

Civil • Geotechnical • Structural • Environmental • Hydrogeology •

> (613) 860-0923 FAX: (613) 258-0475

SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

16-20 HAMILTON AVENUE NORTH OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Prepared For: Independent Development Group 88 Spadina Ave Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 2C1

PROJECT #: 180711

DISTRIBUTION 6 copies - City of Ottawa 1 copy - Independent Development Group 1 copy - Kollaard Associates Inc.

Rev 0 – Issued for Site Plan Approval

October 23, 2018





TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF AP	PENDIC	ES	. 2
LIST	OF DF	AWING	S	. 2
1	INTRO	DUCTIC	DN	. 3
2	STOR		R DESIGN	
	2.1	Stormw	vater Management Design Criteria	4
		2.1.1	Minor System Design Criteria	. 4
		2.1.2	Major System Design Criteria	
		2.1.3	Quality Control	
	2.2		ater Quantity Control	
		2.2.1	Runoff Coefficients	
		2.2.2	Time of Concentration	
		2.2.3	Pre-development Site Conditions	
			2.2.3.1 Pre-development Site Drainage Patterns	
			2.2.3.2 Pre-development Runoff Rate	6
		2.2.4	Controlled and Uncontrolled Areas	
		2.2.5	Allowable Release Rate	
		2.2.6	Post Development Restricted Flow and Storage	
		2.2.7	Roof Top Storage	10
	~ ~	2.2.8	Underground Storage Tanks	
	2.3		/ater Quality Control	
	2.4		vater System Operation and Maintenance	
		2.4.1	Inlet Control Device (ICD) and Roof Drains	
		2.4.2	Catchbasin/ Manhole and Inspection Ports	
	0.5	2.4.3	Brentwood StormTank Storage Tanks	
2	2.5		Sewer Design	
3			WER DESIGN	
	3.1		Flows	
-	3.2		y Service Lateral	
4			DESIGN	
	4.1		Demand	
	4.2		рw	
_	4.3		ncy of Existing Services	
5		-	O SEDIMENT CONTROL	-
6	CONC	LUSION	S	19



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Storm Design Information

- Appendix B: Product Information
- Appendix C: Fire Flow Calculations
- Appendix D: Boundary Conditions
- Appendix E: Drawings
- Appendix F: Correspondence
- Appendix G: Servicing Guidelines Checklist

LIST OF DRAWINGS

180711– Figure 1 – PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS
180711– Figure 2 – POST-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS
180711– SER – Site Servicing Plan
180711– GEC – Grading & Erosion Control Plan
180711– Figure 3 – Roof SWM Storage Plan



1 INTRODUCTION

Kollaard Associates was retained by Independent Development Group to complete a Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for a new residential development in the City of Ottawa, Ontario.

This report will address the serviceability of the proposed site, specifically relating to the adequacy of the existing municipal storm sewer, sanitary sewer, and watermains to hydraulically convey the necessary storm runoff, sanitary sewage and water demands that will be placed on the existing system as a result of the proposed development located at 16 and 20 Hamilton Avenue North, Ottawa, Ontario. The report shall also summarize the stormwater management (SWM) design requirements and proposed works that will address stormwater flows arising from the site under post-development conditions and will identify any stormwater servicing concerns and also describe any measures to be taken during construction to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

The development being proposed by Independent Development Group is located on the west side of Hamilton Avenue North between Armstrong Street and Wellington Street West within the City of Ottawa.

The site has a total area of 0.125 hectares. 16 Hamilton has an area of 0.079 and is currently being utilized as a parking lot. 20 Hamilton has an area of 0.046 and is currently occupied by a single-storey commercial building. It is understood that the owner of the subject property intends to demolish the existing building and combine the two lots into one property. The proposed development is to consist of a mixed-use building with 8 storeys and a basement with a total of 3 commercial units and 73 residential units.



2 STORMWATER DESIGN

2.1 Stormwater Management Design Criteria

Design of the storm sewer system was completed in conformance with the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines. (October 2012). Section 5 "Storm and Combined Sewer Design".

In accordance with the SWM design criteria provided by the City, 100 year post development flow from the proposed development will be restricted to 5 year pre-development flow assuming a maximum pre-development runoff coefficient of C = 0.5. A time of concentration is to be calculated and to be no less than 10 minutes.

2.1.1 Minor System Design Criteria

The storm sewers have been designed and sized based on the rational formula and the Manning's Equation under free flow conditions for the 5-year storm using a 10-minute inlet time.

2.1.2 Major System Design Criteria

The major system has been designed to accommodate on-site detention with sufficient capacity to attenuate the runoff generated onsite during a 100-year design storm. Excess runoff above the 100 year event will flow overland to the northeast of the site and ultimately into the roadside catch basins along Hamilton Avenue North.

On site storage is provided and calculated for up to the 100-year design storm. Calculations of the required storage volumes have been prepared based on the Rational Method as identified in Section 8.3.10.3 of the City's Sewer Guidelines and have been provided in Appendix A.

2.1.3 Quality Control

Quality control for the Site is expected to be provided by conveyance in the City of Ottawa's stormwater system. Best management practices will be incorporated at the site to reduce potential suspended solid contamination. Snow and Ice control management practices will be incorporated to reduce contamination from winter snow and ice removal.



2.2 Stormwater Quantity Control

Peak Flow for runoff quantities for the Pre-Development and Post-Development stages of the project were calculated using the rational method. The rational method is a common and straightforward calculation, which assumes that the entire drainage area is subject to uniformly distributed rainfall. The formula is:

$$Q = \frac{CiA}{360}$$

Where

Q is the Peak runoff measured in m^3/s C is the Runoff Coefficient, **Dimensionless** A is the runoff area in *hectares i* is the storm intensity measure in *mm/hr*

All values for intensity, i, for this project were derived from IDF curves provided by the City of Ottawa for data collected at the Ottawa International airport. For this project two return periods were considered, 5 and 100-year events. The formulas for each are:

5-Year Event

$$i = \frac{998.071}{(t_c + 6.053)^{0.814}}$$

100-Year Event

$$i = \frac{1735.071}{(t_c + 6.014)^{0.82}}$$

where t_c is time of concentration



2.2.1 Runoff Coefficients

Runoff coefficients for impervious surfaces (roofs, asphalt, and concrete) were taken as 0.90, permeable paver areas were taken as 0.30 and pervious surfaces (grass) were taken as 0.20.

A 25% increase for the post development 100-year runoff coefficients was used as per City of Ottawa guidelines. Refer to Appendix A for pre-development and post development runoff coefficients.

2.2.2 Time of Concentration

The time of concentration for pre-development was determined using the City of Ottawa Guidelines Appendix 5-D to be about 5 minutes. Therefore, a pre-development time of concentration of 10 minutes was used as per the design criteria provided by the City.

2.2.3 Pre-development Site Conditions

As previously indicated, the site is located west of Hamilton Avenue North between Armstrong Street and Wellington Street West within the City of Ottawa. The site has a total area of about 0.125 hectares which is fully developed. The site is currently occupied by a paved parking lot (16 Hamilton) and a one-storey commercial building (20 Hamilton). The existing building has a foot print of about 325 square metres (0.032 hectares) with the remaining area of 135 square metres (0.014 hectares) in asphalt. The existing asphaltic parking lot on 16 Hamilton has an area of about 630 square metres (0.063 hectares). All remaining areas are grass/landscaped areas. It is understood that pre-development conditions will be considered as the lesser of current conditions or conditions resulting in a runoff coefficient of 0.5.

Based on the existing ground cover the pre-development runoff coefficient was calculated to be 0.81. However, the predevelopment runoff coefficient used for the purpose of this stormwater management design was C = 0.5.

2.2.3.1 Pre-development Site Drainage Patterns

Existing stormwater runoff from the entire site in general consists of uncontrolled sheet flow towards the north side of the property and on to neighbouring properties where it is ultimately directed to catch basins along Hamilton Avenue North.

2.2.3.2 Pre-development Runoff Rate

Using the Rational Method with a time of concentration of 10 minutes, the previously calculated runoff coefficients and a storm intensity of 104.19 mm/hr, The pre-development runoff rate for the 5-year design storm is:

5 year = 0.5 x 104.19 x 0.125 / 360 = 18.1 L/s



2.2.4 Controlled and Uncontrolled Areas

For the purposes of this storm water management design, the site has been divided into uncontrolled and controlled areas as outlined on Figure2. The controlled areas are defined as area CA1 and CA2 and uncontrolled areas are defined as UA1 and UA2. CA1 consists of the upper roof area. CA2 consists of the rear balcony and terrace areas as well as the ground surface area between the building and the rear property line. UA2 consists of the front balcony and terrace areas. UA1 consists of the ground surface between the building and front of the lot.

Controlled and uncontrolled areas for the site are listed in Appendix A.

Run-off from the upper roof will be restricted by means of WATTS Small Area Roof Drains with Adjustable Flow Control which will outlet to a proposed storm sewer. Runoff from the rear balcony and terrace area as well as the rear ground surface will be directed to an underground storage tank at the rear of the property. The runoff from the storage tank will be controlled by means of a HYDROVEX 50SVHV-1 ICD. Runoff from the front landscaped area and front balcony and terrace areas will be directed without restriction to the storm sewer.

Storm flows from the uncontrolled areas collectively referred to as UA will drain or be discharged un-controlled towards Hamilton Avenue North.

Since run-off from these areas UA is uncontrolled, the allowable release rate from the controlled area equals the pre-development runoff rate for the 5 year storm event minus the 5-year and 100-year release rates from the uncontrolled portion of the site.

A post-development time of concentration of 10 minutes corresponds to a storm intensity of 104.19 mm/hr and 178.56 mm/hr on the 5-year and 100-year storm IDF curves respectively. The runoff rate from the uncontrolled areas was therefore calculated to be:

- UA1 5 year = 0.3 x 104.19 x 0.007 / 360 = 0.6 L/s 100 year = 0.38 x 178.56 x 0.007 / 360 = 1.3 L/s
- UA2 5 year = 0.9 x 104.19 x 0.023 / 360 = 6.0 L/s 100 year = 0.99 x 178.56 x 0.023 / 360 = 11.3 L/s

Total combined uncontrolled flow 5 year = 6.6 L/s 100 year = 12.6 L/s



2.2.5 Allowable Release Rate

As previously indicated, the stormwater management criteria provided by the City of Ottawa requires that maximum runoff rate from the site during a 100 year storm is to be restricted to that of the 5 year predevelopment storm conditions. To control runoff from the site it will be necessary to limit post-development flows for all storm return periods up to the 100-year event using onsite inlet controls. The maximum allowable runoff rate from the site was determined to be 18.1 L/s based on the 5 Year predevelopment flows, as per the design criteria provided by the City of Ottawa.

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{controlled}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\text{total allowable}} - \mathbf{Q}_{\text{uncontrolled}}$

For the 5-year Storm event $Q_{controlled} = 18.1 - 6.6 = 11.5 L/s$

For the 100-year Storm event $Q_{controlled} = 18.1 - 12.6 L/s = 5.5 L/s$

The allowable controlled area release rate for the site is calculated in the attached spreadsheets provided in Appendix A.

2.2.6 Post Development Restricted Flow and Storage

In order to meet the stormwater quantity control restriction, the post development runoff rate cannot exceed the 5 year predevelopment runoff rate. Runoff generated on site in excess of the allowable release rate will be temporarily stored on the upper roof (catchment CA1) and within underground storage tanks (catchment CA2). The stored water will be released at a controlled rate during and following the storm event.

In order to achieve the allowable controlled area storm water release rate, storm water runoff from the upper roof will be controlled by four roof drains fitted with flow control. The drains will discharge to an uncontrolled stormwater lead which in turn will discharge to the storm service on the north side of the building. The roof drains will consist of WATTS Small Area Roof Drain with Adjustable Flow Control. RD-299-A-ADJ – set at ¼ Weir opening exposure.

During a 5 year storm event, the roof drains will release at a combined discharge rate of 2.9 L/s. During a 100 year storm event, the roof drains will release at a combined discharge rate of 3.3 L/s.

The stormwater runoff originating from the south side of the building below the upper roof including the area of the balconies, terracing over the parking area and ground surface south of the building is to be directed to underground storage tanks along the south side of the building. The runoff from the balconies and terracing can be directed by sheet flow to the ground surface



or storm leads combined with eaves troughs to the tanks. The ground surface will be landscaped with permeable pavers set on clear stone above the tanks. Rainfall and runoff will simply infiltrate through the pavers and underlying stone to the tanks. The tanks will discharge to a catch basin in which an inlet control device has been installed to control the discharge rate. The catch basin CB1 will outlet by means of a storm lead to connect to the storm service on the north side of the building.

The inlet control device (ICD) that is to be installed in CB1 was designed to achieve a maximum allowable release rate of 1.5 L/s during a 5 year storm event and 2.0 L/s for the 100 year rainfall event.

The ICD will consist of a Hydrovex 50-SVHV-1 vertical vortex flow regulator or approved alternative. The Hydrovex ICD should be ordered for the following parameters;

- Model number 50-SVHV-1
- Outlet pipe specification: 250mm diameter PVC SDR35
- Discharge: 2.0 L/s
- Upstream Head: 1.1 m
- Catch basin Dimensions: 600 mm x 600 mm

The combined release rate during a 5 year storm event is 4.4 L/s and during a 100 year storm event is 5.3 L/s.

The ICD and Roof Drain Control were selected in order to ensure that the allowable maximum release rate for the 100 year storm events is not exceeded.

The above outlet restrictions from the roof and underground storage tanks result in the storage requirements as summarized the following Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 – Summary of Post-Development Catchment Area Release rates and Storage
Requirements.

Return period	Allowable Release Rate	Actual Release rate	Required Storage	Available Storage	Required Storage Depth	Available Storage Depth		
(years)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m)	(m)		
Catchme	Catchment Area CA1 – Upper Roof							
5		2.9	9.8	41.5	0.09	0.15		
100		3.3	22.9	41.5	0.12	0.15		
Catchme	nt Area CA2	– Undergrou	nd Storage Tan	ks				
5		1.8	4.1	13.5	0.29	0.9		
100		2.0	10.1	13.5	0.69	0.9		
Combine	d							
5	11.5	4.4						
100	5.5	5.3						

2.2.7 Roof Top Storage

Roof Top Storage will be provided on the upper roof of the proposed building. The roof will be provided with a low slope towards four roof top drains by means of a Tapered Roofing System Product. The minimum slope on the roof will be 1 percent and will be as much as 2 percent. The roof will be fitted with overflow scuppers 0.15 metres above the lowest point on the roof.

Outlet will be controlled by the roof drains which will discharge to a storm water lead. The maximum flow through the storm water lead during a 100 year event will be 3.3 L/s. A 135 mm diameter storm sewer at a 1 percent slope has a capacity of 11.51 L/s. A storm sewer lead with a minimum diameter of 135 mm is recommended and will have sufficient capacity for the roof discharge. The storm sewer lead will be installed near vertical or with a slope exceeding 1 percent within the building.

2.2.8 Underground Storage Tanks

The underground storage will be provided using Brentwood StormTank Modular Tanks. A Brentwood StormTank Module is a subsurface storage unit load-rated for use under surfaces such as parking lots, athletic fields, and parks as well as landscaped areas. Design information for the Brentwood StormTanks is provided in Appendix C.

The underground tanks proposed for the site are comprised of ST-24 Modular Units. Each unit has a height of 0.609 m, a width of 0.457 m and a length of 0.914 m. The modules will be



placed between the proposed building and the rear property line in a single line of 30 units placed end to end. The tanks are placed on a clear stone bed and will have a 0.15 m thick layer of clear stone on both sides. The total tank width including clear stone will be 0.757 m and the total tank length including clear stone will be 27.72 m. The tanks will be wrapped in an impervious geotextile fabric to prevent infiltration into the weeping tile and basement of the building.

2.3 Stormwater Quality Control

Given the distance from the site to the existing storm sewer outlet (approximately 1.5 kilometres) it is expected that quality control will be provided within storm sewer conveyance system.

The following Best Management Practices are incorporated into the design.

- The additional runoff generated on the site during post development conditions originates on the roof of the building and on the entrance way to the covered parking area.
- The runoff from the building is typically not considered to be a source of significant contamination and will be released to the storm sewer without treatment.
- The runoff from surface area of the driveway is limited to a short section not covered by the building. The remainder of the driveway is covered and not subject to precipitation.
- Runoff from the majority of the driveway and parking areas will be directed to the sanitary sewer for treatment. This runoff is the major source of contamination and will not be outlet to the storm sewer.
- The sidewalk areas will be constructed with pavers. The used of pavers allows for infiltration reducing surface water which intern reduces the quantity of salt needed to prevent ice forming on the sidewalk. Reduced salt and sand during winter significantly reduces potential stormwater runoff contamination.
- Proper timing of the application of salt and sand also reduces the quantity of sand and salt required.

These best management practices will provide quality control at the site by either reducing the initial source of potential contamination or by directing potential contaminated water from vehicles to the sanitary sewer where it will be treated.

2.4 Stormwater System Operation and Maintenance

2.4.1 Inlet Control Device (ICD) and Roof Drains

The inlet control device (ICD) and Roof Drains should be inspected on a semi-annual basis and following major storm events. Any blockages, trash or debris should be removed. If surface ponding on the parking area does not recede in a normal manner, the ICD should be inspected and cleaned. The Roof Drains should be inspected before winter to ensure they have not be clogged with leafs.

2.4.2 Catchbasin/ Manhole and Inspection Ports

The catchbasin / manhole and inspection ports (including sediment traps in storm tanks) should be cleaned with a hydrovac excavation truck following completion of construction, paving of the asphaltic concrete surface and establishment of adequate grass cover on the landscaped areas.

Following the initial cleaning these structures should be inspected on a semi-annual basis and following major storm events. Any blockages, trash or debris should be removed. Once the sediment accumulation in the catchbasin / manhole has reached a level equal to 0.15 metres below the outlet invert of the structure, or a thickness of 0.15 metres in the sediment traps, the sediment should be removed by hydro excavation.

2.4.3 Brentwood StormTank Storage Tanks

Detailed installation, operation and maintenance guidelines are provided in the StormTank

Module Design Guide included in Appendix B. In general maintenance procedures consist of Inspection and cleaning as follows:

Inspection:

- Inspect all observation ports, inflow and outflow connections, and the discharge area.
- Identify and log any sediment and debris accumulation, system backup, or discharge rate changes.
- If there is a sufficient need for cleanout, contact a local cleaning company for assistance.

Cleaning:

- If a pretreatment device is installed, follow manufacturer recommendations.
- Using a vacuum pump truck, evacuate debris from the inflow and outflow points.
- Flush the system with clean water, forcing debris from the system.
- Repeat steps 2 and 3 until no debris is evident.



2.5 Storm Sewer Design

The on-site storm sewers were designed to be in general conformance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012). Specifically, storm sewers were sized using Manning's Equation, assuming a roughness coefficient N = 0.013, to accommodate the uncontrolled runoff from the 5-year storm, under 'open-channel' conditions. The uncontrolled runoff was determined using the rational method and the City of Ottawa IDF curve for a 10-minute time of concentration. Refer to Storm Sewer Design Sheets in Appendix A.

3 SANITARY SEWER DESIGN

The existing residential sanitary service is connected to the existing 250 mm sanitary sewer along Hamilton Avenue North.

Sewage discharges will be domestic in type and in compliance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Use By-law. The anticipated peak sanitary flow from the building will be a total of approximately 1.58 L/s.

The sanitary sewage flow for the proposed building was calculated based on the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (Section 4.4.1.2) and incorporated Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01.

3.1 Design Flows

Residential

Total domestic pop: 1 Bedroom units (54) x 1.4 ppu: 75.6 2 Bedroom units (19) x 2.1 ppu: 39.9 Total: 115.5
Q _{Domestic} = 115.5 x 280 L/person/day x (1/86,400 sec/day) = 0.37 L/sec
Peaking Factor = $1 + 115.5$ = 4.03 use 4 maximum 4 + (115.5/1000) ^{0.5}
$Q_{Peak Domestic} = 0.37 L/sec x 4.0 = 1.5 L/sec$
<u>Commercial</u> Avg. Flow = 28,000 L/ha/day Peaking Factor = 1.0 Q _{Peak Comm} = 0.060 ha x 28,000 L/ha/day x 1 x (1/86,400 sec/day) = 0.02 L/sec
Infiltration
Ω_{1} = 0.331/ha/sec x 0.060 ha = 0.021/sec

 $Q_{Infiltration} = 0.33 L/ha/sec x 0.060 ha = 0.02 L/sec$

Total Peak Sanitary Flow = 1.54 + 0.02 + 0.02 = 1.58 L/sec

3.2 Sanitary Service Lateral

The Ontario Building Code specifies minimum pipe size and maximum hydraulic loading for sanitary sewer pipe. OBC 7.4.10.8 (2) states "Horizontal sanitary drainage pipe shall be designed to carry no more than 65% of its full capacity." A 135 mm diameter sanitary service with a minimum slope of 1.0% has a capacity of 11.51 Litres per second.

The maximum peak sanitary flows for the site is 1.5 L/sec. Since 1.5 L/sec is much less than $0.65 \times 11.51 = 7.48$ L/s, the sanitary service would be properly sized if greater than or equal to 135 mm in diameter.

Apartment Unit Type	Number of	Number of fixture	Total number of
	Apartments	units per apartment	Fixture Units.
• 1 Bedroom	54	10.0	540
2 Bedroom	19	10.0	190
Total fixtures			730

Table 3.1 Fixture Unit Consideration

However, from Table 7.4.10.8, the allowable number of fixture units for a 135 mm diameter sanitary service pipe at 1.0% slope is 390. There are approximately 730 fixtures in the building. As such a 135 mm diameter sanitary service is not adequate for the proposed sanitary flow.

Based on the number of fixture units in the building the sanitary service pipe should be increased to a nominal 150 mm (6 inch) diameter service at a 2 percent slope which as an allowable number of fixture units of 840.

The existing sanitary sewer along Hamilton Avenue downstream of the site consists of a 250 mm diameter PVC pipe which has a capacity of 58 Litres per second. This sewer discharges into the 1050 mm diameter trunk sewer along Hinton Street about 150 meters downstream of the site by way of the 300 mm diameter PVC sewer along Armstrong Street. The proposed sanitary demand from the development is 1.58 L/sec. Since the proposed additional sanitary sewer capacity requirement is about 2.7 percent of the capacity of the existing sanitary sewer there will be sufficient capacity within the existing sanitary network for the proposed sanitary demand.

4 WATERMAIN DESIGN

4.1 Water Demand

The water demand for the proposed development was calculated based on the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines as follows:

Residential

Total domestic pop:	
1 Bedroom units (54) x 1.4 ppu:	75.6
2 Bedroom units (19) x 2.1 ppu:	<u>39.9</u>
Total:	115.5

Residential Average Daily Demand = 350 L/c/d.

- Average daily demand of 350 L/c/day x 115.5persons =40425 Litres/day or 0.47 L/s
- Maximum daily demand (factor of 2.5) is 0.47L/s x 2.5 = 1.17 L/s
- Peak hourly demand (factor of 2.2) = 1.17 L/s x 2.2 = 2.57 L/s

Commercial

Avg. Flow = 28,000 L/ha/day

Q_{comm} Average Day = 0.060 ha x 28,000 L/ha/day x (1/86,400 sec/day) = 0.02 L/sec

- Maximum daily demand (factor of 1.5) is 0.02 x 1.5 = 0.03 L/s
- Peak hourly demand (factor of 1.8) is 0.03 x 1.8 = 0.05 L/s

Total Water Demand

Average Daily demand = 2.57 + 0.02 = 0.49 L/s Maximum Daily demand = 1.17 + 0.03 = 1.20 L/s Peak Hourly demand = 2.57 + 0.05 = 2.63 L/s

4.2 Fire Flow

Fire flow protection requirements were calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS) taking into account the methodology provided in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02. Calculations of the fire flow required are provided in Appendix C.

Fire protection will be provided by an existing fire hydrant located on Hamilton Avenue North about 40m south of the property on the east side of the road. In addition, the proposed development will have an automatic sprinkler system. As such, the minimum service diameter required for the proposed development is 150 mm.

4.3 Sufficiency of Existing Services

A previously calculated residential water supply requirement and Fire Fighting Requirement were provided to the City of Ottawa for boundary conditions. The correspondence is attached in Appendix . These requirements consisted of a average daily demand of 0.49 L/sec, a maximum daily demand of 1.2 L/s and a Maximum hourly demand of 2.63 L/sec. The fire flow requirement was 123.3 L/s.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 16-20 Hamilton Ave North (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203mm on Hamilton were based on the above requirements.

Minimum HGL = 108.1m Maximum HGL = 1115.3m MaxDay (1.20 L/s) + FireFlow (123.3 L/s) = 107.2 m

Using the above minimum HGL, a 150 mm service diameter would result in a residual pressure during maximum hourly demand on the ground floor of about 424 kPa and about 193 kPa on the top floor of the proposed residential building. It is noted that 193 kPa is below the minimum allowable pressure of 275 kPa. As such a booster pump will likely be required.

Maximum MaxDay + Fireflow using a 150 mm service diameter would result in a residual pressure of 30 kPa on the top floor of the proposed building during a fire flow event. This is below the minimum residual pressure for firefighting purposes of 138 kPa.



5 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

The owner (and/or contractor) agrees to prepare and implement an erosion and sediment control plan at least equal to the stated minimum requirements and to the satisfaction of the City of Ottawa, appropriate to the site conditions, prior to undertaking any site alterations (filling, grading, removal of vegetation, etc.) and during all phases of site preparation and construction in accordance with the current best management practices for erosion and sediment control. It is considered to be the owners and/or contractors responsibility to ensure that the erosion control measures are implemented and maintained.

In order to limit the amount of sediment carried in stormwater runoff from the site during construction, it is recommended to install a silt fence along the property, as shown in Kollaard Associates Inc. Drawing #180711-GEC Grading & Erosion Control Plan. The silt fence may be polypropylene, nylon, and polyester or ethylene yarn.

If a standard filter fabric is used, it must be backed by a wire fence supported on posts not over 2.0 m apart. Extra strength filter fabric may be used without a wire fence backing if posts are not over 1.0 m apart. Fabric joints should be lapped at least 150 mm (6") and stapled. The bottom edge of the filter fabric should be anchored in a 300 mm (1 ft) deep trench, to prevent flow under the fence. Sections of fence should be cleaned, if blocked with sediment and replaced if torn.

Filter socks should be installed across existing storm manhole and catch basin lids. As well, filter socks should be installed across the proposed catch basin lids immediately after the catch basins are placed. The filter socks should only be removed once the asphaltic concrete is installed and the site is cleaned.

The proposed landscaping works should be completed as soon as possible. The proposed granular and asphaltic concrete surfaced areas should be surfaced as soon as possible.

The silt fences should only be removed once the site is stabilized and landscaping is completed.

These measures will reduce the amount of sediment carried from the site during storm events that may occur during construction.



6 CONCLUSIONS

This report addresses the adequacy of the existing municipal storm and sanitary sewer system and watermains to service the proposed development of an apartment building on Hamilton Avenue North. Based on the analysis provided in this report, the conclusions are as follows:

SWM for the proposed development will be achieved by restricting the 100 year post development flow to the 5 year pre-development flow.

The peak sewage flow rate from the proposed development will be 1.58 L/sec. The existing municipal sanitary sewer should have adequate capacity to accommodate the minimal increase in peak flow. The City has not identified any capacity issues in the existing sanitary sewer system.

The existing municipal watermain along Hamilton Avenue North will have adequate capacity to service the proposed development for both domestic and fire protection. A booster pump will be needed within the building to ensure sufficient pressure on the upper floors.

During all construction activities, erosion and sedimentation shall be controlled.

We trust that this report provides sufficient information for your present purposes. If you have any questions concerning this report or if we can be of any further assistance to you on this project, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely, Kollaard Associates, Inc.



Steven deWit, P.Eng.



Appendix A: Storm Design Information

- Allowable Release Rate and SWM Summary
- Uncontrolled Area Runoff Calculations
- · Storage Volume Required Catchment Area CA1 Roof
- Storage Volume Required Catchment Area CA2 Rear Balconies, Terracing and Pavers
- Storage Volume Provided
- Sewer Design Sheet

APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MODEL ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE AND SWM SUMMARY

Client:	Surface Developments
Job No.:	180711
Location:	16-20 Hamilton Ave, Ottawa, Ontario
Date:	October 23, 2018


PRE DEVELOPMENT FLOW

Runoff Coefficient Equation

 $C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{tot}$

Pre Dev run-off Coefficient "C"

Area	Surface	Ha	"C"	C _{avg}
Total	Asphalt	0.076	0.90	0.81
0.125	Building	0.033	0.90	
	Landscaping	0.016	0.20	



Total Allowable Release:

18.1 L/s

Pre Dev Time of Concentration "tc"

From City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines - Appendix 5 - D

Slope of Site =	1.0%	Inlet Time =	5 min < 10 min
Distance Across Site =	39 m	Therefore use a minimum	Time of Concentration of 10 min
Runoff Coefficient =	0.81		

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

Sub Area I.D.	Sub Area (ha)	с	Comp. 'C'	Outlet Location	5 Year Controlled Release (L/s)	Required 5 year Storage (m ³)	100 Year Controlled Release (L/s)	Required 100 year Storage (m ³)
UA1	0.007	0.30		OFFSITE	0.6	0.0	1.3	0.0
UA2	0.023	0.90		CBMH1	6.0	0.0	11.3	0.0
CA1	0.062	0.90		ROOF	2.8	9.8	3.2	22.9
CA2	0.033	0.81		CB1	1.7	4.1	2.0	10.1
TOTAL	0.092				11.1	13.9	17.8	32.9

APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MODEL

Uncontrolled Area Runoff Rate Calculation

Client:Surface DevelopmentsJob No.:180711Location:16-20 Hamilton Ave, Ottawa, OntarioDate:October 23, 2018

UA1 - UNCONTROLLED AREA

Post Dev run-off Coefficient "C"

			5 Year	Event	100 Yea	ar Event
Area	Surface	На	"C"	C _{avg}	"C"	C _{avg}
Total	Asphalt	0.000	0.90	0.30	0.99	0.38
0.007	Pavers	0.007	0.30		0.38	
	Building	0.000	0.90		0.99	

Post Dev Free Flow

5 Year Event

	С	Intensity	Area	
5 Year 2.78CIA=	0.30 0.61	104.19	0.007	
0.6				
**Use a	10	minute time	of concen	tration for 5 ye

	C*	Intensity	Area
400 Veen	0.00	470.50	0.007
100 Year	0.38	178.56	0.007
2.78CIA= 1	1.30		
1.3	_/S		
**Use a	10		

minute time of concentration for 100 year

UA2 - UNCONTROLLED AREA

Post Dev	run-off Coefficient "C)"				
			5 Year Event		100 Yea	ar Event
Area	Surface	На	"C"	Cavg	"C"	Cavg
Total	Asphalt	0.000	0.90	0.90	0.99	0.99
0.023	Balcony and Terrace	0.023	0.90		0.99	
	Pavers	0.000	0.30		0.38	

Post Dev Free Flow

5 Year Ev	ent		
	С	Intensity	Area
5 Year	0.90	104.19	0.023
2.78CIA=	= 6.00		
6.0) L/S		
4.4.1.1		1	

**Use a 10 minute time of concentration for 5 year

Equations:

Flow Equation $Q = 2.78 \times C \times I \times A$ Where: C is the runoff coefficient I is the intensity of rainfall, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area 100 Year Event

100 Year Event

	C*	Intensity	Area
100 Year	0.99	178.56	0.023
2.78CIA=	11.30		
11.3	L/S		

**Use a 10 minute time of concentration for 100 year

Runoff Coefficient Equation

 $C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{tot}$

APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MODEL STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED

Client:Surface DevelopmentsJob No.:180711Location:16-20 Hamilton Ave, Ottawa, OntarioDate:October 23, 2018

CA1 - ROOF

Area 100 Post Dev run-off Coefficient "C"

				ear Event	100 Year Event	
Area (ha)	Surface	Area (ha)	"C"	C _{avg}	"C" x 1.25	C _{100 avg}
Total	Asphalt	0.000	0.90	0.90	0.99	0.99
0.062	Roof	0.062	0.90		0.99	
	Pavers	0.000	0.30		0.38	

QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 5 Year

0.062 = Area(ha) 0.90 = C

Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Release	Net Runoff To	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Rate (L/s)	Be Stored (L/s)	Req'd m ³
	10	104.19	16.2	2.8	13.4	8.0
	15	83.56	13.0	2.8	10.2	9.1
	20	70.25	10.9	2.8	8.1	9.7
5 YEAR	25	60.90	9.4	2.9	6.5	9.8
	30	53.93	8.4	2.9	5.5	9.8
	35	48.52	7.5	2.9	4.6	9.7
	40	44.18	6.9	2.8	4.0	9.6
	45	40.63	6.3	2.8	3.5	9.5
	50	37.65	5.8	2.8	3.0	9.1

QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 100 Year

0.062 = Area(ha)

0.99 = *C

Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Release Rate (L/s)	Net Runoff To Be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd m ³
	10	178.56	30.5	3.2	27.3	16.4
	20	119.95	20.5	3.2	17.3	20.7
100 YEAR	30	91.87	15.7	3.3	12.4	22.3
	40	75.15	12.8	3.3	9.5	22.9
	50	63.95	10.9	3.3	7.6	22.8
	60	55.89	9.5	3.3	6.2	22.5
	70	49.79	8.5	3.3	5.2	22.0
	80	44.99	7.7	3.3	4.4	21.3
	90	41.11	7.0	3.2	3.8	20.4

APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MODEL STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED

Client:Surface DevelopmentsJob No.:180711Location:16-20 Hamilton Ave, Ottawa, OntarioDate:October 23, 2018

CA2 - REAR BALCONIES, TERRACING AND PAVERS

Area 100 Post Dev run-off Coefficient "C"

			5 Y€	ear Event	100 Year Event		
Area (ha)	Surface	Area (ha)	"C"	Cavg	"C" x 1.25	C _{100 avg}	
Total	Asphalt	0.0000	0.90	0.81	0.99	0.90	
0.0330	Balcony	0.0280	0.90		0.99		
	Pavers	0.0050	0.30		0.38		

QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 5 Year

0.033 = Area(ha) 0.81 = C

0.81	= C					
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Release	Net Runoff To	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Rate (L/s)	Be Stored (L/s)	Req'd m ³
	10	104.19	7.7	1.7	6.0	3.6
	15	83.56	6.2	1.8	4.4	4.0
5 YEAR	20	70.25	5.2	1.8	3.4	4.1
	25	60.90	4.5	1.8	2.7	4.1
	30	53.93	4.0	1.8	2.2	4.0
	35	48.52	3.6	1.7	1.9	4.0
	40	44.18	3.3	1.7	1.6	3.8

QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 100 Year

0.033 = Area(ha) 0.90 = C

Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Release Rate (L/s)	Net Runoff To Be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd m ³
	10	178.56	14.7	1.9	12.8	7.7
100 YEAR	20	119.95	9.9	1.9	8.0	9.6
	30	91.87	7.6	2.0	5.6	10.1
	40	75.15	6.2	2.0	4.2	10.1
	50	63.95	5.3	2.0	3.3	10.0
	60	55.89	4.6	1.9	2.7	9.8
	90	41.11	3.4	1.9	1.5	8.1

*Note - Hydrovex 50SVHV-1 is required

Equations:

Runoff Coefficient Equation

 $C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{tot}$

Flow Equation $Q = 2.78 \times C \times I \times A$ Where: C is the runoff coefficient I is the intensity of rainfall, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area

APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MODEL STORAGE VOLUME PROVIDED

Client:	Surface Developments
Job No.:	180711
Location:	16-20 Hamilton Ave, Ottawa, Ontario
Date:	October 23, 2018

Catchment Area 1

Maximum Storage required for the 5 year Storm Event	9.8	(m³)
Maximum Storage required for the 100 year Storm Event	22.9	(m³)

Roof Drain Type - WATTS Small Area Roof Drain with Adjustable Flow Control. RD-200-A-ADJ - 1/4 Weir Opening Exposure.

Storage Provided on Roof Catchment Area 1

		East	Side of Ro	of	West Side of Roof						
Depth	Layer Thickness	Layer Area	Layer Volume	East Side Volume	Layer Area	Layer Volume	West Side Volume	Total Cum. Volume	Release Rate per drain	Number of Drains	Total Release Rate
m	m	m ²	m ³	m ³	m²	m ³	m ³	m ³	US gpm		L/s
0.15	0.025	280	7.00	23.25	220	5.50	18.28	41.53	15	4	3.8
0.125	0.025	280	6.98	16.25	220	5.49	12.78	29.03	13.75	4	3.5
0.1	0.025	278	5.36	9.27	219	4.22	7.30	16.57	12.5	4	3.2
0.075	0.025	156	2.75	3.91	123	2.17	3.08	6.99	11.25	4	2.8
0.05	0.025	70	1.01	1.16	55	0.80	0.91	2.07	10	4	2.5
0.025	0.025	17	0.14	0.14	14	0.11	0.11	0.26	5	4	1.3
0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	4	0.0

Catchment Area 2

Maximum Storage required for the 5 year Storm Event	4.1	(m³)
Maximum Storage required for the 100 year Storm Event	10.1	(m³)

Storage Provided in Storage Tanks Catchment 2

torage Tanks - S	ST24		
Height	0.609	Total Volume	0.255
Length	0.914	Storage Volume	0.245
Width	0.457	Percent Voids	0.96
	Height Length	Length 0.914	Height 0.609 Total Volume Length 0.914 Storage Volume

20.94

Proposed Tank Configuration

Tank Area + Area of Clear Stone

30 Rows Width by 1 Row Length 30 x 0.914 by 1 x 0.457 0.15 m of Clearstone both sides - assuming 35% voids in clearstone

_	Tank	Layer	Layer	Layer	Cum.	Head on	Release
Elevation	Depth	Thickness	Area	Volume	Volume	ICD	Rate
m	m	m	m²	m ³	m ³	m	L/s
83.85	Surface						
83.65	Clearstone	0.05	20.94	0.75	13.5	1.3	2.1
83.6		0.05	20.94	0.75	12.7	1.25	2.0
83.55		0.05	20.94	0.75	12.0	1.2	2.0
83.5		0.07	20.94	1.05	11.2	1.15	2.0
83.43		0.03	20.94	0.45	10.2	1.08	2.0
83.4	Top Tank	0.05	20.94	0.75	9.7	1.05	1.9
83.35	0.61	0.05	20.94	0.75	9.0	1	1.9
83.3	0.56	0.05	20.94	0.75	8.2	0.95	1.9
83.25	0.51	0.05	20.94	0.75	7.5	0.9	1.9
83.2	0.46	0.05	20.94	0.75	6.7	0.85	1.8
83.15	0.41	0.05	20.94	0.75	6.0	0.8	1.8
83.1	0.36	0.05	20.94	0.75	5.2	0.75	1.8
83.05	0.31	0.05	20.94	0.75	4.5	0.7	1.8
83	0.26	0.05	20.94	0.75	3.7	0.65	1.7
82.95	0.21	0.05	20.94	0.75	3.0	0.6	1.7
82.9	0.16	0.05	20.94	0.75	2.2	0.55	1.7
82.85	0.11	0.05	20.94	0.75	1.5	0.5	1.6
82.8	0.06	0.05	20.94	0.75	0.7	0.45	1.5
82.75	0.01	0	20.94	0.00	0.0	0.4	1.3

APPENDIX A: STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

Client: Independent Development Group Job No.: 180711 Location: 16-20 Hamilton, Ottawa, Ontaric Date: October 23, 2018

Storm Sewer Design Sheet (5-yr storm)

	CATION					PROPOSED SEWI				D SEWER									
20	CATION							TIME	RAINFALL	RAINFALL PEAK TYPE PIPE PIPE					FULL FLOW	TIME OF	EXCESS		
FROM	то	Total Area	с	с	Actual R	INDIV	ACCUM	OF	INTENSITY	FLOW	OF	SIZE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	FLOW	CAPACITY	Q/Qfull
		(ha)	0.30	0.90	('C')	2.78 AR	2.78 AR	CONC.	I	Q (I/s)	PIPE	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(I/s)	(m/s)	(min.)	(l/s)	
CB1	STM-MH	0.033	0.005	0.028	0.81	0.07	0.07	10.00	104.19	7.73	PVC	200.00	1.00	45.0	32.83	1.04	0.72	25.10	0.24
ROOF	STM-MH	0.062	0.000	0.062	0.90	0.16	0.16	10.00	104.19	16.16	PVC	150.00	2.00	10.0	21.56	1.22	0.14	5.40	0.75

 $\label{eq:result} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Rainfall Intensity} = 998.071/(T+6.053)^{0.814} & \mbox{T} = time \mbox{ in minutes} \\ \mbox{(City of Ottawa, 5 year storm)} & \end{array}$




Appendix B: Product Information

- · Hydrovex Selection Chart
- Roof Drain Selection
- Brentwood Storage Tanks

CSO/STORMWATER MANAGEMENT



[®] HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulator



JOHN MEUNIER

HYDROVEX® VHV / SVHV VERTICAL VORTEX FLOW REGULATOR

APPLICATIONS

One of the major problems of urban wet weather flow management is the runoff generated after a heavy rainfall. During a storm, uncontrolled flows may overload the drainage system and cause flooding. Due to increased velocities, sewer pipe wear is increased dramatically and results in network deterioration. In a combined sewer system, the wastewater treatment plant may also experience significant increases in flows during storms, thereby losing its treatment efficiency.

A simple means of controlling excessive water runoff is by controlling excessive flows at their origin (manholes). John Meunier Inc. manufactures the HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV line of vortex flow regulators to control stormwater flows in sewer networks, as well as manholes.

The vortex flow regulator design is based on the fluid mechanics principle of the forced vortex. This grants flow regulation without any moving parts, thus reducing maintenance. The operation of the regulator, depending on the upstream head and discharge, switches between orifice flow (gravity flow) and vortex flow. Although the concept is quite simple, over 12 years of research have been carried out in order to get a high performance.

The **HYDROVEX**[®] **VHV** / **SVHV** Vertical Vortex Flow Regulators (**refer to Figure 1**) are manufactured entirely of stainless steel, and consist of a hollow body (1) (in which flow control takes place) and an outlet orifice (7). Two rubber "O" rings (3) seal and retain the unit inside the outlet pipe. Two stainless steel retaining rings (4) are welded on the outlet sleeve to ensure that there is no shifting of the "O" rings during installation and use.




FIGURE 1: HYDROVEX[®] VHV-SVHV VERTICAL VORTREX FLOW REGULATORS

ADVANTAGES

- The **HYDROVEX[®] VHV** / **SVHV** line of flow regulators are manufactured entirely of stainless steel, making them durable and corrosion resistant.
- Having no moving parts, they require minimal maintenance.
- The geometry of the **HYDROVEX**[®] **VHV** / **SVHV** flow regulators allows a control equal to an orifice plate, having a cross section area 4 to 6 times smaller. This decreases the chance of blockage of the regulator, due to sediments and debris found in stormwater flows. **Figure 2** illustrates the comparison between a regulator model 100 SVHV-2 and an equivalent orifice plate. One can see that for the same height of water, the regulator controls a flow approximately four times smaller than an equivalent orifice plate.
- Installation of the **HYDROVEX**[®] **VHV** / **SVHV** flow regulators is quick and straightforward and is performed after all civil works are completed.
- Installation requires no special tools or equipment and may be carried out by any contractor.
- Installation may be carried out in existing structures.




FIGURE 2: DISCHARGE CURVE SHOWING A HYDROVEX® FLOW REGULATOR VS AN ORIFICE PLATE

SELECTION

Selection of a VHV or SVHV regulator can be easily made using the selection charts found at the back of this brochure (see Figure 3). These charts are a graphical representation of the maximum upstream water pressure (head) and the maximum discharge at the manhole outlet. The maximum design head is the difference between the maximum upstream water level and the invert of the outlet pipe. All selections should be verified by John Meunier Inc. personnel prior to fabrication.

Example:

- 2m (6.56 ft.) ✓ Maximum design head
- ✓ Maximum discharge ✓ Using Figure 3 - VHV

6 L/s (0.2 cfs) model required is a 75 VHV-1

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

All HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV flow regulators can be installed in circular or square manholes. Figure 4 gives the various minimum dimensions required for a given regulator. It is imperative to respect the minimum clearances shown to ensure easy installation and proper functioning of the regulator.

SPECIFICATIONS

In order to specify a **HYDROVEX**[®] regulator, the following parameters must be defined:

- The model number (ex: 75-VHV-1)
- The diameter and type of outlet pipe (ex: 6" diam. SDR 35)
- The desired discharge (ex: 6 l/s or 0.21 CFS)
- The upstream head (ex: 2 m or 6.56 ft.) *
- The manhole diameter (ex: 36" diam.)
- The minimum clearance "H" (ex: 10 inches)
- The material type (ex: 304 s/s, 11 Ga. standard)
- * Upstream head is defined as the difference in elevation between the maximum upstream water level and the invert of the outlet pipe where the HYDROVEX[®] flow regulator is to be installed.

PLEASE NOTE THAT WHEN REQUESTING A PROPOSAL, WE SIMPLY REQUIRE THAT YOU PROVIDE US WITH THE FOLLOWING:

- project design flow rate
- > pressure head
- chamber's outlet pipe diameter and type



Typical VHV model in factory




VHV-1-O (standard model with odour control inlet)



VHV with Gooseneck assembly in existing chamber without minimum release at the bottom



FV – SVHV (mounted on sliding plate)




FV – *VHV-O* (mounted on sliding plate with odour control inlet)



VHV with air vent for minimal slopes

A[®] HYDROVEX[®]




JOHN MEUNIER

FIGURE 3 - VHV

A[®] HYDROVEX[®]


SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulator



JOHN MEUNIER


Model Number	Regu Dian			Manhole neter		n Outlet ameter	Minimum Clearance		
	A (mm) A (in.)		B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)	
50VHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6	
75VHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6	
100VHV-1	325	13	900	36	150	6	200	8	
125VHV-2	275	11	900	36	150	6	200	8	
150VHV-2	350	14	900	36	150	6	225	9	
200VHV-2	450	18	1200	48	200	8	300	12	
250VHV-2	575	23	1200	48	250	10	350	14	
300VHV-2	675	27	1600	64	250	10	400	16	
350VHV-2	800	32	1800	72	300	12	500	20	


FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN CIRCULAR MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL VHV)



FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN CIRCULAR MANHOLE
FIGURE 4 (MODEL SVHV)

Model Number		ulator neter		Manhole neter	Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter		Minimum Clearance		
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)	
25 SVHV-1	125	5	600	24	150	6	150	6	
32 SVHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6	
40 SVHV-1	200	8	600	24	150	6	150	6	
50 SVHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6	
75 SVHV-1	375	15	900	36	150	6	275	11	
100 SVHV-2	275	11	900	36	150	6	250	10	
125 SVHV-2	350	14	900	36	150	6	300	12	
150 SVHV-2	425	17	1200	48	150	6	350	14	
200 SVHV-2	575	23	1600	64	200	8	450	18	
250 SVHV-2	700	28	1800	72	250	10	550	22	
300 SVHV-2	850	34	2400	96	250	10	650	26	
350 SVHV-2	1000	40	2400	96	250	10	700	28	







Model Number	Regulator Diameter		Minimum Chamber Width		Minimur Pipe Di	n Outlet ameter	Minimum Clearance		
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)	
50VHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6	
75VHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6	
100VHV-1	325	13	600	24	150	6	200	8	
125VHV-2	275	11	600	24	150	6	200	8	
150VHV-2	350	14	600	24	150	6	225	9	
200VHV-2	450	18	900	36	200	8	300	12	
250VHV-2	575	23	900	36	250	10	350	14	
300VHV-2	675	27	1200	48	250	10	400	16	
350VHV-2	800	32	1200	48	300	12	500	20	

FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN SQUARE MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL VHV)

NOTE: In the case of a square manhole, the outlet flow pipe must be centered on the wall to ensure enough clearance for the unit.







Model Number	-	ulator neter		Chamber dth	Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter		Minimum Clearance		
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)	
25 SVHV-1	125	5	600	24	150	6	150	6	
32 SVHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6	
40 SVHV-1	200	8	600	24	150	6	150	6	
50 SVHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6	
75 SVHV-1	375	15	600	24	150	6	275	11	
100 SVHV-2	275	11	600	24	150	6	250	10	
125 SVHV-2	350	14	600	24	150	6	300	12	
150 SVHV-2	425	17	600	24	150	6	350	14	
200 SVHV-2	575	23	900	36	200	8	450	18	
250 SVHV-2	700	28	900	36	250	10	550	22	
300 SVHV-2	850	34	1200	48	250	10	650	26	
350 SVHV-2	1000	40	1200	48	250	10	700	28	

FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN SQUARE MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL SVHV)

NOTE:

In the case of a square manhole, the outlet flow pipe must be centered on the wall to ensure enough clearance for the unit.





INSTALLATION

The installation of a HYDROVEX[®] regulator may be undertaken once the manhole and piping is in place. Installation consists of simply fitting the regulator into the outlet pipe of the manhole. John Meunier Inc. recommends the use of a lubricant on the outlet pipe, in order to facilitate the insertion and orientation of the flow controller.

MAINTENANCE

HYDROVEX[®] regulators are manufactured in such a way as to be maintenance free; however, a periodic inspection (every 3-6 months) is suggested in order to ensure that neither the inlet nor the outlet has become blocked with debris. The manhole should undergo periodically, particularly after major storms, inspection and cleaning as established by the municipality

GUARANTY

The HYDROVEX[®] line of VHV / SVHV regulators are guaranteed against both design and manufacturing defects for a period of 5 years. Should a unit be defective, John Meunier Inc. is solely responsible for either modification or replacement of the unit.

John Meunier Inc. ISO 9001 : 2008 Head Office 4105 Sartelon Saint-Laurent (Quebec) Canada H4S 2B3 Tel.: 514-334-7230 www.johnmeunier.com Fax: 514-334-5070 cso@johnmeunier.com

Ontario Office

2000 Argentia Road, Plaza 4, Unit 430 Mississauga (Ontario) Canada L5N 1W1 Tel.: 905-286-4846 www.johnmeunier.com Fax: 905-286-0488 ontario@johnmeunier.com Fax: 215-885-4741 asteele@johnmeunier.com

USA Office 2209 Menlo Avenue Glenside, PA USA 19038 Tel.: 412-417-6614 www.johnmeunier.com



WATTS	Adjustable Accutrol Weir Tag:	Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains
-------	----------------------------------	--


ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below. Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2"of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be: [5 gpm (per inch of head) x 2 inches of head] + 2-1/2 gpm (for the third inch of head) = 12-1/2 gpm.



Wair Opening	1"	2"	3"	4"	5"	6"
Weir Opening Exposed		Flow Ro	ate (galle			
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	25	30
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25	25
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75	15
Closed	5	5	5	5	5	5

Job Name

Job Location

Engineer

Adjustable Upper Cone Fixed Weir

Contractor _

Contractor's P.O. No.


Representative ____


Watts product specifications in U.S. customary units and metric are approximate and are provided for reference only. For precise measurements, please contact Watts Technical Service. Watts reserves the right to change or modify product design, construction, specifications, or materials without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make such changes and modifications on Watts products previously or subsequently sold.

USA: Tel: (800) 338-2581 • Fax: (828) 248-3929 • Watts.com **Canada:** Tel: (905) 332-4090 • Fax: (905) 332-7068 • Watts.ca **Latin America:** Tel: (52) 81-1001-8600 • Fax: (52) 81-8000-7091 • Watts.com



A Watts Water Technologies Company












STORMTANK [®] MODULE									
NAME	HEIGHT (mm)	CAPACITY (m ³)	VOID RATIO	NOMINAL WEIGHT (kg)					
ST-12	12" (304.8)	4.22 cf (0.1194)	93.70%	17.56 lbs. (7.965)					
ST-18	18" (457.2)	6.44 cf (0.1824)	95.50%	22.70 lbs. (10.29)					
ST-24	24" (609.6)	8.66 cf (0.2452)	96.00%	26.30 lbs. (11.92)					
ST-30	30" (762.0)	10.88 cf (0.3081)	96.50%	29.50 lbs. (13.38)					
ST-33	33" (838.2)	11.99 cf (0.3395)	96.90%	29.82 lbs. (13.53)					
ST-36	36" (914.4)	13.10 cf (0.3710)	97.00%	33.10 lbs. (15.01)					




TOP



ISOMETRIC VIEW



FRONT



<u>SIDE</u>

MODULE DETAIL

	NOTES:						
a			2/17/17	ST-12 MODULE ADDED, METRIC DIMENSIONS UPDATED	CGF		- <u>r</u>
	ASSEMBLY AND INSTALLATION PRACTICES.	С	9/12/13	NOTE REVISION, FORMATTING UPDATE & DWG. NO. UPDATE	JKB	JKB	
D	 SIDE PANELS REQUIRED AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE INSTALLATION ONLY, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 	В	9/11/12	FORMATTING & DWG. NO. UPDATE	JKB	FK	
c	c. SIDE PANELS ARE TO BE CUT FROM A 36" PANEL AT THE PRE-SCRIBED	A REV.	4/5/12 DATE	INITIAL RELEASE RECORD OF CHANGES	BLL		RV.
	LOCATIONS.			y of Brentwood Industries, Inc. It may not be reproduced or used for any purpose other thorized by Brentwood Industries. It shall be returned immediately upon request of Bren		dustries.	_

NOTES:
 SIDE PANELS TO BE INSTALLED ALONG SYSTEM PERIMETER, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
 ALL HEIGHTS TO BE CUT FROM A 36" (914.4 mm) SIDE PANEL AT PRE-SCRIBED LOCATIONS, EXCEPT 33" (838.2 mm) & 12" (304.8 mm) SIDE PANEL.

SIDE PANEL DETAIL


Project Name MODULE DETAIL





610 Morgantown Road Reading, PA 19611 U.S.A. Phone: (610) 374-5109 Fax: (610) 376-6022 www.brentwoodindustries.com

Drawn By		Date
B.LINE		4/5/12
Drawing No.	Sheet	Scale
STM-000-00	1 of 2	NTS




MODULE DOUBLE STACK DETAIL

	A University

	DOUBLE STACK CONFIGURATIONS:									
SYSTEM HEIGHT (mm)	ST-18	ST-24	ST-30	ST-33	ST-36	CAPACITY (m ³)				
42" (1,067)	1	1	-	-	-	15.08 cf (0.4270)				
48" (1,219)	1	-	1	-	-	17.30 cf (0.4899)				
51" (1,295)	1	-	-	1	-	18.42 cf (0.5216)				
54" (1,372)	1	-	-	-	1	19.50 cf (0.5522)				
57" (1,448)	-	1	-	1	-	20.64 cf (0.5845)				
60" (1,524)	-	1	-	-	1	21.75 cf (0.6159)				
63" (1,600)	-	-	1	1	-	22.86 cf (0.6473)				
66" (1,676)	-	-	-	2	-	23.97 cf (0.6788)				
69" (1,753)	-	-	-	1	1	25.08 cf (0.7101)				
72" (1,829)	-	-	-	-	2	26.20 cf (0.7419)				

NOTES:	D	2/17/17	ST-12 MODULE ADDED, METRIC DIMENSIONS UPDATED	CGB		
a. REFERENCE CURRENT INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPER	С	9/12/13	NOTE REVISION, FORMATTING UPDATE & DWG. NO. UPDATE	JKB	JKB	
ASSEMBLY AND INSTALLATION PRACTICES.	В	9/11/12	FORMATTING & DWG. NO. UPDATE	JKB	FK	
b. STACKING PINS REQUIRED BETWEEN MODULE LAYERS, FOR ALL	А	4/5/12	INITIAL RELEASE	BLL	FK	
STACKED SYSTEMS (SEE DETAIL).	REV.	DATE	RECORD OF CHANGES	BY	APPRV.	
STABLE STOTENS (SEE DETAIE).			ty of Brentwood Industries, Inc. It may not be reproduced or used for any purpose other that uthorized by Brentwood Industries. It shall be returned immediately upon request of Brentw		ustries.	



STACKING PIN DETAIL

Project Name MODULE DOUBLE STACK DETAIL





610 Morgantown Road Reading, PA 19611 U.S.A. Phone: (610) 374-5109 Fax: (610) 376-6022 www.brentwoodindustries.com

Drawn By		Date
B.LINE		4/5/12
Drawing No.	Sheet	Scale
STM-000-00	2 of 2	NTS





TABLE A: OBSERVATION PORT DIMENSION				
	PORT SIZE	OPEN SIZE	RISER PIPE DIA.	
	6" (152.4 mm)	7" (177.8 mm)	6" (152.4 mm)	
	8" (203.2 mm)	9" (228.6 mm)	8" (203.2 mm)	
	10" (254.0 mm)	11" (279.4 mm)	10" (254.0 mm)	

LAYOUT & CUT OPENING INTO THE CENTER OF THE TOP PLATEN FOR BRENTWOOD OBSERVATION PORT.




INSTALL OBSERVATION PORT

ALIGN PORT PLATE WITH TOP PANEL: INSERT TWO STORMTANK MODULE STACKING PINS INTO THE CIRCULAR RECESSES IN THE TOP PLATEN OF THE STORMTANK MODULE. INSERT THE SHORT SIDE OF THE PORT PIPE STUB INTO THE PLATEN ALIGNING THE


PORT PLATE ALIGNMENT HOLES WITH THE STACKING PINS.

STEP 4:




MARK & CUT FLANGE PLATE FLUSH WITH MODULE SIDE "WHEN MODULE IS ON THE A PERIMETER OF THE SYSTEM."

STEP 5:



INSTALL GEOTEXTILE: WRAP SPECIFIED GEOTEXTILE FABRIC AROUND ENTIRE INSTALLATION OF STORMTANK MODULES. CUT "X" PATTERN INTO GEOTEXTILE FABRIC AT OBSERVATION PORT AND PEEL EDGES OUT.

STEP 6:



This is the property of Brentwood Industries, Inc. It may not be reproduced or used for any purpose other than those expressly authorized by Brentwood Industries. It shall be returned immediately upon request of Brentwood Industries.						
REV.	DATE	RECORD OF CHANGES	BY	APPRV.		
А	1/11/12	INITIAL RELEASE	BLL	FK		
В	3/27/12	REMOVE 6" DIA. PORT CALLOUT	BLL	FK		
С	9/7/12	UPDATED DRAWING FORMAT	BLL	FK		
D	9/9/13	UPDATED DRAWING FORMAT	JKB	JKB		
Е	11/10/14	GEOTEXTILE PRODUCT SPECIFIED	CGB			
F	2/17/17	METRIC DIMENSIONS UPDATED	CGB			

NOTES: a. REFERENCE CURRENT INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPER INSTALLATION PRACTICES.

STEP 3:



PORT WITH SS BANDING, WATER RESISTANT TAPE OR NYLON ZIP-TIE



Project Name



Appendix C: Fire Flow Calculations

• Fire Flow Requirements – FUS (Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02)



P.O. Box 189 Kemptville, Ontario K0G 1J0

Civil • Geotechnical • Structural • Environmental • Hydrogeology

> (613) 860-0923 FAX: (613) 258-0475

APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF FIRE FLOW REQURIEMENTS - 16 Hamilton Calculation Based on Fire Underwriters Survey, 1999

1) An estimate of the Fire Flow required for a given fire area may be estimated by:

$$F = 220 \ x \ C \ x \ \sqrt{A}$$

where

F = required fire flow in litres per minute

A = Fire-Resistive Buildings with 1hr fire rating. Consider only area of the largest floor plus 25 percent of each of the two immedately adjoining floors. Largest floor is the 3rd floor.

Therefore consider 3rd floor area with 25% of 2nd and 25% of 4thfloor areas.

- C = coefficient related to the type of construction:
 - 1.5 for wood construction (structure essentially combustible)
 - for ordinary construction (brick or other masonry walls, combustible floor and interior) 1.0
 - for noncombustible construction (unprotected metal structural components, masonary or metal walls) 0.8

25% of 2ndFloor = 217 m^2

0.6 for fire-resistive construction (fully protected frame, floors, roof)

Area of floor 3 = 897 m²

				25% of 4th Floor =	215 m ²
A =	1329	m ² (Fire	Resistive Construction)		
C =	0.8				
F =	6,416	 L/min	>	Rounded to nearest 100	00 = 6,000

The value obtained in 1. may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having a low 2)

Non-combustible = Limited Combustible = Combustible = Free Burning = Rapid Burning =	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25%				L/n	nin
Reduction due to low occupa	ancy hazard =	-15%	_x 6,000	=	= 5,100 L/m	nin

3) The value above my be reduced by up to 50% for automatic sprinlker system

> Reduction due to automatic sprinker system = -30% x 5,100 =

-1,530

123.3 L/sec

or

4) The value obtained in 2. may be increased for structures exposed within 45 metres by the fire

	Separation Om to 3 3.1m to 3 10.1m to 3 20.1m to 4 45.1m to	3.0m 10.0m 20.0m 30.0m	<u>Condtion</u> 1 2 3 4 5 6	<u>Charge</u> 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 0%				
Exposures	Distance(m)	Condtion		<u>Charge</u>				
Side 1	11.0	3	>	15%				
Side 2	0.0	1	>	25%				
Front	0.0	1	>	25%				
Back	1.4	1	>	25%				
				75%				
Increase due t	o separation =			75% x	5,100 =		3,825	L/min
The fire flow r	equirement is =						5,100	
					Reduction d	ue to Sprinkler =	-1,530	
					Increase due	e to Separation =	3,825	_
The Total fire f	low requiremer	ntis =					7,395	-



Appendix D: Boundary Conditions



Civil • Geotechnical •

Structural • Environmental • Materials Testing •

(613) 860-0923

FAX: (613) 258-0475

Kollaard File # 180711 Page 1

October 03, 2018

Shawn Wessel Project Manager Infrastructure Approvals Planning Infrastructure & Economic Development Department Planning Services.

Re: Boundary Conditions 16-20 Hamilton Avenue North

Kollaard Associates Inc has been retained by Surface Developments Inc. to complete the Site Servicing Plan and Site Servicing Report for the proposed residential development at 16-20 Hamilton Avenue North

Could you provide us with the boundary conditions for the property based on the following information.

Type of Development: Residential (8 storey, 73 unit apartment building), with 592m² of commercial space. Location of Services: Hamilton Avenue North (See attached location drawing) Amount of Fire Flow: 123.3 L/s (See attached fire flow requirements) Average daily water demand: 0.49 L/s Maximum daily water demand: 1.20 L/s Maximum Hourly water demand: 2.63 L/s Peak sanitary flow: 1.58 L/s

Please note:

- The sanitary calculations have been completed using Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01. The water demand calculations have not been updated to reflect the changes in sanitary demand calculations.
- Fire flow is based on FUS calculations and takes into account the methodology provided in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02

Design calculation spread sheets for FUS, Water and Sanitary are attached Servicing Sketch is attached showing proposed connection location


If there are any questions related to the above please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely, KOLLAARD ASSOCIATES INC.

fle 20

Steven deWit, P.Eng.





(K

Subject: Fwd: 16-20 Hamilton Ave From: Amanda VanBruggen <amanda@kollaard.ca> Date: 09/10/2018 2:56 PM To: Malou Leblanc <malou@kollaard.ca>

Hello Malou, We have boundary conditions for Hamilton. See below. Kind Regards, Amanda



210 Prescott Street, Unit 1 P.O. Box 189 Kemptville, Ontario K0G 1J0 tel: 613-860-0923 www.kollaard.ca

------ Forwarded Message ------Subject:16-20 Hamilton Ave Date:Tue, 9 Oct 2018 15:20:55 +0000 From:Wessel, Shawn <u><shawn.wessel@ottawa.ca></u> To:'Amanda VanBruggen' <u><amanda@kollaard.ca></u> CC:Gauthier, Steve <u><Steve.Gauthier@ottawa.ca></u>

Good morning Ms. VanBruggen.

Please find requested boundary conditions for 16-20 Hamilton below and attached:

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 16-20 Hamilton Ave North (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203 mm on Hamilton Ave North (see attached PDF for location).

Minimum HGL = 108.1 m Maximum HGL = 115.3 m Max Day (1.20 L/s) + Fire Flow (123.3 L/s) = 107.2 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Out Of Office Alert: I will be away from the office October 17 returning November 5, 2018

If you require additional information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me anytime.

Thank you

Regards,

Shawn Wessel, A.Sc.T.,rcji Project Manager - Infrastructure Approvals Gestionnaire de projet – Approbation des demandes d'infrastructures

Development Review Central Branch | Direction de l'examen des projets d'aménagement, Centrale Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department | Direction générale de la planification de l'infrastructure et du développement économique City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Ave. W. | 110, avenue Laurier Ouest, Ottawa ON K1P 1J1 (613) 580 2424 Ext. | Poste 33017 shawn.wessel@ottawa.ca

 ${\bf P}$ Please consider the environment before printing this email

-Attachments:-

16-20 Hamilton Ave N Oct 2018.pdf


108 KB








Appendix E: Drawings


- 180711– Figure 1 PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS
- 180711– Figure 2 POST-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS
- [·] 180711– SER Site Servicing Plan
- ^{180711–} GEC Grading & Erosion Control Plan
- ^{180711–} Figure 3 Roof SWM Storage Plan






















Appendix F: Correspondence

- · City of Ottawa
- · RVCA
- · MECP

SURFACE MEETING REPORT Pre-Consultation Meeting

Meeting Date	August 23, 2018		
Meeting	110 Laurier Ave, Roor	m 4118E	
Location			
Facilitator	Steve Gauthier		
Attendees	Shawn Wessel	City of Ottawa (Infrastructure)	shawn.wessel@ottawa.ca
	Linda Hoad	Hintonburg Community Association	linda.hoad@teksavvy.com
	Wanda Goneau	Hintonburg Community Association	
	Steve Gauthier	City of Ottawa (Planner)	steve.gauthier@ottawa.ca
	Christopher Moise	City of Ottawa (Designer)	christopher.moise@ottawa.ca
	Wally Dubyk	City of Ottawa (Transportation)	wally.dubyk@ottawa.ca
	Brian Casagrande	Fotenn	casagrande@fotenn.com
	Ryan Koolwine	Project1 Studio	koolwine@project1studio.ca
	Jakub Ulak	Surface Developments	jakub@surfacedevelopments.com
	Sloane Weingarden	Surface Developments	sloane@surfacedevelopments.com
ltem	Description		Action by
1.0	Planning & Policy		
		ng – guidance is unclear for this site in development plan (CDP)	
	-	d if the CDP includes intensification	Linda/Wanda (HCA)
		plan to be submitted to Fotenn for	Steve (City of Ottawa)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	 To be confirmed if the CDP includes intensification Copy of zoning plan to be submitted to Fotenn for review Provide zoning chronology to Fotenn for review If the proposed building is over 6 storeys in height, an amendment to the secondary plan will be required. Fotenn to submit a response in writing to contest this. Once submitted, City to review and respond. 	Linda/Wanda (HCA) Steve (City of Ottawa) Steve (City of Ottawa) Brian (Fotenn)/ Steve (City of Ottawa)
2.0	Building Design	
	Proposed building will be mid-rise height (less than 10	
	storeys), mixed-use occupancyOpportunity to provide a response to community	
	feedback from 12 Hamilton construction	
	 Proposed 9 floors with significant step-backs on floors 	
	6 through 9	
	Balconies will overlook Parkdale Park, capitalizing on	
	 Main floor (street level) to contain retail space to 	
	support community engagement and maintain the	
	traditional main street character of the neighbourhood	
3.0	Noise Study	
	Rooftop patio may require a barrier to reduce noise	
	impacts	
	 Rooftop terrace may not be feasible based on results of noise study. Terrace could be relocated to another 	
	floor if necessary	
	 Wind study will most likely be required 	
	Traffic noise study will be required	
4.0	Hintonburg Community Association Feedback	
	 Shadow studies required for impact to neighbouring buildings and/or houses 	
	 Preference that terraces be located facing the park 	
	 Small scale retail to compliment the Parkdale market 	
	services and amenities	
	Affordable housing options - Linda to discuss options	Linda (HCA)
	with Ottawa Community Housing	
	 Mix of unit types (ie. 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom options to be provided) 	
		<u> </u>



	Protection of existing trees and landscaping to be	
	taken into consideration	
	 All hazardous substances to be removed and 	
	documented in hazardous substances report	
5.0	Building Services	
	 Part of the sanitary system connects to the Cave 	
	Creek Trunk – tbc with Ministry of Environment if an	
	Environmental Compliance Approval will be required	
	 Storm water management plan and report will be 	
	required for City of Ottawa review and records	
	 Permeable surfaces required 	
	 Location of waste units to be identified on plans 	
	END OF REPORT	·

Next Meeting Date: TBC Next Meeting Location: TBC



Appendix G: Servicing Guidelines Checklist

4.1 General Content

Executive Summary (for larger reports only).

Comments: N/A

 \overline{X} Date and revision number of the report.

Comments: Refer to cover page of the Servicing & Stormwater Management Report- Dated Rev 0 October 23, 2018 (SSMR).

Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.

Comments: Refer to drawings180711-SER and 180711-GR in appendix E of the SSMR

 \overline{X} Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.

Comments: Refer to drawing 180711-SER in appendix E of the SSMR.

Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.

Comments: Refer to Architectural Site plan by Project1 Studio Incorporated

Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.

Comments: Pre-Consultation Meeting with City had taken place August 23, 2018 Included in Appendix F of the SSMR

Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.

Comments: Conformance to City of Ottawa Guidelines, No higher level studies applicable

Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.

Comments: Refer to section 2.0 of the SSMR for Storm, Section 3 for Sanitary and Section 4 for Water.

 \overline{X} Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.

Comments: Refer to drawing 180711-SER for location, size and depth. Drawing located in appendix E of of the SSMR.

Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).

Comments: N/A Discharge to City of Ottawa Storm Sewer System

Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.

Comments: There is no Master Grading Plan - Refer to grading plan180711-GR located in appendix E of the SSMR.

 \overline{X} Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.

Comments: N/A

 \overline{X} Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.

Comments: N/A

Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.

Comments: Reference Geotechnical Report Prepared for Surface Developments for the Adjacent Site 12 Hamilton Road dated August 2016

- All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:
 - ☑ Metric scale
 - ☑ North arrow (including construction North)
 - 🗵 Key plan
 - ☑ Name and contact information of applicant and property owner
 - **🗵** Property limits including bearings and dimensions
 - Existing and proposed structures and parking areas
 - Easements, road widening and rights-of-way
 - Adjacent street names

Comments: Refer to drawings in appendix E of the SSMR

4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water

Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available

Comments: N/A

Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development

Comments: Refer to Section 3 and 4 of the SSMR.

Identification of system constraints

Comments: Yes - boundary conditions were received. Boundary Conditions can be found in appendix F of of the SSMR - Also response from City including System Constraints

Identify boundary conditions

Comments: Boundary Conditions can be found in appendix D of the SSMR

Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure

Comments: Refer to Section 4.0 - Watermain Design of the SSMR.

Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.

Comments: Refer to Appendix C of the SSMR and Section 4.0

Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.

Comments: Pressure Reducing Valves not Required. Booster pump required to service top floor. See section 4.0 of the SSMR

Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design

Comments: No phasing involved with this project

Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves

Comments:	N/A
-----------	-----

Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.

Comments: The water pressure available at the site is above the minimum residual pressure at the ground floor level - Section 4.0 of the SSMR

Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range

Comments: Refer to Section 4.0 - Watermain Design in the SSMR

Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.

Comments: 8 storey residential building serviced by 203mm watermain, refer to Drawing 180711-SER in appendix E of the SSMR

Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.

Comments: N/A

Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.

Comments: Refer to Section 4.0 - Watermain Design in the SSMR

Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.

Comments: Refer to appendix D of the SSMR

4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater

Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).

Comments:	Refer to Section 3.0 of the SSMR .
-----------	------------------------------------

Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.

Comments: No Master Servicing Study, Design Conformance with Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.

Comments: There are no local conditions of this nature. Refer to Section 3.0 of the SSWR.

Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.

Comments: Refer to drawing 180711-SER is appendix E of the SSMR.

☑ Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)

Comments: Refer to Section 3.0 of the SSMR

Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.

Comments: N/A

Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.

Comments: N/A

Development Servicing Report: Stormwater 4.4

Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of \mathbf{X} outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)

Comments: Refer to Section 2.0 of the SSMR.

X Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.

Refer to Section 2.0 of the SSMR - Stormwater runoff to be controlled to less than Comments: existing predevelopment conditions in accordance with conditions provided by City.

A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, \mathbf{X} existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.

Refer to drawings Figure 1 - Pre-Development and Figure 2 Post Development Comments: Catchment Areas in Appendix E of the SSMR.

Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to X pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.

Comments:

Refer to Section 2.0 of the SSMR.

Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based X on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.

Comments: Refer to Section 2.0 of the SSMR.

Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and \mathbf{X} descriptions with references and supporting information.

Comments: Refer to Section 2.0 and Appendix A and B of the SSMR

Set-back from private sewage disposal systems. \mathbf{X}

> Comments: N/A

Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks. \mathbf{X}

> Comments: N/A

Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the X Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.

Comments: Pre-consultation with Ministry of Environment is ongoing Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.

Comments: N/A - no master servicing study avaiable

Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).

Comments: Refer to Appendix A of the SSMR and Section 2 of SSMR

Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.

Comments: N/A

Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.

Comments: Refer to Appendix A of the SSMR and Section 2 of SSMR

Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.

Comments: N/A

Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.

Comments: N/A - Refer to Drawing 180711 - SER - Appendix E of the SSMR

If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.

Comments: Quantity control is provided. Refer to section 2 of the SSMR

Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses

Comments: No Potential Impacts

Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.

Comments: No municipal drains

Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.

Comments: Refer t	o section 2	of the SSMR
-------------------	-------------	-------------

100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.

Comments: 100 year flood levels and major flow routing is shown on drawing 180711-GEC in appendix E of the SSMR.

Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.

Comments:	N/A
-----------	-----

Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.

Comments: Refer to Section 5.0 of the SSMR

Identification of floodplains - proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.

Comments:	N/A	

Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.

Comments: N/A

Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist 4.5

The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:

Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of \mathbf{X} floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.

Comments:

Consultation with RVCA is ongoing

Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources \mathbf{X} Act.

Comments:

Pre-consultation with MECP is ongoing

Changes to Municipal Drains. \mathbf{X}

> Comments: N/A

Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and \mathbf{X} Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)

Comments: N/A

4.6 **Conclusion Checklist**

Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations \mathbf{X}

> Comments: Refer to Section 6.0 of the SSMR

Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and \mathbf{X} information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.

Comments:

comments are to be received from review agencies and will be addressed item by item in response letters.

All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer X registered in Ontario

Comments: Signed and Stamped.