#### Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

**Materials Testing** 

**Building Science** 

Archaeological Services

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca

# patersongroup

## **Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment**

Part of 265 Carling Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

### **Prepared For**

Taggart Group

### June 13, 2018

Report: PE4252-2

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXEC	CUTIV	E SUMMARY	. iii			
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	. 1			
	1.1	Site Description	. 1			
	1.2	Property Ownership	. 1			
	1.3	Current and Proposed Future Uses	. 1			
	1.4	Applicable Site Condition Standard	. 1			
2.0	BAC	KGROUND INFORMATION	. 3			
	2.1	Physical Setting	. 3			
	2.2	Past Investigations	. 3			
3.0	SCO	PE OF INVESTIGATION	. 5			
	3.1	Overview of Site Investigation	. 5			
	3.2	Media Investigated	. 5			
	3.3	Phase I Conceptual Site Model	. 6			
	3.4	Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan	. 7			
	3.5	Impediments	. 8			
4.0	INVE	STIGATION METHOD	. 9			
	4.1	Subsurface Investigation	. 9			
	4.2	Soil Sampling	. 9			
	4.3	Field Screening Measurements	. 9			
	4.4	Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation	. 9			
	4.5	Field Measurement of Water Quality Parameters 1	10			
	4.6	Groundwater Sampling1	10			
	4.7	Analytical Testing1	10			
	4.8	Residue Management1	11			
	4.9	Elevation Surveying1	12			
	4.10	Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures1	12			
5.0	REVI	EW AND EVALUATION 1	13			
	5.1	Geology1	13			
	5.2	Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient 1	13			
	5.3	Fine-Medium Soil Texture1	14			
	5.4	Soil: Field Screening1	14			
	5.5	Soil Quality1	14			
	5.6	Groundwater Quality1	16			
	5.7	Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results1	17			
	5.8	Phase II Conceptual Site Model1	17			
6.0	CON	CLUSIONS	23			
7.0	) STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS					

### **List of Figures**

Figure 1 - Key Plan Drawing PE4252-3– Test Hole Location Plan Drawing PE4252-4 – Groundwater Contour Plan Drawing PE4252-5 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil Drawing PE4252-6 – Analytical Testing Plan - Groundwater Drawing PE4252-7 – Cross-Section A-A` Drawing PE4252-8 – Cross Section B-B'

#### **List of Appendices**

Appendix 1 Sampling and Analysis Plan Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets Symbols and Terms Laboratory Certificates of Analysis

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## Assessment

A Phase II-Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was conducted on part of 265 Carling Avenue, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of the Phase II ESA was to address the Areas of Potential Environmental Concern identified during the Phase I ESA. The Phase II-ESA consisted of the drilling of four boreholes and installation of three groundwater monitoring wells to assess the soil and groundwater quality at the subject site.

Soil samples obtained from the boreholes were screened using visual observations. Site soils consist of fill material consisting either of engineered fill or of sand, brick, gravel and cobbles. Based on the screening results, samples were selected for testing of metals parameters. Based on the analytical results, the fill material consisting of sand, brick, gravel, and cobbles identified in BH3-18 is impacted with metals. Two samples of engineered fill material were also analysed, based on the analysis, the engineered fill material is not considered to be impacted.

Groundwater samples were collected from the monitoring wells installed in BH1-18, BH2-18, BH3-18 and BH3, and analyzed for BTEX and PHCs. No detectable concentrations were identified in the groundwater. The groundwater is in compliance with the selected MOECC Standards.

## Recommendations

## <u>Soil</u>

At this time, the fill material consisting of sand, brick, gravel, and cobbles does not present a concern to the occupants of the subject site. This fill material should be disposed of at an appropriate waste facility during future development of the site. Prior to the disposal of this soil a TCLP analysis will be required.

#### Monitoring Wells

If the monitoring wells installed on the subject site are not going to be used in the future, or will be destroyed during site redevelopment, they should be abandoned according to Ontario Regulation 903. The wells will be registered with the MOECC under this regulation. It is recommended that the monitoring wells remain intact for future groundwater monitoring purposes and should be resampled prior to the start of the redevelopment of the property.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Taggart Group (Taggart), Paterson Group (Paterson) conducted a Phase II-Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of part of the property addressed as 265 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of this Phase II-ESA was to address concerns identified during the Phase I-ESA.

## 1.1 Site Description

Address:	Part of 265 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario		
Legal Description:	Lots 11 and 12, Part Lots 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, and 15, Plan 54, City of Ottawa.		
Property Identification Numbers:	04104-0203		
Location:	The subject site is located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Carling Avenue and Cambridge Street South. The subject site is shown on Figure 1 Key Plan following the body of this report.		
Latitude and Longitude:	45° 24' 03" N, 75° 42' 03" W		
Configuration:	Irregular		
Site Area:	1,800 m <sup>2</sup> (approximate)		

## **1.2 Property Ownership**

Paterson was engaged to conduct this Phase II ESA by Mr. Keith Taggart of Taggart Group (Taggart). Taggart's offices are located at 225 Metcalfe Street, Ottawa Ontario. Mr. Taggart can be reached at 613-234-7000.

## **1.3 Current and Proposed Future Uses**

The property is currently used as a parking lot for an adjacent office tower. The site is being considered for redevelopment as a retirement residence.

## **1.4 Applicable Site Condition Standard**

The site condition standards for the property were obtained from Table 7 of the document entitled "Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under

Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act", prepared by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOECC), April 2011. The MOECC Table 7 Standards are based on the following considerations:

- □ Coarse-grained soil conditions
- □ Surface soil and groundwater conditions
- □ Non-potable groundwater conditions
- Residential land use
- □ Shallow bedrock condition

# 2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## 2.1 Physical Setting

The subject site is part of the property municipally addressed as 265 Carling Avenue. The site is located to the northeast of the Carling Avenue and Cambridge Street South intersection. The property is currently used as a parking lot for the adjacent office tower. The site generally slopes towards the roadways and is similar to the regional topography (sloping southwest). Several catch basins were observed on the subject site.

No drinking water wells or private sewage systems were observed on the subject property, nor are any expected to be present, as the site is located in a fully municipally-serviced area. No water bodies or known areas of natural significance are present with the Phase I ESA Study Area.

## 2.2 Past Investigations

Based on a review of historical uses of the subject site and adjacent properties during the Phase I ESA, Paterson identified Areas of Potential Environmental Concern (APEC) for the subject property, as listed in Table 1.

# patersongroupOttawaKingstonNorth Bay

Table 1						
Areas of Pot	ential Environn	nental Concer	n			
Area of Potential Environmental Concern	Location of Area of Potential Environmental Concern with respect to Phase I Property	Potentially Contaminating Activity	Location of PCA (on-site or off- site)	Contaminants of Potential Concern	Media Potentially Impacted (Groundwater, Soil, and/or Sediment)	
Former retail fuel outlet and service station	15m East of the Phase I ESA property, at 748 Bronson Avenue	Item 52: Storage, maintenance, fuelling and repair of equipment, vehicles, and material used to maintain transportation systems	Off-site	PHC F1-F4, BTEX	Groundwater	
Former retail fuel outlet and service station	30m Southeast of the Phase I ESA property, at 770 Bronson Avenue	Item 52: Storage, maintenance, fuelling and repair of equipment, vehicles, and material used to maintain transportation systems	Off-site	PHC F1-F4, BTEX	Groundwater	
Former Ottawa Suburban Roads Commission Yard	15m West of the Phase I ESA property, at 279 Carling Avenue	Item 52: Storage, maintenance, fuelling and repair of equipment, vehicles, and material used to maintain transportation systems	Off-site	PHC F1-F4, BTEX	Soil, Groundwater	

# 3.0 SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

## 3.1 Overview of Site Investigation

The subsurface investigation conducted as a component of this Phase II ESA consisted of drilling four (4) boreholes at the subject site. Three of the boreholes were instrumented with a groundwater monitoring well. A fourth groundwater monitoring well, which was installed during a previous geotechnical investigation, was investigated as part of the groundwater sampling program. The boreholes were advanced to a maximum of 16.1m below the existing grade.

## 3.2 Media Investigated

During the subsurface investigation, soil and groundwater samples were recovered and submitted for laboratory analytical testing. There are no water bodies on the Phase II-ESA Property and as such, sediment sampling was not part of the Phase II-ESA. The rationale for sampling and analyzing these media is based on the Contaminants of Potential Concern identified in the Phase I-ESA Conceptual Site Model, discussed in Subsection 3.3.

#### Soil

Soil samples were collected from four of the boreholes on subject property. Soil samples were collected from the boreholes by means of split spoon sampling or auger sampling. All boreholes extended from the existing ground surface to bedrock. No native soils were identified on the subject site, and all analytical testing of soil consisted of fill materials.

#### Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring wells were developed upon completion using a dedicated inertial lift pump. A minimum of three well volumes were removed from the monitoring wells during development. Groundwater samples were obtained from each monitoring well, using dedicated sampling equipment. Standing water was purged from each monitoring well prior to sampling. Samples were stored in coolers to reduce analyte volatilization during transportation. Details of our standard operating procedure for groundwater sampling are provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

#### Sediment

There is no water body present on the Phase II-ESA property. As such, there is no sediment on, in or under the Phase II-ESA property. No sediment sampling was completed

## 3.3 Phase I Conceptual Site Model

#### Geological and Hydrogeological Setting

The Phase I property is located in an area of limestone and shale of the Verulam formation. Overburden soils consist of glacial till, with a drift thickness on the order to 0 to 1m. Based on information from the previous geotechnical investigation, the overburden depth ranges from 1.1m to 1.9m below the existing ground surface.

#### **Contaminants of Potential Concern**

Contaminants of potential concern include metals in the fill material (soil) and PHCs and BTEX in the groundwater.

#### **Existing Buildings and Structures**

There are no buildings on the subject site, however the southeast portion of the subject site is occupied by an underground parking garage, shared by the adjacent building. Several short brick walls are present on the subject site, along the property lines. No other buildings or structures are present on the subject site.

#### Water Bodies

There are no water bodies on the subject site or within the Phase I study area.

#### Areas of Natural Significance

No areas of natural significance were identified on the site or in the Phase I study area.

#### Drinking Water Wells

No drinking water wells are located at the subject site or within the Phase I study area.

#### Neighbouring Land Use

Currently, neighbouring land use in the Phase I study area is commercial and residential.

# Potentially Contaminating Activities and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

Three of the potentially contaminating activities identified within the Phase I ESA Study Area are considered to represent an area of potential concern on the subject site. The former retail fuel outlets and automotive service stations at 748 and 770 Bronson Avenue, and the former Ottawa Suburban Roads Commission Yard at 279 Carling Avneue. Other potentially contaminating activities in the area are not considered to have created areas of potential environmental concern on the subject site, based on their separation distances, downgradient location with respect to groundwater flow direction, and/or available documentation regarding those concerns.

#### Assessment of Uncertainty and/or Absence of Information

The information available for review as part of the preparation of this Phase I ESA is considered to be sufficient to conclude that there are areas of potential environmental concern on the subject site. The presence of potentially contaminating activities was confirmed by a variety of independent sources, and as such, the conclusions of this report are not affected by uncertainty which may be present with respect to the individual sources.

## 3.4 Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan

The Sampling and Analysis Plan for this project is included in Appendix 1 of this report. Two deviations from the sampling and analysis plan were noted and are as follows:

- Duplicate soil and groundwater samples were not submitted for analytical testing.
- □ Trip Blank samples were not collected.

Based on the analytical test results the absence of duplicate and trip blank samples are not considered to significantly impact the results and conclusions of the Phase II ESA.

# 3.5 Impediments

No physical impediments or denial of access were encountered during the Phase II ESA.

# 4.0 INVESTIGATION METHOD

## 4.1 Subsurface Investigation

The subsurface investigation was conducted on April 17, 2018 and consisted four boreholes (BH1 to BH4). The boreholes were placed to address the APECs identified in the Phase I ESA. The boreholes were advanced using a truck-mounted CME 55 power auger drill rig or a portable drilling crew. The drilling contractor was George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario (for the truck mount work) and CCC Geotechnical and Environmental Drilling (for the portable drilling work). Drilling occurred under full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. The borehole locations are indicated on the attached Drawing PE4252-3 - Test Hole Location Plan.

## 4.2 Soil Sampling

A total of 6 soil samples were obtained from the boreholes by means of split spoon sampling and grab sampling from auger flights. Split spoon samples were taken at approximate 0.76 m intervals. Upon bedrock refusal rock coring was undertaken. The depths at which split spoon, and grab samples were obtained from the boreholes are shown as "**SS**", "**AU**", and "**RC**" respectively on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report.

Site soils consist of a pavement structure (including asphaltic concrete and crushed stone/engineered fill) followed by a silty sand fill. Shallow bedrock was encountered in all boreholes between 0.59m and 0.94m ground surface.

## 4.3 Field Screening Measurements

All soil samples collected were submitted to a preliminary screening procedure, which included visual screening for colour, evidence of metals and other deleterious fill materials.

## 4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation

Four (4) groundwater monitoring wells were installed by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario or CCC Geotechnical and Environmental Drilling of Ottawa, Ontario, under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. Monitoring wells in BH1-18 – BH3-18 consisted of 32 mm diameter Schedule 40 threaded PVC risers and screens. George Downing Estate Drilling completed the installation of the monitoring well in BH3 during the 2012 geotechnical investigation. A sand pack consisting of silica sand was placed around the screen, and a bentonite seal was placed above the screen to the ground surface to minimize cross-contamination. Monitoring well construction details are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets in Appendix 1. A summary of monitoring well construction details is provided below in Table 2.

Table 2:   Monitoring Well Construction Details							
Well ID	Ground Surface Elevation	Total Depth (m BGS)	Screened Interval (m BGS)	Sand Pack (m BGS)	Bentonite Seal (m BGS)	Casing Type	
BH1-18	76.94	7.14	4.14-7.14	3.75-7.14	0.61 – 7.14	Flushmount	
BH2-18	73.56	4.57	1.57-4.57	1.22-4.57	0.61 – 4.57	Flushmount	
BH3-18	77.23	7.03	4.03-7.03	3.66-7.03	0.61 – 7.03	Flushmount	
BH3	76.48	15.39	12.39-15.39	11.60-15.39	0.61 -11.60	Flushmount	

## 4.5 Field Measurement of Water Quality Parameters

Field water quality parameters were considered consistent throughout the sampling program and in each monitoring well. The results of the field measurement of water quality parameters are considered to represent the groundwater at each sampling location.

## 4.6 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater sampling protocols were followed using the MOECC document entitled "Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario", dated May 1996. Groundwater samples were obtained from each monitoring well, using dedicated sampling equipment. Standing water was purged from each well prior to sampling. Samples were stored in coolers to reduce analyte volatilization during transportation. Details of our standard operating procedure for groundwater sampling are provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

## 4.7 Analytical Testing

Based on the guidelines outlined in the Sampling and Analysis Plan appended to this report, the following groundwater and soil samples were submitted for analysis: Ottawa Kingston

Table 3:							
Soil Samples Submitted							
	Sample	Parameters Analyzed			Rationale		
Sample ID	Sample ID Depth/Stratigraphic Metals			Kalenale			
June 20, 2017							
BH1-18-AU1	0.05 – 0.61m bgs; engineered fill	x		Ass bec	sess quality of fill/soil above drock surface		
BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m bgs, fill	х		Ass bec	sess quality of fill/soil above drock surface		
BH4-18-G1	0.15 – 0.61m bgs; engineered fill	х		Ass bec	sess quality of fill/soil above drock surface		
Table 4: Groundwater Samples Submitted							
		Parameters	s Analyzed				
Sample ID	Sample Depth/ Stratigraphic Unit	РНС (F <sub>1</sub> -F₄)	втех		Rationale		
June 28, 2017							
BH1-18-GW1	4.14-7.14m – Limestone bedrock	х	Х		Assess the groundwater quality on the subject site.		
BH2-18-GW1	1.57-4.57m – Limestone bedrock	х	Х				
BH3-18-GW1	4.03-7.03m – Limestone bedrock	Х	Х				
BH3-GW1	12.39-15.39m – Limestone bedrock	х	Х		Vertical delineation of the groundwater quality.		

Paracel Laboratories (Paracel), of Ottawa, Ontario, performed the laboratory analysis on the samples submitted for analytical testing. Paracel is a member of the Standards Council of Canada/Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (SCC/CALA). Paracel is accredited and certified by SCC/CALA for specific tests registered with the association.

#### 4.8 **Residue Management**

All soil cuttings, purge water and fluids from equipment cleaning were retained on-site.

## 4.9 Elevation Surveying

Monitoring well/borehole locations were surveyed using a laser level. Elevations were surveyed relative to a geodetic benchmark (manhole in Cambridge Street South, near Carling Avenue). The elevation of the benchmark was provided by Annis, O'Sullivan, and VollebeKk to be 76.23m. The location of the site benchmark is shown on Drawing PE4252-3 – Test Hole Location Plan.

## 4.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures

A summary of quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) measures, including sampling containers, preservation, labelling, handling, chain of custody, equipment cleaning procedures, and field quality control measurements are provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

## 5.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION

## 5.1 Geology

Site geology details are provided in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets provided in Appendix 1. Site soils consist of a layer of fill material, which is underlain by limestone bedrock. The bedrock was cored in three of the borehole locations to facilitate the installation of groundwater monitoring wells. The fill material consisted primarily of engineered fill mixed with sand. A small layer of sand, brick, gravel and cobbles was noted in BH3-18. Groundwater was encountered in the limestone bedrock at depths ranging from 0.35 to 2.83 m below existing grade.

## 5.2 Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient

Groundwater levels were measured using an electronic water level meter. Groundwater levels are summarized below in Table 5. All elevations are relative to the geodetic benchmark. It should be noted that groundwater levels are expected to fluctuate throughout the year with seasonal variations.

Table 5:   Groundwater Level Measurements						
Borehole Location	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Water Level Depth (m below grade)	Water Level Elevation (m)	Date of Measurement		
BH1-18	76.94	2.83	74.11	April 25, 2018		
BH2-18	73.56	0.35	73.21	April 25, 2018		
BH3-18	77.23	2.35	74.88	April 25, 2018		
BH3	76.48	4.70	71.78	April 27, 2018		

Based on the groundwater elevations from the April 25, 2018 monitoring event, groundwater contour mapping was completed. Groundwater contours are shown on Drawing PE4252-4 - Groundwater Contour Plan. Based on the contour mapping, groundwater flow at the subject site appears to be in an easterly direction. A horizontal hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.044 m/m was calculated. It is expected that the underground parking garage will affect the groundwater flow direction. The groundwater level measured in BH3 on April 27, 2018 was excluded due to the difference in screen intervals.

## 5.3 Fine-Medium Soil Texture

Coarse-grained soil standards have been used for the subject site. Grain size analysis was not completed.

## 5.4 Soil: Field Screening

Visual screening was completed for the limited soil samples collected from the subject site.

## 5.5 Soil Quality

Three soil samples were submitted to Paracel Laboratories for analysis of a combination of metal parameters. A copy of the analytical test results are attached to this report. The results of the soil analysis are presented in Table 6. The laboratory certificate of analysis is provided in Appendix 1.

Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment Part of 265 Carling Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

Bereneter	MDL	Soi	MOECC Table 7		
Parameter	(µg/g)	BH1-18- AU1	BH3-18- SS3	BH4-18- G1	Coarse (µg/g)
Antimony	1.0	nd	1	nd	7.5
Arsenic	1.0	2	nd	2	18
Barium	1.0	272	<u>690</u>	174	390
Beryllium	1.0	nd	nd	nd	4
Boron	1.0	15.1	5.7	12	120
Cadmium	0.5	nd	nd	nd	1.2
Chromium	1.0	14	19	9	160
Chromium (VI)	0.2	nd	nd	nd	9
Cobalt	1.0	6	5	6	22
Copper	1.0	13	15	9	140
Lead	1.0	21	<u>152</u>	17	120
Mercury	0.1	nd	<u>0.4</u>	nd	0.27
Molybdenum	1.0	nd	nd	1	6.9
Nickel	1.0	13	11	14	100
Selenium	1.0	nd	nd	nd	2.4
Silver	0.5	nd	nd	nd	20
Thallium	1.0	nd	nd	nd	1
Uranium	1.0	nd	nd	nd	23
Vanadium	1.0	18	22	11	86
Zinc	1.0	nd	322	nd	340
Notes: MDL – Method Du nd – not detected Bold – Value exc	etection Limit above the MDL eeds selected MC	DECC Standard	522		0-0

Barium, Lead and mercury exceed the MOECC Table 7 Residential Standards in sample BH3-18-SS1. All other samples are in compliance with the MOECC Standard.

Parameter	Maximum Concentration (μg/g)	Borehole/ Test Pit	Depth Interval (m BGS)	
Arsenic	1	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	
Barium	<u>690</u>	BH1-18-AU1	0.05 – 0.61m	
Boron	15.1	BH1-18-AU1	0.05 – 0.61m	
Chromium	19	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	
Cobalt	6	BH1-18-AU1	0.05 – 0.61m	
Cobalt	6	BH4-18-G1	0.15 – 0.61m	
Copper	15	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	
Lead	<u>152</u>	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	
Mercury	0.4	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	
Molybdenum	1	BH4-18-G1	0.15 – 0.61m	
Nickel	14	BH4-18-G1	0.15 – 0.61m	
Vanadium	22	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	
Zinc	322	BH3-18-SS3	0.51 – 0.94m	

All other parameter concentrations were below laboratory detection limits.

## 5.6 Groundwater Quality

Groundwater samples from the monitoring wells installed in BH1-18, BH2-18, BH3-18, and BH3 were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX and PHC ( $F_1$ - $F_4$ ) parameters. The groundwater samples were obtained from the screened intervals noted on Table 2. The results of the analytical testing are presented below in Table 8. The laboratory certificates of analysis are provided in Appendix 1.

Kingston North Bay

Table 8: Analytical Test Results – Groundwater BTEX and PHC (F <sub>1</sub> – F <sub>4</sub> )						
			MOECC Table			
Parameter	MDL (µg/L)	BH1-18 GW1	BH2-18 GW1	BH3-18 GW1	BH3-GW	7 Standards Non-Potable
			April 25, 2018	}	April 27, 2018	Coarse (µg/L)
Benzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.5
Ethylbenzne	0.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	54
Toluene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	320
Xylene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	72
PHC F1	25	nd	nd	88	nd	750
PHC F <sub>2</sub>	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	150
PHC F <sub>3</sub>	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	500
PHC F <sub>4</sub>	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	500
Notes: MDL – Method Detection Limit nd – not detected above the MDI						

There were no detected concentrations of BTEX and PHCs ( $F_1$ - $F_4$ ) above the method detection limits in the groundwater samples. All of the groundwater results were in compliance with the select MOECC Table 7 Standards.

## 5.7 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results

All samples submitted as part of this Phase II ESA were handled in accordance with the Analytical Protocol with respect to holding time, preservation method, storage requirement, and container type.

As per Subsection 47(3) of O.Reg. 153/04 as amended by O.Reg. 269/11, a Certificate of Analysis has been received for each sample submitted for analysis, and all Certificates of Analysis are appended to this report.

Overall, the quality of the field data collected during this Phase II ESA is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall objectives of this assessment.

## 5.8 Phase II Conceptual Site Model

The following section has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of O.Reg. 153/04 as amended by O.Reg. 269/11 - Record of Site Condition regulation, made under the Environmental Protection Act. Conclusions and recommendations are discussed in a subsequent section.

## Site Description

#### Potentially Contaminating Activities

Three PCA's representing APECs on the subject site were identified during the historical research.

- □ Former retail fuel outlet at 748 Bronson Avenue.
- □ Former Mechanic and Retail Fuel Outlet at 770 Bronson Avenue
- □ Former Ottawa Suburban Roads Commission Yard at 279 Carling Avenue

One PCA representing an APEC on the subject site was identified during the field program.

□ Fill material of unknown quality in BH3-18

Other PCAs within the Phase I study area are not considered to pose an environmental concern to the subject site due to their separation distance and/or location downgradient or cross-gradient of the subject site.

#### **Contaminants of Potential Concern**

The Phase I ESA identified Metals as contaminants of concern in the soil and BTEX and PHCs as contaminants of concern in the groundwater.

#### Subsurface Structures and Utilities

The subject site is located in a municipally serviced area. Several underground services, including municipal water and sewer, natural gas, and hydro, were located as part of the field program for the Phase II ESA.

## **Physical Setting**

#### Site Stratigraphy

Site stratigraphy is provided in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets provided in Appendix 1 and illustrated on Drawings PE4252-7 - Cross-Section A-A' and PE4252-8 – Cross-Section B-B'. Stratigraphy consists of:

- Asphaltic concrete varying in thickness between 0.02m and 0.08m.
- Crushed stone beneath the asphalt surfaces.
- □ Fill material consisting of sand, brick, gravel and cobbles identified in BH3-18.
- Limestone bedrock with occasional shale seams.

The limestone bedrock is the deepest unit investigated as part of this Phase II ESA.

#### Hydrogeological Characteristics

The water table at the subject site was encountered in the limestone bedrock at the subject site. Groundwater levels were measured at the subject site on April 25, 2018 and April 27, 2018. Groundwater was encountered at depths between 0.35 m and 4.70 m below existing grade. It is noted that water levels fluctuate with seasonal variations.

Based on the groundwater elevations from the April 25, 2018 monitoring event, groundwater contour mapping was completed. Groundwater contours are shown on Drawing PE4252-4 - Groundwater Contour Plan. Based on the contour mapping, groundwater flow at the subject site appears to be in a eastern direction. A horizontal hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.044 m/m was calculated.

#### Approximate Depth to Bedrock

Bedrock was encountered in the boreholes at depths varying from 0.66m to 1.7m below the existing ground surface.

#### Approximate Depth to Water Table

Depth to water table at the subject site varies between approximately 0.35m and 4.70m below existing grade.

#### Sections 41 and 43.1 of the Regulation

Section 41 of the Regulation (Site Condition Standards, Environmentally Sensitive Areas) does not apply to the subject site.

Section 43.1 of the Regulation applies to the property since the property is considered to be a shallow soil property. The property does not include all or part of a water body and is not within 30m of a water body.

#### Fill Placement

Fill placement has occurred at the subject site. The majority of the fill material consists of crushed stone extending to the bedrock surface in the paved areas. Fill material in BH3-18 was identified to be crushed stone underlain by a mixture

of sand, brick, gravel and cobbles. The fill material extends to the bedrock surface in all boreholes.

#### Proposed Buildings and Other Structures

It is our understanding that the site is under consideration for redevelopment with a retirement residence.

#### Existing Buildings and Structures

An underground parking structure for the adjacent property is located on the southeastern portion of the subject site. No concerns were identified within the parking structure.

#### Water Bodies

There are no water bodies on the subject site or within the Phase I ESA Study Area.

#### Areas of Natural Significance

No areas of natural significance were observed on the site or in the Phase I study area.

## **Environmental Condition**

#### Areas Where Contaminants are Present

Based on analytical results, impacted fill material is present in BH3018 above the bedrock throughout the subject site. The soils are impacted with metals. The remaining fill material (predominantly crushed stone) is not impacted.

Based on analytical results, the groundwater is in compliance with the selected MOECC Standards.

Sample locations are illustrated with analytical results in the Analytical Testing Plans (Drawings PE4252-5 and PE4252-6) appended to this report.

#### Types of Contaminants

The fill material in the northwest corner of the subject site is impacted with metals, specifically Barium, Lead, and Mercury.

#### Contaminated Media

Based on the analytical testing results from the Phase II-ESA, the fill material (excluding the engineered fill) in the northwest corner of the Phase II ESA property exceeds the MOECC Table 7 Standards.

#### What Is Known About Areas where Contaminants are Present

The Phase II ESA property was originally developed with residential buildings prior to 1912. The site southeast corner was redeveloped in conjunction with the adjacent property in the 1980s when the parking lot was constructed.

#### **Distribution and Migration of Contaminants**

The fill material in the northwest corner the subject site is considered to be impacted. The impacted fill is not considered to have the potential to migrate unless disturbed by anthropogenic causes since the fill material is sealed beneath the asphalt surface of the parking areas.

The distribution of the soil impacts are identified on Drawing PE4252-5.

#### **Discharge of Contaminants**

The discharge of contaminants at the Phase II-ESA Property is considered to have been associated with the demolition of the residential buildings during the redevelopment phase of the property in the 1980s. No ongoing discharge of contaminants is expected on the Phase II-ESA Property.

#### Migration of Contaminants

Contaminant migration due to anthropogenic causes is expected to be limited on the Phase II-ESA Property. The majority of the property is currently covered in asphaltic concrete.

#### Climatic and Meteorological Conditions

In general, climatic and meteorological conditions have the potential to affect contaminant distribution. Two ways by which climatic and meteorological conditions may affect contaminant distribution include the downward leaching of contaminants by means of the infiltration of precipitation, and the migration of contaminants via groundwater levels and/or flow, which may fluctuate seasonally.

## Potential for Vapour Intrusion

The only structure on the subject site is an underground parking structure located at the southeastern corner of the property. Based on the use of the underground structure (parking), the lack of volatility of the contaminants, and the lack of regular occupancy of the parking structure there is no potential for vapour intrusion.

## 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

## Assessment

A Phase II-Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was conducted on part of 265 Carling Avenue, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of the Phase II ESA was to address the Areas of Potential Environmental Concern identified during the Phase I ESA. The Phase II-ESA consisted of the drilling of four boreholes and installation of three groundwater monitoring wells to assess the soil and groundwater quality at the subject site.

Soil samples obtained from the boreholes were screened using visual observations. Site soils consist of fill material consisting either of engineered fill or of sand, brick, gravel and cobbles. Based on the screening results, samples were selected for testing of metals parameters. Based on the analytical results, the fill material consisting of sand, brick, gravel, and cobbles identified in BH3-18 is impacted with metals. Two samples of engineered fill material were also analysed, based on the analysis, the engineered fill material is not considered to be impacted.

Groundwater samples were collected from the monitoring wells installed in BH1-18, BH2-18, BH3-18 and BH3, and analyzed for BTEX and PHCs. No detectable concentrations were identified in the groundwater. The groundwater is in compliance with the selected MOECC Standards.

## Recommendations

#### <u>Soil</u>

At this time, the fill material consisting of sand, brick, gravel, and cobbles does not present a concern to the occupants of the subject site. This fill material should be disposed of at an appropriate waste facility during future development of the site. Prior to the disposal of this soil a TCLP analysis will be required.

#### Monitoring Wells

If the monitoring wells installed on the subject site are not going to be used in the future, or will be destroyed during site redevelopment, they should be abandoned according to Ontario Regulation 903. The wells will be registered with the MOECC under this regulation. It is recommended that the monitoring wells remain intact for future groundwater monitoring purposes and should be resampled prior to the start of the redevelopment of the property.

# 7.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment report has been prepared in general accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 as amended by O.Reg. 269/11, and meets the requirements of CSA Z769-00. The conclusions presented herein are based on information gathered from a limited sampling and testing program. The test results represent conditions at specific test locations at the time of the field program.

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes themselves.

Should any conditions be encountered at the subject site and/or historical information that differ from our findings, we request that we be notified immediately in order to allow for a reassessment.

This report was prepared for the sole use of the Taggart Group. Permission and notification from the Taggart Group and Paterson will be required to release this report to any other party.

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Michael Beaudoin, P.Eng.



Mark D'Arcy, P.Eng.

#### **Report Distribution:**

- Taggart Group
- Paterson Group



# **FIGURES**

## FIGURE 1 – KEY PLAN

## DRAWING PE4252-3 – TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

DRAWING PE4252-4 - GROUNDWATER CONTOUR PLAN

DRAWING PE4252-5 – ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN – SOIL

DRAWING PE4252 – 6 – ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN -GROUNDWATER

DRAWING PE4252-7 - CROSS-SECTION A-A`

DRAWING PE4252-8 - CROSS-SECTION B-B'

# C Powell Ave 79) E Crazy Pho You 😱 e Allo Allo Wireless SITE Midwifery Group of Ottawa О 38) Carling Ave Q Suite Dreams Home Emporium 38) 38 79 Carling Ave Social Planning Council of Ottawa 0

FIGURE 1 KEY PLAN

# patersongroup



AVENUE IAL DIN WASH, NT)				
	7			CLEMOW AVENUE
No. 746 2. Storey Dwelling Cost Cost	o) up <u>is</u>	BRONSON AVENUE		<b>V</b>
 Steenesk	LEGEND:	BOREHOLE LOC		NT INVESTIGATION
Concrete	÷			WELL LOCATION,
	•	GEOTECHNICAL PATERSON GRO	BOREHOLE LO	CATION, 32682, 2012
	<b>\</b>	GEOTECHNICAL WELL LOCATION	BOREHOLE WI , PATERSON G	TH MONITORING ROUP PG2682, 2012
	76.94	GROUND SURFA	CE ELEVATION	(m)
~	[76.28]	BEDROCK SURF	ACE ELEVATIO	N (m)
	(76.00)	GROUNDWATER	SURFACE ELE	VATION (m)
	<b>A</b> — <b>A</b>	CROSS-SECTION	I LOCATION	
	BM - TOP OF ELEVATION =	GRATE OF SANITA 76.63m.	RY MANHOLE	COVER. GEODETIC
	Scale:	1:500	Date:	04/2018

		MSD	Revision No.: 0
	Approved by:		FE4232-3
		MB	DE1252 2
ONTARIO	Checked by:		Dwg. No.:
		MPG	PE4252-2
	Drawn by:		Report No.:
		1:500	04/2018
	Scale:		Date:



			MSD	Revision No.: 0
		Approved by:		<b>ГС4232</b> ■4
			MB	
0	NTARIO	Checked by:		Dwg. No.:
			MPG	PE4252-2
		Drawn by:		Report No.:
			1:500	04/2018
		Scale:		Date:



AVENUE AL DIN WASH, NT)				
	olurus	1.		CLEMOW AVENUE
No. 746 2. Storey Dwelling Core		BRONSON AVENUL		
• dewalk	LEGEND: Soil Par	AMETERS COMPL	Y WITH MOECC	TABLE 7 STANDARDS
6	SOIL PAR	AMETERS EXCEE	D MOECC TABLE	7 STANDARDS
reto	¢	BOREHOLE L	OCATION, CURRE	ENT INVESTIGATION
Công	$\oplus$	BOREHOLE V CURRENT IN	VITH MONITORING	G WELL LOCATION,
	•	GEOTECHNIC PATERSON G	CAL BOREHOLE L ROUP REPORT F	OCATION, 2G2682, 2012
y	\$	GEOTECHNIC WELL LOCAT	CAL BOREHOLE W ION, PATERSON	/ITH MONITORING GROUP PG2682, 2012
	76.94	GROUND SUI	RFACE ELEVATIO	N (m)
	[76.28]	BEDROCK SU	IRFACE ELEVATIO	ON (m)
	(74.11)	GROUNDWA	TER SURFACE EL	EVATION (m)
	BM - TOP ( ELEVATIO	OF GRATE OF SAI N = 76.63m.	NITARY MANHOLE	E COVER. GEODETIC
	Caalaa		L D . L	

	Scale:		Date:
		1:500	04/2018
	Drawn by:		Report No.:
		MPG	PE4252-2
ONTARIO	Checked by:		Dwg. No.:
		MB	DE4252 5
	Approved by:		FE4232-3
		MSD	Revision No.: 0



ONTARIO	Checked by	···· 0	Dwg. No.:	
	Drawn by:	MPG	Report No.: PE4252-2	
	Scale:	1:500	Date: 04/2018	
	ELEVATION =	/ 0.03M.		
	BM - TOP OF	GRATE OF SANITA	ARY MANHOLE COVER. GEODETI	с
	(74.11)	GROUNDWATER	SURFACE ELEVATION (m)	
	[76.28]	BEDROCK SURF	ACE ELEVATION (m)	
	76.94	GROUND SURFA	CE ELEVATION (m)	
7	<b>\</b>	GEOTECHNICAL WELL LOCATION	BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING I, PATERSON GROUP PG2682. 207	12
	•	GEOTECHNICAL PATERSON GRO	BOREHOLE LOCATION, UP REPORT PG2682, 2012	
	Ŧ	BOREHOLE WITH CURRENT INVES	H MONITORING WELL LOCATION, STIGATION	
Concrete	<b>+</b>	BOREHOLE LOC	ATION, CURRENT INVESTIGATION	٨
	STANDARDS	EK PAKAMETERS	SCOMPLY WITH MOECC TABLE	(
Sidewalk	LEGEND:			,
. / /			1	
oncrete Curb				
		BRO		
	1	NSO,		
CRW		۲ ۲		
No. 746 2 Storey Dwelling		<b>ENL</b>		
		Æ		
1				
<u> </u>	OUPLS		AVENUE	5
			CLEMOV	v
al IN WASH, NT)				
			1	

MB

MSD

Approved by:

\autocad drawings\environmental\pe42xx\pe4252\pe4252 phase ii.dwg

**PE4252-6** 

0

Revision No.:



# SOIL PARAMETERS COMPLY WITH MOECC TABLE 3 STANDARDS

# GROUNDWATER PARAMETERS COMPLY WITH MOECC TABLE 3 STANDARDS

	Scale:		Date:	
	AS SHOWN			05/2018
	Drawn by:		Report No.:	
		MPG		PE4252-2
ONTARIO	Checked by:		Dwg. No.:	
		MB	DC	1252 7
	Approved by:			4232-7
		MSD	Revision No.:	0



BH2-18-GW1 (1.57 - 4.57 m, limestone bedrock) - April 25, 2018 PHC F1-F4 - Complies with MOECC Table 7 Standards BTEX - Complies with MOECC Table 7 Standards

# SOIL PARAMETERS COMPLY WITH MOECC TABLE 3 STANDARDS

SOIL PARAMETERS EXCEED MOECC TABLE 3 STANDARDS

GROUNDWATER PARAMETERS COMPLY WITH MOECC TABLE 3 STANDARDS

	Scale:	Dat	e:
	AS SHOWN		05/2018
	Drawn by:	Rep	port No.:
	MP	G	PE4252-2
ONTARIO	Checked by:	Dw	g. No.:
	ME		DE1252_8
	Approved by:		FL4ZJZ-0
	MS	D Rev	vision No.: 0
## **APPENDIX 1**

## SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS

LABORATORY CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS

#### Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

**Materials Testing** 

**Building Science** 

Archaeological Studies

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca

# patersongroup

## **Sampling and Analysis Plan**

Part of 265 Carling Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

#### **Prepared For**

Taggart Group

April, 2018

Report: PE4252-SAP.01



Part of 265 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Sam	pling Program	1
2.0	Anal	ytical Testing Program	2
3.0	Stan	dard Operating Procedures	3
	3.1	Environmental Drilling Procedure	3
	3.2	Monitoring Well Installation Procedure	5
	3.3	Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure	6
4.0	Qual	ity Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC)	9
5.0	Phys	ical Impediments to Sampling and Analysis Plan	9

## **1.0 Sampling Program**

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Taggart Group to conduct a Phase II ESA for a portion of the property addressed as 265 Carling Avenue, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario.

The Phase II ESA was carried out to address the APECs identified in the Paterson Phase I ESA. The following subsurface investigation program was developed to identify and delineate the suspected contamination:

Borehole	Location and Rationale	Proposed Depth and Rationale			
BH1-18	Address the APEC located to the west of the subject site.	Boreholes to be advanced to intercept			
BH2-18	Address the APEC located to the east and southeast of the subject site.	water table to facilitate installation of			
BH3-18	Address the APEC located to the west of the subject site	groundwater monitoring wells.			
BH4-18	Provide general coverage of the property	Advance to bedrock surface and collect soil samples, if present.			
ВНЗ	Provide vertical delineation of the groundwater	Drilled as part of the 2012 Geotechnical investigation, groundwater sampled as part of the current investigation.			

Borehole locations are shown on the Test Hole Location Plan appended to the main report.

At each borehole, split spoon of overburden soils will be obtained at 0.76 m (2'6'') intervals until spoon refusal is encountered. All soil samples will be retained, and samples will be selected for submission following a preliminary screening analysis.

If it is considered necessary to drill into bedrock to intercept the groundwater table, boreholes will be advanced into bedrock as required using diamond coring equipment. Rock core samples will be retained for review.

Following borehole drilling, monitoring wells will be installed in selected boreholes for the measurement of water levels and the collection of groundwater samples.

## 2.0 Analytical Testing Program

The analytical testing program for soil at the subject site is based on the following general considerations:

- In borehole where there is visual or olfactory evidence of contamination, or where gas detector readings indicate the presence of contamination, the 'worstcase' sample from each test pit should be submitted for comparison with MOECC site condition standards.
- In boreholes with evidence of contamination as described above, a sample should be submitted from the stratigraphic unit below the 'worst-case' sample to determine whether the contaminant(s) have migrated vertically downward.
- At least one sample from each borehole should be submitted to delineate the horizontal extent of contamination across the site.
- Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the contaminants of potential concern identified in the Phase II-ESA.
- Samples will be submitted for analysis of PHC, PAH, and metals parameters.

## 3.0 Standard Operating Procedures

## 3.1 Environmental Drilling Procedure

### Purpose

The purpose of environmental boreholes is to assess the soil condition and facilitate the installation of groundwater monitoring wells to delineate the petroleum hydrocarbon impacted groundwater.

## Equipment

The following is a list of equipment that is in addition to regular equipment stated in the geotechnical drilling SOP:

- Glass soil sample jars
- Plastic sample bags two buckets
- Cleaning brush (toilet brush works well)
- Dish detergent
- Methyl hydrate
- Water (if not available on site water jugs available in trailer)
- Latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant)
- RKI Eagle organic vapour meter or MiniRae photoionization detector (depending on contamination suspected)

## **Determining Borehole and Test Pit Locations**

If conditions on site are not as suspected and planned borehole/test pit locations cannot be excavated, **call the office to discuss**. Alternative borehole/test pit locations will be determined in conversation with the field technician, supervising engineer and the site superintended.

After drilling/excavation is completed a plan with the borehole/test pit locations must be provided. Distances and orientations of test pits with respect to site features (buildings, roadways, etc.) must be provided. Distances should be measured using a measuring tape or wheel rather than paced off. Ground surface elevations at each borehole should be surveyed relative to a geodetic benchmark, if one is available, or a temporary site benchmark which can be tied in at a later date if necessary.

#### Drilling Procedure

The actual drilling procedure for environmental boreholes is the same as geotechnical boreholes (see SOP for drilling and sampling) with a few exceptions as follows:

- Continuous split spoon samples (every 0.6 m or 2') or semi-continuous (every 0.76 m or 2'6") are required. Sleeve samples are to be collected when utilizing GeoProbe direct push drill.
- Make sure samples are well sealed in plastic bags with no holes prior to screening and are kept cool but unfrozen.
- If sampling for VOCs, BTEX, or PHCs F1, a soil core from each soil sample which may be analyzed must be taken and placed in the laboratory-provided methanol vial.
- Note all and any odours or discolouration of samples.
- Split spoon samplers must be washed between samples. Sleeves are disposable and will not require washing.
- If obvious contamination is encountered, continue sampling until vertical extent of contamination is delineated.
- As a general rule, environmental boreholes should be deep enough to intercept the groundwater table (unless this is impossible/impractical - call project manager to discuss).
- If at all possible, soil samples should be submitted to a preliminary screening procedure on site, either using a RKI Eagle, PID, visual observations, etc. depending on type of suspected contamination.

#### Spoon Washing Procedure

All sampling equipment (spilt spoons, etc.) must be washed between samples in order to prevent cross contamination of soil samples.

- Obtain two buckets of water (preferably hot if available)
- Add a small amount of dish soap to one bucket
- Scrub spoons with brush in soapy water, inside and out, including tip
- Rinse in clean water
- Apply a small amount of methyl hydrate to the inside of the spoon. (A spray bottle or water bottle with a small hole in the cap works well)
- Allow to dry (takes seconds)
- Rinse with distilled water, a spray bottle works well.

The methyl hydrate eliminates any soap residue that may be on the spoon, and is especially important when dealing with suspected VOCs.

The spoon-washing procedure may be bypassed if a GeoProbe direct-push drill rig with disposable plastic sampling tubes is used.

## 3.2 Monitoring Well Installation Procedure

#### Equipment

- 1.5 m x 5 cm threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC slotted well screen (1.5 m x 3.2 cm if installing in cored hole in bedrock)
- 1.5 m x 5 cm threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC riser pipe (1.5 m x 3.2 cm if installing in cored hole in bedrock)
- Threaded end-cap
- Slip-cap or J-plug
- Asphalt cold patch or concrete
- Silica Sand
- Bentonite chips (Holeplug)
- Steel flushmount casing

#### Procedure

- Drill borehole to required depth, using drilling and sampling procedures described above.
- If borehole is deeper than required monitoring well, backfill with bentonite chips to required depth. This should only be done on wells where contamination is not suspected, in order to prevent downward migration of contamination.
- Only one monitoring well should be installed per borehole.
- Monitoring wells should not be screened across more than one stratigraphic unit to prevent potential migration of contaminants between units.
- Where LNAPLs are the suspected contaminants of concern, monitoring wells should be screened straddling the water table in order to capture any free product floating on top of the water table.
- Thread the end cap onto a section of screen. Thread second section of screen if required. Thread risers onto screen. Lower into borehole to required depth. Ensure slip-cap or J-plug is inserted to prevent backfill materials entering well.

- As drillers remove augers, backfill borehole annulus with silica sand until the level of sand is approximately 0.3 m above the top of the screen.
- Backfill with holeplug until at least 0.3 m of holeplug is present above the top of the silica sand.
- Backfill remainder of borehole with holeplug or with auger cuttings (if contamination is not suspected).
- Install flushmount casing. Seal space between flushmount and borehole annulus with concrete, cold patch, or holeplug to match surrounding ground surface.

## 3.3 Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure

#### Equipment

- Water level metre or interface probe on hydrocarbon/LNAPL sites
- Spray bottles containing water and methanol to clean water level tape or interface probe
- Peristaltic pump
- Polyethylene tubing for peristaltic pump
- Flexible tubing for peristaltic pump
- Latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant)
- Allen keys and/or 9/16" socket wrench to remove well caps
- Graduated bucket with volume measurements
- Portable pH/Temperature/Conductivity analyzer
- Laboratory-supplied sample bottles

## Sampling Procedure

- Locate well and use socket wrench or Allan key to open metal flush mount protector cap. Remove plastic well cap.
- Measure water level, with respect to existing ground surface, using water level meter or interface probe. If using interface probe on suspected NAPL site, measure the thickness of free product.
- Measure total depth of well.
- Clean water level tape or interface probe using methanol and water. Change gloves between wells.
- Calculate volume of standing water within well and record.
- Insert polyethylene tubing into well and attach to peristaltic pump. Turn on peristaltic pump and purge into graduated bucket. Purge at least three well volumes of water from the well. Measure and record field chemistry.

Continue to purge, measuring field chemistry after every well volume purged, until appearance or field chemistry stabilizes.

- Note appearance of purge water, including colour, opacity (clear, cloudy, silty), sheen, presence of LNAPL, and odour. Note any other unusual features (particulate matter, effervescence (bubbling) of dissolved gas, etc.).
- Fill required sample bottles. If sampling for metals, attach 75-micron filter to discharge tube and filter metals sample. If sampling for VOCs, use low flow rate to ensure continuous stream of non-turbulent flow into sample bottles. Ensure no headspace is present in VOC vials.
- Replace well cap and flushmount casing cap.

## Instrument Washing Procedure

All sampling equipment (shovels, trowels, spatulas, etc.) must be washed between samples in order to prevent cross contamination of soil samples.

- Obtain two buckets of water (preferably hot if available)
- Add a small amount of dish soap to one bucket
- Scrub instrument with brush in soapy water, inside and out, including tip
- Rinse in clean water
- Apply a small amount of methyl hydrate to the exposed faces of the instrument. (A spray bottle or water bottle with a small hole in the cap works well)
- Allow to dry (takes seconds)
- Rinse with distilled water, a spray bottle works well.

The methyl hydrate eliminates any soap residue that may be on the equipment, and is especially important when dealing with suspected VOCs.

## Screening Procedure

The RKI Eagle is used to screen most soil samples, particularly where petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is suspected. The MiniRae is used when VOCs are suspected, however it also can be useful for detecting petroleum. These tools are for screening purposes only and cannot be used in place of laboratory testing. Vapour results obtained from the RKI Eagle and the PID are relative and must be interpreted.

Screening equipment should be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, more frequently if heavily used.

- Samples should be brought to room temperature; this is specifically important in colder weather. Soil must not be frozen.
- Turn instrument on and allow to come to zero calibrate if necessary
- If using RKI Eagle, ensure instrument is in methane elimination mode unless otherwise directed.
- Ensure measurement units are ppm (parts per million) initially. RKI Eagle will automatically switch to %LEL (lower explosive limit) if higher concentrations are encountered.
- Break up large lumps of soil in the sample bag, taking care not to puncture bag.
- Insert probe into soil bag, creating a seal with your hand around the opening.
- Gently manipulate soil in bag while observing instrument readings.
- Record the highest value obtained in the first 15 to 25 seconds
- Make sure to indicate scale (ppm or LEL); also note which instrument was used (RKI Eagle 1 or 2, or MiniRae).
- Jar samples and refrigerate as per Sampling and Analysis Plan.

## 4.0 Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC)

The QA/QC program for this subsurface investigation is as follows:

- All non-dedicated sampling equipment (shovels, split spoons, etc.) will be decontaminated according to the SOPs listed above.
- Approximately one field duplicate will be submitted for every ten samples submitted for laboratory analysis. A minimum of one field duplicate per project will be submitted. Field duplicates will be submitted for soil and groundwater samples where possible.
- Where multi-parameter analyzers are used to measure field chemistry, they will be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, according to frequency of use.

## 5.0 Physical Impediments to Sampling and Analysis Plan

Physical impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan may include:

- The location of underground utilities
- Shallow bedrock or limited presence of fill
- Insufficient groundwater volume for groundwater samples (if encountered)
- Breakage of sampling containers following sampling or while in transit to the laboratory
- Elevated detection limits due to matrix interference (generally related to soil colour or presence of organic material)
- Elevated detection limits due to high concentrations of certain parameters, necessitating dilution of samples in laboratory
- Mechanical Equipment breakdowns
- Winter conditions
- Other site-specific impediments

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Phsae II - Environmental Site Assessment 265 Carling Avenue 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Ottawa, Ontario BM - Top of manhole located on the corner of Cambridge Street South and FILE NO. DATUM Carling Avenue. Geodetic elevation = 76.63m. **PE4252** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH 1-18** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE April 17, 2018 SAMPLE **Photo Ionization Detector** Monitoring Well Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION Volatile Organic Rdg. (ppm) (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE \_\c Lower Explosive Limit % $\bigcirc$ **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+76.94Asphaltic concrete 0.06 1 AU FILL: Crushed stone with silt and sand 0.66 1+75.94 RC 1 100 84 2+74.94 v 3+73.94 RC 2 100 93 **BEDROCK:** Grey limestone 4+72.94 RC 3 100 97 5+71.946+70.94 RC 4 100 92 7+69.94 7.14 End of Borehole (GWL @ 2.83m - April 25, 2018) 100 200 300 400 500 RKI Eagle Rdg. (ppm) ▲ Full Gas Resp. △ Methane Elim.

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup Consulting Engineers **Phsae II - Environmental Site Assessment** 265 Carling Avenue 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Ottawa, Ontario BM - Top of manhole located on the corner of Cambridge Street South and DATUM FILE NO. Carling Avenue. Geodetic elevation = 76.63m.

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger

DATE April 17, 2018

**PE4252** 

**BH 2-18** 

HOLE NO.

SOIL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE			DEPTH ELEV.		Photo I	tor	ion			
	TRATA P	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	°% COVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)	<ul> <li>Lowe</li> </ul>	r Explo	sive Lin	nit % jini	Construct
GROUND SURFACE	S		Z	RE	z °	0	72 56	20	40	60 8	30 Š	Ŭ
Concrete 0.11 FILL: Crushed stone with silt and sand 0.55	3 <u>^^^^</u>	aU ₿	1				-73.30	•				
		RC	1	92	77	1-	-72.56		······································			կկկկկկ
		RC	2	98	82	2-	-71.56					
BEDROCK: Grey limestone		RC	3	100	91	3-	-70.56					
4.5	$rac{1}{2}$	RC	4	100	86	4-	-69.56					
End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.35m - April 25, 2018)												
								100 RKI E ▲ Full Ga	200 Eagle Reas Resp.	300 44 dg. (ppn △ Methar	100 500 n) ne Elim.	

# Soil PROFILE AND TEST DATA 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Soil PROFILE AND TEST DATA Phsae II - Environmental Site Assessment 265 Carling Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

						lawa, Oi			1		
DATUM BM - Top of manhole locat Carling Avenue. Geodetic	ed or eleva	n the c ition =	orner 76.6	of Ca 3m.	ambric	lge Stree	t South a	ind	FILE NO.	PE4252	2
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE /	April 17, 2	2018		HOLE NO.	<b>BH 3-</b> 1	18
SOIL DESCRIPTION	ТОЛ	SAMPLE			1	DEPTH ELEV.		Photo Ionization Detecto			l Well tion
	ATA F	ЪE	BER	VERY	ALUE RQD	(m)	(m)				itoring
GROUND SURFACE	STR	Τ	MUN	RECO	N VI OF			20	40 60	80 80	Mon
Asphaltic concrete 0.06		8	4			0-	-77.23				티티
FILL: Crushed stone with silt and 0.25 sand 0.51			2					•			<u>իրիդիր</u> Մրիդիր
Igravel0.94		ss	3	50	50+	1-	-76.23	•			<u>լիկիրիլ</u>
lgravel, cobbles, concrete and brick		<u>_</u>									որիսինինին Որիսինինին
		RC _	1	100	77	2-	-75.23				
BEDROCK: Grey limestone		RC	2	100	100	3-	-74.23				անդուներիներ Դերեներիներ
						4-	-73.23				
		- RC	3	100	94	5-	-72.23				
		RC	4	100	100	6-	-71.23				
7.03 End of Borehole						7-	-70.23				
(GWL @ 2.35m - April 25, 2018)											
								100 RKI E ▲ Full Ga	200 300 Eagle Rdg. as Resp. △ M	400 50 (ppm) 1ethane Elim.	DO

natoreonar	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA														
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	ineers	<ul> <li>Phsae II - Environmental Site Assessment</li> <li>265 Carling Avenue</li> <li>Ottown Optonia</li> </ul>													
<b>DATUM</b> BM - Top of manhole located on the corner of Can Carling Avenue. Geodetic elevation = 76.63m.						dge Stree	t South a	and		F	FILE NO.	F	PE4252	2	
REMARKS				D۵	TF	April 17 2	2018			F	IOLE NO.	E	<b>3H 4-</b> 1	4-18	
	Ę		SAN	IPLE				Pł	noto	lon	nization	Dete	ector	lell	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLC		щ	RY	Що	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	•	Vola	atile	Organic	Rdg. (	(ppm)	ing V	
	STRAT	ТҮРЕ	NUMBE	RECOVE	N VALU of RQ						Explosiv	re Lii	mit %	Monito	
Asphaltic concrete 0.05		-				- 0-	-76.87	::		: :		, ::::			
FILL: Crushed stone with silt and sand0.61 End of Borehole		AU	1					•							
Practical refusal to augering at 0.61m depth										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
									100 RKI Full G	2 Eag	200 30 gle Rdg Resp. ∆	0 . . <b>(pp</b> Metha	400 50 m) ane Elim.	oo	

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

Relative Density	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

#### **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

#### RQD % ROCK QUALITY

90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

#### SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard
		Penetration Test (SPT))

- TW Thin wall tube or Shelby tube
- PS Piston sample
- AU Auger sample or bulk sample
- WS Wash sample
- RC Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

#### **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**

MC%	-	Natural moisture content or water content of sample, %						
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)						
PL	-	Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)						
PI	-	Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL)						
Dxx	-	Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size						
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)						
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer						
Сс	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$						
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10						
Cc and	Cu are	used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:						

Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

#### **CONSOLIDATION TEST**

p'o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p'c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)
Сс	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)
OC Ratio		Overconsolidaton ratio = p'c / p'o
Void Ratio	D	Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

#### PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued) STRATA PLOT Topsoil Asphalt Peat Sand Silty Sand Fill Δ Sandy Silt Clay Silty Clay Clayey Silty Sand Glacial Till Shale Bedrock

#### MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION









RELIABLE.

## Certificate of Analysis

#### Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South Nepean, ON K2E 7J5 Attn: Mike Beaudoin

Client PO: 23775 Project: PE4252 Custody: 116662

Report Date: 24-Apr-2018 Order Date: 18-Apr-2018

Order #: 1816317

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID	Client ID
1816317-01	BH1-18-AU1
1816317-02	BH3-18-SS3
1816317-03	BH4-18-G1

Approved By:

nuck Foto

Mark Foto, M.Sc. Lab Supervisor

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



## Order #: 1816317

Report Date: 24-Apr-2018 Order Date: 18-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### **Analysis Summary Table**

Analysis	Method Reference/Description	Extraction Date	Analysis Date
Chromium, hexavalent - soil	MOE E3056 - Extraction, colourimetric	20-Apr-18	21-Apr-18
Mercury by CVAA	EPA 7471B - CVAA, digestion	23-Apr-18	23-Apr-18
Metals, ICP-MS	EPA 6020 - Digestion - ICP-MS	23-Apr-18	23-Apr-18
Solids, %	Gravimetric, calculation	20-Apr-18	20-Apr-18



Order #: 1816317

Report Date: 24-Apr-2018 Order Date: 18-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

	Client ID:	BH1-18-AU1	BH3-18-SS3	BH4-18-G1	-
	Sample Date:	04/17/2018 09:00	04/17/2018 09:00	04/17/2018 09:00	-
	Sample ID:	1816317-01	1816317-02	1816317-03	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	Soil	Soil	-
Physical Characteristics					
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	90.4	83.5	93.7	-
Metals					
Antimony	1 ug/g dry	<1	1	<1	-
Arsenic	1 ug/g dry	2	<1	2	-
Barium	1 ug/g dry	272	690	174	-
Beryllium	0.5 ug/g dry	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Boron	5.0 ug/g dry	15.1	5.7	12.0	-
Cadmium	0.5 ug/g dry	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Chromium	5 ug/g dry	14	19	9	-
Chromium (VI)	0.2 ug/g dry	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	-
Cobalt	1 ug/g dry	6	5	6	-
Copper	5 ug/g dry	13	15	9	-
Lead	1 ug/g dry	21	152	17	-
Mercury	0.1 ug/g dry	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	-
Molybdenum	1 ug/g dry	<1	<1	1	-
Nickel	5 ug/g dry	13	11	14	-
Selenium	1 ug/g dry	<1	<1	<1	-
Silver	0.3 ug/g dry	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	-
Thallium	1 ug/g dry	<1	<1	<1	-
Uranium	1 ug/g dry	<1	<1	<1	-
Vanadium	10 ug/g dry	18	22	11	-
Zinc	20 ug/g dry	<20	322	<20	-



Order #: 1816317

Report Date: 24-Apr-2018 Order Date: 18-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### Method Quality Control: Blank

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Metals									
Antimony	ND	1	ug/g						
Arsenic	ND	1	ug/g						
Barium	ND	1	ug/g						
Beryllium	ND	0.5	ug/g						
Boron	ND	5.0	ug/g						
Cadmium	ND	0.5	ug/g						
Chromium (VI)	ND	0.2	ug/g						
Chromium	ND	5	ug/g						
Cobalt	ND	1	ug/g						
Copper	ND	5	ug/g						
Lead	ND	1	ug/g						
Mercury	ND	0.1	ug/g						
Molybdenum	ND	1	ug/g						
Nickel	ND	5	ug/g						
Selenium	ND	1	ug/g						
Silver	ND	0.3	ug/g						
Thallium	ND	1	ug/g						
Uranium	ND	1	ug/g						
Vanadium	ND	10	ug/g						
Zinc	ND	20	ug/g						



Order #: 1816317

Report Date: 24-Apr-2018 Order Date: 18-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### Method Quality Control: Duplicate

	F	Reporting		Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Result	%REC	Limit	RPD	Limit	Notes
Metals									
Antimony	ND	1	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Arsenic	1.3	1	ug/g dry	1.6			17.5	30	
Barium	68.7	1	ug/g dry	64.5			6.3	30	
Beryllium	ND	0.5	ug/g dry	0.56			0.0	30	
Boron	26.1	5.0	ug/g dry	22.0			17.1	30	
Cadmium	ND	0.5	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Chromium (VI)	ND	0.2	ug/g dry	ND				35	
Chromium	14.8	5	ug/g dry	15.3			3.2	30	
Cobalt	5.2	1	ug/g dry	5.2			0.0	30	
Copper	8.7	5	ug/g dry	9.0			3.2	30	
Lead	17.3	1	ug/g dry	16.9			2.7	30	
Mercury	ND	0.1	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Molybdenum	ND	1	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Nickel	15.8	5	ug/g dry	16.1			2.4	30	
Selenium	ND	1	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Silver	ND	0.3	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Thallium	ND	1	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Uranium	ND	1	ug/g dry	ND			0.0	30	
Vanadium	ND	10	ug/g dry	10.2			0.0	30	
Zinc	24.4	20	ug/g dry	27.0			10.1	30	
Physical Characteristics									
% Solids	74.5	0.1	% by Wt.	74.3			0.3	25	



#### Order #: 1816317

Report Date: 24-Apr-2018 Order Date: 18-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### Method Quality Control: Spike

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Metals									
Antimony	47.3		ug/L	ND	94.5	70-130			
Arsenic	50.8		ug/L	ND	100	70-130			
Barium	80.6		ug/L	25.8	110	70-130			
Beryllium	51.9		ug/L	ND	103	70-130			
Boron	54.8		ug/L	8.8	91.9	70-130			
Cadmium	48.3		ug/L	ND	96.6	70-130			
Chromium (VI)	4.0	0.2	ug/g	ND	70.5	70-130			
Chromium	58.3		ug/L	6.1	104	70-130			
Cobalt	53.8		ug/L	2.1	104	70-130			
Copper	54.8		ug/L	ND	102	70-130			
Lead	60.3		ug/L	6.7	107	70-130			
Mercury	1.49	0.1	ug/g	ND	99.6	70-130			
Molybdenum	47.7		ug/L	ND	94.9	70-130			
Nickel	58.5		ug/L	6.5	104	70-130			
Selenium	48.6		ug/L	ND	97.2	70-130			
Silver	43.8		ug/L	ND	87.6	70-130			
Thallium	53.3		ug/L	ND	106	70-130			
Uranium	48.8		ug/L	ND	97.2	70-130			
Vanadium	57.2		ug/L	ND	106	70-130			
Zinc	57.2		ug/L	ND	92.9	70-130			



#### **Qualifier Notes:**

Login Qualifiers :

Container(s) - Bottle and COC sample ID don't match - Sample date April 17,2018 Applies to samples: BH1-18-AU1, BH3-18-SS3, BH4-18-G1

#### **Sample Data Revisions**

None

#### Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

#### **Other Report Notes:**

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected MDL: Method Detection Limit Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples %REC: Percent recovery. RPD: Relative percent difference.

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis when the units are denoted with 'dry'. Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

GPARACEL	TR RE RE	USTE SPO LIAB	1	Para	cel ID:	181	631	7			I. IE I-	Laurent Blvd. rio K1G 4J8 1947 aracellabs.com			n of C ab Use C 116	ustody <sup>huly)</sup> 662
LABORATORIES LTD	•			•										Pag	e [ 0	1
Client Name: PATERSON Contact Name: MIKE BETHUDOIN Address: 154 COLUNNADE RD				Project Reference: Quote # PO # 237 Email Address:	PE4	25	2						□ 1 D	<b>Turna</b> ay ay	round	I Time: □ 3 Day @Regular
Telephone: 613-666-226-7361				mplan	deiner	a-Tes	1500	191	ron	yp.	16	4	Date	Requir	ed:	
Criteria: 🗙 O. Reg. 153/04 (As Amended) Table 🔄 🗆 RSC	Filing C	1 O. Reg	. 558/00	) DPWQO DC	CME ISU	B (Sto	orm)	o su	B (S	anitary	() M	funicipality:		_ 00	)ther:	
Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) S	S (Storma	Sanitary S	ewer) P	(Paint) A (Air) O (O	ther)	Ree	quire	d An	alys	es						
Paracel Order Number: 8637 Sample ID/Location Name 1 Bi+1 - Aut+18 - Au1 2 Bi+3 - 18 - 553 -3 Bi+4 - 18 - 61 4 5 6 7 8	5 5 Matrix	Air Volume	# of Containers	Sample	Time	PHCs F1-F4+BTEX	VOCs	PAHs	K X Metals by ICP	K K CIVI	B (HWS)		- 91	Tom		
9 10 Comments: Do NJ + 1 + 2 + 3 + Somp P + 2 Refinquished By (Sign): Refinquished By (Print):	Receiv Date/T	CM al by Dri	3 J	ars read Traise	Z AP Rocei ZO Date	ved at 1	7,3 ZPR	291 2 OR1 18.3	N LOI	D	en	MAI Verifie MAI 4.45 Date1	ed By	Menjod POV M	of Delive	8 5:0
Kennquisted By (Print):	Tempe	rature:	2/0	110 2	Tenn	erature	17	21	C		V	pII Ve	rified [ ] ]	By:	VA	0 010

Chain of Custody (Env) - Rev 0.7 Feb. 2016



RELIABLE.

## Certificate of Analysis

#### Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South Nepean, ON K2E 7J5 Attn: Mike Beaudoin

Client PO: 23012 Project: PE4252 Custody: 116666

Report Date: 4-May-2018 Order Date: 30-Apr-2018

Order #: 1818137

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID	Client ID
1818137-01	BH1-18-GW1
1818137-02	BH2-18-GW1
1818137-03	BH3-18-GW1
1818137-04	BH3-GW

Approved By:

Dale Robertson, BSc Laboratory Director

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



Order #: 1818137 Report Date: 04-May-2018

Order Date: 30-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### **Analysis Summary Table**

Analysis	Method Reference/Description	Extraction Date	Analysis Date
BTEX by P&T GC-MS	EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS	2-May-18	2-May-18
PHC F1	CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID	1-May-18	2-May-18
PHCs F2 to F4	CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction	1-May-18	1-May-18



Order #: 1818137

Report Date: 04-May-2018 Order Date: 30-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

	Client ID:	BH1-18-GW1	BH2-18-GW1	BH3-18-GW1	BH3-GW			
	Sample Date:	04/25/2018 09:00	04/25/2018 09:00	04/25/2018 09:00	04/27/2018 09:00			
	Sample ID:	Sample ID: 1818137-01 1818137-02		1818137-03	1818137-04			
	MDL/Units	Water	Water	Water	Water			
Volatiles								
Benzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
Ethylbenzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
Toluene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
m,p-Xylenes	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
o-Xylene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
Xylenes, total	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
Toluene-d8	Surrogate	103%	104%	104%	104%			
Hydrocarbons								
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	25 ug/L	<25	<25	<25	<25			
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	100 ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100			
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	100 ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100			
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	100 ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100			



Order #: 1818137

Report Date: 04-May-2018 Order Date: 30-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### Method Quality Control: Blank

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Hydrocarbons F1 PHCs (C6-C10) F2 PHCs (C10-C16) F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	ND ND ND	25 100 100	ug/L ug/L ug/l						
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	ND	100	ug/L						
Benzene Ethylbenzene Toluene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene Xylenes, total	ND ND ND ND ND	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L						
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	90.4		ug/L		113	50-140			



Order #: 1818137

Report Date: 04-May-2018 Order Date: 30-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### Method Quality Control: Duplicate

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Hydrocarbons			"						
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	ND	25	ug/L	ND				30	
Volatiles									
Benzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND				30	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND				30	
Toluene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND				30	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND				30	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND				30	
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	85.8		ug/L		107	50-140			



Report Date: 04-May-2018 Order Date: 30-Apr-2018

Project Description: PE4252

#### Method Quality Control: Spike

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Hydrocarbons									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	1990	25	ug/L		99.3	68-117			
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	2120	100	ug/L		118	60-140			
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	4120	100	ug/L		111	60-140			
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	2910	100	ug/L		117	60-140			
Volatiles									
Benzene	37.6	0.5	ug/L		94.0	60-130			
Ethylbenzene	38.6	0.5	ug/L		96.5	60-130			
Toluene	33.5	0.5	ug/L		83.7	60-130			
m,p-Xylenes	74.7	0.5	ug/L		93.3	60-130			
o-Xylene	38.6	0.5	ug/L		96.4	60-130			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	81.2		ug/L		102	50-140			



#### Qualifier Notes:

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

#### Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

#### **Other Report Notes:**

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected MDL: Method Detection Limit Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples %REC: Percent recovery. RPD: Relative percent difference.

#### CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.

- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.

GPARACEL	Parace Pa										ice ) St. I Dntar 749-1	.aurent l io K1G 1947 tracellab	Blvd. 4J8 os.com	Chain of Custody (Lab Use Only) Nº 116666				
Client Name: MKE BCRMDO1N Address: 154 Colomnacli Rd S. Telephone: $153/04$ (As Amended) Table $\square$ RSC Filing $\square$ O. Reg. 558/00 Match Type: S(Soil Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SX (Storm Saviers Source) P. (					iject Reference: $fE4252$ ote # <sup>III</sup> 2301Z mil Address: MbeandoinOperfectionGroup, < Date Req (PWQO □ CCME □ SUB (Slorm) □ SUB (Sanitary) Mentipality:						Pag Turns Day Day Requir	ed:	⊥ Time: □ 3 Da □ Regu	y ılar				
Paracel Order Number: 1818137	rix	Volume	Containers	Sample Taken		FI-F4+BTEX	s s by ICP wS)										_	
Sample ID/Location Name          1       BH1-12-6w1         2       BH2-18-6w1         3       BH3-18-6w1         4       BH3-6w1         5       5	EW EW EW	Air		Date Afr 25/18 J Afr 27/18	Time	X X X PHC	NOC	PAH	Meta	Hg	B(H)	·						
6 7 8 9 10 Comments:															Method	of Deliver		
Relinquished By (Sign), B Relinquished By (Print): MJZEB. Date/Time:	Received Date/Tin Tempera	I by Driv	er Depot	Traise 4/18 4	Receip 30 Date 1 77, Temps	red at L S (f) TimoA	10: (EE 11: 3 12: 11: 12: 12: 12: 12: 12: 12: 12: 12:	Por	C IN	0	DW/N	10	Verified Date:Tit	IBy:	By:	cel 118	611	04

Chain of Custody (Env) - Rev 0.7 Feb. 2016