sean,

Drinking Water Services has provided the below comments:

- n The contractor shall complete the TEE connection.
- n A City Water Inspector is to be present during the work.
- n The 600mm dia. isolation will take place between Chamber No. 2 and Chamber No. 4.

Please update the note provided on the drawing to incorporate the above comments.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services
Development Review West Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1
[Tel: 613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791
Fax: 613-580-2576
Mail: Code 01-14
Email: Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

-
This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Fraser, Mark
Sent: November 21, 2018 1:44 PM
To: 's.leflar@mcintoshperry.com' <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>
Cc: 'r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com' <r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>; 't.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com' <t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com>; 'b.clare@mcintoshperry.com' <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>; 'e.garfinkel@mcintoshperry.com' <e.garfinkel@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: RE: 340 Huntmar Drive: WTR Connection to Huntmar Draft

Hi Sean,

Thank you for providing a DRAFT Site Servicing Plan showing the connection to the 600mm dia. watermain in Huntmar Drive as requested. This plan has been circulated to Water Services for comments.

The water line to the automatic flushing chamber needs to be connected to the service line to the building. Can you please illustrate the location of the flushing chamber on the plan as shown below. Please note that the flushing chamber is only to be planned at this stage and not required unless determined to be required by the City post approval or water age is a concern based on the forthcoming water age analysis. It is suggested to shade the line work associated with the flushing chamber to differentiate between proposed works. Please see attached for comments.



If you have any questions to the comments provided please let me know.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services
Development Review West Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1
[Tel: 613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791
Fax: 613-580-2576
Mail: Code 01-14
Email: Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

-
This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Sean Leflar <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>
Sent: November 21, 2018 10:33 AM
To: Fraser, Mark <Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Ryan Kennedy <r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>; Tyler Ferguson <t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com>; Benjamin Clare <b.clare@mcintoshperry.com>; Evan Garfinkel <e.garfinkel@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: 340 Huntmar Drive: WTR Connection to Huntmar Draft

Good Morning,

Thank you again for talking with us over the phone yesterday. As discussed, see attached for a draft servicing plan detailing the connection to the 600mm watermain within Huntmar Drive for coordination with operations.

Also, we have drafted up a preliminary location for the automatic flushing chamber, It is located before the lateral for the first on-site hydrant. Our idea was to keep it on Microtel property. Do you mind quickly reviewing the location and letting us know your thoughts?

If you need any further information or clarification, please feel free to get in contact.

Thank you for your time,

Sean Leflar

Civil Engineering Technologist

115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0

T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2252) | F. 613.836.3742

s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

McINTOSH PERRY

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click [here](#) to read all of the legal language around this concept.



This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS



Boundary Conditions For: 340 Huntmar Dr.


Date of Boundary Conditions: 2018-Nov-22

Provided Information:

| Scenario | Demand | |
|----------------------|--------|-------|
| | L/min | L/s |
| Average Daily Demand | 16.8 | 0.3 |
| Maximum Daily Demand | 25.2 | 0.4 |
| Peak Hour | 45.6 | 0.8 |
| Fire Flow #1 Demand | 11,000 | 183.3 |

Number Of Connections: 2

Location:



BOUNDARY CONDITIONS



Results:

Connection #: 1

| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Maximum HGL | 162.0 | 90.6 |
| Peak Hour | 157.4 | 84.1 |
| Max Day Plus Fire (11,000) L/min | 153.1 | 78.0 |

¹Elevation: **97.98 m**

Connection #: 2

| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Maximum HGL | 162.0 | 91.8 |
| Peak Hour | 158.2 | 85.3 |
| Max Day Plus Fire (11,000) L/min | 158.6 | 86.9 |

¹Elevation: **98.80 m**

Notes:

1) As per the Ontario Building Code in areas that may be occupied, the static pressure at any fixture shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi.) Pressure control measures to be considered are as follows, in order of preference:

- If possible, systems to be designed to residual pressures of 345 to 552 kPa (50 to 80 psi) in all occupied areas outside of the public right-of-way without special pressure control equipment.
- Pressure reducing valves to be installed immediately downstream of the isolation valve in the home/ building, located downstream of the meter so it is owner maintained.

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermain deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

McINTOSH PERRY

CP-17-0199 - 340 Huntmar Drive - Water Demands

| | |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Project: | 340 Huntmar Drive |
| Project No.: | CP-17-0199 |
| Designed By: | PGK |
| Checked By: | RPK |
| Date: | May 9, 2018 |
| Site Area: | 0.65 gross ha |
| Bed-Space: | 108 Suites |

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND

| DEMAND TYPE | AMOUNT | UNITS |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Residential | 350 | L/c/d |
| Industrial - Light | 35,000 | L/gross ha/d |
| Industrial - Heavy | 55,000 | L/gross ha/d |
| Shopping Centres | 2,500 | L/(1000m ² /d |
| Hospital | 900 | L/(bed/day) |
| Schools | 70 | L/(Student/d) |
| Trailer Parks no Hook-Ups | 340 | L/(space/d) |
| Trailer Park with Hook-Ups | 800 | L/(space/d) |
| Campgrounds | 225 | L/(campsite/d) |
| Mobile Home Parks | 1,000 | L/(Space/d) |
| Motels | 150 | L/(bed-space/d) |
| <i>Hotels</i> | 225 | <i>L/(bed-space/d)</i> |
| Tourist Commercial | 28,000 | L/gross ha/d |
| Othe Commercial | 28,000 | L/gross ha/d |
| AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND | 0.28 | L/s |

MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

| DEMAND TYPE | AMOUNT | UNITS |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Residential | 2.5 x avg. day | L/c/d |
| Industrial | 1.5 x avg. day | L/gross ha/d |
| <i>Commercial</i> | <i>1.5 x avg. day</i> | <i>L/gross ha/d</i> |
| Institutional | 1.5 x avg. day | L/gross ha/d |
| MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND | 0.42 | L/s |

MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

| DEMAND TYPE | AMOUNT | UNITS |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Residential | 2.2 x max. day | L/c/d |
| Industrial | 1.8 x max. day | L/gross ha/d |
| <i>Commercial</i> | <i>1.8 x max. day</i> | <i>L/gross ha/d</i> |
| Institutional | 1.8 x max. day | L/gross ha/d |
| MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND | 0.76 | L/s |

WATER DEMAND DESIGN FLOWS PER UNIT COUNT
CITY OF OTTAWA - WATER DISTRIBUTION GUIDELINES, JULY 2010

McINTOSH PERRY

CP-17-0199 - 340 Huntmar Drive - OBC Fire Calculations

| | |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Project: | 340 Huntmar Drive |
| Project No.: | CP-17-0199 |
| Designed By: | PGK |
| Checked By: | RPK |
| Date: | May 9, 2018 |

Ontario 2006 Building Code Compendium (Div. B - Part 3)

Water Supply for Fire-Fighting - Hotel

Building is classified as Group: D (from table 3.2.2.55)
Building is of noncombustible construction with fire separations and fire-resistance ratings provided in accordance with Subsection 3.2.2, including loadbearing walls, columns and arches.

From Div. B A-3.2.5.7. of the Ontario Building Code - 3. Building On-Site Water Supply:

(a) $Q = K \times V \times Stot$

where:

Q = minimum supply of water in litres

K = water supply coefficient from Table 1

V = total building volume in cubic metres

Stot = total of spatial coefficient values from the property line exposures on all sides as obtained from the formula:

$Stot = 1.0 + [S_{side1} + S_{side2} + S_{side3} + \dots \text{etc.}]$

| | | |
|------|--------------|---|
| K | 18 | (from Table 1 pg A-31) (Worst case occupancy {E / F2} 'K' value used) |
| V | 17,149 | (Total building volume in m ³ .) |
| Stot | 1.7 | (From figure 1 pg A-32) |
| Q = | 524,751.75 L | |

From
Figure 1
(A-32)

| | | | |
|--------|--------|---|-----|
| Snorth | 6.934 | m | 0.3 |
| Seast | 60.266 | m | 0.0 |
| Ssouth | 4.925 | m | 0.5 |
| Swest | 14.597 | m | 0.0 |

*approximate distances

From Table 2: Required Minimum Water Supply Flow Rate (L/s)

9000 L/min (if Q > 270,000 L)
2378 gpm

McINTOSH PERRY

CP-17-0199 - 340 Huntmar Drive - Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Calculations

1 of 2

| | |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Project: | 340 Huntmar Drive |
| Project No.: | CP-17-0199 |
| Designed By: | PGK |
| Checked By: | RPK |
| Date: | May 9, 2018 |

From the Fire Underwriters Survey (1999)

From Part II – Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O.:

$F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$ Where:

F = Required fire flow in liters per minute

C = Coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = The total floor area in square meters (including all storey's, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the building being considered.

A. Determine The Coefficient Related To The Type Of Construction

The building is considered to be of ordinary construction type. Therefore,

C = 1.00

B. Determine Ground Floor Area

As provided by the Architect:

Floor Area (One Floor) = 1,008.75 m²

A = 4,035.00 m²

This floor area represents the final build-out of the development; as outlined on the Site Plan drawing.

C. Determine Height in Storeys

From Architectural Drawings:

Number of Storeys = 4.00

D. Calculate Required Fire Flow

$F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$

F = 220.00 X 1.00 X $\sqrt{4035.00}$

F = 13,974.76 L/min.

E. Determine Increase or Decrease Based on Occupancy

From note 2, Page 18 of the Fire Underwriter Survey:

Low Hazard - Hotel

No Change

Occupancy Decrease = 0.00 L/min.

F = 13,974.76 L/min.

McINTOSH PERRY

CP-17-0199 - 340 Huntmar Drive - Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Calculations

2 of 2

F. Determine the Decrease, if any for Sprinkler Protection

From note 3, Page 18 of the Fire Underwriter Survey:

- The flow requirement may be reduced by up to 50% for complete automatic sprinkler protection depending upon adequacy of the system.
- The credit for the system will be a maximum of 30% for an adequately designed system conforming to NFPA 13 and other NFPA sprinkler standards.
- Additional credit of 10% if water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines
- If sprinkler system is fully supervised system, an additional 10% credit is granted
- The entire building will be installed with a fully automated, standardized with the City of Ottawa Fire Department and fully supervised.
- Therefore the value obtained in Step E is reduced by 30% (The building is sprinklered with a standard system and fire department hose lines)

$$\text{Reduction} = 13,974.76 \text{ L/min.} \quad \times \quad 30\%$$

$$\text{Reduction} = 4,192.43 \text{ L/min.}$$

G. Determine the Total Increase for Exposures

From note 4, Page 18 of the Fire Underwriter Survey:

- Exposure distance to the concept future development layout adjacent to the proposed site on the north and east sides of the building will likely be between 30.1m-45m or greater.
- There are no existing buildings surrounding the remainder of the site that are within 45m.
- Therefore the charge for exposure is 10% of the value obtained in Step E.

$$\text{Increase} = 13,974.76 \text{ L/min.} \quad \times \quad 10\%$$

$$\text{Increase} = 1,397.48 \text{ L/min.}$$

H. Determine the Total Fire Demand

- To the answer obtained in E, subtract the value obtained in F and add the value obtained in G
- Fire flow should be no less than 2,000L/min. and the maximum value should not exceed 45,000L/min.

$$F = 13,974.76 \text{ L/min.} \quad - \quad 4,192.43 \text{ L/min.} \quad + \quad 1,397.48 \text{ L/min.}$$


$$F = 11,179.81 \text{ L/min.}$$

Therefore, after rounding to the nearest 1,000 L/min, the total required fire flow for the development is 11,000 L/min (3,434 GPM).

McINTOSH PERRY

CP-17-0199 - 340 Huntmar Drive - WaterCAD Model Schematic


1 of 2



McINTOSH PERRY

CP-17-0199 - 340 Huntmar Drive - WaterCAD Model Schematic

2 of 2



Avg. Day

| Label | Elevation (m) | Demand (L/min) | Pressure (psi) | Hydraulic Grade (m) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| J-24 | 96.71 | 0.00 | 92.68 | 162.00 |
| J-26 | 94.78 | 0.00 | 95.42 | 162.00 |
| J-27 | 94.24 | 0.00 | 96.18 | 162.00 |
| BLDG | 97.46 | 16.80 | 91.61 | 162.00 |
| J-30 | 96.98 | 0.00 | 92.29 | 162.00 |
| J-31 | 95.61 | 0.00 | 94.24 | 162.00 |
| J-34 | 96.98 | 0.00 | 92.29 | 162.00 |

Peak Hourly

| Label | Elevation (m) | Demand (L/min) | Pressure (psi) | Hydraulic Grade (m) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| J-24 | 96.71 | 0.00 | 86.80 | 157.86 |
| J-26 | 94.78 | 0.00 | 89.14 | 157.58 |
| J-27 | 94.24 | 0.00 | 89.90 | 157.58 |
| BLDG | 97.46 | 45.60 | 85.74 | 157.86 |
| J-30 | 96.98 | 0.00 | 86.45 | 157.88 |
| J-31 | 95.61 | 0.00 | 88.13 | 157.70 |
| J-34 | 92.50 | 0.00 | 92.13 | 157.40 |

Max. Day + Fire Flow

| Label | Is Fire Flow Run Balanced? | Satisfies Fire Flow Constraints? | Fire Flow (Needed) (L/min) | Fire Flow (Available) (L/min) | Pressure (psi) | Elevation (m) |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| H-11 | True | True | 11,000.00 | 20,317.56 | 84.34 | 96.80 |
| H-12 | True | True | 11,000.00 | 16,346.65 | 83.78 | 96.75 |
| J-24 | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 84.63 | 96.71 |
| J-26 | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 85.31 | 94.24 |
| J-27 | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 85.30 | 94.24 |
| BLDG | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 83.56 | 97.46 |
| J-30 | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 84.46 | 96.98 |
| J-31 | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 84.56 | 95.61 |
| J-34 | False | False | 11,000.00 | (N/A) | 86.05 | 92.50 |

From: Ryan Kennedy
Sent: November 22, 2018 11:53 AM
To: Sean Leflar
Subject: FW: Arcadia Retail (Minto) & Proposed Microtel | Water Age Analysis

FYI – see corrected number below.

Ryan Kennedy, P.Eng.

Practice Area Lead, Land Development

T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2243) | F. 613.836.3742 | C. 613.255.3850

From: Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>
Sent: November 22, 2018 11:52
To: Ryan Kennedy <r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: RE: Arcadia Retail (Minto) & Proposed Microtel | Water Age Analysis

Yes 20.6

Lance Erion P.ENG

Associate

IBI GROUP

400-333 Preston Street
Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada
tel +1 613 225 1311 ext 64047 fax +1 613 225 9868



Defining the cities of tomorrow
ibigroup.com

NOTE: This email message/attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.
NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.

From: Ryan Kennedy [<mailto:r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 22, 2018 11:50 AM
To: Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>
Cc: Sean Leflar <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>
Subject: RE: Arcadia Retail (Minto) & Proposed Microtel | Water Age Analysis

Lance,

To confirm, should the 18.8 be 20.6 in the *Water Age Huntmar* scenario?

Thanks.



Ryan Kennedy, P.Eng.

Practice Area Lead, Land Development

115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0

T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2243) | F. 613.836.3742 | C. 613.255.3850

r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

From: Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>

Sent: November 21, 2018 17:37

To: Ryan Kennedy <r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>

Cc: Sean Leflar <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>

Subject: RE: Arcadia Retail (Minto) & Proposed Microtel | Water Age Analysis

Attached is the result of a water age analysis, the age is relative to the boundary condition at Huntmar and Campeau Drive. The city guidelines has a maximum residence time of 8 days from the treatment plant, in other projects the watermain age objective has been 2 days from the trunk watermain which in this case is the 600 mm watermain on Campeau and Huntmar. The water age with the current dead end condition is 25.3 hours which is due to the large 0.28 l/s basic day demand which is expected from an hotel. In the second attachment we have added a connection to the watermain on Huntmar (we added a demand to this node to for the Tanger site) and the water age is reduced to **18.8 hours**. The third attachment has a small internal loop which reduces the age to 18.4 hours. Based on the analysis there is no need for the second connection at this time as long the hotel is a large water consumer.

Regards,

Lance Erion P.ENG


Associate

IBI GROUP

400-333 Preston Street

Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada

tel +1 613 225 1311 ext 64047 fax +1 613 225 9868



NOTE: This email message/attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.

NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.

From: Ryan Kennedy [<mailto:r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2018 11:25 AM

To: Lance Erion <lerion@IBIGroup.com>

Cc: Sean Leflar <s.leflar@mcintoshperry.com>

Subject: Arcadia Retail (Minto) & Proposed Microtel | Water Age Analysis

Hi Lance,

I spoke to you briefly about this in September – as part of our approvals for the Microtel project at 340 Huntmar Drive Mark Fraser is requesting an updated water age analysis.

There have been several discussions between us, Mark Fraser and Curtiss Scarlett regarding the “dead-end” condition that is being created by Microtel proceeding in advance of Minto. You'll see in the attached PDF sketch that Mark Fraser has insisted on an internal loop (blue) as well as a recently proposed connection to the 600mm Huntmar main (orange).

As part of his comments (refer to comments 6, 7 and 8 in the attached comments) Mark requires an updated water age analysis reflecting the “interim” scenario, which is prior to the second connection to Campeau (through the remainder of the Minto site) being completed.

Is this something you can undertake and provide for inclusion to our report? I've also included a .dxf file if required.

I'm heading into a meeting now, but I'll call you this afternoon to discuss further details.

Thanks.

Ryan Kennedy, P.Eng.

Practice Area Lead, Land Development

115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0

T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2243) | F. 613.836.3742 | C. 613.255.3850


r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

McINTOSH PERRY


Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click [here](#) to read all of the legal language around this concept.




Water Age



Water Age Huntmar Connection



Water Age Huntmar Connection with Loop



APPENDIX D

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATIONS



PROJECT: NAME OF PROJECT
LOCATION: CITY OF OTTAWA
CLIENT: NAME OF CLIENT

J:\35355-ArcadiaComm\5.7 Calculations\5.7.1 Sewers & Grading\4th submission Oct 2014\CCS_35355_sanitarysewers_2014-10-02

Tyler Ferguson

Subject: RE: Microtel Kanata Pool Backwash Info

From: Nicolas Seguin <nseguin@lrl.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 3:23 PM
To: Curtis Melanson <c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com>
Cc: Martin Tessier <mtessier@lrl.ca>; Mathieu Mault <mat.mault@activar.ca>
Subject: Microtel Kanata Pool Backwash Info

Hi Curtis,

As discussed on the phone, we don't have a precise value for this at this stage of the project. The pool equipment will be designed by a pool equipment supplier. The pump will be sized based on the required filtration rate of the pool which will be determined by many factors.

This said, on past projects we have seen backwash values go up to 140gpm which would be the worst case.

Let me know if you have other questions on this and I will help as best I can.


Thank you,
Nicolas Séguin, P.Eng.
Mechanical Engineer



LRL Associates Ltd.

5430 Canotek Road
Ottawa, Ontario K1J 9G2

T (613) 842-3434 or (877) 632-5664 ext 264
C (613) 915-6072
F (613) 842-4338
E nseguin@lrl.ca
W www.lrl.ca









| | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Project: | CP-17-0199 - Microtel Inn & Suites |
| Designed By: | TDF |
| Checked By: | RPK |
| Date: | May 9, 2018 |

Sanitary Flow Calculations

1. Building Occupancy

The maximum number of suites will be 108 units with a breakfast area and Swimming Pool as per draft architectural floor plans.

2. Daily Volume in Litres

As per the extract of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Appendix 4-A; Daily Sewage Flow for Motels and Hotels;

Residential Portion

- With full housekeeping facilities = 225 Litres/Person/Day

Non-Residential Portion

- With Dining Room = 125 Litres/Seat/Day

As per the extract of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Appendix 4-A; Daily Sewage Flow for Parks, Beaches, Picnic Grounds, Public Swimming Pools;

- Swimming pools & beaches with Bathrooms, showers and toilets = 40 Litres/Person/Day

3. Peak Flow (Q/p)

- $Q_{\text{Residential}}(p) = F \times P$ Where:
F = 225 Litres/Person/Day
P = 216 People (Occupancy as per Section 3.1.17.1 in OBC)
- Therefore, $Q_{\text{Residential}}(p) = (225) \times (108) = \underline{48,600 \text{ L/Day (0.563 L/s)}}$
- $Q_{\text{Non-Residential}}(p) = F \times P$ Where:
F = 125 Litres/Seat/Day
P = 52 Seats
- Therefore, $Q_{\text{Non-Residential}}(p) = (125) \times (52) = \underline{6,500 \text{ L/Day (0.075 L/s)}}$
- $Q_{\text{Pool}}(p) = F \times P$ Where:
F = 40 Litres/Person/Day
P = 42 People (Occupancy as per Section 3.1.17.1 in OBC)
- Therefore, $Q_{\text{Pool}}(p) = (40) \times (42) = \underline{1,680 \text{ L/Day (0.019 L/s)}}$

- $Q_{TOTAL} = Q_{Residential} + Q_{Non-Residential} + Q_{Pool}$ Where:

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| $Q_{Residential}$ | = 48,600 L/Day |
| $Q_{Non-Residential}$ | = 6,500 L/Day |
| Q_{Pool} | = 1,680 L/Day |
- Therefore, $Q_{TOTAL} = (48,600) + (6,500) + (1,680) = \underline{56,780 \text{ L/Day (0.657 L/s)}}$
 - $Q_{TOTAL} * \text{Peaking Factor} = Q_{PEAK} (p)$

| | |
|------------------|------------------------|
| $Q_{PEAK} (p) =$ | $(0.657) \times (1.5)$ |
| $Q_{PEAK} (p) =$ | 0.986 L/s |

It is anticipated that there will be no issues with capacity constraints within the existing sanitary main as the amount of flow leaving the site is negligible compared to the pipe capacity. Therefore, the existing 250mm sanitary main within the internal access road for the Arcadia Commercial Development will have sufficient capacity to accommodate the increased flows for the new development.



SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

PROJECT: 340 HUNTMAR DRIVE
LOCATION: KANATA, ONTARIO
CLIENT: ACTIVAR

McINTOSH PERRY

| LOCATION | | | | RESIDENTIAL | | | | | | | | | ICI AREAS | | | | | | | | INFILTRATION ALLOWANCE | | | FLOW | SEWER DATA | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|--|----|----|-----|--------------|------------|-----|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----|------------|------|------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----|-----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | |
| STREET | AREA ID | FROM MH MH | TO MH | UNIT TYPES | | | | AREA (ha) | POPULATION | | PEAK FACTOR | PEAK FLOW (L/s) | AREA (ha) | | | | | | PEAK FLOW (L/s) | AREA (ha) | | FLOW (L/s) | DESIGN FLOW (L/s) | CAPACITY (L/s) | LENGTH (m) | DIA (mm) | SLOPE (%) | VELOCITY (full) (m/s) | AVAILABLE CAPACITY | | | |
| | | | | SF | SD | TH | APT | | IND | CUM | | | INSTITUTIONAL | | COMMERCIAL | | INDUSTRIAL | | | IND | CUM | | | | | | | | IND | CUM | IND | CUM |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | IND | CUM | IND | CUM | IND | CUM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1A | BUILDING | MH1A | | | | | | | | | | See Sanitary Flow Calculations | | | | | | 0.99 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 1.03 | 48.39 | 12.05 | 200 | 2.00 | 1.492 | 47.36 | 97.88% | | |
| | 2A | MH1A | MH2A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 1.14 | 20.24 | 23.51 | 200 | 0.35 | 0.624 | 19.10 | 94.37% | | |
| | | MH2A | Ex.MH212A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 1.14 | 48.45 | 12.80 | 250 | 0.61 | 0.956 | 47.31 | 97.65% | | |
| | 221A, BLK300, BLK400 | Ex. MH221A | Ex.MH212A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.91 | 0.91 | | | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.25 | 1.04 | 75.98 | 82.40 | 250 | 1.50 | 1.500 | 74.94 | 98.63% | | |
| | 212A | Ex.MH212A | Ex.MH210A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.41 | 1.32 | | | 2.13 | 0.41 | 1.87 | 0.52 | 2.66 | 62.04 | 58.88 | 250 | 1.00 | 1.224 | 59.38 | 95.72% | | |
| | 210A, BLK900 | Ex.MH210A | Ex.MH205C | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.79 | 2.11 | | | 2.82 | 0.79 | 2.66 | 0.74 | 3.56 | 62.04 | 28.84 | 250 | 1.00 | 1.224 | 58.48 | 94.26% | | |
| | 205C | Ex.MH205C | Ex.MH205A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.19 | 2.30 | | | 2.98 | 0.19 | 2.85 | 0.80 | 3.78 | 62.04 | 11.04 | 250 | 1.00 | 1.224 | 58.26 | 93.91% | | |
| | EXT-2 | STUB | Ex.MH205A | | | | | | | | | | As per IBI Design Sheet | | | | | | 3.01 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 0.79 | 3.80 | 24.19 | 14.51 | 200 | 0.50 | 0.746 | 20.40 | 84.30% | | |
| | 205A | Ex.MH205A | Ex.MH204A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.08 | 2.38 | | | 6.06 | 0.08 | 5.75 | 1.61 | 7.67 | 71.33 | 33.73 | 300 | 0.50 | 0.978 | 63.66 | 89.25% | | |
| | 204A | Ex.MH204A | Ex.MH202A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.32 | 2.70 | | | 6.34 | 0.32 | 6.07 | 1.70 | 8.04 | 71.33 | 125.25 | 300 | 0.50 | 0.978 | 63.29 | 88.73% | | |
| | 202A | Ex.MH202A | Ex.MH201A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.04 | 2.74 | | | 6.37 | 0.04 | 6.11 | 1.71 | 8.09 | 71.33 | 11.74 | 300 | 0.50 | 0.978 | 63.25 | 88.67% | | |
| | EXT-1 | STUB | Ex.MH201A | | | | | | | | | | As per IBI Design Sheet | | | | | | 0.79 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 24.19 | 20.27 | 200 | 0.50 | 0.746 | 23.20 | 95.88% | | |
| | 201A | Ex.MH201A | Ex.MH200A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.10 | 2.84 | | | 7.25 | 0.10 | 6.95 | 1.95 | 9.20 | 71.33 | 18.49 | 300 | 0.50 | 0.978 | 62.14 | 87.11% | | |
| | 200A | Ex.MH200A | Ex.CAP | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 2.84 | | | 7.25 | 0.00 | 6.95 | 1.95 | 9.20 | 58.82 | 45.35 | 300 | 0.34 | 0.806 | 49.63 | 84.36% | | |
| | | Ex.CAP | Ex.MH303A | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 2.84 | | | 7.25 | 0.00 | 6.95 | 1.95 | 9.20 | 58.82 | 20.50 | 300 | 0.34 | 0.806 | 49.63 | 84.36% | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Design Parameters: | | | | Notes: 1. Mannings coefficient (n) = 0.013 2. Demand (per capita): 350 L/day 3. Infiltration allowance: 0.28 L/s/Ha 4. Residential Peaking Factor: Harmon Formula = 1+(14/(4+P^0.5)) where P = population in thousands | | | | | | | | Designed: PGK | | | | | | No. | | Revision | | | | | | Date | | | | | | |
| Residential | | | | ICI Areas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1. | | ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW | | | | | | OCT. 27, 2017 | | | | | | |
| SF 3.4 p/p/u | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2. | | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | | | | | | MAY 9, 2018 | | | | | | |
| TH/SD 2.7 p/p/u | | | | INST 50,000 L/Ha/day | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | | | | | | AUG. 22, 2018 | | | | | | |
| APT 2.3 p/p/u | | | | COM 50,000 L/Ha/day | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other 60 p/p/Ha | | | | IND 35,000 L/Ha/day | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peak Factor | | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MOE Chart | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX E PRE-DEVELOPMENT PLAN



Project: 340 HUNTMAR DRIVE
PROPOSED MICROTTEL HOTEL
OTTAWA ONTARIO

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Drawing Title: | |
| <h1>PRE DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN</h1> | |
| Scale: | 1:250 |
| Drawn by: | PGK |
| Checked By: | CIM/RPK |
| Designed By: | PGK |
| Date: | APR 18, 2017 |
| Project Number: | CP-17-0199 |
| Drawing Number: | PRE |
| SHEET 1 of 1 | |

APPENDIX F
POST-DEVELOPMENT PLAN





IBI Group
400-333 Preston Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1S 5N4

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

PROJECT: Arcadia Commercial
LOCATION: CITY OF OTTAWA
CLIENT: Minto Development Group

*HGL at obvert of pipe if pipe is not surcharged
** Finished floor for slab on grade commercial building
***Freeboard is from upstream MH HGL to FF

| LOCATION | | | | AREA (Ha) | | | | RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SEWER DATA | | | | | | | | | | Freeboard is from upstream W/H HGL to FF | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|--|--|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--|--|
| STREET | AREA ID | FROM MH | TO MH | C= 0.20 | C= 0.70 | C= 0.75 | C= 0.90 | IND 2.78AC | CUM 2.78AC | INLET (min) | TIME IN PIPE | TOTAL (min) | I (5) (mm/hr) | I (10) (mm/hr) | I (100) (mm/hr) | 5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | 10yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | 100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | ICD FIXED FLOW (L/s) | DESIGN FLOW (L/s) | CAPACITY (L/s) | LENGTH (m) | PIPE SIZE (mm) | | | SLOPE (%) | VELOCITY (m/s) | AVAIL CAP (5yr) (L/s) (%) | | surcharged pipe | upstream obvert | HGL* m | FF** m | Freeboard*** m | | |
| | 223A | CB223 | CBMH223 | | | | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 10.00 | 0.21 | 10.21 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 39.10 | | | | 39.10 | 446.15 | 34.20 | 450 | | | | 2.25 | 2.718 | 407.05 | 91.24% | no | 95.84 | 95.84 | | | |
| | 223B | CBMH223 | MH220 | | | | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.68 | 10.21 | 0.27 | 10.47 | 103.10 | 120.85 | 176.66 | 69.65 | | | | 69.65 | 210.32 | 20.38 | 450 | | | | 0.50 | 1.281 | 140.67 | 66.88% | | | | | | |
| | - | MH220 | MH212 | | | | | 0.00 | 2.18 | 12.21 | 0.44 | 12.65 | 93.82 | 109.93 | 160.62 | 204.22 | | | | 204.22 | 248.09 | 22.60 | 600 | | | | 0.15 | 0.850 | 43.86 | 17.68% | | | | | | |
| | 212A | CICB212A | CICB212B | | | | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 10.00 | 0.12 | 10.12 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 7.82 | | | | 7.82 | 62.04 | 8.61 | 250 | | | | 1.00 | 1.224 | 54.22 | 87.39% | | | | | | |
| | 212B | CICB212B | MH212 | | | | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 10.12 | 0.06 | 10.18 | 103.58 | 121.42 | 177.49 | 15.55 | | | | 15.55 | 151.96 | 11.24 | 250 | | | | 6.00 | 2.999 | 136.41 | 89.77% | | | | | | |
| | 215 | CB215 | MH215 | | | | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 10.00 | 0.17 | 10.17 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 10.43 | | | | 10.43 | 62.04 | 12.57 | 250 | | | | 1.00 | 1.224 | 51.61 | 83.19% | | | | | | |
| | BLK100 | BLK100 | MAIN | | | | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 10.00 | 0.07 | 10.07 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 15.64 | | | | 15.64 | 62.04 | 5.50 | 250 | | | | 1.00 | 1.224 | 46.40 | 74.79% | | | | | | |
| | - | MH215 | MH214 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.25 | 10.17 | 0.40 | 10.57 | 103.30 | 121.09 | 177.01 | 25.85 | | | | 25.85 | 82.07 | 38.95 | 250 | | | | 1.75 | 1.620 | 56.22 | 68.51% | no | 97.23 | 97.23 | | | |
| | 216A | RYCB216 | CB216 | 0.03 | | | | 0.02 | 0.02 | 10.00 | 0.05 | 10.05 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 1.74 | | | | 1.74 | 124.08 | 7.40 | 250 | | | | 4.00 | 2.449 | 122.34 | 98.60% | | | | | | |
| | 216B | CB216 | MH216 | | | | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 10.00 | 0.04 | 10.04 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 12.17 | | | | 12.17 | 138.72 | 5.90 | 250 | | | | 5.00 | 2.738 | 126.56 | 91.23% | | | | | | |
| | - | MH216 | MH214 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.17 | 10.05 | 0.47 | 10.52 | 103.93 | 121.83 | 178.10 | 12.13 | | | | 12.13 | 43.87 | 24.56 | 250 | | | | 0.50 | 0.866 | 31.73 | 72.34% | no | 97.07 | 97.07 | | | |
| | BLK200 | BLK200 | MAIN | | | | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 10.00 | 0.07 | 10.07 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 10.43 | | | | 10.43 | 62.04 | 5.40 | 250 | | | | 1.00 | 1.224 | 51.61 | 83.19% | | | | | | |
| | - | MH214 | MH213 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.47 | 10.57 | 0.40 | 10.97 | 101.27 | 118.69 | 173.49 | 47.30 | | | | 47.30 | 129.34 | 27.00 | 375 | | | | 0.50 | 1.134 | 82.04 | 63.43% | no | 96.55 | 96.55 | | | |
| | - | MH213 | MH212 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.47 | 10.97 | 0.26 | 11.23 | 99.34 | 116.43 | 170.16 | 46.40 | | | | 46.40 | 129.34 | 17.80 | 375 | | | | 0.50 | 1.134 | 82.94 | 64.13% | | | | | | |
| | - | MH212 | MH210 | | | | | 0.00 | 2.75 | 11.23 | 0.86 | 12.09 | 98.12 | 114.98 | 168.05 | 274.12 | | | | 274.12 | 350.85 | 61.94 | 600 | | | | 0.30 | 1.202 | 76.72 | 21.87% | no | 95.74 | 95.74 | | | |
| | 210A | CB210A | MAIN | | | | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 10.00 | 0.09 | 10.09 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 31.28 | | | | 31.28 | 201.76 | 15.06 | 300 | | | | 4.00 | 2.765 | 170.48 | 84.50% | | | | | | |
| | BLK900 | BLK900 | MAIN | | | | 0.46 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 10.00 | 0.21 | 10.21 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 119.92 | | | | 119.92 | 182.91 | 19.94 | 375 | | | | 1.00 | 1.604 | 62.99 | 34.44% | | | | | | |
| DEPRESSED LOADING | 210B | CB210B | MAIN | | | | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 10.00 | 0.29 | 10.29 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 5.21 | | | | 5.21 | 43.87 | 14.90 | 250 | | | | 0.50 | 0.866 | 38.65 | 88.11% | no | 95.56 | 95.56 | | | |
| | - | MH210 | MH205B | | | | | 0.00 | 7.55 | 11.24 | 0.41 | 14.15 | 87.88 | 102.93 | 150.35 | 663.26 | | | | 663.26 | 905.48 | 28.86 | 975 | | | | 0.15 | 1.175 | 242.23 | 26.75% | | | | | | |
| | 206E | CICB206D | MAIN | | | 0.09 | | 0.19 | 0.19 | 10.00 | 0.02 | 10.02 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 19.55 | | | | 19.55 | 87.74 | 2.57 | 250 | | | | 2.00 | 1.731 | 68.18 | 77.72% | | | | | | |
| | - | MH205B | MH205 | | | | | 0.00 | 7.74 | 11.15 | 0.20 | 11.14 | 86.42 | 101.22 | 147.84 | 668.51 | | | | 668.51 | 905.48 | 13.88 | 975 | | | | 0.15 | 1.175 | 236.97 | 26.17% | | | | | | |
| | 206A | CB206A | CBMH206 | | | | 0.24 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 10.00 | 0.17 | 10.17 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 62.57 | | | | 62.57 | 420.63 | 25.66 | 450 | | | | 2.00 | 2.562 | 358.07 | 85.13% | | | | | | |
| | 206B | CBMH206 | MH206 | | | | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.95 | 10.17 | 0.26 | 10.43 | 103.32 | 121.11 | 177.05 | 98.23 | | | | 98.23 | 210.32 | 19.99 | 450 | | | | 0.50 | 1.281 | 112.09 | 53.29% | | | | | | |
| | 206C | CB206B | MAIN | | | | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 10.00 | 0.08 | 10.08 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 18.25 | | | | 18.25 | 85.29 | 8.49 | 250 | | | | 1.89 | 1.683 | 67.04 | 78.60% | | | | | | |
| | 206D | CB206C | MAIN | | | | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 10.00 | 0.02 | 10.02 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 10.43 | | | | 10.43 | 87.74 | 2.32 | 250 | | | | 2.00 | 1.731 | 77.31 | 88.11% | no | 96.05 | 96.05 | | | |
| | - | MH206 | MH205 | | | | | 0.00 | 1.23 | 10.43 | 0.59 | 11.07 | 101.99 | 119.55 | 174.75 | 125.04 | | | | 125.04 | 182.91 | 56.62 | 375 | | | | 1.00 | 1.604 | 57.87 | 31.64% | | | | | | |
| External South | EXT-2 | STUB | MH205 | | 2.82 | | | 5.49 | 5.49 | 12.00 | 0.17 | 12.17 | 94.70 | 110.96 | 162.13 | 519.66 | | | | 519.66 | 986.85 | 11.55 | 1050 | | | | 0.12 | 1.104 | 467.19 | 47.34% | no | 95.50 | 95.50 | | | |



APPROVED ☐ REFUSED ☐

THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 20__


DERRICK MOODIE, ACTING MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES

| | | | |
|------------|------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 5 | Revised as per City Comments | 16:08:26 | DGY |
| 4 | Revised as per City Comments | 14:10:02 | DGY |
| 3 | Revised as per City Comments | 14:08:22 | DGY |
| 2 | Issued for SPA Resubmission | 14:06:27 | DGY |
| 1 | Issued for SPA | 13.11.18 | DGY |
| No. | Description | Date | Checked |
| Issued for | | | |

All measurements and conditions must be checked on the work by the contractor. This drawing not to be used for construction until signed.

Date _____

plotted J:\35355-ArcadiaRetail\35355-ArcadiaRetail\35355-ArcadiaRetail-C-500.dwg
Layout Name: Storm Drainage Plot Style: AIA STANDARD-FULL.ctb
Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: 9/1/2016 10:55 AM Last Saved By: darrin Last Saved At: Sep 1, 16



| | | | |
|------------|-----|-------|-----------|
| drawn by | DPS | scale | 1:500 |
| checked by | DGY | date | NOV. 2013 |
| printed | | file | 35355 |


Arcadia Retail Development
Kantata Online

Minto Properties
200 Kent Street • Suite 180 • Ottawa, Ontario • K1P 0B6
Telephone: (613)782-3137 Fax: (613)782-5777


drawing title:
**STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN
370 HUNTMAR DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON.**

drawing no.
C-500

333 Preston Street Tower 1, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel
(613)225-1311 FAX (613)225-9866



D07-12-14-0014



LOCATION PLAN

LEGEND

AREA NAME

AREA IN HECTARES (ha)

5yr DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT

100yr DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT

= CONTROLLED AREAS

= UNCONTROLLED AREAS

| No. | Revision/Issue | Date |
|-----|------------------------------|---------------|
| 5 | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | JAN 15, 2019 |
| 4 | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | NOV. 23, 2018 |
| 3 | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | SEP. 19, 2018 |
| 2 | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | MAY 09, 2018 |
| 1 | ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW | OCT. 27, 2017 |

Check and verify all dimensions before proceeding with the work

Do not scale drawings

SCALE 1 : 250

0 5 10 15 20 25 Metres

McINTOSH PERRY

115 Walgreen Road, RR3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0

Tel: 613-836-2184 Fax: 613-836-3742

www.mcintoshperry.com

Stamp:

LICENCED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

R. P. KENNEDY

100088734

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

Client:

ACTIVAR

2-107 FOURTH AVENUE

OTTAWA, ON K1S 2L1

P: 613-695-0895

C: 513-720-0464

Project:

340 HUNTMAR DRIVE

PROPOSED MICROTTEL HOTEL

OTTAWA ONTARIO

Drawing Title:

POST DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN

Scale: 1:250

Project Number: CP-17-0199

Drawn by: PGK

Checked By: RPK

Designed By: PGK

Date: APR 18, 2017

Drawing Number: POST

SHEET 1 of 1

HUNTMAR 100% Project - Post Development Drainage Area Plan - 340 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa, Ontario. Drawing No. CP-17-0199 - PRESENTATION.DWG
LAST SAVED: Friday, January 12, 2019, 11:11:11 AM
LAST PLOTTED: Tuesday, January 15, 2019, 11:11:11 AM
LAST PLOTTED BY: PGK

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

PROJECT: 340 HUNTMAR DRIVE
LOCATION: KANATA, ONTARIO
CLIENT: ACTIVAR



| LOCATION | | | | CONTRIBUTING AREA (ha) | | | | | | | | RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW | | | | | | | | | | SEWER DATA | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|---|------|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----|----|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | |
| STREET | AREA ID | FROM MH | TO MH | C-VALUE | AREA | INDIV AC | CUMUL AC | INLET (min) | TIME IN PIPE | TOTAL (min) | i (5) (mm/hr) | i (10) (mm/hr) | i (100) (mm/hr) | 5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | 10yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | 100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | FIXED FLOW (L/s) | DESIGN FLOW (L/s) | CAPACITY (L/s) | LENGTH (m) | PIPE SIZE (mm) | | | SLOPE (%) | VELOCITY (m/s) | AVAIL CAP (5yr) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | DIA | W | H | | | (L/s) | (%) | | | | |
| | B1 | Roof | MH2 | 0.90 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 10.00 | 0.10 | 10.10 | ROOF TO BE RESTRICTED BY 10 ACUTROL WEIRS TO A FIXED 100-YEAR FLOW OF 6.60 L/s | | | | | | | 6.60 | 6.60 | 36.17 | 4.16 | 250 | | | 0.34 | 0.714 | 29.57 | 81.76% | | | |
| | | MH2 | MH1 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 10.10 | 1.09 | 11.19 | 103.68 | 121.54 | 177.68 | 38.14 | | | 6.60 | 6.60 | 22.37 | 28.97 | 250 | | | 0.13 | 0.441 | 15.77 | 70.49% | | | | |
| | | MH1 | Ex.MH212 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 11.19 | 0.17 | 11.36 | 98.30 | 115.20 | 168.36 | 36.16 | | | | 36.16 | 143.09 | 13.00 | 375 | | | 0.61 | 1.255 | 106.93 | 74.73% | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B2 | CBMH3 | CBMH2 | 0.87 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 10.00 | 0.24 | 10.24 | 104.19 | 122.14 | 178.56 | 53.86 | | | | 53.86 | 265.43 | 17.40 | 525 | | | 0.35 | 1.188 | 211.57 | 79.71% | | | | |
| | EX1 | | | 0.90 | 0.10 | 0.09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B3 | CBMH2 | MH3 | 0.81 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 10.24 | 0.33 | 10.58 | 102.92 | 120.64 | 176.36 | 124.95 | | | | 124.95 | 147.47 | 25.76 | 375 | | | 0.65 | 1.293 | 22.52 | 15.27% | | | | |
| | | MH3 | Ex.BLKHD | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 10.58 | 0.09 | 10.66 | 101.25 | 118.67 | 173.46 | 122.91 | | | | 122.91 | 178.28 | 8.10 | 375 | | | 0.95 | 1.564 | 55.37 | 31.06% | | | | |
| | | Ex.BLKHD | Ex.MH205 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 10.66 | 0.13 | 10.79 | 100.82 | 118.17 | 172.72 | 122.40 | | | | 122.40 | 179.22 | 12.00 | 375 | | | 0.96 | 1.572 | 56.82 | 31.70% | | | | |
| Definitions: Q = 2.78CIA, where: Q = Peak Flow in Litres per Second (L/s) A = Area in Hectares (ha) i = Rainfall intensity in millimeters per hour (mm/hr) [i = 998.071 / (TC+6.053)^0.814] 5 YEAR [i = 1174.184 / (TC+6.014)^0.816] 10 YEAR [i = 1735.688 / (TC+6.014)^0.820] 100 YEAR | | | | Notes: 1. Mannings coefficient (n) = 0.013 | | | | | | | | Designed: PGK | | | | No. | Revision | | | | | | Date | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1. | ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW | | | | | | OCT. 27, 2017 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Checked: RPK | | | | 2. | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | | | | | | MAY 9, 2018 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3. | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | | | | | | SEP. 19, 2018 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Project No.: CP-17-0199 | | | | 4. | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | | | | | | NOV. 23, 2018 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sheet No: 1 of 1 | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX G

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

AVERAGE PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

| Area A1 | EXISTING SITE - DEVELOPMENT AREA | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| LANDSCAPE | 0.20 | 0.25 | 6352.61 | 1270.52 | 1588.15 |
| Avg C | 0.20 | 0.25 | | | |

AVERAGE POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

| Area B1 | PROPOSED HOTEL | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1470.19 | 1323.17 | 1470.19 |
| Avg C | 0.90 | 1.00 | | | |

| Area B2 | DRAINS TO CBMH2 | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 2037.59 | 1833.83 | 2037.59 |
| LANDSCAPE | 0.20 | 0.25 | 99.80 | 19.96 | 24.95 |
| Avg C | 0.87 | 0.96 | | | |

| Area B3 | DRAINS TO CB1 | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1738.23 | 1564.41 | 1738.23 |
| LANDSCAPE | 0.20 | 0.25 | 272.44 | 54.49 | 68.11 |
| Avg C | 0.81 | 0.90 | | | |

| Area B4 | UNCONTROLLED | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 58.72 | 52.85 | 58.72 |
| LANDSCAPE | 0.20 | 0.25 | 88.78 | 17.76 | 22.19 |
| Avg C | 0.48 | 0.55 | | | |

| Area B5 | UNCONTROLLED | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 193.38 | 174.04 | 193.38 |
| LANDSCAPE | 0.20 | 0.25 | 462.67 | 92.53 | 115.67 |
| Avg C | 0.41 | 0.47 | | | |

| External Drainage Areas * | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Area EX1 | DRAINS TO CBMH2 | | | | |
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 976.43 | 878.78 | 976.43 |
| Avg C | 0.90 | 1.00 | | | |

| Area EX2 | UNCONTROLLED * | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Type | C (2-yr & 5-yr) | C (100-yr) | Area (m²) | Product (5-yr) | Product (100-yr) |
| HARD | 0.90 | 1.00 | 152.54 | 137.29 | 152.54 |
| Avg C | 0.90 | 1.00 | | | |

*Undeveloped area within external drainage areas have been calculated as hard surface to represent the worst case scenario.

| Tc (min) | 2-Year (mm/hr) | 5-Year (mm/hr) | 100-Year (mm/hr) | |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 20.00 | 52.03 | 70.25 | 119.95 | PRE-DEVELOPMENT |
| 10.00 | 76.81 | 104.19 | 178.56 | POST-DEVELOPMENT |

PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

| Area | Drainage Area (ha) | Balanced Runoff Coefficient (C) 2-yr & 5-yr | Balanced Runoff Coefficient (C) 100-yr | 2-yr Flow Rate (l/s) | 5-yr Flow Rate (l/s) | 100-yr Flow Rate (l/s) |
|-------|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| A1 | 0.64 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 18.38 | 24.81 | 52.96 |
| Total | 0.64 | | | 18.38 | 24.81 | 52.96 |

POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

| Area | Drainage Area (ha) | Balanced Runoff Coefficient (C) 2-yr & 5-yr | Balanced Runoff Coefficient (C) 100-yr | 2-yr Flow Rate (l/s) | 5-yr Flow Rate (l/s) | 100-yr Flow Rate (l/s) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| B1 | 0.15 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 28.25 | 38.33 | 72.98 |
| B2 | 0.21 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 39.70 | 53.86 | 101.85 |
| B3 | 0.20 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 34.77 | 47.17 | 89.83 |
| B4 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 1.51 | 2.05 | 4.03 |
| B5 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 5.74 | 7.79 | 15.31 |
| Sub-Total | 0.64 | | | 109.99 | 149.21 | 283.99 |
| External Drainage Areas | | | | | | |
| EX1 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 18.76 | 25.45 | 48.47 |
| EX2 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 2.93 | 3.98 | 7.57 |
| Total | 0.76 | | | 131.68 | 178.64 | 340.04 |

REQUIRED RESTRICTED FLOW

| Existing Drainage Area | Area (ha) | *Release Rate as per Design Brief - Arcadia Commercial | | ICD # / Roof Drain # | |
|------------------------|-----------|--|----------|----------------------|--------------|
| | | 5-Year | 100-Year | | |
| 206A/206B | 0.38 | 85.00 | | 206A | Restricted |
| 206C | 0.07 | 10.00 | | 206B | |
| 206D | 0.04 | 14.00 | | 206C | |
| BLK100 | 0.06 | 2.00 | | Roof 100 | |
| BLK200 | 0.04 | 1.00 | | Roof 200 | |
| 215 | 0.04 | 10.00 | | 215 | Unrestricted |
| 216A/216B | 0.07 | 12.17 | 20.85 | | |
| Total | 0.70 | 134.17 | 142.85 | | |

*Release rate was created by combining the release rates from applicable drainage areas for the subject site as per the Design Brief - Arcadia Commercial by IBI Group. See Appendix 'I' for complete report.

216A/216B ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE EXTRAPOLATION (100-YR)

| Existing Drainage Area | Area (ha) | C | I | Flow (L/s) |
|------------------------|-----------|-----|-------|------------|
| 216A | 0.03 | 0.2 | 178.6 | 2.98 |
| 216B | 0.04 | 0.9 | 178.6 | 17.87 |
| Total | | | | 20.85 |

ACTUAL STORM WATER RUNOFF FROM SITE (L/s)

| Area | Post-Development Unrestricted (l/s) | | | Post-Development (Restricted) (l/s) | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--|
| | 2-yr | 5-yr | 100-yr | 2-yr* | 5-yr | 100-yr | |
| B1 | 28.25 | 38.33 | 72.98 | 2.40 | 3.60 | 6.60 | RESTRICTED - ROOF DRAINS RESTRICTED - CBMH2 |
| B2 | 39.70 | 53.86 | 101.85 | 96.17 | 109.34 | 109.34 | |
| B3 | 34.77 | 47.17 | 89.83 | | | | |
| EX1 | 18.76 | 25.45 | 48.47 | | | | |
| B4 | 1.51 | 2.05 | 4.03 | 1.51 | 2.05 | 4.03 | UNRESTRICTED |
| B5 | 5.74 | 7.79 | 15.31 | 5.74 | 7.79 | 15.31 | |
| EX2 | 2.93 | 3.98 | 7.57 | 2.93 | 3.98 | 7.57 | |
| Total | 131.68 | 178.64 | 340.04 | 108.76 | 126.76 | 142.85 | |

*2-Year Storm Event Flows Unrestricted for Areas B2/B3/EX1

STORAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR AREA B2 & B3

5-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Tc | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (l/s) B2 | Runoff (l/s) B3 | Runoff (l/s) EX1 | Allowable Outflow (l/s) | Runoff To Be Stored (l/s) | Storage Required (m ³) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2 | 182.70 | 94.45 | 82.72 | 44.63 | 109.34 | 112.46 | 13.49 |
| 4 | 152.50 | 78.83 | 69.05 | 37.26 | 109.34 | 75.79 | 18.19 |
| 6 | 131.60 | 68.03 | 59.58 | 32.15 | 109.34 | 50.42 | 18.15 |
| 8 | 116.10 | 60.02 | 52.57 | 28.36 | 109.34 | 31.60 | 15.17 |
| 10 | 104.20 | 53.87 | 47.18 | 25.46 | 109.34 | 17.16 | 10.29 |
| 12 | 94.70 | 48.95 | 42.88 | 23.14 | 109.34 | 5.62 | 4.05 |
| 14 | 86.90 | 44.92 | 39.35 | 21.23 | 109.34 | -3.85 | -3.23 |

Maximum Storage Required (m³) = 18.19

100-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Tc | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (l/s) B2 | Runoff (l/s) B3 | Runoff (l/s) EX1 | Allowable Outflow (l/s) | Runoff To Be Stored (l/s) | Storage Required (m ³) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2 | 315.00 | 179.68 | 158.47 | 85.51 | 109.34 | 314.31 | 37.72 |
| 4 | 262.40 | 149.68 | 132.01 | 71.23 | 109.34 | 243.57 | 58.46 |
| 6 | 226.00 | 128.92 | 113.69 | 61.35 | 109.34 | 194.61 | 70.06 |
| 8 | 199.20 | 113.63 | 100.21 | 54.07 | 109.34 | 158.57 | 76.11 |
| 10 | 178.60 | 101.88 | 89.85 | 48.48 | 109.34 | 130.86 | 78.52 |
| 12 | 162.10 | 92.47 | 81.55 | 44.00 | 109.34 | 108.67 | 78.24 |
| 14 | 148.70 | 84.82 | 74.81 | 40.36 | 109.34 | 90.65 | 76.14 |

Maximum Storage Required (m³) = 78.52

STORAGE OCCUPIED IN AREA B2 & B3

5-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Other Storage Areas on Site | | Water Elev. (m) = 97.78 | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Location | T/G | INV. (out) | Area (m ²) | Depth (m) | Volume (m ³) |
| CBMH3 | 97.60 | 95.58 | 117.90 | 0.18 | 10.39 |
| CBMH2 | 97.60 | 95.48 | 118.62 | 0.18 | 11.05 |
| Total | | | | | 21.44 |

Storage Available (m³) = 21.44Storage Required (m³) = 18.19

100-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Other Storage Areas on Site | | Water Elev. (m) = 97.90 | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Location | T/G | INV. (out) | Area (m ²) | Depth (m) | Volume (m ³) |
| CBMH3 | 97.60 | 95.58 | 285.30 | 0.30 | 38.84 |
| CBMH2 | 97.60 | 95.48 | 388.18 | 0.30 | 45.94 |
| Total | | | | | 84.78 |

Storage Available (m³) = 84.78Storage Required (m³) = 78.52

STORAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR AREA B1

2-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Tc | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (l/s) B1 | Allowable Outflow (l/s) | Runoff To Be Stored (l/s) | Storage Required (m ³) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 40 | 32.90 | 12.10 | 3.00 | 9.10 | 21.84 |
| 42 | 31.80 | 11.70 | 3.00 | 8.70 | 21.92 |
| 44 | 30.70 | 11.29 | 3.00 | 8.29 | 21.89 |

Maximum Storage Required (m³) = 21.92

5-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Tc | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (l/s) B1 | Allowable Outflow (l/s) | Runoff To Be Stored (l/s) | Storage Required (m ³) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 36 | 47.60 | 17.51 | 3.60 | 13.91 | 30.04 |
| 38 | 45.80 | 16.85 | 3.60 | 13.25 | 30.20 |
| 40 | 44.20 | 16.26 | 3.60 | 12.66 | 30.38 |
| 42 | 42.70 | 15.71 | 3.60 | 12.11 | 30.51 |
| 44 | 41.30 | 15.19 | 3.60 | 11.59 | 30.60 |

Maximum Storage Required (m³) = 30.60

100-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Tc | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (l/s) B1 | Allowable Outflow (l/s) | Runoff To Be Stored (l/s) | Storage Required (m ³) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 42 | 72.60 | 29.67 | 6.60 | 23.07 | 58.14 |
| 44 | 70.20 | 28.69 | 6.60 | 22.09 | 58.32 |
| 46 | 68.00 | 27.79 | 6.60 | 21.19 | 58.49 |
| 48 | 65.90 | 26.93 | 6.60 | 20.33 | 58.56 |
| 50 | 64.00 | 26.16 | 6.60 | 19.56 | 58.67 |

Maximum Storage Required (m³) = 58.67

STORAGE OCCUPIED IN AREA B1

2-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Roof Storage | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Location | *Area (m ²) | Depth (m) | Volume (m ³) |
| Roof Drain | 1110.00 | 0.025 | 27.75 |
| Total | | | 27.75 |

Storage Available (m³) = 27.75Storage Required (m³) = 21.92

5-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Roof Storage | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Location | *Area (m ²) | Depth (m) | Volume (m ³) |
| Roof Drain | 1110.00 | 0.030 | 33.30 |
| Total | | | 33.30 |

Storage Available (m³) = 33.30Storage Required (m³) = 30.60

100-YEAR STORM EVENT

| Roof Storage | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Location | *Area (m ²) | Depth (m) | Volume (m ³) |
| Roof Drain | 1110.00 | 0.055 | 61.05 |
| Total | | | 61.05 |

Storage Available (m³) = 61.05Storage Required (m³) = 58.67

*Area is calculated using 75% of the total roof area

2-YR - STORAGE

| Tc | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (l/s) B2 | Runoff (l/s) B3 | Runoff (l/s) EX1 | Allowable Outflow (l/s) | Runoff To Be Stored (l/s) | Storage Required (m ³) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2 | 133.30 | 68.91 | 60.35 | 32.57 | 96.17 | 65.66 | 7.88 |
| 4 | 111.70 | 57.74 | 50.57 | 27.29 | 96.17 | 39.44 | 9.46 |
| 6 | 96.60 | 49.94 | 43.74 | 23.60 | 96.17 | 21.10 | 7.60 |
| 8 | 85.50 | 44.20 | 38.71 | 20.89 | 96.17 | 7.63 | 3.66 |
| 10 | 76.80 | 39.70 | 34.77 | 18.76 | 96.17 | -2.93 | -1.76 |
| 12 | 69.90 | 36.13 | 31.65 | 17.08 | 96.17 | -11.31 | -8.14 |
| 14 | 64.20 | 33.19 | 29.07 | 15.68 | 96.17 | -18.23 | -15.31 |

| | |
|--|------|
| Maximum Storage Required (m ³) = | 9.46 |
|--|------|

Available Underground Pipe Storage Calculations

| Pipe Length | Diameter (m) | Area (m ²) | Length (m) | Volume (m ³) |
|-------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| CBMH3-CBMH2 | 0.525 | 0.22 | 17.40 | 3.77 |
| Subtotal: | | | | 3.77 |

Available Underground Structure Storage Calculations

| Structure | Top of Grate | Lowest INV. | Diameter (m) | Area (m ²) | Cover (m) | Depth (m) ** | Volume (m ³) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|
| CBMH2 | 97.60 | 95.48 | 1.500 | 1.77 | 1.59 | 2.12 | 3.75 |
| CBMH3 | 97.60 | 95.58 | 1.200 | 1.13 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.28 |
| Subtotal: | | | | | | | 6.03 |

** Depth calculated to be Top of Grate minus Invert of lowest pipe.

| | | |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|
| Total Underground Volume: | 9.80 | m ³ |
| Total Volume Required: | 9.46 | m ³ |

| | | |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|
| Surface Storage Required: | 0.00 | m ³ |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|

SOAKAWAY PIT SIZING

Soakaway Pit Sizing as per TRCA/CVC LID Planning and Design Guide (2010)

Maximum allowable depth:

Equation;

$$d_{r \max} = \frac{i \times t_s}{V_r}$$

where;

i = infiltration rate for native soils (mm/hr)

t_s = time to drain (24 to 48 hours)V_r = void space ratio for aggregate usedd_{r max} = maximum stone reservoir depth (mm)

Value

12 mm/hr

30 hours

0.40

$$d_{r \max} = \underline{\underline{0.90 \text{ m}}}$$

Minimum Footprint Surface Area:

Equation;

$$A_{f \min} = \frac{WQV}{(d_r \times V_r)}$$

where;

WQV = water quality volume (m³)d_r = stone reservoir depth (m)V_r = void space ratio for aggregate usedA_f = Footprint surface area (m²)

Value

25.60 m³

0.45 m

0.40

As per Table 3.2 MOE Stormwater Management
Planning and Design Manual (2003)

$$A_{f \min} = \underline{\underline{142.22 \text{ m}^2}}$$

Stone Reservoir Depth (d_r)

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Top of Trench (Maximum): | 98.57 m | (Bottom of Granular Structure) |
| Bottom of Trench (Maximum): | 98.12 m | (1m above Groundwater) |
| Depth of Sand Filter : | 0 m | |
| Depth of Infiltration Trench : | 0.45 m | |

SOAKAWAY PIT INFILTRATION CALCULATION

Volume Required to be Infiltrated

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Required Infiltration Rate: | 75 mm/yr | |
| Site Area: | 0.64 ha | |
| Pre-Dev Infiltration: | 480 m ³ /yr | |
| Post -Development Infiltration : | 600 m ³ /yr | 25% Increase from pre-development as per KWMSS |
| Post-Dev Pervious Area: | 0.09 ha | |
| Infiltration in Pervious Area: | 69.28 m ³ /yr | |
| Infiltration needed in Basin: | 530.72 m ³ /yr | |

Annual Rainfall Data (Up to 25mm Storm Event)

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Number of events/yr 6mm<x<25mm: | 35 |
| Average Days Between Events: | 5 |
| Average Depth 6mm<x<25mm: | 12.02 mm |
| Roof Area: | 1470.19 m ² |
| Cummulative Rainfall Depth 6mm<x<25mm: | 420.7 mm |
| Volume of Runoff per year to Infiltrated: | 618.51 m ³ /yr |

Requred Storage Volume (25mm Event)

| | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Required Storage Volume: | 36.75 m ³ | Roof Area x 25mm |
| Assumed Porosity (n): | 40% | |
| Clearstone Volume: | 91.89 m ³ | Storage Volume/n |

Infiltration Trench Volume

| | |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Depth: | 0.45 m |
| Area: | 204.19 m ² |
| Volume: | 91.89 m ³ |

Infiltration Rate Through Soil

| | | |
|--------------------|------------|--|
| Percolation Rate: | 12.0 mm/hr | As per Geotechnical Report, Percolation Time (50 min/cm) |
| Percolation Rate: | 6.0 mm/hr | Applied Safety Factor of 2 |
| Infiltration Rate: | 0.34 L/s | Percolation Rate x Area of Trench |

Retention Time Average (12 mm event)

| | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Volume of Water during the 12mm event: | 17.67 m ³ | |
| Volume of Pit Occupied: | 39.27 m ³ | |
| Depth: | 0.19 m | |
| Time: | 14 hr | Volume / Infiltration Rate |
| | 0.6 days | |

Retention Time (25 mm event)

| | | |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Volume of Water: | 36.75 m ³ | |
| Time: | 30 hr | Volume / Infiltration Rate |
| | 1.2 days | |

Roof Drain Flow (B1)

| Roof Drains Summary | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|----------|
| Type of Control Device | Watts Drainage - Accutrol Weir | | |
| Number of Roof Drains | 10 | | |
| | 2-Year | 5-Year | 100 Year |
| Rooftop Storage | 27.75 | 33.30 | 61.05 |
| Storage Depth (mm) | 0.025 | 0.030 | 0.055 |
| Flow (Per Roof Drain) (L/s) | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.66 |
| Total Flow (L/s) | 3.00 | 3.60 | 6.60 |

| Flow Rate Vs. Build-Up (One Weir) | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Depth (mm) | Flow (L/s) |
| 15 | 0.18 |
| 20 | 0.24 |
| 25 | 0.30 |
| 30 | 0.36 |
| 35 | 0.42 |
| 40 | 0.48 |
| 45 | 0.54 |
| 50 | 0.60 |
| 55 | 0.66 |

*Roof Drain model to be Accutrol Weirs, See attached sheets

*Roof Drain Flow information taken from Watts Drainage website

CALCULATING ROOF FLOW EXAMPLES

1 roof drain during a 5 year storm

elevation of water = 25mm

Flow leaving 1 roof drain = $(1 \times 0.30 \text{ L/s}) = 0.30 \text{ L/s}$

1 roof drain during a 100 year storm

elevation of water = 50mm

Flow leaving 1 roof drain = $(1 \times 0.60 \text{ L/s}) = 0.60 \text{ L/s}$

4 roof drains during a 5 year storm

elevation of water = 25mm

Flow leaving 4 roof drains = $(4 \times 0.30 \text{ L/s}) = 1.20 \text{ L/s}$

4 roof drains during a 100 year storm

elevation of water = 50mm

Flow leaving 4 roof drains = $(4 \times 0.60 \text{ L/s}) = 2.40 \text{ L/s}$

| Roof Drain Flow | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Flow (L/s) | Storage Depth (mm) | Drains Flow (L/s) |
| 0.18 | 15 | 1.80 |
| 0.24 | 20 | 2.40 |
| 0.30 | 25 | 3.00 |
| 0.36 | 30 | 3.60 |
| 0.42 | 35 | 4.20 |
| 0.48 | 40 | 4.80 |
| 0.54 | 45 | 5.40 |
| 0.60 | 50 | 6.00 |
| 0.66 | 55 | 6.60 |
| 0.72 | 60 | 7.20 |
| 0.78 | 65 | 7.80 |
| 0.84 | 70 | 8.40 |
| 0.90 | 75 | 9.00 |
| 0.96 | 80 | 9.60 |
| 1.02 | 85 | 10.20 |
| 1.08 | 90 | 10.80 |
| 1.14 | 95 | 11.40 |
| 1.20 | 100 | 12.00 |
| 1.26 | 105 | 12.60 |
| 1.32 | 110 | 13.20 |
| 1.38 | 115 | 13.80 |
| 1.44 | 120 | 14.40 |
| 1.50 | 125 | 15.00 |
| 1.56 | 130 | 15.60 |
| 1.62 | 135 | 16.20 |
| 1.68 | 140 | 16.80 |
| 1.74 | 145 | 17.40 |
| 1.80 | 150 | 18.00 |

Note:


The flow leaving through a restricted roof drain is based on flow vs. head information

CBMH 2 - IPEX TEMPEST HF ICD FLOW CURVE (TO BE VERIFIED WITH MANUFACTURER)

HEAD = 2.42

FLOW = 109.34

Type = E

Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves

PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD

Product Description

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD's are designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.


Available in 5 preset flow curves, these ICDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

Product Function

TEMPEST HF (High Flow): designed to manage moderate to higher flows 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevent the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter and has been designed to limit head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.



TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump: The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these applications, the HF Sump is offered. The HF Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.



TEMPEST MHF (Medium to High Flow):

The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.




Product Construction


The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.8 Kg (14.6 lbs).

Product Applications

The HF and MHF ICD's are available to accommodate both square and round applications:



The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:





Adjustable Accutrol Weir

Tag: _____

Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains

ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below.

Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be:
[5 gpm (per inch of head) x 2 inches of head] + 2-1/2 gpm (for the third inch of head) = 12-1/2 gpm.





TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings

| Weir Opening Exposed | 1" | 2" | 3" | 4" | 5" | 6" |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----|-------|------|-------|----|
| | Flow Rate (gallons per minute) | | | | | |
| Fully Exposed | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| 3/4 | 5 | 10 | 13.75 | 17.5 | 21.25 | 25 |
| 1/2 | 5 | 10 | 12.5 | 15 | 17.5 | 20 |
| 1/4 | 5 | 10 | 11.25 | 12.5 | 13.75 | 15 |
| Closed | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Job Name _____

Contractor _____

Job Location _____

Contractor's P.O. No. _____

Engineer _____

Representative _____

Watts product specifications in U.S. customary units and metric are approximate and are provided for reference only. For precise measurements, please contact Watts Technical Service. Watts reserves the right to change or modify product design, construction, specifications, or materials without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make such changes and modifications on Watts products previously or subsequently sold.

USA: Tel: (800) 338-2581 • Fax: (828) 248-3929 • Watts.com

Canada: Tel: (905) 332-4090 • Fax: (905) 332-7068 • Watts.ca

Latin America: Tel: (52) 81-1001-8600 • Fax: (52) 81-8000-7091 • Watts.com



A Watts Water Technologies Company

APPENDIX H
CITY OF OTTAWA DESIGN CHECKLIST

4. Development Servicing Study Checklist

The following section describes the checklist of the required content of servicing studies. It is expected that the proponent will address each one of the following items for the study to be deemed complete and ready for review by City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approvals staff.

The level of required detail in the Servicing Study will increase depending on the type of application. For example, for Official Plan amendments and re-zoning applications, the main issues will be to determine the capacity requirements for the proposed change in land use and confirm this against the existing capacity constraint, and to define the solutions, phasing of works and the financing of works to address the capacity constraint. For subdivisions and site plans, the above will be required with additional detailed information supporting the servicing within the development boundary.

4.1 General Content

| Criteria | Location (if applicable) |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executive Summary (for larger reports only). | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Date and revision number of the report. | On Cover |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development. | Appendix E |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plan showing the site and location of all existing services. | Site Servicing Plan (C102) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere. | 1.1 Purpose 1.2 Site Description 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Summary of pre-consultation meetings with City and other approval agencies. | Appendix A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defensible design criteria. | 1.1 Purpose 1.2 Site Description 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Statement of objectives and servicing criteria. | 3.0 Pre-Consultation Summary |

| | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available). | Site Grading, Drainage, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan (C101) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths. | Site Grading, Drainage, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan (C101) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing. | Section 2.0 Background Studies |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Metric scale ○ North arrow (including construction North) ○ Key plan ○ Name and contact information of applicant and property owner ○ Property limits including bearings and dimensions ○ Existing and proposed structures and parking areas ○ Easements, road widening and rights-of-way ○ Adjacent street names | Site Grading, Drainage, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan (C101) |

4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water

| Criteria | Location (if applicable) |
|---|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of system constraints | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identify boundary conditions | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development. | Appendix B |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range | N/A |

| | |
|---|------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of off-site required feeder mains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines. | Appendix B |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference. | N/A |

4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater

| Criteria | Location (if applicable) |
|--|----------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure). | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. | Section 5.2 Sanitary Sewer |

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable) | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. | Section 5.2 Sanitary Sewer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc. | N/A |

4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist

| Criteria | Location (if applicable) |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property) | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern. | Pre & Post-Development Plans |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5-year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100-year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Set-back from private sewage disposal systems. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5-year return period) and major events (1:100-year return period). | Appendix F |

| | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals. | Site Grading, Drainage, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Calculate pre-and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management Appendix F |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event. | Appendix A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development. | Section 6.0 Stormwater Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 100-year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading. | Site Grading, Drainage, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan (C101) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations. | N/A |

| | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors. | Section 7.0 Sediment & Erosion Control |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation. | N/A |

4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist

The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:

| Criteria | Location (if applicable) |
|---|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to Municipal Drains. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.) | N/A |

4.6 Conclusion Checklist

| Criteria | Location (if applicable) |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations | Section 8.0 Summary Section 9.0 Recommendations |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency. | All are stamped |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario | All are stamped |

APPENDIX I
DESIGN BRIEF - ARCADIA COMMERCIAL
BY IBI GROUP



REPORT
PROJECT: 35355-5.2.2

Design Brief

Arcadia Commercial

370 Huntmar Drive

City of Ottawa



Prepared for Minto Properties
by IBI Group

October 2014

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Scope | 1 |
| 1.2 | Background | 1 |
| 1.3 | Subject Property | 1 |
| 1.4 | Phasing | 2 |
| 1.5 | Previous Studies | 2 |
| 1.6 | Environmental Issues | 3 |
| 1.7 | Geotechnical Considerations | 3 |
| 2 | Water Supply | 4 |
| 2.1 | Existing Conditions | 4 |
| 2.2 | Design Criteria | 4 |
| 2.3 | Proposed Water Plan | 5 |
| 3 | Wastewater Disposal | 6 |
| 3.1 | Existing Conditions | 6 |
| 3.2 | Master Servicing Studies | 6 |
| 3.3 | Design Criteria | 6 |
| 3.4 | Recommended Wastewater Plan | 7 |
| 3.5 | Hydraulic Grade Line | 7 |
| 3.6 | Sewer Calculations | 7 |
| 4 | Stormwater Management | 9 |
| 4.1 | Existing Conditions | 9 |
| 4.2 | Minor Storm Sewers Design Criteria | 9 |
| 4.3 | Stormwater Management | 9 |
| 4.4 | Hydraulic Grade Line | 12 |
| 5 | Sediment and Erosion Control Plan | 13 |
| 6 | Geotechnical | 14 |

Table of Contents (continued)

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 7 | Approvals and Permit Requirements | 15 |
| 7.1 | City of Ottawa | 15 |
| 7.2 | Province of Ontario | 15 |
| 8 | Recommendations..... | 16 |

List of Appendices

- Appendix A –** Development Servicing Study Checklist
Architects Site Plan
Water Network Analysis
General Plan C-100
Notes and Details C-100A
- Appendix B –** Sanitary Tributary Area Plan C-501
Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet
- Appendix C –** Storm Tributary Area Plan C-500
Storm Sewer Design Sheet
Modified Rational Method Design Sheets
Site Ponding Plan C-400
- Appendix D –** Sediment and Erosion Control Plan C-900
Paterson Group Geotechnical Report
Grading Plan C-200

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

The property owner, Minto Properties, wishes to proceed with the development of the subject lands at 370 Huntmar Drive, in accordance with the policies set out by the Planning Department of the City of Ottawa. This Design Brief is being prepared in support of the Site Plan Application for the development of the current draft plan, which identifies lands located in the Kanata West Business Park. This report will present a detailed servicing scheme to support development of the subject properties, including sections on water supply, wastewater disposal, minor and major stormwater management and erosion and sediment control.

This parcel of land is part of the proponent's larger "Arcadia" development lands which are currently being developed. This parcel is referred to as Stage 5 in other previously approved Minto reports, including "Conceptual Site Servicing Arcadia Stages 1, 2, 5 and 8", and "Arcadia Interim SWMF", which provide details related to the construction and operation of the downstream infrastructure which will service these lands.

This report was prepared in accordance with the Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa. **Appendix A** contains a customized copy of the City's checklist which can be used as a quick reference for the location within this study report of each of the checklist items.


1.2 Background

In 2002, the City of Ottawa expanded its urban area to include the lands currently known as Kanata West. In March 2003, Ottawa City Council approved the general land use and development principles of the Kanata West Concept Plan (KWCP). The plan is a mixed-use community that will include a population of about 17,000 persons in 6,300 households, 24,000 jobs and approximately 1 million square meters of commercial space. Subsequent to approval of the KWCP, several supporting technical documents, including the Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWSS), were prepared. The KWSS provided a master servicing plan for the entire KWCP, including major infrastructure such as water supply, wastewater disposal and stormwater management.

1.3 Subject Property

As shown in **Figure 1**, the subject property is located at the southeast quadrant of Huntmar Drive and Campeau Drive, and is part of the Kanata West Business Park (KWBP). The KWBP is proposed to include several types of non-residential uses including Prestige Business Park, High Profile Employment and Extensive Employment.

The proposed 5.0 Ha development will be a mixture of attached and free standing buildings. The total commercial gross floor area will be approximately 10,500 m², see Master Site Plan SPA-1 in **Appendix A**.



- Kanata-West Concept Plan Boundary
- PRESTIGE BUSINESS PARK
 - OPEN SPACE
 - COMMUNITY RETAIL
 - DISTRICT PARK
 - RESIDENTIAL
 - MIXED USE
 - HIGH PROFILE EMPLOYMENT
 - EXTENSIVE EMPLOYMENT

Plot Style: KANATA WEST.CTB Plot Scale: 0.1333:1 Plotted At: Nov. 15, 13 3:55 PM Printed By: DON SIURNA Last Saved By: DSURNA Last Saved At: Nov. 15, 13

Scale

Project Title

Drawing Title

Sheet No.



DESIGN BRIEF ARCADIA RETAIL DEVELOPMENT

SITE LOCATION

FIGURE 1

1.4 Phasing

The Owner's intent at this time is to proceed immediately upon SPA approval to service the entire development in a single phase, with building construction to occur as tenants are secured.

1.5 Previous Studies

1. Kanata West Concept Plan

The Kanata West Concept Plan (KWCP) was approved by the City of Ottawa in 2003. The plan provides a framework for the current and future development of the Kanata West lands. It also provides the guidelines and requirements for concept planning, the recommended concept plan, and an implementation strategy. The plan focuses on development of the urban lands with mix uses including office, housing, retail, institutional, entertainment and leisure activities.

2. Kanata West Servicing Study

The Kanata West Servicing Study (KWSS) was completed by the City of Ottawa in 2006. That study provided detailed guidelines for provision of major municipal infrastructure in support of the Kanata West Concept Plan. Among other things it provided guidelines and criteria for water supply, wastewater collection and stormwater management.

3. Third Party Review

The Third Party Review (TPR) was completed after potential omissions in the stormwater management model for KWSS were identified. The TPR was commissioned to be an arm's length review of the model to ensure that it was properly calibrated and validated.

4. Signature Ridge Pump Station Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis

A March 2012 report by IBI Group was completed for Minto Properties and completed an update to the Signature Ridge Pump Station sanitary hydraulics. The report predicted HGL's for several scenarios for the tributary sewers including the sanitary sewer servicing the subject parcel. The HGL analysis was further refined in September 2012 based on current overflow proposals by the City.

5. Implementation Plan – Kanata West Development Area

This Plan was prepared for the City of Ottawa and the Kanata West Land Owners Group. The Implementation Plan recognizes that Kanata West is a large planning area which will take years to fully develop and therefore includes a mixture of short and long-term development plans and the associated infrastructure requirements to support them. The Plan builds on the framework of the KWCP and KWSS and provides updated comments for future approvals and the actions that would bring about the approval requirements. The Plan further reviews actions that would be conducted if "triggered" by an event or set of circumstances, while allowing sufficient flexibility to ensure that appropriate changes to the undertaking(s), once identified, are made.

6. Conceptual Site Servicing Arcadia Stages 1, 2, 5 & 8 Kanata West – Minto Communities

This IBI Group report, completed in September 2012, provided a high level conceptual site servicing plan specifically for Minto Arcadia Lands, including the subject site which is Stage 5 of the report. The report focused on details related to water supply, wastewater disposal and stormwater management.

7. Arcadia interim Stormwater Management Facility Design Brief June 2012

This IBI Group report outlines the design of the interim SWM Facility to service Minto's Arcadia development lands, including these commercial lands, until such time as the ultimate stormwater management facility is constructed.

1.6 Environmental Issues

In July 2012, Kilgour & Associates prepared and submitted, as part of the Stage 1 approval, an Intergraded Environmental Review (IER) for the entire 80 ha Minto property. The report assessed the natural features on the site including trees, watercourses, fish and fish habitat and species at risk. The report findings concluded that the project had no significant effect on the existing natural features on the site, as the value of the features was low due to the past history of agricultural activity. It did identify that there are three (3) watercourses on the site: the Carp River, Feedmill Creek and an unnamed creek, for which specific conditions have been put on the development through the "Carp River, Poole Creek and Feedmill Creek Restoration Plan", the "Kanata West Implementation Plan" and the "Carp River, Poole Creek and Feedmill Creek Corridor Width Limits Rationale".

1.7 Geotechnical Considerations

The Owner has commissioned a preliminary geotechnical investigation for the proposed development. The preliminary report was based on information from 21 boreholes on the subject site. The report (No. PG3045-1R) was updated by Paterson Group Inc. in June 2014.

The objectives of the investigations include:

- Determination of the subsoil and groundwater conditions;
- Provision of geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and development of the subject site including construction considerations.

Among other items, the reports comment on the following:

- Site grading;
- Foundation design;
- Pavement structure;
- Infrastructure construction;
- Groundwater Control
- Design for Earthquakes
- Corrosion potential;
- Grade raise considerations

Most of the soils on site consist of silty clay underlain by glacial till layer. While many other geotechnical recommendations are provided in the reports, two of those include maximum grade raises in the order of 2 meters and long-term groundwater lowering be controlled with the use of clay dykes in sewer trenches.

2 Water Supply

2.1 Existing Conditions

The Kanata West community is located in the City's 3W water pressure zone. Potable water to this area is pressurized at the Glen Cairn Pump Station where a major water storage reservoir (Glen Cairn Reservoir) is located. Major watermain into this pressure zone from the pump station are located along Castlefrank Road (going north), Hazeldean Road and Campeau Drive (going west) and Terry Fox Drive (going south). In support of the KWCP which includes the subject site, the June 2006 Master Servicing Study completed a review of the existing water plan adjacent to the KWCP and made recommendations for improvements and expansion to the City's water transmission and distribution system to support the proposed development.

As part of the development of Phase 1 of the Arcadia subdivision located north of Campeau Drive adjacent to the commercial site, a 600 mm diameter watermain was extended from Didsbury Road to Huntmar Drive along the future Campeau Drive ROW. The 600 mm diameter watermain is currently in service and Phase 1 has been constructed. A 300 mm diameter watermain has been extended west across Campeau Drive to service the Tanger commercial development which is currently under construction. The 600 mm diameter watermain is being extended south along Huntmar Drive to connect to existing watermain on Cyclone Taylor Boulevard south of Highway 417. Construction of the 600 mm diameter watermain is being completed in two stages with the work on Huntmar Drive at Campeau Drive currently under construction and the Highway 417 to be crossing completed in early 2015.

Two watermain stubs have been provided from the 600 mm watermain on Campeau Drive that will be used to service the commercial site. A 300 mm diameter main is provided at the intersection of Campeau Drive and Country Glen Way and a 200 mm diameter main from Campeau Drive approximately 100 meters east of Huntmar Drive.

2.2 Design Criteria

In order to determine the watermain plan needed to adequately service the subject site, a hydraulic model was prepared using H2O MAP software by MWH Soft Inc. The City of Ottawa supplied boundary conditions at the intersection of Campeau Drive and Huntmar Drive. The specific boundary conditions are:

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Max Day and Fire Flow | = 152.0 m |
| Peak Hour | = 155.1 m |
| Max Pressure Check | = 163.1 m |

As stated in the boundary conditions, the 300 mm diameter watermain on Campeau Drive at Huntmar Drive is required to be interconnected to the 600 mm watermain at Huntmar Drive and Campeau Drive. The connection has recently been completed and the watermain will be in service in September 2014.

Water consumption rates for the commercial site and adjacent subdivision is taken from Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guideline Water Distribution. For the commercial site, a rate of 2500 L/(1000 m²/d) is used for each of the 9 blocks. In the Master Servicing Study a rate of 50,000l/ha/day is used for commercial areas, for a gross area of 5 ha, the basic day flow rate calculates as 2.9 l/s while the basic day rate calculated using the floor area of each block adds up to 0.31 l/s. Water demands for development west of Huntmar Road are also included in the water model. The calculated demands are tabulated in **Appendix A**.

In order to determine the fire flow requirements, calculations based on the criteria of the Fire Underwriters Survey was carried out for several blocks. The calculations resulted in a maximum

fire flow requirement of 183.3 l/s (11,000 l/min) which has been applied to all nodes in the commercial site. A copy of the calculations are included in **Appendix A**.

2.3 Proposed Water Plan

A figures showing the water model for the Arcadia commercial site are included in **Appendix A** along with the results of the hydraulic modelling.

A computer model of the water distribution network for the Arcadia development was developed using the H2OMAP water program provided by MWH Soft Inc. Water demands and HGL boundary conditions as described in Section 2.2 were incorporated into the model. The results of the hydraulic analysis are as follows:

| SCENARIO | ARCADIA COMMERCIAL SITE |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Basic Day Pressure | 624.6 to 644.2 kPa (90.6 to 93.4 psi) |
| Maximum Day plus Fire Design Fire Flow | Minimum 253.3 l/s (15,198 l/min) |
| Peak Hour Pressure | 542.6 to 562.2 kPa (78.7 to 81.5 psi) |

For all nodes the basic day pressure exceeds 552 kPa (80 psi) requiring all buildings to have pressure reducing valves installed. Pressure reducing valves will be installed immediately downstream of the isolation valve inside the buildings located downstream of the water meter and be maintained by the building owner in accordance with Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02. Sizing of the pressure reducing valves will be conducted by the building's mechanical engineer. The basic day pressure does not exceed the maximum 689 kPa (100 psi) at any node in the system. All nodes exceed the required fire flow while maintaining a residual pressure of 140 kPa (20 psi) at any node in the system. Peak hour pressures in excess of the minimum requirement of 276 kPa (40 psi) at all nodes.

The proposed water distribution system for this development is shown on the General Plan of Services drawing C-100 with additional notes and details on Details drawing C-100A in **Appendix A**.

3 Wastewater Disposal

3.1 Existing Conditions

The Signature Ridge Pump Station (SRPS) is the wastewater outlet for all lands in the KWCP north of Highway 417, including the subject site. The SRPS was constructed in 1991 with an ultimate capacity of 250 l/s to service an area of Kanata, both north and south of Highway 417 including Signature Ridge, Interstitial lands, the Broughton/Richardson lands and developments along Palladium Drive south of Highway 417. This station is being upgraded to accommodate additional lands as per the KWSS.

3.2 Master Servicing Studies

The Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWSS) was completed in 2006 in support of the KWCP. It recommended a wastewater master plan for the entire KWCP. For lands north of Highway 417, including the subject site, all wastewater flows are to be routed to the SRPS. The KWSS Section 4.3 recommended that the capacity of the pump station be upgraded to 400 l/s to accommodate the wastewater flow from the expanded drainage area. The relevant portion of KWSS Section 4.3 is included in **Appendix B**. To convey flows from the subject site, the 2006 report recommended that a 525 mm diameter sewer be constructed in the extended Campeau Drive across Huntmar Drive into the subject site. Because of hydraulic gradient constraints, the 2006 KWSS was very conservative with recommendations for sub-trunk sanitary sewer sizes.

Subsequent to completion of the KWSS report, several additional reviews have been completed with respect to sanitary HGL and overflow impacts at the SRPS. The most recent of these is the "Signature Ridge Pump Station Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis (IBI Group July 2014) completed for Minto Properties in support of its Arcadia development. The HGL analysis was further refined in July 2014 based on more up-to-date development conditions with the construction of Phase 1 Arcadia and Richardson Ridge.

As part of Arcadia's Stage 2 development the 375 mm diameter sanitary sewer sub-trunk was extended along Campeau Drive to Huntmar Drive. This sewer will provide the wastewater outlet for the subject site.

3.3 Design Criteria

In accordance with the City's current "Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines", the following design criteria were used to predict wastewater flow rates for the subject site and to size the sanitary sewers:

- Minimum velocity – 0.6 m/s
- Maximum velocity – 3.0 m/s
- Manning roughness coefficient for all smooth wall pipes – 0.013
- Residential average flow – 350 L/c/d
- Commercial (Employment Area) average flow – 50,000 L/gross ha/d
- Industrial (Business Park) average flow – 35,000 L/gross ha/d
- Residential peaking factor – Harmon Formula
- Commercial/Institutional peaking factor – 1.5
- Industrial peaking factor as per the guidelines
- Infiltration inflow – 0.28 l/s effective gross ha
- Minimum allowable slopes as listed below

| DIAMETER | SLOPE |
|----------------|-------|
| 200 | 0.320 |
| 250 | 0.240 |
| 300 | 0.816 |
| 375 | 0.140 |
| 450 | 0.111 |
| 525 and larger | 0.100 |

3.4 Recommended Wastewater Plan

The recommended wastewater plan for the subject site is shown on Drawing C-100 along with details on drawing C-100A. The plan recommends that all wastewater flows from the subject site be conveyed to the Campeau Drive sewer. The 375 mm diameter sanitary sewer currently terminates at Huntmar Drive and has two connection points for the subject site. The west connection point is a 200 mm Ø sanitary service stub, while the east is a 300 mm Ø sanitary sewer stub.

3.5 Hydraulic Grade Line

The above referenced July 2014 technical Memorandum by IBI Group estimated the full build-out hydraulic grade line (HGL) at the intersection of Campeau Drive and north entrance to be 95.47 m, and at Campeau Drive and Street 1, 94.76 m. The lowest finished floor elevation for all of the Arcadia commercial development is 98.10 m and since all buildings will be slab on grade type, the sanitary HGL will not negatively impact the development.

3.6 Sewer Calculations

The on-site sanitary sewers have been designed in accordance with City of Ottawa and Ministry of the Environment of Ontario (MOE) criteria. The detailed sanitary sewer design sheets and related sanitary drainage area plan C-501 are included in **Appendix B**.

The July 2012 Site Servicing Report 'Arcadia – Kanata West Ph 1' by IBI Group identified conceptually the servicing for the 9.84 Ha parcel of land south of Campeau Drive. This site comprises approximately 5.2 Ha of that area. The Campeau Drive sewer was designed and constructed assuming 0.85 Ha of commercial lands connecting to MH301A and 9.99 Ha of mixed use lands (3.82 Industrial, 3.82 Residential, 1.35 Ha commercial) connecting to MH 303A, with peak flows of 0.98 l/s and 9.77 l/s, respectively, for a total of 10.75 l/s. This site generates approximately 5.95 l/s peak flow – 2.06 l/s to MH 301A and 3.89 l/s to MH 303A. The minor (1.08 l/s) increase in flow to MH 301A has no negative impact on the system as it has over 34 l/s spare capacity up to MH 303A.

As noted above, the site is comprised of slab on grade construction (no basements). The minor (1.08 l/s) increase in flow from MH 301A to 303A will not negatively impact this site. There are existing houses along Campeau Drive and the current freeboard between the HGL and USF is approximately 1.18 m at MH301A. It is anticipated that any minor HGL adjustment (1 to 2 cm) due to the 1.08 l/s at this MH will leave these units with in excess of 1 m of freeboard.

The remaining lands from the 9.84 Ha parcel has been divided into two external areas; EXT1(0.74 Ha) which is north of the future Rapid Transit Line, and EXT2 (2.82 Ha), south of the

Rapid Transit Line. These areas will be mixed use development areas and will split prorated the residual flow assigned this area. 10.75 L/S less 2.06 l/s and less 3.89 l/s equals 4.8 l/s which will be split 1.0 l/s for EXT1 and 3.8 for EXT2.

The total flow from this 9.84 Ha area to the Campeau Drive trunk sewer is $2.06 + 3.89 + 1.0 + 3.8 = 10.75 \text{ l/s}$. As a comparison, the KWSS had applied $50,000.00 \text{ l/Ha/d}$ for this area which would equate to 11.29 l/s peak flow when using Peak Factor 1.5 and infiltration rate of 0.28 l/s/Ha . To this end, the total flow from this area to the Campeau Drive sewer and SRPS is less than the flow allocated in the KWSS.

4 Stormwater Management

4.1 Existing Conditions

As previously noted, the subject site, which is located east of Huntmar Drive north of the proposed Rapid Transit Route and Feedmill Creek is currently vacant except for a temporary sales trailer for Minto's residential lands. The site was previously stripped and the excavated material was used to preload the initial phase of Minto's residential development. As such, the topography is fairly consistent and ranges from about 100 m in the west to about 97 m in the east.

As part of the Arcadia development Stage 1 works, an interim SWM facility was constructed in the future Stage 4 area to service Stages 1, 2 and 5. Storm sewers within Stage 1 and the portion of Campeau Drive fronting on Stage 1 are currently in service and outlet to the interim SWM facility.

Details related to the design elements of the stormwater management facility are presented in the previously approved report entitled "Arcadia Interim SWMF Design Brief, June 2012". This section of the report will focus only on the onsite stormwater system proposed for the site.

4.2 Minor Storm Sewers Design Criteria

The minor storm sewers for this site will be sized based on the recommendations of the KWSS and standards of both the City of Ottawa and the provincial Ministry of the Environment. Some of the key criteria will include the following:

- | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| • Design Return Periods: | Local and Collector Roads | 1:5 yr (Ottawa) |
| • Sewer Sizing by Rational Method | | |
| • Runoff Coefficients: | Roof | C=0.90 |
| | Asphalt | C=0.90 |
| | Landscaped Areas | C=0.2 |
| • Initial T of C | 10 min | |
| • Min Velocity: | City Design Guidelines | 0.80 m/s |

The SWM report for the neighbourhood recommended that for the subject lands, runoff discharged to the downstream storm system should be limited to 240 l/s/Ha.

The minor storm sewers for the subject site, will be sized based on the rational method and the City of Ottawa 1:5 yr. event. Minor storm flow into these sewers will be controlled by Inlet Control Devices (ICD) to limit flows and prevent sewer surcharging.

The minor storm sewer system is illustrated on the General Plan C-100 plus additional specifications and details are provided on Drawing C-100A. The storm sewer design sheets and related Storm Sewer Drainage Area plans C-500 is included in **Appendix C**.

The servicing report for Arcadia Phase 1 included capacity for 163 l/s and 1822 l/s at MH's 301 and 303 in Campeau Drive. The detail design sheets note the peak flows of 158.8 and 1354.27 at MH's 301 and 303 respectively. To this end, no negative impact on the existing downstream system is anticipated.

4.3 Stormwater Management

In accordance with the neighbourhood SWM, the site is proposed to outlet to the existing Campeau Drive storm sewer, which outlets to the Interim SWM pond and eventually to the future Pond 1 as per KWDA Master Servicing Report. The downstream sewers and interim SWMF have been constructed and are operational. As per the recommendation of the Servicing Report

for the downstream storm sewers, all drainage from this site is restricted to a maximum release rate of 240 l/s/ha.

In order to control flow into the downstream sewers, Inlet Control Devices (ICD) and roof drain restrictors are proposed. These flow control devices will be required to restrict flow into the minor system and to the downstream storm sewers, to a maximum of 240 l/s/ha, or 192 l/s for the 0.8 Ha tributary to MH 301 in Campeau Drive, and 1027.2 l/s for the 4.28 ha tributary to MH 303 in Campeau Drive for a total of 1219.2 l/s.

The KWSS identified the major storm route for these lands to discharge to Feedmill Creek. This site will be designed to accommodate the 100 year event with minimal over flow off site, however, should a major event in excess of the 1:100 year event occur, runoff which exceeds the available spare storage would be routed along the parking lot and internal roads to Feedmill Creek. Figure C-500 in **Appendix C** also illustrates the proposed major storm routing for the site system.

As noted above, the development must limit flow to the storm trunk sewer to 240 l/s/ha during a 1:100 year rainfall event to provide flood protection for downstream properties. In order to control flow into the downstream sewers to meet this criteria, Inlet Control Devices (ICD) are proposed. Drawing C-100 illustrates the location of ICD's for the various inlets and roof drains and drawing C-100A provides additional details on the ICD's. These ICD's restrict flow into the minor system resulting in ponding as illustrated on drawing C-400. The modified rational method was used to determine the volume of storage required to capture the 100 year event while limiting the accumulated flow to the downstream storm sewers to a maximum of 240 l/s/ha.

Approximately 0.19 Ha will shed uncontrolled runoff to the Huntmar Road and Campeau Drive storm sewers. The net allowable from the site shall be reduced by the 100 yr. flow provided by this area which is approximately 46.66 l/s. To this end the maximum allowable flow from the onsite sewers is $1219.2 \text{ l/s} - 46.66 \text{ l/s} = 1172.54 \text{ l/s}$.

Based on the proposed ICD's during a 100 yr. event, a total of 1142 l/s is being allowed into the system, while a maximum of 1357.48 m^3 of storage has been provided as summarized in the table below. The modified rational method analysis is included in **Appendix C** along with the above noted drawings. It can be noted that on site storage (roof top, inline and surface), attenuates the 100 year event with minimal overflow to future phases.

| ICD # | TRIBUTARY AREA (m ²) | 100 YR. FLOW (l/s) | 100 YR. STORAGE (m ³) | 5 YR. FLOW (l/s) | 5 YR. STORAGE (m ³) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| 100 | 600 | 30 | 4.17 | 15 | 2.07 |
| 110 | 1100 | 40 | 20.65 | 20 | 20.65 |
| 120 | 1100 | 15 | 34.51 | 7.5 | 14.76 |
| 122 | 600 | 10 | 19.52 | 5 | 19.52 |
| 123 | 600 | 15 | 1.74 | 7.5 | 1.74 |
| 201 | 2900 | 60 | 11.06 | 30 | 19.97 |
| 204 | 1300 | 55 | 1.82 | 27.5 | 1.82 |
| 205 | 1600 | 60 | 4.33 | 30 | 4.33 |
| 206A | 3800 | 85 | 104.32 | 42.5 | 37.34 |
| 206B | 700 | 10 | 29.25 | 5 | 9.27 |
| 206C | 400 | 14 | 9.27 | 7 | 5.52 |
| 206D | 500 | 60 | 1.68 | 30 | 0.52 |
| 210A | 1200 | 77 | 13.50 | 38.5 | 10.52 |
| 212 | 600 | 24 | 3.73 | 12 | 3.73 |
| 215 | 400 | 10 | 7.07 | 5 | 3.59 |
| 221 | 2900 | 85 | 69.97 | 42.5 | 69.83 |
| 222 | 1200 | 15 | 31.00 | 7.5 | 16.98 |
| 223 | 2700 | 32 | 116.57 | 16 | 57.66 |
| 230B | 1900 | 70 | 32.11 | 35 | 10.57 |
| 230C | 300 | 10 | 6.49 | 5 | 5.52 |
| 230D | 1300 | 67 | 21.16 | 33.5 | 3.97 |
| 230F | 700 | 38 | 11.77 | 34 | 5.52 |
| 230G | 1200 | 53 | 43.07 | 26.5 | 27.57 |
| 230I | 300 | 11 | 8.62 | 5.5 | 5.52 |
| 231 | 6800 | 150 | 204.32 | 75 | 139.9 |
| 240A | 500 | 10 | 14.22 | 5 | 11.57 |
| 240C | 500 | 10 | 15.07 | 5 | 5.52 |
| Roof 100 | 600 | 2 | 26.48 | 2 | 11.12 |
| Roof 200 | 400 | 1 | 19.3 | 1 | 8.31 |
| Roof 300 | 400 | 1 | 19.3 | 1 | 8.31 |
| Roof 400 | 1500 | 4 | 70.97 | 4 | 30.4 |
| Roof 500 | 900 | 2 | 44.93 | 2 | 19.51 |
| Roof 600 | 600 | 2 | 26.48 | 2 | 11.12 |
| Roof 700 | 1000 | 2 | 51.44 | 2 | 22.49 |
| Roof 800 | 600 | 2 | 26.48 | 2 | 11.12 |
| Roof 900 | 4600 | 10 | 231.11 | 10 | 100.49 |
| TOTAL | 47700 | 1142 | 1357.48 | 584 | 738.33 |

4.4 Hydraulic Grade Line

The storm HGL is dictated by downstream infrastructure. The storm HGL within the existing storm sewer on Campeau Drive is at 96.05 m and 95.09 m at existing MH's 301 and 303 respectively. The sewers are not surcharged at these points and since the internal sewers are restricted to meet the downstream system design requirements and sized to accommodate the restricted flow. The onsite sewers will not be surcharged and as such the HGL will follow the obvert of the pipes. Additionally, this is a slab on grade development and the City requirement for 0.3 m freeboard to USF to protect basements from flooding is a mute point. The minimum freeboard from the onsite HGL (obvert of storm sewer) to finished floor elevation is 1.51 m. Additional columns have been added on the storm sewer design sheet to identify relationship between HGL (obvert of pipe) and FF for buildings.

5 Sediment and Erosion Control Plan

During construction, existing stream and conveyance system can be exposed to significant sediment loadings. Although construction techniques to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings. These will include:

- groundwater in trench will be pumped into a filter mechanism prior to release to the environment;
- seepage barriers will be constructed in any temporary drainage ditches;
- filter cloths will remain on open surface structure such as manholes and filter socks on catchbasins until structures are commissioned and put into use.

During construction of municipal services, any trench dewatering using pumps will be discharged into a filter trap made up of geotextile filters and straw bales similar in design to the OPSD 219.240 Dewatering Trap. These will be constructed in a bowl shape with fabric forming the bottom and the straw bales forming the sides. Any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filters as needed including sediment removal and disposal and material replacement as needed.

In order to reduce sediment loading to the adjacent lands via overland flow, seepage barriers will be installed along the property limits will be used. Light Duty Silt Fence Barrier as per OPSD 219.110. All seepage barriers will be inspected and maintained as needed.

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree manholes, convey surface water to sewers. However, until the surrounding surface has been completed these structures will be covered to prevent sediment from entering the minor storm sewer system. Until the parking lots are asphalted and curbed, all catchbasins and manholes will be constructed with a geotextile filter fabric located between the structure frame and cover. These will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build until it is appropriate to remove same.

During construction of any development both imported and native soils are stockpiled. Mitigative measures and proper management to prevent these materials entering the sewer system is needed.

During construction of the deeper municipal services, water, sewers and service connections, imported granular bedding materials are temporarily stockpiled on site. These materials are however quickly used up and generally before any catchbasins are installed. Street catchbasins are installed at the time of roadway construction and rear yard catchbasins are usually installed after base course asphalt is placed.

Contamination of the environment as a result of stock piling of imported construction materials is generally not a concern. These materials are quickly used and in mitigative measures stated previously, such as and filter fabric in catchbasins and manholes help to manage these concerns.

Roadway granular materials are not stockpiled on site. They are immediately placed in the roadway and have little opportunity of contamination. Lot grading sometimes generates stockpiles of native materials. However, this is only temporary event since the materials are quickly moved off site.

To reduce the potential for tracking of sediment off-site, mud mats will be constructed at each entrance and maintained until site is ready for paving.

A sediment and erosion control plan is provided as Drawing C-900 in **Appendix D**.

6 Geotechnical

Paterson Group prepared a geotechnical report updated June 26, 2014 for the subject lands. A copy of the Paterson report has been provided in **Appendix D**. The report provides recommendations for various site servicing and building construction issues. The recommendations impacting site servicing include, but are not limited to the following, see report for details:

- Permissible grade raise: 2 m within 5 m of building 3 m elsewhere.
- Pavement Structure: The following is the recommended pavement structure.

| PAVEMENT STRUCTURE | THICKNESS (mm) | |
|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| | CAR PARK AREA | ACCESS LANES & HEAVY TRUCK PARKING |
| Superpave 12.5 | 50 | 40 |
| Superpave 19.0 | | 50 |
| Granular "A" | 150 | 150 |
| Granular "B" Type II | 400 | 450 |

- Pavement Structure Drainage: Subdrains at CB's 3 m long orthogonally or longitudinally when along a curb.
- Pipe Bedding and Backfill: 150-300 mm OPSS Granular 'A' crushed stone bedding compacted to 95% SPMDD. Cover to extend 300 mm above pipe obvert to be OPSS Granular 'A' compacted to 95% SPMDD.
- Clay Seals: To be provided at 60 m intervals

The proposed Grading Plan C-200 is included in **Appendix D**. The grading plan was prepared with a view to limit grade raise to 2.0 m or less. Paterson Group has reviewed this plan and via their comments to the City dated June 26, 2014, Item #13 included in **Appendix D** noting their concurrence of the plan from a geotechnical perspective.

Infiltration targets for the proposed site were outlined in Figure 5.4 of the KWSS. The soil type within the proposed development area is characterized as clay with low recharge potential. The infiltration target for the area, as identified within the KWSS, is 50-70mm/year. The site is primarily comprised of impervious parking lot and roof surfaces. Infiltration targets for the neighbourhood are detailed under a separate approved report, IBI Arcadia Stage 2 SWM Report and Stage 2 Inlet Design Brief dated September 2014. Section 3.2 of that report identifies how the target for the neighbourhood is to be achieved; summary calculations including these commercial lands are included in **Appendix D**, illustrating an infiltration rate of 122 mm/yr for the neighbourhood which exceeds the 50-70 mm/yr required.

7 Approvals and Permit Requirements

7.1 City of Ottawa

The City of Ottawa will review all and approve most development applications as they relate to provision of water supply, wastewater collection and stormwater conveyance and treatment. Ultimately, the City will issue final approvals for construction including:

- MOE Section 53 Application for Sewers
- Form 1 for Watermains
- Commence Work Notifications
- Site Plan Approval

7.2 Province of Ontario

At the time of final design approvals, the Ministry of Ontario (MOE) will approve the local sewers under Section 53 of the Ontario Water Resources Act and issue an Environmental Compliance Approval. Also if required, the MOE will issue a Permit To Take Water (PTTW).

8 Recommendations

The development of 370 Huntmar Drive will be completed by extension of existing external infrastructure, including water, wastewater and stormwater systems. This report provides sufficient information and demonstrates that water, wastewater and stormwater systems required to develop this site have been designed in accordance with MOE and City of Ottawa current level of service requirements and/or requirements of the existing downstream systems. This report therefore recommends that the City provide the relevant approvals and Commence Work Notifications as needed to start site construction.

Report Prepared By:



Demetrius Yannouloupoulos, P. Eng.
Associate Director

APPENDIX A

ARCADIA DEVELOPMENT

Development Servicing Study Checklist

4.1 General Content

- ☐ Executive Summary – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Date and revision number of the report – *On cover*
- ☐ Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development – *key map Figure 1*
- ☐ Plan showing the site and location of all existing services – *Drawing C-100*
- ☐ Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere – *Section 1*
- ☐ Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies – *Section 1*
- ☐ Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defensible design criteria – *Overall: Section 1, Water: Section 2, Sanitary: Section 3, Storm: Section 4*
- ☐ Statement of objectives and servicing criteria – *Overall: Section 1, Water: Section 2, Sanitary: Section 3, Storm: Section 4*
- ☐ Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area – *Water Section 2; Sanitary: Section 3, Storm Section 4*
- ☐ Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available) – *Carp River, Section 1*
- ☐ Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts – *not applicable*
- ☐ Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable – *Section 1*
- ☐ Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing – *Sections 1 & 7*
- ☐ All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:
 - Metric scale
 - North arrow (including construction North)
 - Key plan
 - Name and contact information of applicant and property owner
 - Property limits including bearings and dimensions
 - Existing and proposed structures and parking areas
 - Easements, road widening and rights-of-way
 - Adjacent street names
- *See detail drawings*

4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water

- ☐ Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available – *Section 2*
- ☐ Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development – *Section 2*
- ☐ Identification of system constraints – *Section 2*
- ☐ Identify boundary conditions – *Section 2*
- ☐ Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure – *Section 2*
- ☐ Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development – *Section 2*
- ☐ Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves – *Section 2*
- ☐ Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design – *Section 2*
- ☐ Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves – *Section 2*
- ☐ Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range – *Section 2*
- ☐ Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions – *Section 2*
- ☐ Description of off-site required feeder mains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation – *Not required.*
- ☐ Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines – *Section 2*
- ☐ Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference – *Section 2*

4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater

- ☐ Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure – *Section 3*)
- ☐ Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations – *Section 3*
- ☐ Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development – *Section 3*
- ☐ Verify available capacity in downstream Sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable) – *Section 3*
- ☐ Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format – *Section 3*
- ☐ Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains – *Section 3*
- ☐ Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to impositions imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality) – *Section 4*
- ☐ Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development – *Section 3*
- ☐ Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc – *Not applicable*

4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist

- ☐ Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property) – *Section 4*
- ☐ Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure – *Section 4*
- ☐ A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern – *Section 4*

Development Servicing Study Checklist

- ☐ Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects – *Section 4*
- ☐ Water quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Set-back from private sewage disposal systems – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed – *Section 1*
- ☐ Confirm consistency with subwatershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists – *Section 4*
- ☐ Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period) – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals – *Section 4*
- ☐ Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities – *Section 4*
- ☐ If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event – *Section 4*
- ☐ Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development – *Not applicable*
- ☐ 100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading – *Section 4*
- ☐ Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors – *Section 5*

Development Servicing Study Checklist

- ☐ Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions – *Not applicable*
- ☐ Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation – *Section 6*

4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist

- ☐ Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams or defined in the Act. – *Section 7*
- ☐ Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act – *Section 7*
- ☐ Changes to Municipal Drains – *not applicable*
- ☐ Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation – *Section 7*

4.6 Conclusion Checklist

- ☐ Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations - *Section 8*
- ☐ Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency – *not applicable*
- ☐ All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario - *Section 8*

Lance Erion

From: Fraser, Mark [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 2:54 PM
To: Lance Erion
Cc: Demetrius Yannouloupoulos; Ogilvie, Chris
Subject: RE: D07-12-14-0014_370 Huntmar Drive (Arcadia Commercial Development) - Request for Updated Boundary Conditions
Attachments: FUS Fireflow Block 900.pdf; CCS_WaterDemands.pdf

Lance,

Please find below City of Ottawa watermain boundary conditions as requested based on the provided water demand and fire flow demand requirements.

Water Demand and Fire Flow Requirements:

Proposed Development Location: 370 Huntmar Drive

Average Daily Demand = 0.31 L/s

Max Daily Demand = 0.44 L/s

Peak Hour Demand = 0.83 L/s

Fire Flow = 183.3 L/s

City of Ottawa Watermain Boundary Conditions:

PKHR = 155.1m

MXDY+Fire = 152.0 m

Max HGL = 163.1m

Please note that the boundary conditions provided are based on the following:

- Boundary condition location is on the existing 305mm dia. watermain, about 25m north of the E-W 305mm watermain on Campeau Drive at Huntmar Drive.
- As required for all development beyond the initial 200 units approved for the Arcadia development, it is assumed that the 610mm Campeau feedermain extension south on Huntmar to Cyclone-Taylor is in operation.
- To supply the required fire demand provided, the future interconnection between the 610 and the 305 on Campeau Drive at Huntmar Drive (as per 2013-01-18 IBI report, Campeau Drive Watermain, Didsbury to Huntmar Road) **MUST BE CONSTRUCTED**.
- Pressure Reducing Valves (PRV) are likely required for this development.

Please refer to City of Ottawa, *Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution*, First Edition, July 2010, WDG001 Clause 4.2.2 for watermain pressure and demand objectives.

These boundary conditions are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

If you have any questions please let me know.

IBI GROUP
393 PRESTON STREET
OTTAWA, ON
K1S 6N4

WATERMAIN DEMAND CALCULATION SHEET

PROJECT : ARCADIA COMMERCIAL
LOCATION : CITY OF OTTAWA
DEVELOPER : MINTO

FILE: 35355.6.7
DATE PRINTED: 16-Aug-14
DESIGN: LE
PAGE: 1 OF 1

| NODE | RESIDENTIAL | | | | NON-RESIDENTIAL | | | AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND (l/s) | | | MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND (l/s) | | | MAXIMUM HOURLY DEMAND (l/s) | | | FIRE DEMAND (l/min) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----|-------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------|----------------------------|----------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| | UNITS | | | POP/N | INDTRL (ha.) | COMM. (ha.) | RETAIL (m ²) | Res. | Non-res. | Total | Res. | Non-res. | Total | Res. | Non-res. | Total | |
| | SF | SD & TH | ST | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ARCADIA COMMERCIAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AC-120 (Blk 600) | | | | | | 547 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 11,000 |
| | AC-130 (Blks 600,700) | | | | | | 1472 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 11,000 |
| | AC-140 (Blk 500) | | | | | | 856 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 11,000 |
| | AC-160 (Blks 300,400) | | | | | | 1918 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 11,000 |
| | AC-180 (Blks 100,200) | | | | | | 1025 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 11,000 |
| | AC-190 (Blk 900) | | | | | | 4894 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 11,000 |
| | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | 0.31 | | 0.44 | | | | 0.83 |
| ARCADIA STAGE 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PH1-100 | | 4 | | 11 | | | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-101 | | 4 | | 11 | | | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-105 | | 5 | | 14 | | | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-110 | | 8 | | 22 | | | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-115 | | 8 | | 22 | | | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-120 | | 5 | | 14 | | | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-160 | 9 | 6 | 47 | | | | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 1.04 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-170 | 8 | 8 | 49 | | | | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-180 | | 7 | | 19 | | | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-185 | | 7 | | 19 | | | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-190 | | 9 | | 24 | | | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-200 | | 16 | | 43 | | | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-210 | | 17 | | 46 | | | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-220 | | 8 | | 22 | | | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-230 | 11 | | | 37 | | | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-240 | 7 | | | 24 | | | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-250 | 11 | | | 37 | | | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 10,000 |
| | PH1-260 | 11 | | | 37 | | | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 10,000 |
| PH1-270 | 12 | | | 41 | | | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 10,000 | |
| PH1-280 | 12 | | | 41 | | | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 10,000 | |
| C-140 | | | | | 65.40 | 19.10 | | 0.00 | 37.55 | 37.55 | 0.00 | 56.32 | 56.32 | 0.00 | 101.38 | 101.38 | 10,000 |

ASSUMPTIONS

| RESIDENTIAL DENSITIES | | | AVG. DAILY DEMAND | | | MAX. HOURLY DEMAND | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| - Single Family (SF) | | | 3.4 p / p / u | | | - Residential | | 1.925 l / cap / day |
| - Semi Detached (SD) & Townhouse (TH) | | | 2.7 p / p / u | | | - Industrial (Business Park) | | 94,500 l / ha / day |
| - Stacked Townhouse (ST) | | | 2.3 p / p / u | | | - Commercial (Employment Area) | | 135,000 l / ha / day |
| | | | | | | - Retail (Shopping Centre) | | 6,750 l / 1000m ² / day |
| | | | | | | MAX. DAILY DEMAND | | FIRE FLOW |
| | | | | | | - Residential | | - SF, SD & TH |
| | | | | | | - Industrial (Business Park) | | - Retail |
| | | | | | | - Commercial (Employment Area) | | 10,000 l / min |
| | | | | | | - Retail (Shopping Centre) | | 11,000 l / min |

Fire Flow Requirement from Fire Underwriters Survey

Building Floor Area Block 900

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | floor area | 4,694 m ² | |
| $F = 220C\sqrt{A}$ | | | |
| C | 1.0 | C = | 1.5 wood frame |
| A | 4,694 m ² | | 1.0 ordinary |
| | | | 0.8 non-combustible |
| F | 15,073 l/min | | 0.6 fire-resistive |
| use | 15,000 l/min | | |

Occupancy Adjustment

| | | |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | | -25% non-combustible |
| | | -15% limited combustible |
| Use | 0% | 0% combustible |
| | | +15% free burning |
| Adjustment | 0 l/min | +25% rapid burning |
| Fire flow | 15,000 l/min | |

Sprinkler Adjustment

| | | |
|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | -30% system conforming to NFPA 13 |
| | | -50% complete automatic system |
| Use | 30% | |
| Adjustment | 4500 l/min | |

Exposure Adjustment

| | | Separation Charge | |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|------|
| Building Face | | 0 to 3m | +25% |
| | | 3.1 to 10m | +20% |
| | | 10.1 to 20m | +15% |
| | | 20.1 to 30m | +10% |
| | | 30.1 to 45m | +5% |
| north | 0% | | |
| east | 37 5% | | |
| south | 0% | | |
| west | 0% | | |
| Total | 5% | | |
| Adjustment | 750 l/min | | |
| Fire flow | 11,250 l/min | | |
| Use | 11,000 l/min | | |

Note: This is the highest value for all buildings and will be used as the fire flow rate for the site

Fire Flow Requirement from Fire Underwriters Survey

Building Floor Area Block 400

floor area 1,470 m²
 $F = 220C\sqrt{A}$

| | | | |
|-----|----------------------|-----|--------------------|
| C | 1.0 | C = | 1.5 wood frame |
| A | 1,470 m ² | | 1.0 ordinary |
| | | | 0.8 non-combustile |
| F | 8,435 l/min | | 0.6 fire-resistive |
| use | 8,000 l/min | | |

Occupancy Adjustment

| | | |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Use | 0% | -25% non-combustile |
| | | -15% limited combustile |
| | | 0% combustile |
| | | +15% free burning |
| | | +25% rapid burning |
| Adjustment | 0 l/min | |
| Fire flow | 8,000 l/min | |

Sprinkler Adjustment

| | | |
|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Use | 30% | -30% system conforming to NFPA 13 |
| | | -50% complete automatic system |
| Adjustment | 2400 l/min | |

Exposure Adjustment

| Building Face | Separation | Charge | Separation | Charge |
|---------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | 0 to 3m | +25% |
| | | | 3.1 to 10m | +20% |
| | | | 10.1 to 20m | +15% |
| | | | 20.1 to 30m | +10% |
| | | | 30.1 to 45m | +5% |
| north | | 0% | | |
| east | 10 | 20% | | |
| south | 8 | 20% | | |
| west | | 0% | | |
| Total | | 40% | | |
| Adjustment | | 3,200 l/min | | |
| Fire flow | | 8,800 l/min | | |
| Use | | 9,000 l/min | | |

Fire Flow Requirement from Fire Underwriters Survey

Building Floor Area Block 700

| | | | |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | floor area | 4,694 m ² | |
| F = 220C√A | | | |
| C | 1.0 | C = | 1.5 wood frame |
| A | 934 m ² | | 1.0 ordinary |
| | | | 0.8 non-combustile |
| F | 6,724 l/min | | 0.6 fire-resistive |
| use | 7,000 l/min | | |

Occupancy Adjustment

| | | |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | | -25% non-combustile |
| | | -15% limited combustile |
| Use | 0% | 0% combustile |
| | | +15% free burning |
| Adjustment | 0 l/min | +25% rapid burning |
| Fire flow | 7,000 l/min | |


Sprinkler Adjustment

| | | |
|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | -30% system conforming to NFPA 13 |
| | | -50% complete automatic system |
| Use | 30% | |
| Adjustment | 2100 l/min | |


Exposure Adjustment

| | | Separation Charge | |
|---------------|----|-------------------|------|
| Building Face | | 0 to 3m | +25% |
| | | 3.1 to 10m | +20% |
| | | 10.1 to 20m | +15% |
| | | 20.1 to 30m | +10% |
| | | 30.1 to 45m | +5% |
| north | | 0% | |
| east | 13 | 15% | |
| south | | 0% | |
| west | 37 | 5% | |
| Total | | 20% | |
| Adjustment | | 1,400 l/min | |
| Fire flow | | 6,300 l/min | |
| Use | | 6,000 l/min | |


ARCADIA COMMERCIAL - PIPE SIZES





































ARCADIA COMMERCIAL - NODE ID'S





































ARCADIA COMMERCIAL - PIPE ID'S



Basic Day HGL 163.1 m - Junction Report

| | | ID | Demand (L/s) | Elevation (m) | Head (m) | Pressure (kPa) |
|----|---|---------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 |  | AC-100 | 0.00 | 97.40 | 163.04 | 643.21 |
| 2 |  | AC-110 | 0.00 | 97.90 | 163.04 | 638.31 |
| 3 |  | AC-120 | 0.02 | 97.95 | 163.04 | 637.82 |
| 4 |  | AC-130 | 0.04 | 98.30 | 163.04 | 634.41 |
| 5 |  | AC-140 | 0.02 | 98.50 | 163.04 | 632.46 |
| 6 |  | AC-150 | 0.00 | 97.85 | 163.04 | 638.80 |
| 7 |  | AC-160 | 0.06 | 99.10 | 163.04 | 626.55 |
| 8 |  | AC-170 | 0.00 | 99.20 | 163.04 | 625.57 |
| 9 |  | AC-180 | 0.03 | 99.30 | 163.04 | 624.59 |
| 10 |  | AC-190 | 0.14 | 97.75 | 163.04 | 639.78 |
| 11 |  | AC-200 | 0.00 | 97.30 | 163.04 | 644.19 |
| 12 |  | C-130 | 0.00 | 98.10 | 163.04 | 636.35 |
| 13 |  | C-140 | 37.55 | 100.20 | 163.04 | 615.77 |
| 14 |  | PH1-100 | 0.04 | 100.25 | 163.06 | 615.53 |
| 15 |  | PH1-101 | 0.04 | 99.50 | 163.05 | 622.78 |
| 16 |  | PH1-105 | 0.05 | 99.00 | 163.05 | 627.61 |
| 17 |  | PH1-110 | 0.09 | 98.65 | 163.04 | 631.00 |
| 18 |  | PH1-115 | 0.09 | 98.20 | 163.04 | 635.39 |
| 19 |  | PH1-120 | 0.05 | 98.10 | 163.04 | 636.36 |
| 20 |  | PH1-130 | 0.00 | 97.90 | 163.04 | 638.31 |
| 21 |  | PH1-160 | 0.19 | 97.15 | 163.04 | 645.66 |
| 22 |  | PH1-170 | 0.20 | 97.25 | 163.04 | 644.68 |
| 23 |  | PH1-180 | 0.08 | 97.25 | 163.04 | 644.68 |
| 24 |  | PH1-185 | 0.08 | 96.95 | 163.04 | 647.62 |
| 25 |  | PH1-190 | 0.10 | 97.10 | 163.04 | 646.15 |
| 26 |  | PH1-200 | 0.18 | 97.15 | 163.04 | 645.67 |
| 27 |  | PH1-210 | 0.19 | 97.80 | 163.04 | 639.30 |
| 28 |  | PH1-220 | 0.09 | 99.70 | 163.04 | 620.68 |
| 29 |  | PH1-230 | 0.15 | 99.60 | 163.04 | 621.67 |
| 30 |  | PH1-240 | 0.10 | 99.70 | 163.04 | 620.72 |
| 31 |  | PH1-250 | 0.15 | 97.90 | 163.04 | 638.33 |
| 32 |  | PH1-260 | 0.15 | 97.50 | 163.04 | 642.24 |
| 33 |  | PH1-270 | 0.17 | 98.15 | 163.04 | 635.87 |
| 34 |  | PH1-280 | 0.17 | 97.20 | 163.04 | 645.17 |

Peak Hour HGL 155.1 m - Junction Report



| | | ID | Demand (L/s) | Elevation (m) | Head (m) | Pressure (kPa) |
|----|---|---------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 |  | AC-100 | 0.00 | 97.40 | 154.67 | 561.22 |
| 2 |  | AC-110 | 0.00 | 97.90 | 154.67 | 556.32 |
| 3 |  | AC-120 | 0.04 | 97.95 | 154.67 | 555.85 |
| 4 |  | AC-130 | 0.12 | 98.30 | 154.68 | 552.51 |
| 5 |  | AC-140 | 0.07 | 98.50 | 154.69 | 550.59 |
| 6 |  | AC-150 | 0.00 | 97.85 | 154.67 | 556.83 |
| 7 |  | AC-160 | 0.15 | 99.10 | 154.67 | 544.57 |
| 8 |  | AC-170 | 0.00 | 99.20 | 154.67 | 543.59 |
| 9 |  | AC-180 | 0.08 | 99.30 | 154.67 | 542.61 |
| 10 |  | AC-190 | 0.37 | 97.75 | 154.67 | 557.79 |
| 11 |  | AC-200 | 0.00 | 97.30 | 154.67 | 562.20 |
| 12 |  | C-130 | 0.00 | 98.10 | 154.67 | 554.35 |
| 13 |  | C-140 | 101.38 | 100.20 | 154.67 | 533.78 |
| 14 |  | PH1-100 | 0.24 | 100.25 | 154.85 | 535.01 |
| 15 |  | PH1-101 | 0.24 | 99.50 | 154.77 | 541.64 |
| 16 |  | PH1-105 | 0.30 | 99.00 | 154.72 | 545.99 |
| 17 |  | PH1-110 | 0.48 | 98.65 | 154.69 | 549.19 |
| 18 |  | PH1-115 | 0.48 | 98.20 | 154.68 | 553.43 |
| 19 |  | PH1-120 | 0.30 | 98.10 | 154.67 | 554.38 |
| 20 |  | PH1-130 | 0.00 | 97.90 | 154.67 | 556.31 |
| 21 |  | PH1-160 | 1.04 | 97.15 | 154.66 | 563.57 |
| 22 |  | PH1-170 | 1.09 | 97.25 | 154.66 | 562.55 |
| 23 |  | PH1-180 | 0.42 | 97.25 | 154.66 | 562.54 |
| 24 |  | PH1-185 | 0.42 | 96.95 | 154.66 | 565.48 |
| 25 |  | PH1-190 | 0.54 | 97.10 | 154.66 | 564.01 |
| 26 |  | PH1-200 | 0.96 | 97.15 | 154.66 | 563.52 |
| 27 |  | PH1-210 | 1.02 | 97.80 | 154.66 | 557.16 |
| 28 |  | PH1-220 | 0.48 | 99.70 | 154.66 | 538.54 |
| 29 |  | PH1-230 | 0.83 | 99.60 | 154.66 | 539.57 |
| 30 |  | PH1-240 | 0.53 | 99.70 | 154.69 | 538.84 |
| 31 |  | PH1-250 | 0.83 | 97.90 | 154.67 | 556.26 |
| 32 |  | PH1-260 | 0.83 | 97.50 | 154.66 | 560.10 |
| 33 |  | PH1-270 | 0.91 | 98.15 | 154.66 | 553.78 |
| 34 |  | PH1-280 | 0.91 | 97.20 | 154.66 | 563.03 |

Max Day + Fire HGL 152.0 m - Fireflow Design Report

| | ID | Total Demand (L/s) | Critical Node 1 ID | Critical Node 1 Pressure (kPa) | Critical Node 1 Head (m) | Adjusted Fire Flow (L/s) | Available Flow @ Demand (L/s) | Critical Node 2 ID | Critical Node 2 Pressure (kPa) | Critical Node 2 Head (m) | Adjusted Available Flow (L/s) | Design Flow (L/s) |
|----|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | AC-100 | 183.33 | AC-180 | 466.56 | 147.05 | 805.24 | 814.83 | AC-180 | 134.86 | 111.12 | 808.24 | 805.24 |
| 2 | AC-110 | 183.33 | AC-180 | 476.57 | 146.54 | 807.34 | 823.57 | AC-180 | 134.24 | 111.00 | 807.34 | 805.24 |
| 3 | AC-120 | 183.38 | AC-180 | 487.20 | 145.83 | 811.49 | 816.48 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 112.23 | 814.49 | 814.49 |
| 4 | AC-130 | 183.38 | AC-180 | 494.55 | 142.84 | 801.54 | 806.56 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 112.80 | 808.58 | 808.58 |
| 5 | AC-140 | 183.37 | AC-180 | 498.97 | 143.30 | 824.74 | 824.74 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 112.78 | 824.74 | 824.74 |
| 6 | AC-150 | 183.33 | AC-180 | 415.70 | 140.56 | 805.76 | 808.71 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 112.13 | 808.71 | 808.71 |
| 7 | AC-160 | 183.41 | AC-180 | 390.76 | 138.89 | 814.83 | 814.83 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 113.36 | 814.83 | 814.83 |
| 8 | AC-170 | 183.33 | AC-180 | 400.95 | 140.12 | 813.80 | 813.80 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 113.36 | 813.80 | 813.80 |
| 9 | AC-180 | 183.37 | AC-180 | 307.25 | 130.00 | 823.28 | 823.28 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 113.58 | 823.28 | 823.28 |
| 10 | AC-190 | 183.53 | AC-180 | 432.54 | 141.80 | 824.72 | 824.72 | AC-180 | 133.96 | 112.03 | 824.72 | 824.72 |
| 11 | AC-200 | 183.33 | AC-180 | 469.20 | 144.47 | 805.25 | 804.74 | AC-200 | 134.87 | 111.88 | 804.74 | 804.74 |
| 12 | PHI-101 | 165.81 | PHI-101 | 477.37 | 146.22 | 618.56 | 618.56 | PHI-101 | 139.97 | 113.78 | 618.56 | 618.56 |
| 13 | PHI-105 | 165.81 | PHI-101 | 474.39 | 147.41 | 617.91 | 617.91 | PHI-101 | 139.97 | 113.29 | 617.91 | 617.91 |
| 14 | PHI-110 | 165.82 | PHI-110 | 475.25 | 147.56 | 617.77 | 617.77 | PHI-110 | 139.97 | 112.93 | 617.77 | 617.77 |
| 15 | PHI-115 | 165.92 | PHI-115 | 473.34 | 146.59 | 608.37 | 608.37 | PHI-115 | 139.96 | 112.48 | 608.37 | 608.37 |
| 16 | PHI-120 | 165.84 | PHI-120 | 487.62 | 145.82 | 615.21 | 615.21 | PHI-120 | 139.96 | 112.38 | 615.21 | 615.21 |
| 17 | PHI-130 | 166.70 | PHI-120 | 486.39 | 147.83 | 603.63 | 603.63 | PHI-120 | 139.97 | 112.16 | 603.63 | 603.63 |
| 18 | PHI-160 | 167.17 | PHI-160 | 449.56 | 142.11 | 367.46 | 367.46 | PHI-160 | 139.96 | 111.43 | 367.46 | 367.46 |
| 19 | PHI-170 | 167.19 | PHI-170 | 417.29 | 139.90 | 327.15 | 327.15 | PHI-170 | 139.96 | 111.53 | 327.15 | 327.15 |
| 20 | PHI-180 | 166.89 | PHI-180 | 410.15 | 139.41 | 318.49 | 318.49 | PHI-180 | 139.96 | 111.53 | 318.49 | 318.49 |
| 21 | PHI-185 | 166.89 | PHI-185 | 305.39 | 128.11 | 224.01 | 224.01 | PHI-185 | 139.96 | 111.23 | 224.01 | 224.01 |
| 22 | PHI-190 | 168.95 | PHI-190 | 419.28 | 139.89 | 327.87 | 327.87 | PHI-190 | 139.96 | 111.38 | 327.87 | 327.87 |
| 23 | PHI-200 | 167.14 | PHI-200 | 449.57 | 138.49 | 335.23 | 320.49 | PHI-200 | 139.96 | 111.43 | 320.49 | 320.49 |
| 24 | PHI-210 | 167.16 | PHI-220 | 371.39 | 135.72 | 266.86 | 266.86 | PHI-220 | 134.60 | 111.47 | 266.86 | 266.86 |
| 25 | PHI-220 | 168.92 | PHI-220 | 318.24 | 131.97 | 237.67 | 237.67 | PHI-220 | 139.96 | 113.96 | 237.67 | 237.67 |
| 26 | PHI-230 | 167.06 | PHI-230 | 188.35 | 118.85 | 160.46 | 160.46 | PHI-230 | 139.96 | 113.96 | 160.46 | 160.46 |
| 27 | PHI-240 | 166.94 | PHI-240 | 375.93 | 138.37 | 294.74 | 294.74 | PHI-240 | 139.96 | 113.96 | 294.74 | 294.74 |
| 28 | PHI-250 | 167.08 | PHI-250 | 254.31 | 123.90 | 202.21 | 202.21 | PHI-250 | 139.96 | 112.18 | 202.21 | 202.21 |
| 29 | PHI-260 | 167.08 | PHI-260 | 270.45 | 125.10 | 208.36 | 208.36 | PHI-260 | 139.96 | 111.28 | 208.36 | 208.36 |
| 30 | PHI-270 | 167.11 | PHI-270 | 336.35 | 138.40 | 303.28 | 303.28 | PHI-270 | 139.96 | 112.43 | 303.28 | 303.28 |
| 31 | PHI-280 | 167.11 | PHI-280 | 236.30 | 121.21 | 164.27 | 164.27 | PHI-280 | 139.96 | 111.48 | 164.27 | 164.27 |

Peak Hour HGL: 153.1 m - Pipe Report

| | ID | From Node | To Node | Length (m) | Diameter (mm) | Roughness | Flow (L/s) | Velocity (m/s) | Headloss (m) | HL/1000 (m/km) |
|----|-----|-----------|---------|------------|---------------|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1 | 161 | PHI-100 | PHI-101 | 46.95 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 14.40 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 1.60 |
| 2 | 163 | PHI-110 | PHI-115 | 69.87 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 5.79 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.29 |
| 3 | 167 | PHI-130 | C-130 | 28.61 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -1.20 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 4 | 181 | PHI-130 | PHI-150 | 90.93 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 3.27 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.10 |
| 5 | 183 | PHI-150 | PHI-170 | 66.47 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 2.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 6 | 185 | PHI-170 | PHI-180 | 85.41 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 1.14 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 7 | 187 | PHI-180 | PHI-190 | 70.16 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 8 | 189 | PHI-190 | PHI-200 | 78.23 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -0.24 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 9 | 191 | PHI-200 | PHI-210 | 69.87 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -0.81 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 10 | 195 | PHI-210 | PHI-220 | 102.82 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -1.88 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.17 |
| 11 | 197 | PHI-220 | PHI-240 | 101.85 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -2.19 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.22 |
| 12 | 199 | PHI-240 | PHI-210 | 88.07 | 165.00 | 100.00 | 1.36 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| 13 | 201 | PHI-210 | PHI-220 | 72.36 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -0.57 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 203 | PHI-220 | PHI-230 | 81.93 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -1.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 15 | 207 | PHI-230 | PHI-250 | 98.75 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -0.28 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 16 | 209 | PHI-250 | PHI-270 | 93.28 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -1.11 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| 17 | 211 | PHI-270 | PHI-190 | 149.23 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -0.62 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 18 | 213 | PHI-190 | PHI-185 | 96.43 | 165.00 | 100.00 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 19 | 215 | PHI-185 | PHI-190 | 204.00 | 165.00 | 100.00 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 423 | AC-100 | C-130 | 68.35 | 207.00 | 120.00 | 2.25 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 21 | 443 | PHI-115 | PHI-120 | 48.70 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 2.37 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 22 | 445 | PHI-105 | PHI-110 | 33.01 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 3.34 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.71 |
| 23 | 447 | PHI-340 | PHI-105 | 34.25 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -4.90 | 0.24 | 0.83 | 0.87 |
| 24 | 449 | PHI-270 | PHI-115 | 36.93 | 165.00 | 100.00 | -2.84 | 0.18 | 0.81 | 0.39 |
| 25 | 451 | PHI-191 | PHI-105 | 36.06 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 14.25 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 1.55 |
| 26 | 455 | C-130 | C-140 | 205.14 | 610.00 | 120.00 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | 457 | PHI-170 | AC-140 | 72.77 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 3.08 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| 28 | 459 | PHI-120 | PHI-130 | 77.46 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 2.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 29 | 603 | AC-100 | AC-110 | 70.83 | 207.00 | 120.00 | 2.25 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 30 | 605 | AC-110 | AC-120 | 81.79 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -2.00 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| 31 | 607 | AC-120 | AC-130 | 103.72 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -2.89 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.06 |
| 32 | 609 | AC-130 | AC-140 | 64.17 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -3.81 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.08 |
| 33 | 511 | AC-140 | AC-150 | 78.22 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 0.85 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 34 | 513 | AC-150 | AC-160 | 81.42 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 0.85 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 35 | 515 | AC-160 | AC-170 | 89.40 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -0.70 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 36 | 517 | AC-170 | AC-180 | 64.29 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 37 | 519 | AC-180 | AC-190 | 60.38 | 204.00 | 110.00 | -0.62 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 38 | 521 | AC-190 | AC-200 | 41.81 | 204.00 | 110.00 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 39 | 525 | AC-110 | AC-200 | 113.95 | 287.00 | 120.00 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 527 | PHI-110 | 7002 | 24.89 | 297.00 | 120.00 | -115.06 | 1.98 | 0.25 | 15.15 |
| 41 | 529 | C-140 | PHI-100 | 22.37 | 297.00 | 120.00 | -100.33 | 1.46 | 0.18 | 7.88 |



APPROVED ☐ REFUSED ☐
THIS DAY OF _____, 20____
DEBRICK MOORE, ACTING MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 9 | Revised as per City Comments | 16.08.26 | DOY |
| 8 | Revised as per City Comments | 16.08.03 | DOY |
| 7 | Issued for Construction | 16.07.13 | DOY |
| 6 | Issued for Construction | 16.05.16 | DOY |
| 5 | Issued for Construction | 16.04.05 | DOY |
| 4 | Revised as per City Comments | 14.10.02 | DOY |
| 3 | Revised as per City Comments | 14.08.22 | DOY |
| 2 | Issued for SPA | 14.08.27 | DOY |
| 1 | Issued for SPA | 13.11.18 | DOY |
| No. | Description | Date | Checked |

Issued for
CAP RV 94.644
CAP RV 91.711



Scale 1:500
Date NOV. 2013
File 35355

Drawn by DPS
Checked by DOY
Printed



IBI GROUP
200 Kent Street, Suite 100 • Ottawa, Ontario • K2P 0B8
Tel: (613) 225-1311 Fax: (613) 225-0988

IBI GROUP
drawing no. C-100


7555 PAVILLON STREET, SUITE 100, OTTAWA, ONTARIO K2H 6N4 TEL: (613) 225-1311 FAX: (613) 225-0988

- LEGEND:
- PROPOSED CATCHBASIN MANHOLE & NUMBER
 - PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE & NUMBER
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER & FLOW DIRECTION
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER & FLOW DIRECTION
 - PROPOSED WATER VALVE CHAMBER
 - VALVE
 - CURB STOP AND STAND POST
 - PROPOSED CATCHBASIN
 - PROPOSED DITCH INLET
 - 250mm SLOPE
 - CLAY PIPE
 - SEWER CONNECTION
 - REMOTE METER
 - PRESSURE REDUCING VALVE
 - WATERMAIN IDENTIFICATION
 - PIPE CROSSING IDENTIFICATION
 - CONTROLLED ROOF RELEASE RATE
 - INLET CONTROL DEVICE LOCATION (SEE DRAWING CHAIR OR DETAILS)
 - HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
 - 150mm PVC INLET & OUTLET CONNECTIONS (TYPICAL)
 - UNDERGROUND STORAGE CELL (TYPICAL)
 - SITE AND CAPACITY UP CELL

LIMITS OF PROVISIONAL SERVICES AND WORKS

HATCH AREA NOT IN CONTRACT

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES BRANCH
Signed: _____
Date: _____
Pen Number: _____



SITE BENCHMARKS

APPENDIX B

Economy (E) 25%

The reconstruction of the Signature Ridge Pumping Station is significantly more than the costs to upgrade the existing station.

Caring and Healthy Community (CHC) 25%

In terms of the impact on the Community, there are no significant differences between the two alternatives.

Natural Environment (NE) 14%

There are no significant differences between the two options with respect to impacts to the natural environment. Both alternatives require the construction of an emergency overflow to the Carp River. Impacts to surface water quality as a result of potential station overflows during an emergency situation are not expected to occur. Should an overflow occur for either alternative, the impacts would be mitigated by a SWM pond. Increases in CO₂ emissions as a result of the use of diesel generators during power failures or maintenance procedures will be negligible and are similar in both alternatives.

4.2.6.3 Selection of Preferred Signature Ridge Pumping Station Alternative

Based on the above evaluation, the Signature Ridge Pumping Station Alternative I, station upgrade, is selected as the preferred alternative. This alternative maximizes the use of existing infrastructure and offers the most flexibility in phasing of the works with the least amount of capital expenditure or impacts.

4.2.6.4 Summary

The preferred alternatives selected for the wastewater outlet, the internal servicing system, the temporary forcemain, the trunk sewer alignment, and the Signature Ridge Pumping Station have been used to develop a comprehensive wastewater servicing plan for the KWCP. This servicing plan is discussed in future detail in the following section of this report.

4.3 Preferred Sanitary Sewer Servicing Plan

Section 4.2 has detailed the selection of preferred alternatives for the major infrastructure required to provide sanitary sewer service to the KWCP. These preferred alternatives have been used to develop a Master Sanitary Servicing Plan for the area. This plan is illustrated on **Drawing S-1** (appended to this report). The major features of this plan are:

- (i.) An upgraded Signature Ridge Pumping Station (SRPS) to service all the KWCP lands north of the Queensway, the existing urban area north of the Queensway currently proposed to drain to the SRPS, and the Broughton/Richardson Interstitial lands. A spreadsheet detailing the exact areas and flows tributary to the SRPS is included in **Figure 4.2-1**.

The 400 l/sec peak flow capacity identified in **Figure 4.2-1** for the upgraded SRPS, is consistent with the findings of the R.V. Anderson Report titled "Signature Ridge Pumping Station Upgrades Feasibility Study".

QUANTITY AND TYPE, SECTION 08121
PROJECT : Kansas West Survey Bldg Study
LOCATION : CITY OF OTTAWA

[illegible]

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Average Daily Per capita Flow Rate = | 500 l/capd |
| Per Capita Allowance Flow Rate = | 0.28 m ³ /c/d |
| Per Capita Packing Factor = $1/(1/(4 + (P \times 0.5)))$ P=Pop. in 100% Max. of 4 | |
| Population density per unit = | 3.00 |
| Ratio of Employment to Total Business Park = | 1.50 |

CCCL/IBI

FIG. 4.2-1

Notes: Germanium rods 5 to 8 mm in diameter and 14 to 16 in. long.

| | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Average Daily Per capita Flow Rate = | 140 Mgd/d |
| Infiltration Allowance First Rule = | 0.25 / 1000 ft |
| Residential Parking Factor = $1 + (1/4)(1 - 0.5)^{0.5}$ | P = Pop. in 1000's, Max = 4 |
| Population density per unit = | 3.00 |
| P. P. For Employment/Local Business Park = | 1.50 |



Spartan
Spartan College of Community College

[illegible]



APPENDIX C

[illegible]

| | |
|--------|------|
| 995.50 | 9.47 |
|--------|------|

USGS-ArtisanalCommunity7 Calculations January 01 2014 09:59 10/28/2014 10:2

PROJECT: Accreditation

LOCATION: CITY OF OTTAWA

CLIENT: Mirco Development Group

CLIENT: Mirco Development Group

CLIENT: Mirco Development Group

[illegible]



IBI
333 Preston St
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1S 5N4

ONSITE SWM 100yr design
PROJECT: Arcadia commercial
CITY OF OTTAWA
DEVELOPER: Minlo

PAGE: 1 OF 1
JOB #: 35355
DATE: Oct 1, 2014
DESIGN: DY
Rev#3

Outlet EX MH 303
100yr design

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOW - Flow Restricted to 240 l/s/Ha

Time of concentration = 10 minutes

| | |
|-------------|-------|
| Area (ha) = | 4.280 |
| C Average = | 0.90 |

Intensity - 5 year event storm

| | | | |
|-----------|---|-------|-------|
| 10 min Tc | i _{5yr} = 998.071/(T+6.053) ^{0.814} = | 104.2 | mm/hr |
|-----------|---|-------|-------|

← i for 5-yr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q₅)

| | | | |
|-----------|--|---------|-----|
| 10 min Tc | Q _{pre-devo} = 2.78*A*C _w *i = | 1115.76 | l/s |
|-----------|--|---------|-----|

Restricted Flowrate (Q₅)

| | | | |
|-----------|---------------|--------|-----|
| 10 min Tc | Q = 85 l/s/Ha | 363.80 | l/s |
|-----------|---------------|--------|-----|

Intensity - 100 year event storm

| | | | |
|-----------|---|-------|-------|
| 10 min Tc | i _{100yr} = 1735.688/(T+6.014) ^{0.82} = | 178.6 | mm/hr |
|-----------|---|-------|-------|

← i for 100-yr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q₁₀₀)

| | | | |
|-----------|---|---------|-----|
| 10 min Tc | Q _{post-devo} = 2.78*A*C _w *i = | 1912.11 | l/s |
|-----------|---|---------|-----|

Restricted Flowrate (Q_{rest 100yr})

| | | | |
|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|
| 10 min Tc | Q = 240 l/s/Ha | 1027.20 | l/s |
|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|

Uncontrolled runoff (Q₁₀₀)

| Location | Area | C | AxC |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Area 216 A | | 0.03 | 0.2 |
| Area 216B | | 0.04 | 0.9 |
| Depressed Loading BLK900-230G | | 0.02 | 0.9 |
| Depressed Loading BLK900-240C | | 0.02 | 0.9 |
| Total | | 0.11 | 0.71 |
| 10 min Tc | Q _{unrc} = 2.78 Aci | | 38.72 l/s |

← SUM AxC FOR AREA 216A/B
EQUALS 0.042

Allowable Release

Q_{rest 100yr} - Q_{unrc} = Q_{allow}

988.48 l/s

$$Q(5) = (2.78)(0.042)(104.2)$$
$$= 12.17 \text{ L/s}$$

$$Q(100) = (2.78)(0.042)(178.6)$$
$$= 20.85 \text{ L/s}$$

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT - Post-Development Controlled

(5 year post-development with 100yr inlets)

ROOF BLOCK 100

600 sm

100-YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 2.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 63 | 53.9 | 9.0 | 2.00 | 7.0 | 26.42 | |
| 65 | 52.6 | 8.8 | 2.00 | 6.8 | 26.45 | |
| 67 | 51.5 | 8.6 | 2.00 | 6.6 | 26.47 | |
| 69 | 50.3 | 8.4 | 2.00 | 6.4 | 26.48 | |
| 71 | 49.3 | 8.2 | 2.00 | 6.2 | 26.48 | |
| 73 | 48.2 | 8.0 | 2.00 | 6.0 | 26.48 | |
| 75 | 47.3 | 7.9 | 2.00 | 5.9 | 26.47 | |
| 77 | 46.3 | 7.7 | 2.00 | 5.7 | 26.45 | |
| 79 | 45.4 | 7.6 | 2.00 | 5.6 | 26.43 | |
| 81 | 44.6 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 26.41 | |
| 83 | 43.7 | 7.3 | 2.00 | 5.3 | 26.38 | |
| 85 | 43.0 | 7.2 | 2.00 | 5.2 | 26.34 | |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 26.48 m3

Average depth 0.044 m

ROOF BLOCK 200

400 sm

100-YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 1.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 90 | 41.1 | 4.6 | 1.00 | 3.6 | 19.29 | |
| 92 | 40.4 | 4.5 | 1.00 | 3.5 | 19.29 | |
| 94 | 39.8 | 4.4 | 1.00 | 3.4 | 19.29 | |
| 96 | 39.1 | 4.3 | 1.00 | 3.3 | 19.30 | |
| 98 | 38.5 | 4.3 | 1.00 | 3.3 | 19.29 | |
| 100 | 37.9 | 4.2 | 1.00 | 3.2 | 19.29 | |
| 102 | 37.3 | 4.2 | 1.00 | 3.2 | 19.28 | |
| 104 | 36.8 | 4.1 | 1.00 | 3.1 | 19.27 | |
| 106 | 36.2 | 4.0 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 19.26 | |
| 108 | 35.7 | 4.0 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 19.25 | |
| 110 | 35.2 | 3.9 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 19.24 | |
| 112 | 34.7 | 3.9 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 19.22 | |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 19.30 m3

Average depth 0.048 m

ROOF BLOCK 300

400 sm

100-YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 1.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 90 | 41.1 | 4.6 | 1.00 | 3.6 | 19.29 | |
| 92 | 40.4 | 4.5 | 1.00 | 3.5 | 19.29 | |
| 94 | 39.8 | 4.4 | 1.00 | 3.4 | 19.29 | |
| 96 | 39.1 | 4.3 | 1.00 | 3.3 | 19.30 | |
| 98 | 38.5 | 4.3 | 1.00 | 3.3 | 19.29 | |
| 100 | 37.9 | 4.2 | 1.00 | 3.2 | 19.29 | |
| 102 | 37.3 | 4.2 | 1.00 | 3.2 | 19.28 | |
| 104 | 36.8 | 4.1 | 1.00 | 3.1 | 19.27 | |
| 106 | 36.2 | 4.0 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 19.26 | |
| 108 | 35.7 | 4.0 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 19.25 | |
| 110 | 35.2 | 3.9 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 19.24 | |
| 112 | 34.7 | 3.9 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 19.22 | |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 19.30 m3

Average depth 0.048 m

ROOF BLOCK 400

1500 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| Area(ha)= | 0.1500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 4.00 l/s |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 82 | 44.2 | 18.4 | 4.00 | 14.4 | 70.90 | |
| 84 | 43.3 | 18.1 | 4.00 | 14.1 | 70.94 | |
| 86 | 42.8 | 17.8 | 4.00 | 13.8 | 70.96 | |
| 88 | 41.8 | 17.4 | 4.00 | 13.4 | 70.97 | |
| 90 | 41.1 | 17.1 | 4.00 | 13.1 | 70.97 | <=== Required volume for roof storage |
| 92 | 40.4 | 16.9 | 4.00 | 12.9 | 70.96 | |
| 94 | 39.8 | 16.6 | 4.00 | 12.6 | 70.95 | |
| 96 | 39.1 | 16.3 | 4.00 | 12.3 | 70.92 | |
| 98 | 38.5 | 16.1 | 4.00 | 12.1 | 70.88 | |
| 100 | 37.9 | 15.8 | 4.00 | 11.8 | 70.83 | |
| 102 | 37.3 | 15.6 | 4.00 | 11.6 | 70.78 | |
| 104 | 36.8 | 15.3 | 4.00 | 11.3 | 70.72 | |

Req. Storage volume 70.97 m3
Average depth 0.047 m

ROOF BLOCK 900

4600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| Area(ha)= | 0.4600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 10.00 l/s |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 104 | 36.8 | 47.0 | 10.00 | 37.0 | 231.01 | |
| 106 | 36.2 | 46.3 | 10.00 | 36.3 | 231.06 | |
| 108 | 35.7 | 45.7 | 10.00 | 35.7 | 231.10 | |
| 110 | 35.2 | 45.0 | 10.00 | 35.0 | 231.11 | |
| 112 | 34.7 | 44.4 | 10.00 | 34.4 | 231.10 | |
| 114 | 34.2 | 43.8 | 10.00 | 33.8 | 231.07 | |
| 116 | 33.8 | 43.2 | 10.00 | 33.2 | 231.03 | |
| 118 | 33.3 | 42.6 | 10.00 | 32.6 | 230.96 | |
| 120 | 32.9 | 42.1 | 10.00 | 32.1 | 230.87 | |
| 122 | 32.5 | 41.5 | 10.00 | 31.5 | 230.77 | |
| 124 | 32.1 | 41.0 | 10.00 | 31.0 | 230.65 | |
| 126 | 31.7 | 40.5 | 10.00 | 30.5 | 230.52 | |

Req. Storage volume 231.11 m3
Average depth 0.050 m

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

PARKING LOT Area # 221

2900 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

85 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area (ha) = 0.2900

Cw = 1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

42.50 l/s

| Tc Variable | i (mm/hour) | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i (l/s) | Qm (l/s) | Qp-Qm (l/s) | Volume (m3) |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| 13 | 155.1 | 125.0 | 42.50 | 82.5 | 64.39 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 119.9 | 42.50 | 77.4 | 65.02 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 115.2 | 42.50 | 72.7 | 65.43 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 110.9 | 42.50 | 68.4 | 65.66 |
| 17 | 132.8 | 106.9 | 42.50 | 64.4 | 65.71 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 103.3 | 42.50 | 60.8 | 65.62 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 99.9 | 42.50 | 57.4 | 65.39 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 96.7 | 42.50 | 54.2 | 65.04 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 93.8 | 42.50 | 51.3 | 64.59 |
| 22 | 112.9 | 91.0 | 42.50 | 48.5 | 64.03 |
| 23 | 109.7 | 88.4 | 42.50 | 45.9 | 63.38 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB221A | 1.00 | 0.36 |
| CB221B | 1.30 | 0.47 |
| CB221C | 1.60 | 0.54 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 1.37 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| CB221A - CBMH221 | 17.05 | 0.45 | 2.71 |
| CB221B - CB221C | 12.00 | 0.45 | 1.91 |
| CB221C-CBMH221 | 18.50 | 0.45 | 2.94 |
| CBMH221 - MH221 | 33.38 | 0.60 | 9.44 |
| Total: | | | 17.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

CBMH's

| 1.2m dia=1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1.8m dia=2.54m3/m | | |
| CBMH221(1.2m) | 2.20 | 2.49 |
| MH221 (1.8m) | 2.20 | 5.59 |
| Total: | | 2.49 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 221C | 8.28 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
| Total: | | | 0.14 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

MH's

| 1.8m dia=2.54m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| MH500 | 2.20 | 5.59 |
| Total: | | 5.59 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| MH500 - MH221 | 12.00 | 1.05 | 10.39 |
| 16X6 Triton M-6 storage cell | | | 33.00 |
| Total: | | | 43.39 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from area 110 4.25
Total Storage required 69.97
Total Storage provided 69.97
Overflow to Area 230A 0.00

ICD use Tampest HF 85l/s @ 2.35m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 231 | |
|------------------------|--|
| 6800 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 150 l/s

| Area(ha)= | 0.6800 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 75.00 l/s |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 17 | 132.6 | 250.7 | 75.00 | 175.7 | 179.24 | |
| 19 | 123.9 | 234.2 | 75.00 | 159.2 | 181.44 | |
| 20 | 120.0 | 226.8 | 75.00 | 151.8 | 182.11 | |
| 21 | 116.3 | 219.6 | 75.00 | 144.6 | 182.51 | |
| 22 | 112.9 | 213.4 | 75.00 | 138.4 | 182.68 | |
| 23 | 109.7 | 207.3 | 75.00 | 132.3 | 182.63 | |
| 24 | 106.7 | 201.7 | 75.00 | 126.7 | 182.39 | |
| 25 | 103.8 | 196.3 | 75.00 | 121.3 | 181.97 | |
| 26 | 101.2 | 191.3 | 75.00 | 116.3 | 181.38 | |
| 27 | 98.7 | 186.5 | 75.00 | 111.5 | 180.64 | |
| 29 | 94.0 | 177.7 | 75.00 | 102.7 | 178.74 | |

<==== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| Pipe storage | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
| CB233-CBMH233 | 15.60 | 0.60 | 4.41 |
| CBMH233-CBMH232 | 34.20 | 0.60 | 9.67 |
| CB232-CBMH323 | 15.60 | 0.60 | 4.41 |
| CBMH232 - CBMH231 | 34.20 | 0.60 | 9.67 |
| CB231-CBMH231 | 15.60 | 0.60 | 4.41 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 32.57 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 1.2mDia CBMH's=1.13m3/m | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1.5m dia= 1.77m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
| CBMH233 (1.5m) | 1.42 | 2.5134 |
| CBMH232 (1.5m) | 1.49 | 2.6373 |
| CBMH231 (1.5m) | 1.53 | 2.7091 |
| | | |
| Total: | | 7.86 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 231 | 295.46 | 0.20 | 19.70 |
| 232 | 337.60 | 0.20 | 22.51 |
| 233 | 333.20 | 0.20 | 22.21 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 64.42 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| MH's | | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1.8m dia=2.55m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
| CBMH231A | 1.81 | 4.62 |
| CBMH232A | 1.70 | 4.34 |
| CBMH233A | 1.64 | 4.18 |
| | | |
| Total: | | 13.13 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| Pipe storage | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
| MH501 - MH230 | 69.40 | 0.60 | 19.34 |
| 10X18 Trilon M-6 storage cell | | | 67.00 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 86.34 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from area 100, 123 20.74
Total Storage required 203.42
Total Storage provided 204.32
Overflow to area 230A 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 150/l/s @ 2.26m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 230B | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| 1900 sm | | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | | |
| Qp (l/s) | | |
| Area(ha)= | 0.1900 | |
| Cw = | 1.00 | |
| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | |
| 35.00 l/s | | |
| Tc | Qp | |
| Variable | 2.78 x Area x c x i | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) |
| 9 | 188.3 | 99.4 |
| 11 | 169.9 | 89.7 |
| 12 | 162.1 | 85.8 |
| 13 | 155.1 | 81.9 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 78.6 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 75.5 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 72.7 |
| 17 | 132.6 | 70.1 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 67.7 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 65.4 |
| 21 | 118.3 | 61.4 |

Flow restricted to 70 l/s

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CB230B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230A - CB230B | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 223C | 366.50 | 0.25 | 30.54 |
| Total: | | | 30.54 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from area 221 & 231 0.00
Total Storage required 38.60
Total Storage provided 32.11
Overflow to area 230D 4.49

ICD use Tempest HF 70l/s @ 1.71m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 230C

300 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)= 0.0300

Cw = 1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

5.00 l/s

| To Variable | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i (l/s) | Qm (l/s) | Qp-Qm (l/s) | Volume (m3) |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | | | |
| 11 | 169.9 | 5.00 | 9.2 | 6.05 |
| 13 | 155.1 | 5.00 | 7.9 | 6.19 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 5.00 | 7.4 | 6.22 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 5.00 | 6.9 | 6.23 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 5.00 | 6.5 | 6.21 |
| 17 | 132.6 | 5.00 | 6.1 | 6.18 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 5.00 | 5.7 | 6.14 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 5.00 | 5.3 | 6.08 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 5.00 | 5.0 | 6.00 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 5.00 | 4.7 | 5.92 |
| 23 | 109.7 | 5.00 | 4.1 | 5.72 |

<==== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB230C | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | 41.50 | 0.07 | 0.97 |
| Total: | | | 0.97 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| | Length (m) | width (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

Total Storage required 6.23
Total Storage provided 6.49
Overflow to area 230D 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10/s @ 1.4m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area 230D

1300 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

67 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)= 0.1300
Cw = 1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

33.50 l/s

| Tc Variable | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 5 | 242.7 | 87.7 | 33.50 | 54.2 | 16.26 |
| 6 | 226.0 | 81.7 | 33.50 | 48.2 | 17.34 |
| 7 | 211.7 | 76.5 | 33.50 | 43.0 | 18.06 |
| 8 | 199.2 | 72.0 | 33.50 | 38.5 | 18.48 |
| 9 | 188.3 | 68.0 | 33.50 | 34.5 | 18.65 |
| 10 | 178.6 | 64.5 | 33.50 | 31.0 | 18.62 |
| 11 | 169.9 | 61.4 | 33.50 | 27.9 | 18.42 |
| 12 | 162.1 | 58.6 | 33.50 | 25.1 | 18.07 |
| 13 | 155.1 | 56.1 | 33.50 | 22.6 | 17.59 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 53.7 | 33.50 | 20.2 | 17.01 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 49.7 | 33.50 | 16.2 | 15.56 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB230D | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CB230E | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| CB230D-CB230E | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate**PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available**

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 230D | 391.79 | 0.15 | 19.59 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 19.59 |

Overflow from 230A, 230C 4.49
 Total Storage required 23.14
 Total Storage provided 21.16
 Overflow to area 230G 1.98

ICD use Tempest HF 67l/s @ 1.68m head, or approved equal

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| PARKING LOT Area 230F | |
| 700 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 38 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0700 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 19.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.76 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 6 | 228.0 | 44.0 | 19.00 | 25.0 | 8.99 | |
| 7 | 211.7 | 41.2 | 19.00 | 22.2 | 9.32 | |
| 8 | 199.2 | 38.8 | 19.00 | 19.8 | 9.49 | |
| 9 | 188.3 | 36.8 | 19.00 | 17.6 | 9.52 | |
| 10 | 178.6 | 34.7 | 19.00 | 15.7 | 9.45 | |
| 11 | 169.9 | 33.1 | 19.00 | 14.1 | 9.28 | |
| 12 | 162.1 | 31.6 | 19.00 | 12.6 | 9.04 | |
| 13 | 155.1 | 30.2 | 19.00 | 11.2 | 8.72 | |
| 14 | 148.7 | 28.9 | 19.00 | 9.9 | 8.35 | |
| 15 | 142.9 | 27.6 | 19.00 | 8.8 | 7.93 | |
| 17 | 132.6 | 25.8 | 19.00 | 6.8 | 6.95 | |

<== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB203F | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 18A | 89.22 | 0.21 | 6.25 |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 6.25 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from Area 223 0.00
Total Storage required 9.52
Total Storage provided 11.77
Overflow to Area 230G 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 38l/s @ 1.53m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area 230G

1700 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

53 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|-------|-------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1700 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 26.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 13 | 155.1 | 73.3 | | 26.50 | 46.8 | 36.51 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 70.3 | | 26.50 | 43.8 | 36.78 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 67.5 | | 26.50 | 41.0 | 36.93 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 65.0 | | 26.50 | 38.5 | 36.97 |
| 17 | 132.8 | 62.7 | | 26.50 | 36.2 | 36.90 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 60.5 | | 26.50 | 34.0 | 36.75 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 58.5 | | 26.50 | 32.0 | 36.53 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 56.7 | | 26.50 | 30.2 | 36.23 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 55.0 | | 26.50 | 28.5 | 35.86 |
| 22 | 112.9 | 53.3 | | 26.50 | 26.8 | 35.44 |
| 24 | 106.7 | 50.4 | | 26.50 | 23.9 | 34.44 |

<== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230G | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CB230H | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230G-CB230H | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 230G | 258.40 | 0.18 | 15.50 |
| Total: | | | 15.50 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 12.00 | 6.00 | 26.00 |
| Total: | | | 26.00 |

overflow from 230D, 230F 1.98
Total Storage required 38.95
Total Storage provided 43.97
 1/2 Overflow to Area 206D 0.00
 1/2 Overflow to Area 205 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 53l/s @ 1.71m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area 230I

300 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

11 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0300

Cw =

1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

5.50 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 9 | 188.3 | 15.7 | 5.50 | 10.2 | 5.51 |
| 11 | 169.9 | 14.2 | 5.50 | 8.7 | 5.72 |
| 12 | 162.1 | 13.5 | 5.50 | 8.0 | 5.78 |
| 13 | 155.1 | 12.9 | 5.50 | 7.4 | 5.80 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 12.4 | 5.50 | 6.9 | 5.80 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 11.9 | 5.50 | 6.4 | 5.78 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 11.5 | 5.50 | 6.0 | 5.73 |
| 17 | 132.6 | 11.1 | 5.50 | 5.6 | 5.67 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 10.7 | 5.50 | 5.2 | 5.60 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 10.3 | 5.50 | 4.8 | 5.51 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 9.7 | 5.50 | 4.2 | 5.29 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

0.36 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|---------------|-------------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| CB230I 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | 0.00 |
| Total: | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
|------------------------|--------|------|-------------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------------|
| | | (m) | (m3) |
| 230I | 66.30 | 0.14 | 3.09 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 3.09 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

1.13 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|---------------|-------------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| | |
| Total: | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| Length | width | Storage |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | 5.00 |

Total Storage required 5.80
Total Storage provided 8.62
Overflow to Area 230G 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 11l/s @ 1.44m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 222 | |
|------------------------|--|
| 1200 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 15 l/s

| Area(ha)= | 0.1200 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 7.50 l/s | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 35 | 82.6 | 27.5 | 7.50 | 20.0 | 42.10 |
| 37 | 79.4 | 26.5 | 7.50 | 19.0 | 42.17 |
| 38 | 77.9 | 26.0 | 7.50 | 18.5 | 42.18 |
| 39 | 76.5 | 25.5 | 7.50 | 18.0 | 42.18 |
| 40 | 75.1 | 25.1 | 7.50 | 17.6 | 42.16 |
| 41 | 73.8 | 24.6 | 7.50 | 17.1 | 42.14 |
| 42 | 72.6 | 24.2 | 7.50 | 16.7 | 42.11 |
| 43 | 71.4 | 23.8 | 7.50 | 16.3 | 42.06 |
| 44 | 70.2 | 23.4 | 7.50 | 15.9 | 42.01 |
| 45 | 69.1 | 23.0 | 7.50 | 15.5 | 41.95 |
| 47 | 66.9 | 22.3 | 7.50 | 14.8 | 41.79 |

<== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB222 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| Pipe storage | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB222 | 14.80 | 0.25 | 0.73 |
| Total: | | | 0.73 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH222 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| Total: | | 1.70 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 222 | 340.74 | 0.19 | 21.58 |
| 222A | 129.60 | 0.15 | 6.48 |
| Total: | | | 28.06 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 42.18
Total Storage provided 31.00
Overflow to area 223 11.17

ICD use Tempest LMF 15l/s @ 2.44m head, or approved equal

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| PARKING LOT Area # 223 | |
| 2700 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 32 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.2700 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 16.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| {min} | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 35 | 82.6 | 62.0 | 16.00 | 46.0 | 96.57 | |
| 36 | 81.0 | 60.8 | 16.00 | 44.8 | 96.71 | |
| 37 | 79.4 | 59.6 | 16.00 | 43.6 | 96.81 | |
| 38 | 77.9 | 58.5 | 16.00 | 42.5 | 96.89 | |
| 39 | 76.5 | 57.4 | 16.00 | 41.4 | 96.95 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 40 | 75.1 | 56.4 | 16.00 | 40.4 | 96.97 | |
| 41 | 73.8 | 55.4 | 16.00 | 39.4 | 96.97 | |
| 42 | 72.6 | 54.5 | 16.00 | 38.5 | 96.94 | |
| 43 | 71.4 | 53.6 | 16.00 | 37.6 | 96.90 | |
| 44 | 70.2 | 52.7 | 16.00 | 36.7 | 96.83 | |
| 46 | 68.0 | 51.0 | 16.00 | 35.0 | 96.63 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB223 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB223 - CBMH223 | 34.20 | 0.45 | 5.44 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.44 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH223 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| Total: | | 1.70 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 223 | 706.92 | 0.25 | 58.91 |
| Total: | | | 58.91 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 11.00 | 12.00 | 50.00 |
| Total: | | | 50.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Overflow from area 212, 222 | 18.27 |
| Total Storage required | 115.22 |
| Total Storage provided | 116.57 |
| Overflow to area 230F | 0.00 |

ICD use Tempest HF 32/s @ 2.67mhead, or approved equal

| |
|-------------------------------|
| PARKING LOT Area # 212 |
| 600 sm |
| 100-YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 24 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 12.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 8 | 199.2 | 33.2 | 12.00 | 21.2 | 10.19 | |
| 10 | 178.6 | 29.8 | 12.00 | 17.8 | 10.67 | |
| 11 | 169.9 | 28.3 | 12.00 | 16.3 | 10.78 | |
| 12 | 162.1 | 27.0 | 12.00 | 15.0 | 10.83 | |
| 13 | 155.1 | 25.9 | 12.00 | 13.9 | 10.82 | |
| 14 | 148.7 | 24.8 | 12.00 | 12.8 | 10.76 | |
| 15 | 142.9 | 23.8 | 12.00 | 11.8 | 10.65 | |
| 16 | 137.5 | 22.9 | 12.00 | 10.9 | 10.51 | |
| 17 | 132.6 | 22.1 | 12.00 | 10.1 | 10.32 | |
| 18 | 128.1 | 21.4 | 12.00 | 9.4 | 10.11 | |
| 20 | 120.0 | 20.0 | 12.00 | 8.0 | 9.61 | |

<== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB212B | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB212B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB212A-CICB212B | 10.28 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Total: | | | 0.50 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | 92.12 | 0.07 | 2.15 |
| Total: | | | 2.15 |

Total Storage required 10.83
Total Storage provided 3.73
Overflow to area 223 7.10

ICD use Tempest LMF 24l/s @ 1.63m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 215

400 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0400

Cw =

1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

5.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 16 | 137.5 | 15.3 | 5.00 | 10.3 | 9.88 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 14.2 | 5.00 | 9.2 | 9.98 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 13.8 | 5.00 | 8.8 | 10.00 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 13.3 | 5.00 | 8.3 | 10.01 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 12.9 | 5.00 | 7.9 | 9.99 |
| 22 | 112.9 | 12.5 | 5.00 | 7.6 | 9.97 |
| 23 | 109.7 | 12.2 | 5.00 | 7.2 | 9.93 |
| 24 | 106.7 | 11.9 | 5.00 | 6.9 | 9.88 |
| 25 | 103.8 | 11.5 | 5.00 | 6.5 | 9.82 |
| 26 | 101.2 | 11.3 | 5.00 | 6.3 | 9.75 |
| 28 | 96.3 | 10.7 | 5.00 | 5.7 | 9.59 |

<== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

0.36 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|--------|---------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| CB213 | 1.45 |
| | 0.52 |
| | 0.00 |
| Total: | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| ECB-CB215 | 24.00 | 0.25 | 1.18 |
| Total: | | | 1.18 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

1.13 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|--------|---------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| | |
| Total: | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | 64.44 | 0.25 | 5.37 |
| Total: | | | 5.37 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 10.01
Total Storage provided 7.07
Overflow to 206A 2.94

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.67m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 206B

700 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0700 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 30 | 91.9 | 17.9 | 5.00 | 12.9 | 23.18 | |
| 32 | 87.9 | 17.1 | 5.00 | 12.1 | 23.24 | |
| 33 | 86.0 | 16.7 | 5.00 | 11.7 | 23.25 | |
| 34 | 84.3 | 16.4 | 5.00 | 11.4 | 23.25 | |
| 35 | 82.6 | 16.1 | 5.00 | 11.1 | 23.25 | |
| 36 | 81.0 | 15.8 | 5.00 | 10.8 | 23.23 | |
| 37 | 79.4 | 15.5 | 5.00 | 10.5 | 23.21 | |
| 38 | 77.9 | 15.2 | 5.00 | 10.2 | 23.18 | |
| 39 | 76.5 | 14.8 | 5.00 | 9.9 | 23.14 | |
| 40 | 75.1 | 14.6 | 5.00 | 9.6 | 23.10 | |
| 42 | 72.6 | 14.1 | 5.00 | 9.1 | 22.99 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB206B | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 206B | 344.78 | 0.25 | 28.73 |
| | | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 28.73 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 23.25
Total Storage provided 29.25
Overflow to area 206A 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.57m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 206A

3800 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

85 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.3600

Cw =

1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

42.50 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 17 | 132.6 | 140.1 | 42.50 | 97.6 | 99.56 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 130.9 | 42.50 | 88.4 | 100.72 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 126.7 | 42.50 | 84.2 | 101.06 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 122.9 | 42.50 | 80.4 | 101.25 |
| 22 | 112.9 | 119.2 | 42.50 | 76.7 | 101.31 |
| 23 | 109.7 | 115.9 | 42.50 | 73.4 | 101.25 |
| 24 | 106.7 | 112.7 | 42.50 | 70.2 | 101.08 |
| 25 | 103.6 | 109.7 | 42.50 | 67.2 | 100.81 |
| 26 | 101.2 | 106.9 | 42.50 | 64.4 | 100.44 |
| 27 | 98.7 | 104.2 | 42.50 | 61.7 | 99.99 |
| 29 | 94.0 | 99.3 | 42.50 | 56.8 | 98.86 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

0.36 m3/m

| | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB206 | 1.58 | 0.57 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.57 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

Structure to Structure

| | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| CB206-CBMH206 | 25.60 | 0.45 | 4.07 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 4.07 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

1.13 m3/m

| | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CBMH206 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| | | |
| Total: | | 1.70 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate**PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available**

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 206A | 772.10 | 0.25 | 64.34 |
| 206 | 79.40 | 0.10 | 2.65 |
| | | Total: | 66.99 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| | Length (m) | width (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 10.00 | 8.00 | 31.00 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 31.00 |

Overflow from area 206B, 215

2.94

Total Storage required

104.24

Total Storage provided

104.32

Overflow to 210A

0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 85l/s @ 2.41m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 210A | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1200 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 77 l/s

| Area(ha)= | 0.1200 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 38.50 l/s |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 5 | 242.7 | 81.0 | 38.50 | 42.5 | 12.74 | |
| 5.5 | 234.0 | 78.1 | 38.50 | 39.6 | 13.06 | |
| 6.5 | 218.6 | 72.9 | 38.50 | 34.4 | 13.42 | |
| 7.5 | 205.2 | 68.5 | 38.50 | 30.0 | 13.48 | |
| 8.5 | 193.6 | 64.6 | 38.50 | 26.1 | 13.30 | |
| 9.5 | 183.3 | 61.1 | 38.50 | 22.6 | 12.90 | |
| 10.5 | 174.1 | 58.1 | 38.50 | 19.6 | 12.34 | |
| 11.5 | 165.9 | 55.4 | 38.50 | 16.9 | 11.63 | |
| 12.5 | 158.5 | 52.9 | 38.50 | 14.4 | 10.79 | |
| 13.5 | 151.6 | 50.7 | 38.50 | 12.2 | 9.84 | |
| 15.5 | 140.2 | 46.8 | 38.50 | 8.3 | 7.68 | |

<== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB210A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 8B | 44.62 | 0.20 | 2.97 |
| Total: | | | 2.97 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 5.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| Total: | | | 10.00 |

Overflow from area 206A 0.00
 Total Storage required 13.48
 Total Storage provided 13.50
 Overflow to 206D 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 77l/s @ 1.53m head, or approved equal

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| PARKING LOT Area # 206D | |
| 400 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 14 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|-------|-------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 7.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 11 | 169.9 | 18.9 | | 7.00 | 11.9 | 7.85 |
| 12 | 162.1 | 18.0 | | 7.00 | 11.0 | 7.94 |
| 13 | 155.1 | 17.2 | | 7.00 | 10.2 | 7.99 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 16.5 | | 7.00 | 9.5 | 8.01 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 15.9 | | 7.00 | 8.9 | 8.00 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 15.3 | | 7.00 | 8.3 | 7.96 |
| 17 | 132.6 | 14.7 | | 7.00 | 7.7 | 7.90 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 14.2 | | 7.00 | 7.2 | 7.82 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 13.8 | | 7.00 | 6.8 | 7.72 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 13.3 | | 7.00 | 6.3 | 7.61 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 12.9 | | 7.00 | 5.9 | 7.47 |

<== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB206C | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 206C | 75.00 | 0.15 | 3.75 |
| Total: | | | 3.75 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 8.01
Total Surface Storage provided 9.27
Overflow to area 206D 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 14l/s @ 1.47m head, or approved equal

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Street 1, Area # 206E | |
| 500 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 60 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 30.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.94 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x I | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 0.5 | 373.4 | 48.8 | 30.00 | 18.8 | 0.56 | |
| 1 | 351.4 | 45.9 | 30.00 | 15.9 | 0.95 | |
| 1.5 | 332.1 | 43.4 | 30.00 | 13.4 | 1.21 | |
| 2 | 315.0 | 41.2 | 30.00 | 11.2 | 1.34 | |
| 2.5 | 299.8 | 39.2 | 30.00 | 9.2 | 1.37 | |
| 3 | 286.0 | 37.4 | 30.00 | 7.4 | 1.33 | |
| 3.5 | 273.7 | 35.8 | 30.00 | 5.8 | 1.21 | |
| 4 | 262.4 | 34.3 | 30.00 | 4.3 | 1.03 | |
| 4.5 | 252.1 | 32.9 | 30.00 | 2.9 | 0.79 | |
| 5 | 242.7 | 31.7 | 30.00 | 1.7 | 0.51 | |
| 5.5 | 234.0 | 30.6 | 30.00 | 0.6 | 0.19 | |

<== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|-------------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB206D | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|-------------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|-------------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|-------------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 206D | 24.81 | 0.14 | 1.16 |
| Total: | | | 1.16 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from 206C 210A 230G 0.00
Total Storage required 0.00
Total Storage provided 1.68
Overflow to future area 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 60l/s @ 1.46m head, or approved equal

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Street 1 Area # 205 | |
| 1600 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 60 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 30.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.94 | | | | | |
| Tc | i | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 8 | 199.2 | 83.3 | 30.00 | 53.3 | 25.58 | |
| 9 | 188.3 | 78.7 | 30.00 | 48.7 | 26.30 | |
| 10 | 178.6 | 74.7 | 30.00 | 44.7 | 26.79 | |
| 11 | 169.9 | 71.0 | 30.00 | 41.0 | 27.09 | |
| 12 | 162.1 | 67.8 | 30.00 | 37.8 | 27.21 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 13 | 155.1 | 64.9 | 30.00 | 34.9 | 27.18 | |
| 14 | 148.7 | 62.2 | 30.00 | 32.2 | 27.03 | |
| 15 | 142.9 | 59.7 | 30.00 | 29.7 | 26.77 | |
| 16 | 137.5 | 57.5 | 30.00 | 27.5 | 26.41 | |
| 17 | 132.6 | 55.5 | 30.00 | 25.5 | 25.96 | |
| 18 | 128.1 | 53.6 | 30.00 | 23.6 | 25.44 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB205A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB205B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB205A-CICB205B | 14.00 | 0.25 | 0.69 |
| Total: | | | 0.69 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 205 | 69.82 | 0.11 | 2.56 |
| Total: | | | 2.56 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from 204, 206D, 1/2 230G 18.13
Total Storage required 45.34
Total Storage provided 4.33
Overflow to Area Future 41.01

ICD use Tempest HF 60l/s @ 1.68m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 240A

500 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 20 | 120.0 | 16.7 | 5.00 | 11.7 | 14.01 | |
| 21 | 116.3 | 16.2 | 5.00 | 11.2 | 14.07 | |
| 22 | 112.9 | 15.7 | 5.00 | 10.7 | 14.11 | |
| 23 | 109.7 | 15.2 | 5.00 | 10.2 | 14.14 | |
| 24 | 106.7 | 14.8 | 5.00 | 9.8 | 14.15 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 25 | 103.8 | 14.4 | 5.00 | 9.4 | 14.15 | |
| 26 | 101.2 | 14.1 | 5.00 | 9.1 | 14.14 | |
| 27 | 98.7 | 13.7 | 5.00 | 8.7 | 14.12 | |
| 28 | 96.3 | 13.4 | 5.00 | 8.4 | 14.08 | |
| 29 | 94.0 | 13.1 | 5.00 | 8.1 | 14.04 | |
| 30 | 91.9 | 12.8 | 5.00 | 7.8 | 13.99 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

0.36 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|---------------|-------------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| CICB240A | 0.52 |
| CICB240B | 0.56 |
| | 0.00 |
| Total: | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
|------------------------|--------|------|-------------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB240A-CICB240B | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

1.13 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|---------------|-------------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| | 0.00 |
| | 0.00 |
| | 0.00 |
| Total: | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 240A | 79.61 | 0.10 | 2.65 |
| Total: | | | 2.65 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| Length | width | Storage |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------|
| (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 11.00 | 3.00 |
| Total: | | 10.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 14.15
Total Storage provided 14.22
1/2 Overflow to Area 204 0.00
1/2 Overflow to Area 201 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.65m head, or approved equal

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Street 1 Area # 204 | |
| 1300 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 55 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1300 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 27.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.94 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 8 | 199.2 | 67.7 | 27.50 | 40.2 | 19.28 | |
| 9 | 188.3 | 64.0 | 27.50 | 36.5 | 19.68 | |
| 10 | 178.6 | 60.7 | 27.50 | 33.2 | 19.90 | |
| 11 | 168.9 | 57.7 | 27.50 | 30.2 | 19.95 | |
| 12 | 162.1 | 55.1 | 27.50 | 27.6 | 19.86 | |
| 13 | 155.1 | 52.7 | 27.50 | 25.2 | 19.65 | |
| 14 | 148.7 | 50.5 | 27.50 | 23.0 | 19.34 | |
| 15 | 142.9 | 48.5 | 27.50 | 21.0 | 18.94 | |
| 16 | 137.5 | 46.7 | 27.50 | 19.2 | 18.46 | |
| 17 | 132.6 | 45.1 | 27.50 | 17.6 | 17.91 | |
| 18 | 128.1 | 43.5 | 27.50 | 16.0 | 17.29 | |

<=== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB204A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB204B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB204A-CICB204B | 15.00 | 0.25 | 0.74 |
| Total: | | | 0.74 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from area 1/2 240A 0.00
Total Storage required 19.95
Total Storage provided 1.82
Overflow to Area 205 18.13

ICD use Tempest HF 55l/s @ 1.51m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area 240D | |
|-----------------------|--|
| 500 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 10 l/s

| Area(ha)= | 0.0500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 16 | 137.5 | 19.1 | 5.00 | 14.1 | 13.55 | |
| 18 | 128.1 | 17.8 | 5.00 | 12.8 | 13.83 | |
| 20 | 120.0 | 16.7 | 5.00 | 11.7 | 14.01 | |
| 22 | 112.9 | 15.7 | 5.00 | 10.7 | 14.11 | |
| 24 | 106.7 | 14.8 | 5.00 | 9.8 | 14.15 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 26 | 101.2 | 14.1 | 5.00 | 9.1 | 14.14 | |
| 28 | 96.3 | 13.4 | 5.00 | 8.4 | 14.08 | |
| 30 | 91.9 | 12.8 | 5.00 | 7.8 | 13.99 | |
| 32 | 87.9 | 12.2 | 5.00 | 7.2 | 13.85 | |
| 34 | 84.3 | 11.7 | 5.00 | 6.7 | 13.69 | |
| 35 | 82.6 | 11.5 | 5.00 | 6.5 | 13.60 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB240D | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| Pipe storage | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 240C | 204.50 | 0.14 | 9.54 |
| Total: | | | 9.54 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.54m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| Cell storage | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

Total Storage required 14.15
Total Storage provided 15.07
Overflow to Area 201 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.46m head, or approved equal

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Street 1 Area 201 | |
| 2900 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 60 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|-------|-------|--------------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.2900 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 30.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.94 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x C x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 19 | 123.9 | 93.9 | | 30.00 | 63.9 | 72.81 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 90.9 | | 30.00 | 60.9 | 73.08 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 88.1 | | 30.00 | 58.1 | 73.25 |
| 22 | 112.9 | 85.5 | | 30.00 | 55.5 | 73.32 |
| 23 | 109.7 | 83.1 | | 30.00 | 53.1 | 73.31 |
| 24 | 106.7 | 80.8 | | 30.00 | 50.8 | 73.21 |
| 25 | 103.8 | 78.7 | | 30.00 | 48.7 | 73.05 |
| 26 | 101.2 | 76.7 | | 30.00 | 46.7 | 72.82 |
| 27 | 98.7 | 74.6 | | 30.00 | 44.8 | 72.52 |
| 28 | 96.3 | 73.0 | | 30.00 | 43.0 | 72.17 |
| 29 | 94.0 | 71.2 | | 30.00 | 41.2 | 71.77 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | | |
|----------------|--------|-------------|--|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage | |
| | (m) | (m3) | |
| CICB201A** | 1.45 | 0.52 | |
| CICB201B** | 1.65 | 0.59 | |
| Total: | | 2.23 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|-------------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB201A - CICB201B | 15.00 | 0.25 | 0.74 |
| Total: | | | 0.74 |

**double CB's, volume x 2.

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | | |
|----------------|--------|-------------|--|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage | |
| | (m) | (m3) | |
| Total: | | 0.00 | |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 100yr Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|--------------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 201 | 649.30 | 0.29 | 62.77 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 62.77 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from Area 240D, 122 0.00
Total Storage required 73.32
Total Storage provided 65.73
overflow to future area 7.69

ICD use Tempest HF 60l/s @ 1.71m head, or approved equal

OUTLET # 1 (MH301) SUMMARY

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Total Flow from Roofs= | 18.00 l/s |
| Total Roof Area = | 0.750 Ha |
| Average roof flow = | 24.00 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Roofs | 357.15 cm |
| Total Roof Storage rate | 489.54 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total flow from parking lot = | 1006.00 l/s |
| Total parking Lot area = | 3.420 Ha |
| Average parking lot flow = | 294.15 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Parking lot | 815.08 cm |
| Total Parking lot Storage rate | 238.33 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total uncontrolled flow from site | 38.72 l/s |
| Total uncontrolled area | 0.110 Ha |
| | |
| Total flow | 1062.72 l/s |
| Total area | 4.280 Ha |
| Average flow | 248.30 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored | 1182.23 cm |
| Total Storage rate | 276.22 cm/Ha |



IBI
333 Preston St
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1S 5N4

ONSITE SWM 100yr design
PROJECT: Arcadia commercial
CITY OF OTTAWA
DEVELOPER Minto

PAGE: 1 OF 1
JOB #: 35355
DATE: Oct 1, 2014
DESIGN: DY
Rev#3

Outlet # 2 EX MH 301
100yr design

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOW - Flow Restricted to 240 l/s/Ha

Time of concentration = 10 minutes

Area (ha) = 0.800
C Average = 0.90

Intensity - 5 year event storm

10 min Tc $i_{5yr} = 998.071 / (T + 6.053)^{0.814} =$ 104.2 mm/hr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc $Q_{pre-devo} = 2.78 * A * C_w * i =$ 208.55 l/s

Restricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc $Q = 240 \text{ l/s/Ha}$ 192.00 l/s

Intensity - 100 year event storm

10 min Tc $i_{100yr} = 1735.688 / (T + 6.014)^{0.82} =$ 178.6 mm/hr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q100)

10 min Tc $Q_{post-devo} = 2.78 * A * C_w * i =$ 357.40 l/s

Restricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc $Q = 240 \text{ l/s/Ha}$ 192.00 l/s

Uncontrolled runoff (Q100)

| Location | Area | C | AxC |
|--------------|------------------------|------|----------|
| UNC 1A | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| UNC 1B | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| UNC 1C | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| UNC 1D | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| | | | 0 |
| Total | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.016 |
| 10 min Tc | $Q_{uno} = 2.78 A C_i$ | | 7.94 l/s |

Allowable Release

$Q_{rest 100yr} - Q_{unc} = Q_{allow}$

184.06 l/s

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT - Post-Development Controlled

(5 year post-development with 100yr inlets)

ROOF AREA 500

900 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0900

Cw =

1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

2.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 100 | 37.9 | 9.5 | 2.00 | 7.5 | 44.90 |
| 102 | 37.3 | 9.3 | 2.00 | 7.3 | 44.92 |
| 104 | 36.8 | 9.2 | 2.00 | 7.2 | 44.93 |
| 106 | 36.2 | 9.1 | 2.00 | 7.1 | 44.93 |
| 108 | 35.7 | 8.9 | 2.00 | 6.9 | 44.93 |
| 110 | 35.2 | 8.8 | 2.00 | 6.8 | 44.93 |
| 112 | 34.7 | 8.7 | 2.00 | 6.7 | 44.92 |
| 114 | 34.2 | 8.6 | 2.00 | 6.6 | 44.91 |
| 116 | 33.8 | 8.5 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 44.90 |
| 118 | 33.3 | 8.3 | 2.00 | 6.3 | 44.88 |
| 120 | 32.9 | 8.2 | 2.00 | 6.2 | 44.86 |
| 122 | 32.5 | 8.1 | 2.00 | 6.1 | 44.83 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 44.93 m3

Average depth 0.050 m

ROOF AREA 600

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0600

Cw =

1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

2.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 66 | 52.0 | 8.7 | 2.00 | 6.7 | 26.46 |
| 68 | 50.9 | 8.5 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 26.47 |
| 70 | 49.8 | 8.3 | 2.00 | 6.3 | 26.48 |
| 72 | 48.7 | 8.1 | 2.00 | 6.1 | 26.48 |
| 74 | 47.7 | 8.0 | 2.00 | 6.0 | 26.48 |
| 76 | 46.8 | 7.8 | 2.00 | 5.8 | 26.46 |
| 78 | 45.9 | 7.7 | 2.00 | 5.7 | 26.45 |
| 80 | 45.0 | 7.5 | 2.00 | 5.5 | 26.42 |
| 82 | 44.2 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 26.39 |
| 84 | 43.3 | 7.2 | 2.00 | 5.2 | 26.36 |
| 86 | 42.6 | 7.1 | 2.00 | 5.1 | 26.32 |
| 88 | 41.8 | 7.0 | 2.00 | 5.0 | 26.28 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 26.48 m3

Average depth 0.044 m

ROOF AREA 700

1000 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1000 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 2.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 115 | 34.0 | 9.5 | 2.00 | 7.5 | 51.43 | |
| 117 | 33.6 | 9.3 | 2.00 | 7.3 | 51.44 | |
| 119 | 33.1 | 9.2 | 2.00 | 7.2 | 51.44 | |
| 121 | 32.7 | 9.1 | 2.00 | 7.1 | 51.44 | <=== Required volume for roof storage |
| 123 | 32.3 | 9.0 | 2.00 | 7.0 | 51.44 | |
| 125 | 31.9 | 8.9 | 2.00 | 6.9 | 51.43 | |
| 127 | 31.5 | 8.7 | 2.00 | 6.7 | 51.42 | |
| 129 | 31.1 | 8.6 | 2.00 | 6.6 | 51.41 | |
| 131 | 30.7 | 8.5 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 51.39 | |
| 133 | 30.4 | 8.4 | 2.00 | 6.4 | 51.37 | |
| 135 | 30.0 | 8.3 | 2.00 | 6.3 | 51.35 | |
| 137 | 29.7 | 8.2 | 2.00 | 6.2 | 51.32 | |

Req. Storage volume **51.44** m3
Average depth **0.051** m

ROOF AREA 800

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 2.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 66 | 52.0 | 8.7 | 2.00 | 6.7 | 26.46 | |
| 68 | 50.9 | 8.5 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 26.47 | |
| 70 | 49.8 | 8.3 | 2.00 | 6.3 | 26.48 | |
| 72 | 48.7 | 8.1 | 2.00 | 6.1 | 26.48 | <=== Required volume for roof storage |
| 74 | 47.7 | 8.0 | 2.00 | 6.0 | 26.48 | |
| 76 | 46.8 | 7.8 | 2.00 | 5.8 | 26.46 | |
| 78 | 45.9 | 7.7 | 2.00 | 5.7 | 26.45 | |
| 80 | 45.0 | 7.5 | 2.00 | 5.5 | 26.42 | |
| 82 | 44.2 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 26.39 | |
| 84 | 43.3 | 7.2 | 2.00 | 5.2 | 26.36 | |
| 86 | 42.6 | 7.1 | 2.00 | 5.1 | 26.32 | |
| 88 | 41.8 | 7.0 | 2.00 | 5.0 | 26.28 | |

Req. Storage volume **26.48** m3
Average depth **0.044** m

PARKING LOT Area # 120

1100 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

15 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)= 0.1100

Cw = 1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

7.50 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 31 | 89.8 | 27.5 | 7.50 | 20.0 | 37.14 |
| 32 | 87.9 | 26.9 | 7.50 | 19.4 | 37.20 |
| 33 | 86.0 | 26.3 | 7.50 | 18.8 | 37.24 |
| 34 | 84.3 | 25.8 | 7.50 | 18.3 | 37.27 |
| 35 | 82.6 | 25.3 | 7.50 | 17.8 | 37.28 |
| 36 | 81.0 | 24.8 | 7.50 | 17.3 | 37.28 |
| 37 | 79.4 | 24.3 | 7.50 | 16.8 | 37.26 |
| 38 | 77.9 | 23.8 | 7.50 | 16.3 | 37.24 |
| 39 | 76.5 | 23.4 | 7.50 | 15.9 | 37.20 |
| 40 | 75.1 | 23.0 | 7.50 | 15.5 | 37.15 |
| 41 | 73.8 | 22.6 | 7.50 | 15.1 | 37.09 |

<==== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB120 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING | Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 120 | 407.88 | 0.25 | 33.99 |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 33.99 |

Total Storage required 37.28
Total Storage provided 34.51
Overflow to area 231 2.77

ICD use Tempest LMF 15l/s @ 1.47m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 100

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

30 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 15.00 l/s | | | |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 6 | 226.0 | 37.7 | 15.00 | 22.7 | 8.17 |
| 7 | 211.7 | 35.3 | 15.00 | 20.3 | 8.53 |
| 8 | 199.2 | 33.2 | 15.00 | 18.2 | 8.75 |
| 9 | 188.3 | 31.4 | 15.00 | 16.4 | 8.86 |
| 10 | 178.6 | 29.8 | 15.00 | 14.8 | 8.87 |
| 11 | 169.9 | 28.3 | 15.00 | 13.3 | 8.80 |
| 12 | 162.1 | 27.0 | 15.00 | 12.0 | 8.67 |
| 13 | 155.1 | 25.9 | 15.00 | 10.9 | 8.48 |
| 14 | 148.7 | 24.8 | 15.00 | 9.8 | 8.24 |
| 15 | 142.9 | 23.8 | 15.00 | 8.8 | 7.95 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 22.9 | 15.00 | 7.9 | 7.63 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB100A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB100B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|-------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB100A-CICB100B | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING I Maximum available

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 100 | 78.00 | 0.10 | 2.60 |
| Total: | | | 2.60 |

Total Storage required 8.87
Total Storage provided 4.17
Overflow to area 231 4.70

ICD use Tempest LMF 30l/s @ 1.51m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 110

1200 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

40 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1200 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 20.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x I | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 11 | 169.9 | 56.7 | 20.00 | 36.7 | 24.21 | |
| 13 | 155.1 | 51.7 | 20.00 | 31.7 | 24.76 | |
| 14 | 148.7 | 49.6 | 20.00 | 29.6 | 24.88 | |
| 15 | 142.9 | 47.7 | 20.00 | 27.7 | 24.90 | |
| 16 | 137.5 | 45.9 | 20.00 | 25.9 | 24.85 | |
| 17 | 132.6 | 44.2 | 20.00 | 24.2 | 24.73 | |
| 18 | 128.1 | 42.7 | 20.00 | 22.7 | 24.55 | |
| 19 | 123.9 | 41.3 | 20.00 | 21.3 | 24.31 | |
| 20 | 120.0 | 40.0 | 20.00 | 20.0 | 24.02 | |
| 21 | 116.3 | 38.8 | 20.00 | 18.8 | 23.68 | |
| 23 | 109.7 | 36.6 | 20.00 | 16.6 | 22.89 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB= 0.36m3/m

| | | | |
|-------------|--------|---------|--|
| .45ecb= | Height | Storage | |
| | (m) | (m3) | |
| CB110B | 1.21 | 0.44 | |
| CB110A | 1.48 | 0.53 | |
| 6 x ECB/TCB | 1.00 | 0.96 | |
| | | 0.00 | |
| Total: | | 1.92 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
|------------------|--------|------|---------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH110A-MH110 | 14.50 | 0.60 | 4.10 |
| CB110A - CBMH110 | 23.00 | 0.30 | 1.63 |
| ECB-CB110A | 95.00 | 0.30 | 6.72 |
| CB110B - CBMH110 | 20.00 | 0.30 | 1.41 |
| Total: | | | 13.85 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia MH's=1.13m3/m

1.5mDia MH's=1.77m3/m

| | | | |
|----------|--------|---------|--|
| | Height | Storage | |
| | (m) | (m3) | |
| CBMH110A | 1.56 | 2.76 | |
| MH110 | 1.67 | 2.11 | |
| Total: | | 4.87 | |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING I Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

Total Storage required 24.90
Total Storage provided 20.65
Overflow to area 221 4.25

ICD use Tempest HF 40l/s @ 1.7m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 123

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

15 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | |
|-----------|--------|----------------------------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | 7.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 1.00 | | |

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 17 | 132.6 | 22.1 | 7.50 | 14.6 | 14.91 |
| 18 | 128.1 | 21.4 | 7.50 | 13.9 | 14.97 |
| 19 | 123.9 | 20.7 | 7.50 | 13.2 | 15.00 |
| 20 | 120.0 | 20.0 | 7.50 | 12.5 | 15.01 |
| 21 | 116.3 | 19.4 | 7.50 | 11.9 | 14.99 |
| 22 | 112.9 | 18.8 | 7.50 | 11.3 | 14.95 |
| 23 | 109.7 | 18.3 | 7.50 | 10.8 | 14.90 |
| 24 | 106.7 | 17.8 | 7.50 | 10.3 | 14.82 |
| 25 | 103.8 | 17.3 | 7.50 | 9.8 | 14.73 |
| 26 | 101.2 | 16.9 | 7.50 | 9.4 | 14.63 |
| 28 | 96.3 | 16.1 | 7.50 | 8.6 | 14.38 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB123 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
|--------------|--------|-----|---------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING I Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 123 | 36.40 | 0.10 | 1.21 |
| Total: | | | 1.21 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 15.01
Total Storage provided 1.74
Overflow to area 231 13.27

ICD use Tempest LMF 15l/s @ 1.22m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area #122

600 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0600

Cw =

1.00

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

5.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 25 | 103.8 | 17.3 | 5.00 | 12.3 | 18.46 |
| 26 | 101.2 | 16.9 | 5.00 | 11.9 | 18.53 |
| 27 | 98.7 | 16.5 | 5.00 | 11.5 | 18.56 |
| 28 | 96.3 | 16.1 | 5.00 | 11.1 | 18.58 |
| 29 | 94.0 | 15.7 | 5.00 | 10.7 | 18.59 |
| 30 | 91.9 | 15.3 | 5.00 | 10.3 | 18.56 |
| 31 | 89.8 | 15.0 | 5.00 | 10.0 | 18.57 |
| 32 | 87.9 | 14.7 | 5.00 | 9.7 | 18.55 |
| 33 | 86.0 | 14.4 | 5.00 | 9.4 | 18.51 |
| 34 | 84.3 | 14.1 | 5.00 | 9.1 | 18.47 |
| 35 | 82.6 | 13.8 | 5.00 | 8.8 | 18.43 |

<==== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB

0.36 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|--------|---------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| CB122 | 1.45 |
| | 0.52 |
| | 0.00 |
| Total: | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
|--------------|--------|-----|---------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

1.13 m3/m

| Height | Storage |
|--------|---------|
| (m) | (m3) |
| | 0.00 |
| Total: | 0.00 |

PARKING I Maximum available

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| Length | width | Storage |
|------------|-------|---------|
| (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 | 5.00 | 10.00 |
| | | 19.00 |
| Total: | | 19.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 18.59
Total Storage provided 19.52
Overflow to area 201 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.26m head, or approved equal

Outlet # 2 (MH301) SUMMARY

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Total Flow from Roofs= | 8.00 l/s |
| Total Roof Area = | 0.310 Ha |
| Average roof flow = | 25.81 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Roofs | 149.34 cm |
| Total Roof Storage rate | 481.73 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total flow from parking lot = | 110.00 l/s |
| Total parking Lot area = | 0.410 Ha |
| Average parking lot flow = | 268.29 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Parking lot | 80.59 cm |
| Total Parking lot Storage rate | 196.56 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total uncontrolled flow from site | 7.94 l/s |
| Total uncontrolled area | 0.080 Ha |
| | |
| Total flow | 125.94 l/s |
| Total area | 0.80 Ha |
| Average flow | 157.43 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored | 229.93 cm |
| Total Storage rate | 287.41 cm/Ha |

Outlet # 1 & 2 SUMMARY

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Total Flow from Roofs= | 26.00 l/s |
| Total Roof Area = | 1.06 Ha |
| Average roof flow = | 24.53 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Roofs | 516.49 cm |
| Total Roof Storage rate | 487.25 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total flow from parking lot = | 1116.00 l/s |
| Total parking Lot area = | 3.83 Ha |
| Average parking lot flow = | 291.38 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Parking lot | 895.67 cm |
| Total Parking lot Storage rate | 233.86 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total uncontrolled flow from site | 46.66 l/s |
| Total uncontrolled area | 0.190 Ha |
| | |
| Total flow | 1188.66 l/s |
| Total area | 5.080 Ha |
| Average flow | 233.99 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored | 1412.16 cm |
| Total Storage rate | 277.98 cm/Ha |



IBI
333 Preston St
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1S 5N4

ONSITE SWM 100yr design
PROJECT: Arcadia commercial
CITY OF OTTAWA
DEVELOPER Minlo

PAGE: 1 OF 1
JOB #: 35355
DATE: Oct 1, 2014
DESIGN: DY
Rev#3

Outlet EX MH 303
5yr design

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOW - Flow Restricted to 240 l/s/Ha

Time of concentration = 10 minutes

Area (ha) = 4.280
C Average = 0.90

Intensity - 5 year event storm

10 min Tc | $i_{5yr} = 998.071 / (T + 6.053)^{0.814} =$ 104.2 mm/hr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc | $Q_{pre-devo} = 2.78 * A * Cw * i =$ 1115.76 l/s

Restricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc | $Q = 85 \text{ l/s/Ha}$ 363.80 l/s

Intensity - 100 year event storm

10 min Tc | $i_{100yr} = 1735.688 / (T + 6.014)^{0.82} =$ 178.6 mm/hr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q100)

10 min Tc | $Q_{post-devo} = 2.78 * A * Cw * i =$ 1912.11 l/s

Restricted Flowrate (Q_{rest 100yr})

10 min Tc | $Q = 240 \text{ l/s/Ha}$ 1027.20 l/s

Uncontrolled runoff (Q5)

| Location | Area | C | AxC |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Area 216 A | 0.03 | 0.2 | 0.006 |
| Area 216B | 0.04 | 0.9 | 0.036 |
| Depressed Loading BLK900-230G | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.018 |
| Depressed Loading BLK900-240C | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.018 |
| Total | 0.11 | 0.71 | 0.078 |

10 min Tc | $Q_{unc} = 2.78 A C_i$ 22.59 l/s

Allowable Release

$Q_{rest 100yr} - Q_{unc} = Q_{allow}$

1004.61 l/s

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT - Post-Development Controlled

(5 year post-development with 100yr inlets)

ROOF BLOCK 100

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

| Qp (l/s) | | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 2.00 l/s | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Cw = | 0.90 | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| Tc Variable | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | | | | |
| 34 | 49.5 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 11.08 |
| 36 | 47.6 | 7.1 | 2.00 | 5.1 | 11.11 |
| 38 | 45.8 | 6.9 | 2.00 | 4.9 | 11.12 |
| 40 | 44.2 | 6.6 | 2.00 | 4.6 | 11.12 |
| 42 | 42.7 | 6.4 | 2.00 | 4.4 | 11.11 |
| 44 | 41.3 | 6.2 | 2.00 | 4.2 | 11.08 |
| 46 | 40.0 | 6.0 | 2.00 | 4.0 | 11.05 |
| 48 | 38.8 | 5.8 | 2.00 | 3.8 | 11.01 |
| 50 | 37.7 | 5.7 | 2.00 | 3.7 | 10.96 |
| 52 | 36.6 | 5.5 | 2.00 | 3.5 | 10.90 |
| 54 | 35.6 | 5.3 | 2.00 | 3.3 | 10.83 |
| 56 | 34.7 | 5.2 | 2.00 | 3.2 | 10.76 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 11.12 m3
Average depth 0.019 m

ROOF BLOCK 200

400 sm

100 -YR FLOW

| Qp (l/s) | | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 1.00 l/s | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Cw = | 0.90 | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| Tc Variable | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | | | | |
| 47 | 39.4 | 3.9 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 8.29 |
| 49 | 38.2 | 3.8 | 1.00 | 2.8 | 8.30 |
| 51 | 37.1 | 3.7 | 1.00 | 2.7 | 8.31 |
| 53 | 36.1 | 3.6 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 8.31 |
| 55 | 35.1 | 3.5 | 1.00 | 2.5 | 8.30 |
| 57 | 34.2 | 3.4 | 1.00 | 2.4 | 8.29 |
| 59 | 33.4 | 3.3 | 1.00 | 2.3 | 8.28 |
| 61 | 32.5 | 3.3 | 1.00 | 2.3 | 8.26 |
| 63 | 31.8 | 3.2 | 1.00 | 2.2 | 8.24 |
| 65 | 31.0 | 3.1 | 1.00 | 2.1 | 8.22 |
| 67 | 30.4 | 3.0 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 8.19 |
| 69 | 29.7 | 3.0 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 8.16 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 8.31 m3
Average depth 0.021 m

ROOF BLOCK 300

400 sm

100 -YR FLOW

| Qp (l/s) | | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 1.00 l/s | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Cw = | 0.90 | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| Tc Variable | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | | | | |
| 47 | 39.4 | 3.9 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 8.29 |
| 49 | 38.2 | 3.8 | 1.00 | 2.8 | 8.30 |
| 51 | 37.1 | 3.7 | 1.00 | 2.7 | 8.31 |
| 53 | 36.1 | 3.6 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 8.31 |
| 55 | 35.1 | 3.5 | 1.00 | 2.5 | 8.30 |
| 57 | 34.2 | 3.4 | 1.00 | 2.4 | 8.29 |
| 59 | 33.4 | 3.3 | 1.00 | 2.3 | 8.28 |
| 61 | 32.5 | 3.3 | 1.00 | 2.3 | 8.26 |
| 63 | 31.8 | 3.2 | 1.00 | 2.2 | 8.24 |
| 65 | 31.0 | 3.1 | 1.00 | 2.1 | 8.22 |
| 67 | 30.4 | 3.0 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 8.19 |
| 69 | 29.7 | 3.0 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 8.16 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 8.31 m3
Average depth 0.021 m

| ROOF BLOCK 400 | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| 1500 sm | | | | | |
| 100-YR FLOW | | | | | |
| Qp (l/s) | | | | | |
| Area(ha)= | 0.1500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | |
| Cw = | 0.90 | 4.00 l/s | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 43 | 42.0 | 15.8 | 4.00 | 11.8 | 30.32 |
| 45 | 40.6 | 15.2 | 4.00 | 11.2 | 30.37 |
| 47 | 39.4 | 14.8 | 4.00 | 10.8 | 30.39 |
| 49 | 38.2 | 14.3 | 4.00 | 10.3 | 30.40 |
| 51 | 37.1 | 13.9 | 4.00 | 9.9 | 30.38 |
| 53 | 36.1 | 13.5 | 4.00 | 9.5 | 30.35 |
| 55 | 35.1 | 13.2 | 4.00 | 9.2 | 30.30 |
| 57 | 34.2 | 12.8 | 4.00 | 8.8 | 30.23 |
| 59 | 33.4 | 12.5 | 4.00 | 8.5 | 30.15 |
| 61 | 32.5 | 12.2 | 4.00 | 8.2 | 30.06 |
| 63 | 31.8 | 11.9 | 4.00 | 7.9 | 29.96 |
| 65 | 31.0 | 11.7 | 4.00 | 7.7 | 29.84 |

<=== Required volume for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 30.40 m3
Average depth 0.020 m

| ROOF BLOCK 900 | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| 4600 sm | | | | | |
| 100-YR FLOW | | | | | |
| Qp (l/s) | | | | | |
| Area(ha)= | 0.4600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | |
| Cw = | 0.90 | 10.00 l/s | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 54 | 35.6 | 41.0 | 10.00 | 31.0 | 100.35 |
| 56 | 34.7 | 39.9 | 10.00 | 29.9 | 100.44 |
| 58 | 33.8 | 38.9 | 10.00 | 28.9 | 100.49 |
| 60 | 32.9 | 37.9 | 10.00 | 27.9 | 100.49 |
| 62 | 32.2 | 37.0 | 10.00 | 27.0 | 100.46 |
| 64 | 31.4 | 36.1 | 10.00 | 26.1 | 100.39 |
| 66 | 30.7 | 35.3 | 10.00 | 25.3 | 100.29 |
| 68 | 30.0 | 34.5 | 10.00 | 24.5 | 100.15 |
| 70 | 29.4 | 33.8 | 10.00 | 23.8 | 99.98 |
| 72 | 28.8 | 33.1 | 10.00 | 23.1 | 99.78 |
| 74 | 28.2 | 32.4 | 10.00 | 22.4 | 99.56 |
| 76 | 27.6 | 31.8 | 10.00 | 21.8 | 99.31 |

<=== Required volume for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 100.49 m3
Average depth 0.022 m

PARKING LOT Area # 221

2900 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

85 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.2900 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 42.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 102.4 | 42.50 | 59.9 | 17.98 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 95.5 | 42.50 | 53.0 | 19.07 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 89.5 | 42.50 | 47.0 | 19.73 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 84.2 | 42.50 | 41.7 | 20.04 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 79.7 | 42.50 | 37.2 | 20.07 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 10 | 104.2 | 75.6 | 42.50 | 33.1 | 19.86 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 72.0 | 42.50 | 29.5 | 19.45 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 68.7 | 42.50 | 26.2 | 18.87 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 65.8 | 42.50 | 23.3 | 18.14 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 63.1 | 42.50 | 20.6 | 17.28 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 60.6 | 42.50 | 18.1 | 16.31 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB221A | 1.00 | 0.36 |
| CB221B | 1.30 | 0.47 |
| CB221C | 1.50 | 0.54 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 1.37 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB221A - CBMH221 | 17.05 | 0.45 | 2.71 |
| CB221B - CB221C | 12.00 | 0.45 | 1.91 |
| CB221C-CBMH221 | 18.50 | 0.45 | 2.94 |
| CBMH221 - MH221 | 33.38 | 0.60 | 9.44 |
| Total: | | | 17.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------|
| CBMH's | | |
| 1.2m dia=1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| 1.8m dia=2.54m3/m | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH221(1.2m) | 2.20 | 2.49 |
| MH221 (1.8m) | 2.20 | 5.59 |
| Total: | | 2.49 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 221C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------|
| MH's | | |
| 1.8m dia=2.54m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| MH500 | 2.20 | 5.59 |
| Total: | | 5.59 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| MH500 - MH221 | 12.00 | 1.05 | 10.39 |
| 15X6 Triton M-6 storage cell | | | 33.00 |
| Total: | | | 43.39 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| Overflow from area 110 | 4.25 |
| Total Storage required | 24.32 |
| Total Storage provided | 69.83 |
| Overflow to Area 230A | 0.00 |

ICD use Tempest HF 85l/s @ 2.35m head, or approved equal

| |
|-------------------------------|
| PARKING LOT Area # 231 |
| 6800 sm |
| 100 -YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 150 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.6800 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 75.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 209.8 | 75.00 | 134.8 | 56.61 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 186.8 | 75.00 | 111.8 | 60.37 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 177.3 | 75.00 | 102.3 | 61.36 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 169.8 | 75.00 | 93.9 | 61.88 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 161.1 | 75.00 | 86.1 | 62.00 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 154.2 | 75.00 | 79.2 | 61.77 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 147.9 | 75.00 | 72.9 | 61.24 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 142.2 | 75.00 | 67.2 | 60.44 | |
| 16 | 80.5 | 136.9 | 75.00 | 61.9 | 59.42 | |
| 17 | 77.6 | 132.0 | 75.00 | 57.0 | 58.18 | |
| 19 | 72.5 | 123.4 | 75.00 | 48.4 | 55.17 | |

<==== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB233-CBMH233 | 15.60 | 0.60 | 4.41 |
| CBMH233-CBMH232 | 34.20 | 0.60 | 9.67 |
| CB232-CBMH323 | 15.60 | 0.60 | 4.41 |
| CBMH232 - CBMH231 | 34.20 | 0.60 | 9.67 |
| CB231-CBMH231 | 15.60 | 0.60 | 4.41 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 32.57 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's=1.13m3/m | | |
| 1.5m dia=1.77m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH233 (1.5m) | 1.42 | 2.5134 |
| CBMH232 (1.5m) | 1.49 | 2.6373 |
| CBMH231 (1.5m) | 1.53 | 2.7081 |
| | | |
| Total: | | 7.86 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 231 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 232 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 233 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------|
| MH's | | |
| 1.8m dia=2.55m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH231A | 1.81 | 4.62 |
| CBMH232A | 1.70 | 4.34 |
| CBMH233A | 1.64 | 4.18 |
| | | |
| Total: | | 13.13 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| MH501 - MH230 | 68.40 | 0.60 | 19.34 |
| 10X18 Triton M-6 storage cell | | | 67.00 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 86.34 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from area 100, 123 20.74
Total Storage required 62.74
Total Storage provided 139.90
Overflow to area 230A 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 150l/s @ 2.25m head, or approved equal

| |
|--------------------------------|
| PARKING LOT Area # 230B |
| 1900 sm |
| 100 -YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 70 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1900 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 35.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 2 | 182.7 | 88.8 | 35.00 | 51.8 | 6.22 | |
| 4 | 152.5 | 72.5 | 35.00 | 37.5 | 9.00 | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 67.1 | 35.00 | 32.1 | 9.63 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 62.6 | 35.00 | 27.5 | 9.92 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 7 | 123.3 | 58.6 | 35.00 | 23.6 | 9.92 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 55.2 | 35.00 | 20.2 | 9.69 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 52.2 | 35.00 | 17.2 | 9.28 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 49.5 | 35.00 | 14.5 | 8.72 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 47.2 | 35.00 | 12.2 | 8.02 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 45.0 | 35.00 | 10.0 | 7.21 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 41.3 | 35.00 | 6.3 | 5.31 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CB230B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230A - CB230B | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 229C | 207.80 | 0.13 | 9.00 |
| Total: | | | 9.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Overflow from area 221 & 231 | 0.00 |
| Total Storage required | 9.92 |
| Total Storage provided | 10.58 |
| Overflow to area 230D | 0.00 |

ICD use Tempest HF 70l/s @ 1.71m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 230C

300 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0300 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 3 | 166.1 | 12.5 | 5.00 | 7.5 | 1.34 | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 10.6 | 5.00 | 5.6 | 1.68 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 9.9 | 5.00 | 4.9 | 1.76 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 9.3 | 5.00 | 4.3 | 1.79 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 8.7 | 5.00 | 3.7 | 1.78 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 8.2 | 5.00 | 3.2 | 1.75 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 7.8 | 5.00 | 2.8 | 1.69 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 7.4 | 5.00 | 2.4 | 1.61 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 7.1 | 5.00 | 2.1 | 1.52 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 6.8 | 5.00 | 1.8 | 1.41 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 6.3 | 5.00 | 1.3 | 1.14 | |

<== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230C | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

Total Storage required 1.79
Total Storage provided 5.52
Overflow to area 230D 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.4m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area 230D | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| 1300 sm | | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | | |
| Qp (l/s) | | |
| Area(ha)= | 0.1300 | |
| Cw = | 0.90 | |
| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 33.50 l/s | | |
| Tc | Qp | |
| Variable | 2.78 x Area x c x i | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) |
| 0 | 230.5 | 75.0 |
| 1 | 203.5 | 66.2 |
| 2 | 182.7 | 59.4 |
| 3 | 166.1 | 54.0 |
| 4 | 152.5 | 49.6 |
| 5 | 141.2 | 45.9 |
| 6 | 131.6 | 42.8 |
| 7 | 123.3 | 40.1 |
| 8 | 116.1 | 37.8 |
| 9 | 109.8 | 35.7 |
| 11 | 99.2 | 32.3 |

Flow restricted to 67 l/s

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230D | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CB230E | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230D-CB230E | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 230D | 103.00 | 0.07 | 2.40 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 2.40 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from 230A, 230C 0.00
Total Storage required 3.87
Total Storage provided 3.97
Overflow to area 230G 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 67l/s @ 1.68m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area 230F

700 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

38 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)= 0.0700

Cw = 0.90

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

19.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | I (mm/hour) | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x I (l/s) | Qm (l/s) | Qp-Qm (l/s) | Volume (m3) |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | 203.5 | 35.6 | 19.00 | 16.6 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 182.7 | 32.0 | 19.00 | 13.0 | 1.56 |
| 3 | 166.1 | 29.1 | 19.00 | 10.1 | 1.82 |
| 4 | 152.5 | 26.7 | 19.00 | 7.7 | 1.85 |
| 5 | 141.2 | 24.7 | 19.00 | 5.7 | 1.72 |
| 6 | 131.6 | 23.0 | 19.00 | 4.0 | 1.46 |
| 7 | 123.3 | 21.6 | 19.00 | 2.6 | 1.09 |
| 8 | 116.1 | 20.3 | 19.00 | 1.3 | 0.64 |
| 9 | 109.8 | 19.2 | 19.00 | 0.2 | 0.12 |
| 10 | 104.2 | 18.2 | 19.00 | -0.8 | -0.45 |
| 12 | 94.7 | 16.6 | 19.00 | -2.4 | -1.74 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB203F | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert, less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 18A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| Cell storage | Length (m) | width (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

Overflow from Area 223 0.00
Total Storage required 1.85
Total Storage provided 5.52
Overflow to Area 230G 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 39l/s @ 1.53m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area 230G

1700 sm

100-YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

53 l/s

| Qp (l/s) | | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 26.50 l/s |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1700 | | | | | |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | i | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 60.0 | 26.50 | 33.5 | 10.06 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 56.0 | 26.50 | 29.5 | 10.61 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 52.4 | 26.50 | 25.9 | 10.90 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 49.4 | 26.50 | 22.9 | 10.99 | <==== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 9 | 109.8 | 46.7 | 26.50 | 20.2 | 10.91 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 44.3 | 26.50 | 17.8 | 10.69 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 42.2 | 26.50 | 15.7 | 10.36 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 40.3 | 26.50 | 13.8 | 9.92 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 38.5 | 26.50 | 12.0 | 9.40 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 37.0 | 26.50 | 10.5 | 8.60 | |
| 16 | 80.5 | 34.2 | 26.50 | 7.7 | 7.41 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230G | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CB230H | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230G-CB230H | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 230G | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| | Length | width | Storage |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 12.00 | 6.00 | 26.00 |
| Total: | | | 26.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

| | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| overflow from 230D, 230F | 0.00 |
| Total Storage required | 10.99 |
| Total Storage provided | 27.57 |
| 1/2 Overflow to Area 206D | 0.00 |
| 1/2 Overflow to Area 205 | 0.00 |

ICD use Tempest HF 53l/s @ 1.71m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area 230I | |
|-----------------------|--|
| 300 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 11 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0300 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 3 | 166.1 | 12.5 | 5.50 | 7.0 | 1.25 | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 10.6 | 5.50 | 5.1 | 1.53 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 9.9 | 5.50 | 4.4 | 1.58 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 9.3 | 5.50 | 3.8 | 1.58 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 8.7 | 5.50 | 3.2 | 1.54 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 8.2 | 5.50 | 2.7 | 1.48 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 7.8 | 5.50 | 2.3 | 1.39 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 7.4 | 5.50 | 1.9 | 1.28 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 7.1 | 5.50 | 1.6 | 1.18 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 6.8 | 5.50 | 1.3 | 1.02 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 6.3 | 5.50 | 0.8 | 0.69 | |

<=== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.8m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB230I | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | | (m) | (m3) |
| 230I | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

Total Storage required 1.58
Total Storage provided 5.52
Overflow to Area 230G 0.00

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

ICD use Tempest LMF 11l/s @ 1.44m head, or approved equal

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| PARKING LOT Area # 222 | |
| 1200 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 15 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Area (ha) = | 0.1200 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 7.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x I | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 17 | 77.6 | 23.3 | 7.50 | 15.8 | 16.12 | |
| 19 | 72.5 | 21.8 | 7.50 | 14.3 | 16.27 | |
| 20 | 70.3 | 21.1 | 7.50 | 13.6 | 16.31 | |
| 21 | 68.1 | 20.5 | 7.50 | 13.0 | 16.32 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 22 | 66.1 | 19.9 | 7.50 | 12.4 | 16.31 | |
| 23 | 64.3 | 19.3 | 7.50 | 11.8 | 16.29 | |
| 24 | 62.5 | 18.8 | 7.50 | 11.3 | 16.24 | |
| 25 | 60.9 | 18.3 | 7.50 | 10.8 | 16.18 | |
| 26 | 59.3 | 17.8 | 7.50 | 10.3 | 16.10 | |
| 27 | 57.9 | 17.4 | 7.50 | 9.9 | 16.00 | |
| 29 | 55.2 | 16.6 | 7.50 | 9.1 | 15.78 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB222 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB222 | 14.80 | 0.25 | 0.73 |
| Total: | | | 0.73 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH222 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| Total: | | 1.70 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 222 | 248.90 | 0.16 | 13.27 |
| 222A | 32.50 | 0.07 | 0.76 |
| Total: | | | 14.03 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate to invert less 0.64m to account for flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 16.32
Total Storage provided 16.98
Overflow to area 223 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 15l/s @ 2.44m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 223

2700 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

32 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.2700 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 16.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 18 | 75.0 | 50.6 | 16.00 | 34.6 | 37.42 | |
| 19 | 72.5 | 49.0 | 16.00 | 33.0 | 37.61 | |
| 20 | 70.3 | 47.5 | 16.00 | 31.5 | 37.75 | |
| 21 | 68.1 | 46.0 | 16.00 | 30.0 | 37.83 | |
| 22 | 66.1 | 44.7 | 16.00 | 28.7 | 37.86 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 23 | 64.3 | 43.4 | 16.00 | 27.4 | 37.85 | |
| 24 | 62.5 | 42.2 | 16.00 | 26.2 | 37.80 | |
| 25 | 60.9 | 41.1 | 16.00 | 25.1 | 37.71 | |
| 26 | 59.3 | 40.1 | 16.00 | 24.1 | 37.58 | |
| 27 | 57.9 | 39.1 | 16.00 | 23.1 | 37.42 | |
| 29 | 55.2 | 37.3 | 16.00 | 21.3 | 37.02 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB223 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CB223 - CBMH223 | 34.20 | 0.45 | 5.44 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.44 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CBMH223 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| Total: | | 1.70 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 223 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

Cell storage

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 11.00 | 12.00 | 50.00 |
| Total: | | | 50.00 |

Overflow from area 212, 222 0.00
Total Storage required 37.86
Total Storage provided 57.66
Overflow to area 230F 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 32l/s @ 2.67mhead, or approved equal

| |
|-------------------------------|
| PARKING LOT Area # 212 |
| 600 sm |
| 100 -YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 24 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|-------|-------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 12.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Op | | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 2 | 182.7 | 27.4 | | 12.00 | 15.4 | 1.85 |
| 4 | 152.5 | 22.9 | | 12.00 | 10.9 | 2.61 |
| 5 | 141.2 | 21.2 | | 12.00 | 9.2 | 2.76 |
| 6 | 131.6 | 19.8 | | 12.00 | 7.8 | 2.79 |
| 7 | 123.3 | 18.5 | | 12.00 | 6.5 | 2.73 |
| 8 | 116.1 | 17.4 | | 12.00 | 5.4 | 2.81 |
| 9 | 109.8 | 16.5 | | 12.00 | 4.5 | 2.42 |
| 10 | 104.2 | 15.6 | | 12.00 | 3.6 | 2.18 |
| 11 | 99.2 | 14.9 | | 12.00 | 2.9 | 1.91 |
| 12 | 94.7 | 14.2 | | 12.00 | 2.2 | 1.60 |
| 14 | 86.9 | 13.1 | | 12.00 | 1.1 | 0.88 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB212B | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB212B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB212A-CICB212B | 10.28 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Total: | | | 0.50 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | 92.12 | 0.07 | 2.15 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 2.15 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 2.79
Total Storage provided 3.73
Overflow to area 223 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 24l/s @ 1.63m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 215

400 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to 10 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x I | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 13.2 | 5.00 | 8.2 | 2.94 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 11.6 | 5.00 | 6.6 | 3.18 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 11.0 | 5.00 | 6.0 | 3.23 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 10.4 | 5.00 | 5.4 | 3.26 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 11 | 99.2 | 9.9 | 5.00 | 4.9 | 3.25 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 9.5 | 5.00 | 4.5 | 3.22 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 9.1 | 5.00 | 4.1 | 3.17 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 8.7 | 5.00 | 3.7 | 3.11 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 8.4 | 5.00 | 3.4 | 3.03 | |
| 16 | 80.5 | 8.1 | 5.00 | 3.1 | 2.93 | |
| 18 | 75.0 | 7.5 | 5.00 | 2.5 | 2.70 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

0.6m X 0.6m CB

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB213 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| ECB-CB215 | 24.00 | 0.25 | 1.18 |
| Total: | | | 1.18 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia CBMH's

| | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | 31.10 | 0.18 | 1.87 |
| Total: | | | 1.87 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 3.26
Total Storage provided 3.57
Overflow to 206A 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.57m head, or approved equal

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| PARKING LOT Area # 206B | |
| 700 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Op (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 10 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0700 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 14.6 | 5.00 | 9.6 | 8.67 | |
| 17 | 77.6 | 13.6 | 5.00 | 8.6 | 8.76 | |
| 18 | 75.0 | 13.1 | 5.00 | 8.1 | 8.78 | |
| 19 | 72.5 | 12.7 | 5.00 | 7.7 | 8.78 | |
| 20 | 70.3 | 12.3 | 5.00 | 7.3 | 8.76 | |
| 21 | 68.1 | 11.9 | 5.00 | 6.9 | 8.73 | |
| 22 | 66.1 | 11.6 | 5.00 | 6.6 | 8.69 | |
| 23 | 64.3 | 11.3 | 5.00 | 6.3 | 8.64 | |
| 24 | 62.5 | 11.0 | 5.00 | 6.0 | 8.57 | |
| 25 | 60.9 | 10.7 | 5.00 | 5.7 | 8.50 | |
| 27 | 57.9 | 10.1 | 5.00 | 5.1 | 8.32 | |

<=== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB206B | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 206B | 154.40 | 0.17 | 8.75 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 8.75 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 8.75
Total Storage provided 9.27
Overflow to area 206A 0.00

ICD use Tampest LMF 10l/s @ 1.57m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 206A | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 3800 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 85 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.3800 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 42.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 117.2 | 42.50 | 74.7 | 31.39 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 104.4 | 42.50 | 61.9 | 33.42 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 99.1 | 42.50 | 56.6 | 33.94 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 94.3 | 42.50 | 51.8 | 34.19 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 90.0 | 42.50 | 47.5 | 34.22 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 88.2 | 42.50 | 43.7 | 34.06 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 82.7 | 42.50 | 40.2 | 33.73 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 79.4 | 42.50 | 36.9 | 33.25 | |
| 16 | 80.5 | 76.5 | 42.50 | 34.0 | 32.64 | |
| 17 | 77.6 | 73.8 | 42.50 | 31.3 | 31.91 | |
| 19 | 72.5 | 69.0 | 42.50 | 26.5 | 30.18 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
| CB206 | 1.58 | 0.57 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.57 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
| CB206-CBMH206 | 25.60 | 0.45 | 4.07 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 4.07 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
| CBMH208 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| | | |
| Total: | | 1.70 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| AREA # | AREA (SM) | Depth (m) | Storage (m3) |
| 206A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 206 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | Total: | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length (m) | width (m) | Storage (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 10.00 | 3.00 | 31.00 |
| | | Total: | 31.00 |

Overflow from area 206B, 215 0.00
Total Storage required 34.22
Total Storage provided 37.34
Overflow to 210A 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 85l/s @ 2.41m head, or approved equal

| |
|--------------------------------|
| PARKING LOT Area # 210A |
| 1200 sm |
| 100 -YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 77 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1200 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 38.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 0 | 230.5 | 69.2 | 38.50 | 30.7 | 0.00 | |
| 0.5 | 216.1 | 64.9 | 38.50 | 26.4 | 0.79 | |
| 1.5 | 192.5 | 57.8 | 38.50 | 19.3 | 1.74 | |
| 2.5 | 173.9 | 52.2 | 38.50 | 13.7 | 2.08 | |
| 3.5 | 159.0 | 47.7 | 38.50 | 9.2 | 1.94 | |
| 4.5 | 146.6 | 44.0 | 38.50 | 5.5 | 1.49 | |
| 5.5 | 136.2 | 40.9 | 38.50 | 2.4 | 0.79 | |
| 6.5 | 127.3 | 38.2 | 38.50 | -0.3 | -0.11 | |
| 7.5 | 119.6 | 35.9 | 38.50 | -2.6 | -1.17 | |
| 8.5 | 112.9 | 33.9 | 38.50 | -4.6 | -2.35 | |
| 10.5 | 101.6 | 30.5 | 38.50 | -8.0 | -5.03 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB210A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 8B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 5.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| Total: | | | 10.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

| | |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Overflow from area 205A | 0.00 |
| Total Storage required | 2.08 |
| Total Storage provided | 10.52 |
| Overflow to 206D | 0.00 |

ICD use Tempest HF 77l/s @ 1.55m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 206D | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 400 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 14 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0400 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 7.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 4 | 152.5 | 15.3 | 7.00 | 8.3 | 1.98 | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 14.1 | 7.00 | 7.1 | 2.14 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 13.2 | 7.00 | 6.2 | 2.22 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 12.3 | 7.00 | 5.3 | 2.24 | |
| 8 | 118.1 | 11.6 | 7.00 | 4.6 | 2.22 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 11.0 | 7.00 | 4.0 | 2.15 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 10.4 | 7.00 | 3.4 | 2.06 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 9.9 | 7.00 | 2.9 | 1.93 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 9.5 | 7.00 | 2.5 | 1.78 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 9.1 | 7.00 | 2.1 | 1.61 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 8.7 | 7.00 | 1.7 | 1.43 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB206C | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 206C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Trilon M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

Total Storage required 2.24
Total Surface Storage provided 5.52
Overflow to area 206D 0.00

ICD use Tampest LMF 14l/s @ 1.47m head, or approved equal

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Street 1, Area # 206E | |
| 500 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Op (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 60 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|-------|-------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 30.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.75 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Op | | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 0 | 230.5 | 24.0 | | 30.00 | -6.0 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 230.5 | 24.0 | | 30.00 | -6.0 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 230.5 | 24.0 | | 30.00 | -6.0 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 230.5 | 24.0 | | 30.00 | -6.0 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 230.5 | 24.0 | | 30.00 | -6.0 | 0.00 |
| 0.5 | 216.1 | 22.5 | | 30.00 | -7.5 | -0.22 |
| 1 | 203.5 | 21.2 | | 30.00 | -8.8 | -0.53 |
| 1.5 | 192.5 | 20.1 | | 30.00 | -9.9 | -0.89 |
| 2 | 182.7 | 19.0 | | 30.00 | -11.0 | -1.31 |
| 2.5 | 173.9 | 18.1 | | 30.00 | -11.9 | -1.78 |
| 3 | 166.1 | 17.3 | | 30.00 | -12.7 | -2.28 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB206D | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 206D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from 206C 210A 230G 0.00
Total Storage required 0.00
Total Storage provided 0.52
Overflow to future area 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 60l/s @ 1.46m head, or approved equal

| |
|----------------------------|
| Street 1 Area # 205 |
| 1600 sm |
| 100-YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 60 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 30.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.75 | | | | | |
| Tc | i | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 1 | 203.5 | 67.9 | 30.00 | 37.9 | 2.27 | |
| 2 | 182.7 | 60.9 | 30.00 | 30.9 | 3.71 | |
| 3 | 166.1 | 55.4 | 30.00 | 25.4 | 4.57 | |
| 4 | 152.5 | 50.9 | 30.00 | 20.9 | 5.01 | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 47.1 | 30.00 | 17.1 | 5.13 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 6 | 131.6 | 43.9 | 30.00 | 13.9 | 5.00 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 41.1 | 30.00 | 11.1 | 4.68 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 38.7 | 30.00 | 8.7 | 4.19 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 36.6 | 30.00 | 6.6 | 3.58 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 34.8 | 30.00 | 4.8 | 2.86 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 33.1 | 30.00 | 3.1 | 2.04 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB205A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB205B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB205A-CICB205B | 14.00 | 0.25 | 0.69 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.69 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 205 | 69.82 | 0.11 | 2.56 |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 2.56 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from 204, 206D, 1/2 230G 1.50
Total Storage required 6.63
Total Storage provided 4.33
Overflow to Area Future 2.31

ICD use Tempest HF 60l/s @ 1.58m head, or approved equal

| PARKING LOT Area # 240A | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 500 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Op (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 10 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 13.7 | 5.00 | 8.7 | 4.72 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 13.0 | 5.00 | 8.0 | 4.82 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 12.4 | 5.00 | 7.4 | 4.89 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 11.8 | 5.00 | 6.8 | 4.93 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 11.3 | 5.00 | 6.3 | 4.94 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 10.9 | 5.00 | 5.9 | 4.94 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 10.5 | 5.00 | 5.5 | 4.91 | |
| 16 | 80.5 | 10.1 | 5.00 | 5.1 | 4.86 | |
| 17 | 77.6 | 9.7 | 5.00 | 4.7 | 4.80 | |
| 18 | 75.0 | 9.4 | 5.00 | 4.4 | 4.73 | |
| 19 | 72.5 | 9.1 | 5.00 | 4.1 | 4.64 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB240A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB240B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| Pipe storage | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB240A-CICB240B | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| | | 0.00 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 240A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| Cell storage | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 11.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 |
| Total: | | | 10.00 |

Total Storage required 4.94
Total Storage provided 11.57
1/2 Overflow to Area 204 0.00
1/2 Overflow to Area 201 0.00

KCD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.65m head, or approved equal

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Street 1 Area # 204 | |
| 1300 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 55 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1300 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 27.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.75 | | | | | |
| Tc | i | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 1 | 203.5 | 55.2 | 27.50 | 27.7 | 1.66 | |
| 2 | 182.7 | 49.5 | 27.50 | 22.0 | 2.64 | |
| 3 | 166.1 | 45.0 | 27.50 | 17.5 | 3.15 | |
| 4 | 152.5 | 41.3 | 27.50 | 13.8 | 3.32 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 5 | 141.2 | 38.3 | 27.50 | 10.8 | 3.23 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 35.7 | 27.50 | 8.2 | 2.94 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 33.4 | 27.50 | 5.9 | 2.49 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 31.5 | 27.50 | 4.0 | 1.91 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 29.8 | 27.50 | 2.3 | 1.22 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 28.2 | 27.50 | 0.7 | 0.44 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 26.9 | 27.50 | -0.6 | -0.41 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB204A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB204B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB204A-CICB204B | 15.00 | 0.25 | 0.74 |
| Total: | | | 0.74 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Overflow from area 1/2 240A 0.00
Total Storage required 3.32
Total Storage provided 1.62
Overflow to Area 205 1.50

ICD use Tempest HF 55l/s @ 1.51m head, or approved equal

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| PARKING LOT Area 240D | |
| 500 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 10 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0500 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 5.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 16.5 | 5.00 | 11.5 | 4.13 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 14.5 | 5.00 | 9.5 | 4.57 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 13.0 | 5.00 | 8.0 | 4.82 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 11.8 | 5.00 | 6.8 | 4.93 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 10.9 | 5.00 | 5.9 | 4.94 | <=== Required volume for storage on-site |
| 16 | 80.5 | 10.1 | 5.00 | 5.1 | 4.86 | |
| 18 | 75.0 | 9.4 | 5.00 | 4.4 | 4.73 | |
| 20 | 70.3 | 8.8 | 5.00 | 3.8 | 4.55 | |
| 22 | 66.1 | 8.3 | 5.00 | 3.3 | 4.32 | |
| 24 | 62.5 | 7.8 | 5.00 | 2.8 | 4.07 | |
| 25 | 60.9 | 7.6 | 5.00 | 2.6 | 3.93 | |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB240D | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 240C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 storage cell | 6.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Total: | | | 5.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 4.94
Total Storage provided 5.52
Overflow to Area 201 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.46m head, or approved equal

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Street 1 Area 201 | |
| 2900 sm | |
| 100-YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 60 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.2900 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 30.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.75 | | | | | |
| Tc | i | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 74.6 | 30.00 | 44.6 | 18.71 | |
| 8 | 118.1 | 70.2 | 30.00 | 40.2 | 19.30 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 66.4 | 30.00 | 36.4 | 19.65 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 63.0 | 30.00 | 33.0 | 19.80 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 60.0 | 30.00 | 30.0 | 19.78 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 57.3 | 30.00 | 27.3 | 19.63 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 54.8 | 30.00 | 24.8 | 19.34 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 52.6 | 30.00 | 22.6 | 18.95 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 50.5 | 30.00 | 20.5 | 18.47 | |
| 16 | 80.6 | 48.6 | 30.00 | 18.6 | 17.90 | |
| 17 | 77.6 | 46.9 | 30.00 | 16.9 | 17.26 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB201A** | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB201B** | 1.65 | 0.59 |
| Total: | | 2.23 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to Structure | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB201A - CICB201B | 15.00 | 0.25 | 0.74 |
| Total: | | | 0.74 |

**double CB's, volume x 2.

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 201 | 300.00 | 0.17 | 17.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 17.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Overflow from Area 240D, 122 | 0.00 |
| Total Storage required | 19.80 |
| Total Storage provided | 19.97 |
| overflow to future area | 0.00 |

ICD use Tempest HF 60l/s @ 1.71m head, or approved equal

OUTLET # 1 (MH301) SUMMARY

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Total Flow from Roofs= | 18.00 l/s |
| Total Roof Area = | 0.750 Ha |
| Average roof flow = | 24.00 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Roofs | 158.62 cm |
| Total Roof Storage rate | 211.50 cm/Ha |
| Total flow from parking lot = | 503.00 l/s |
| Total parking Lot area = | 3.420 Ha |
| Average parking lot flow = | 147.08 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Parking lot | 456.73 cm |
| Total Parking lot Storage rate | 133.55 cm/Ha |
| Total uncontrolled flow from site | 22.59 l/s |
| Total uncontrolled area | 0.110 Ha |
| Total flow | 543.59 l/s |
| Total area | 4.280 Ha |
| Average flow | 127.01 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored | 615.36 cm |
| Total Storage rate | 143.78 cm/Ha |



IBI
333 Preston St
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1S 5N4

ONSITE SWM 100yr design
PROJECT: Arcadia commercial
CITY OF OTTAWA
DEVELOPER Minto

PAGE: 1 OF 1
JOB #: 35355
DATE: Oct 1, 2014
DESIGN: DY
Rev#3

Outlet # 2 EX MH 301
5yr design

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOW - Flow Restricted to 240 l/s/Ha

Time of concentration = 10 minutes

Area (ha) = 0.800
C Average = 0.90

Intensity - 5 year event storm

10 min Tc i5yr = $998.071 / (T + 6.053)^{0.814} =$ 104.2 mm/hr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc Qpre-dev = $2.78 * A * C_w * i =$ 208.55 l/s

Restricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc Q = 240 l/s/Ha 192.00 l/s

Intensity - 100 year event storm

10 min Tc i100yr = $1735.688 / (T + 6.014)^{0.82} =$ 178.6 mm/hr

Unrestricted Flowrate (Q100)

10 min Tc Qpost-dev = $2.78 * A * C_w * i =$ 357.40 l/s

Restricted Flowrate (Q5)

10 min Tc Q = 240 l/s/Ha 192.00 l/s

Uncontrolled runoff (Q5)

| Location | Area | C | AxC |
|--------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|
| UNC 1A | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| UNC 1B | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| UNC 1C | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| UNC 1D | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.004 |
| | | | 0 |
| Total | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.016 |
| 10 min Tc | Q _{unc} = 2.78 Aci | | 4.63 l/s |

Allowable Release

Q_{rest 100yr} - Q_{unc} = Q_{allow}

187.37 l/s

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT - Post-Development Controlled

(5 year post-development with 100yr inlets)

ROOF AREA 500

900 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0900

Cw =

0.90

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

2.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 53 | 36.1 | 8.1 | 2.00 | 6.1 | 19.48 |
| 55 | 35.1 | 7.9 | 2.00 | 5.9 | 19.50 |
| 57 | 34.2 | 7.7 | 2.00 | 5.7 | 19.51 |
| 59 | 33.4 | 7.5 | 2.00 | 5.5 | 19.51 |
| 61 | 32.5 | 7.3 | 2.00 | 5.3 | 19.50 |
| 63 | 31.8 | 7.2 | 2.00 | 5.2 | 19.49 |
| 65 | 31.0 | 7.0 | 2.00 | 5.0 | 19.46 |
| 67 | 30.4 | 6.8 | 2.00 | 4.8 | 19.43 |
| 69 | 29.7 | 6.7 | 2.00 | 4.7 | 19.40 |
| 71 | 29.1 | 6.5 | 2.00 | 4.5 | 19.36 |
| 73 | 28.5 | 6.4 | 2.00 | 4.4 | 19.31 |
| 75 | 27.9 | 6.3 | 2.00 | 4.3 | 19.26 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 19.51 m3

Average depth 0.022 m

ROOF AREA 600

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0600

Cw =

0.90

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

2.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 34 | 49.5 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 11.08 |
| 36 | 47.6 | 7.1 | 2.00 | 5.1 | 11.11 |
| 38 | 45.8 | 6.9 | 2.00 | 4.9 | 11.12 |
| 40 | 44.2 | 6.6 | 2.00 | 4.6 | 11.12 |
| 42 | 42.7 | 6.4 | 2.00 | 4.4 | 11.11 |
| 44 | 41.3 | 6.2 | 2.00 | 4.2 | 11.08 |
| 46 | 40.0 | 6.0 | 2.00 | 4.0 | 11.05 |
| 48 | 38.8 | 5.8 | 2.00 | 3.8 | 11.01 |
| 50 | 37.7 | 5.7 | 2.00 | 3.7 | 10.96 |
| 52 | 36.6 | 5.5 | 2.00 | 3.5 | 10.90 |
| 54 | 35.6 | 5.3 | 2.00 | 3.3 | 10.83 |
| 56 | 34.7 | 5.2 | 2.00 | 3.2 | 10.76 |

<=== Required volume
for roof storage

Req. Storage volume 11.12 m3

Average depth 0.019 m

ROOF AREA 700

1000 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1000 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 2.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 57 | 34.2 | 8.6 | 2.00 | 6.6 | 22.44 | |
| 59 | 33.4 | 8.3 | 2.00 | 6.3 | 22.46 | |
| 61 | 32.5 | 8.1 | 2.00 | 6.1 | 22.48 | |
| 63 | 31.8 | 7.9 | 2.00 | 5.9 | 22.49 | <=== Required volume for roof storage |
| 65 | 31.0 | 7.8 | 2.00 | 5.8 | 22.49 | |
| 67 | 30.4 | 7.6 | 2.00 | 5.6 | 22.49 | |
| 69 | 29.7 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 22.47 | |
| 71 | 29.1 | 7.3 | 2.00 | 5.3 | 22.46 | |
| 73 | 28.5 | 7.1 | 2.00 | 5.1 | 22.43 | |
| 75 | 27.9 | 7.0 | 2.00 | 5.0 | 22.40 | |
| 77 | 27.3 | 6.8 | 2.00 | 4.8 | 22.36 | |
| 79 | 26.8 | 6.7 | 2.00 | 4.7 | 22.32 | |

Req. Storage volume 22.49 m3
Average depth 0.022 m

ROOF AREA 800

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 2.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 34 | 49.5 | 7.4 | 2.00 | 5.4 | 11.08 | |
| 36 | 47.6 | 7.1 | 2.00 | 5.1 | 11.11 | |
| 38 | 45.8 | 6.9 | 2.00 | 4.9 | 11.12 | |
| 40 | 44.2 | 6.6 | 2.00 | 4.6 | 11.12 | <=== Required volume for roof storage |
| 42 | 42.7 | 6.4 | 2.00 | 4.4 | 11.11 | |
| 44 | 41.3 | 6.2 | 2.00 | 4.2 | 11.08 | |
| 46 | 40.0 | 6.0 | 2.00 | 4.0 | 11.05 | |
| 48 | 38.8 | 5.8 | 2.00 | 3.8 | 11.01 | |
| 50 | 37.7 | 5.7 | 2.00 | 3.7 | 10.96 | |
| 52 | 36.6 | 5.5 | 2.00 | 3.5 | 10.90 | |
| 54 | 35.6 | 5.3 | 2.00 | 3.3 | 10.83 | |
| 56 | 34.7 | 5.2 | 2.00 | 3.2 | 10.76 | |

Req. Storage volume 11.12 m3
Average depth 0.019 m

| PARKING LOT Area # 120 | |
|------------------------|--|
| 1100 sm | |
| 100 -YR FLOW | |
| Qp (l/s) | |

Flow restricted to 15 l/s

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.1100 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = 7.50 l/s | | | |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 15 | 83.6 | 23.0 | 7.50 | 15.5 | 13.95 |
| 16 | 80.5 | 22.1 | 7.50 | 14.6 | 14.06 |
| 17 | 77.6 | 21.4 | 7.50 | 13.9 | 14.14 |
| 18 | 75.0 | 20.6 | 7.50 | 13.1 | 14.16 |
| 19 | 72.5 | 20.0 | 7.50 | 12.5 | 14.20 |
| 20 | 70.3 | 19.3 | 7.50 | 11.8 | 14.20 |
| 21 | 68.1 | 18.8 | 7.50 | 11.3 | 14.18 |
| 22 | 66.1 | 18.2 | 7.50 | 10.7 | 14.13 |
| 23 | 64.3 | 17.7 | 7.50 | 10.2 | 14.07 |
| 24 | 62.5 | 17.2 | 7.50 | 9.7 | 13.99 |
| 25 | 60.9 | 16.8 | 7.50 | 9.3 | 13.89 |

<=== Required volume for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB120 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 120 | 251.20 | 0.17 | 14.23 |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 14.23 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 14.20
Total Storage provided 14.76
Overflow to area 231 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 15l/s @ 1.47m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 100

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

30 l/s

Qp (l/s)

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 15.00 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc | | Qp | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| Variable | i | 2.78 x Area x c x i | | | | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 0 | 230.5 | 34.6 | 15.00 | 19.6 | 0.00 | |
| 1 | 203.5 | 30.6 | 15.00 | 15.6 | 0.93 | |
| 2 | 182.7 | 27.4 | 15.00 | 12.4 | 1.49 | |
| 3 | 166.1 | 24.9 | 15.00 | 9.9 | 1.79 | |
| 4 | 152.5 | 22.9 | 15.00 | 7.9 | 1.89 | |
| 5 | 141.2 | 21.2 | 15.00 | 6.2 | 1.86 | |
| 6 | 131.6 | 19.8 | 15.00 | 4.8 | 1.71 | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 18.5 | 15.00 | 3.5 | 1.47 | |
| 8 | 116.1 | 17.4 | 15.00 | 2.4 | 1.17 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 16.5 | 15.00 | 1.5 | 0.80 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 15.6 | 15.00 | 0.6 | 0.38 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB100A | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| CICB100B | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Total: | | 1.08 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|-------------------|--------|------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| CICB100A-CICB100B | 10.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Total: | | | 0.49 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 100 | 30.00 | 0.05 | 0.50 |
| Total: | | | 0.50 |

Total Storage required 1.89
Total Storage provided 2.07
Overflow to area 231 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 30l/s @ 1.51m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area # 110

1200 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

40 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)= 0.1200

Cw = 0.90

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

20.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | i | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 3 | 166.1 | 49.9 | 20.00 | 29.9 | 5.38 |
| 5 | 141.2 | 42.4 | 20.00 | 22.4 | 6.72 |
| 6 | 131.6 | 39.6 | 20.00 | 19.6 | 7.02 |
| 7 | 123.3 | 37.0 | 20.00 | 17.0 | 7.15 |
| 8 | 116.1 | 34.9 | 20.00 | 14.9 | 7.13 |
| 9 | 109.8 | 33.0 | 20.00 | 13.0 | 7.00 |
| 10 | 104.2 | 31.3 | 20.00 | 11.3 | 6.77 |
| 11 | 99.2 | 29.8 | 20.00 | 9.8 | 6.46 |
| 12 | 94.7 | 28.4 | 20.00 | 8.4 | 6.07 |
| 13 | 90.6 | 27.2 | 20.00 | 7.2 | 5.62 |
| 15 | 83.6 | 25.1 | 20.00 | 5.1 | 4.58 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

0.6m X 0.6m CB= 0.36m3/m

| .45ecb= | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CB110B | 1.21 | 0.44 |
| CB110A | 1.46 | 0.53 |
| 6 x ECB/TCB | 1.00 | 0.96 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 1.92 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

Pipe storage

| Structure to | Length (m) | Dia (m) | Storage (m3) |
|------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| CBMH110A-MH110 | 14.50 | 0.60 | 4.10 |
| CB110A - CBMH110 | 23.00 | 0.30 | 1.63 |
| ECB-CB110A | 85.00 | 0.30 | 6.72 |
| CB110B - CBMH110 | 20.00 | 0.30 | 1.41 |
| Total: | | | 13.85 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

1.2mDia MH's=1.13m3/m

1.5mDia MH's=1.77m3/m

| | Height (m) | Storage (m3) |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|
| CBMH110A | 1.56 | 2.76 |
| MH110 | 1.87 | 2.11 |
| Total: | | 4.87 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|------|-------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

Total Storage required 7.15
Total Storage provided 20.65
Overflow to area 221 0.00

ICD use Tempest HF 40l/s @ 1.7m head, or approved equal

| |
|-------------------------------|
| PARKING LOT Area # 123 |
| 600 sm |
| 100 -YR FLOW |
| Qp (l/s) |

Flow restricted to 15 l/s

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Area(ha)= | 0.0600 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm = | | | | 7.50 l/s |
| Cw = | 0.90 | | | | | |
| Tc Variable | f | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume | |
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) | |
| 7 | 123.3 | 18.5 | 7.50 | 11.0 | 4.62 | |
| 8 | 118.1 | 17.4 | 7.50 | 9.9 | 4.77 | |
| 9 | 109.8 | 16.5 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 4.85 | |
| 10 | 104.2 | 15.6 | 7.50 | 8.1 | 4.88 | |
| 11 | 99.2 | 14.9 | 7.50 | 7.4 | 4.88 | |
| 12 | 94.7 | 14.2 | 7.50 | 6.7 | 4.84 | |
| 13 | 90.6 | 13.6 | 7.50 | 6.1 | 4.76 | |
| 14 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 7.50 | 5.6 | 4.66 | |
| 15 | 83.6 | 12.5 | 7.50 | 5.0 | 4.54 | |
| 16 | 80.5 | 12.1 | 7.50 | 4.6 | 4.40 | |
| 18 | 75.0 | 11.3 | 7.50 | 3.8 | 4.05 | |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB123 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | |
| | Total: | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | Total: | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | |
| | Total: | 0.00 |

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| | | | |
|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| 123 | 36.40 | 0.10 | 1.21 |
| | | | |
| | | Total: | 1.21 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

Total Storage required 4.88
Total Storage provided 1.74
Overflow to area 231 3.15

ICD use Tempest LMF 15l/s @ 1.22m head, or approved equal

PARKING LOT Area #122

600 sm

100 -YR FLOW

Flow restricted to

10 l/s

Qp (l/s)

Area(ha)=

0.0600

Cw =

0.90

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Qm =

5.00 l/s

| Tc Variable | f | Qp 2.78 x Area x c x i | Qm | Qp-Qm | Volume |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| (min) | (mm/hour) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (l/s) | (m3) |
| 12 | 94.7 | 14.2 | 5.00 | 9.2 | 6.64 |
| 13 | 90.6 | 13.6 | 5.00 | 8.6 | 6.71 |
| 14 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 5.00 | 8.1 | 6.76 |
| 15 | 83.6 | 12.5 | 5.00 | 7.5 | 6.79 |
| 16 | 80.5 | 12.1 | 5.00 | 7.1 | 6.80 |
| 17 | 77.6 | 11.7 | 5.00 | 6.7 | 6.78 |
| 18 | 75.0 | 11.3 | 5.00 | 6.3 | 6.75 |
| 19 | 72.5 | 10.9 | 5.00 | 5.9 | 6.71 |
| 20 | 70.3 | 10.5 | 5.00 | 5.5 | 6.66 |
| 21 | 68.1 | 10.2 | 5.00 | 5.2 | 6.59 |
| 22 | 66.1 | 9.9 | 5.00 | 4.9 | 6.51 |

<=== Required volume
for storage on-site**IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)**

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 0.6m X 0.6m CB | | |
| 0.36 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| CB122 | 1.45 | 0.52 |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.52 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Pipe)

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|-----|---------|
| Pipe storage | | | |
| Structure to | Length | Dia | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | | 0.00 |

IN-LINE STORAGE (Structure)

| | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| 1.2mDia CBMH's | | |
| 1.13 m3/m | Height | Storage |
| | (m) | (m3) |
| | | 0.00 |
| Total: | | 0.00 |

CBMH height for storage equals top of grate
to invert less 0.64m to account for
flat top and iron frame/grate

PARKING LOT STORAGE 5yr

| AREA # | AREA | Depth | Storage |
|--------|------|--------|---------|
| | (SM) | (m) | (m3) |
| | | | |
| | | Total: | 0.00 |

OFF-LINE STORAGE (Cell)

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Cell storage | | | |
| | Length | width | Storage |
| | (m) | (m) | (m3) |
| Triton M-6 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 19.00 |
| Total: | | | 19.00 |

Total Storage required 6.80
Total Storage provided 19.52
Overflow to area 201 0.00

ICD use Tempest LMF 10l/s @ 1.26m head, or approved equal

Outlet # 2 (MH301) SUMMARY

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Total Flow from Roofs= | 8.00 l/s |
| Total Roof Area = | 0.310 Ha |
| Average roof flow = | 25.81 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Roofs | 64.24 cm |
| Total Roof Storage rate | 207.22 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total flow from parking lot = | 55.00 l/s |
| Total parking Lot area = | 0.410 Ha |
| Average parking lot flow = | 134.15 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Parking lot | 58.73 cm |
| Total Parking lot Storage rate | 143.26 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total uncontrolled flow from site | 4.63 l/s |
| Total uncontrolled area | 0.080 Ha |
| | |
| Total flow | 67.63 l/s |
| Total area | 0.80 Ha |
| Average flow | 84.54 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored | 122.97 cm |
| Total Storage rate | 153.71 cm/Ha |

Outlet # 1 & 2 SUMMARY

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Total Flow from Roofs= | 26.00 l/s |
| Total Roof Area = | 1.06 Ha |
| Average roof flow = | 24.53 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Roofs | 222.86 cm |
| Total Roof Storage rate | 210.25 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total flow from parking lot = | 558.00 l/s |
| Total parking Lot area = | 3.83 Ha |
| Average parking lot flow = | 145.69 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored on Parking lot | 515.47 cm |
| Total Parking lot Storage rate | 134.59 cm/Ha |
| | |
| Total uncontrolled flow from site | 27.23 l/s |
| Total uncontrolled area | 0.19 Ha |
| | |
| Total flow | 611.23 l/s |
| Total area | 5.080 Ha |
| Average flow | 120.32 l/s/Ha |
| Volume Stored | 738.33 cm |
| Total Storage rate | 145.34 cm/Ha |

**RD-100**

Tag: _____

**Large Capacity
Roof Drain****Components:**

B2



B2-DM



B2-FLG



FC-2

SPECIFICATION: Watts Drainage Products RD-100 epoxy coated cast iron roof drain with deep sump, wide serrated flashing flange, flashing clamp device with integral gravel stop and self-locking polyethylene (standard) dome strainer.

Order Code: RD-100




Ex. RD-102P-K

| Suffix | Description | |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 2 | 2"(51) Pipe Size | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3 | 3"(76) Pipe Size | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4 | 4"(102) Pipe Size | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5 | 5"(127) Pipe Size | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6 | 6"(152) Pipe Size | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8 | 8"(203) Pipe Size | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Suffix | Description | |
|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| NH | No Hub (MJ) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| P | Push On | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| T | Threaded Outlet | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| X | Inside Caulk | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Suffix | Description | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| -A | Accutrol weir (specify # 1-6 slots) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -B | Sump Receiver Flange | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -BED | Sump Receiver, Adj Ext., Deck Clamp | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -C | Secondary Membrane Clamp | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -D | Underdeck Clamp | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -E | Adjustable Extension | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -GSS | Stainless Steel Ballast Guard | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -H | Adj. to 6" IRMA Ballast Guard | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -K | Ductile Iron Dome | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -K80 | Aluminum Dome | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -L | Vandal Proof Dome | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -R | 2" High External Water Dam | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -SO | Side Outlet** | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -V | Fixed Extension (1-1/2", 2", 3", 4") | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -W | Adj. Water Level Regulator | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -W-1 | Waterproofing Flange | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -Z | Extended Integral Wide Flange | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -5 | Sediment Bucket | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -12 | Galvanized Dome | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -13 | All Galvanized | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -83 | Mesh Covered Dome | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -113M | Special Epoxy from 3M Range | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Suffix | Description | |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| -60 | PVC Body w/Socket Outlet | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| -61 | ABS Body w/Socket Outlet | <input type="checkbox"/> |



** Side Outlet (-SO) option only available in 2"(51), 3"(76), 4"(102) pipe sizes.
Underdeck Clamp (-BED and -D options) are not available when -SO is selected.

Job Name _____ Contractor _____

Job Location _____ Contractor's P.O. No. _____

Engineer _____ Representative _____

WATTS Drainage reserves the right to modify or change product design or construction without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make similar changes and modifications to products previously or subsequently sold. See your WATTS Drainage representative for any clarification. Dimensions are subject to manufacturing tolerances.



CANADA: 5435 North Service Road, Burlington, ON, L7L 5H7 TEL: 905-332-6715 TOLL-FREE: 1-888-208-8927 Website: www.wattsdrainage.ca





Adjustable Accutrol Weir

Tag: _____

Adjustable Flow Control
for Roof Drains

ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below.
Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be:
[5 gpm(per inch of head) x 2 inches of head] + 2-1/2 gpm(for the third inch of head) = 12-1/2 gpm.




TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings

| Weir Opening Exposed | Head of Water | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----|-------|------|-------|----|
| | 1" | 2" | 3" | 4" | 5" | 6" |
| | Flow Rate (gallons per minute) | | | | | |
| Fully Exposed | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| 3/4 | 5 | 10 | 13.75 | 17.5 | 21.25 | 25 |
| 1/2 | 5 | 10 | 12.5 | 15 | 17.5 | 20 |
| 1/4 | 5 | 10 | 11.25 | 12.5 | 13.75 | 15 |
| Closed | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Job Name _____ Contractor _____

Job Location _____ Contractor's P.O. No. _____


Engineer _____ Representative _____



WATTS Drainage reserves the right to modify or change product design or construction without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make similar changes and modifications to products previously or subsequently sold. See your WATTS Drainage representative for any clarification. Dimensions are subject to manufacturing tolerances.



CANADA: 5435 North Service Road, Burlington, ON, L7L 5H7 TEL: 905-332-6718 TOLL-FREE: 1-888-206-8927 Website: www.wattsdrainage.ca







APPROVED ☐ REFUSED ☐
THIS DAY OF _____ 20____
DERICK MOORE, ACTING MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES

| | | | |
|------------|------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 5 | Revised as per City Comments | 16-08-26 | DOY |
| 4 | Revised as per City Comments | 14-10-02 | DOY |
| 3 | Revised as per City Comments | 14-08-22 | DOY |
| 2 | Issued for SPA Re-submission | 14-08-27 | DOY |
| 1 | Issued for SPA | 13.11.18 | DOY |
| No. | Description | Date | Checked |
| Issued for | | | |

THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW OFFICE HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE. THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW OFFICE HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE. THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW OFFICE HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE.



Scale 1:500
Date NOV. 2013
Drawn by DPS
Checked by DOY
Printed File 35355

Arcadia Retail Development



200 Kent Street • Suite 100 • Ottawa, Ontario • K1P 0B6
Tel: 613-582-2222
Fax: 613-582-2222

IBI GROUP
C-400

1555 Papez Street, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel
(613) 225-1311 Fax (613) 225-9898

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES BRANCH
SIGNED _____
DATE _____
Page Number _____

LEGEND

- 100% SURFACE PONDING AREA
- MAX. ROOF PONDING AREA
- POND AREA NUMBER
- 100% POND ELEVATION (m)
- AVERAGE POND DEPTH (m)
- POND VOLUME (m³)
- 5% PONDING LIMIT
- MAJOR STORM FLOW DIRECTION
- RISER

| | | | |
|----|----|----|----|
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

APPENDIX D

| | |
|-------|---|
| to: | City of Ottawa - Mr. Mark Fraser - mark.fraser@ottawa.ca |
| re: | Response to City of Ottawa Comments Proposed Arcadia Commercial Development - 370 Huntmar Drive, Ottawa, ON |
| date: | August 12, 2014 |
| file: | PG3045 - MEMO.01 |
| from: | Joe Forsyth |

The present memorandum has been prepared to address the geotechnical item noted, in the City of Ottawa comments prepared for the aforementioned site. The relevant comments were part of a series of comments presented in the letter dated July 31, 2014 and issued by Mr. Mark Fraser with City of Ottawa Planning and Growth Management. Paterson's response is summarized below:

Item 1 - Shear Strengths Values

As per the previous 1st Engineering Review comments (2014-03-28) on Soil Profile and Test Data sheet BH1 a ground surface consistency classification of "very stiff" to "stiff" was applied to the full depth of ground. Based on the undisturbed shear strength values provided a ground surface consistency classification of "very stiff" is not appropriate for the soil. A shear strength of between 100-200kPa would have been anticipated for the ground surface to be classified as "very stiff". BH1 does not indicate shear strength values greater than approximately 92 kPa. The borehole log has not been revised as indicated. Please review all borehole logs to confirm the ground surface consistency classifications are appropriate based on the shear strength values provided.

Response

Paterson has revised the borehole logs to indicate proper classifications as per Mark Fraser's comment. See attached updated report PG3045 -1R dated June 26, 2014.

Best Regards,

Paterson Group Inc.



Joe Forsyth, P.Eng.

Paterson Group Inc.

Head Office and Laboratory
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

Northern Office and Laboratory
63 Gibson Street
North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4
Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334

St. Lawrence Office
993 Princess Street - Suite 102
Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3
Tel: (613) 542-7381 Fax: (613) 542-8399

Geotechnical
Engineering

Environmental
Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological
Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

Archaeological Services

Geotechnical Investigation

Proposed Multi-Storey Building
340 Huntmar Drive
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared For

Kanata Microtel
10537616 Canada Ltd.

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario
Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381
Fax: (613) 226-6344
www.patersongroup.ca

August 28, 2018

Report: PG4544-1
Revision 1

Table of Contents

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| 1.0 Introduction | 1 |
| 2.0 Proposed Development | 1 |
| 3.0 Method of Investigation | |
| 3.1 Field Investigation | 2 |
| 3.2 Field Survey | 3 |
| 3.3 Laboratory Testing | 3 |
| 3.4 Analytical Testing | 3 |
| 4.0 Observations | |
| 4.1 Surface Conditions | 4 |
| 4.2 Subsurface Profile | 4 |
| 4.3 Groundwater | 5 |
| 5.0 Discussion | |
| 5.1 Geotechnical Assessment | 6 |
| 5.2 Site Grading and Preparation | 6 |
| 5.3 Foundation Design | 7 |
| 5.4 Design for Earthquakes | 8 |
| 5.5 Slab-on-Grade Construction | 8 |
| 5.6 Pavement Structure | 9 |
| 6.0 Design and Construction Precautions | |
| 6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill | 11 |
| 6.2 Protection of Footings | 11 |
| 6.3 Excavation Side Slopes | 11 |
| 6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill | 12 |
| 6.5 Groundwater Control | 13 |
| 6.6 Winter Construction | 13 |
| 6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate | 14 |
| 6.8 Tree Planting Restrictions | 14 |
| 6.9 Infiltration System Recommendations | 15 |
| 7.0 Recommendations | 16 |
| 8.0 Statement of Limitations | 17 |

Appendices

- Appendix 1 Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets
 - Symbols and Terms
 - Analytical Testing Results

- Appendix 2 Figure 1 - Key Plan
 - Drawing PG4544-1 - Test Hole Location Plan

1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Kanata Microtel to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed multi-storey building to be located at 340 Huntmar Drive in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 2).

The objectives of the current investigation were:

- ☐ to determine the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions by means of boreholes,
- ☐ to provide geotechnical recommendations pertaining to design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect the design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. It contains our findings and includes geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as they are understood at the time of writing this report.

2.0 Proposed Development

It is understood that the proposed development will consist of a multi-storey hotel building of slab-on-grade construction. It is further understood that associated access lanes, parking and landscaped areas will occupy the remainder of the site.

3.0 Method of Investigation

3.1 Field Investigation

Field Program

A geotechnical investigation conducted on October 9 to 11, and 15, 2013 included a total of 5 boreholes completed at, or in the vicinity of, the subject site. The locations of the relevant test holes are shown on Drawing PG4544-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

The boreholes were drilled using a track-mounted auger drill rig operated by a two person crew. All fieldwork was conducted under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel under the direction of a senior engineer. The drilling procedure consisted of augering to the required depths at the selected locations and sampling the overburden.

Sampling and In Situ Testing

Soil samples were recovered from the auger flights and a 50 mm diameter split-spoon sampler. The soil from the auger flights and split-spoon samples were classified on site and placed in sealed plastic bags. All samples were transported to our laboratory. The depths at which the auger flight and split-spoon samples were recovered from the boreholes are depicted as AU and SS, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as “N” values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The “N” value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

Undrained shear strength testing was conducted at regular intervals in cohesive soils and completed using an MTO field vane apparatus.

The thickness of the overburden was evaluated by dynamic cone penetration testing (DCPT) at boreholes BH 4 and BH 5. The DCPT consists of driving a steel drill rod, equipped with a 50 mm diameter cone at the tip, using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm. The number of blows required to drive the cone into the soil is recorded for each 300 mm increment.

The subsurface conditions observed in the test holes were recorded in detail in the field. The soil profiles are logged on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

Groundwater

Flexible PVC standpipes were installed in all boreholes to permit monitoring of the groundwater levels subsequent to the completion of the sampling program.

3.2 Field Survey

The test hole locations were selected by Paterson and located and surveyed in the field by Stantec Geomatics. The ground surface elevations at the test hole locations are understood to be referenced to a geodetic datum. The locations and ground surface elevations of the test holes are presented on Drawing PG4544-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

3.3 Laboratory Testing

Soil samples were recovered from the subject site and visually examined in our laboratory to review the results of the field logs.

3.4 Analytical Testing

One (1) soil sample was obtained during the above-noted geotechnical investigation and submitted for analytical purposes. This sample was obtained from a borehole located approximately 100 m to the north of the subject site, and was submitted for analytical testing to assess the corrosion potential for exposed ferrous metals and the potential of sulphate attacks against subsurface concrete structures. The sample was also submitted to determine the concentration of sulphate and chloride, the resistivity and the pH of the soil. The analytical test results are presented in Appendix 1 and discussed in Subsection 6.7.

4.0 Observations

4.1 Surface Conditions

The subject site is currently undeveloped and is bordered by Huntmar Drive to the west, adjacent undeveloped properties to the north and east, and the Feedmill Creek valley corridor to the south. The ground surface across the site is relatively level, varying from approximate geodetic elevation 99 to 101 m, and is generally covered with minor vegetated growth.

The adjacent section of Feedmill Creek meanders in a west to east direction toward the Carp River within the approximately 15 to 25 m wide valley corridor with a 2 to 2.5 m high valley wall. It was noted that the watercourse is approximately 0.3 to 0.6 m deep, 2 to 3 m wide, and is located along the toe of the south valley wall.

4.2 Subsurface Profile

Generally, the subsurface profile at the test hole locations consisted of a silty clay deposit encountered at the ground surface, or underlying an approximate 0.6 m thickness of fill. The silty clay deposit was observed to consist of a hard to stiff, brown silty clay crust extending to depths of 2.5 to 3.5 m, overlying a stiff to firm, grey silty clay.

Practical refusal to the DCPTs were encountered at depths of 15.8 m and 12.1 m in boreholes BH 4 and BH 5, respectively. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location.

Based on available geological mapping, the site is located in an area where the bedrock consists of interbedded limestone and shale of the Verulam formation with drift thicknesses ranging from 10 to 25 m.

4.3 Groundwater

Groundwater levels were measured in the standpipes on October 21, 2013 for boreholes completed as part of the previous investigation. The results of our groundwater readings from existing boreholes are presented in Table 1. It should be noted that surface water can become trapped within the backfilled borehole, which can lead to higher than normal groundwater level readings. The long term groundwater level can also be estimated based on the recovered soil sample's moisture level and consistency. Based on these observations, the long term groundwater table is anticipated to be at a 2.5 to 4 m depth. It should be further noted that the groundwater level could vary at the time of construction.

| Table 1 - Measured Groundwater Levels | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Test Hole Number | Ground Surface Elevation (m) | Water Level | | Date |
| | | Depth (m) | Elevation (m) | |
| BH 4 | 99.35 | 2.23 | 97.12 | October 21, 2013 |
| BH 5 | 98.99 | 2.36 | 96.63 | October 21, 2013 |
| BH 6 | 98.90 | Damaged | - | October 21, 2013 |
| BH 7 | 97.75 | Damaged | - | October 21, 2013 |
| BH 21 | 98.55 | 0.91 | 97.64 | October 21, 2013 |

5.0 Discussion

5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is satisfactory for the proposed development. It is expected that the proposed multi-storey building will be founded by conventional shallow footings placed on an undisturbed, hard to stiff silty clay bearing surface.

Due to the presence of the silty clay deposit at the site, the proposed development will be subjected to a permissible grade raise restriction. If the grade raise restriction is exceeded, several options are available such as a preload/surcharge program or the placement of lightweight fill below the proposed building.

The above and other considerations are further discussed in the following sections.

5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

Stripping Depth

Topsoil and fill, containing deleterious or organic materials, should be stripped from under any building, paved areas, pipe bedding and other settlement sensitive structures. Under paved areas, existing construction remnants, such as foundation walls, pipe ducts, etc., should be excavated to a minimum depth of 1 m below final grade.

Fill Placement

Fill used for grading beneath the building areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. This material should be tested and approved prior to delivery to the site. The fill should be placed in lifts no greater than 300 mm thick and compacted using suitable compaction equipment for the lift thickness. Fill placed beneath the building areas should be compacted to at least 98% of the standard proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

Non-specified existing fill along with site-excavated soil can be used as general landscaping fill and beneath parking areas where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern. In landscaped areas, these materials should be spread in thin lifts and at least compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. If these materials are to be used to build up the subgrade level for areas to be paved, the material should be compacted in thin lifts to a minimum density of 95% of the respective SPMDD.

Backfill against foundation walls should consist of free-draining, non frost susceptible granular materials. Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a composite drainage blanket connected to the perimeter foundation drainage system.

5.3 Foundation Design

Bearing Resistance Values

Strip footings, up to 3 m wide, and pad footings, up to 8 m wide, placed on an undisturbed, very stiff to stiff silty clay bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at serviceability limit states (SLS) of **200 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of **300 kPa**. A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the above-noted bearing resistance value at ULS.

Footings designed using the above-noted bearing resistance value at SLS will be subjected to potential post-construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively.

An undisturbed soil bearing surface consists of a surface from which all topsoil and deleterious materials, such as loose, frozen or disturbed soil, whether in situ or not, have been removed, in the dry, prior to the placement of concrete for footings.

Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to a hard to stiff silty clay above the groundwater table when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1.5H:1V passes only through in situ soil of the same or higher capacity as the bearing medium soil.

Permissible Grade Raise Recommendations

A permissible grade raise restriction of **2 m** is recommended for grading within 5 m of the proposed buildings. A permissible grade raise restriction of **3 m** is recommended in the parking areas and access lanes. A post-development groundwater lowering of 0.5 m was considered in our permissible grade raise calculations.

5.4 Design for Earthquakes

The subject site can be taken as seismic site response **Class D** as defined in Table 4.1.8.4.A of the Ontario Building Code 2012 for foundations considered at this site. The soils underlying the site are not susceptible to liquefaction. Reference should be made to the latest revision of the Ontario Building Code for a full discussion of the earthquake design requirements.

5.5 Slab on Grade Construction

With the removal of all topsoil and fill, containing deleterious or organic materials, the native soil will be considered to be an acceptable subgrade surface on which to commence backfilling for slab on grade construction. Any soft areas should be removed and backfilled with appropriate backfill material. OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, are recommended for backfilling below the floor slab. It is recommended that the upper 200 mm of sub-floor fill consists of OPSS Granular A crushed stone. All backfill materials within the footprint of the proposed buildings should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose layers and compacted to at least 98% of the SPMDD.

5.6 Pavement Structure

For design purposes, the pavement structures presented in the following tables shall be used for the design of car only parking areas, heavy truck parking areas and access lanes.

It is anticipated that the proposed pavement structures will be placed over either a hard to stiff silty clay or engineered fill subgrade.

| Table 2 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Car Only Parking Areas | |
|---|--|
| Thickness (mm) | Material Description |
| 50 | Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete |
| 150 | BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone |
| 400 | SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II |
| SUBGRADE - Either in situ soil, fill or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil | |

| Table 3 - Recommended Pavement Structure Heavy Truck Parking Areas and Access Lanes | |
|---|--|
| Thickness (mm) | Material Description |
| 40 | Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete |
| 50 | Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete |
| 150 | BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone |
| 450 | SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II |
| SUBGRADE - Either in situ soil, fill or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil | |

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this project.

If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type I or II material.

The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment.

Pavement Structure Drainage

Satisfactory performance of the pavement structure is largely dependent on keeping the contact zone between the subgrade material and the base stone in a dry condition. Failure to provide adequate drainage under conditions of heavy wheel loading can result in the fine subgrade soil being pumped into the voids in the stone subbase, thereby reducing the load bearing capacity.

Due to the impervious nature of the subgrade materials consideration should be given to installing subdrains during the pavement construction. These drains should be installed at each catch basin, be at least 3 m long and should extend in four orthogonal directions or longitudinally when placed along a curb. The subdrain inverts should be approximately 300 mm below subgrade level. The subgrade surface should be crowned to promote water flow to the drainage lines.

6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

It is recommended that a perimeter foundation drainage system be provided for the proposed structure as an outlet for perched water below the sidewalks anticipated to surround the building. Perched water below the sidewalks can lead to heaved sidewalks due to freeze/thaw cycles. The system should consist of a 100 to 150 mm diameter perforated corrugated plastic pipe, surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of 10 mm clear crushed stone, placed at the footing level around the exterior perimeter of the structure. The pipe should have a positive outlet, such as a gravity connection to the storm sewer.

Backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of free-draining, non frost susceptible granular materials. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should be used for this purpose. The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a composite drainage blanket, such as Miradrain G100N or Delta Drain 6000.

6.2 Protection of Footings Against Frost Action

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. A minimum of 1.5 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided in this regard.

A minimum of 2.1 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided for exterior unheated footings, or an equivalent combination of soil cover and foundation insulation.

6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

The side slopes of excavations in the soil and fill overburden materials should be either cut back at acceptable slopes or should be retained by shoring systems from the start of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. It is assumed that sufficient room will be available for the greater part of the excavation to be undertaken by open-cut methods (i.e. unsupported excavations).

The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsoil at this site is considered to be mainly a Type 2 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be kept away from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

It is recommended that a trench box be used at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. It is expected that services will be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations will not be left open for extended periods of time.

6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

The pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes should consist of at least 150 mm of OPSS Granular A crushed stone. Where the bedding is located within the firm grey silty clay, the thickness of the bedding material should be increased to a minimum of 300 mm. The material should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of its SPMDD. The bedding material should extend at least to the spring line of the pipe.

The cover material, which should consist of OPSS Granular A, should extend from the spring line of the pipe to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe. The material should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

It should generally be possible to re-use the moist (not wet) brown silty clay above the cover material if the excavation and filling operations are carried out in dry weather conditions. Wet silty clay materials will be difficult to re-use, as the high water contents make compacting impractical without an extensive drying period.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to minimize differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

To reduce long-term lowering of the groundwater level at this site, clay seals should be provided in the service trenches. The seals should be at least 1.5 m long (in the trench direction) and should extend from trench wall to trench wall. Generally, the seals should extend from the frost line and fully penetrate the bedding, subbedding and cover material. The barriers should consist of relatively dry and compactable brown silty clay placed in maximum 225 mm thick loose layers and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD. The clay seals should be placed at the site boundaries and at strategic locations at no more than 60 m intervals in the service trenches.

6.5 Groundwater Control

It is anticipated that groundwater infiltration into the excavations should be controllable using open sumps. Pumping from open sumps should be sufficient to control the groundwater influx through the sides of shallow excavations. The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

A temporary MOECC permit to take water (PTTW) may be required if more than 50,000 L/day are to be pumped during the construction phase. At least 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MOECC.

For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, typically between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16. If a project qualifies for a PTTW based upon anticipated conditions, an EASR will not be allowed as a temporary dewatering measure while awaiting the MOECC review of the PTTW application.

The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

6.6 Winter Construction

Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project. The subsoil conditions at this site consist of frost susceptible materials. In the presence of water and freezing conditions, ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur.

In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.

Trench excavations and pavement construction are also difficult activities to complete during freezing conditions without introducing frost in the subgrade or in the excavation walls and bottoms. Precautions should be taken if such activities are to be carried out during freezing conditions.

6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The results of analytical testing show that the sulphate content is less than 0.1%. This result is indicative that Type 10 Portland cement (normal cement) would be appropriate for this site. The chloride content and the pH of the sample indicate that they are not significant factors in creating a corrosive environment for exposed ferrous metals at this site, whereas the resistivity is indicative of a severe to aggressive environment.

6.8 Tree Planting Restrictions

Given that the multi-storey building is proposed to have a finished floor elevation of 99.86 m, the underside of footing is expected at approximately elevation 98.4 m. Based on the subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes, the hard to stiff silty clay crust extends to approximate geodetic elevations of 95.5 to 95 m. As such, the silty clay which extends 3 to 3.5 m below design footing level should be considered low to medium sensitivity clay and should not be considered a sensitive marine clay.

Based on the above discussion, it is recommended that trees placed within 4.5 m of the foundation wall consist of street trees with shallow root systems that extend less than 1.5 m below ground surface. Trees placed greater than 4.5 m from the foundation wall may consist of moderate water demanding trees with roots extending to a maximum 2 m depth. It should be noted that shrubs and other small plantings are permitted within the 4.5 m setback area.

It is documented in the literature, and is our experience, that fast-growing trees located near buildings founded on cohesive soils which shrink on drying can result in long-term differential settlements of the structures. Tree varieties that have the most pronounced effect on foundations are seen to consist of poplars, willows and some maples (i.e. Manitoba Maples) and should not be considered in the landscaping design.

6.9 Infiltration System Recommendations

It is understood that the proposed development will include a stormwater infiltration system. Based on our review of published values for silty clay and the results of a previous hydrogeological investigation completed in the general area, the recommended percolation rate for the stormwater infiltration system at this site is 35 to 50 minutes/cm.

It is also recommended that the bottom of the stormwater infiltration system be located a minimum of 1 m above the long term groundwater table, in accordance with the Ministry of Environment (MOE) Stormwater Design Manual.

7.0 Recommendations

It is a requirement for the foundation design data provided herein to be applicable that a materials testing and observation services program including the following aspects be performed by the geotechnical consultant.

- ☐ Review final grading plan from a geotechnical perspective, once available.
- ☐ Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- ☐ Sampling and testing of the concrete and granular fill materials used.
- ☐ Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- ☐ Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- ☐ Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.
- ☐ Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued, upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory materials testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.

8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations provided in this report are in accordance with our present understanding of the project. We request permission to review our recommendations when the drawings and specifications are completed.

A soils investigation is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test hole locations, we request immediate notification to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Kanata Microtel or their agents is not authorized without review by Paterson for the applicability of our recommendations to the alternative use of the report.

Paterson Group Inc.



Scott S. Dennis, P.E.



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

Report Distribution:

- ☐ Kanata Microtel (e-mail copy)
- ☐ Paterson Group (1 copy)

APPENDIX 1

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger


DATE 10 October 2013

FILE NO.

PG4544

HOLE NO.

BH 4



SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation
Prop. Commercial Development - 370 Huntmar Drive
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

FILE NO. PG4544

REMARKS

HOLE NO. **BH 4**

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger

DATE 10 October 2013

[illegible]

DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger


DATE 10 October 2013

FILE NO.

PG4544

HOLE NO.

BH 5



DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger


DATE 10 October 2013

FILE NO.

PG4544

HOLE NO.

BH 5



DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger


DATE 15 October 2013

FILE NO.

PG4544

HOLE NO.

BH 6



DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger


DATE 9 October 2013

FILE NO.

PG4544

HOLE NO.

BH 7



SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

Prop. Commercial Development - 370 Huntmar Drive
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatic Ltd.

FILE NO.

PG4544


REMARKS

HOLE NO.

BH21

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger

DATE 15 October 2013



SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Desiccated | - | having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc. |
| Fissured | - | having cracks, and hence a blocky structure. |
| Varved | - | composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay. |
| Stratified | - | composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay. |
| Well-Graded | - | Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution). |
| Uniformly-Graded | - | Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution). |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

| Relative Density | 'N' Value | Relative Density % |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Very Loose | <4 | <15 |
| Loose | 4-10 | 15-35 |
| Compact | 10-30 | 35-65 |
| Dense | 30-50 | 65-85 |
| Very Dense | >50 | >85 |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

| Consistency | Undrained Shear Strength (kPa) | 'N' Value |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Very Soft | <12 | <2 |
| Soft | 12-25 | 2-4 |
| Firm | 25-50 | 4-8 |
| Stiff | 50-100 | 8-15 |
| Very Stiff | 100-200 | 15-30 |
| Hard | >200 | >30 |

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their “sensitivity”. The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called “mechanical breaks”) are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

| RQD % | ROCK QUALITY |
|--------------|--|
| 90-100 | Excellent, intact, very sound |
| 75-90 | Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound |
| 50-75 | Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured |
| 25-50 | Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured |
| 0-25 | Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured |

SAMPLE TYPES

| | | |
|----|---|---|
| SS | - | Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT)) |
| TW | - | Thin wall tube or Shelby tube |
| PS | - | Piston sample |
| AU | - | Auger sample or bulk sample |
| WS | - | Wash sample |
| RC | - | Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits. |

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

| | | |
|-----|---|--|
| MC% | - | Natural moisture content or water content of sample, % |
| LL | - | Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid) |
| PL | - | Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically) |
| PI | - | Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL) |
| Dxx | - | Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size |
| D10 | - | Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size) |
| D60 | - | Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer |
| Cc | - | Concavity coefficient = $(D_{30})^2 / (D_{10} \times D_{60})$ |
| Cu | - | Uniformity coefficient = D_{60} / D_{10} |

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have: $1 < Cc < 3$ and $Cu > 4$

Well-graded sands have: $1 < Cc < 3$ and $Cu > 6$

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay
(more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST


| | | |
|------------|---|--|
| p'_o | - | Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth |
| p'_c | - | Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample |
| Ccr | - | Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'_c) |
| Cc | - | Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'_c) |
| OC Ratio | | Overconsolidation ratio = p'_c / p'_o |
| Void Ratio | | Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids |
| Wo | - | Initial water content (at start of consolidation test) |

PERMEABILITY TEST

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| k | - | Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test. |
|---|---|--|

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)


STRATA PLOT



Topsoil



Asphalt



Fill




Peat




Sand



Silty Sand




Silt



Sandy Silt



Clay



Silty Clay




Clayey Silty Sand



Glacial Till



Shale



Bedrock

MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION



PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION



Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Report Date: 22-Oct-2013

Client PO: 15096

Project Description: PG3045

Order Date: 16-Oct-2013

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------|---|---|---|
| | Client ID: | BH12-SS1 | - | - | - |
| | Sample Date: | 10-Oct-13 | - | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 1342113-01 | - | - | - |
| | MDL/Units | Soil | - | - | - |

Physical Characteristics

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|------|---|---|---|
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 68.0 | - | - | - |
|----------|--------------|------|---|---|---|

General Inorganics

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------|------|---|---|---|
| pH | 0.05 pH Units | 7.61 | - | - | - |
| Resistivity | 0.10 Ohm.m | 31.5 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | |
|----------|------------|----|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 5 ug/g dry | 79 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 5 ug/g dry | 47 | - | - | - |

APPENDIX 2

FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN

DRAWING PG4544-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN





FIGURE 1
KEY PLAN



patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| 0 | | | |

OTTAWA,
Title:

ONTARIO

KANATA MICROTTEL
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
PROP. MULTI-STORY BUILDING - 340 HUNTMAR DRIVE

TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

| | | | |
|--------------|-------|---------------|-----------------|
| Scale: | 1:500 | Date: | 06/2018 |
| Drawn by: | MPG | Report No.: | PG4544-1 |
| Checked by: | SD | Dwg. No.: | PG4544-1 |
| Approved by: | SD | Revision No.: | 0 |

p:\autocad drawings\geotechnical\pg4544\pg4544-1-1.thp.dwg