

ENGINEERING



LABORATORY



PHASE II

ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT



851 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO

400 Esna Park Drive, Unit 15 Markham, ON L3R 3K2

Tel: (905) 475-7755 Fax: (905) 475-7718 www.fisherenvironmental.com Project No. FE-P 17-8323 September 12, 2017



Issued to:	Dymon Group of Companies	
Contact:	Bliss Edwards 2-1830 Walkley Road, Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8K3	
Project Name:	Phase II Environmental Site Assessment	
Project Address:	851 Industrial Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K1G 4L3	
Project Number:	FE-P 17-8323	
Issued on:	September 12, 2017	

Project Manager: (Primary Contact)

Lena Zdanowski EPt. Intermediate Environmental Technician lenaz @fisherenvironmental.com

Reviewer:



David Fisher, B.A.Sc., C. Chem., P. Eng. President dave@fisherenvironmental.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1						
2.0.	2.0. INTRODUCTION					
3.0.	3.0. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION 4					
4.0.	4.0. EXISTING REPORTS REVIEW 4					
5.0.	SCOPE OF WORK	5				
6.0.	FIELD PROGRAM	6				
6.1. S	ITE PREPARATION	6				
6.2. B	OREHOLES, SOIL AND GROUNDWATER SAMPLING	6				
6.3. N	ONITORING WELLS PROGRAM	8				
6.4. W	/ELL RECORD FILED WITH THE MOECC1	0				
6.5. S	ITE TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY1	0				
6.6. H	IEAD SPACE COMBUSTIBLE VAPOURS1	1				
6.7. V	ISUAL OLFACTORY SOIL / GROUNDWATER QUALITY1	1				
6.8. S	ELECTION OF ANALYTICAL SAMPLES AND PARAMETERS1	1				
7.0.	LABORATORY PROGRAM1	3				
7.1. G	ieneral1	3				
7.2. D	ATA EVALUATION1	3				
7.2.	.1. Soil and Groundwater Standards1	3				
7.2.	.2. Soil and Groundwater Quality1	4				
7.2.	.3. Metals1	6				
7.2.	.4. Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHC)1	6				
7.2.	.5. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)1	7				
7.2.	.6. pH1	8				
7.2.	.7. Electrical Conductivity (EC)1	8				
7.2.	.8. Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR)1					
7.3. Q	UALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	8				
8.0.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS2	0				
9.0.	LIMITATIONS2	2				
10.0.	QUALIFICATIONS OF ASSESSOR2	3				
11.0.	REFERENCES2	4				
APPEN	DIX A – SITE SPECIFIC DRAWING	4				
APPEN	APPENDIX B – LOGS OF BORHOLESB					



GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

APEC:	Area of Potential Environmental Concern		
asl:	Above Sea Level		
AST:	Aboveground Storage Tank		
bgs:	Below Ground Surface		
BTEX:	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes		
CPC:	Contaminants of Potential Concern		
CSA:	Canadian Standards Association		
DO:	Dissolved Oxygen		
EC:	Electrical Conductivity		
ESA:	Environmental Site Assessment		
FIP:	Fire Insurance Plan		
MOE:	Ministry of the Environment		
MOECC:	Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change		
OHSA:	Occupational Health and Safety Act		
PAH:	Polycyclic Aromatic (Polyaromatic) Hydrocarbons		
PCA:	Potentially Contaminating Activity		
PCB:	Polychlorinated Biphenyls		
pH:	potential of Hydrogen		
PHC (F1-F4):	Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Fractions 1 to 4)		
ppb:	Parts per Billion		
ppm:	Parts per Million		
RSC:	Record of Site Condition		
SAR:	Sodium Absorption Ratio		
UST:	Underground Storage Tank		
VOC:	Volatile Organic Compounds		



1.0. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fisher Environmental Limited (Fisher) was commissioned by Dymon Group of Companies to carry out a Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA) of the property located at 851 Industrial Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, hereinafter referred to as the 'Site'. The subsurface soil and groundwater investigation was carried out between July 24 and 25, 2017.

The Site is located in an industrial area of Ottawa on the east side of Industrial Avenue approximately 1,200 m south of the on ramp of St. Laurent Boulevard and Highway 417. The Site is bounded by commercial and light industrial development to the west, a works yard to the east, commercial development along Industrial Road to the south, and commercial and industrial development to the north. The Site has an area of approximately 0.9 hectares.

A Site building is located along the northeastern portion of the Site. The remaining Site areas consist of asphalt paved parking and landscaped grass and trees along the perimeter of the Site.

In 2004, Pinchin Environmental Ltd. conducted environmental work at the Site for an unknown client. The previous work at the Site included the removal of two fuel USTs from the parking lot area of the Site located immediately east of the Site building. Fisher notes, the report for the previous work conducted at the Site was not provided to Fisher. Fisher received only analytical data and photos of the tanks and surrounding soils. Based on the information gathered, observations made during this investigation, and the documents provided to Fisher, exceedances of PHC Fractions 1, 2 and 3 from two of the samples analyzed were identified and significant staining was observed in the areas surroundings the tanks. Based on these findings, Fisher recommends that a Phase II ESA to be conducted in the vicinity of the former USTs.

In the current investigation, seven (7) boreholes were advanced in the investigated property to depths of up to 7.62 m bgs., and in three (3) of them BH1, BH5, and BH7, monitoring wells were installed to facilitate groundwater level monitoring and sampling.

On the basis of the boreholes completed, the stratigraphy at the investigated areas of the Site generally consists of a layer of fill, extending up to 3.8 m bgs. The fill generally consisted of sand with some gravel. Underlying the fill is brown silty sand and clayey silt with some gravel.

Groundwater static level measurement was taken at the monitoring well locations on July 25, 2017, and it was noted at depths ranging from 1.60 m bgs in BH1 to 3.90 m bgs in BH5. Based



on the topography of the Site and surroundings, groundwater flow is likely to the north to northeast.

A total of fourteen (14) soil and four (4) groundwater samples were submitted to the laboratory for Metals, PHC(F1-F4), VOC, pH, EC and SAR analysis.

For the purpose of this Phase II ESA, the appropriate standards were identified as: Table 3 (Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Groundwater Condition – Industrial/Commercial/Community Property Use for soil samples and All Types of Property Use for groundwater samples, coarse textured soil) as contained in the MOE Soil, Groundwater and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

<u> Findings - Soil</u>

The results of chemical analysis for four (4) of the nine (9) soil samples were found to exceed the applicable MOE Standards. The following exceedances were found:

> BH4 (0.30 – 0.60 m bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-4

Ethyl benzene: 11 ppm vs. 9.5 ppm; F2 (C10-C16): 425 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

> BH4 (0.76 – 1.22) - Lab ID #17-6830-5

Benzene: 1.9 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm; F2 (C10-C16): 549 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

> BH5 (0.30 – 0.60 bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-6

F1 (C6-C10): 60 ppm vs. 55 ppm: F2 (C10-C16): 910 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

> BH5 (0.76 – 1.22) - Lab ID #17-6830-7

Benzene: 1.7 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm; F1 (C6-C10) 75 ppm vs. 55 ppm: F2 (C10-C16): 1342 ppm vs. 230 ppm



Findings - Groundwater

The results of chemical analysis for all four (4) groundwater samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE standards.

Recommendations

Based on the results of the current investigation, it is expected that the historical activities at the Site have impacted the property's soil condition.

The results suggest that all contamination associated with the former on-Site USTs has not been fully removed from the Site.

Fisher recommends further excavation activities in the vicinity of the former tanks and exceedances at the Site.



2.0. INTRODUCTION

Fisher Environmental Limited (Fisher) was commissioned by Dymon Group of Companies to carry out a Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA) of the property located at 851 Industrial Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, hereinafter referred to as the 'Site'. The subsurface soil and groundwater investigation was carried out between July 24 and 25, 2017.

3.0. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Site is located in an industrial area of Ottawa on the east side of Industrial Avenue approximately 1,200 m south of the on ramp of St. Laurent Boulevard and Highway 417. The Site is bounded by commercial and light industrial development to the west, a works yard to the east, commercial development along Industrial Road to the south, and commercial and industrial development to the north. The Site has an area of approximately 0.9 hectares. Please refer to Appendix A for a Site Location Map.

A two-storey commercial building is present along the northwestern portion of the Site. The remaining areas of the Site include asphalt paved parking areas and landscaped grass and trees along the perimeter of the Site.

4.0. EXISTING REPORTS REVIEW

The following previous report was reviewed by Fisher and used as a source of background information:

Report Title:	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, 851 Industrial Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario		
Prepared By:	Fisher Environmental Ltd.		
Date:	August 2017		
Findings and Conclusions			

TABLE 1: PREVIOUS REPORTS



Based on the information gathered and observations made during this investigation, the report revealed evidence of potential environmental contamination associated with the historical presence of USTs along the northern portion of the Site immediately east of the Site building and reported presence of PHC exceedances in the soil within the vicinity of the USTs. It was recommended that a Phase II ESA be conducted at the identified areas of potential environmental concern at the subject property, to determine the location and concentration of potential contaminants in the soil or water on, in or under the phase one property.

5.0. SCOPE OF WORK

The current Phase II ESA was conducted in accordance with the CAN/CSA-Z769-00 standards, as published in March 2000 and reaffirmed in 2013, by the CSA Group.

A Phase II ESA involves sampling and testing of materials considered, usually by the outcome of a Phase I ESA or other investigation, to be possible instances of environmental contamination. The project, as carried out, fulfills the scope of a 'Reconnaissance' type investigation in which conditions are previously unknown, and the aim is to establish whether any environmental contamination is present. Normal environmental assessment protocol reserves a detailed investigation for a subsequent phase if the reconnaissance survey indicates a requirement for further contaminant delineation.

The scope of this work generally consisted of the following:

- Field Program Clearance of underground utilities and advancement of seven
 (7) boreholes to depths of up to 8.20 m or resistance, and installation of three (3) groundwater monitoring wells.
- Laboratory Testing Program Recovery and analysis of selected soil and groundwater samples for Metals, PHC (F1-F4), VOC, EC, SAR, and pH.
- Data Evaluation Comparison of results of chemical analyses with the applicable MOE Standards.
- **Reporting** Provision of final engineering report detailing findings of performed works, and any further recommendations.



As conducted, the present investigation may lack information or analytical work that are specific requirements for filing a Record of Site Condition (RSC) under Part XV.1 of the EPA and Amended O. Reg. 153/04, therefore, if a RSC is necessary, the property owner or its agent should undertake complementary investigations required under the RSC filing process.

6.0. FIELD PROGRAM

The subsurface soil and groundwater investigation (Phase II ESA) was carried out between July 24 and July 26, 2017. The field work was conducted by Sean Fisher of Fisher Environmental Ltd. who directed drilling and sampling operations, and assured proper chain of custody procedures for the recovered soil and groundwater samples.

Seven (7) boreholes were advanced in the investigated property to depths of up to 8.20 m bgs., and in three (3) of them, BH1 and BH5 monitoring wells were installed to facilitate groundwater level monitoring and sampling.

6.1. Site Preparation

Site preparation included the location of public underground services by referring to the respective utilities: Ottawa Hydro, Enbridge Gas, Bell Canada, Public Works, water, sewer and light cables to avoid potential disruptions to the utilities during the drilling. Soil drilling was scheduled following receipt of clearance from all utilities for the given borehole locations.

6.2. Boreholes, Soil and Groundwater Sampling

The borehole locations were selected by an initial rationale as being the most likely locations of contamination. Refer to the attached Site Plan with Borehole and Monitoring Well Locations (Figure 1 in Appendix A) and Table 2 for description of borehole locations rationale.

Seven (7) boreholes were advanced in the investigated property. All borehole were advanced outside within the parking areas. The drilling was carried out using a Diedrich D-50 drilling rig. The boreholes were extended to depths of up to 8.20 m, at which point native material had been reached.

Borehole #	Borehole Location and Reason		
BH1	Evaluate sub-surface soil and groundwater condition in the region of the historical on-Site USTs in relation to potential impacts that may		

TABLE 2: BOREHOLE LOCATION RATIONALE



Borehole #	Borehole Location and Reason			
	have historically and/or currently originated from potential fill materials.			
BH2	Evaluate sub-surface soil condition along the northeastern corner of the Site in relation to potential impacts that may have historically and/or currently originated from on-Site from potential fill materials.			
ВНЗ	Evaluate sub-surface soil condition along the southeastern corner of the Site in relation to potential impacts that may have historically and/or currently originated from potential fill materials.			
BH4	valuate sub-surface soil condition along the central portion of the Site relation to potential impacts that may have historically and/or urrently originated from on-Site from the current on-Site ASTs.			
BH5	Evaluate sub-surface soil and groundwater condition in the region of the historical on-Site pump island in relation to potential impacts that may have historically and/or currently originated from potential fill materials.			
BH6	Evaluate sub-surface soil condition along the southwestern corner of the Site in relation to potential impacts that may have historically and/or currently originated from potential fill materials.			
BH7	Evaluate sub-surface soil and groundwater condition in the region of the Site building in relation to potential impacts that may have historically and/or currently originated from the auto service and maintenance operations.			

Fisher Environmental retains Terra Firma Services as our drilling contractor. Terra Firma Services maintains licensure for drilling (Water Well Drillers, Environmental Protection Act, Well Contractor License No. 6946) as required by the MOECC, and conducted drilling and soil sampling works in accordance with CSA Standard Z769-00 (reaffirmed in 2013) and MOE Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario, and in compliance with Occupational Health and Safety regulations.

The intrusive subsurface investigation was conducted by means of solid stem auger boreholes advancement through the pavement and subsoil, and a 50 mm diameter spoon sampler driven 600 mm into subsoil by a 65 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, collecting soil samples at a maximum of 0.76 m interval and at stratigraphic boundaries.

Soil and groundwater samples were collected and handled in accordance with generally accepted sampling and handling procedures used by the environmental consulting industry. For guidance, these practices rely on the 1996 MOE publication "Guidance on Sampling and



Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario". To minimize the potential for cross contamination between soil samples, the split spoon sampler used to collect soil samples from the boreholes was brushed clean of soil and then washed in municipal water containing phosphate free detergent, rinsed in municipal water and then rinsed with distilled water. As well, new disposable latex gloves and stainless steel spatula were used during each sampling event to remove the soil cores from the sampler and to transfer the samples into plastic bags and/or glass jars.

Through each soil sample, the lithology and esthetic evidence of impacts (debris, staining and odours) were recorded as part of field QC procedures. Additionally each sample was screened in the field for headspace vapour concentration (combustible soil vapour and total organic vapour) using the 10.6 eV lamp Mini Rae 2000 PID calibrated to 100 ppm Isobutylene. The samples were kept out of direct sunlight during field storage and the headspace measurements were made after at least two hours had elapsed since the sample *was bagged and the sample had reached a minimum of 15*°C *temperature*. The headspace monitoring was performed on the samples as a preliminary screening for PHC (F1-F4) or VOC analysis. The headspace readings for all collected soil samples are shown in Table 4.

Selection of samples to be submitted for laboratory analysis are based on the headspace vapour concentration and/or physical evidence of odours/staining. If no odours/staining are noted in the soil samples, the samples with the highest field screening measurement (i.e. highest headspace vapour concentration) are selected for laboratory analysis. Soil samples from the boreholes selected for potential chemical analysis of organic parameters were placed directly into laboratory supplied glass jars at the time of sampling, labeled and packed with minimal headspace. Samples were kept in coolers provided with ice/cold packs during field storage and transportation to Fisher Environmental Laboratories for Metals, PHC(F1-F4), VOC, pH, EC and SAR analysis.

No field duplicate soil samples were submitted to the lab for analysis.

Following sampling, monitoring wells were installed in three (3) boreholes, in accordance to O. Reg. 903.

6.3. Monitoring Wells Program

Three (3) monitoring wells were installed on the subject property. The wells were constructed of 52 mm ID diameter PVC pipes, which were pre-cleaned at the factory and delivered to the Site



in sealed plastic bags. Further construction details of the monitoring wells are provided on the 'Log of Boreholes' attached in Appendix B.

Installed monitoring wells were sampled on July 25, 2017. Prior to sampling, three well volumes of groundwater were purged from each well to ensure the sampling of "fresh" formation water.

Pre-preserved sample containers were used to collect groundwater samples which were labeled, stored in coolers provided with ice/cold packs during field storage and transportation to Fisher Environmental Laboratories for Metals, PHC(F1-F4), VOC, pH, EC and SAR analysis.

Groundwater static level measurement was conducted prior to sampling. The groundwater static level measurements are summarized in Table 3 below.

Location	Well Depth, m bgs	Groundwater Static Level, m bgs (July 25, 2017)	Ground Relative Elevation, m asl	Groundwater Relative Elevation, m asl
BH1	4.60	1.60	99.62	98.02
BH5	6.10	3.20	99.53	96.33
BH7	6.25	3.90	99.87	95.97

TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER STATIC LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

Fisher personnel surveyed the ground surface elevations using the finished floor elevation (FFE) of Bay #4 of the existing building as a temporary benchmark (TBM). The TBM was assigned an arbitrary elevation of 100m.

Groundwater generally flows from areas of high hydraulic head towards areas of low hydraulic head. To assess the direction of groundwater movement, the hydraulic head is measured at each well location. This is accomplished by taking water level measurements and referencing them to a known benchmark to determine their elevation. Water level measurements having higher elevations suggest greater hydraulic head. Conversely, lower elevations of the water table are indicative of a lesser hydraulic head.

Based on surface topography, the local groundwater flow direction is predicted to be to the north to northeast.

The localized shallow groundwater flow direction may be influenced by the presence of underground utilities, building foundation, variations in vertical and horizontal stratigraphy, depth of wells' screened intervals and/or well trauma.



Page 9

6.4. Well Record Filed with the MOECC

The groundwater monitoring installations for this project are regulated under Regulation 903 of the Ontario Water Resources Act. The regulation reveals certain responsibilities on Fisher Environmental and the property owner. As a condition to Fisher Environmental providing groundwater monitoring installation services, our client has accepted responsibility for ensuring that the property owner accepts the following conditions:

- 1. The name and address of the property owner have been provided to Fisher Environmental.
- 2. Fisher Environmental has permission to submit well records to the Ministry and to the owner and to report multiple installations on a single well record.
- Unless otherwise agreed to by Fisher Environmental, installations will be decommissioned by the owner within 180 days of installation. Note that installations greater than 180 days require more costly seals.
- 4. Well tags on installations must not be removed or destroyed.
- 5. The owner is responsible for future decommissioning of all installations in accordance with the regulation.
- 6. The owner is responsible for any expenses associated with controlling and decommissioning installations that have, or may have in the future, artesian conditions.
- 7. Maintenance of well installations in accordance with the regulation will be by the owner. This includes ensuring that seals remain adequate for preventing water or gas migration between formations and to/from surface, seals do not deteriorate and wells are decommissioned.
- 8. The client and owner accept responsibility for the inherent risk associated with industry standard installations, and acknowledge that conditions and materials do not remain constant with time nor that they can be completely quantified or predicted in advance.

6.5. Site Topography and Geology

A topographical map of the area was obtained from Natural Resources Canada - The Atlas of Canada Online Topography map. The topographical map for the Site indicates that the ground



surface elevation in the vicinity of the property is approximately 80 m asl. The Site area is generally flat and at grade with the Site surroundings. Based on surface topography, the local groundwater flow direction is predicted to be north to northwest.

According to the Ontario Geological Survey 2010. Surficial geology of Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release--Data 128-REV the subject Site is situated in an area characterized as having fine textured glaciomarine deposits of silt and clay with minor sand and gravel.

On the basis of the boreholes completed, the stratigraphy at the investigated areas of the Site generally consists of a layer of fill, extending up to 3.8 m bgs. The fill generally consisted of brown sand with some gravel. Underlying the fill is brown silty sand and clayey silt with some gravel. A description of the subsurface conditions encountered at the boreholes locations is presented in Appendix B - Log of Boreholes.

6.6. Head Space Combustible Vapours

A 10.6 eV lamp MiniRae 2000 PID calibrated to 100 ppm Isobutylene was used to measure combustible vapours in the soil samples. Vapour concentrations were read during the soil sampling and all soil samples had concentrations of 10 ppm or less with the exception of the following; BH4 (0.00 - 0.60) 26 ppm, BH4 (0.60 - 1.22) 22 ppm, BH5 (0.00 - 0.60) 71 ppm, and BH5 (0.60 - 1.22) 190 ppm.

6.7. Visual Olfactory Soil / Groundwater Quality

During the borehole-drilling program, the following visual/olfactory observations were made:

PHC odours were noted in BH4 in depths of 0.00 – 2.00 m and in BH5 from 0.00m
 – 2.00 m.

6.8. Selection of Analytical Samples and Parameters

Selection of samples for environmental analysis was based on appearance, headspace vapour concentrations, odour, expectations of Site conditions, and proximity of potential contaminant sources.

Nine (9) soil samples were submitted to the laboratory for Metals, PHC (F1-F4), VOC, and pH. Four (4) groundwater samples were collected from the three newly installed groundwater wells



and one previously installed monitoring well, and were submitted to the laboratory for Metals, PHC (F1-F4) and VOC analysis.

Parameter	Description			
Metals	Various metallic elements can cause adverse environmental effects at relatively low concentrations. Such metals are associated with industrial activities and/or the us of fill materials of unknown quality, both historic and current, and it is commo practice to include Metals analysis in subsurface soil investigations. Nine (9) so and four (4) groundwater samples collected at the Site were submitted for Metal analysis.			
PHC(F1-F4)	PHC are components of gasoline, diesel and other petroleum products for which soil quality guidelines have been developed. These compounds are widely utilized and often included in the evaluation of a Site's overall subsurface condition. Nine (9) soil and four (4) groundwater samples collected at the Site were submitted for PHC (F1-F4) analysis.			
VOC	VOC are any volatile compound of carbon, excluding methane, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides or carbonates, ammonium carbonate, and exempt compounds. VOC are included in gasoline, diesel, crude oil, lubricant, waste oil, adhesive, paint, stain, solvents, resin, monomer, and/or any other material containing VOC. Note that VOC analysis includes Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene (BTEX) parameters. Nine (9) soil and four (4) groundwater samples collected at the Site were submitted for VOC analysis.			
рH	Soil pH is referred to as the "acidity" of the soil. When the soil pH is too "acid" (low pH) or too "alkaline" (high pH), nutrients present in the soil become locked-up or unavailable. Five (5) soil samples collected at the Site were submitted for pH analysis.			
EC	Soil EC is indirectly correlated with various chemical and physical properties of soil and is the ability of any material to conduct an electrical current. Sand has a lower conductivity while clay has a higher conductivity, which is correlated with particle size, soil texture, and water-holding capacity. Five (5) soil samples collected at the Site were submitted for EC analysis.			
SAR	Soil SAR is the ratio of the concentration of sodium in relation to calcium and magnesium, which can be used to assess the potential to cause dispersion in soil. Five (5) soil samples collected at the Site were submitted for SAR analysis.			

TABLE 5: RATIONALE FOR ANALYTICAL PARAMETER



7.0. LABORATORY PROGRAM

7.1. General

Recovered soil and groundwater samples were submitted to Fisher Environmental Laboratories for analysis. As CALA (Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation) registered analytical facility, QA/QC (Quality Assurance/Quality Control) procedures were maintained consistent with CALA requirements and standard laboratory practices. The laboratories ensured that analytical sub-samples were, by appearance, representative of the whole sample as collected in the field.

7.2. Data Evaluation

7.2.1. Soil and Groundwater Standards

The MOE presents Soil and Groundwater Standards, under the Publication "Soil, Groundwater and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act" April 15, 2011. These standards present soil and groundwater criteria, which have been developed with regard to toxicological data. They are levels at and below which no environmental or safety concerns, or adverse conditions, are anticipated for environments or persons with average sensitivity.

The subject property has been used for commercial purposes, and it is our understanding that the property will maintain its current commercial land use.

With regards to the potability status of the groundwater, it is understood that the surrounding area relies on municipal water as a source of drinking water. For the purpose of assessing the soil and groundwater quality at the subject site in accordance to the requirements for site assessment, under Part XV.1 of the EPA and Ontario Regulation 153/04, it is our intention to utilize a non-potable groundwater condition standard.

As specified by O. Reg. 153/04, "coarse textured soil is defined as material having more than 50 percent (by mass) of particles that are 75 μ m or larger in mean diameter. Materials having more than 50 percent (by mass) of particles that are smaller than 75 μ m in mean diameter are medium and fine textured soils." "When at least 1/3 of the soil at the property, measured by volume, consists of coarse textured soil, the standard for coarse textured soil shall apply. In any other case, the standard for medium and fine textured soil may be applied".



A grain size analysis was not completed at the time of the investigation, however, considering the visually identified soil types encountered at the borehole locations, and the distribution of boreholes across the Site, the stricter site condition standards for coarse textured soil have been applied.

For the purpose of this Phase II ESA, the appropriate standards were identified as: Table 3 (Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Groundwater Condition – Industrial/Commercial/Community Property Use for soil samples and All Types of Property Use for groundwater samples, coarse textured soil) as contained in the MOE Soil, Groundwater and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

The criteria values are presented with the results of analysis in the last column of the Certificates of Analysis (Appendix C).

7.2.2. Soil and Groundwater Quality

Nine (9) soil and Four (4) groundwater samples were submitted to the laboratory for Metals, PHC (F1-F4), and VOC analysis. Copies of the Laboratory Certificates of Analysis are provided in Appendix C. Results of the chemical analyses are summarized in Table 6. Refer to the Site Plan with MOE Standards Exceedances (Figure 3 in Appendix A).

Borehole	Sample Depth	Sample #	Parameters Analyzed	Exceedances of April 15, 2011 Table 3 MOE Standards, Industrial/Commercial/ Community Property Use (I/C/C) Groundwater condition
		Soil	l – July 25, 20 ⁻	17
BH1	SS3	17-6830-1	Metals	No Exceedances
			VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	No Exceedances
BH2	SS2	17-6830-2	Metals	No Exceedances
			VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	No Exceedances
BH3	SS1	17-6830-3	Metals	No Exceedances
			VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	No Exceedances



Borehole	Sample Depth	Sample #	Parameters Analyzed	Exceedances of April 15, 2011 Table 3 MOE Standards, Industrial/Commercial/ Community Property Use (I/C/C) Groundwater condition
BH4	0.30 – 0.60 m	17-6830-4	Metals	No Exceedances
			VOC/BTEX	Ethyl benzene: 11 ppm vs. 9.5 ppm;
			PHC	F2 (C10-C16): 425 ppm vs. 230 ppm.
BH4	0.76 – 1.22	17-6830-5	Metals	No Exceedances
			VOC/BTEX	Benzene: 1.9 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm;
			PHC	F2 (C10-C16): 549 ppm vs. 230 ppm.
BH5	0.30 – 0.60 m	17-6830-6	Metals	No Exceedances
			VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	F1 (C6-C10): 60 ppm vs. 55 ppm:
				F2 (C10-C16): 910 ppm vs. 230 ppm.
			BTEX	No Exceedances
BH5	0.76 – 1.22	17-6830-7	Metals	No Exceedances
	m		VOC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	Benzene: 1.7 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm;
			PHC	F1 (C6-C10) 75 ppm vs. 55 ppm:
				F2 (C10-C16): 1342 ppm vs. 230 ppm
BH6	0.76 – 1.22	17-6830-8	Metals	No Exceedances
	m		VOCs	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
				No Exceedances
BH7	0.76 – 1.22	17-6830-9	Metals	No Exceedances
	m		VOCs	No Exceedances
		Ground	water – July 2	5, 2017
BH1	Groundwater	17-6830-	Metals	No Exceedances
		11	VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	No Exceedances
BH5	Groundwater	17-6830-	Metals	No Exceedances
		12	VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	No Exceedances
BH7	Groundwater	17-6830-	Metals	No Exceedances
		13	VOC	No Exceedances
			PHC	No Exceedances
			BTEX	No Exceedances

Borehole	Sample Depth	Sample #	Parameters Analyzed	Exceedances of April 15, 2011 Table 3 MOE Standards, Industrial/Commercial/ Community Property Use (I/C/C) Groundwater condition
Existing well	Groundwater	17-6830- 13	Metals VOC PHC BTEX	No Exceedances No Exceedances No Exceedances No Exceedances

NOTES: PHC (F1-F4)*: Petroleum Hydrocarbons fractions (F1-F4)

F1 (C6-C10) Gasoline less BTEX F2 (C10-C16) Diesel

F3 (C16-C34) Diesel

F4 (C34-C50) Heavy Oil

VOC: Volatile Organic Compounds, PAH: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, PCB: Polychlorinated Biphenyls, pH: potential of Hydrogen, SAR: Sodium Absorption Ratio, EC: Electrical Conductivity **Bold**: Exceeds the MOE Standards

Five samples were analyzed for pH, EC, and SAR. No elevated levels were reported.

7.2.3. Metals

Nine (9) soil and four (4) groundwater samples were submitted for Metals analysis.

<u>Soil</u>

The results of chemical analysis for Metals parameters in the submitted soil samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE Standards.

Groundwater

The results of chemical analysis for Metals parameters in the submitted groundwater samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE Standards.

7.2.4. Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHC)

Nine (9) soil and four (4) groundwater samples were submitted for PHC (F1-F4) analysis.

<u>Soil</u>

The results of chemical analysis for PHC (F1-F4) parameters in four (4) of the nine (59 submitted soil samples were found to exceed the applicable MOE Standards. The following exceedance was found:



BH4 (0.30 – 0.60 m bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-4

F2 (C10-C16): 425 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

▶ BH4 (0.30 – 0.60 bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-5

F2 (C10-C16): 549 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

> BH5 (0.30 – 0.60 bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-6

F1 (C6-C10): 60 ppm vs. 55 ppm: F2 (C10-C16): 910 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

BH5 (0.30 – 0.60 bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-7

F1 (C6-C10) 75 ppm vs. 55 ppm: F2 (C10-C16): 1342 ppm vs. 230 ppm

Groundwater

The results of chemical analysis for PHC (F1-F4) parameters in the submitted groundwater samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE Standards.

7.2.5. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Nine (9) soil and Four (4) groundwater samples were submitted for VOC analysis.

<u>Soil</u>

The results of chemical analysis for VOC parameters in three (3) of the nine (9) submitted soil samples were found to exceed the applicable MOE Standards. The following exceedance was found:

> BH4 (0.30 – 0.60 m bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-4

Ethyl benzene: 11 ppm vs. 9.5 ppm;

BH4 (0.30 – 0.60 bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-5

Benzene: 1.9 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm;



> BH5 (0.30 – 0.60 bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-7

Benzene: 1.7 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm;

Groundwater

The results of chemical analysis for VOC parameters in the submitted groundwater samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE Standards.

7.2.6. pH

Five (5) soil sample was submitted to the laboratory for pH analysis.

The result of pH for the submitted soil sample was found to be within the recommended range of 5 to 9 or (5 to 11).

7.2.7. Electrical Conductivity (EC)

Five (5) soil samples were submitted to the laboratory for EC analysis.

The results of chemical analysis for EC parameters in the submitted soil samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE Standards.

7.2.8. Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR)

Five (5) soil samples were submitted to the laboratory for SAR analysis.

The results of chemical analysis for SAR parameters in the submitted soil samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE Standards.

7.3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control

A chain of custody form was filled out for all samples prior to submitting to the laboratory. The chain of custody documented movement from selection of the sample to receipt at the laboratory and provided sample identification, requested analysis, and condition of samples upon arrival at the laboratory.

The laboratory checks randomly selected samples for Quality Assurance. Generally, one sample for every twenty samples submitted is selected for Quality Assurance checks. For each parameter, there is an acceptable upper and lower limit for the measured concentration of the parameter. Measured concentrations of analyzed samples must fall within the upper and lower



acceptable limits in order for the sample to be valid. If the result exceeds the upper or lower acceptable limits, the sample must be re-analyzed.

Based on Quality Assurance Reports provided by 'Fisher', measured concentrations in soil samples were within the acceptable limits for quality control. Copies of the QA/QC Reports for Metals, PHC (F1-F4), VOC, pH, EC and SAR in soil and groundwater are included with the Certificates of Analysis in Appendix C.



8.0. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- Fisher Environmental carried out a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment of the property located at 851 Industrial Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. The subsurface soil and groundwater investigation was carried out on July 24 -25, 2017.
- Seven (7) boreholes were advanced in the investigated property to depths of up to 7.62 m bgs, and in three (3) of them, BH1, BH5, and BH7 monitoring wells were installed to facilitate groundwater level monitoring and sampling.
- On the basis of the boreholes completed, the stratigraphy at the investigated areas of the Site generally consists of a layer of fill, extending up to 3.8 m bgs. The fill generally consisted of brown sand with some gravel. Underlying the fill is brown silty sand and clayey silt with some gravel.
- Groundwater static level measurement was taken at the monitoring well locations on July 25, 2017, and it was noted at depths ranging from 1.60 m bgs in BH1 to 3.90 m bgs in BH5.
- Fourteen (14) soil and four (4) groundwater samples were submitted to the laboratory for Metals, PHC (F1-F4), VOC, PAH, pH, EC and/or SAR analysis.
- The results of chemical analysis for four (4) of the fourteen (14) soil samples were found to exceed the applicable MOE Standards. The following exceedances were found:
 - > BH4 (0.30 0.60 m bgs) Lab ID #17-6830-4

Ethyl benzene: 11 ppm vs. 9.5 ppm; F2 (C10-C16): 425 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

> BH4 (0.30 – 0.60 m bgs - Lab ID #17-6830-5

Benzene: 1.9 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm; F2 (C10-C16): 549 ppm vs. 230 ppm.



> BH5 (0.30 – 0.60 m bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-6

F1 (C6-C10): 60 ppm vs. 55 ppm: F2 (C10-C16): 910 ppm vs. 230 ppm.

BH5 (0.30 – 0.60 m bgs) - Lab ID #17-6830-7

Benzene: 1.7 ppm vs. 0.32 ppm; F1 (C6-C10) 75 ppm vs. 55 ppm: F2 (C10-C16): 1342 ppm vs. 230 ppm

 The results of chemical analysis for all four (4) analyzed groundwater samples were found to be in compliance with the applicable MOE standards.

Based on the results of the current investigation, it is expected that the historical activities at the Site have impacted the property's soil condition.

The results suggest that all contamination associated with the former on-Site USTs has not been fully removed from the Site.

Fisher recommends further excavation activities in the vicinity of the former tanks and exceedances at the Site.



9.0. LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for use by Dymon Group of Companies, and is based on the work as described in the Scope of Work. The conclusions presented in this report reflect existing Site conditions within the scope of this assignment.

No investigation method can completely eliminate the possibility of obtaining partially imprecise or incomplete information. It can only reduce the possibility to an acceptable level. Professional judgment was exercised in gathering and analyzing the information obtained and the formulation of the conclusions and recommendations. Like all professional persons rendering advice, we do not act as absolute insurers of the conclusions reached, but commit ourselves to care and competence in reaching those conclusions. No warranty, whether expressed or implied, is included or intended in this report.

The scope of services performed may not be appropriate for the purposes of other users. This report should not be used in contexts other than pertaining to the evaluation of the property at the current time. Written authorization must be obtained from Fisher Environmental Ltd. prior to use by any other parties, or any future use of this document or its findings, conclusions, or recommendations represented herein. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made on the basis of it, are the responsibility of the third parties. Fisher Environmental Limited accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

Fisher Environmental notes that the work conducted at the Site may not fully satisfy the MOECC requirements for the purpose of filling a Record of Site Condition (RSC). Should a RSC be required, then additional investigations should be conducted at the Site.



10.0. QUALIFICATIONS OF ASSESSOR

The report preparation for this assessment were conducted by Ms. Lena Zdanowski. Ms. Zdanowski has been trained and has over three years' experience in conducting Phase II ESAs in accordance with the CSA Standard. Ms. Zdanowski has conducted more than 50 Phase II ESAs for commercial/industrial/residential clients and government agencies and is routinely engaged in this field.

As a Qualified Person who conducts and supervises Phase II ESAs, Mr. David Fisher, president of Fisher Environmental Ltd., is a senior Managerial and Environmental Engineering Specialist with over 30 years of progressive, innovative experience in the Petrochemical and Environmental Engineering Industry. Mr. Fisher is responsible for the development and management of a progressive environmental consulting engineering company specializing in environmental site assessments and remediation, geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations, tank removals, PCB waste treatment, land reclamation, recycling, hazardous waste disposal, and associated laboratory analytical practices.

Fisher Environmental Ltd. has been established as a team of engineers and consultants since 1989, and continues to develop a strong, wide client base. The company is staffed with personnel holding graduate or postgraduate qualifications at the Markham headquarters, as well as specialist associates offering a broad range of expertise and knowledge in environmental consulting. With a background in the petroleum industry, extensive experience has been gained in the prevention and cleanup of contamination in air, water and soil.



11.0. REFERENCES

The Phase II ESA was conducted in accordance with the applicable Regulations, Guidelines, Policies, Standards, Protocols and Objectives administrated by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Specific reference is made to the following:

- CAN/CSA Standard Z769-00 (reaffirmed in 2013), Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, A National Standard of Canada;
- "Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario" Ministry of the Environment of Ontario, December 1996;
- Environmental Protection Act, RSO 1990, Charter E. 19, as amended, September 2004;
- Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, dated April 15, 2011;
- The Ontario Water Resources Act R.R.O. 1990, Regulation 903 Amended to O .Reg. 128.03, August 2003;
- Phase I ESA, 851 Industrial Avenue, Ottawa ON, September, 2017, prepared by Fisher Environmental Project 17-8232;
- Google Earth.



APPENDIX A – SITE SPECIFIC DRAWING



Fisher Environmental Ltd

Project No. FE-P 17-8323 September 12, 2017



APPENDIX B – LOGS OF BORHOLES



Fisher Environmental Ltd

Project No. FE-P 17-8323 September 12, 2017

IDG OF BOREHOLE No. Batt(JUW). SHEET_L 1 of Z. PROJECT NAME: Phose Two ESA LOCATON: 851 Industrial Avenue, Ottowo, ON DRILING METHOD: District In D-120 DRILLING DATE: JUY SMO Status Image: Status District In D-120 DRILLING DATE: JUY SMO Status DRILLING DATE: JUY SMO Topogo In D-210 JUY SMO JUY SMO Topogo In D-210 JUY SMO JUY SMO JUY SMO Topogo In D-210 JUY SMO JUY SMO JUY SMO JUY SM											
PROJECT NAME: Phase Two ESA DRULING METHOD: Directrich D-120 DRULING DATE: July 25, 2017 S08. PSONE S08. PSONE		FISHE	R) <u>BH1(MW)</u> SHEET.	<u>1 of 7</u>
DRLLING METHOD: Diedrich D-120 DRILLING DATE: July 25, 2017 SUL MORULE		ENVIRONMENTAL	LTD.	PR	OJE						
SDL PHOPLE SMMEDIE	PRO	DJECT NAME: Phase Two ESA					l		351 Indi	ustrial Avenue, Otta	wa, ON
E B	DRIL	LING METHOD: Diedrich D-12	0				1	DRILLING DATE	E: July	25, 2017	_
Bit Mark	-	SOIL PROFILE	5	_							
Image: Source of Brown, most, (some structure) 1 <t< td=""><td>etres) etres)</td><td></td><td>STRATA PL</td><td>LEV. EPTH (m)</td><td>NUMBER</td><td>Jpe</td><td>"N" VALU</td><td>SHEAR STRENGTH</td><td>(Kpa) 🜩</td><td>MOISTURE CONTENT (%) ()</td><td>WELL CONSTRUCTION</td></t<>	etres) etres)		STRATA PL	LEV. EPTH (m)	NUMBER	Jpe	"N" VALU	SHEAR STRENGTH	(Kpa) 🜩	MOISTURE CONTENT (%) ()	WELL CONSTRUCTION
Fine Sord Brown, molet, (some growt 10'-21') Loose to Very growt 10'-21' Image: Compact C	분별		2 8	_							
SANDY SLT TILL: Dark Brown, Wet, 7 SS 100 Dark Group, Dark, Group, Dark, Brown, Wet, 7 SS 100 Dark Group, Dark, Group, Dark, Group, Dark, Brown, Wet, 7 SS 100 Dark Group, Dark, Group	$\frac{1}{1}$	gravel 10'—21') Loose to Very			_	_					- 2" blank PVC - PCOPOPC
SANDY SILT TILL: 7 55 10 Dark Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 55 10 Trace Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 55 10 Dark Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 10 10 Dark Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet, 10 10 10 Dark Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet, 10 10 10 Dark Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet,		Wet at 5'	X	8.15/ 1.52	3	ss	6				
SULTY SAND : 6 55 11 Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 55 10 Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 55 10 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Tace Growl, Dark Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Growl,				ŀ							
SULTY SAND : 6 55 11 Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 55 10 Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 55 10 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Tace Growl, Dark Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Brown, Wet, 7 7 55 Barborn, Tace Growl, Dark Growl,				ŀ			2				ted Pipe –
Trace Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet,				ŀ	5	SS	1				2" Slo
Compact. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Trace Gravel, Dark Brown, Wet,		╞	6	ss	11				
SANDY SILT TILL: To SANDY SILT TILL: Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense To d d Borehole at 34' Groundwater Depth (m): 6.50 m on July 16, 2015		Compact.		ļ	7	ss	11				4.60
Image: SaNDY SiLT TiLL: 7 SS 100 Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense 7 SS 100 Image: Sandy SiLT TiLL: 7 SS 100 Image: Sandy SiLT TiLL: <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.50/</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				3.50/							
Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense Find of Borehole at 34' Find of Borehole a				6.10							
Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense I I 7 SS 100 End of Borehole at 34' I I Find of Borehole at 34' I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I											
Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense I 11 End of Borehole at 34' Find of Boreh											
Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense I I 7 SS 100 End of Borehole at 34' I I Find of Borehole at 34' I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I											
Dark Grey, Dry, Very Dense I I 7 SS 100 End of Borehole at 34' Find		SANDY SILT TILL:			_						
				+	/	55 1	00				
Groundwater Depth (m): 6.50 m on July 16, 2015		End of Borehole at 34'									
Groundwater Depth (m):6.50 m on July 16, 2015											
Groundwater Depth (m):6.50 m on July 16, 2015											
Groundwater Depth (m): 6.50 m on July 16, 2015											
Groundwater Depth (m):6.50 m on July 16, 2015											
Groundwater Depth (m):6.50 m on July 16, 2015											
Groundwater Depth (m):6.50 m on July 16, 2015											
L LL LOGGED: ZV CHECKED: FF		Groundwater Depth (m):6.50 m o	n July	16,	2015		_			LOGGED: ZV	CHECKED: FF

	FISHE ENVIRONMENTAL	LTD.	P	ROJ	ECT	NC).: FE-f	9 17-	-832	3				
PRC	DJECT NAME:						LOCATIO)N:	851	Indu	ıstrial	Aven	ue, Ott	awa, ON
DRIL	LING METHOD:			_			DRILLIN	G DA	TE:	25 J	uly, 20	017		
-	SOIL PROFILE		-	2	SAMPLE	r –	PENETRAT 20			(T) ▲	VAPOU 20		IG (ppm) □ 60 80	
)EPTH (metres)	DESCRIPTION	strata plot	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	"N" VALUE	SHEAR 40	STRENG	TH (Kpa 120 16) 🖶		JRE CONT	<u>00 00</u> TENT (%)⊖ 30 40	PIEZOMETER OR WELL CONSTRUCTI
0 (metres)	GROUND SURFACE (m asl)							Ī						
	FILL: Sand, some gravel, brown moist, 2" Asphalt	K		1	SS	16								
	FILL:	K		2	SS	15								
	Silty Sand, trace gravel, greyish brown, moist, compact		98.15/ 1.52	3	SS	5								
	CLAYEY SILT: some Sand, trace gravel,			4	SS	14								
	greyish brown, moist, loose to compact			5	SS	14								
	Wet at13'													
	SANDY SILT TILL: dark grey, moist, dense to very dense			6	SS	37								
			93.50/											
			93.50/ 6.10 93.11/ 6.56	7	SS	100	2							
	End of Borehole(21.5')		6.56											

Ľ	FISHE ENVIRONMENTAL L	R.TD.	P				F BORI				B	8H3	Sł	HEET	3 of 7
PRC	DJECT NAME:						LOCATIO	N:	851	Indu	ustria	l Ave	enue,	Otta	wa, ON
DRI	LLING METHOD:			_			DRILLING	DA	TE: 2	25 J	uly, 2	2017			
	SOIL PROFILE	5			AMPLE		PENETRATIO 20		NG (SP 0 8		VAP0 20		ADING (pi 60	pm)□ 80	
H es)	DESCRIPTION	strata plot	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	"N" VALUE	SHEAR STRENGTH (Kpg) 🖶				MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				PIEZOMETER OR WELL CONSTRUCTION
∏ DEPTH ⊖ (metres)	GROUND SURFACE (m asl)	S.				_	40	<u>80 1</u>	20 16	0	10		30	40	
	FILL: Sand, some gravel, brown moist, 3" Asphalt	$\left \right\rangle$		1	SS	15									
	SILTY SAND:			2	SS	15									
	trace gravel, greyish brown, moist, compact		98.15/ 1.52	3	SS	23									
				4	SS	9									
	CLAYEY SILT: some sand, trace gravel, greyish brown, moist, loose			5	SS	31									
	SANDY SILT TILL: dark grey, moist, dense														
	End of Borehole			6	SS	48									
_ _ 10	Groundwater Depth (m): On Comple	tion	4 2	7m	0n (02.	June 2015	1.6	Bm.						

	DJECT NAME: Phase Two ESA					-	LOCA								ue, (Ottav	wa, ON
DRII	LLING METHOD: Diedrich D-120 soil profile	0			SAMPLE		DRILL				-						
	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)		문	"N" AVTRE	2 SHI	0 4 EAR ST	<u>06</u> Rengti	NG (SF <u>i0 8</u> H (Kpa	90 1) +	2 MC	DISTURE	<u>ю (</u> : солт	90	PIEZOMETER OR WELL CONSTRUCTION	
0 (metres)	GROUND SURFACE (m asl)	LS V			81	•	4	08	0 12	20 1	60	1	02	03	<u>, oc</u>	••	
_	FILL: Silty sand, trace gravel, dark grey, moist	\bigotimes		1	7	26											
1	SILTY SAND: trace gravel, greyish brown, wet, loose			2	16	22											
2			98.15/ 1.52	3	6.6	32											
_				4	2.6	11											
3	CLAYEY SILT: Some sand, trace gravel, greyish																
4	brown, wet, loose			5	21	11											
				6	3.6	5											
6	SANDY SILT TILL: dark grey, dry, very dense		93.50/ 6.10	7	16	100											
7																	
8																	
-9																	
				_	7.4												
			\vdash	8	3.1	100											
-12	End of Borehole at 36'				type SS												
					₹ •												
- 																	
-14																	

PRO	DJECT NAME:	ID.	P	ROJ	ECT	NC	D.: FE-P				ıstrial Av	venue, O ^t	ttawa, ON	
DRI	LLING METHOD:						DRILLING	DAT	'E: 2	26 J	uly, 201	7		
	SOIL PROFILE	Ц		5	Sample	1	PENETRATION 20 4	TESTIN 0 60			VAPOUR R 20 40	EADING (ppm)[0 60 80		
DEPTH (metres)	DESCRIPTION	strata plot	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	G	"N" VALUE	SHEAR ST		H (Kpa)	•		CONTENT (%)	WELL CON	ETER OR ISTRUCTION
→ → → → → DEP TH ○ (metres)	GROUND SURFACE (m asl) FILL:	X			190									
	sand, some gravel, greyish brown, moist	Ž		1	16	21							KO KO KO	Cover
	FILL: silty sand/sandy silt, dark grey to dark moist, trace	X	98.15/ 1.52	2	4.6	7							- 2" blank PVC	늄산가소산가소산가소산) – Bentonite Pellets – Flush Mount Cover ·
	weathered grey shale fragments at 2.5', trace coal cinder and rootlets at 5', compact			3	4.3	16								Contract of the second of
	SILTY SAND: trace gravel, dark grey,			4	3.5	15								
4	moist, compact												l Pipe	Silica Sand
		•		5	1.9	17							2" Slotted	
		•												
			93.50/ 6.10 93.11/ 6.56	6	16	15							6.1	0
				7	0	71								
	SILTY SAND TILL: dark grey, dry, very dense				S S									
	End of Borehole(26')				* Type									

Ľ	FISHE ENVIRONMENTAL	LTD.					F BOREH).: FE-P 17				
PRC	JECT NAME:						LOCATION:	851 Inc	dustrial A	venue, Ott	awa, ON
DRIL	LING METHOD:						DRILLING D	ATE: 26	July, 201	17	
-	SOIL PROFILE		-	9	SAMPLES		PENETRATION TESTING (SPT) \blacktriangle			READING (ppm)□	
DEPTH (metres)	DESCRIPTION	strata plot	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	GI	"N" VALUE	SHEAR STREE	<u>60 80</u> NGTH (Kpa) ♣ 120 160	MOISTURE	4 <u>0 60 80</u> E CONTENT (%) () 20 30 40	PIEZOMETER OR WELL CONSTRUCTION
DEPTH 0 (metres)	GROUND SURFACE (m asl)										
	FILL: Sand, some gravel, greyish brown moist, 2" Asphalt	$\left \right\rangle$			3.2						
	SILTY SAND:		Ĩ	1	1.5	18					
	trace gravel, greyish brown, moist		98.15/ 1.52	2	3.4	32					
	CLAYEY SILT: some Sand, trace gravel, greyish brown, moist,		+	3	4.3	19					
	compact			4	2.1	11					
	SANDY SILT TILL: dark grey, moist, dense			5	0.6	29					
			93.50/ 6.10	6	0	34					
	End of Borehole(21.5')		93.11/ 6.56		8 S						
					* Type S						
10	Groundwater Depth (m): On Compl	etion	· 1 2	 7m	On (L 02 ·	June 2015: 1				

Ľ	FISHE ENVIRONMENTAL L	.TD.	P	ROJ	ECT	NO	.: FE-	P 17	/-83	23						
PR	OJECT NAME:						LOCAT	ON:	85	51 Inc	dustr	ial A	venu	ue, (Otta	wa, ON
DR	ILLING METHOD:						DRILLIN	IG D	ATE:	26	July,	201	7			
	SOIL PROFILE	L L	-	9	Sample 	1	PENETRA 20	TION TE 40		(SPT) ▲ 80		APOURI 2,0 4	READING) 🗆 30	
TH tres)	DESCRIPTION	strata plot	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	G	"N" VALUE		r stren		(pa) 🖶	м	IOISTURE	CONT	ENT (%		PIEZOMETER OR WELL CONSTRUCTION
DEPTH 0 (metres)	GROUND SURFACE (m asl)															
	FILL: Sand, some gravel, greyish brown moist,	$\left \right\rangle$			0.2											
	FILL: Silty Sand, dark grey to	$\left \right\rangle$		1	0.2	15										
	dark, moist, trace weathered grey shale fragments @3', trace coal cinder and		98.15/ 1.52	2	0	12										
	rootlets at 5', compact			3	0	25										
	SILTY SAND: trace gravel, dark grey, moist, compact			4	0	23										
				5	0	12										
	SANDY SILT TILL:		93.50/ 6.10	6	1.3	12										
	dark grey, dry, very dense		93.11/ 6.56													
				7	0	62										
	SANDY SILT TILL:															
	dark grey, moist, dense End of Borehole(31.5')		Type SS	8	0	100										

APPENDIX C – CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS



Fisher Environmental Ltd

Project No. FE-P 17-8323 September 12, 2017

FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORIES

E

FULL RANGE ANALYTICAL SERVICES • SOIL/WATER/AIRTESTING • ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PACKAGES • 24 HOUR EMERGENCY RESPONSE • CALA ACCREDITED

400 ESNA PARK DRIVE #15 MARKHAM, ONT. L3R 3K2 TEL: 905 475-7755 FAX: 905 475-7718 www.fisherenvironmental.com

Client: Dymon Capital Corp Address: 2-1830 Walkley Rd. Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8K3 Tel.: (613) 247-0888 ext. 222 Email: gluckman@dymon.ca Attn.: Mr. Glen Luckman F.E. Job #: 17-6830
Project Name: Phase II ESA
Project ID: FE-P-17-8323
Date Sampled: 25, 26-Jul-17
Date Received: 27-Jul-17
Date Reported: 03-Aug-17
Location: 851 Industrial Avenue Toronto, ON

Analyses	Matrix	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab SOP	Method Reference
Metals	Soil	9	28-Jul-17	28-Jul-17	Metals F-18	SM 3125-B
VOCs	Soil	9	28-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	VOCs F-6	SM 6200-B
PHCs (F1 & BTEX)	Soil	9	28-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	PHCs F-7	CCME CWS
PHCs (F2 - F4)	Soil	9	28-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	PHCs F-7	CCME CWS
рН	Soil	5	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	pH-EC-SAR F-16	SW-846, 9045D
EC	Soil	5	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	pH-EC-SAR F-16	SW-846, 9050A
SAR	Soil	5	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	pH-EC-SAR F-16	SW-846, 6010C
Moisture Content	Soil	9	N/A	01-Aug-17	Support Procedures F-99	Carter (1993)
Metals	Water	4	N/A	27-Jul-17	Metals F-1	SM 3120-B
VOCs	Water	4	N/A	28-Jul-17	VOCs F-6	SM 6200-B
PHCs (F1 & BTEX)	Water	4	N/A	28-Jul-17	PHCs F-7	CCME CWS
PHCs (F2 - F4)	Water	4	28-Jul-17	31-Jul-17	PHCs F-7	CCME CWS

Certificate of Analysis

Fisher Environmental Laboratories is accredited by CALA (the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc.) for specific parameters as required by Ontario Regulation 153/04. All analytical testing has been performed in accordance with ISO 17025 and the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act published by Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

CHEMICAL P 0 CHARTERED NOITA Authorized by: <u>____</u> Ronggen (Roger) Lin CHEMIST Roger Lin, Ph. D., C. Chem. 055 Laboratory Manager

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	, PHCs, pH, EC,	SAR								
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	Vater Samples									
	17-6830-1	17-6830-2	17-6830-3	17-6830-4	17-6830-5						
Parameter	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH4	Soil Standards ¹					
	SS3	SS2	SS1	0.30-0.60m	SS1						
		Concentration $(\mu g/g)$									
Metals in Soil											
Antimony	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	(50) 40					
Arsenic	<1	3.8	2.4	5.1	2.9	18					
Barium	17	92	51	44	85	670					
Beryllium	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	(10) 8					
Boron	<5	<5	<5	<5	5.0	120					
Cadmium	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.9					
Chromium	6.2	20	12	11	18	160					
Cobalt	2.7	9.2	6.2	5.0	6.2	(100) 80					
Copper	6.6	20	15	7.6	16	(300) 230					
Lead	<10	30	12	16	<10	120					
Molybdenum	<2	<2	<2	4.3	<2	40					
Nickel	<5	22	17	12	14	(340) 270					
Selenium	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	5.5					
Silver	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(50) 40					
Thallium	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	3.3					
Uranium	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	33					
Vanadium	16	26	18	14	27	86					
Zinc	<30	61	31	<30	<30	340					

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

Industrial/Commercial/Community Property use (I/C/C); () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	, PHCs, pH, EC,	SAR							
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	ater Samples								
	17-6830-6	17-6830-7	17-6830-8	17-6830-9						
	BH5	BH5	BH6	BH7	Soil Standards ¹					
Parameter	0.30-0.60m	SS1	SS1	SS1						
	Concentration $(\mu g/g)$									
Metals in Soil										
Antimony	<1	<1	<1	<1	(50) 40					
Arsenic	1.4	2.0	4.9	2.4	18					
Barium	33	86	52	145	670					
Beryllium	<2	<2	<2	<2	(10) 8					
Boron	<5	<5	<5	5.9	120					
Cadmium	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.9					
Chromium	6.4	16	13	22	160					
Cobalt	3.0	6.1	7.6	6.8	(100) 80					
Copper	7.0	12	27	11	(300) 230					
Lead	<10	<10	13	<10	120					
Molybdenum	<2	<2	<2	<2	40					
Nickel	9.5	14	20	16	(340) 270					
Selenium	<1	<1	<1	<1	5.5					
Silver	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	(50) 40					
Thallium	<1	<1	<1	<1	3.3					
Uranium	<1	<1	<1	<1	33					
Vanadium	13	21	19	35	86					
Zinc	<30	31	43	44	340					

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

Industrial/Commercial/Community Property use (I/C/C); () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Demonster	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	MS	AR
Parameter	μ)	g/g)	Recov	very (%)	Recov	ery (%)
Metals in Soil						
Antimony	<1	1	100	80-120	104	70-130
Arsenic	<1	1	90	80-120	101	70-130
Barium	<5	5	87	80-120	108	70-130
Beryllium	<2	2	93	80-120	93	70-130
Boron	<5	5	95	80-120	94	70-130
Cadmium	<1	1	98	80-120	99	70-130
Chromium	<5	5	95	80-120	88	70-130
Cobalt	<2	2	96	80-120	85	70-130
Copper	<5	5	103	80-120	87	70-130
Lead	<10	10	92	80-120	93	70-130
Molybdenum	<2	2	98	80-120	104	70-130
Nickel	<5	5	89	80-120	81	70-130
Selenium	<1	1	87	80-120	80	70-130
Silver	<0.5	0.5	97	80-120	96	70-130
Thallium	<1	1	89	80-120	112	70-130
Uranium	<1	1	101	80-120	90	70-130
Vanadium	<10	10	99	80-120	98	70-130
Zinc	<30	30	82	80-120	83	70-130

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

MS - Matrix Spike

AR - Acceptable Range

	Duplicate	AR	1	[
Parameter		D (%)		1	I
Metals in Soil					
Antimony	0.0	0-30			
Arsenic	14	0-30			
Barium	0.0	0-30			
Beryllium	0.0	0-30			
Boron	0.0	0-30			
Cadmium	0.0	0-30			
Chromium	4.8	0-30			
Cobalt	1.9	0-30			
Copper	0.7	0-30			
Lead	0.0	0-30			
Molybdenum	0.0	0-30			
Nickel	8.7	0-30			
Selenium	0.0	0-30			
Silver	0.0	0-30			
Thallium	0.0	0-30			
Uranium	0.0	0-30			
Vanadium	0.9	0-30			
Zinc	6.8	0-30			

LEGEND:

AR - Acceptable Range

Analysis Requested: Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR Sample Description: 9 Soil and 4 Water Samples 17-6830-1 17-6830-5 17-6830-4 17-6830-2 17-6830-3 BH1 BH2 BH3 BH4 BH4 Soil Standards **Parameter** SS2 SS3 SS1 0.30-0.60m SS1 Concentration $(\mu g/g)$ VOCs in Soil Acetone < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 (28) 16< 0.02 < 0.02 < 0.02 0.26 (0.4) 0.32Benzene 1.9 Bromodichloromethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 18 (1.7) 0.61Bromoform < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 Bromomethane < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05Carbon Tetrachloride < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (1.5) 0.21Chlorobenzene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (2.7) 2.4< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.18) 0.47Chloroform Dibromochloromethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 13 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (8.5) 6.8 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene < 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (12) 9.6< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.84) 0.21,4-Dichlorobenzene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (25) 16 Dichlordifluoromethane < 0.05< 0.051,1-Dichloroethane < 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (21) 17 1,2-Dichloroethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 1,1-Dichloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.48) 0.064< 0.05 < 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 c-1,2-Dichloroethylene (37)55t-1,2-Dichloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (9.3) 1.3< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 1,2-Dichloropropane (0.68) 0.16 1,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-< 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05 (0.21) 0.18< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 11 6.3 Ethylbenzene (19) 9.5 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 Ethylene Dibromide < 0.05< 0.050.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (88) 46 Hexane (n) < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Methyl Ethyl Ketone < 0.5 < 0.5 (88) 70 Methyl Isobutyl Ketone < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 (210) 31Methyl tert-butyl Ether < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05< 0.05< 0.05(3.2) 11 Methylene Chloride < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (2) 1.6 Styrene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (43) 34 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05< 0.05(0.11) 0.087< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.094) 0.051,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane < 0.05Tetrachloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (21) 4.5 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 (78) 68 Toluene ,1,1-Trichloroethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (12) 6.1< 0.05 1,1,2-Trichloroethane < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05< 0.05 (0.11) 0.05Trichloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.61) 0.91 Trichlorofluoromethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (5.8)4Vinyl Chloride < 0.02 < 0.02 < 0.02 < 0.02 < 0.02 (0.25) 0.032Xvlenes 0.05 0.06 < 0.05 23 6.1 (30) 26Surrogate Recovery (%) 1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 117 129 82 104 83 60-140 Toluene-d8 98 89 101 64 76 60-140 4-Bromofluorobenzene 103 136 83 129 114 60-140

Certificate of Analysis

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

Bold: Result exceeds limit noted in Soil Standards.

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

 Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition;

Industrial/Commercial/Community Property Use (I/C/C); () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Analysis Requested: Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR Sample Description: 9 Soil and 4 Water Samples 17-6830-8 17-6830-9 17-6830-6 17-6830-7 BH5 BH5 BH6 BH7 Soil Standards **Parameter** 0.30-0.60m SS1 SS1 SS1 *Concentration* $(\mu g/g)$ VOCs in Soil Acetone < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 (28) 160.13 < 0.02 < 0.02 (0.4) 0.32Benzene 1.7 Bromodichloromethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 18 (1.7) 0.61Bromoform < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 Bromomethane < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05Carbon Tetrachloride < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (1.5) 0.21Chlorobenzene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (2.7) 2.4< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.18) 0.47Chloroform Dibromochloromethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 13 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (8.5) 6.8 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (12) 9.6< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.84) 0.21,4-Dichlorobenzene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (25) 16 Dichlordifluoromethane < 0.051,1-Dichloroethane < 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (21) 17 1,2-Dichloroethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 1,1-Dichloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.48) 0.064< 0.05 < 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05 c-1,2-Dichloroethylene (37)55t-1,2-Dichloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (9.3) 1.3< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 1,2-Dichloropropane (0.68) 0.16 1,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-< 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05< 0.05 (0.21) 0.183.5 8.6 < 0.05 < 0.05 Ethylbenzene (19) 9.5 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 Ethylene Dibromide < 0.050.05 Hexane (n) < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (88) 46 < 0.5 < 0.5 Methyl Ethyl Ketone < 0.5 < 0.5 (88) 70 Methyl Isobutyl Ketone < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 (210) 31Methyl tert-butyl Ether < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05< 0.05(3.2) 11 Methylene Chloride < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (2) 1.6 Styrene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (43) 34 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05 < 0.05(0.11) 0.087< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.094) 0.051,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane Tetrachloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (21) 4.5 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 (78) 68 Toluene 1,1,1-Trichloroethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (12) 6.1< 0.05 1,1,2-Trichloroethane < 0.05< 0.05< 0.05 (0.11) 0.05Trichloroethylene < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (0.61) 0.91 Trichlorofluoromethane < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 (5.8)4Vinyl Chloride < 0.02 < 0.02 < 0.02 < 0.02 (0.25) 0.032Xvlenes 14 24 0.10 < 0.05 (30) 26Surrogate Recovery (%) 1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 78 88 115 77 60-140 Toluene-d8 108 60-140 81 85 65 4-Bromofluorobenzene 90 114 137 64 60-140

Certificate of Analysis

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

Bold: Result exceeds limit noted in Soil Standards.

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

 Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition;

Industrial/Commercial/Community Property Use (I/C/C); () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Baramatar	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	MS	AR
Parameter	(μg	/g)	Recov	ery (%)	Recove	ery (%)
VOCs in Soil						
Acetone	< 0.5	0.5	81	50-140	106	50-140
Benzene	< 0.02	0.02	93	60-130	93	50-140
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.05	0.05	103	50-140	114	50-140
Bromoform	< 0.05	0.05	90	60-130	99	50-140
Bromomethane	< 0.05	0.05	89	50-140	107	50-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 0.05	0.05	88	60-130	95	50-140
Chlorobenzene	< 0.05	0.05	95	60-130	108	50-140
Chloroform	< 0.05	0.05	97	60-130	118	50-140
Dibromochloromethane	< 0.05	0.05	92	60-130	100	50-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.05	0.05	102	60-130	82	50-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.05	0.05	94	60-130	87	50-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.05	0.05	102	60-130	87	50-140
Dichlordifluoromethane	< 0.05	0.05	82	50-140	75	50-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 0.05	0.05	94	60-130	119	50-140
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 0.05	0.05	87	60-130	106	50-140
1,1-Dichloroethylene	< 0.05	0.05	83	60-130	104	50-140
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	< 0.05	0.05	99	60-130	120	50-140
t-1,2-Dichloroethylene	< 0.05	0.05	89	60-130	109	50-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 0.05	0.05	99	60-130	96	50-140
1,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-)	< 0.05	0.05	104	60-130	100	50-140
Ethylbenzene	< 0.05	0.05	98	60-130	111	50-140
Ethylene Dibromide	< 0.05	0.05	89	60-130	105	50-140
Hexane (n)	< 0.05	0.05	82	60-130	93	50-140
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	< 0.5	0.5	79	50-140	87	50-140
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	< 0.5	0.5	96	50-140	108	50-140
Methyl tert-butyl Ether	< 0.05	0.05	74	60-130	83	50-140
Methylene Chloride	< 0.05	0.05	95	60-130	113	50-140
Styrene	< 0.05	0.05	69	60-130	67	50-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 0.05	0.05	90	60-130	109	50-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 0.05	0.05	92	60-130	105	50-140
Tetrachloroethylene	< 0.05	0.05	100	60-130	111	50-140
Toluene	< 0.2	0.2	115	60-130	117	50-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 0.05	0.05	89	60-130	105	50-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 0.05	0.05	100	60-130	105	50-140
Trichloroethylene	< 0.05	0.05	97	60-130	92	50-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 0.05	0.05	70	50-140	60	50-140
Vinyl Chloride	< 0.02	0.02	107	50-140	121	50-140
Xylenes	< 0.05	0.05	98	60-130	107	50-140
Surrogates						
Parameter	Recovery (%)	AR	Recovery (%)	AR	Recovery (%)	AR
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	127	60-140	68	60-140	63	60-140
Toluene-d8	124	60-140	85	60-140	86	60-140
4-Bromofluorobenzene	115	60-140	87	60-140	92	60-140

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

MS - Matrix Spike

AR - Acceptable Range

Duplicate AR Parameter **RPD (%) VOCs** in Soil 0.0 0-50 Acetone Benzene 0.0 0-50 0.0 Bromodichloromethane 0-50 Bromoform 0.0 0-50 0.0 0-50 Bromomethane Carbon Tetrachloride 0.0 0-50 Chlorobenzene 0.0 0-50 Chloroform 0.0 0-50 Dibromochloromethane 0.0 0-50 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 0.0 0-50 1.3-Dichlorobenzene 0.0 0-50 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 0.0 0-50 Dichlordifluoromethane 0.0 0-50 1,1-Dichloroethane 0.0 0-50 0.0 1,2-Dichloroethane 0-50 0.0 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0-50 0.0 0-50 c-1,2-Dichloroethylene t-1,2-Dichloroethylene 0.0 0-50 0.0 1,2-Dichloropropane 0-50 1,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-0.0 0-50 19 0-50 Ethylbenzene Ethylene Dibromide 0.0 0-50 0.0 0-50 Hexane (n) Methyl Ethyl Ketone 0.0 0-50 Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 0.0 0-50 0.0 Methyl tert-butyl Ether 0-50 Methylene Chloride 0.0 0-50 Styrene 0.0 0-50 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 0.0 0-50 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 0.0 0-50Tetrachloroethylene 0.0 0-50 Toluene 0.0 0-501,1,1-Trichloroethane 0.0 0-50 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 0.0 0-50 Trichloroethylene 0.0 0-50 Trichlorofluoromethane 0.0 0-50 Vinyl Chloride 0.0 0-50 22 0-50 **Xylenes Surrogates** Parameter Recovery (%) AR 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 129 60-140 Toluene-d8 97 60-140 107 4-Bromofluorobenzene 60-140

QA/QC Report

LEGEND:

AR - Acceptable Range RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	, PHCs, pH, EC,	SAR									
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	ater Samples										
	17-6830-1	17-6830-2	17-6830-3	17-6830-4	17-6830-5							
Parameter	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH4	Soil Standards ¹						
rarameter	SS3	SS2	SS1	0.30-0.60m	SS1							
	Concentration (µg/g)											
BTEX in Soil												
Benzene	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.26	1.9	(0.4) 0.32						
Toluene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	(78) 68						
Ethylbenzene	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	11	6.3	(19) 9.5						
Xylenes	0.05	0.06	< 0.05	23	6.1	(30) 26						
PHCs $(F_1 - F_4)$ in Soil												
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	<10	<10	<10	51	32	(65) 55						
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	<10	<10	<10	425	549	(250) 230						
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	<50	57	84	225	267	(2,500) 1,700						
F4 (C ₃₄ -C ₅₀)	<50	<50	231	<50	<50	(6,600) 3,300						
F4G (>C ₃₄)	-	-	3149	-	-	(6,600) 3,300						
Chromatogram descends to baseline by nC50 ? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes							
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	117	129	82	104	83	60-140						
Toluene-d8	98	101	64	89	76	60-140						
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	136	83	129	114	60-140						

 F_{4G} (gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons) cannot be added to the C_6 to C_{50} hydrocarbons.

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

Bold: Result exceeds limit noted in Soil Standards.

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

 Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

Industrial/Commercial/Community Property use (I/C/C); () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Page 13 of 31

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	, PHCs, pH, EC,	SAR						
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	ater Samples							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	17-6830-6	17-6830-7	17-6830-8	17-6830-9					
Parameter	BH5	BH5	BH6	BH7	Soil Standards ¹				
	0.30-0.60m	SS1	SS1	SS1					
	Concentration $(\mu g/g)$								
BTEX in Soil									
Benzene	0.13	1.7	< 0.02	< 0.02	(0.4) 0.32				
Toluene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	(78) 68				
Ethylbenzene	3.5	8.6	< 0.05	< 0.05	(19) 9.5				
Xylenes	14	24	0.10	< 0.05	(30) 26				
PHCs $(F_1 - F_4)$ in Soil									
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	60	75	<10	<10	(65) 55				
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	910	1342	<10	36	(250) 230				
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	606	849	<50	89	(2,500) 1,700				
F4 (C ₃₄ -C ₅₀)	190	<50	<50	<50	(6,600) 3,300				
F4G (>C ₃₄)	2727	-	-	-	(6,600) 3,300				
Chromatogram descends to baseline by nC50 ? (Yes/No)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Surrogate Recovery (%)									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	78	88	115	77	60-140				
Toluene-d8	81	85	108	65	60-140				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	114	137	64	60-140				

Certificate of Analysis

 F_{4G} (gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons) cannot be added to the C_6 to C_{50} hydrocarbons.

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

Bold: Result exceeds limit noted in Soil Standards.

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

Industrial/Commercial/Community Property use (I/C/C); () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Demonster	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	MS	AR
Parameter	(μ g	/g)	Recov	ery (%)	Recov	ery (%)
BTEX in Soil	·		-		-	
Benzene	< 0.02	0.02	93	60-130	93	50-140
Toluene	< 0.2	0.2	115	60-130	117	50-140
Ethylbenzene	< 0.05	0.05	98	60-130	111	50-140
Xylenes	< 0.05	0.05	98	60-130	107	50-140
PHCs $(F_1 - F_4)$ in Soil						
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	<10	10	115	80-120	117	60-140
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	<10	10	96	80-120	97	60-140
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	<50	50	95	80-120	109	60-140
F4 (C ₃₄ -C ₅₀)	<50	50	93	80-120	85	60-140
Surrogates						
Parameter	Recovery (%)	AR	Recovery (%)	AR	Recovery (%)	AR
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	127	60-140	68	60-140	63	60-140
Toluene-d8	124	60-140	85	60-140	86	60-140
4-Bromofluorobenzene	115	60-140	87	60-140	92	60-140

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

MS - Matrix Spike

AR - Acceptable Range

BTEX should be subtracted from F_1 , Naphthalene from F_2 and selected PAHs from F_3 if BTEX/PAHs are analyzed, then report F_{1-BTEX} , $F_{2-Naph.}$ and F_{3-PAH} . n C_{50} response factor was within 70% of n C_{10} +n C_{16} +n C_{34} average.

	Duplicate	AR			Î
Parameter) (%)	<u></u>	L	4 B
BTEX in Soil					
Benzene	0.0	0-50			ĺ
Toluene	0.0	0-50			
Ethylbenzene	19	0-50			
Xylenes	22	0-50			
PHCs $(F_1 - F_4)$ in Soil					
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	7.1	0-30			
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	2.5	0-30			
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	8.6	0-30			
F4 (C ₃₄ -C ₅₀)	8.3	0-30			
Surrogates	·				
Parameter	Recovery (%)	AR			
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	129	60-140			
Toluene-d8	97	60-140			
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	60-140			

LEGEND:

AR - Acceptable Range

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR						
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	9 Soil and 4 Water Samples						
	17-6830-1	17-6830-3	17-6830-5	17-6830-6	17-6830-9			
Parameter	BH1	BH3	BH4	BH5	BH7	Soil Standards *		
	SS3	SS1	SS1	0.30-0.60m	SS1			
pH (pH unit)	7.50	7.61	7.31	8.21	7.25	(5-11) 5-9		

 \ast Surface soil pH value from 5 - 9, Sub-surface soil pH value from 5-11.

QA/QC Report

Parameter	LCS	AR	Duplicate	AR	
		Absolute Difference (pH Unit)			
pH (pH unit)	7.18	7.00-7.40	0.06	< 0.3	

LEGEND:

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

AR - Acceptable Range

0.28

1.3

1.4

0.18

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR						
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	9 Soil and 4 Water Samples						
	17-6830-1	17-6830-3	17-6830-5	17-6830-6	17-6830-9			
Parameter	BH1	BH3	BH4	BH5	BH7	Soil Standards ¹		
	SS3	SS1	SS1	0.30-0.60m	SS1			

0.43

Certificate of Analysis

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011. **Table 3**: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition. Industrial/Commercial/Community Property use (**I**/**C**/**C**).

0.22

QA/QC Report

Parameter	Blank	RL	LCS	LCS AR		Duplicate AR	
			Recovery (%)		RPD	RPD (%)	
EC (mS/cm)	< 0.01	0.01	108	90-110	2.7	0-10	

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

EC (mS/cm)

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

AR - Acceptable Range

1.5

1.1

0.15

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR						
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	9 Soil and 4 Water Samples						
	17-6830-1	17-6830-3	17-6830-5	17-6830-6	17-6830-9			
Parameter	BH1	BH3	BH4	BH5	BH7	Soil Standards ¹		
	SS 3	SS1	SS1	0 30-0 60m	SS1			

1.9

Certificate of Analysis

¹ MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011. **Table 3**: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition. Industrial/Commercial/Community Property use (**I**/**C**/**C**).

0.69

QA/QC Report

Parameter	LCS	AR	Duplicate	AR	
			RPD (%)		
SAR (no unit)	0.28	0.20-0.50	2.8	0-30	

LEGEND:

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

AR - Acceptable Range

SAR (no unit)

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

12

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR							
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	9 Soil and 4 Water Samples							
	17-6830-1	17-6830-2	17-6830-3	17-6830-4	17-6830-5	17-6830-6			
Parameter	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH4	BH5			
	SS3	SS2	SS1	0.30-0.60m	SS1	0.30-0.60m			
Moisture Content (%)	10	11	12	13	12	13			

Parameter	17-6830-7	17-6830-8	17-6830-9		
	BH5	BH6	BH7		
	SS1	SS1	SS1		
Moisture Content (%)	12	13	12		

QA/QC Report

Parameter	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	Duplicate	AR
			Recovery (%)		RPD (%)	
Moisture Content (%)	< 0.1	0.1	99	70-130	4.5	0-20

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

AR - Acceptable Range

< 0.5

3.8

85

< 0.5

459

< 0.5

<10

1.8

<5

<1

16

13

<5

< 0.3

< 0.5

<2

0.68

5.6

3.3

16

460

< 0.5

585

< 0.5

<10

<1

<5

<1

15

5.1

<5

< 0.3

< 0.5

4.6

1.3

<5

Certificate of Analysis

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR							
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	9 Soil and 4 Water Samples							
Parameter	17-6830-10 Exist MW	17-6830-11 MW1	17-6830-12 MW5	17-6830-13 MW7		Ground Water Standards ²			
	Concentration (µg/L)								

1.0

4.5

480

< 0.5

1140

< 0.5

<10

<1

<5

<1

3.7

7.0

<5

< 0.3

< 0.5

<2

2.0

<5

	-
	1
< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).	

² MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

< 0.5

7.5

138

< 0.5

763

< 0.5

<10

3.0

<5

<1

39

7.2

<5

< 0.3

< 0.5

3.8

1.2

6.0

Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

All Types of Property Use.

Metals in Water

Antimony

Arsenic

Barium

Boron Cadmium

Beryllium

Chromium Cobalt

Molybdenum

Copper

Nickel

Silver

Selenium

Thallium

Uranium

Zinc

Vanadium

Lead

20,000

1,900

29,000

67

45,000

2.7

810

66

87

25

9,200

490

63

1.5

510

420

250

1,100

Baramatar	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	MS	AR
Parameter	(μ	g/L)	Recov	/ery (%)	Recov	ery (%)
Metals in Water						
Antimony	< 0.5	0.5	95	80-120	110	70-130
Arsenic	<1	1	90	80-120	121	70-130
Barium	<2	2	99	80-120	121	70-130
Beryllium	< 0.5	0.5	84	80-120	78	70-130
Boron	<10	10	101	80-120	82	70-130
Cadmium	< 0.5	0.5	93	80-120	99	70-130
Chromium	<10	10	105	80-120	80	70-130
Cobalt	<1	1	106	80-120	85	70-130
Copper	<5	5	96	80-120	83	70-130
Lead	<1	1	84	80-120	101	70-130
Molybdenum	< 0.5	0.5	94	80-120	95	70-130
Nickel	<1	1	104	80-120	83	70-130
Selenium	<5	5	97	80-120	118	70-130
Silver	< 0.3	0.3	92	80-120	83	70-130
Thallium	< 0.5	0.5	84	80-120	105	70-130
Uranium	<2	2	92	80-120	104	70-130
Vanadium	< 0.5	0.5	104	80-120	84	70-130
Zinc	<5	5	82	80-120	107	70-130

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

MS - Matrix Spike

AR - Acceptable Range

	Duplicate	AR	1	[I
Parameter		D (%)		1	I
Metals in Water					
Antimony	0.0	0-20			
Arsenic	16	0-20			
Barium	2.8	0-20			
Beryllium	0.0	0-20			
Boron	3.3	0-20			
Cadmium	0.0	0-20			
Chromium	0.0	0-20			
Cobalt	6.5	0-20			
Copper	0.0	0-20			
Lead	0.0	0-20			
Molybdenum	2.9	0-20			
Nickel	3.0	0-20			
Selenium	0.0	0-20			
Silver	0.0	0-20			
Thallium	0.0	0-20			
Uranium	1.5	0-20			
Vanadium	2.5	0-20			
Zinc	9.3	0-20			

LEGEND:

AR - Acceptable Range

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs	, PHCs, pH, EC,	SAR		
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 W	Vater Samples			
Parameter	17-6830-10 Exist MW	17-6830-11 MW1	17-6830-12 MW5	17-6830-13 MW7	Ground Water Standards ²
			Concentra	tion (µg/L)	
VOCs in Water					
Acetone	<30	<30	<30	<30	130000
Benzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.4	<0.5	(430) 44
Bromodichloromethane	<2	<2	<2	<2	85000
Bromoform	<5	<5	<5	<5	(770) 380
Bromomethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(56) 5.6
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	<0.2	(8.4) 0.79
Chlorobenzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	630
Chloroform	<1	<1	<1	<1	(22) 2.4
Dibromochloromethane	<2	<2	<2	<2	82000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(9600) 4600
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	9600
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	(67) 8
Dichlordifluoromethane	<2	<2	<2	<2	4400
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(3100) 320
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(12) 1.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(17) 1.6
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(17) 1.6
t-1,2-Dichloroethylene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(17) 1.6
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(140) 16
1,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-)	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	(45) 5.2
Ethylbenzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	2300
Ethylene Dibromide	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	(0.83) 0.25
Hexane (n)	<5	<5	<5	<5	(520) 51
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	<20	<20	<20	<20	(150000)470000
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	<20	<20	<20	<20	(580000)140000
Methyl tert-butyl Ether	<2	<2	<2	<2	(1400) 190
Methylene Chloride	<5	<5	<5	<5	(5500) 610
Styrene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(9100) 1300
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	(28) 3.3
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(15) 3.2
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(17) 1.6
Toluene	<0.5	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	18000
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(6700) 640
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(30) 4.7
Trichloroethylene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(17) 1.6
Trichlorofluoromethane	<5	<5	<5	<5	2500
Vinyl Chloride	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(1.7) 0.5
Xylenes	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4200
Surrogate Recovery (%)					
Bromochloromethane	108	97	88	106	60-140
1,4-Difluorobenzene	100	97	90	108	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobutane	101	97	90	100	60-140

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

² MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011. **Table 3**: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

All Types of Property Use; () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Blank

Parameter

MS

AR

AR

(ug/L)Recovery (%) Recovery (%) **VOCs** in Water 30 50-140 81 50-140 Acetone <30 114 101 105 50-140 Benzene < 0.5 0.5 60-130 2 97 Bromodichloromethane <2 50-140 108 50-140 <5 5 95 92 50-140 Bromoform 60-130 0.5 91 50-140 95 50-140 < 0.5 Bromomethane 93 Carbon Tetrachloride < 0.2 0.2 60-130 100 50-140 < 0.5 0.5 92 82 69 Chlorobenzene 60-130 Chloroform <1 100 108 115 50-140 1 50-140 Dibromochloromethane <2 2 97 60-130 106 1,2-Dichlorobenzene < 0.5 0.5 99 60-130 107 50-140 1.3-Dichlorobenzene < 0.5 0.5 89 60-130 76 50-140 1,4-Dichlorobenzene < 0.5 0.5 85 60-130 80 50-140 2 50-140 89 50-140 Dichlordifluoromethane <2 69 50-140 < 0.5 0.5 109 60-130 108 1.1-Dichloroethane < 0.5 0.5 100 60-130 109 50-140 1,2-Dichloroethane 1.1-Dichloroethylene < 0.5 0.5 99 60-130 102 50-140 c-1,2-Dichloroethylene < 0.5 0.5 110 60-130 77 50-140 t-1.2-Dichloroethylene < 0.5 0.5 92 60-130 106 50-140 50-140 1,2-Dichloropropane < 0.5 0.5 97 60-130 102 0.5 104 60-130 79 50-140 ,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-< 0.5 50-140 Ethvlbenzene < 0.5 0.5 85 60-130 85 Ethylene Dibromide < 0.2 0.2 100 60-130 96 50-140 <5 5 82 60-130 91 50-140 Hexane (n) <20 20 114 50-140 50-140 Methyl Ethyl Ketone 111 Methyl Isobutyl Ketone <20 20 102 50-140 98 50-140 2 <2 74 60-130 85 50-140 Methyl tert-butyl Ether Methylene Chloride 97 60-130 106 50-140 <5 5 < 0.5 0.5 87 87 50-140 60-130 Styrene 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane < 0.5 0.5 98 60-130 74 50-140 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane < 0.5 0.5 106 60-130 131 50-140 Tetrachloroethylene < 0.5 0.5 93 60-130 99 50-140 Toluene < 0.5 0.5 60-130 105 50-140 86 1,1,1-Trichloroethane < 0.5 0.5 106 60-130 118 50-140 1,1,2-Trichloroethane < 0.5 0.5 91 60-130 123 50-140 50-140 Trichloroethylene < 0.5 0.5 98 60-130 106 Trichlorofluoromethane <5 5 83 50-140 109 50-140 Vinyl Chloride < 0.5 0.5 114 50-140 110 50-140 97 **X**ylenes < 0.5 0.5 60-130 82 50-140 **Surrogates** Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Parameter AR AR AR Bromocholoromethane 109 60-140 112 60-140 117 60-140 1,4-Difluorobenzene 109 60-140 109 60-140 60-140 116 1,4-Dichlorobutane 118 60-140 114 60-140 107 60-140

QA/QC Report

LCS

RL

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit LCS - Laboratory Control Sample MS - Matrix Spike AR - Acceptable Range

	Duplicate	AR			
Parameter) (%)	·		I <u></u>
VOCs in Water			1		
Acetone	0.0	0-30			
Benzene	15	0-30			
Bromodichloromethane	0.0	0-30			
Bromoform	0.0	0-30			
Bromomethane	0.0	0-30			
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.0	0-30			
Chlorobenzene	0.0	0-30			
Chloroform	0.0	0-30			
Dibromochloromethane	0.0	0-30			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.0	0-30			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.0	0-30			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.0	0-30			
Dichlordifluoromethane	0.0	0-30			
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.0	0-30			
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0	0-30			
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.0	0-30			
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.0	0-30			
t-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.0	0-30			
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.0	0-30			
1,3-Dichloropropene (cis-+trans-)	0.0	0-30			
Ethylbenzene	0.0	0-30			
Ethylene Dibromide	0.0	0-30			
Hexane (n)	0.0	0-30			
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	0.0	0-30			
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.0	0-30			
Methyl tert-butyl Ether	0.0	0-30			
Methylene Chloride	0.0	0-30			
Styrene	0.0	0-30			
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.0	0-30			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.0	0-30			
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0	0-30			
Toluene	7.4	0-30			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.0	0-30			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.0	0-30			
Trichloroethylene	0.0	0-30			
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0	0-30			
Vinyl Chloride	0.0	0-30			
Xylenes	0.0	0-30			
Surrogates					
Parameter	Recovery (%)	AR			
Bromocholoromethane	93	60-140		 	
1,4-Difluorobenzene	92	60-140			
1,4-Dichlorobutane	93	60-140			

LEGEND:

AR - Acceptable Range

Analysis Requested:	Metals, VOCs, PHCs, pH, EC, SAR							
Sample Description:	9 Soil and 4 Water Samples							
Parameter	17-6830-10 Exist MW	17-6830-11 MW1	17-6830-12 MW5	17-6830-13 MW7	Ground Water Standards ²			
			Concentra	tion (µg/L)				
BTEX in Water								
Benzene	< 0.5	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	(430) 44			
Toluene	< 0.5	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	18000			
Ethylbenzene	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2300			
Xylenes	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	4200			
PHCs (F1-F4) in Water	•							
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	<25	<25	<25	<25	750			
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	<100	<100	<100	<100	150			
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	<100	<100	<100	<100	500			
F4 (>C ₃₄)	<100	<100	<100	<100	500			
Chromatogram descends to baseline by nC50 ? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Surrogate Recovery (%)								
Bromochloromethane	108	97	88	106	60-140			
1,4-Difluorobenzene	101	97	90	108	60-140			
1,4-Dichlorobutane	106	97	90	107	60-140			

 F_{4G} (gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons) cannot be added to the C_6 to C_{50} hydrocarbons.

< result obtained was below RL (Reporting Limit).

² MOE - Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, April 15, 2011.

 Table 3: Full Depth Generic Site Condition Standards in a Non-Potable Ground Water Condition.

All Types of Property Use; () Standard value in brackets applies to medium and fine textured soils.

Parameter	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	MS	AR
Falameter	(นยู	ı/L)	Recov	ery (%)	Recov	ery (%)
BTEX in Water						
Benzene	< 0.5	0.5	101	60-130	105	50-140
Toluene	< 0.5	0.5	85	60-130	85	50-140
Ethylbenzene	< 0.5	0.5	86	60-130	105	50-140
Xylenes	< 0.5	0.5	97	60-130	82	50-140
PHC (F1-F4) in Water						
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	<25	25	85	60-140	135	60-140
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	<100	100	96	60-140	97	60-140
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	<100	100	95	60-140	109	60-140
F4 (>C ₃₄)	<100	100	93	60-140	85	60-140
Surrogates						
Parameter	Recovery (%)	AR	Recovery (%)	AR	Recovery (%)	AR
Bromochloromethane	109	60-140	117	60-140	112	60-140
1,4-Difluorobenzene	109	60-140	109	60-140	116	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobutane	118	60-140	114	60-140	107	60-140

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

MS - Matrix Spike

AR - Acceptable Range

	Duplicate	AR			
Parameter	RPD	RPD (%)			
BTEX in Water					
Benzene	15	0-30			
Toluene	7.4	0-30			
Ethylbenzene	0.0	0-30			
Xylenes	0.0	0-30			
PHC (F1-F4) in Water					
$F1_{-BTEX}(C_6 - C_{10})$	1.0	0-30			
F2 (C ₁₀ - C ₁₆)	2.5	0-30			
F3 (C ₁₆ - C ₃₄)	8.6	0-30			
F4 (>C ₃₄)	8.3	0-30			
Surrogates					
Parameter	Recovery (%)	AR			
Bromochloromethane	93	60-140			
1,4-Difluorobenzene	92	60-140			
1,4-Dichlorobutane	93	60-140			

LEGEND:

AR - Acceptable Range