FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT FOR

1445/1451 WELLINGTON STREET

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 13-680

NOVEMBER 2013 – REV 1 © DSEL

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT FOR 1445/1451 WELLINGTON STREET

NOVEMBER 2013 - REV 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Existing Conditions	2
1.2	Required Permits / Approvals	2
1.3	Pre-consultation	2
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	3
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	4
3.1	Existing Water Supply Services	4
3.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	4
3.3	Water Supply Conclusion	5
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	6
4.1	Existing Wastewater Services	6
4.2	Wastewater Design	6
4.3	Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	7
5.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	8
5.1	Existing Stormwater Services	8
5.2	Post-development Stormwater Management Targets	8
5.3	Stormwater Management System	9
5.4	Stormwater Servicing Conclusions	9
6.0	UTILITIES	10
7.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	11
8.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	12

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location
	<u>TABLES</u>
Table 1	Water Supply Design Criteria
Table 2	Water Demand and Boundary Conditions
Table 3	Wastewater Design Criteria
Table 4	Anticipated Wastewater Conditions
Table 5	Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates
Table 6	Summary of Release Rates and Estimated Storage -
	Phase 1

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Pre-Consultation and Check List
Appendix B	Water Supply
Appendix C	Wastewater Collection
Appendix D	Stormwater Management
Drawing/Figures	Site Plan

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT FOR

1445/1451 WELLINGTON STREET

CITY OF OTTAWA NOVEMBER 2013 – REV 1

PROJECT NO.: 13-680

1.0 INTRODUCTION

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained to prepare a Functional Servicing Report in support of the application for Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) and Site Plan Control (SPC) at both 1445 and 1451 Wellington Street.

The subject property is located within the City of Ottawa urban boundary. As illustrated in *Figure 1*, the subject property is located at of the intersection of Island Park Drive and Wellington Street.



Figure 1: Site Location

The subject property is comprised of 1445 and 1451 Wellington Street and currently contains an existing 1-storey commercial building and a restaurant located in a converted 2-storey single family home. The remainder of the property is comprised of paved parking lot. The subject property measures approximately *0.184ha*. Under the

City of Ottawa Zoning By-law the existing lands are currently designated Traditional Mainstreet (TM11).

The proposed ZBLA and SPC would allow for the development of a residential condominium building complete with ground level retail/restaurant space and underground parking.

The proposed residential component is comprised of a 12-storey-114 unit tower with a podium level. The proposed retail/restaurant component is comprised of 255m² of ground floor retail space and a 182-seat, 240m² restaurant. A copy of the conceptual site plan is included in *Drawings/Figures*.

The objective of this report is to provide sufficient detail to demonstrate that the proposed re-zoning and development is supported by existing municipal services.

1.1 Existing Conditions

Municipal services as indicated below are available within the respective right-of-ways.

Watermains:

300mm diameter local watermain located service within Wellington Street

Storm Sewers:

675mm diameter local sewer located within Wellington Street

Sanitary Sewers:

250mm diameter local sewer located within Wellington Street

1.2 Required Permits / Approvals

Development of the site is subject to the City of Ottawa Planning and development approvals process. The City of Ottawa must approve detailed engineering design drawings and reports prepared to support the proposed development plan.

1.3 Pre-consultation

Pre-consultation with relevant parties, including the City of Ottawa was conducted via email for the proposed development.

Pre-consultation correspondence, along with the servicing guidelines checklist, is located in *Appendix A*.

2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report.

Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, October 2012. (City Standards)

Technical Bulletin ISD-2012-1
 City of Ottawa, January 31, 2012.
 (ISD-2012-1)

Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution City of Ottawa, July 2010 (Water Supply Guidelines)

Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2
 City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010.
 (ISD-2010-2)

Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (SWMP Design Manual)

Ontario Building Code Compendium Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Building Development Branch, January 1, 2010 Update (OBC)

Water Supply for Public Fire Protection Fire Underwriters Survey, 1999. (FUS)

Geotechnical Investigation Paterson Group Inc, PG2961-1R, October 3, 2013 (Geotech Report)

3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

3.1 **Existing Water Supply Services**

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1W pressure zone, as shown by the Pressure Zone map included in Appendix B.

The site is currently serviced via two connections from the existing 300mm diameter local watermain located within the Wellington Street right-of-way. Details are shown by the existing conditions plan **EX-1** included with this report.

3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

It is proposed that the development be serviced via an independent 200mm diameter water service connection to the existing 300mm watermain within Wellington Street. Servicing details are illustrated by **SSGP-1** included with this report.

An existing municipally owned hydrant is located at the intersection of Island Park Drive and Wellington Street.

Table 1 summarizes the Water Supply Guidelines employed in the preparation of the water demand estimate.

Table 1 Water Supply Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value
Residential Average Apartment	1.8 P/unit
Residential Average Daily Demand	350 L/d/P
Residential Maximum Daily Demand**	3.6 x Average Daily
Residential Maximum Hourly**	5.4 x Average Daily
Minimum Watermain Size	150mm diameter
Restaurant	125 L/seat/d
Commercial floor space	2.5 L/m ² /d
Commercial Maximum Daily Demand	1.5 x Average Daily
Commercial Maximum Hourly	1.8 x Maximum Daily
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4m from top of watermain to finished grade
During Peak Hourly Demand desired operating	350kPa and 480kPa
pressure is within	
During normal operating conditions pressure must	275kPa
not drop below	
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop	140kPa
below	
*Daily average based on Appendix 4-A from City Standards	

Table 2 summarizes the anticipated water demand and associated boundary conditions for the proposed development based on the Water Supply Guidelines.

^{**}Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500

⁻Table updated to reflect ISD-2010-2

Table 2 Water Demand and Boundary Conditions

Design Parameter	Anticipated Water Demand ¹ (L/min)	Boundary Condition ² (m H₂O / kPa)
Average Daily Demand	62.7	-/-
Max Day + Fire Flow	191.5 + 6,000 = 6,191.5	-/-
Peak Hour	294.5	-/-

Water demand calculation per Water Supply Guidelines. See Appendix B for detailed calculations.

Fire flow requirements are to be determined in accordance with Local Guidelines (*FUS*), City of Ottawa *Water Supply Guidelines*, and the Ontario Building Code. For the proposed development, the *FUS* estimates that approximately *6000L/s* in addition to maximum daily demand is required for fire protection. A certified fire protection system specialist shall be employed to design the building fire suppression system(s) and confirm the actual fire flow demand. Detailed calculations are provided in *Appendix B*.

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand as indicated in *Table 2*. No response had been received at the time of publication. Correspondence with the City is included in *Appendix B*.

3.3 Water Supply Conclusion

Anticipated water demand under proposed conditions was submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions. Boundary conditions were not available at the time of publication.

The proposed design conforms to the relevant City of Ottawa *Water Supply Guidelines*.

Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa. Assumed ground elevation __m. See Appendix A.

4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

Sanitary flow from the site is tributary to Cave Creek Collector. The sanitary sewer within Wellington Street directs flow east along Wellington Street to Carleton Avenue, sanitary flow is then directed north along Carleton Avenue, then east along Scott Street outletting to the Cave Creek Collector, as shown by the Sanitary and Storm Collection System maps in *Drawings/Figures*.

A sanitary analysis was conducted for the local municipal sanitary sewers located across the frontage of the subject property in order to assess the available capacity. The analysis was conducted from the intersection of Wellington Street Island Park Drive to the intersection of Carleton Avenue and Garrison Street, as shown by the sanitary drainage plan **SAN-1** in **Appendix C**.

Based on the sanitary analysis, **29.6L/s** of residual capacity is available within the local Wellington Street sanitary sewer. Detailed calculations are included in **Appendix C**.

4.2 Wastewater Design

It is proposed that the development be serviced via an independent 200mm diameter service connection to the existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer within Wellington Street. Servicing details are illustrated by **SSGP-1**.

Table 3 summarizes the **City Standards** employed in the design of the proposed wastewater sewer system.

	•
Design Parameter	Value
Residential Average Apartment	1.8 P/unit
Residential Average Daily Demand	350 L/d/P
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 4.0, Min 2.0
Restaurant	125 L/seat/d
Commercial floor space	5 L/m ² /d
Commercial Peaking Factor	1.5
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.28L/s/ha
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Minimum Sewer Size	200mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s
*Daily average based on Appendix 4-A from City Standards	

-Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, November 2004.

Table 3 Wastewater Design Criteria

Table 4 summarizes the anticipated wastewater flow for the subject property. See **Appendix C** for associated calculations.

Table 4 Anticipated Wastewater Conditions

Design Parameter	Total Flow (L/s)
Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.07
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	3.53
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	3.59

The anticipated peak wastewater flow generated from the Wellington Street development to the local sanitary sewer system and ultimately the Cave Creek Trunk Collector is estimated to be **3.59L/s**. Refer to **Appendix C** for associated calculations.

Based on the sanitary analysis **29.6L/s** of available capacity exists within the sanitary sewers located adjacent to the subject property. The proposed development is estimated to generate **3.59L/s**; it is therefore anticipated that sufficient sanitary sewer capacity is available within the local sewers.

4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The proposed development results in an estimated wastewater flow to the Wellington Street sanitary sewer of **3.59L/s**. Flow from the proposed development is tributary to the Cave Creek Trunk Collector.

The proposed wastewater design conforms to the relevant City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

5.1 Existing Stormwater Services

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system located within the Ottawa Central sub-watershed. As such, approvals for proposed development within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

Flows that influence the watershed in which the subject property is located are further reviewed by the principal authority. The subject property is located within the Rideau River watershed, and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA).

The existing site does not appear to contain any controls for stormwater runoff. Runoff from the existing site is directed to the existing municipal sewers. Stormwater is tributary to the Ottawa River via the municipal storm sewer system approximately 2.4 km downstream of the site, as shown by the Sanitary and Storm Collection System maps in **Drawings/Figures**.

The estimated pre-development peak flows for the historical 2, 5, and 100-year storm events are summarized in *Table 5*, detailed calculations are included in *Appendix D*.

Table 5 Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates

City of Ottawa Design Storm	Estimated Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
2-year	35.3
5-year	47.9
100-year	102.7

5.2 Post-development Stormwater Management Targets

Stormwater management requirements for the proposed development are based on consultation with the City of Ottawa and the relevant **Sewer Design Guidelines**. It has been established that the following criteria apply:

- Allowable release rate of **26.6L/s** for the site based on a Rational Method Coefficient of 0.5, employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 5-year storm with a time of concentration equal to 10 minutes.
- All storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event are to be attenuated on site.
- Quality controls are not anticipated to be required for the development based on experience with this type of development. The runoff from the site is primarily roof runoff, which is considered 'clean'.

Consultation with the City of Ottawa is included in *Appendix A*.

5.3 Stormwater Management System

In order to achieve the allowable post-development stormwater runoff release rate established in **Section 5.2** above, the proposed development will employ a cistern storage system.

The proposed stormwater management design is proposed to consist of a storm service connecting to the existing 675mm diameter storm sewer within Wellington Street.

Stormwater runoff captured will be directed internally to a storage cistern system located in within the building.

Unattenuated flow will flow overland to the existing catchbasins located along Wellington Street. Unattenuated areas will be compensated for in areas with controls. Servicing details are illustrated by **SSGP-1** in **Drawings/Figures**.

Table 6 shows the estimated storage required to attenuate the site to the established release rate taking into account that a portion of the site will be release uncontrolled. Stormwater drainage areas are shown by **SWM-1** along with detailed calculations included in **Appendix D**.

Table 6 summarizes the release rates and on site storage required to meet established target release rates.

Table 6 Summary of Release Rates and Estimated Storage – Phase 1

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage
	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)
Unattenuated Areas	3.9	0.0	7.4	0.0
Attenuated Areas	10.1	23.5	19.2	44.5
Total	14.0	23.5	26.6	44.5

Table Notes:

- 1. Release rate calculated using the critical time of concentration as established by City of Ottawa pre-consultation and Sewer Design Guidelines.
- 2. Total release rate calculated using attenuated areas + unattenuated areas.

To attenuate stormwater runoff under the proposed conditions from the 100-year storm to the 5-year release rate of **26.6L/s** approximately **45m³** of storage will be required. Detailed sizing and calculations are provided in **Appendix D**.

5.4 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

Post development stormwater runoff will be restricted to the allowable target for storm events up to and including the 1:100 year storm. To attenuate stormwater runoff from the 100-year storm to the 5-year release rate of **26.6L/s** approximately **45m³** of storage will be provided. The proposed stormwater design conforms to all relevant City guidelines and policies for approval.

6.0 UTILITIES

Gas, Hydro and Telecommunications services currently exist within the Wellington Street right-of-way. Utility servicing will need to be coordinated with the individual utility companies prior to site development.

7.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Soil erosion occurs naturally and is a function of soil type, climate and topography. The extent of erosion losses is exaggerated during construction where vegetation has been removed and the top layer of soil becomes agitated.

Prior to topsoil stripping, earthworks or underground construction, erosion and sediment controls will be implemented and will be maintained throughout construction.

Silt fence will be installed around the perimeter of the site and will be cleaned and maintained throughout construction. Silt fence will remain in place until the working areas have been stabilized and re-vegetated.

Catch basins will have filter fabric installed under the grate during construction to protect from silt entering the storm sewer system.

A mud mat will be installed at the construction access in order to prevent mud tracking onto adjacent roads.

Erosion and sediment controls must be in place during construction. The following recommendations to the contractor will be included in contract documents.

- Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time.
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.
- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches.
- Install silt fence to prevent sediment from entering existing ditches.
- No refueling or cleaning of equipment near existing watercourses.
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering.
- Install filter cloth between catch basins and frames.
- Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding.

Establish material stockpiles away from watercourses, so that barriers and filters may be installed.

The contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance. The inspection is to include:

- Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers.
- Clean and change filter cloth at catch basins.

8.0 **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained to prepare a Functional Servicing Report in support of the application for Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) and Site Plan Control (SPC) at both 1445 and 1451 Wellington Street. The preceding report outlines the following:

- Anticipated water demand under proposed conditions was submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions, no response was received at the time of publication;
- Based on the sanitary analysis conducted adequate capacity is available within the local sanitary sewer system to accommodate the proposed development;
- The post-development stormwater release rate has been calculated to be 26.6L/s based on consultation with the City of Ottawa, resulting in a significant reduction in stormwater release to the municipal storm sewer from existing conditions;
- It is anticipated that approximately 45m³ of stormwater retention volume will be required to meet the release criteria:
- Gas, Hydro and telecommunication services exist within the adjacent right-ofways.

Prepared by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. Prepared by. **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**






Per: Robert D. Freel, E.I.T.

Per: Adam D. Fobert, P.Eng.

z:\projects\13-680_1445-1451 - wellington street\b_design\b3_reports\b3-2_servicing (dsel)\2013-11_fsr_sub_1\fsr_2013-11-06_680_rdf.docx



DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

06/11/2013 13-680

		,,
4.1	General Content	
	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
\boxtimes	Date and revision number of the report.	Report Cover Sheet
\boxtimes	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of	Drawings/Figures
\boxtimes	proposed development. Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Drawings/Figures
	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan,	Diawings/rigures
\boxtimes	and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.	Section 1.0
\boxtimes	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 1.3
\boxtimes	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	Section 2.0
\boxtimes	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0
\boxtimes	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Sections 1.1,3.1, 4.1, 5.1
	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	N/A
	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	N/A
	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	N/A
\boxtimes	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale -North arrow (including construction North) -Key plan -Name and contact information of applicant and property owner -Property limits including bearings and dimensions -Existing and proposed structures and parking areas -Easements, road widening and rights-of-way -Adjacent street names	Drawings/Figures
4.2	Development Servicing Report: Water	
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A
\boxtimes	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	Section 3.1
\boxtimes	Identification of system constraints	Section 3.1
	Idantify bayadany conditions	Castian 2.1.2.2

Section 3.1, 3.2 Section 3.3

DSEL©

^{*}Extracted from the City of Ottawa-Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications

	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is	
\boxtimes	calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.	Section 3.2
	Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	N/A
	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	N/A
	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	N/A
	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification	N/A
\boxtimes	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Section 3.2, 3.3
	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	N/A
	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
\boxtimes	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 3.2
	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
4.2	Development Comising Reports Westernatur	
4.3 ⊠	Development Servicing Report: Wastewater Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 4.2
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A
	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A
\boxtimes	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 4.1
\boxtimes	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	Section 4.2
\boxtimes	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 4.2, Appendix C
	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	N/A
	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses,	N/A

ii DSEL©

	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	N/A
	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and	N/A
	maximum flow velocity. Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A
4.4	Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist	
\boxtimes	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 5.1
\boxtimes	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	Section 5.1,5.3
\boxtimes	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Drawings/Figures
\boxtimes	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	Section 5.2
\boxtimes	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	Section 5.2
\boxtimes	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information	Section 5.3
	Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
\boxtimes	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the	Annandiy A
	Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	Appendix A
	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	N/A
\boxtimes	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	Section 5.3
	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	N/A
\boxtimes	Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	Section 5.1, 5.3
	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A
	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	N/A
	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.	N/A
	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	N/A
	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A

DSEL© iii

\boxtimes	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	Section 5.3
	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development	
Ш	from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	N/A
	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A
\boxtimes	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	Section 7.0
	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain	
_	information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may	
	be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the	N/A
	Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information	
	does not match current conditions.	
	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	N/A
	investigation.	
4.5	Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist	
	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of	
	floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a	
_	watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement	
\boxtimes	Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and	Section 5.1
	Rivers Improvement ct. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in	
	place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required,	
	except in cases of dams as defined in the Act. Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water	
	Resources Act.	N/A
	Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and	·
	Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	N/A
4.6	Conclusion Checklist	
\boxtimes	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 8.0
	Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and	
	information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the	
	responsible reviewing agency.	
	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional	
	Friginger registered in Cintario	

v DSEL©

From: Katherine Grechuta **Sent:** April 22, 2013 3:55 PM

To: 'Khash Khalili'; Sam Mizrahi; Mahdi Tajbakhsh

Cc: 'Alan Cohen'; Ted Fobert; 'rlahey@rodericklahey.ca'; 'Jonny Cracower'

Subject: FW: Meeting Notes for 1445 and 1451 Wellington St. West Pre-application

Attachments: Study and Plan List - 1445 and 1451 Wellington St. W - April 12 2013.pdf, Community

Associations - 15C 15J.pdf

Good afternoon Khash, Sam, Mahdi and Jonny,

Please find below a summary of comments from the City's Planner following our April 12th meeting. Having reviewed the meeting minutes, they appear consistent with my recollection of the meeting. Please let me know if your recollection is different.

The email also contains an attachment identifying all of the required studies that need to be submitted in support of the application. We would be happy to recommend a number of consultants to complete the required studies if you would find that helpful.

The City has also provided a list of Community Associations and other groups in the neighbourhood that have an interest in the area. We have met with two of the groups identified- Wellington Village Community Association and Hintonburg Community Association. Please let me know if you would like meet with the other three groups. It may be worthwhile to meet with the Wellington Village BIA and the Champlain Park Community Association. The Champlain Park Community Association is located north of Scott Street and east of Island Park Drive so they may take an interest in this application. This is an active community association that to date has mostly focused on low-rise infill development in their neighbourhood.

My goal this week is to provide you with summary notes from the meetings we have had to date.

Please let me know if you have any questions/concerns in the meantime.

Regards, Katherine.

Katherine Grechuta MCIP, RPP, LEED AP

Planner



223 McLeod Street | Ottawa, ON | K2P 0Z8 T: 613.730.5709 ext.246 | F: 613.730.1136

www.fotenn.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This E-mail message and attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please reply by E-mail to the sender and subsequently destroy and delete any copies of this E-mail and attachments. Thank you for your cooperation.

L'information transmise est strictement réservée à la personne ou à l'organisme auquel elle est adressée et peut être de nature confidentielle. Si vous avez reçu cette information par erreur veuillez contacter son expéditeur immédiatement par retour du courrier électronique puis

supprimer cette information y compris toutes pièces jointes sans en avoir copié divulgué ou diffusé le contenu. Merci de votre coopération.

From: Nguyen, Hieu [mailto:Hieu.Nguyen@ottawa.ca]

Sent: April 22, 2013 12:28 PM

To: Katherine Grechuta Cc: White, Joshua

Subject: Meeting Notes for 1445 and 1451 Wellington St. West Pre-application

Hi Katherine,

Following up on our pre-consultation meeting for 1445 and 1451 Wellington St. West Street, I have attached the required studies and plans list for a combined Zoning By-law Amendment (minor), Site Plan Control (public consultation, manager approved), and/or Official Plan Amendment applications. I have also provided an overview of items that we discussed during the meeting as well as follow-up comments on the proposal. The comments are based on the presentation package sent April 10th via email and specifically the 12 and 9storey option as well as 9 and 6-storey option.

Please note that these pre-application comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting.

Planning Comments

- The sites are designated 'Traditional Mainstreet' in the Official Plan and is within the study area of the Wellington Street West Community Design Plan (2011) and Secondary Plan (2011).
- The site is currently zoned TM11, which is the Wellington Street Subzone.
- The Secondary Plan and CDP identify locations where additional height, from 6 storeys to a maximum of 9 storeys, may be considered. 1451 Wellington St. W is identified a candidate site. 1445 is zoned for a maximum of 6 storeys and is not identified as a site for additional height. A Zoning By-law Amendment application would be required for the height increase request for 9 storeys on either property, and an Official Plan Amendment (OPA) would be required for more than 9 storeys on 1451 Wellington and more than 6 storeys on 1445 Wellington.
- Community benefits collected under Section 37 would apply if only the Zoning By-law Amendment is being pursued, it would be specific to the policy under Section 11.3.2 (1) of the Secondary Plan: Redevelopment at 1451 Wellington Street shall require the west façade of a new building to be integrated with a redesigned, City-owned public open space located at the northwest corner of Island Park Drive and Wellington Street, at the base of Rockhurst Avenue, to provide an animated place for people to meet or rest at the western gateway to the corridor.
- Note that additional community benefits under Section 37 would be applicable for any additional height being considered above what is identified in the Secondary Plan.

<u>Urban Design</u>

- The properties would be subject to Design Review Panel as it is along a Traditional Mainstreet. Meeting schedule and submission timelines are found here: http://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and- development/how-develop-property/panel-meeting-schedule
- The podium should be a maximum of 3 storeys, 2 may be even more appropriate.
- A 3-metre setback at the corner is required as per the CDP and zoning. The purpose of the setback is to contribute to the public realm and existing public right-of-way area on the west side of the property. The ground floor of the proposed development should be programmed to encourage pedestrian activity and integration with this public space such as the idea that was presented about having a cafe or deli with outdoor seating.
- The step back at the upper floors is to consider mitigating the shadow and privacy impacts to the neighbouring properties, particularly to the rear of the site, where there are single detached homes.

10. The 12 and 9-storey option is considered overwhelming in the context of the area and the 9 and 6-storey option in keeping with the CDP. The adjacent property to the east of the properties, is a recent development and is a 6 storey mixed use building and in keeping with the policies of the CDP and Secondary Plan.

Engineering Comments

11. Services along Wellington:

 Water main: 305 Ductile Iron Installed 2005 Sanitary Sewer: 250 mm PVC installed 2005

Storm Sewer: 675 Concrete installed 2005

- 12. Storm water management: The allowable flow from this site will be based off of a C Value of 0.5 and a 5 year storm and you will be required to hold up to a 100 year storm on site.
- 13. As the site will be moving to a more sensitive land use, from commercial to residential, a Record of Site Condition will be required.

Transportation Comments

- 14. The proposed access to the site should be at the easterly end of the property.
- 15. Wellington Street is an arterial road with a ROW protection of 20.0 metres.
- 16. The parking area at the westerly end of the property encroaches into the Rockhurst Road ROW and is to be removed and the area reinstated.

Pre-consultation

17. It is great that you have already conducted some consultation work and I believe you either had or are having a meeting with 5 local community associations soon. I have attached the list of the registered community associations in the area in case you require their contact information. If you haven't done so yet, you should also formally meet with Councillor Hobbs before submission to discuss the finalized proposal.

Comments from the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority

18. If they are connecting their stormwater to the service along the Wellington Street frontage – stormwater travels less than 1,400 metres to outlet on Ottawa River. Ask the consultant to contact me, quality recommendation (if any) will depend on nature of development.

RVCA contact info: Jocelyn Chandler M.Pl. MCIP, RPP. Planner, RVCA 613.692.3571 x1137 jocelyn.chandler@rvca.ca

The CDP, which is a recent plan, has reviewed the study area to determine which locations should be considered for additional height and what the maximum height should be if redevelopment occurred. Part of the evaluation was balancing intensification and community compatibility, which the combined 12 and 9 storey option at this location (or within the CDP) was not supported. Therefore, an OPA would require sound rationale and strong support by many stakeholders, including community members, community associations, and the Councillors of the Planning Committee to support a change in the policy direction of the CDP and Secondary Plan.

In terms of the contamination found on site and cost of remediation as part of the rationale for the increased

height (to 12 storeys on 1451 Wellington and to 9 storeys on 1445 Wellington), this is an common issue found in the Urban Area and even in the vicinity of the site for other developments and alone, does not warrant a sufficient basis for the increased height proposal under an OPA.

The Planning Department is supportive of the 9 and 6 storey option as it is in keeping with the vision of the Wellington Street West CDP. Since the CDP has been introduced, developments in the study area have been in keeping with the vision, policies, and guidelines of the CDP, Secondary Plan, and associated zoning.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me.

Hieu


Hieu Nguyen, MCIP, RPP

Development Review, Urban Area Planning and Growth Management Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

T: 613-580-2424 ext. 26936 | F: 613-560-6006 | E: hieu.nguyen@ottawa.ca

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return e-mail and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010




Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Pop
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4	70	98
2 Bedroom	2.1	44	93
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0

	Pop	Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour	
_		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Total Domestic Demand	191	66.9	46.4	240.7	167.1	361.0	250.7

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

			Avg. [Daily	Max I	Day	Peak I	Hour
Property Type	Unit Rate	e Units	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Commercial floor space	2.5 L/m	n ² /d 255	0.64	0.4	1.0	0.7	1.7	1.2
Restaurant	125 L/se	eat/d 182	22.75	15.8	34.1	23.7	61.4	42.7
		Total I/CI Demand	23.4	16.2	35.1	24.4	63.1	43.9
		Total Demand	90.2	62.7	275.7	191.5	424.1	294.5



Robert Freel

From: Robert Freel <rfreel@dsel.ca>
Sent: November-05-13 12:11 PM

To: 'White, Joshua'

Subject: 1145/1451 Wellington St -Watermain boundary conditions

Good afternoon Josh,

We would like to request water boundary conditions for 1145/1451 Wellington St site using the following proposed development demands:

- 1. Location of Service / Street Number: 1145/1451 Wellington St
- 2. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required for the proposed development:
 - Proposed development is a residential building with ground level retail/restaurant and underground parking.
 The residential tower is a 12 storeys -114 unit condo building.
 - It is anticipated that the development will be services from the existing 300mm diameter watermain within Wellington Street.
 - Based on FUS, it is anticipated that a maximum fire flow of 6000 L/min will be required.

3.

	L/min	L/s
Avg. Daily	62.7	1.04
Max Day	191.5	3.19
Peak Hour	294.5	4.91

It you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Thanks,

Bobby Freel, EIT.

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 203 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

Phone: (613) 836-0856 Ext. 258

Fax: (613) 836-7183 **Email**: rfreel@dsel.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

1445	1451 WEL	エンシスト	<u>ST.</u>	Date	NOV	5,243
Fus			N ₄ 7 31			
-STEUCTUR	L-D Petus	beced (o	UCZETE	→ F:	rec	
GROUP			RES			
- SPENKL	DED					
	31 8- Tenas	37 People		(2)		
	TO UNIX			TAI	100	
The state of the s	-> 44 UNE					- 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1
	L -s 25					
					4	1
1.) F=	220 C JA	<u> </u>	C=	ما.ه		
A = 25	1- Ground	Flows +	200	Floor	k.	- P. Mary Completion
	6°16 314					
	51. (978 m		6 m2	+		
	5% (11962					
	40 m²					
F- 220	(6.6)(1740	2) =)	552	21 96	11~	
1 - 1	(J. W.) 17-10		600			

=> 5100.0 Linin


SPETULIGED WITH FULLY SEPERITSED SYSTEM - 50% (5100.0 LIMIN)

-

1

1 -	Date
	=> - 2550 Umin
	4.) BURLDING SEREDATION
	NORTH SIDE < 3m => +251/.
	EAST STOE 63m => + 25%.
•	SOUTH SIDE ~ 21m => +10%
	WEST SIDE ~ 25 m => +10"/"
- 76	30%
	= 70°1. (5100.0 L/min)
-	=>+3570.0 Umin
	- INALA
	Total => 6120 4 min
	=> 6000LImin (nearest 1,000L/min)
	(155,200 1,000 1,000
1 -	

.



Mizrahi Developments 1445/1451 Wellington Street Proposed Development

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



Site Area 0.184 ha

Extraneous Flow Allowances

	Infiltra	0.05 L/s	
Domestic Contributions			
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Pop
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0
Apartment			
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4	70	98
2 Bedroom	2.1	44	93
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0
		Total Pop	191
			 .,

Average Domestic Flow 0.77 L/s

Peaking Factor 4.00

Peak Domestic Flow 3.09 L/s

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Contributions

Property Type	Unit	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Commercial floor space*	5	L/m ² /d	255	0.03
Restaurant	125	L/seat/d	182	0.26
School	70	L/student/d		0.00
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00

Average I/C/I Flow	0.29
Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow	0.44
Peak Industrial Flow**	0.00
Peak I/C/I Flow	0.44

^{*} assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

^{**} peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.07 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	3.53 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	3.59 L/s

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

PROJECT:

Mizrahi Developments 1445-1451 Wellington Street- Ottawa LOCATION:

FILE REF: 13-680

DATE: 06-Jun-13

DESIGN PARAMETERS

Avg. Daily Flow Res. 350 L/p/d Avg. Daily Flow Comm 50,000 L/ha/d Avg. Daily Flow Instit. 50,000 L/ha/d

Avg. Daily Flow Indust 35,000 L/ha/d

Peak Fact Res. Per Harmons: Min = 2.0, Max =4.0 Peak Fact. Comm. 1.5 Peak Fact. Instit. 1.5

Peak Fact. Indust. per MOE graph


Mannings N

Infiltration / Inflow Min. Pipe Velocity Max. Pipe Velocity

0.013


0.28 L/s/ha 0.60 m/s full flowing 3.00 m/s full flowing


	Location					Reside	ntial Are	a and Po	pulation				Com	nercial	Instit	utional	Indu	strial			Infiltration							Pipe Data				
Area ID	Up	Down	Area		Numb	er of Units	3	Pop.	Cum	ulative	Peak.	Q _{res}	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Q _{C+I+I}	Total	Accu.	Infiltration	Total	DIA	Slope	Length	A _{hydraulic}	R	Velocity	Q _{cap}	Q / Q full	Q _{residual}
					b	y type			Area	Pop.	Fact.			Area		Area		Area		Area	Area	Flow	Flow									
			(ha)	Sin	gles Semi'	s Town's	Apt's	;	(ha)		(-)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(-)	(-)
																																ĺ
A,B	1	2	1.1	16	10			34.	0 1.116	34.0	4.00	0.55	0.35	0.35		0.00		0.00	0.3	1.462	1.462	0.409	1.26	250	0.27	55.2	0.049	0.063	0.63	30.9		
C,D	2	3	1.20	61	16	4 5	5 4	3 156.	0 2.377	190.0	4.00	3.08	0.45	0.79		0.00	0.47	0.47	1.1	2.176	3.638	1.019	5.16	250	0.80	42.0	0.049	0.063	1.08	53.2 52.2	0.10 0.10	48.0
	3	4	0.0	00				0.	0 2.377	190.0	4.00	3.08		0.79		0.00		0.47	1.1	0.000	3.638	1.019	5.16	250	0.77	24.0	0.049	0.063	1.06	52.2	0.10	47.0
F,G	4	5	1.7	29	15 1	16	1 5	5 204.	0 4.106	394.0	4.00	6.38	1.12	1.91		0.00		0.47	2.0	2.848	6.486	1.816	10.24	250	1.96	78.3	0.049	0.063	1.70	83.3	0.12	73.0
H,I	5		1.2	51	19			65.	0 5.357	459.0	3.99	7.42		1.91		0.00		0.47	2.0	1.251	7.737	2.166	11.63	250	0.48	76.0	0.049	0.063	0.84	41.2	0.28	29.6
																					1			İ								





120 Iber Road Unit 203 Stittsville, Ontario, K2S 1E9 Tel. (613) 836-0856 Fax. (613) 836-7183 www.DSEL.ca 1445/1451 WELLINGTON STREET SANITARY ANALYSIS





1445-1451 Wellington Street Existing Conditions

Estimated Peak Stormwater Flow Rate City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



Existing Drainage Area Charateristics

Area	0.184 ha
С	0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient
t _c	10.0 min

Estimated Peak Flow

	2-year	5-year	100-year	
i	76.8	104.2	178.6	mm/hr
Q	35.3	47.9	102.7	L/s

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

Mizrahi Developments 1445/1451 Wellington Street **Proposed Conditions**

Stormwater - Proposed Development City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



Target Flow Rate

Area 0.184 ha

0.50 Rational Method runoff coefficient

10.0 min

5-year

104.2 mm/hr Q 26.6 L/s

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas

Total Area

0.015 ha

0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient

_		5-year					100-year	00-year				
	t _c	i	Q actual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual} *	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	
L	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	
	10.0	104.2	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	178.6	7.4	7.4	0.0	0.0	

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Total Area

0.169 ha

0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient

_	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
10	104.2	44.0	10.1	33.9	20.4	178.6	83.8	19.2	64.6	38.8
15	83.6	35.3	10.1	25.2	22.7	142.9	67.1	19.2	47.9	43.1
20	70.3	29.7	10.1	19.6	23.5	120.0	56.3	19.2	37.1	44.5
25	60.9	25.7	10.1	15.6	23.4	103.8	48.8	19.2	29.6	44.3
30	53.9	22.8	10.1	12.6	22.8	91.9	43.1	19.2	23.9	43.1
35	48.5	20.5	10.1	10.4	21.7	82.6	38.8	19.2	19.6	41.1
40	44.2	18.7	10.2	8.5	20.4	75.1	35.3	19.2	16.1	38.6
45	40.6	17.2	10.2	7.0	18.9	69.1	32.4	19.2	13.2	35.7
50	37.7	15.9	10.2	5.7	17.2	64.0	30.0	19.2	10.8	32.5
55	35.1	14.8	10.2	4.7	15.4	59.6	28.0	19.2	8.8	29.0
60	32.9	13.9	10.2	3.7	13.5	55.9	26.2	19.2	7.1	25.4
65	31.0	13.1	10.2	2.9	11.4	52.6	24.7	19.2	5.5	21.6
70	29.4	12.4	10.2	2.2	9.3	49.8	23.4	19.2	4.2	17.6
75	27.9	11.8	10.2	1.6	7.2	47.3	22.2	19.2	3.0	13.5
80	26.6	11.2	10.2	1.0	4.9	45.0	21.1	19.2	1.9	9.3
85	25.4	10.7	10.2	0.5	2.6	43.0	20.2	19.2	1.0	5.0
90	24.3	10.3	10.2	0.1	0.3	41.1	19.3	19.2	0.1	0.6
95	23.3	9.8	10.2	0.0	0.0	39.4	18.5	19.2	0.0	0.0
100	22.4	9.5	10.2	0.0	0.0	37.9	17.8	19.2	0.0	0.0
105	21.6	9.1	10.2	0.0	0.0	36.5	17.1	19.2	0.0	0.0
110	20.8	8.8	10.2	0.0	0.0	35.2	16.5	19.2	0.0	0.0


Note:


C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

100-year Q_{attenuated} 5-year Q_{attenuated} 10.11 L/s 19.19 L/s 5-year Max. Storage Required 23.5 m³ 100-year Max. Storage Required 44.5 m³

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes


Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage	
	(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m ³)	
Unattenuated Areas	3.9	0.0	7.4	0.0	
Attenutated Areas	10.1	23.5	19.2	44.5	
Total	14.0	23.5	26.6	44.5	





CARLETON

GARRIS



PROJECT NO. 31643 **SCALE 1:250**

OTTAWA, ONTARIO 1451 WELLINGTON AVE,

SITE PLAN, CONTEXT PLAN ISSUED FOR REZONING/SITEPLAN APPROVAL NOV 01-2013

