GEOTECHNICAL DUE DILIGENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT PROPOSED RETAIL FUEL OUTLET 1622 ROGER STEVENS DRIVE KARS, ONTARIO KOA 2E0

REPORT REF. NO. CB1057.00 April 5, 2018

Prepared For: Parkland Fuel Corporation

Prepared By:

Alston Associates a division of Terrapex Environmental Ltd. Toronto

Distribution:

1 copy

-

Alston Associates

1 copy - Parkland Fuel Corporation

CONTENTS

1	INTR	DUCTION	1
2	FIELD	NORK	1
3	LABO	RATORY TESTING	2
4	SITE	ND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	3
	4.1	Site Description	.3
	4.2	Subsurface soil conditions	.3
		4.2.1 Topsoil	.4
		4.2.2 Fill	.4
		4.2.3 Native Silty Sand, some to trace gravel [SM]	.4
	4.3	Groundwater	.5
	4.4	Soundings by Dynamic Cone Penetration Tests (DCPT)	.6
	4.5	Chemical Characterization of Sub-Soil	.6
5	DISC	ISSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
	5.1	Excavations and Dewatering	.7
	5.2	Reuse of On-site Excavated Soils as Compacted Backfill	.8
	5.3	Foundation Design	.8
		5.3.1 Foundations for the Retail Store and Gas Pump Island	.8
		5.3.2 Slab-on-Grade	.9
		5.3.3 Foundations for the Underground Storage Tanks1	0
		5.3.4 Subgrade Protection1	0
	5.4	Service Trenches1	0
	5.5	Pavement Thickness1	1
	5.6	Septic System1	3
	5.7	Earthquake Design Parameters1	3
6	LIMI	TIONS OF REPORT	4

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	LIMITATIONS OF REPORT
APPENDIX B	FIGURE 1: BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN
APPENDIX C	BOREHOLE LOGS AND DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST RESULTS
APPENDIX D	LABORATORY TEST RESULTS
APPENDIX E	CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS

1

INTRODUCTION

Alston Associates (AA), the geotechnical division of Terrapex Environmental Ltd. (Terrapex) has been retained by Parkland Fuel Corporation (Parkland) to carry out a geotechnical due diligence study for the proposed construction of a retail fuel outlet, septic system and pavement design at 1622 Roger Stevens Drive, Kars, Ontario.

The property is located on the south side of Roger Stevens Drive and measures approximately 6,400 m² in size. It is currently occupied by a single-storey building and a two-storey residential dwelling, with the remainder of the site being covered with asphalt, trees and grass. The site slopes gradually from South to North and East to West, with a localized 2.5 m steep elevation change from the west edge of the asphalt and single-storey building down onto the adjacent farmer's field. The site is bounded by a funeral home (Tubman Funeral Homes) to the east, residential lands to the north and south and agricultural land to the west. The location of the site with the proposed development and borehole/monitoring well locations is shown on Terrapex drawing Figure 1, "Borehole Location Plan" enclosed in Appendix B.

It is understood that the proposed retail fuel outlet will include a one-storey retail store with no basement level, a gas pump island with an overhead canopy, underground storage tanks, an asphalt-paved parking lot, and a septic system to be installed in the southern section of the site.

The purpose of this study was to characterize the underlying soil and groundwater conditions of the site, to determine the relevant geotechnical properties of encountered soils and to prepare design recommendations pertaining to building foundations, excavation, backfilling considerations, surface support structures and asphaltic concrete pavement.

This report presents the results of the investigation performed in accordance with the general terms of reference outlined above and is intended for the guidance of the client and the design engineers only. It is assumed that the design will be in accordance with the applicable building codes and standards.

2 FIELDWORK

The fieldwork for this study was carried out on February 22 and 23, 2018 by Terrapex and consisted of advancing nine boreholes, denoted as MW101, BH102 through BH105, MW106 through MW108, and BH109, and one sounding by Dynamic Cone Penetration Test (DCPT), denoted as BH110. The geotechnical boreholes were sampled to depths ranging from 1.8 to 6.1 m below ground surface (bgs). The DCPT sounding was advanced without soil sampling to a depth of 10.7 m bgs. The locations of these boreholes are based on the preliminary layout of the gas station that was provided by Parkland and they are shown on Figure 1, "Borehole Location Plan", in Appendix B.

A monitoring well was installed within each of the completed boreholes MW101, MW106, MW107 and MW108. All monitoring wells were developed using disposable plastic bailers to ensure groundwater can flow in and out of the well freely. The construction of these wells are shown on the borehole log sheets enclosed in Appendix C. A representative from Terrapex returned to the site on February 26 and March 14, 2018 to measure the groundwater levels in the monitoring wells. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were carried out in accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D-1586 in the course of advancing the sampled boreholes to take representative soil samples and to measure the standard penetration index (N-values) to characterize the condition of the various soil materials. The number of blows of the automatic-trip hammer required to drive the split spoon sampler to 0.3 m depth is recorded and these are presented on the logs as N-values. Results of the SPT are shown on the borehole logs enclosed in Appendix C of this report.

During the drilling program, auger refusal was encountered in six of the boreholes by possible large cobbles or boulders. When auger refusal was encountered at shallow depths, the drill rig was repositioned about 1.0 m away from the original location and subsequently augured to our desired depth. Auger refusal was encountered in BH103, BH104, BH105, MW107, MW108 and BH109.

The purpose of performing DCPT was to measure the equivalent penetration index values in the subsoil units in order to determine the penetration resistance of the subsoil at greater depths where soil sampling was not carried out. The DCPT involves advancing a cone with an outside diameter of 50 mm into the ground using standard penetration test (DPSH) energy. The number of blows of the striking hammer required to drive the cone through successive 300 mm depth increments was recorded and these are presented as penetration index values on the borehole BH110 log from 3.1 to 10.7 m bgs, enclosed in Appendix C of this report.

Observations were made of the groundwater conditions occurring in the boreholes, in the course of their advancement.

The ground surface elevations at the locations of the boreholes are referenced to a survey pin located in the northwest corner of the west driveway entrance approximately 7.5 m south from the south edge of Roger Stevens Drive and 2.0 east from the edge of the driveway. The approximate location of this survey pin is shown on Figure 1, "Borehole Locations Plan", in Appendix B. The top of the survey pin is assigned an arbitrary elevation of 100.00 m.

The fieldwork for this study was supervised by a field technician from Terrapex who arranged for the locates of buried services; effected the drilling, sampling and in-situ testing; defined strata interface depths; measured groundwater levels; and prepared field borehole log sheets.

3 LABORATORY TESTING

The soil samples recovered from the boreholes were transported to our laboratory for detailed examination, soil classification and laboratory testing. Water content tests were conducted on all soil samples retained from Boreholes MW101, BH102, BH103B and MW106. The results of the classification and water contents are presented on the borehole log sheets attached in Appendix C. It is noted that selected soil samples retrieved from the boreholes were laboratory-tested for environmental purposes. While the environmental sampling locations are noted in the borehole logs, environmental analytical results and discussions are not part of the scope of work of this report and therefore, they are not included herein.

Grain size analysis ASTM D422 (sieve) were carried out on the following three (3) soil samples:

• Borehole MW101 at 5.5 m depth (sample 9).

- Borehole BH103 at 1.5 m depth (sample 2).
- Borehole BH102 at 2.3 m depth (sample 3).

Grain size analysis ASTM D422 (sieve and hydrometer) were carried out on the following two (2) soil samples:

- Borehole BH105 at 2.3 m depth (sample 3).
- Borehole MW107 at 1.5 m depth (sample 2).

The results of the grain size analyses are presented in Appendix D of this report.

Two representative samples of the subsurface soils obtained from the anticipated foundation depth was submitted to Maxxam Analytics for chemical analytical testing (pH and soluble sulphate content); to determine if the subsurface concrete is to be designed for sulphate attack. Chemical analytical test results are presented in Appendix E of this report.

4 SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The following sections provide a brief description of the site and subsurface soil and groundwater conditions encountered during our field test program.

4.1 Site Description

The property is located at 1622 Roger Stevens Drive, Kars, Ontario, approximately 1.2 km east of Highway 416. It is rectangular in shape and measures approximately 6,400 m² in size. The property is currently occupied by a single storey building and a two storey residential dwelling, with the remainder of the site being covered with asphalt, trees and grass. The site is bounded by a funeral home (Tubman Funeral Homes) to the east, residential lands to the north and south and agricultural lands to the west.

The proposed retail store to be located south of the existing one story building with the proposed gasoline pump island located north of the building. In general, the site slopes gradually from South to North and East to west, with a localized 2.5 m steep elevation change from the west edge of the asphalt and single storey building down onto the adjacent farmer's field. The slope extends from the south edge of Roger Stevens Drive approximately 47.0 m and gradually tapers off to the west.

The preliminary layout of the proposed retail fuel outlet and borehole locations are shown on Figure 1, "Borehole Location Plan", as presented in Appendix B herein.

4.2 Subsurface soil conditions

Details of the subsurface conditions contacted in the boreholes are given on the individual borehole logs enclosed in Appendix C. A brief description of the subsoil units and groundwater conditions are given in the following subsections.

It should be noted that the boundaries of soil types indicated on the borehole logs are inferred from non-

continuous soil sampling and observations made during drilling. These boundaries are intended to reflect transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design, and therefore, should not be construed as exact planes of geological change. Due to the frost penetration we were unable to recover sufficial split spoon samples, samples were collected from auger cuttings within the top 0.61-0.76 m of each borehole.

The subsurface stratigraphy as revealed in the boreholes comprises of a surficial layer of topsoil in boreholes located within the grassed areas of the property; the surficial layer of the boreholes located within the paved areas of the site (MW101, BH102 and BH109) comprises of a sand and gravel fill. These surficial layers are underlain by a native silty sand to sand with some to trace organics. The silty sand to sand with some to trace organics deposit is underlain by a native silty sand to sand to sand, some to trace embedded gravel which extends beyond the sampled depth of the boreholes. On March 14, 2018, the groundwater levels were measured in the monitoring wells at depths between 0.28 m bgs (MW107) to 1.85 m bgs (MW108); these groundwater measurements correspond to about elevation 98.8 to 101.5 m.

4.2.1 Topsoil

Topsoil was encountered in boreholes BH103, BH104, BH105, MW106, MW107 and MW108. The thickness of the topsoil in boreholes BH103 and MW108 were measured as 102 mm and 40 mm, respectively. It should be noted that the topsoil thickness will vary between boreholes and may be thicker than that found at the boreholes.

4.2.2 Fill

Sandy gravel with trace silt fill material was found in MW101 at depths between 0.6 to 3.6 m bgs and in BH102 between 0.6 and 1.4 m bgs. BH102 contained a brownish black sand some silt trace organics fill deposit between 1.4 and 2.2 m bgs. Samples of the subbase material from underside of the asphaltic concrete were collected from the augers between the depths of 0.1 and 0.6 m and were classified as a sand and gravel.

4.2.3 Native Silty Sand, some to trace gravel [SM]

Underlying the surficial topsoil layer is natural deposit of a dark brown, brown and grey native silty sand with some to trace gravel deposit extends beyond the sampled depth of all the boreholes. Near the surface, in the upper 0.6 to 1.8 m of the deposit, the silty sand is dark brown to brown and contains trace to some organic material at the locations of BH103, BH104, BH105, MW106 and MW108.

The silty sand with some to trace gravel changes from brown to a grey at depths ranging from 4.9 to 5.3 m bgs in MW101m BH102, MW106, and MW108.

Standard penetration test N-values obtained from this layer ranged from 2 to 56 blows per 300 mm of penetration to indicate a compactness condition ranging from loose to dense. The compactness of the soil is variable in this deposit possibly due to the inclusions of cobbles/boulders which were encountered in six (6) locations during the drilling program, resulting in auger refusal and relocating the drill rig 1.0 m away from original location. Auger refusal on possible cobbles or boulders occurred in;

• BH103 at a depth of 4.4 m bgs;

- BH104 at a depth of 1.8 m bgs;
- BH105 at a depth of 3.7 m bgs;
- MW107 at a depth of 3.7 m bgs;
- MW108 at a depth of 2.9 m bgs;
- BH109 at a depth of 3.7 m bgs.

The loose condition is only encountered in the grey saturated silty sand, some to trace gravel deposit in MW106 at a depth of 4.7 m bgs.

Some of the high blow counts recorded are likely the result of encountering larger cobbles or boulders. The balance of the silty sand, some to trace gravel deposit is in a compact condition.

Grain size analyses were carried out on five (5) representative samples of the silty sand, some to trace gravel soil. The material in this layer is classified as SM, in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The test results are enclosed in Appendix D, and summarized below.

Borehole No.	Sample Number)	Sample Depth (mbgs)	Sample Description	Gravel %	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Coefficient of Permeability, k ⁽¹⁾ (cm/sec)
BH101	6	3.7 to 4.4	SAND some gravel some silt	15	73	12	-	
BH102	3	2.2 to 2.8	SILTY SAND trace gravel	9	78	22	-	
BH104	2	1.5 to 2.1	SILTY SAND some gravel	14	51	35	-	
BH105	3	2.2 to 2.8	SILTY SAND some gravel	12	57	31	-	10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻⁵
MW107	2	1.5 to 2.1	SILTY SAND some gravel	11	49	40	-	10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻⁵

Note: (1) References from Terzaghi and Peck "Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice". John Wiley and Sons, Inc. (1967)

Water contents measured on samples of the silty sand range from approximately 9 to 16 percent by weight.

4.3 Groundwater

Observations of groundwater conditions were made in the installed monitoring wells on February 22 and March 14, 2018.

Groundwater was encountered in all the monitoring wells. Upon completion of the fieldwork the groundwater was measured at depths ranging from 0.15 to 1.83 m bgs in the monitoring wells. On March 14, 2018, the groundwater levels were measured in the monitoring wells at depths between 0.28 m bgs (MW107) to 1.85 m bgs (MW108); these groundwater measurements correspond to about elevation 98.8 to 101.5 m.

The silty sand with some to trace gravel has medium to low conductivities and the groundwater yield from these soils is expected to be moderate.

It should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations. A higher groundwater table condition will likely develop in the spring and following significant rainfall events.

4.4 Soundings by Dynamic Cone Penetration Tests (DCPT)

Borehole BH110 was extended beyond the sampled depth by advancing by Dynamic cone penetration tests (DCPT) to a depth of 10.7 m bgs. The DCPT measured equivalent N-values ranging between 10 and 83; more specifically, equivalent N-values of less than 30 were found to extend to a depth of 6.5 m bgs and equivalent N-values of less than 50 were found to extend to a depth of 10.7 m bgs, with N-values of greater than 60 where possible boulders were encountered.

4.5 Chemical Characterization of Sub-Soil

Two soil samples were submitted for chemical testing; one sample was selected from MW102 at a depth of 3.0 m bgs (sample 4) and one sample was selected from BH103 at a depth of 1.5 m bgs (sample 2). The samples were submitted to Maxxam Analytics for determination of pH index and sulphate content.

The test results revealed that the pH index in MW102-4 was 7.85 and 7.93 in BH103-2. The water-soluble sulphate content of the soil sample is 0.0054 % in both samples.

The pH content of the tested sample has a weak alkalinity. The concentration of water-soluble sulphate content of the tested samples is below the CSA standard of 0.1% water-soluble sulphate (Table 12 CSA A23.1, Requirements for Concrete Subjected to Sulphate Attack). Special concrete mixes against sulphate attack is therefore not required for the sub-surface concrete of the proposed buildings.

The test results are included in the Certificate of Analysis provided by Maxxam Analytics; contained in Appendix E of this report.

5 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is understood that the subject property is to be developed as a retail fuel outlet consisting of a one-storey retail store with no basement level, a gas pump island with overhead canopy, underground storage tanks, an asphalt-paved parking lot, and a septic system to be placed in the southern portion of the site. It is anticipated that there will be some modifications in site grading, but this has not been established at the time of the issuance of this report.

This investigation has revealed that below the sufficial topsoil layer the site is underlain in general by a moist, brown and grey native silty sand some to trace gravel with occasional boulders. A loose condition is present in the upper 1.5 m of topsoil and native silty sand some organics soil; below this depth the silty sand some to trace gravel soil is generally loose to compact with occasional very dense areas where possible large cobbles or boulders were encountered. Below of the asphaltic concrete is a moist, compact sand and gravel fill

which varies in depths up to 3.6 m bgs in MW101; below this depth the silty sand some to trace gravel deposit was encountered.

The groundwater levels were measured on March 14, 2018 in the monitoring wells at depths between 0.28 m bgs (MW107) to 1.85 m bgs (MW108); these groundwater measurements correspond to about elevation 98.8 to 101.5 m. The groundwater flows in a northwesterly direction from the southern end of the site with higher ground elevations towards Roger Stevens Drive. It should be noted that considerable rain and snow melt had occurred during the time of monitoring and may affect the groundwater readings.

The DCPT sounding revealed a compact soil below a depth of 3.2 m bgs.

On the basis of the fieldwork, laboratory tests and other pertinent information supplied by the client, the following comments and recommendations are made.

It should be understood that the comments are to be considered preliminary, and should be reviewed by **AA** when detailed designs are finalized.

5.1 Excavations and Dewatering

Excavation of the soils at this site can be carried out using standard hydraulic excavators. We note that based on our subsurface investigation, numerous cobbles/boulders were encountered within the native silty sand, some to trace gravel layer. Removal of the cobbles/boulders may be required if they are interfering with foundation construction at subgrade level.

All excavations must be carried out in accordance with Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA). The sand and gravel fill material and the native silty sand with some to trace gravel above the groundwater table are classified as Type 3 soil and below the groundwater table are classified as Type 4 soil. Slopes of sidewalls in excavations should be cut back at an angle of 1 horizontal to 1 vertical (45 degrees) above the groundwater and at an angle of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical below the groundwater table.

The silty sand some to trace gravel soils positioned below the groundwater table are expected to remain vertical for a short period of time, however if walls are left exposed the soil will begin to crack and splay into the trench. In order to safely and effectively construct an excavation, the groundwater table should be lowered below the proposed base of the excavation.

The groundwater table must be lowered prior to excavating for footing foundations and services.

Based on the results of the grain size analyses, the coefficient of permeability of the silty sand soil is estimated to range between 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁵ cm/second considered to be of medium to low hydraulic conductivity. The groundwater yield from this deposit is expected to be low to moderate. For shallow localized excavations which extend to depths of up to 0.3 m below the groundwater level, dewatering should not be an issue. Where excavations are required to extend more than 0.3 m below the groundwater table, it may be possible to use deep filtered sumps to provide the required dewatering in order to maintain basal stability as well as dry working conditions. The dewatering system should be designed and installed by specialist dewatering contractor experienced in this field.

Where workers must enter excavations, the excavation must be dry and, the excavation side-walls must be suitably sloped and/or braced in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

In the event that the dewatering quantities will exceed 50,000 litres per day it will be necessary to obtain a Permit to Take Water (PTTW).

5.2 Reuse of On-site Excavated Soils as Compacted Backfill

The existing on-site native silty sand, some to trace gravel soil is considered suitable for reuse as backfill material provided any topsoil, organic or other unsuitable materials are excluded from the backfill, and the backfill materials' water content is within 2 percent of its optimum moisture content as determined by Standard Proctor test.

The water contents of the native silty sand, some to trace gravel soil range between 9 and 16 percent; which is close to the materials' optimum moisture content (about 11 percent). Wet soils should be dried sufficiently in order to achieve the specified degree of compaction. Spreading of the material in a wide area and air drying will be required to achieve the specified compaction of the material. The lift thickness for compaction and the water content of the soils must be properly controlled during the backfilling. The silty sand some to trace gravel soils should be effectively compacted with heavy vibratory smooth drum roller.

It is recommended that service trench excavations may be backfilled with on-site suitable native soils such that at least 95% of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) is obtained in the lower zone of the subgrade and 98% of SPMDD for the upper 1 m of the subgrade.

5.3 Foundation Design

The proposed structures within the fuel outlet are the one-storey retail store with no basement level, a gas pump island with overhead canopy, and underground storage tanks (assuming bottom of the tanks is at about 4 m bgs). The subsurface conditions at these locations are represented by Boreholes BH103 and BH104 for the retail store, BH102 and BH109 for the gas pump island, and MW101 for the underground storage tank. Based on the subsurface investigation results and the proposed structures, shallow foundation system appears to be feasible to support the three structures.

5.3.1 Foundations for the Retail Store and Gas Pump Island

The soil profile at the site consists of a surficial topsoil layer underlain by a native silty sand, some to trace gravel soil. The upper layer (about 0.75 m thick) of the silty sand soil deposit is found to be loose, dark brown and contains some organic material; below this upper organic layer the silty sand some to trace gravel soil is generally loose to compact with occasional boulders positioned at random and unpredictable depths. Groundwater is situated at about 1.85 m bgs (or elevation 98.8 m) below the location of the proposed retail store and gas pump island in the vicinity of borehole MW108.

Conventional spread and strip footings may be used to support the proposed retail store and gas pump island. Refer to Section 5.1 Excavations and Dewatering for recommendations pertaining to foundation excavations and dewatering.

The on-site fill material is considered as unsuitable bearing material for the proposed structure. The proposed foundations must be founded on the loose to compact native silty sand with some to trace gravel. Conventional spread and strip footings may be designed for an allowable bearing resistance at Serviceability Limit States (SLS) of 100 kPa, and a factored geotechnical bearing resistances at Ultimate Limit States (ULS) of 150 kPa. Subgrade preparation should include the removal of topsoil, fill material, any weak, softened and disturbed soils. All exterior footings and footings in unheated areas should be provided with at least 1.8 m of soil cover or equivalent artificial thermal insulation for frost protection purposes.

The total and differential settlements of foundations designed in accordance with the bearing resistance values recommended in the above sub-sections should not exceed the conventional limits of 25 mm and 19 mm, respectively.

Due to variations in the consistency of the founding soils and/or softening caused by excavation disturbance and/or seasonal frost effects, all footing subgrade preparation must be witnessed by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placing foundation concrete to ensure that the soil exposed at the excavation base is consistent with the design geotechnical bearing resistance. Larger cobbles or boulders encountered within the excavation base must to be removed.

The foundations of the overhead canopy columns of the gas pump island should be designed to resist uplift forces from wind loads. The recommended ultimate bond stress between the canopy column foundation and the soil is 50 kPa.

5.3.2 Slab-on-Grade

The floor slab for the proposed retail store and gas pump island will be supported on the native silty sand some to trace gravel which is adequate to support a slab-on-grade construction. Subgrade preparation should include the removal of topsoil, fill material, any weak, softened and disturbed soils. After removal of all unsuitable materials, the subgrade should then be proof-rolled with heavy rubber tired equipment. The proof-rolling operation should be witnessed by the Geotechnical Engineer. Any soft or wet subgrade areas which deflect significantly should be sub-excavated and replaced with suitable approved earth fill material compacted to at least 98% of SPMDD.

Where new fill is required to raise the grade, excavated native material from the site may be used, provided the material is free from topsoil, organic or deleterious matter. The fill material should not be frozen and should not be too wet for efficient compaction (moisture content at optimum or 2 percent greater than optimum). The fill placement should not be performed during winter months when freezing temperatures occur persistently or intermittently. All fill placed below the slab on grade areas of the buildings must be placed in thin lifts of 150 mm thickness or less.

It is recommended that a combined moisture barrier and a levelling course, having a minimum thickness of 150 mm and comprised of free draining material using Granular A be provided as a base for the slab-ongrade. Granular materials should meet OPSS 1010 specifications. The base material should be compacted to 98 percent of its SPMDD. Alternatively, 19 mm clear stone (OPSS 1004) may be used and compacted by vibration to a dense state, with filter fabric separating the clear stone and the subgrade soils. Provided the subgrade, under-floor fill and granular base are prepared in accordance with the above recommendations, the Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (Ks) for floor slab design will be 20 MPa/m.

The soils at this site are susceptible to frost effects which would have the potential to deform hard landscaping adjacent to the buildings. At locations where the buildings are expected to have flush entrances, care must be taken in detailing the exterior slabs / sidewalks, providing insulation / drainage / non-frost susceptible backfill to maintain the flush threshold during freezing weather conditions.

Perimeter and under floor drainage will not be required provided that the floor slab of the building is a minimum of 150 mm above the exterior grade.

5.3.3 Foundations for the Underground Storage Tanks

The foundation recommendations for the underground storage tanks are based on the assumption that the bottom of the tanks will be situated at about 4 m bgs. The native sand with some gravel and silt is encountered at this depth and this material is considered as suitable bearing material. A concrete mat foundation appears to be feasible to support the underground storage tanks and to minimize the amount of differential settlement of the foundation. The mat may be designed for an allowable bearing resistance at Serviceability Limit States (SLS) of 200 kPa, and a factored geotechnical bearing resistances at Ultimate Limit States (ULS) of 300 kPa. The Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (Ks) for the mat design will be 20 MPa/m.

5.3.4 Subgrade Protection

The native soils are susceptible to disturbance when wet, so construction scheduling should consider the amount of excavation left exposed to the elements, during foundation preparation.

Rainwater or groundwater seepage entering the foundation excavation must be pumped away (not allowed to pond). The foundation subgrade soils should be protected from freezing, inundation and equipment traffic at all times.

The native soils tend to weather and deteriorate rapidly on exposure to atmosphere or surface water. **AA** recommends that footings placed on the exposed soil should be poured on the same day as they are excavated, after removal of all unsuitable founding materials and approval of the bearing surface. Alternatively, a concrete mud slab could be used to protect a bearing surface where footing construction is to be delayed.

If construction proceeds during freezing weather conditions, adequate temporary frost protection for the footing bases and concrete must be provided.

5.4 Service Trenches

The loose to compact native silty sand some to trace gravel soils would require some improvement in order to provide a suitable support for the pipelines; this may be accomplished by compacting the loose soils to no less than 98 % of SPMDD provided the trench is dry. Alternatively, the granular bedding may be reinforced with a high strength woven geotextile. This should consist of material with a wide width tensile strength of 200kN/m in both directions such as TenCate Geolon® PET 200S or approved equal. The recommended

geotextile should fully enclose the bedding, below the invert of the pipeline.

Watermain positioned to rest on the improved native soils should be restrained at the connection points along the pipeline.

The type of bedding depends mainly on the quality of the subgrade immediately below the invert levels and particularly on the shear strength of the subgrade.

Conventional Class 'B' bedding is recommended for the underground utilities. Bedding materials can be well graded, granular material such as Granular 'A' (sand and gravel) or 19 mm Crusher Run Limestone; all granular materials should meet the OPSS 1010 specifications provided the base of the trench excavation is dry enough to effect compaction. All granular bedding materials must be compacted to at least 98% of SPMDD.

The use of unprotected no-fines material such as "clear stone" or "high performance bedding" for pipe bedding and trench backfill is not recommended for the site. The saturated silty fine sand soils which lie at invert elevation and which will enclose the bedding are expected to invade any no-fines material resulting in subsidence of the adjacent ground.

Pipe bedding and backfill for flexible pipes should be undertaken in accordance with OPSD 802.010. Pipe embedment and cover for rigid pipes should be undertaken in accordance with OPSD 802.030.

Where disturbance of the trench base has occurred, for example as a result of groundwater seepage or construction traffic, the disturbed soils must be sub-excavated and replaced with suitably compacted bedding material.

Sand cover material should be placed as backfill to at least 300 mm above the top of pipe for the full width of the trench excavation. Placement of additional granular material (thickness dictated by the type of compaction equipment) as required or use of smaller compaction equipment for the first few lifts of native material above the pipe will probably be necessary to prevent damage to the pipe during the trench backfill compaction.

The soils used to backfill the utility trenches should be compacted to no less than 95% SPMDD in the lower zone of the subgrade and 98% of SPMDD for the upper 1 m of the subgrade.

In areas of narrow trenches or confined spaces such as around manholes, catchbasins, etc., the use of aggregate fill such as Granular 'B' Type I (OPSS 1010) is required if there is to be post-construction grade integrity.

5.5 Pavement Thickness

We understand that the pavement will be used for parking light vehicles and occasional delivery tractortrailer trucks. The entrances and sections of the pavement should be reconstructed to support these loads.

The condition of the subgrade soils should be improved in order to be considered suitable to support a

conventional pavement structure. Given the frost susceptibility and drainage characteristics of the subgrade soils, the following pavement structure designs are recommended for light and heavy duty pavement structures:

Pavement Layer	Compaction	Light Duty Pavement	Heavy Duty Pavement
	Requirements	Minimum Component Thickness	Minimum Component Thickness
Surface Course	as per	40 mm	50 mm
Asphaltic Concrete	OPSS 310	Hot-Laid HL3	Hot-Laid HL3
Binder Course	as per	40 mm	60 mm
Asphaltic Concrete	OPSS 310	Hot-Laid HL8	Hot-Laid HL8
Granular Base	100% SPMDD*	150 mm Granular 'A' or 19 mm Crusher Run Limestone	150 mm Granular 'A' or 19 mm Crusher Run Limestone
Granular Subbase	100% SPMDD*	200 mm Granular 'B' Type II	400 mm Granular 'B' Type II

 Table No. 1.
 Recommended Asphaltic Concrete Pavement Structure Design

* Note: Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (ASTM-D698).

The subgrade must be compacted to at least 98% of SPMDD for at least the upper 600 mm and 95% below this level. The granular pavement structure materials should be placed in lifts not exceeding 150 mm thick and be compacted to a minimum of 100% SPMDD. Asphaltic concrete materials should be rolled and compacted as per OPSS 310. The granular and asphaltic concrete pavement materials and their placement should conform to OPSS 310, 501, 1010 and 1150, and the pertinent Municipality specifications. Further, it is recommended that the Municipality's specifications should be referred to for use of higher grades of asphalt cement for asphaltic concrete where applicable, particularly in the areas of expected heavy truck traffic.

The long-term performance of the proposed pavement structure is highly dependent upon the subgrade support conditions. Stringent construction control procedures should be maintained to ensure that uniform subgrade moisture and density conditions are achieved. In addition, the need for adequate drainage cannot be over-emphasized. The finished pavement surface and underlying subgrade should be free of depressions and should be crowned and sloped (at minimum of 3% for both the pavement surface and the subgrade) to provide effective drainage. Surface water should not be allowed to pond adjacent to the outside edges of pavement areas. Sub-drains or drainage ditches must be provided to facilitate effective and assured drainage of the pavement structures as required to intercept excess subsurface moisture and minimize subgrade softening. The invert of sub-drains should be maintained at least 0.3 m below subgrade level.

Additional comments on the construction of pavement areas are as follows:

• As part of the subgrade preparation, proposed pavement areas should be stripped of topsoil, unsuitable earth fill, organic soils and other obvious objectionable material. Fill required to raise the

grades to design elevations should be free of organic material and at a moisture content which will permit compaction to the specified densities. The subgrade should be properly shaped, crowned, and then proof-rolled. Soft or spongy subgrade areas should be sub-excavated and properly replaced with suitable approved backfill compacted to 98% of SPMDD.

- The most severe loading conditions on pavement areas and the subgrade may occur during construction during wet and un-drained conditions. Consequently, special provisions such as restricted lanes, half-loads during paving etc., may be required, especially if construction is carried out during unfavorable weather.
 - Proof-rolling of the subgrade must be carried out and witnessed by **AA** personnel for final recommendations of sub-base thicknesses.

5.6 Septic System

It is our understanding that a septic bed is to be installed in the vicinity of boreholes MW107 and BH105 located within the southern portion of the site. The soil located within the boreholes is native silty sand with trace gravel. Groundwater level is at about 0.3 m bgs; this corresponds to an elevation of about elevation 101.5 m.

To determine the Coefficient of Permeability (k), soil samples were selected for grain size analysis from depths ranging from 2.3-2.9 m bgs in BH 105 (sample 3) and 1.5-1.9 m bgs in MW107 (sample 2). The grain size analysis carried out on BH105 sample 3 and MW107 sample 2 classified the soil samples as SM (Silty sands, silt sand mixtures) based on the Unified Soil Classification; the result of these tests are presented in appendix D as Figure No. F4G and F5G. The grain size analysis was carried out in accordance with ASTM D422.

We were able to calculate an approximate coefficient of permeability k, based on the D₁₀ value determined from the grain size analysis. The percolation times are estimated based on the Unified Soil Classification and the empirical charts provided in the Ontario Building Code's MMAH Supplementary Standard SB-6 Percolation Time and Soil Descriptions.

The table below provides an approximate coefficient of permeability and estimated percolation time for BH105 sample 3 and MW107 sample 2.

Sample Number	Approximate Coefficient of Permeability (k)	Estimated Percolation Time based on Unified Soil Classification (Percolation Time T-mins/cm)	Comments
BH105-3	K= 10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻⁵ cm/s	8 to 20	Medium to low permeability
MW107-2	K= 10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻⁵ cm/s	8 to 20	Medium to low permeability

5.7 Earthquake Design Parameters

The Ontario Building Code (2012) stipulates the methodology for earthquake design analysis, as set out in Subsection 4.18.7. The determination of the type of analysis is predicated on the importance of the structure,

the spectral response acceleration and the site classification.

The parameters for determination of the Site Classification for Seismic Site Response are set out in Table 4.1.8.4.A of the Ontario Building Code (2012). The classification is based on the determination of the average shear wave velocity in the top 30 meters of the site stratigraphy, where shear wave velocity (Vs) measurements have been taken. In the absence of such measurements, the classification is estimated on the basis of empirical analysis of undrained shear strength or penetration resistance. The applicable penetration resistance is that which has been corrected to a rod energy efficiency of 60 percent of the theoretical maximum or the (N₆₀) value.

Based on the borehole information and the DCPT sounding, the subsurface stratigraphy generally comprises of a loose to compact native silty sand some to trace gravel becoming dense below a depth of 9.5 m bgs. Based on the above, the site designation for seismic analysis is Class D according to Table 4.1.8.4.A from the quoted code.

The site specific 5 percent damped spectral acceleration coefficients, and the peak ground acceleration factors are provided in the 2012 Ontario Building Code - Supplementary Standard SB-1 (August 15, 2006), Table 1.2, Ottawa, Ontario.

LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

The Limitations of Report, as quoted in Appendix 'A', are an integral part of this report.

alston associates

6

A division of Terrapex Environmental Ltd.

Ruchal Jesso

Prepared by: Rachel Herzog, C.Tech Geotechnical Technician

Jeffrey K. Au, P.E. Project Manager



Vic Nersesian, P. Eng. Vice President, Geotechnical Services

APPENDIX A LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

limitations of report

The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on information determined at the inspection locations. Soil and groundwater conditions between and beyond the test holes may differ from those encountered at the test hole locations, and conditions may become apparent during construction which could not be detected or anticipated at the time of the soil investigation.

The design recommendations given in this report are applicable only to the project described in the text, and then only if constructed substantially in accordance with details of alignment and elevations stated in the report. Since all details of the design may not be known to us, in our analysis certain assumptions had to be made as set out in this report. The actual conditions may, however, vary from those assumed, in which case changes and modifications may be required to our recommendations.

This report was prepared for Parkland Fuel Corporation by Alston Associates. The material in it reflects Alston Associates judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a Third Party makes of this report, or any reliance on decisions which the Third Party may make based on it, are the sole responsibility of such Third Parties.

We recommend, therefore, that we be retained during the final design stage to review the design drawings and to verify that they are consistent with our recommendations or the assumptions made in our analysis. We recommend also that we be retained during construction to confirm that the subsurface conditions throughout the site do not deviate materially from those encountered in the test holes. In cases where these recommendations are not followed, the company's responsibility is limited to accurately interpreting the conditions encountered at the test holes, only.

The comments given in this report on potential construction problems and possible methods are intended for the guidance of the design engineer, only. The number of inspection locations may not be sufficient to determine all the factors that may affect construction methods and costs. The contractors bidding on this project or undertaking the construction should, therefore, make their own interpretation of the factual information presented and draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect their work.

APPENDIX B FIGURE 1: BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN



APPENDIX C

BOREHOLE LOGS AND DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST RESULTS

CLI	ENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation		N	1ETHOI	D: 5	Split	Spo	oon	Sa	mpli	ing								NI	N	
PR	DJEC	CT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive		P	ROJEC	TE	NGI	NEE	R: \	Vic	E	ELE	V. (m	ı) 1	00.	529			<u>31</u>	N		
						NG:	04	482	60					3: 5	5000 IE)463	3					
SAI		AUGER	DRIVEN				Shea	G r Stre	ength		וזע	NAN V	Vater									SPLIT SPOOR
GWL (m)	IL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		PTH (m)	EVATION (m	4	0 8 N Blow	(kPa) 0 12 -Valu s/300	0 16 e	0	•	C	onten (%) W.C.	t 11		MPLE NO.	MPLE TYPE	T(N)	(mqq) V	ll setruction		REMARKS
	So	•		B		2	0 4	<u>0 6(</u>	<u>) 80</u>)	20	0 4	<u>0 60</u>	80)	SAI	SAI	SP	Ś	Šč		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100 mm Asphaltic Concrete Augured through frost to 0.61	e Im	0.5	100.5 _ - - 100 _																occasio encount	nal boulders ered in borehole
				1	- - 99.5 —	2	1				13					1		21	<10			
Ŧ				1.5	- - 99 -		11				6					2		11	<10			
-		compact, moist, brown sandy gravel	-	2	98.5 -	22					11 •					3		22	<10			
		(FILL)		2.5	- 98 - -	3	4				8					4		34	<10			
			-	3	97.5						10					-		10	10			
				3.5	97						10					Э		19	<10			
			-	4	96.5 - - -	30					•					6		30	<10			
		compact SAND some gravel	brown	4.5	96 - - -	ſ	15				11 •					7		15	<10			
		some silt	wet grey	5	95.5 -	٤					11 •					8		8	<10		Sample laborato BTEX a	8 submittted for ry analysis for nd PHC (F1-F4)
		loose	-	5.5	95	6					9					9		6	<10			
		END OF BOREHOLE		0	94.5 -															┢╘═	4	
1																						
\vdash											V. 1	ᄆᄓ			<u>יס</u> ח	1 1 16					V 26 20	18
							_	RE	VIEV	VEC	BY	: V	N		Pag		of 1		rei	Jiua	y ∠0, ∠0	10

CLIENT: Parkland Fuel Corporation	METHO	DD: S	Split	Spo	oon S	Sar	npli	ng								N .	
PROJECT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive	PROJE	ECT EI	NGI	NEE	R: V	'ic	E	ELE)	V. (m	n) 1	00.3	392			3 H	NC	D.: BH102
LOCATION: Kars, Ontario	NORTH	HING:					E	AS	TINC) :		-	-	PF	ROJEC	T NO	D.: CB1057.00
SAMPLE TYPE AUGER DRIVEN			RIN	G r Stre	nath		DYI		AIC C	CON	E			SHE	LBY I		
GWL SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (m) ELEVATION (m)	4((E	0 8 N Blow	(kPa) 0 12 -Valu s/300 0 60	0 160 e Imm)) 80		• • 20	C C PL \) 4(W.C.	t LL) 80)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
50 mm Asphaltic Concrete Augured through frost to 0.60 m	100	-															150 mm of Granular material measured underside of pavement occasional boulders
compact, very moist, brown sand and gravel -1 (FILL)	99.5 99	14 14					6					1		14	<10		encountered in borehole.
loose, moist, brownish black sand some silt trace organics (FILL)	.5 98.5	- - - - - - - - -					17					2		7	<10		Sample 2 was submitted for laboratory analysis for pH and Soluable. Sulphate analysis.
loose vet -2	.5 98 97.5							34 •				3		4	<10		
moist -3	.5 97	21	•				16					4		21	<10		
compact SILTY SAND -4 trace embedded gravel brown -4	96.5		12				12					5		12	<10		Sample 5 was submitted for laboratory analysis for BTEX and PHC's F1-F4
	95.5	26					11					6		26	<10		
	95 94.5		13				11					7		13	<10		
											DRI	LLIN	IG [DATE	E: Feb	oruary	y 26, 2018
				RE\	/IEW	ΈD	BY	: V	N		Pag	e 1 d	of 1				

CLIENT: Parkland Fuel Corporation	METH	HOD: Sp	olit Sp	oon S	Sam	oling								. DU402
PROJECT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive	PROJ	JECT EN	GINE	ER: V	ic	ELE	V. (m)					<u>5H</u>	NC	D.: BH103
LOCATION: Kars, Ontario	NORT	THING:		_		EAS	TING:		_		PF	ROJEC	T NO	.: CB1057.00
SAMPLE TYPE AUGER DRIVEN			ING	enath	D	YNAN	AIC CO	NE		:	SHEL	_BY		
	DEPTH (m) ELEVATION (m)	40 (Bl	(kPa 80 1 N-Val lows/30	ue 20 160 ue 00mm)	-	C	water ontent (%) W.C. L	L 80	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
100 mm Topsoil	5													102 mm of Topsoil measured in Borehole
loose, wet, dark brown SILTY SAND some organics		4				24			1		4	<10		occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
	.5		56		9				2		56	<10		Sample 2 was submitted for laboratory analysis for pH and Soluable. Sulphate analysis.
-2 moist, brown SILTY SAND	.5		46		9				3		46	<10		
gravel	.5	1	4		1	1			4		14	<10		Sample 4 was submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX and PHC's (F1- F2).
			17		1	1			5		17	<10		Auger refusal at 2.9 and 4.42 m on possible boulders.
				GGEE) BY:	RH		DR			DATE	: Feb	Druaty	(26, 2018
			RE	VIEW	ED B	KH SY: V	N	Pag	je 1 o	of 1		. rec	nuary	/ 20, 2018

CLIENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation	METHO	D: 5	Split	Sp	oon	Sai	mpl	ing						T				
PROJEC	T: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive		PROJE	CT E	NGI	NEE	R:	Vic	E	ELE	V. (n	n) 1	00.	651			<u>3H</u>	N	D.: BH104
LOCATIO	DN: Kars, Ontario		NORTH	ING:					E	EAS	TINC	G:		_	-	PF	ROJEC	T NC	D.: CB1057.00
SAMPLE	TYPE AUGER DRIVE	N		CO	RIN	G			DY	NAN	AIC C		NE I			SHE	LBY		
Soll SYMBOL B (B) B	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	4	0 8 0 8 Blow	(kPa) (kPa) -Valu (s/30)	20 16 20 16 Je 0mm 0 8	60) 0	• ▲	V C PL V 0 4	water onten (%) W.C. 0 60	nt LL <u>2 8</u>	0	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
	Topsoil at surface Augured through frost to 0.76 m	- 0.5	100.5 - 100 -																occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
	loose moist, dark brown sand some silt compact to some organics	- - 1 - - - - 1.5	99.5 -	6										1		6	<10		Sample 1 was submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, PHC's F1-F2 and pH.
	dense (FILL)	-	99 -		Ę	56 x								2		56	<10		on possible boulders.
	END OF BOREHOLE					LO	GGE	D B	Y:	RH			DRI		JG [DATE	E: Fet	pruary	y 26, 2018
						RE	VIE\	NED	BA	: V	N		Pag	je 1	ot 1				

CLIENT: Parkland Fuel Corporation	Ν	VETHO	D: S	Split	Spo	oon	Sai	mpli	ng						┤┍			
PROJECT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive	F	PROJEC	T EI	NGII	NEE	R: \	Vic	E	ELE	V. (n	n) 9	99.3	06			3 H	NC	D.: BH105
LOCATION: Kars, Ontario	1		NG:			_		E	AS	TIN	G:		-	-	P	ROJEC	T NO	.: CB1057.00
SAMPLE TYPE AUGER DRIVE	N T			RIN(G r Stre	nath		DYI	NAN			NE			SHE	LBY I		
	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	4((I	0 8(N- Blow: 0 4(kPa) 0 12 Valu s/300	20 16 ie Dmm) 0 80	i0))	• ▲ 20	C PL 1 D 41	onter (%) W.C.	ht LL 0 8	0	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
Topsoil at surface Augured through frost to 0.75 m	0	99 -																occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
compact, moist, brown SILTY SAND trace organics	1 - - 1 - -	98.5		30 ▲									1		30	<10		
compact, moist, brown	- 1.5 - - - - 2	97.5 - - -	30	, ,									2		30	<10		
SILTY SAND some embedded gravel	- 2.5	97 - 		11									3		11	<10		Sample 3 was submitted for laboratory analysis for BTEX and PHC's F1-F4.
	- 3 - - - 3.5	96 -	26										4		26	<10		
																		(26.2018
				╞	RE	JGE	ט B VED	BY: BY	кн : V	N	_	DR Pac	je 1	of 1	JATE	=: ⊢et	oruary	y 20, 2018

CLIE	ENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation	METHO	D: \$	Split	Sp	oon	Sa	mpli	ng				_		ьп		- M\M/106	
		1: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive	H			NGI	NEE	:R:	VIC			/. (m)	101	.615	5				
SAN							403 C	200						044	2				
GWL (m)		SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	4	Shea 10 8 N (Blow 20 4	r Stre (kPa) 0 12 -Valu /s/300	20 16 20 16 1e 0mm	50) 0	• • 20	PL V	Vater ontent (%) W.C.		SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
		Augured through frost to 0.75 m	0	101.5 - 101 -					-										occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
		loose, moist, brown SAND trace organics	- - - - -	100.5 -	6					12				1		6	<10		
▼ I:		loose	- 1.5	100 - 99.5 -	4	3				9				2		8	<10		
		compact	- 2.5	99 -	22					11				3		22	<10		
		 loose moist, brown SILTY SAND	- 3	98.5 - 98 -	7	7				12				4		7	<10		Sample 4 submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, PHC's F1-F4 and VOC's.
		trace embedded gravel compact brownish grey	-4	97.5 -		13				11 •				5		13	<10		
			- 4.3	97 - 96.5 -	2									6		2	<10		
		loose grey	- 5.5	96 -	2					12				7		2	<10		
		END OF BOREHOLE																	
								GGE	-D B	Y. 1	24				NG		Fa		y 22 2018
						ŀ	RE	VIE	NEL	BY	: VI	N	Pa	ge 1	of 1				,,,,
											. vi	•	' ^a	90 '	511				

CLIENT: Parkland Fuel Corporation	NETHO	D: Sp	lit Sp	oon	San	npling								NI		
PROJECT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive	F	PROJEC	T ENC	GINE	ER: V	/ic	ELE	EV. (n	n) 1	01.	741			<u>3H</u>	N	$\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}1\mathbf{U}7$
	r		NG: ()448:	315		EA	STING	G: 5	000	0409) 			CT NC	D.: CB1057.00
SAMPLE TYPE AUGER DRIVER			She	NG ear Sti	ength		DYNA	Water	JON		_		SHE	LBY		
	EPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m	40 (Blo	(kPa 80 1 N-Val ows/30	i) <u>20 160</u> ue 10mm))	PL	Conter (%) W.C.	nt LL		AMPLE NO.	AMPLE TYPE	PT(N)	SV (ppm)	Vell	REMARKS
Topsoil at surface Augured through frost to 0.76 m	0	101.5	20	40 (<u>60 80</u>			40 60	0 80)	05	05	0	0		occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
dense, moist, brown	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	101	5	39 0+							1 2		39 50+	<10		
SILTY SAND some embedded gravel	-2.5	99.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5	0- 🔺							3		50+	<10		Sample 3 submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX and PHC's F1-F4.
	- - - - - 3.5	98.5 -	5	0+▲							4		50+	<10		Auger Refusal at 3.7 m bgs, on possible boulders.
				LC RE		שים ED/	Y: RH BY: \	I /N		DRII Pag	e 1 d	ig E of 1	DATE	:: Feb	oruar	y 22, 2018

CLI	ENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation		METHO	D: S	plit S	Spo	on S	amp	oling							ы	NL	<u> </u>	1/1/100
PR		CT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive						R: Vie	;	ELE	V. (r	n) ´	100	.643	-					
						044	827	/0		EAS	STIN	G: t	500	0428	8					
SAI					s	hear	s Strer	ngth			Water	r	NE			SHE			╷╴╨	SPLIT SPOON
GWL (m)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m	40 (E 20	(k <u>80</u> N-V 3lows/ <u>40</u>	Pa) <u>120</u> /alue /300r <u>60</u>) 160 ; mm) <u>80</u>		C PL 2 <u>0 4</u>	onter (%) W.C.	nt LL <u>0 8</u>	0	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction		REMARKS
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Topsoil at surface Augured to 0.76 m bgs.	_ 0 - - - 0.5	100.5 - - - 100 -										1					occasic encoun	onal boulders tered in borehole.
		dense, moist, brown SAND and SILT trace organics trace gravel	- - - - - - - - -	99.5 -			53							2		53	<10			
Ŧ	iiii MM	loose, moist, dark brown TOPSOIL		99 -	5									3A		5	<10			
			-2	98.5 -										3B			<10			
			- 2.5	98 -	34	1								4		34	<10		Auger r possibl	refusal at 2.89, on e boulder.
		loose, moist brown	- 3.5	97.5 - - - 97 -		1								5		11	<10			
		SILTY SAND trace embedded gravel	- - - - - -	96.5 -	5									6		5	<10			
			- 4.5 - - - - - 5	96 -	8									7		8	<10		Sample for labo BTEX a and VC	7 was submitted pratory analysis of and PHC's F1-F4 OC's.
		wet grey	- - - - -	95-	▲ 9									8A 8B		9	<10 <10		MW108	3-17 is a duplicate.
		END OF BOREHOLE																		
1		aiston associate	25 APEY			H			דים: P P	κΠ γ· \/	/NI		Par	10 1 ·			. ге	nual	y 22, 20	010
			nrex						υВ	r: V	IN		гaç	je i (UI I					

LICATION: Kens, Ontario SAMPLETYPE JUGER ORIVEN CONTOURNOUS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRUCT PROVIDED TO THE STRUCT PROVIDENT OF THE STRUCT PROVIDENT		ENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation		METHO	D: 5	Split NGI	Spo	oon :R· \	Sar /ic	mpl	ing	V (n	n) 1	01	051		F	зн	No	5 · BH109A
SAMPLE TYPE AUGER DRVEN CONNC DMAME CORE BHELBY BPLIT SPON 000 101 100 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1000 100 100 100 1000 100 100 100 1	LOC		DN: Kars. Ontario		NORTH	NG:				10	-			<u>чу ч</u> Эч	101.	001		PF	ROJEC		D.: CB1057.00
Bit with the second back of the se	SAN	/PLE		N	Ν	CO	RIN	G			DY				JE	Г		SHE	LBY		
50 mm of Asphalic Concrete Aurgured through froat to 0.76 m. -0.5 100.5 -0.5 00.5 -0.5 00.6 -1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 <	GWL (m)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	4	Shea 10 8 N (Blow 20 4	r Stre (kPa) 0 12 -Valu rs/300	20 16 20 16 1e 0mm)	0	•	C C PL	Water onter (%) W.C.	LL	0	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
compact.moist.brown send and gravelin (FILL) 1 1 1 1 1 Auger Retusal at 1.35 m on possible boulder. END OF BOREHOLE Image: Im		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50 mm of Asphaltic Concrete Aurgured through frost to 0.76 m.	0	101 -							<u> </u>		<u> </u>	0						occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
			compact, moist, brown sand and gravel (FILL) END OF BOREHOLE	- - - 1 - -	100 -	16	5									1		16	<10		Auger Refusal at 1.35 m on possible boulder.
			END OF BOREHOLE					LOO	GGE	DB	TY:	BH			DR				: Fel		y 26. 2018
REVIEWED BY: VN Page 1 of 1							ŀ	RE	VIEV	VED	BY	: V	N	\neg	Pag	je 1 (of 1	1 6		, aur	, _0, _0 10

CLIENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation		METHO	D: 5	Split	Sp	oon	Sa	mpl	ing						╎┍			
PROJEC	T: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive		PROJE	CT E	NGI	NEE	R:	Vic	E	ELE	V. (n	n) 1	01.	051		╞	3H	NC	D.: BH109B
LOCATIO	DN: Kars, Ontario		NORTH	ING:					E	EAS	TIN	G:		_		P	ROJEC	T NO	D.: CB1057.00
SAMPLE	TYPE AUGER DRIV	/EN			RIN	G	onath		DY	NAN			۱E ۱E			SHE	LBY		
SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	4	0 8 N Blow	(kPa) 0 12 -Valu /s/300	20 16 20 16 Je 0mm) 0 80	0	•	C PL 0 4	0nter (%) W.C.	nt LL D 80	0	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
	Asphalt at surface, Augured to 1.5 m	- 0.5	101 - 100.5 - 100 -																occasional boulders encountered in borehole.
	compact, moist brown SILTY SAND trace embedded gravel	- 2	99.5 - 99 - 98.5 -	11 A 20										1		11 20	<10 <10		Sample 2 was submitted for laboratory analysis for BTEX and PHC F1-F4. Duplicate was taken.
		- 3.5	98 - 97.5 -		18									3		18	<10		Auger refusal at 3.7 m on possible boulder.
					ļ	LO	GGE	DB	SY:	RH			DRI	ILLIN	IG [DATE	E: Feb	oruary	y 26, 2018
1						RE	VIEV	VEC) BY	: V	N		Pag	je 1	of 1				

CLIENT: Parkland Fuel Corporation	METHOD	: Dyn	amic Cone	Penetrat	tion Test		Б		. DU440
PROJECT: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive	PROJECT		INEER: Vic	ELEV.	(m)		ВП		D.: BH110
				EASTI					
SAMPLE ITPE AUGER DRIVEN		Shea	ar Strength	Wa	ater				
GWL SOIL DESCRIPTION	БЕРТН (m) ELEVATION (п	40 8 N (Blov 20 4	(kPa) 80 120 160 N-Value ws/300mm) 40 60 80	Con (% PL W. 20 40	itent %) .C. LL 60 80	SAMPLE NO. SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N) CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
Augured to 3.1	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	10 9 13 16 18 17 15 20 28 46 43 47 39 4					10 9 13 16 17 18 17 15 20 26 70 28 60 43 47 39 56		
			LOGGED B	Y: RH BY: VN	DR Pa	RILLING E	DATE: F	ebruar	y 23, 2018

CLI	ENT:	Parkland Fuel Corporation		MET	HOD:	Dyn	amic	: Con	e P	enetr	atior	n Tes	t					
PR	DJEC	T: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive		PRO	JECT	ENGI	NEE	R: Vi	с	ELE	V. (m)				3H	NC	D.: BH110
LO	CATIC	DN: Kars, Ontario		NOR): 				EAS	TING	6:		_	PF	ROJEC	T NO	.: CB1057.00
SAI	/PLE	TYPE AUGER	DRIVEN				G	nath	D	YNAN		ONE		3	SHEI	LBY		
GWL (m)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION				40 8 N (Blow 20 4	(kPa) (kPa) -Valu /s/300	0 160 e)mm) 0 80	-	C ▲ PL 20 4	W.C.	t LL 80	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE TYPE	SPT(N)	CSV (ppm)	Well Construction	REMARKS
			- 1 - - - - - - 1	0				5 A 78 A 83 A							75 78 83			
		END OF BOREHOLE																
							LOC	GGED	BY:	RH	· · · · ·	DI	RILLI	NG E	DATE	: Feb	oruary	y 23, 2018
1							RE	/IEWE	D B	8Y: V	N	Pa	age 2	of 2				

APPENDIX D LABORATORY TEST RESULTS







Tested By: RH



Tested By: RH



Tested By: RH

APPENDIX E

CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL SOIL TEST RESULTS



Your P.O. #: PIONEER Your Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your C.O.C. #: 650870-05-01

Attention: Geoff Lussier

Terrapex Environmental Ltd 920 Brant St. Suite 16 Burlington, ON Canada L7R 4J1

> Report Date: 2018/03/05 Report #: R5029583 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

MAXXAM JOB #: B842304 Received: 2018/02/23, 15:05

Sample Matrix: Soil # Samples Received: 2

		Date	Date		
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
pH CaCl2 EXTRACT (1)	2	2018/03/02	2018/03/02	CAM SOP-00413	EPA 9045 D m
Sulphate (20:1 Extract) (1)	2	N/A	2018/03/02	CAM SOP-00464	EPA 375.4 m

Remarks:

Maxxam Analytics' laboratories are accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by Maxxam are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MDDELCC, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in Maxxam's profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and Maxxam in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are reported; unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected.

Maxxam Analytics' liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Maxxam has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by Maxxam, unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested.

This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

(1) This test was performed by Maxxam Analytics Mississauga



Your P.O. #: PIONEER Your Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your C.O.C. #: 650870-05-01

Attention: Geoff Lussier

Terrapex Environmental Ltd 920 Brant St. Suite 16 Burlington, ON Canada L7R 4J1

> Report Date: 2018/03/05 Report #: R5029583 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

MAXXAM JOB #: B842304 Received: 2018/02/23, 15:05

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Augustyna Dobosz, Project Manager Email: ADobosz@maxxam.ca Phone# (905)817-5700 Ext:5798

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Terrapex Environmental Ltd Client Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your P.O. #: PIONEER

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SOIL

Maxxam ID		GDL933	GDL934		GDL934		
Sampling Date		2018/02/21 13:00	2018/02/21 14:00		2018/02/21 14:00		
COC Number		650870-05-01	650870-05-01		650870-05-01		
	UNITS	MW102 SAMPLE 4	BH103 SAMPLE 2	QC Batch	BH103 SAMPLE 2 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics							
Available (CaCl2) pH	рН	7.85	7.93	5422743			
Soluble (20:1) Sulphate (SO4)	ug/g	54	54	5420892	42	20	5420892
RDL = Reportable Detection Lir	nit						
QC Batch = Quality Control Bat	ch						
Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated	Duplica	te					



Terrapex Environmental Ltd Client Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your P.O. #: PIONEER

TEST SUMMARY

Maxxam ID: Sample ID:	GDL933 MW102 SAMPLE 4					Collected: Shipped:	2018/02/21
Matrix:	Soil					Received:	2018/02/23
Test Description		Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst	
pH CaCl2 EXTRACT		AT	5422743	2018/03/02	2018/03/02	Tahir Anw	ar
Sulphate (20:1 Extract)		KONE/EC	5420892	N/A	2018/03/02	Alina Dobr	eanu
Maxxam ID: Sample ID: Matrix:	GDL934 BH103 SAMPLE 2 Soil					Collected: Shipped: Received:	2018/02/21 2018/02/23
Test Description		Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst	
pH CaCl2 EXTRACT		AT	5422743	2018/03/02	2018/03/02	Tahir Anw	ar
Sulphate (20:1 Extract)		KONE/EC	5420892	N/A	2018/03/02	Alina Dobr	reanu
Maxxam ID: Sample ID: Matrix:	GDL934 Dup BH103 SAMPLE 2 Soil					Collected: Shipped: Received:	2018/02/21 2018/02/23
Test Description		Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst	
Sulphate (20:1 Extract)		KONE/EC	5420892	N/A	2018/03/02	Alina Dobr	reanu



Terrapex Environmental Ltd Client Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your P.O. #: PIONEER

GENERAL COMMENTS

Each temperature is the average of up to three cooler temperatures taken at receipt

Package 1 0.0°C

Results relate only to the items tested.



Terrapex Environmental Ltd Client Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your P.O. #: PIONEER

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

QA/QC								
Batch	Init	QC Type	Parameter	Date Analyzed	Value	Recovery	UNITS	QC Limits
5420892	ADB	Matrix Spike [GDL934-01]	Soluble (20:1) Sulphate (SO4)	2018/03/02		NC	%	70 - 130
5420892	ADB	Spiked Blank	Soluble (20:1) Sulphate (SO4)	2018/03/02		103	%	70 - 130
5420892	ADB	Method Blank	Soluble (20:1) Sulphate (SO4)	2018/03/02	<20		ug/g	
5420892	ADB	RPD [GDL934-01]	Soluble (20:1) Sulphate (SO4)	2018/03/02	25		%	35
5422743	TA1	Spiked Blank	Available (CaCl2) pH	2018/03/02		100	%	97 - 103
5422743	TA1	RPD	Available (CaCl2) pH	2018/03/02	0.22		%	N/A

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Matrix Spike): The recovery in the matrix spike was not calculated. The relative difference between the concentration in the parent sample and the spike amount was too small to permit a reliable recovery calculation (matrix spike concentration was less than the native sample concentration)



Terrapex Environmental Ltd Client Project #: CB1057.00 Site Location: 1622 Roger Stevens Drive Your P.O. #: PIONEER

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

austin Camere

Cristina Carriere, Scientific Service Specialist

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

		INVOICE TO:			REP	ORT TO:	100000			-		PROIE	CTINEOP	MATION			1	Laborate at 11	Page of
ompanu	Name #30396 Parkla	ind Industries Ltd	0	#1968	4 Terrapex	Environment	tal I td		11510			B764	11	MANON:				Laboratory Use C	nly:
tention	Retail Invoices		Compa	Geoff	ussier	environment		-		Quotation	1#:	B/31	31					Maxxam Job #:	Bottle Order #:
Idress.	4919-59th St Si	uite 100	Attentio	920 B	ant St. Suite	16	10000			P.O. #.	. 41	CBIC	57 00	_			-		
	Red Deer AB T	4N 6C9	Address	Burling	ton ON L7R	4J1		-		Project:		Da	101	a.d	Kar	1	1	000 #	650870
et	(403) 357-6400	x Fax (403) 356-	3015 x Tel	(905)	32-5939 x22	8 Fax				Site #	ame.	1622	Roger S	Stevens	Drive		II II II II II II		Project Manager.
mail:	emilie.price@pa	arkland.ca, victoria.pianarosa@	parkland. Email:	g.lussi	er@terrapex.	com	W.	b		Sampled	By	Gro	1 5	train	n	-		C#6E0970 OF OI	Augustyna Dobosz
MO	EREGULATED DRINKI	IG WATER OR WATER INTEN	ED FOR HUMAN	CONSUMPTION	MUST BE	1.1.1.1.1		0H	AN	ALYSIS RE	QUESTED	(PLEASE	E SPECI	FIC)	-			Tumamund Time (TAT) Re	nuired:
	SUBMITTED	ON THE MAXXAM DRINKING	WATER CHAIN OF	CUSTODY			4	light	hate		2.94.7			e		\$	a te Jar	Please provide advance notice for	rush projects
R	egulation 153 (2011)	Other Regu	lations	Special I	structions	ircle		12	Sulp	(ys)	1. A.			loka		Sil	Regular (St	andard) TAT:	5
Table '	Res/Park Media	um/Fine CCME Sanitary	Sewer Bylaw	1.0		r <l< td=""><td></td><td>F2-F</td><td>and</td><td>%c</td><td><i>a</i></td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td></td><td>Orga</td><td>Standard TAT</td><td>IT Rush TAT is not specified):</td><td>Ļ</td></l<>		F2-F	and	%c	<i>a</i>			8		Orga	Standard TAT	IT Rush TAT is not specified):	Ļ
Table 2	Ind/Comm Coars	e Reg 558. Storm S	wer Bylaw			olea:		ons l	etais	%silt				gani	- 2	alle	Please note: St	andard TAT for certain tests such as 30	D and Dioxins/Furans are >
Table :	Agri/Other For R	SC MISA Municipality	·			d) pe		Carb	S M	and,		CT		Inor	PCB	Vola	days - contact y	rour Project Manager for details.	
		D Other				Itere	4	lydro	CPW	(%8		CTRA		CLP	CLP	CLP	Job Specific	Rush TAT (if applies to entire submit	ision)
	lasted- Oritz					Met	THE TO	É É	153	ture		12 E)	tu	1 858	58 1	58.7	Date Required. Rush Confirma	Time	Required:
	Sample Barcode Label	Sample (Location) Identification		Time Coursis	Matrix	- <u><u><u></u></u></u>	「「「」	trole	Reg	olf Tex	oistun	CaC	pdys	6ey	ges	seg 5	H of Bettler	(ca)	lab for #)
-	annua narcode capel	Mui) 10.7	Fe hn page	time sampled	Matrix		88	P	Ö	So	W	Hd	Fla	o	0	0.6	# un notires	Commer	ts
		Sumple 4	21 1/10	1:00pm	SOIL	NO	X							10			1		
-		RH102	February				A	-	-						_			22 F.1 10 1	E.0.E
1		Sample 2	AL 2018	2:00pm	SOIL	NO	X										+	23-Feb-18 1	5:05
-		sample o-	011/2010		1000		1/ .		-							-	1	Augustyna Dobos	Z
					SOIL							. 1							
													-					B842304	
					SOIL				-										
					0.011		-	-	-									URE ENV-90	
					SOIL												1	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					801														ATATA
					SOIL													RECEIVED IN OT	AWA
					SOIL					2									
_					JOIL														
					SOIL														
					UUIL														
					SOIL													0 10	
	.5 *			•		·						· ·						Unice	
ň.	2				SOIL	1.1.1													
	PELINOUISHED BY ((anatura/Pulat) Data:			DEGENIER			L											
OU	A Acular 44	essan 18/	17/22 15		RECEIVED	BT: (Signature/	ennty		Date: (YY/M	M/DD)	Tin	ne OE	not su	bmitted	Time Sc	ariti va	Laborator	y Use Only	L Mare L No.
17	TRACHE L M	REED 6 1 101	12123 .0.1	Mar	ana Da	Scon 101	ascon	-	dis IS/10	213	19	105			Thine St	o C	Temperature	(°C) on Recei Present	Tes No
LESS C	THERWISE AGREED TO IN W	RITING WORK SUBMITTED ON THIS CH	AIN DE CUSTODY IS SU	BIECT TO MAXYAN	S STANDARD TO	BWS AND CONT	DITIONE E		102/	24	10	04		-	0,	0,0		Intact	3
CNOWLE	DGMENT AND ACCEPTANCE	OF OUR TERMS WHICH ARE AVAILABL	E FOR VIEWING AT WWW	W.MAXXAM.CA/TER	MS.	AND GUNL	a 10 ko. 5	GINNO (OF THIS GRAIN	OF CUST	JUT DOCU	NENI IS						White	: Maxxa Yellow: Clier
IS THE	ESPONSIBILITY OF THE REL	INQUISHER TO ENSURE THE ACCURAC	Y OF THE CHAIN OF CU	STODY RECORD. A	N INCOMPLETE	CHAIN OF CUSTO	ODY MAY R	ESULTI	N ANALYTICA	L TAT DEL	AYS.			SAMPL	ES MUST	UNTIL	DELIVERY TO MA	FROM TIME OF SAMPLING	
AMPLE	CONTAINER, PRESERVATION	HOLD TIME AND PACKAGE INFORMAT	ION CAN BE VIEWED AT	THTTP://MAXXAM.C	AWP-CONTENT/	UPLOADS/ONTA	RIO-COC.F	PDF.						1					
																	5121	2	