# patersongroup

Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

**Materials Testing** 

**Building Science** 

**Archaeological Services** 

# **Geotechnical Investigation**

Proposed Multi-Storey Building 851 Richmond Road - Ottawa

**Prepared For** 

Homestead Land Holdings Ltd.

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca October 3, 2017

Report: PG4163-1 Revision 1

# **Table of Contents**

## Page

| 1.0 | Introduction   |
|-----|--|
| 2.0 | Proposed Project1  |
| 3.0 | Method of Investigation3.1Field Investigation23.2Field Survey33.3Laboratory Testing3   |
| 4.0 | Observations4.1Surface Conditions44.2Subsurface Profile44.3Groundwater4  |
| 5.0 | Discussion5.1Geotechnical Assessment.65.2Site Grading and Preparation65.3Foundation Design115.4Design of Earthquakes115.5Basement Slab135.6Basement Wall135.7Pavement Structure.15   |
| 6.0 | Design and Construction Precautions6.1Foundation Drainage and Backfill176.2Protection Against Frost Action186.3Excavation Side Slopes186.4Pipe Bedding and Backfill206.5Groundwater Control206.6Winter Construction216.7Corrosion Potential and Sulphate22 |
| 7.0 | Recommendations 23   |
| 8.0 | Statement of Limitations   |



# Appendices

- Appendix 1 Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets Symbols and Terms Analytical Testing Results
- Appendix 2 Figure 1 Key Plan Figures 2 and 3 - Seismic Shear Wave Velocity Profiles Drawing PG4163-1 - Test Hole Location Plan

# 1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Homestead Land Holdings Ltd. (Homestead) to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed multi-storey building to be located at 851 Richmond Road in the City of Ottawa (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan presented in Appendix 2).

The objective of the investigation was to:

- □ Determine the subsoil and groundwater conditions at this site by means of boreholes.
- □ Provide geotechnical recommendations for the design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect its design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. It contains our findings and includes geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as they are understood at the time of writing this report.

Investigating the presence or potential presence of contamination on the subject property was not part of the scope of work of this present investigation. A report addressing environmental issues for the subject site was prepared under separate cover.

# 2.0 Proposed Project

It is our understanding that the proposed project consists of a multi-storey building with two underground parking levels encompassing the majority of the subject site.



# 3.0 Method of Investigation

## 3.1 Field Investigation

The field program for our geotechnical investigation was carried out on June 1, 2017. At that time, a total of six (6) boreholes were advanced to a maximum depth of 7.0 m. The borehole locations were determined in the field by Paterson personnel taking into consideration site features and underground services. The locations of the boreholes are shown on Drawing PG4163-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

The boreholes were put down using a track-mounted auger drill rig operated by a two person crew. All fieldwork was conducted under the full-time supervision of personnel from Paterson's geotechnical division under the direction of a senior engineer. The testing procedure consisted of augering and rock coring to the required depths and at the selected locations and sampling the overburden.

#### Sampling and In Situ Testing

Soil samples were collected from the boreholes using two different techniques, namely, sampled directly from the auger flights (AU) or collected using a 50 mm diameter split-spoon (SS) sampler. Rock cores (RC) were obtained using 47.6 mm inside diameter coring equipment. All samples were visually inspected and initially classified on site. The auger and split-spoon samples were placed in sealed plastic bags, and rock cores were placed in cardboard boxes. All samples were transported to our laboratory for further examination and classification. The depths at which the auger, split spoon and rock core samples were recovered from the boreholes are shown as AU, SS and RC, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

The recovery value and a Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value were calculated for each drilled section of bedrock and are presented on the borehole logs. The recovery value is the length of the bedrock sample recovered over the length of the drilled section. The RQD value is the total length of intact rock pieces longer than 100 mm over the length of the core run. The values indicate the bedrock quality.

The subsurface conditions observed in the boreholes were recorded in detail in the field. The soil profiles are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 of this report.

#### Groundwater

Monitoring wells and flexible standpipes were installed in the boreholes to permit monitoring of the groundwater levels subsequent to the completion of the sampling program.

#### Sample Storage

All samples will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one month after issuance of this report. They will then be discarded unless we are otherwise directed.

### 3.2 Field Survey

The borehole locations were determined by Paterson personnel taking into consideration the presence of underground and aboveground services. The location and ground surface elevation at each borehole location was surveyed by Paterson personnel. The ground surface elevation at the borehole locations were surveyed with respect to a temporary benchmark (TBM), consisting of the top of catch basin located within the northeast corner the existing site. A geodetic elevation of 65.24 m was provided for the TBM by Homestead. The borehole locations and ground surface elevation at each borehole location are presented on Drawing PG4163-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

#### 3.3 Laboratory Testing

The soil samples and rock cores recovered from the subject site were examined in our laboratory to review the results of the field logging.

# 4.0 Observations

## 4.1 Surface Conditions

The subject site is currently occupied by at-grade parking for the adjacent multi-storey residential building to the west. The site is bordered to the north by an easement, which contains a large diameter watermain, followed by residential buildings, to the south by Richmond Road and to the east by at grade parking area. The ground surface across the site is relatively flat and at grade with the neighbouring properties.

## 4.2 Subsurface Profile

Generally, the subsurface profile encountered at the borehole locations consists of 60 to 100 mm thickness of asphalt overlying a granular layer, consisting of crushed stone with silt and sand with maximum thickness of 230 mm. The pavement structure lies atop a fill layer, consisting of loose to compact, brown to grey sand and gravel with trace to some silt and clay which extends to a depth of approximately 1.5 to 2.5 m. A native glacial till deposit was encountered underlying the abovenoted fill layers followed by a grey limestone bedrock. Generally, the bedrock quality consists of poor quality within the upper 0.5 to 1 m and fair to excellent quality at depth based on the RQD values. The upper portion of the bedrock was noted to consist of a weathered, poor quality bedrock. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location.

Based on available geological mapping, the bedrock in this area mostly consists of limestone with some shaly partings of the Ottawa formation with an overburden drift thickness of less than 5 m depth.

#### 4.3 Groundwater

The measured groundwater levels in the monitoring wells and piezometers at the borehole locations are presented in Table 1. It should be further noted that the groundwater level could vary at the time of construction.

| pat    | tersong  | roup      |
|--------|----------|-----------|
| Ottawa | Kingston | North Bay |

| Table 1 - Summary of C | Table 1 - Summary of Groundwater Level Readings |              |                   |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Test Hole<br>Number    | Ground<br>Elevation                             | Groundw<br>( | ater Levels<br>m) | Recording Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        | (m)   | Depth        | Elevation         |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH 1                   | 66.03   | 2.93         | 63.10             | June 8, 2017   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH 2                   | 65.69   | 2.31         | 63.38             | June 8, 2017   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH 3                   | 65.44   | 3.72         | 61.72             | June 8, 2017   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH 4                   | 66.05   | 2.19         | 63.86             | June 8, 2017   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH 5                   | 65.79   | 3.20         | 62.59             | June 8, 2017   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH 6                   | 65.56   | 3.35         | 62.21             | June 8, 2017   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# 5.0 Discussion

## 5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is adequate for the proposed multistorey building. The proposed building is expected to be founded on conventional footings placed on clean, surface sounded bedrock.

Bedrock removal will be required to complete the two (2) levels of underground parking. Line drilling and controlled blasting where large quantities of bedrock need to be removed is recommended. The blasting operations should be planned and completed under the guidance of a professional engineer with experience in blasting operations.

An alignment of a large diameter watermain runs within an easement along the north property boundary of the subject site. It is expected that the adjacent watermain could be subjected to potential vibrations associated with the bedrock blasting program. To ensure that no detrimental vibrations cause damage to the adjacent watermain, a vibration attenuation trench is recommended for the bedrock along the north excavation face, as well as a vibration monitoring and control program during the blasting and excavation work required for the proposed building excavation.

The above and other considerations are further discussed in the following sections.

## 5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

#### **Stripping Depth**

Due to the relatively shallow bedrock depth at the subject site and the anticipated founding level for the proposed building, all existing overburden material will be excavated from within the proposed building footprint. Bedrock removal will be required for the construction of the parking garage levels.

#### Bedrock Removal

Based on the bedrock encountered in the area, it is expected that line-drilling in conjunction with hoe-ramming or controlled blasting will be required to remove the bedrock. In areas of weathered bedrock and where only a small quantity of bedrock is to be removed, bedrock removal may be possible by hoe-ramming.

Prior to considering blasting operations, the effects on the existing services, buildings and other structures should be addressed. A pre-blast or construction survey located in proximity of the blasting operations should be conducted prior to commencing construction. The extent of the survey should be determined by the blasting consultant and sufficient to respond to any inquiries/claims related to the blasting operations.

As a general guideline, peak particle velocity (measured at the structures) should not exceed 25 mm/s during the blasting program to reduce the risks of damage to the existing structures.

The blasting operations should be planned and conducted under the supervision of a licensed professional engineer who is an experienced blasting consultant.

Excavation side slopes in sound bedrock could be completed with almost vertical side walls. Where bedrock is of lower quality, the excavation face should be free of any loose rock. An area specific review should be completed by the geotechnical consultant at the time of construction to determine if rock bolting or other remedial measures are required to provide a safe excavation face for areas where low quality bedrock is encountered.

A vibration attenuation trench is recommended to be completed within the bedrock along the north property boundary. The construction of the vibration attenuation trench would require line drilling in a tight pattern on both sides of the proposed 1 m wide trench alignment and within the interior portion of the trench to the design underside of footing elevation. A hoe ram operation would be used to break up the bedrock and remove it from the trench. It is expected that the coreholes for the bedrock blasting program may not be possible within 1 to 2 m of the attenuation trench due to the presence of the drilled holes within the attenuation trench, which can cause an energy loss and blow-out during blasting if connected to the blast source by potential fractures within the bedrock. Therefore, a hoe ramming operation will most likely be required to complete the bedrock removal within the area adjacent to the attenuation trench.

#### **Vibration Considerations**

Construction operations could cause vibrations, and possibly, sources of nuisance to the community. Therefore, means to reduce the vibration levels as much as possible should be incorporated in the construction operations to maintain a cooperative environment with the residents.

The following construction equipments could cause vibrations: piling equipment, hoe ram, compactor, dozer, crane, truck traffic, etc. The construction of the shoring system with soldier piles or sheet piling will require these pieces of equipments. Vibrations, caused by blasting or construction operations could cause detrimental vibrations on the adjoining buildings and structures. Therefore, it is recommended that all vibrations be limited.

Two parameters determine the recommended vibration limit, the maximum peak particle velocity and the frequency. For low frequency vibrations, the maximum allowable peak particle velocity is less than that for high frequency vibrations. As a guideline, the peak particle velocity should be less than 15 mm/s between frequencies of 4 to 12 Hz, and 50 mm/s above a frequency of 40 Hz (interpolate between 12 and 40 Hz). These guidelines are for current construction standards. These guidelines are above perceptible human level and, in some cases, could be very disturbing to some people, a pre-construction survey is recommended to minimize the risks of claims during or following the construction of the proposed building.

#### Vibration Monitoring and Control Plan

To ensure that no disturbance to the existing watermain occurs, a vibration monitoring and control plan (VMCP) is recommended during the excavation program. The purpose of the vibration monitoring and control plan is to provide measures to be implemented by the contractor to manage excavation operations and any other vibration sources during the construction for the proposed development. The VMCP will also provide a guideline for assessing results against the relevant vibration impact assessment criteria and recommendations to meet the required limits.

The monitoring program will incorporate real time results at the existing watermain segment adjacent to the subject site. The monitoring equipment should consist of a tri-axial seismograph, capable of measuring vibration intensities up to 254 mm/s at a frequency response of 2 to 250 Hz. At least two vibration monitoring devices should be placed adjacent to the existing watermain. It is recommended that the vibration monitoring devices be installed at invert level of the existing watermain and periodically inspected during the construction program.

A copy of the geotechnical report, which includes the VMCP should be provided to all parties involved with the construction for review. A meeting between Paterson and site contractor should be conducted prior to any excavation or construction of the subject site to review the following:

- Review the pre-condition/pre-construction survey;
- Control measures (i.e vibrations, noise);
- □ Monitoring locations;
- Tracking and reporting of excavation progress, and;
- Review procedure for exceedances (i.e vibrations, noise), complaints, evaluation and corrective measures.

When an event is triggered, Paterson will review the results and provide any necessary feedback. Otherwise, the vibration results will be summarized in the weekly report. The following table outlines the vibration limits for the adjacent watermain segment.

| Table 2 - Stru                         | ucture Vibration Limits for      | Table 2 - Structure Vibration Limits for adjacent Watermain Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dominant<br>Frequency<br>Range<br>(Hz) | Peak Particle Velocity<br>(mm/s) | Event   | Description of Event   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <10                                    | all                              | none  | no action required   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <40                                    | >10                              | trigger level   | Warning e-mail sent to contractor.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <40                                    | ≥15                              | exceedance<br>level   | Exceedance e-mail and phone call to the contractor. All operations are ceased to review on-site activities.          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >40                                    | >15                              | trigger level   | Warning e-mail sent to contractor.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >40                                    | ≥20                              | exceedance<br>level   | Exceedance e-mail and phone<br>call to the contractor. All<br>operations are ceased to review<br>on-site activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The monitoring protocol should include the following information:

**Trigger Level Event** 

- Paterson will review all vibrations over the established warning level, and;
- Paterson will notify the contractor if any vibration occur due to construction activities and are close to exceedance level.

Exceedance Level Event

- Paterson will notify all the relevant stakeholders via email;
- Ensure monitors are functioning, and;
- □ Issue the vibration exceedance result.

#### Fill Placement

Fill used for grading beneath the building areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. This material should be tested and approved prior to delivery to the site. The fill should be placed in lifts no greater than 300 mm thick and compacted using suitable compaction equipment for the lift thickness. Fill placed beneath the proposed building areas should be compacted to at least 98% of its standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

Non-specified existing fill along with site-excavated soil can be used as general landscaping fill and beneath parking areas where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern. In landscaped areas, these materials should be spread in thin lifts and at least compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. If these materials are to be used to build up the subgrade level for areas to be paved, they should be compacted in thin lifts to a minimum density of 95% of their respective SPMDD. Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for use as backfill against foundation walls unless a composite drainage blanket connected to a perimeter drainage system is provided.

## 5.3 Foundation Design

#### **Bearing Resistance Values**

Footings placed on a clean, surface sounded limestone bedrock surface can be designed using a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of **2,500 kPa** incorporating a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5.

A clean, surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface should be free of loose materials, and have no near surface seams, voids, fissures or open joints which can be detected from surface sounding with a rock hammer.

#### Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to a sound bedrock bearing medium when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1H:6V (or flatter) passes only through sound bedrock or a material of the same or higher capacity as the bedrock, such as concrete. A weathered bedrock bearing medium will require a lateral support zone of 1H:1V (or flatter).

#### Settlement

Footings bearing on an acceptable bedrock bearing surface and designed for the bearing resistance values provided herein will be subjected to negligible potential post-construction total and differential settlements.

## 5.4 Design for Earthquakes

A site specific shear wave velocity test was completed by Paterson to accurately determine the applicable seismic site classification for foundation design of the proposed building as presented in Table 4.1.8.4.A of the Ontario Building Code 2012. Two (2) shear wave velocity profiles from our on-site testing are presented in Appendix 2.

#### Field Program

The location of the seismic array was chosen to provide adequate coverage of the area. The seismic array testing location is presented in Drawing PG4163-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

At the seismic array location, Paterson field personnel placed 18 horizontal 4.5 Hz. geophones mounted to the surface by means of two 75 mm ground spikes attached to the geophone land case. The geophones were spaced at 2 m intervals and connected by a geophone spread cable to a Geode 24 Channel seismograph.

The seismograph was connected to a computer laptop and a hammer trigger switch attached to a 12 pound dead blow hammer. The hammer trigger switch sends a start signal to the seismograph. The hammer is used to strike an I-Beam seated into the ground surface, which creates a polarized shear wave. The hammer shots are repeated between five to ten times at each shot location to improve signal to noise ratio. The shot locations are also completed in forward and reverse directions (i.e.-striking both sides of the I-Beam seated parallel to the geophone array). The shot locations are located at 3,4.5 and 13.5 m away from the first, 3, 4.5, and 14 m away from the last geophone, and at the center of the seismic array.

The methods of testing completed by Paterson are guided by the standard testing procedures used by the expert seismologists at Carleton University and Geological Survey of Canada (GSC).

#### **Data Processing and Interpretation**

Interpretation for the shear wave velocity results were completed by Paterson personnel. Shear wave velocity measurement was made using reflection/refraction methods. The interpretation is performed by recovering arrival times from direct and refracted waves. The interpretation is repeated at each shot location to provide an average shear wave velocity,  $Vs_{30}$ , of the upper 30 m profile, immediately below the building's foundation.

Based on the test results, the average overburden seismic shear wave velocity is 248 m/s. Through interpretation, the bedrock has a shear wave velocity of 2,256 m/s. The  $Vs_{30}$  was calculated using the standard equation for average shear wave velocity from the Ontario Building Code (OBC) 2012.

The  $Vs_{30}$  was calculated using the standard equation for average shear wave velocity calculation from the Ontario Building Code (OBC) 2012, as presented below.

$$V_{s30} = \frac{Depth_{OfInterest}(m)}{\sum \left(\frac{(Depth_i(m))}{Vs_i(m/s)}\right)}$$
$$V_{s30} = \frac{30m}{\left(\frac{0.0m}{248m/s} + \frac{30.0m}{2,256m/s}\right)}$$
$$V_{s30} = 2,256m/s$$

Based on the results of the seismic testing, the average shear wave velocity,  $Vs_{30}$ , beneath the foundation is 2,256 m/s. Therefore, a **Site Class A** is applicable for design of the proposed buildings, as per Table 4.1.8.4.A of the OBC 2012. The soils underlying the subject site are not susceptible to liquefaction.

## 5.5 Basement Slab

All overburden soil will be removed for the proposed building and the basement floor slab will be founded on a bedrock medium. OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, are recommended for backfilling below the floor slab. It is recommended that the upper 200 mm of sub-slab fill consists of a 19 mm clear crushed stone.

In consideration of the groundwater conditions encountered during the investigation, a subfloor drainage system, consisting of lines of perforated drainage pipe subdrains connected to a positive outlet, should be provided in the clear stone backfill under the lower basement floor.

## 5.6 Basement Wall

It is expected that a portion of the basement walls are to be poured against a composite drainage blanket, which will be placed against the exposed bedrock face. A nominal coefficient of at-rest earth pressure of 0.05 is recommended in conjunction with a dry unit weight of 23.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup> (effective unit weight of 15.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup>). A seismic earth pressure component will not be applicable for the foundation wall, which is to be poured against the bedrock face. It is expected that the seismic earth pressure will be transferred to the underground floor slabs, which should be designed to accommodate these pressures. A hydrostatic groundwater pressure should be added for the portion below the groundwater level.

Undrained conditions are anticipated (i.e. below the groundwater level). Therefore, the applicable effective unit weight of the retained soil should be  $13 \text{ kN/m}^3$ , where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when calculating the effective unit weight.

Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The parameters for design calculations for the two conditions are presented below.

#### **Static Conditions**

The static horizontal earth pressure ( $p_o$ ) could be calculated with a triangular earth pressure distribution equal to  $K_o \cdot \gamma \cdot H$  where:

- $K_{o}$  = at-rest earth pressure coefficient of the applicable retained soil, 0.5
- $\gamma$  = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)
- H = height of the wall (m)

An additional pressure with a magnitude equal to  $K_o \cdot q$  and acting on the entire height of the wall should be added to the above diagram for any surcharge loading, q (kPa), that may be placed at ground surface adjacent to the wall. The surcharge pressure will only be applicable for static analyses and should not be used in conjunction with the seismic loading case.

Actual earth pressures could be higher than the "at-rest" case if care is not exercised during the compaction of the backfill materials to maintain a minimum separation of 0.3 m from the walls with the compaction equipment.

#### **Seismic Conditions**

The total seismic force ( $P_{AE}$ ) includes both the earth force component ( $P_o$ ) and the seismic component ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ).

The seismic earth force ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ) could be calculated using 0.375  $\cdot a_c \cdot \gamma \cdot H^2/g$  where:

 $a_c = (1.45 - a_{max}/g)a_{max}$   $\gamma =$  unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>) H = height of the wall (m) g = gravity, 9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup> The peak ground acceleration,  $(a_{max})$ , for the Ottawa area is 0.32g according to OBC 2012. The vertical seismic coefficient is assumed to be zero.

The earth force component (P<sub>o</sub>) under seismic conditions could be calculated using P<sub>o</sub> = 0.5 K<sub>o</sub>  $\gamma$  H<sup>2</sup>, where K<sub>o</sub> = 0.5 for the soil conditions presented above.

The total earth force  $(P_{AE})$  is considered to act at a height, h (m), from the base of the wall, where:

 $h = \{P_{o} \cdot (H/3) + \Delta P_{AE} \cdot (0.6 \cdot H)\} / P_{AE}$ 

The earth forces calculated are unfactored. For the ULS case, the earth loads should be factored as live loads, as per OBC 2012.

### 5.7 Pavement Structure

For design purposes, the pavement structure presented in the following tables could be used for the design of car parking areas and access lanes.

| Table 3 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Car Only Parking Areas   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Thickness<br>(mm)   | Material Description                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50  | Wear Course - HL 3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150   | BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300   | SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBGRADE - Either fill, in situ soil or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ or fill |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Table 4 - Recommend<br>Thickness<br>(mm) | Material Description  |
|--|---|
| 40                                       | Wear Course - HL3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete                        |
| 50                                       | Binder Course - HL8 or Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete                      |
| 150                                      | BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone  |
| 400                                      | SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II   |
| SUBGRADE - Either fill, i<br>or fill     | n situ soil or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil |

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this project.

If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated to a competent layer and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type II material. Weak subgrade conditions may be experienced over service trench fill materials. This may require the use of a geotextile, such as Terratrack 200 or equivalent, thicker subbase or other measures that can be recommended at the time of construction as part of the field observation program.

The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 100% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment, noting that excessive compaction can result in subgrade softening.

# 6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

## 6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

#### **Foundation Drainage**

It is recommended that a perimeter foundation drainage system be provided for the proposed structures. It is expected that insufficient room is available for exterior backfill. It is suggested that this system could be as follows:

- Bedrock vertical surface (Hoe ram any irregularities and prepare bedrock surface. Shotcrete areas to fill in cavities and smooth out angular features at the bedrock surface);
- Composite drainage layer

It is recommended that the composite drainage system (such as Miradrain G100N, Delta Drain 6000 or equivalent) extend down to the footing level. It is recommended that 150 mm diameter sleeves at 3 m centres be cast in the footing or at the foundation wall/footing interface to allow the infiltration of water to flow to the interior perimeter drainage pipe. The perimeter drainage pipe and underfloor drainage system should direct water to sump pit(s) within the lower basement area.

#### **Underfloor Drainage**

It is anticipated that underfloor drainage will be required to control water infiltration. For preliminary design purposes, we recommend that 100 or 150 mm in perforated pipes be placed at 6 m centres. The spacing of the underfloor drainage system should be confirmed at the time of completing the excavation when water infiltration can be better assessed.

#### Foundation Backfill

Above the bedrock surface, backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of free-draining non frost susceptible granular materials. The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a drainage geocomposite, such as Miradrain G100N or Delta Drain 6000, connected to the perimeter foundation drainage system. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should otherwise be used for this purpose.

## 6.2 **Protection Against Frost Action**

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. A minimum 1.5 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided in this regard.

A minimum of 2.1 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided for other exterior unheated footings.

## 6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

#### Unsupported Excavations

The side slopes of excavations in the soil and fill overburden materials should be either cut back at acceptable slopes or should be retained by shoring systems from the start of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. It is assumed that sufficient room will be available for the greater part of the excavation to be undertaken by open-cut methods (i.e. unsupported excavations).

The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsoil at this site is considered to be mainly Type 2 and 3 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be kept away from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

It is recommended that a trench box be used at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. It is expected that services will be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations will not be left open for extended periods of time.



#### **Temporary Shoring**

The design and approval of the shoring system will be the responsibility of the shoring contractor and the shoring designer hired by the shoring contractor. It is the responsibility of the shoring contractor to ensure that the temporary shoring is in compliance with safety requirements, designed to avoid any damage to adjacent structures and include dewatering control measures. In the event that subsurface conditions differ from the approved design during the actual installation, it is the responsibility of the shoring contractor to commission the required experts to re-assess the design and implement the required changes. Furthermore, the design of the temporary shoring system should take into consideration, a full hydrostatic condition which can occur during significant precipitation events.

The temporary system could consist of soldier pile and lagging system or interlocking steel sheet piling. Any additional loading due to street traffic, construction equipment, adjacent structures and facilities, etc., should be included to the earth pressures described below. These systems could be cantilevered, anchored or braced. Generally, the shoring systems should be provided with tie-back rock anchors to ensure the stability. The shoring system is recommended to be adequately supported to resist toe failure, if required, by means of rock bolts or extending the piles into the bedrock through pre-augered holes if a soldier pile and lagging system is the preferred method.

The earth pressures acting on the shoring system may be calculated with the following parameters.

| Table 5 - Soil Parameters                            |        |
|--|--------|
| Parameters   | Values |
| Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (K <sub>a</sub> )  | 0.33   |
| Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient (K <sub>p</sub> ) | 3      |
| At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient ( $K_o$ )         | 0.5    |
| Dry Unit Weight ( $\gamma$ ), kN/m <sup>3</sup>      | 20     |
| Effective Unit Weight (γ), kN/m <sup>3</sup>         | 13     |

The active earth pressure should be calculated where wall movements are permissible while the at-rest pressure should be calculated if no movement is permissible. The dry unit weight should be calculated above the groundwater level while the effective unit weight should be calculated below the groundwater level. The hydrostatic groundwater pressure should be included to the earth pressure distribution wherever the effective unit weight are calculated for earth pressures. If the groundwater level is lowered, the dry unit weight for the soil/bedrock should be calculated full weight, with no hydrostatic groundwater pressure component.

For design purposes, the minimum factor of safety of 1.5 should be calculated.

## 6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

A minimum of 300 mm of OPSS Granular A should be placed for bedding for sewer or water pipes when placed on bedrock subgrade. The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to a minimum of 300 mm above the pipe obvert should consist of OPSS Granular A (concrete or PSM PVC pipes) or sand (concrete pipe). The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick lifts compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to reduce the potential differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

## 6.5 Groundwater Control

#### **Groundwater Control for Building Construction**

The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

Infiltration levels are anticipated to be low through the excavation face. The groundwater infiltration will be controllable with open sumps and pumps.

A temporary MOE permit to take water (PTTW) will be required for this project if more than 50,000 L/day are to be pumped during the construction phase. A minimum of four to five months should be allocated for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MOE.



#### Long-term Groundwater Control

Our recommendations for the proposed building's long-term groundwater control are presented in Subsection 6.1. Any groundwater encountered along the building's perimeter or sub-slab drainage system will be directed to the proposed building's cistern/sump pit. Provided the proposed groundwater infiltration control system is properly implemented and approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of construction, it is expected that groundwater flow will be low (i.e.- less than 50,000 L/day) with peak periods noted after rain events. A more accurate estimate can be provided at the time of construction, once groundwater infiltration levels are observed. It is anticipated that the groundwater flow will be controllable using conventional open sumps.

#### Impacts on Neighbouring Structures

Based on our observations, a local groundwater lowering is anticipated under shortterm conditions due to construction of the proposed building. It should be noted that the extent of any significant groundwater lowering will take place within a limited range of the subject site due to the minimal temporary groundwater lowering.

The neighbouring structures are expected to be founded within native glacial till and/or directly over a bedrock bearing surface. No issues are expected with respect to groundwater lowering that would cause long term damage to adjacent structures surrounding the proposed building.

#### 6.6 Winter Construction

Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project.

Where excavations are completed in proximity of existing structures which may be adversely affected due to the freezing conditions. In particular, where a shoring system is constructed, the soil behind the shoring system will be subjected to freezing conditions and could result in heaving of the structure(s) placed within or above frozen soil. Provisions should be made in the contract document to protect the walls of the excavations from freezing, if applicable.



In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the installation of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. The base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.

Trench excavations and pavement construction are difficult activities to complete during freezing conditions without introducing frost in the subgrade or in the excavation walls and bottoms. Precautions should be considered if such activities are to be completed during freezing conditions. Additional information could be provided, if required.

## 6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The results of the analytical testing show that the sulphate content is less than 0.1%. This result indicates that Type 10 Portland cement (normal cement) would be appropriate for this site. The chloride content and pH of the samples indicate that they are not significant factors in creating a corrosive environment for exposed ferrous metals at this site, whereas the resistivity is indicative of an aggressive corrosive environment.

# 7.0 Recommendations

It is recommended that the following be carried out once the master plan and site development are determined:

- Review master grading plan from a geotechnical perspective, once available.
- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- Observation of all subgrades prior to placement of backfilling materials.
- Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory material testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.

# 8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations made in this report are in accordance with our present understanding of the project. We request permission to review the grading plan once available. Also, our recommendations should be reviewed when the drawings and specifications are complete.

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes.

A soils investigation is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, we request that we be notified immediately in order to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Homestead Land Developments or their agent(s) is not authorized without review by this firm for the applicability of our recommendations to the altered use of the report.

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Nathan Christie, P.Eng.

#### **Report Distribution:**

- Homestead Land Holdings Ltd. (3 copies)
- Paterson Group (1 copy)

PROFESSION SED Oc ROUNCEOF

David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

# **APPENDIX 1**

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

| natersonar  | 3      | SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|---|--------|----------------------------|--------|--------------|------------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont                     | ario K | 2E 7J                      | Engi   | ineers       | G<br>P<br>O      | Geotechnical Investigation<br>Prop. Multi-Storey Building - 851 Richmond Road<br>Ottawa, Ontario |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
| DATUM TBM - Top of grate of catc<br>= 65.24m.             | h basi | in (ref                    | fer to | Dwg. F       | PG41             | 63-1). Ge  | eodetic el   | levation               | FILE NO. PG4163  |                               |  |  |
| BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger                             |        |                            |        | D            | ATE              | June 1, 2  | 017          |                        | HOLE NO.   | BH 1                          |  |  |
| Ŭ   | Ę      |                            | SAN    | IPLE         |                  |  |              | Pen. R                 | esist. Blov  | ws/0.3m                       |  |  |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION  |        |                            |        |              |                  | DEPTH<br>(m)   | ELEV.<br>(m) | • 5                    | 0 mm Dia.  | Cone                          | tion Vo                                      |  |
| GROUND SURFACE  | STRATZ | ТҮРЕ                       | NUMBER | *<br>RECOVER | N VALU<br>or ROD |  |              | 0 V<br>20              | Vater Cont<br>40 60  | ent %<br>80                   | Monitorir<br>Construc                        |  |
| Asphaltic concrete 0.08 FILL: Brown sand and gravel 0.23  |        | ss                         | 1      | 42           | 21               | - 0-   | -66.03       |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  | 1-   | -65.03       |                        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |                               |  |  |
| FILL: Brown sand and gravel, some silt                    |        | 55                         | 2      | 33           | 11               |  | 00.00        |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        | ∦ss                        | 3      | 36           | 50+              | 2-   | -64.03       |                        |  |                               |  |  |
| 2.49  |        | ss                         | 4      | 71           | 50+              |  |              |                        |  |                               | <u>իրիրիրի</u>                               |  |
| BEDROCK   |        | _                          |        |              |                  | 3-   | -63.03       |                        |  |                               | <u>IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII</u> |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               | <u>իրիրի</u>                                 |  |
|   |        | RC                         | 1      | 85           | 69               | 4-   | -62.03       |                        |  |                               |  |  |
| <b>BEDROCK:</b> Fair to excellent quality, grey limestone |        | _                          |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        | RC                         | 2      | 100          | 100              | 5-   | -61.03       |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |                               |  |  |
| 5.92<br>End of Borehole                                   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
| (GWL @ 2.93m - June 8, 2017)                              |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              |                        |  |                               |  |  |
|   |        |                            |        |              |                  |  |              | 20<br>Shea<br>▲ Undist | $\begin{array}{ccc} 40 & 60 \\ \text{ar Strength} \\ \text{surbed} & \triangle F \\ \end{array}$ | 80 10<br>I (kPa)<br>Remoulded | 1<br>DO                                      |  |

| natoreonar   |                            | In        | Con      | sulting |  | SOIL         | - PRO        | FILE AI                | ND TE                                 | ST DATA                                     |                     |  |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|--|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont              | ario k                     | (2E 7J    | Eng<br>5 | ineers  | Geotechnical Investigation<br>Prop. Multi-Storey Building - 851 Richmond Road<br>Ottawa, Ontario |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
| <b>DATUM</b> TBM - Top of grate of catcl = 65.24m. | n bas                      | in (ref   | er to    | Dwg. F  | 2G41   | 163-1). Ge   | eodetic el   | evation                | FILE NO.<br>PG4163                    |   |                     |  |
| REMARKS  |                            |           |          | D/      | TE   | lung 1 2     | 017          |                        | HOLE NO. BH 2                         |   |                     |  |
|  | SBY CIVE 55 Power Auger DA |           |          |         |  |              |              | Pen. R                 | esist. B                              | ows/0.3m                                    |                     |  |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION                                   | DIG 1                      |           | _        | х       | El e   | DEPTH<br>(m) | ELEV.<br>(m) | • 5                    | 0 mm Di                               | a. Cone                                     | ter<br>tion         |  |
| GROUND SURFACE                                     | STRATZ                     | ТҮРЕ      | NUMBER   | RECOVER | N VALU<br>or ROD   |              | 05.00        | 0 V<br>20              | Vater Co<br>40                        | ntent %                                     | Piezome<br>Construc |  |
| Asphaltic concrete0.10                             |                            | -         |          |         |  | - 0-         | -65.69       |                        |                                       | ·····                                       |                     |  |
|  |                            | ss        | 1        | 62      | 11   |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
| FILL: Grey-brown sand, some silt                   |                            | ss        | 2        | 25      | 10   | 1-           | -64.69       |                        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |   |                     |  |
|  |                            | ss        | 3        | 42      | 5  | 2-           | -63 69       |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
| Grey fractured limestone 2.29<br><b>BEDROCK</b>    |                            | ⊔<br>∑ SS | 4        | 100     | 50+  |              | 00.00        |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
| End of Borehole                                    |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
| Practical refusal to augering at 2.44m depth       |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
| (GWL @ 2.31m - June 8, 2017)                       |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              |                        |                                       |   |                     |  |
|  |                            |           |          |         |  |              |              | 20<br>Shea<br>▲ Undist | 40<br>ar Streng<br>urbed 2            | 60 80 1<br>]t <b>h (kPa)</b><br>⊾ Remoulded | ↓<br>00             |  |

| natersonar   |         | In       | Con      | sulting |  | SOIL         | _ PRO        | FILE AI               | ND TES                         | ST DATA  |                        |  |
|--|---------|----------|----------|---------|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On   | tario I | <2E 7J   | Eng<br>5 | ineers  | Geotechnical Investigation<br>Prop. Multi-Storey Building - 851 Richmond Road<br>Ottawa, Ontario |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
| <b>DATUM</b> TBM - Top of grate of catc<br>= 65.24m.                                 | h bas   | sin (ref | er to    | Dwg. F  | G4163-1). Geodetic elevation FILE NO. PG4163   |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
| REMARKS  |         |          |          | D       | \TE  | luno 1 2     | 017          |                       | HOLE NC                        | <sup>).</sup> BH 3   |                        |  |
|  | ы       |          | SAN      |         |  |              |              | Pen B                 | lesist Rla                     | ows/0.3m   |                        |  |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION   | A PLO   |          | ~        | 2       | Н о  | DEPTH<br>(m) | ELEV.<br>(m) | • 5                   | 60 mm Dia                      | a. Cone  | ng We<br>ction         |  |
| GROUND SURFACE   | STRAT   | ТҮРЕ     | NUMBEI   | RECOVEI | N VALU<br>OF ROI   |              |              | 0 V<br>20             | Vater Con<br>40 6              | /ater Content         %           40         60         80 |                        |  |
| $\gamma$ Asphaltic concrete 0.09   |         | -<br>-   |          |         |  | - 0-         | -65.44       |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
|  |         | ss       | 1        | 58      | 21   |              |              |                       |                                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                      | तित्तिति<br>तित्तितिति |  |
| FILL: Grey-brown sand, trace silt  |         | ss       | 2        | 33      | 35   | 1-           | -64.44       |                       |                                |  | արերերի<br>արերերի     |  |
|  |         | ss       | 3        | 67      | 18   | 2-           | -63.44       |                       |                                |  | րիրինիրի<br>Սրիկինին   |  |
| 2.36   |         | ss       | 4        | 88      | 50+  |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
| <b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, fractured rock and boulders |         | RC       | 1        | 94      |  | 3-           | -62.44       |                       |                                |  | իկդդդդդդդ<br>Մդդդդդդդ  |  |
|  |         |          | 2        | 67      |  |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
| 3.99   |         | ∦ ss     | 5        | 100     | 50+  | 4-           | -61.44       |                       |                                |  | իրերերի<br>Մերերերի    |  |
|  |         | RC       | 3        | 80      | 60   | 5-           | -60.44       |                       |                                |  | արտարեր<br>անդուներ    |  |
| <b>BEDROCK:</b> Poor to excellent quality, grey limestone                            |         |          |          |         |  |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
|  |         | RC       | 4        | 100     | 96   | 6-           | -59.44       |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
| 6.98   |         |          |          |         |  |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
| (GWL @ 3.72m - June 8, 2017)   |         |          |          |         |  |              |              |                       |                                |  |                        |  |
|  |         |          |          |         |  |              |              | 20<br>Shea<br>▲ Undis | 40 6<br>ar Strengt<br>turbed △ | 0 80 1<br>t <b>h (kPa)</b><br>Remoulded                    | <b>00</b>              |  |

| natorsonar                                      |        | In          | Con  | sulting      | g                | SOIL         | _ PRO        | FILE AND TEST DATA   |  |
|---|--------|-------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont           | (2E 7J | G<br>P<br>O | Geotechnical Investigation<br>Prop. Multi-Storey Building - 851 Richmond Road<br>Ottawa, Ontario |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
| DATUM TBM - Top of grate of catc<br>= 65.24m.   | h bas  | in (ref     | er to  | Dwg. I       | PG41             | 163-1). Ge   | eodetic e    | levation FILE NO. PG4163   |  |
| BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger                   |        |             |  | D            | ATE              | June 1. 2    | 017          | HOLE NO.<br>BH 4   |  |
|   | H      |             | SAN  | IPLE         |                  | ,            |              | Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m  |  |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION                                | A PLO  |             | ~  | ХХ           | ы о              | DEPTH<br>(m) | ELEV.<br>(m) | • 50 mm Dia. Cone  |  |
| GROUND SURFACE                                  | STRATI | ТҮРЕ        | NUMBEI   | %<br>RECOVEI | N VALU<br>or RQI |              |              | O         Water Content %         We be  |  |
| Asphaltic concrete0.09                          |        | -           |  |              |                  | - 0-         | -66.05       |  |  |
| FILL: Grey-brown sand, trace silt               |        | ss          | 1  | 75           | 20               |              |              |  |  |
| FILL: Brown silty sand, some clay, trace gravel |        | ss          | 2  | 83           | 8                | 1-           | -65.05       |  |  |
| CLACIAL TILL: Prown condu cilt                  |        | ss          | 3  | 75           | 24               |              |              |  |  |
| trace clay and gravel                           |        | A<br>x ss   | 4  | 100          | 50+              | 2-           | -64.05       |  |  |
| End of Borehole                                 |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
| Practical refusal to augering at 2.39m depth    |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
| (GWL @ 2.19m - June 8, 2017)                    |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              |  |  |
|   |        |             |  |              |                  |              |              | 20         40         60         80         100           Shear Strength (kPa)           ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded |  |

| natersonar  |         | In                      | Con      | sulting    |              | SOIL   | _ PRO        | FILE AI          | ND TES             | <b>ST DATA</b>                        |                          |  |  |
|---|---------|-------------------------|----------|------------|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On                      | tario K | 2E 7J                   | Eng<br>5 | ineers     | G<br>P<br>O  | Geotechnical Investigation<br>Prop. Multi-Storey Building - 851 Richmond Road<br>Ottawa, Ontario |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
| DATUM TBM - Top of grate of catc<br>= 65.24m.             | h basi  | in (ref                 | fer to   | Dwg. F     | 'G41         | G4163-1). Geodetic elevation FILE NO. PG4163   |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
| BOBINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger                             |         |                         |          | D4         | \TF          | June 1 2   | 017          |                  | HOLE NO            | BH 5                                  |                          |  |  |
|   | н       |                         | SAN      | IPLE       |              |  |              | Pen. R           | esist. Blo         | ows/0.3m                              | _                        |  |  |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION  | PLO     |                         |          | <br>       |              | DEPTH<br>(m)   | ELEV.<br>(m) | • 5              | 0 mm Dia           | . Cone                                | g We<br>ion              |  |  |
|   | RATA    | ЭЛТ                     | IMBER    | °°<br>OVER | VALUE<br>ROD |  |              | • V              | Vater Con          | tent %                                | nitorin                  |  |  |
| GROUND SURFACE  | LS      | н                       | NN       | REC        | N O          |  | CE 70        | 20               | 40 60              | ) 80                                  | Cor                      |  |  |
| Asphaltic concrete0.06                                    |         | -                       |          |            |              | 0-   | -65.79       |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | ss                      | 1        | 46         | 57           |  |              |                  |                    |                                       | որոր<br>որոր             |  |  |
| silt and clay   |         | $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ |          |            |              | 1_   | 64 70        |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | ss                      | 2        | 42         | 11           |  | -04.79       |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | 7                       |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
| gravel, trace silt  |         | ss                      | 3        | 67         | 39           | 2  | 62 70        |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | _                       |          |            |              | 2  | 03.79        |                  |                    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                          |  |  |
|   |         | RC                      | 1        | 81         | 21           |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | _                       |          |            |              |  | 00.70        |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              | 3-   | -62.79       |                  |                    |                                       | <u>IIIIII</u><br>IIIIIII |  |  |
|   |         | RC                      | 2        | 64         | 40           |  |              |                  |                    |                                       | իրիի<br>Միրի             |  |  |
| <b>BEDROCK:</b> Very poor to fair quality, grey limestone |         |                         |          |            |              |  | 04 70        |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | _                       |          |            |              | 4-   | -61.79       |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         | 2        | 100        | 100          | _  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         | пС                      | 3        | 100        | 100          | 5-   | -60.79       |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
| F 70  |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
| End of Borehole   |         | -                       |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
| (GWL @ 3.20m - June 8, 2017)                              |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              |                  |                    |                                       |                          |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              | 20               | 40 60              | ) 80 1                                | ⊣<br>00                  |  |  |
|   |         |                         |          |            |              |  |              | Shea<br>▲ Undist | turbed $\triangle$ | n (KPa)<br>Remoulded                  |                          |  |  |

| SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA   |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|--|------------------------|----|------------------|------|-----|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5       Geotechnical Investigation         Prop. Multi-Storey Building - 851 Richmond Road         Ottawa, Ontario |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| <b>DATUM</b> TBM - Top of grate of catch basin (refer to Dwg. PG4163-1). Geodetic elevation <b>FILE NO. PG4163</b>   |                        |    |                  |      |     | PG4163            |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| BOBINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger  |                        |    |                  | DA   | TF. | lune 1 2          | 017          |                        | HOLE NO.                           | BH 6                                  |               |
|  | Ĕ                      |    | SAN              | IPLE |     |                   |              | Pen. R                 | esist. Blo                         |                                       |               |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION   | A PLC                  |    | ~                | ХХ   | ЩО  | (m)               | ELEV.<br>(m) | • 5                    | 0 mm Dia.                          | Cone                                  | eter<br>ction |
|  | TYPE<br>TYPE<br>NUMBEI |    | I VALU<br>or RQI |      |     | • Water Content % |              |                        | iezome<br>onstrue                  |                                       |               |
| GROUND SURFACE   |                        | -  |                  | R    | Z * | 0-                | 65.56        | 20                     | 40 60                              | 80                                    | i<br>⊠⊠       |
|  |                        | ss | 1                | 58   | 18  |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| FILL: Brown sand and gravel, trace silt  |                        | ss | 2                | 50   | 45  | 1-                | -64.56       |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|  |                        | ss | 3                | 42   | 17  | 2-                | -63.56       |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| 2. <u>29</u>   |                        | ss | 4                | 58   | 13  |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with clay and gravel  |                        | ss | 5                | 100  | 27  | 3-                | -62.56       |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|  |                        | ss | 6                | 100  | 52  | 4-                | -61.56       |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| End of Borehole  | <u>`^^^^^</u>          | _  |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| Practical refusal to augering at 4.60m depth   |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
| (GWL @ 3.35m - June 8, 2017)   |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|  |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|  |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|  |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              |                        |                                    |                                       |               |
|  |                        |    |                  |      |     |                   |              | 20<br>Shea<br>▲ Undist | 40 60<br>ar Strength<br>turbed △ 1 | 80 10<br>n ( <b>kPa)</b><br>Remoulded | 1<br>DO       |

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

| Desiccated       | - | having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.                                   |
|------------------|---|--|
| Fissured         | - | having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.   |
| Varved           | - | composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.   |
| Stratified       | - | composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.                               |
| Well-Graded      | - | Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution). |
| Uniformly-Graded | - | Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).   |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

| Relative Density | 'N' Value | Relative Density % |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Very Loose       | <4        | <15                |
| Loose            | 4-10      | 15-35              |
| Compact          | 10-30     | 35-65              |
| Dense            | 30-50     | 65-85              |
| Very Dense       | >50       | >85                |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

| Consistency | Undrained Shear Strength (kPa) | 'N' Value |  |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Very Soft   | <12                            | <2        |  |  |
| Soft        | 12-25                          | 2-4       |  |  |
| Firm        | 25-50                          | 4-8       |  |  |
| Stiff       | 50-100                         | 8-15      |  |  |
| Very Stiff  | 100-200                        | 15-30     |  |  |
| Hard        | >200                           | >30       |  |  |

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

#### **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

#### RQD % ROCK QUALITY

| 90-100 | Excellent, intact, very sound                                |
|--------|--|
| 75-90  | Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound                   |
| 50-75  | Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured                            |
| 25-50  | Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured |
| 0-25   | Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured                  |

#### SAMPLE TYPES

| SS | - | Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard |
|----|---|---|
|    |   | Penetration Test (SPT))   |

- TW Thin wall tube or Shelby tube
- PS Piston sample
- AU Auger sample or bulk sample
- WS Wash sample
- RC Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

#### **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**

| MC%  | - | Natural moisture content or water content of sample, %   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| LL   | - | Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)   |  |  |  |
| PL   | - | Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)  |  |  |  |
| PI   | - | Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL)   |  |  |  |
| Dxx  | - | Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes<br>These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size |  |  |  |
| D10  | - | Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)  |  |  |  |
| D60  | - | Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer   |  |  |  |
| Сс   | - | Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$   |  |  |  |
| Cu   | - | Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10   |  |  |  |
| Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels: |   |  |  |  |  |

Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

#### **CONSOLIDATION TEST**

| p'o        | - | Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth          |
|------------|---|--|
| p'c        | - | Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample |
| Ccr        | - | Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)         |
| Сс         | - | Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)           |
| OC Ratio   |   | Overconsolidaton ratio = p'c / p'o                             |
| Void Ratio | D | Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids |
| Wo         | - | Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)         |

#### PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued) STRATA PLOT Topsoil Asphalt Peat Sand Silty Sand Fill Δ Sandy Silt Clay Silty Clay Clayey Silty Sand Glacial Till Shale Bedrock

#### MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION







# **APPENDIX 2**

FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN

FIGURES 2 AND 3 - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY PROFILES

**DRAWING PG4163-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN** 



FIGURE 1 KEY PLAN

# patersongroup



Figure 2 – Shear Wave Velocity Profile at Shot Location -3 m



Figure 3 – Shear Wave Velocity Profile at Shot Location 48 m



| 3(863)             |                         |   |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|
| <b>6</b> 5.27<br>⊙ | //                      |   |
| 65.30              |                         |   |
|                    |                         |   |
| + <sup>65.46</sup> |                         |   |
|                    | LEGEND:                 | BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION<br>BOREHOLE LOCATION                           |
|                    | 65.56                   | GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)  |
| -                  | (60.96)                 | PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING ELEV. (m)   |
| SIB(857)<br>(WIT)  | [61.45]                 | BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)   |
|                    |                         | GEOPHONE LOCATIONS  |
| 65.31              | (18)                    | GEOPHONE NUMBER   |
|                    | <b>الج</b>              | SHOT LOCATION   |
| _                  | TBM - TOP<br>= 65.24m A | OF GRATE OF CATCH BASIN. GEODETIC ELEVATION<br>S PER ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN VOLLEBEKK LTD. |
|                    | SCALE: 1.25             | 0   |