

| Amendment                      | Volume and Policy, Schedule or Annex   | Amendment Category | Description / Rationale   | Amendment Details   |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|---|
| <b>Housekeeping Amendments</b> |  |                    |   |   |
| 1                              | Volume 2A, Bank Street South Secondary Plan, Section 3.1                             | Housekeeping       | This amendment updates a reference to the City's planning department name.  | 1) A master concept plan for the entire Billings Bridge Shopping Centre site shall be submitted with proposed development when the FSI for the site exceeds 1.0. The master concept plan shall demonstrate how the redevelopment will unfold to meet the City's intensification targets, to the satisfaction of the <b><u>Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> <del>Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department</del> .  |
| 2                              | Volume 2A, Bank Street South Secondary Plan, Section 2.3                             | Housekeeping       | The proposed modification is intended to align with Bill 185, which directs that municipalities cannot require pre-application consultation meetings.                             | 22) Development proposals within Nodes and under the zoning designation of Arterial Mainstreet are to be reviewed by the City's Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP). Pre-application consultation is <b><u>encouraged</u></b> <del>required</del> . Exemptions of when the UDRP does not require application review are established by the City and should be followed.  |
| 3                              | Volume 2A, East Urban Community Phase 3 Secondary Plan, Section 6, Policy 6          | Housekeeping       | The proposed modification is intended to align with Bill 185, which directs that municipalities cannot require pre-application consultation meetings.                             | 6) Pre-consultation, <del>which is required prior to an application for draft plan of subdivision,</del> will <b><u>should</u></b> include OC Transpo and the relevant school boards in order to coordinate bus routes with the design of streets, blocks and pedestrian connections.   |
| 4                              | Volume 2A, Mer Bleue Developing Neighbourhood Secondary Plan, Section 5, Policy 7)   | Housekeeping       | The proposed modification is intended to align with Bill 185, which directs that municipalities cannot require pre-application consultation meetings.                             | 7) Pre-application consultation, which is <b><u>encouraged</u></b> <del>required</del> prior to an application for draft plan of subdivision will include OC Transpo and the relevant school boards in order to coordinate bus routes with the design of streets, blocks and pedestrian connections.  |
| 5                              | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, Chapter 1, Dow's Lake Station District | Housekeeping       | In 2024, the City's planning department became the Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS). This amendment replaces the outdated department name reference. | 9.1.1 Holding Provisions for Zoning Amendment Applications The City will introduce holding provisions upon considering all Zoning By-law amendment applications that feature a high-profile building and may be considered for medium-profile development proposals. The removal of such holding provisions will not be considered by Council until the policies set out in this secondary plan are implemented and met to the satisfaction of the General Manager of <b><u>the Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> <del>Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department</del> , including the following:<br><br>1) Approval of a Site Plan Control application, including the execution of an agreement pursuant to Section 41 of the Planning Act, to the satisfaction of the General Manager of the <b><u>Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> <del>Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department</del> , that will require the implementation of all policies of this secondary plan, including contributions to the public realm improvements outlined in the Preston-Carling Public Realm and Mobility Study and possible municipal infrastructure improvements and fulfilling obligations specified in the relevant policies and By-laws such as the Parkland Dedication By-law.<br>2) Execution of an agreement pursuant to community benefits as per Volume 1 of the Official Plan, Section 11., to the satisfaction of the <del>City General Manager of the Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department</del> , including a detailed breakdown of contributions towards the priority public realm improvement projects within the Dow's Lake Station District as described in Section 9.3.2 of this secondary plan. |
| 6                              | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, Chapter 1, Dow's                       | Housekeeping       | In 2024, the City's planning department became the Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS). This amendment replaces the outdated department name reference. | 3) Proponents of such development will be required to bear the costs to an up-set limit of \$7,000 (subject to Consumer Price Index adjustment) for each sub-committee meeting held to achieve consensus between the subcommittee and the proponent on the final project design. Any differential cost will be borne by the <b><u>Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> <del>Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department</del> .  |

|    |   |              |   |   |
|----|---|--------------|---|---|
|    | Lake Station District, Subsection 9.2   |              |   |   |
| 7  | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, Chapter 2A: Bayview Station District – Area A, Subsection 4.3 | Housekeeping | In 2024, the City's planning department became the Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS). This amendment replaces the outdated department name reference. | 6) At least 70 per cent of the building frontage along Somerset Street West, City Centre Avenue and Bayview Station Road is to be occupied by building facades. Lot width shall be measured at the front yard building setback. A phasing plan, submitted to the satisfaction of the <b><u>Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> General Manager, Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development department, will demonstrate how this policy will be achieved over time.   |
| 8  | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, Chapter 2A: Bayview Station District – Area A, Subsection 4.9 | Housekeeping | In 2024, the City's planning department became the Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS). This amendment replaces the outdated department name reference. | 2) On lands zoned with the “-h” holding symbol, the symbol will not be removed until the following are submitted, unless otherwise noted in the zoning, to the satisfaction of the <b><u>Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> General Manager of the Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development department:  |
| 9  | Volume 2A, Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan, 4.7.8, Policy 128)                                | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects an erroneous reference to a schedule in the Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan.  | 128) Within the Sandy Hill Character Area, any maximum building heights permitted in the Zoning By-law that exceed the heights indicated on Schedule B <b><u>C</u></b> as of the date of adoption of this Secondary Plan will continue to apply. Any increases beyond these maximum heights will require an Official Plan Amendment.  |
| 10 | Volume 2A, Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan, 4.4, Policy 53)                                   | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a cross-referencing error. Policy 42 refers to a different character area, whereas policy 44 refers to the appropriate character area.                    | 53) 267 O'Connor Street is a significant site strategically located along O'Connor Street amongst sites developed with buildings of various character and height within the Local Mixed-Use designation of the Centretown Central Character Area. Given its location, context, size and current development conditions comprising a single use non-residential building with extensive surface parking and having frontage on three public streets, redevelopment of the site is encouraged. Such development could make a significant contribution to improving the Centretown Central Character Area and O'Connor Street, and to significantly improving the area's public realm. Such development is encouraged to include the provision of a park, a key objective of this subsection for this part of Centretown. The determination of the nature and form of development that is consistent with the opportunity and potential exhibited by the site shall be determined through a site-specific re-zoning process and be subject to a specialized design review process within the framework of the City's Urban Design Review Panel. Accordingly, to provide for flexibility in determining an appropriate development, and recognizing the varied context of the site where buildings of various styles and heights are located, the final building height that may be determined through the site specific rezoning will be permitted to exceed the nine storey height limit identified on Schedule C - Maximum Building Heights, while providing for an appropriate building height and mass in order to create a positive street relationship as well as ensure compatibility with surrounding properties, provided an appropriate publicly accessible private open space is included. Any proposal for a building height that would be permitted within the framework of the Landmark Building policies of this subsection will be subject to the policies set out for Landmark Buildings. Notwithstanding Subsection 4.4, Policy <del>44</del> <b><u>42</u></b> ) c), small retail, cafes and restaurants will be permitted on the first two floors and basement. |
| 11 | Volume 2A, Bank Street South Secondary Plan, Subsection 2.3   | Housekeeping | This amendment updates a reference to the City's previous planning department name.   | 14) For Nodes, at least 70% of the lot width along Bank Street should be occupied by one or more building wall(s). Lot width should be measured at the front yard building setback. A phasing plan, submitted to the satisfaction of the <b><u>Planning, Development and Building Services Department</u></b> <del>Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department</del> , may demonstrate how this policy will be achieved over time. New buildings framing Bank Street should be built before any other phases. Existing buildings that expand to a maximum 25% of their existing gross floor area and gas bars are exempt from this requirement.  |

|    |  |              |  |   |
|----|--|--------------|--|---|
| 12 | Volume 2A, Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan, 4.4.9, Policy 55) g) | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a cross-referencing error. The subject policy and its referenced policies were carried forward from the Centretown Secondary Plan; however, the policy numbering was changed during the creation of the new plan, and the reference was not updated.   | Policy 55 g) Conform to the built form policies of this Plan applicable to tall buildings (Subsection 4.4 Policy <del>41</del> 44) and Section 4.4 Policy <del>43</del> 48)) where the landmark includes tall building element for residential uses incorporated into the design of a landmark building and only with respect to such uses; and   |
| 13 | Volume 2B, Consolidated Villages Secondary Plan, Schedule M                    | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a naming error and mapping omission on Schedule M of the Consolidated Villages Secondary Plan. Metcalfe Farm Drive is incorrectly labelled as Andrew Simpson Way, and existing road networks are missing from the schedule.  | Replace 'Andrew Simpson Way' with 'Metcalfe Farm Drive' and add the omitted Metcalfe Subdivision (D07-16-19-0028) road network to Schedule M of the Consolidated Villages Secondary Plan.   |
| 14 | Volume 2A, Bank Street in the Glebe Secondary Plan                             | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects an administrative error. The subject policy provides administrative direction to staff to update Volume 1 to reflect the new secondary plan. This direction is clerical and should not be contained in the body of the secondary plan. Given that the direction has been carried out, the text should be deleted.  | <del>1.3 Details of the Amendment – The Official Plan is proposed to be amended by: a. Amending the Official Plan, Schedule C16, Table 1 – Road of Right of Way Protection, to add the following row: (See table in Word document) b. Amending Annex 6 – Urban Areas Subject to Secondary Plans in order to show the Bank Street in the Glebe Secondary Plan as an implemented secondary plan. c. Amending City of Ottawa, Volume 2A Urban Secondary Plans as follows and as shown on Schedule A of this amendment: i. Adding the Bank Street in the Glebe Secondary Plan by adding to the Table of Contents of the Secondary Plans, the heading “Bank Street in the Glebe Secondary Plan”; and ii. Adding as a new chapter, following the last approved Secondary Plan in Volume 2A, the “Bank Street in the Glebe Secondary Plan” attached as Part B – The Amendment and Schedule A</del> |
| 15 | Volume 2A, Elmvale Acres Secondary Plan, Section 7, Policy 2                   | Housekeeping | This amendment deletes an outdated and unnecessary policy. The City's notification and consultation requirements for site plan control depend on the scope of the application, and a community information and comment session is not a strict requirement. The amendment should be deleted as notification and consultation procedures are established in Volume One of the Official Plan and should not differ by secondary plan area. | <del>2) Any application for site plan control will be subject to public notification and consultation and includes a requirement to hold a community information and comment session. Notification shall be provided according to the City's Public Notification and Consultation Policy for Development Applications and the Planning Act as amended.</del>  |
| 16 | Volume 1, Section 6.5.2, Policy  | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a malapropism. The word “noxious” should be used as it describes harmful impacts in association with certain industrial activities, rather than “obnoxious”, which refers to something that is highly annoying, unpleasant, or objectionable.  | 1) Uses which are likely to generate noise, fumes, odours or other similar <b><u>noxious</u></b> <del>obnoxious</del> impacts, or are hazardous should not be permitted.  |
| 17 | Volume 1, Subsection 5.4.3, Policy 3)  | Housekeeping | For improved readability, this amendment proposes to create a unique policy number for Minor Corridor heights in the Suburban Transect. The subject policy is currently embedded within a sub-policy for Mainstreet Corridors. The change is consistent with the formatting for the other policies in the Section.   | 5.4.3 <b><u>4)</u></b> Along Minor Corridors, subject to appropriate height transitions and setbacks, permitted building heights are up to 4 storeys, however:<br>i) <b><u>a)</u></b> Mid-rise buildings, between 5 to 7 storeys, may be considered through a rezoning without amendment to this Plan;<br>ii) <b><u>b)</u></b> Mid-rise buildings above 7 storeys may be permitted through an Area-Specific Policy or Secondary Plan; and<br>iii) <b><u>c)</u></b> Mid-rise or high-rise buildings may be permitted through a Secondary Plan.   |

|    |                                       |              |  |  |
|----|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 18 | Volume 1, Section 6.3.3, Policy 3)    | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a numbering error. Policy3) includes sub-policies a) through e), but incorrectly skips d).   | 3) Where a small-scale non-residential use is currently not permitted as-of-right in the Zoning By-law and is proposed, the City will consider permitting these uses, provided the development meets all of the following:<br>a) They are small scale and serve the surrounding lands;<br>b) They are conveniently located with respect to concentrations of residential development and provide direct access for pedestrians and cyclists from adjacent residential areas;<br>c) They help to facilitate interaction among residents and contribute to healthy 15-minute neighbourhoods; and<br>e) <b>d)</b> They are of a size and scale that shall not result in the attraction of large volumes of vehicular traffic from outside the immediate area.   |
| 19 | Volume 1, Schedule C2                 | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects two labelling errors on Schedule C2. The locations of two priority corridors are correctly identified but the street names are incorrect.  | Replace 'Abbott' with 'Fernbank' and 'Parkdale' with 'Holland' on Schedule C2 of the Official Plan.  |
| 20 | Volume 1, Section 6.6.3.1.4)          | Housekeeping | This amend corrects a referencing error. Section 6.6.3.1.4) on page 197 incorrectly refers to Schedule C13 (Scenic Routes). It should refer to Schedule C14.   | 6.6.3.1 Ottawa International Airport Economic District: 4) Development will be subject to the restrictions imposed by the Airport Vicinity Development Zone as shown on Schedule C13 <b>4</b> and as described in Subsection 10.2.2.   |
| 21 | Volume 1, Section 6.3.2, Policy 7) d) | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a cross-referencing error. The subject policy provides direction for residential conversions. It currently references 3.2 Policy 6), which deals employment growth and is not relevant to subject policy. It should instead reference Policy 5), which provides further direction for residential conversions. | 7) Sites that are underutilized or convert from non-residential uses, for example golf courses, school sites or churches, may convert to residential, provided all of the following are met: d) Industrial or commercial sites, such as shopping centre redevelopments, that are located within the Neighbourhood designation do not fall under this policy and must rely on the direction of Subsection 3.2, Policy <b>65</b> ).  |
| 22 | Volume 1, Subsection 5.4.5, Policy 1) | Housekeeping | This amendment clarifies a table reference. Subsection 3.2 contains Tables 3a and 3b, but no general Table 3. Table 3b establishes density targets for Neighbourhoods and is the correct reference in this context.  | 1) Neighbourhoods located in the Suburban Transect and within a 15-minute neighbourhood shall accommodate residential growth to meet the Growth Management Strategy as outlined in Subsection 3.2, Table <b>3b</b> . The Zoning By-law shall implement the density thresholds in a manner which adheres to the built form requirements as described in Subsection 5.6.1 – Built Form Overlays, as applicable and that:<br>a) Allows and supports a wide variety of housing types with a focus on missing-middle housing, which may include new housing types that are currently not contemplated in this Plan;<br>b) Generally provides for up to 3 storey height permission, and where appropriate 4 storey height permissions to allow for higher-density Low-rise residential development; and<br>c) Provides an emphasis on regulating the maximum built form envelope, based on the context, that frames the public right of way. |
| 23 | Volume 4, Section 4, Table 4          | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a reference error. The Table of Contents establishes that the Road Classification and Function by Transect table should be titled "Table 4". The table itself is incorrectly labelled as Exhibit 18.   | Modify Table 4 as follows:<br><b>Table 4</b> <del>Exhibit 18</del> : Road Classification and Function by Transect  |

|    |   |              |   |   |
|----|---|--------------|---|---|
| 24 | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan                                | Housekeeping | This amendment updates references to align with name changes made by the National Capital Commission (NCC). In 2023, the NCC voted to rename the Sir John A. Macdonald parkway to the Kichi Zībī Mīkan. The NCC owns and maintains the parkway, and so the name change should be reflected in applicable City planning documents.   | In the West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, replace all references to the Sir John A. Macdonald parkway (SJAM) with Kichi Zībī Mīkan.   |
| 25 | Bank Street South Secondary Plan  | Housekeeping | This amendment updates zoning references to account for the future zoning by-law. The secondary plan currently references zones in By-law No. 2008-250. It is anticipated that the names of these zones may change in the new by-law.   | 2.3 22) Development proposals within Nodes and under the zoning designation of Arterial Mainstreet <b><u>or its successor</u></b> are to be reviewed by the City's Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP). Pre-application consultation is required. Exemptions of when the UDRP does not require application review are established by the City and should be followed.  |
| 26 | Bank Street South Secondary Plan  | Housekeeping | This amendment updates zoning references to account for the future zoning by-law. The secondary plan currently references zones in By-law No. 2008-250. It is anticipated that the names of these zones may change in the new by-law.   | 3.4 30) The maximum building height for residential, non-residential or mixed-use development is 22 metres, approximately a seven- to eight-storey building, depending on their proximity to existing residential development. When adjacent to a low-rise neighbourhood, the maximum permitted building height is 11 metres, approximately a three- to four-storey building and can transition into a 22-metre-tall building when further away from the neighbourhood. Refer to the GMX Subzone <b><u>or its successor</u></b> for more details.   |
| 27 | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, Schedule B - Designation Plan | Housekeeping | Area A was meant to apply to select properties in the vicinity of Bayview O-Train Station, as well as semngements of Scott and Albert Street and the O-Train corridor adjacent to them. Area B of the Bayview Station District applies to the lands south of Albert Street, north of Somerset Street, west of Rochester Street and east of the initially approved Bayview Station District Secondary Plan boundary. | Per Schedule F of this Official Plan amendment, delineate Areas A and B on Schedule B of the West Downtown Core Secondary Plan.   |
| 28 | Volume 2A, Riverside South Secondary Plan                                   | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects an administrative error. The subject policy provides administrative direction to staff to update the consolidated Official Plan. This direction is clerical and should not be contained in the body of the secondary plan.  | <del>2.1 Change the Minimum Area-wide Density Requirement, People and Jobs per Gross Hectare for the Riverside South TC in Table 3a in Volume 1 from “100” to “160”.</del><br><del>2.2 Update Schedule B4 (Greenbelt Transect) and Schedule B6 (Suburban Southwest Transect) in Volume 1 of the Official Plan to reflect the boundary of the Industrial and Logistics designation found on Schedule A—Designation Plan of the Riverside South Secondary Plan.</del><br><del>2.3 Amend Volume 2C (Area-Specific Policies) to create a new Area-Specific Policy 49 for the unaddressed property identified as PIN 04331-0309, with the following language:</del><br><del>49 PIN 04331-0309—Industrial and Logistics in the Riverside South Secondary Plan</del><br><del>49.1 Notwithstanding the location of the lands within the Greenbelt Transect on Schedule B4, the policies of the Greenbelt Transect do not apply to the portion of the property identified as PIN 04331-0309, east of Limebank Road, that is designated as Industrial and Logistics within the Riverside South Secondary Plan in Volume 2A.</del><br><del>49.2 At the time of a Municipal Comprehensive Review, the City will consider changing the Greenbelt Transect boundary to exclude the portion of the property at PIN 04331-0309 from the Greenbelt Transect, in consultation with the National Capital Commission.</del> |
| 29 | Volume 2C, Area-Specific Policies   | Housekeeping | The By-law for the Riverside South Secondary Plan incorrectly directed the creation of a new Area-Specific Policy with a number that was already in use. This amendment provides direction to create the Area-Specific Policy using a new number.   | Amend Volume 2C (Area-Specific Policies) to create a new Area-Specific Policy # for the unaddressed property identified as PIN 04331-0309, with the following language:<br><b><u>## PIN 04331-0309 – Industrial and Logistics in the Riverside South Secondary Plan ##.1 Notwithstanding the location of the lands within the Greenbelt Transect</u></b>  |

|    |                                     |              |  |  |
|----|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
|    |                                     |              |  | <p><b><u>on Schedule B4, the policies of the Greenbelt Transect do not apply to the portion of the property identified as PIN 04331-0309, east of Limebank Road, that is designated as Industrial and Logistics within the Riverside South Secondary Plan in Volume 2A.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>##.2 At the time of a Municipal Comprehensive Review, the City will consider changing the Greenbelt Transect boundary to exclude the portion of the property at PIN 04331-0309 from the Greenbelt Transect, in consultation with the National Capital Commission.</u></b></p>   |
| 30 | Volume 1, Subsection 2.2.5          | Housekeeping | This amendment corrects a referencing error. The reference to 9.2.3, Policy 5) should be updated to 4) to align with item 64 in OPA 5, which deleted Policy 5 and re-numbered the remaining policies.  | <p>Make the following changes to the "What we want to achieve" textbox:</p> <p><b><u>1) Application of a gender lens to meet the housing needs of women, Black and racialized communities and new immigrants</u></b><br/> 1) Meet the housing needs of women and racialized communities<br/> <b><u>2) Understand and address the specific housing needs of Indigenous peoples</u></b><br/> 2) Improved mobility options for women and racialized communities<br/> <b><u>3) Improve mobility options for women and in neighbourhoods where there are large numbers of residents who may face transportation-related barriers to social and economic participation</u></b><br/> 3 <b><u>4) Improve access to amenities</u></b></p> |
| 31 | Volume 2C, NEW Area-Specific Policy | Housekeeping | This amendment adds a new area-specific policy to implement the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) decision for case number OLT-21-001533. The Official Plan Amendment was opened under the previous Official Plan; however, the appeal was not dismissed until after the new Official Plan was adopted by Council.   | In Volume 2C of the Official Plan, add the text detailed in Appendix X to Area-Specific Policy 44 - Heron Gate (2851, 2848, 2881 and 2898 Baycrest Drive, 2820 and 2831 Cedarwood Drive and 2816 Sandalwood Drive)   |
| 32 | Volume 1, Section 7.3, Policy 2)    | Housekeeping | Securement of natural lands for conservation purposes may in some cases require the creation of a new parcel to separate the natural lands from an existing residential area of the property. This was usually achieved via R-plan or severance, though Subsection 7.3 Policy 2) e) and Policy 3) a) in the Official Plan no longer allow for this process. Staff recommend amending these policies to enable rural land securement for conservation. The policies will continue to prevent lot line adjustments and severances for development purposes in these areas. | Policy 2) e) Development, lot line adjustments and site alteration are not permitted in Significant Wetlands, <b><u>except where lot creation or lot line adjustment is required to support the conveyance of the undeveloped portion of the property to a public agency or land trust for long-term conservation in a natural state</u></b>   |
| 33 | Volume 1, Section 7.3, Policy 3)    | Housekeeping | Securement of natural lands for conservation purposes may in some cases require the creation of a new parcel to separate the natural lands from an existing residential area of the property. This was usually achieved via R-plan or severance, though Subsection 7.3 Policy 2) e) and Policy 3) a) in the Official Plan no longer allow for this process. Staff recommend amending these policies to enable rural land securement for conservation. The policies will continue to prevent lot line adjustments and severances for development purposes in these areas. | e) a) Development lot line adjustments and site alteration are prohibited in Natural Environment Areas, <b><u>except where lot creation or lot line adjustment is required to support the conveyance of the undeveloped portion of the property to a public agency or land trust for long-term conservation in a natural state</u></b>   |

|   |  |                       |  |   |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| 34                                      | Volume 1, 4.1.2 Policy 11)   | Housekeeping          | This amendment adds a new row to the table for pedestrian and cycling facilities for Villages on private services. A sidewalk in these situations is not always feasible and should be considered based on the specific context.   | Number the table as Table 5, provide a policy letter for each non-heading row, and introduce a new row to the table:<br><br><b><u>f) Villages: Notwithstanding policy 11 c) above, where new development is proposed on private services in a village without storm sewers, for local roads, the need for a sidewalk on one side of the street shall be determined based on local context including direct connections to schools, parks, pathways, public buildings, public institutions and commercial areas.</u></b> |
| <b>Secondary Plan Review Amendments</b> |  |                       |  |   |
| 35                                      | Volume 2A, Orleans Corridor Secondary Plan                         | Secondary Plan Review | This amendment corrects an inconsistency with the City's Planning Rationale Terms of Reference. Planning Rationales are required for Official Plan amendments, Zoning By-law amendments, and Plans of Subdivision, but not Site Plan applications.   | 4.1 1) 1) As part of a complete application for any amendment to this plan, Zoning By-law Amendment <b><u>or Plan of Subdivision</u></b> <del>or new Site Plan application</del> , a planning rationale is required that demonstrates how new development will be consistent with the vision, goals and objectives of this Plan as well as the parent Official Plan.  |
| 36                                      | Volume 2A, Orleans Corridor Secondary Plan, Schedule C             | Secondary Plan Review | This amendment modifies the length and geometry of the proposed Tweddle Road Woonerf on Schedule C to better reflect the intent of the applicable policy. The current polygon implies that the woonerf is to bisect the MUP and terminate at Trim Station, whereas Section 6.5 Policy 30 directs that the woonerf provide a connection between Jeanne d'Arc Boulevard and the MUP. | Per Schedule D of this Official Plan amendment, remove a portion of the Tweddle Road Linear Park / Woonerf on Schedule C of the Orleans Corridor Secondary Plan.  |
| 37                                      | Volume 2A, Montreal Road District Secondary Plan                   | Secondary Plan Review | This amendment updates zoning references to account for the future zoning by-law. The secondary plan currently references zones in By-law No. 2008-250. It is anticipated that the names of these zones may change in the new by-law.  | Update all references to the TM zones as follows:<br><br>TM Zone <b><u>or its successor</u></b>   |
| 38                                      | Volume 2A, Montreal Road District Secondary Plan, Policy 17) 3. b. | Secondary Plan Review | This amendment updates zoning references to account for the future zoning by-law. The secondary plan currently references zones in By-law No. 2008-250. It is anticipated that the names of these zones may change in the new by-law.  | 17) 3. b. Provide for adequate setbacks and built form transition to adjacent low-rise residential and institutional uses including:<br>I. minimum separation distance of 22 metres between the tower portion of high-rise buildings and R1, R2, R3, and R4 Zones <b><u>or their successors</u></b> .   |
| 39                                      | Volume 1, Schedule C7-A  | Secondary Plan Review | This amendment adds two Design Priority Areas to Schedule C-7A for consistency with the Orleans Corridor Secondary Plan. Design Priority Areas were added for Jeanne d'Arc station and Trim station in the secondary plan, but they were omitted from Schedule C7-A of Volume One of the Official Plan. This amendment corrects the oversight.                                     | Per Schedule T of this Official Plan amendment, designate the Jeanne d'Arc Station and Trim Station areas as Design Priority Areas in Schedule C7-A in the Official Plan.   |

|  |   |                                  |  |   |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|---|
| 40   | Volume 2A, Scott Street Secondary Plan  | Secondary Plan Review            | This amendment renames a designation within the Scott Street Secondary Plan to avoid confusion with a designation in Volume One of the Official Plan. The Scott Street Secondary Plan has a designation called "Burnside Corridor", which is neither a Mainstreet nor Minor Corridor in Volume One. The Burnside Corridor designation includes unique policies that should not be confused with the Corridor policies in Volume One. | In the Scott Street Secondary Plan, replace all references to Burnside Corridor with Burnside Mixed-Use.  |
| <b>New Zoning By-law Review-Related Amendments</b> |   |                                  |  |   |
| 41   | Volume 2A, Scott Street Secondary Plan, Schedule A, Schedule B  | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a designation error in the Scott Street Secondary Plan. During the creation of the Scott Street Community Design Plan and Secondary Plan, the subject parcel was incorrectly identified as being owned by the National Capital Commission and serving a capital greenspace role. The property is privately owned and should reflect the Hub designation shown on Volume 1.                                   | Per Schedule E of this Official Plan amendment, change the designation of 55 Parkdale Avenue on Schedule A of the Scott Street Secondary Plan from Capital Greenspace to Institutional – Embassies. On Schedule B of the Scott Street Secondary Plan, extend the "Height Limit Varies as per Existing Zoning" hatching to the subject property.             |
| 42   | Volume 2A, West Downtown Core Secondary Plan, Bayview Station District, Schedule C – Maximum Building Heights | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects an inconsistency between schedules in the West Downtown Core Secondary Plan. The subject parcels are shown in both the Bayview Station District and the Pimisi and Lebreton Flats District.  | Per Schedule G of this Official Plan amendment, remove 128 Broad Street and part of 813 Albert Street from the Bayview Station District Schedule C in the West Downtown Core Secondary Plan.  |
| 43   | Volume 2B, Richmond Secondary Plan  | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error. The Commercial designation in the secondary plan was intended to recognize a business located on two lots on Perth Street. The boundary was incorrectly drawn, resulting in one of the business lot being excluded from the designation, and one residential lot not related to the business being included in the designation.   | Per Schedule K of this Official Plan amendment, change the designation of 5971 Perth Street from Village Commercial to Village Residential 1 on Schedule A of the Village of Richmond Secondary Plan. Change the designation of 5961 Perth Street from Village Residential 1 to Village Commercial on Schedule A of the Village of Richmond Secondary Plan. |
| 44   | Volume 1, Schedule B6, Schedule C12   | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error. 150 Celestial Grove is a residential parcel with an existing dwelling. The Greenspace designation was incorrectly applied to the property and should be replaced with the Neighbourhood designation.  | Per Schedule S of this Official Plan amendment, designate 150 Celestial Grove as Neighbourhood on Schedule B6. Remove the Open Space designation from the subject property on Schedule C12.   |
| 45   | Volume 1, Schedule B8, Schedule C12   | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error. Lands on the Ottawa River shoreline near Petrie Island are significant wetlands and were incorrectly designated Neighbourhood rather than Greenspace.   | Per Schedule R of this Official Plan amendment, designate the subject properties as Urban Natural Features on Official Plan Schedule C12. Redesignate the properties from Neighbourhood to Greenspace on Official Plan Schedule B8.   |



|    |   |                                  |  |   |
|----|---|----------------------------------|--|---|
| 46 | Volume 1, Schedule B1, Schedule C12       | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a designation error. The Lemieux Island Water Purification Plant was intended to be designated as Greenspace with the Open Space sub-designation but was erroneously designated Neighbourhood during the creation of the current Official Plan.  | Per Schedule L of this Official Plan amendment, re-designate 1 Onigam Street from Neighbourhood to Greenspace on Schedule B1 and to Open Space on Schedule C12.   |
| 47 | Volume 1, Schedule B4                     | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error so as to align the designation boundaries with the National Capital Commission's Greenbelt Master Plan.  | Per Schedule N of this Official Plan amendment, re-designate part of 3801 Carling from Agricultural Resource Area to Greenbelt Rural on Schedule B4 of the Official Plan.   |
| 48 | Volume 1, Schedule B4                     | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error so as to align the designation boundaries with the National Capital Commission's Greenbelt Master Plan. Official Plan Schedule B4 erroneously excludes the Nepean Sportsplex from the Greenbelt Facility area.   | Per Schedule O of this Official Plan amendment, re-designate part of 1701 Woodroffe Ave from Greenspace to Greenbelt Facility on Schedule B4 of the Official Plan so as to include the Nepean Sportsplex.   |
| 49 | Volume 1, Schedule B4                     | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error so as to align the designation boundaries with the National Capital Commission's Greenbelt Master Plan. Schedule B4 currently excludes part of the Connaught Range Federal Facility footprint in error.  | Per Schedule P of this Official Plan amendment, re-designate part of part of 35 Shirley Boulevard from Greenbelt Rural to Greenbelt Facility on Schedule B4 of the Official Plan so as to include the entirety of the Connaught Range Federal Facility. |
| 50 | Volume 1, Schedule C-11A and Schedule B9. | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | This amendment corrects a mapping error. City staff had intended for the Natural Heritage Features overlay to be removed from the applicable area as part of Official Plan Amendment 28. The area no longer corresponds with the wetland boundary, and the significant woodlands in this area have been removed. This is reflected in the text of Location Map document 1E for Official Plan amendment 28; however, the opposite of the intended change was shown on Schedule F of the OPA in error. | Per Schedule U of this Official Plan amendment, remove the Natural Heritage Features Overlay from part of 635 Jinkinson on Schedule C-11A and Schedule B9.  |
| 51 | Volume 1, Schedule C-11A, Schedule C-11B  | New Zoning By-law Review-Related | The new Official Plan erroneously added the Significant Wetland sub-designation to C-11A and the Greenspace designation to Schedule B9 for part of 891 Jilkinson Road. The subject portion of land does not correspond with Provincial wetland mapping.  | Per Schedule Q of this Official Plan amendment, remove the Greenspace designation on Schedule B9 and the Significant Wetland sub-designation on Schedule C-11A, Schedule C-11B from part of 891 Jinkinson Road.   |