

120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

SCOPED MASTER SERVICING STUDY

FOR

STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (W-4)

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 21-1247

OCTOBER 2024 1ST SUBMISSION (REV.1) © DSEL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This scoped Master Servicing Study (*MSS*) review has been prepared in support of the Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area (W-4) (SSUEA) development on behalf of Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. and Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd. which will collectively be referred to as "Caivan".

The overall **MSS** study area encompasses approximately 80 ha of land and is bound by Flewellyn Road to the south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6 ("Edenwylde")) and an estate lot subdivision (Woodside Acres) to the west. The SSUEA area includes Caivan's landholdings as well as an additional ~8.82 ha consisting of 5.68 ha residential holdout land parcels and 3.97 ha of Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) corridor west of the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD). The easternmost parcel of Caivan's lands, referred to henceforth as the Eder parcel, (6030 Fernbank Road, 14.24 ha) has been considered within this scoped **MSS** given the importance of its inclusion from an infrastructure servicing and development phasing perspective. The Eder parcel is proposed to be brought into the urban boundary through the planning process. The proposed development concept consists of detached single homes, townhomes, stacked townhomes, park blocks, stormwater management blocks, open space and road allowances. The development area is bisected by a Hydro One 500 kV utility corridor.

Following completion of the Terms of Reference, Existing Conditions Report, Public Open House and ongoing consultation with City staff, this *MSS* represents the next step in the planning process. The purpose of this *MSS* report is to recommend a feasible servicing strategy for the Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area (SSUEA), with respect to water, wastewater collection and stormwater management. Furthermore, this report includes an *MSS* level servicing design in support of a future draft plan application for the SSUEA Lands. The report also meets the requirements set out by the City of Ottawa's Serviceability Study Checklist.

The SSUEA is located within the Jock River Watershed and is subject to the regulations associated with the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). The ultimate storm outlet for the site is the Faulkner Drain at the southeast corner of the site, however, the channel extends from the existing subdivision to the North (at Friendly Crescent) via a storm sewer and concrete headwall structure and continues straight south to meet the existing drain at the Hydro corridor. The existing Faulkner Drain makes a 90-degree bend and flows on the north side of Flewellyn Road to Shea Road. Based on preliminary consultation with the City's Municipal Drain group, it is our opinion the subject site has legal and sufficient outlet in the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD). The City indicated that the scope of work would fall under Section 65 of the Drainage Act with updates to the change in land use and incorporation of new connections to the FMD. This process has been initiated with the City.

The stormwater analysis contained in this report indicates that the existing Davidson SWM facility, located within the SSUEA lands, can receive peak runoff from 4.13 ha of

21-1247

SSUEA lands. Preliminary analysis has been completed to size the storm trunk sewers for the 1:5-year event (minor system) while accounting for flows in excess of the minor system by providing an overland flow route (major system). Several alternative SWM strategies were evaluated and the preferred option has minor and major system flows directed towards two new stormwater management facilities and the existing Davidson SWM facility for treatment. Trunk storm servicing would be provided by 875 to 1500 mm diameter pipe within the development's Public Right of ways.

The Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station (SRSPS) is expected to service the SSUEA, supplied from a network of gravity sewers. The Fernbank Trunk sewer has been extended within Fernbank Road which provides a gravity outlet to the SRSPS. Currently, significant residual capacity is available within the SRSPS and the Fernbank Road Trunk sewer. The wastewater servicing strategy is to direct all sanitary flows within the Study Area to the SRSPS located on the Davidson property. The existing SRSPS has a current firm capacity of 84 L/s, complete with backup power, emergency overflow and will outlet through dual 200mm forcemains to the Fernbank Trunk Sewer. It is anticipated that the existing SRSPS can accommodate the first phases of SSUEA development. Staged upgrades will be required to increase firm capacity to accommodate the full SSUEA development. Timing and details of these upgrades will be further evaluated as part of the Functional Servicing Report. Trunk wastewater collection will be provided by 200 to 375 mm diameter pipes within the SSUEA public right of ways and include an outlet to the proposed Sanitary Pump Station. The proposed pipe sizes and slopes are to meet the minimum requirements set out by the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

For potable water servicing, the study area is located at the northern boundary of the 3W pressure zone which is fed by the Glen Cairn and Campeau Drive Pump Stations and the Stittsville Elevated Tank. Line pressure is generally good and is suitable to service the entire study area. The proposed trunk watermain network was analysed to ensure that City of Ottawa guidelines were met. The potable water network is expected to provide the level of service required for the development of the SSUEA lands.

SCOPED MASTER SERVICING STUDY FOR STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (W-4)

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.

PROJECT NO: 21-1247

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Study Area	1
1.2	Report Integration	4
1.3	Master Servicing Study Scope	6
1.4	Environmental Assessment Act	6
1.5	Development Plan	7
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	8
2.1	Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports	8
3.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS AND SITE CONSTRAINTS	11
3.1	Land Ownership	11
3.2	Geotechnical Conditions	11
3.3	Hydrogeology	12
3.4	Drainage	14
3.5	Natural Environment Features	14
	3.5.1 Natural Heritage System and Headwater Assessment	
3.6	Existing Land Use and Adjacent Land Uses	17
3.7	Opportunities and Constraints	17
4.0	NEED AND JUSTIFICATION FOR MUNICIPAL SERVICING	18
4.1	Vision & Goals for the SSUEA	18
4.2	Servicing Problem Statement	19
5.0	IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE SERVICING SOLUTIONS	19

5.2 5.3 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Wastewater Collection Alternatives Stormwater Collection & Management Alternatives. ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE NEIGHBOURHOOD CONCEPT PLANS/SERVICING DESIGN CONCEPTS Preliminary Land Use Plans Assessment of Alternative Land Use Plans Preferred Concept Plan and General Servicing Strategy Phasing Strategy WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN. Existing Water Supply Services Water Supply Servicing Design	2022232424
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3	ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE NEIGHBOURHOOD CONCEPT PLANS/SERVICING DESIGN CONCEPTS Preliminary Land Use Plans Assessment of Alternative Land Use Plans Preferred Concept Plan and General Servicing Strategy Phasing Strategy WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN Existing Water Supply Services	22232424
6.1 6.2 6.3	PLANS/SERVICING DESIGN CONCEPTS Preliminary Land Use Plans Assessment of Alternative Land Use Plans Preferred Concept Plan and General Servicing Strategy Phasing Strategy WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN Existing Water Supply Services	23232425
6.2 6.3	Assessment of Alternative Land Use Plans Preferred Concept Plan and General Servicing Strategy Phasing Strategy WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN Existing Water Supply Services	232425
6.3	Preferred Concept Plan and General Servicing Strategy Phasing Strategy WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN Existing Water Supply Services	23 24 25
	Phasing Strategy WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN Existing Water Supply Services	24 25
6.4	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN Existing Water Supply Services	25
	Existing Water Supply Services	25
7.0		
7.1	Water Supply Servicing Design	0.5
7.2		∠5
	7.2.1 Water Supply Servicing Alternatives	26
	7.2.2 Water Servicing Plan Recommended Alternative	
	7.2.3 Water Supply Design Criteria	
	7.2.4 Fire Flow Demand	
7.3	Commitments for Functional and Detailed Design	
7.4	Water Supply Conclusion	
8.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING PLAN	
8.1	Existing Wastewater Services	
8.2	Wastewater Design Criteria	
8.3	SSUEA Wastewater Servicing	
	8.3.1 SSUEA Wastewater Servicing Options	35
	8.3.2 Preferred Wastewater Servicing Plan	
	8.3.2.1 West Lands Preferred Wastewater Servicing Plan	
	8.3.3 Sanitary Flow Review	
	8.3.4 SRSPS Anticipated Upgrades	37
	8.3.5 Consideration of Alternatives for Sanitary Servicing Design	38
8.4	Commitments for Functional and Detailed Design	38
8.5	Wastewater Servicing Conclusion	39
9.0	STORMWATER CONVEYANCE	41

9.1	Existir	ng Stormwater Drainage	41
	9.1.1	Faulkner Municipal Drain	41
9.2	SSUE	A Stormwater Management Ponds and Servicing Options	43
	9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3	Option 1A: Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Eder Parcel)	44 er
	9.2.6 9.2.7 9.2.7.	Option 3: Two SWM Ponds and Decommissioning of Davidson Pond Cost Comparison Preferred SWM Pond Alternative	47 49 50 51 51
9.3		Development Stormwater Management Targets	
9.0	9.3.1	Quality ControlQuantity Control	53
9.4	Storm	water Management Design	53
	9.4.1 9.4.2	Proposed Minor SystemProposed Major System	
9.5	Propo	sed Grading	57
9.6	Low Ir	mpact Development (LID) - Infiltration	57
9.7	Comm	nitments for Functional and Detailed Design	58
9.8	Storm	water Servicing Conclusions	59
10.0	EROS	SION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	60
11.0	CONC	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMEDATIONS	61
		LIST OF IN-TEXT EXHIBITS	
Exhibi Exhibi Exhibi Exhibi Exhibi Exhibi	t 2 t 3 t 4 t 5	Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area – Location Plan Option 1A: Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Eder Parcel) Option 1B: Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Davidson Parcel) Option 2A: One SWM Pond and Relocate Drain (Perimeter Channel) Option 2B One SWM Pond and Relocate Drain (Central Channel) Option 3: Two SWM Ponds and Decommissioning of Davidson Pond	

LIST OF IN-TEXT TABLES

Table 1: Summary of SSUEA Studies/Reports	5
Table 2: Development Statistics Projection	
Table 3: HDFA Summary	15
Table 4: Water Supply Design Criteria	27
Table 5: Summary of Minimum Available Fire Flows (GeoAdvice, 2023)	29
Table 6: Sanitary Flow Review	32
Table 7: Wastewater Design Criteria	33
Table 8: Summary of Estimated SWM Costs	50
Table 9: Storm Sewer Design Criteria	54
-	

FIGURES

Figure 1	NAK SSUEA Concept Plan
Figure 2	Water Distribution System Facilities
Figure 3	SWM Options – Servicing Comparison

DRAWINGS

Conceptual Grading Plan
Conceptual Servicing Plan
Conceptual Storm Servicing Plan
Conceptual Sanitary Servicing Plan
Conceptual Watermain Servicing Plan

APPENDICES

Appendix A

- A.1 Terms of Reference (DSEL, June 2022)
- A.2 Class Environmental Assessment Requirements Overview Memo (Morrison Hershfield, October 2023)
- A.3 Existing Conditions Report Servicing (DSEL, September 2023)

Appendix B

 B.1 Bedrock Contour Plan – Proposed Residential Development 5993, 60701 & 6115 Flewellyn Road (Paterson, Drawing PF5570-2, 01/2022)

Appendix C

- C.1 Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis SSUEA Development – Final Report (GeoAdvice Engineering Inc., August 2024)
- C.2 Design Criteria Correspondence with City Staff
- C.3 Updated Boundary Conditions Request to City
- C.4 City Boundary Conditions (March 2023)

Appendix D

- D.1 ECA 3415-ADQLJG Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station (September 2016)
- D.2 Stittsville South Area 6 MSR Excerpt
- D.3 Fernbank Lands MSS Sanitary Design Sheet
- D.4 Sanitary Trunk Sewer Design Sheet (DSEL, 2024)
- D.5 SRSPS Site Servicing and Grading (Sanitary Overflow Elevation)
- D.6 Shea Road Pump Station & Fernbank Trunk Capacity Review (Novatech, May 2023)
- D.7 Excerpt from City of Ottawa Infrastructure Master Plan, Draft Final (July 2024)

Appendix E

- E.1 Conceptual SWM Ponds and Preliminary HGL Analysis (JFSA, November 2023)
- E.2 Storm Trunk Sewer Design Sheet (DSEL, 2024)

21-1247

SCOPED MASTER SERVICING STUDY FOR STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (W-4)

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.

CITY OF OTTAWA PROJECT NO: 21-1247

1.0 INTRODUCTION

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained to prepare a scoped Master Servicing Study (*MSS*) review in support of the Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area (W-4) (*SSUEA*) development on behalf of Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. and Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd. which will collectively be referred to as ("Caivan"). The *MSS* supports the Official Plan Amendment for the removal of the Future Neighborhood Overlay on Schedule C17 of the City of Ottawa Official Plan and for the inclusion of the Southeast parcel within the Urban Boundary. The scoped MSS also provides guidance and direction for the Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning applications for the subject lands.

1.1 Study Area

The overall scoped *MSS* study area encompasses approximately 80 ha of land and is bound by Flewellyn Road to the south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6 ("Edenwylde")) and an estate lot subdivision (Woodside Acres) to the west. The SSUEA area includes Caivan's landholdings as well as an additional ~8.82 ha consisting of 5.68ha residential holdout land parcels and 3.97 ha of Hydro One Networks Inc. (HONI) corridor west of the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD). The easternmost parcel of Caivan's lands, referred to henceforth as the Eder parcel, (6030 Fernbank Road, 14.24 ha; and two holdout properties, 0.81 ha per property) has been considered within this scoped MSS given the importance of its inclusion from an infrastructure servicing and development phasing perspective. The Eder parcel is proposed to be brought into the urban boundary through the planning process. The Caivan parcels are legally described as parts of Lots 24 and 25 Concession 9 (Geographic Township of Goulbourn now in the City of Ottawa).

The W-4 lands were newly designated as 'Urban Expansion Area' in the City of Ottawa Official Plan as of November 2022 and will be implemented as an amendment to the City of Ottawa Official Plan (OP) with removal of the 'Future Neighborhood Overlay' on the OP's Schedule C17. The study area includes all of the W-4 Lands as well as the east parcel located at northwest corner of Shea Road and Flewellyn Road. The east parcel was considered for inclusion in the W-4 area by the City of Ottawa and scored

well from a servicing perspective in the City of Ottawa January 2021 Growth Management Strategy report but was not carried forward due a tree cutting violation by the previous owners. The east parcel is thus included in the study area given that the City of Ottawa's prior decision to exclude the lands from the boundary expansion was not based on servicing or physical constraints.

Given the constraints imposed by the presence of the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD), the development area has been divided into two distinct land parcels for the purposes of this *MSS*. See the following *Exhibit 1* for details and the study area boundary with the respective areas referred to **Stittsville West Lands** and **Stittsville South Lands**.



Exhibit 1: Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area – Location Plan

The subject property is within the Jock River subwatershed and is under the jurisdiction of the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). The existing topography,

21-1247

characterized in the available City of Ottawa base mapping, and site-specific survey, indicates that all flows from the subject property are ultimately conveyed from the site by way of the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD), that generally divides the site into west and east parcels, and is conveyed along Shea Road to the Flowing Creek Municipal Drain and then the Jock River.

The subject property is currently zoned Rural Countryside (RU) Zone.

The proposed future development activities within the SSUEA area require approvals under the *Planning Act*, including an Official Plan Amendment application. Components of the development activities are also subject to the *Environmental Assessment Act*. Specifically, the proposed future development activities include the following land uses:

- Low Density Residential (single detached homes);
- Medium Density Residential (townhomes and stacked townhomes);
- Parkland;
- Woodlot / Open Space;
- Stormwater Management Facilities;
- Hydro Easement / Open Space; and
- Roads (18 m and 24m right-of-ways (ROW)) and Multiuse Pathways.

A Concept Plan for the SSUEA is presented in *Figure 1*, prepared by NAK Design Strategies, and illustrates the various components noted above. The preferred concept was prepared and refined in conjunction with the preferred sanitary and storm servicing strategies presented in this *MSS*.

This MSS has been prepared in accordance with the Municipal Engineers Association's *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment* (March 2023, as amended in 2000, 2007, 2011 & 2015) to support the Official Plan Amendment.

Caivan, majority landowner aside from seven smaller existing residential holdout properties, has retained **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.** to complete this scoped Master Servicing Study (*MSS*), which includes: environmental assessment input provided by **Morrison Hershfield**, stormwater management analysis provided by **J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc.**, watermain analysis provided by **GeoAdvice Engineering Inc.**, geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations completed by **Paterson Group Inc.**, sanitary pumping station analysis completed by **Novatech** and natural environment investigations completed by **Kilgour & Associates Ltd**.

The objective of this **MSS** report is to provide technical details about the proposed servicing plan for the SSUEA, specifically detailing the proposed water supply servicing

21-1247

strategy, wastewater servicing strategy, stormwater servicing strategy, grading strategy and utility service strategy. This **MSS** also considers the serviceability of the holdout properties, as demonstrated in **Exhibit 1**, to ensure these lands will be serviceable in the future or are able to maintain their current servicing strategy. This **MSS** uses environmental assessment planning principles to evaluate alternative servicing solutions and alternative servicing designs for the SSUEA, leading to the identification of a preferred servicing strategy complete with mitigation of potential adverse environmental effects.

1.2 Report Integration

In support of the Official Plan Amendment application for the SSUEA study, various studies and plans are required to identify: on-site and off-site municipal infrastructure (e.g. roads, water, and sewers); the natural heritage system; recreational pathways; community facility requirements; on-site and off-site transportation infrastructure; and land use densities and mixes.

The reports and planning for the SSUEA were undertaken in a similar time frame and in a coordinated manner, resulting in an iterative planning and decision-making process. An inventory of the concurrent and inter-related reports is provided in the following table highlighting how the various components influence this **MSS**. These reports are referenced throughout the **MSS** and are provided for reference in the appendices or as companion documents under separate cover.

Examples of inter-related aspects of the infrastructure and land use planning process include:

- Analysis of existing conditions, which led to the identification of development constraints that were used as the starting point for the Land Use/Demonstration Plan;
- The establishment of trunk watermains, storm collector sewers and sanitary collector sewers along proposed major roads, which is meant to support orderly and cost-effective phasing within SSUEA; and,
- The integration and design of the pathways system to reflect environmental amenities, transportation networks, and neighborhood requirements.

Table 1: Summary of SSUEA Studies/Reports

Report	Relationship to Master Servicing Study
Class Environmental Assessment Requirements Overview (Morrison Hershfield) dated October 2023	Provides overview of infrastructure projects to service the development area and applicability of the <i>Environmental Assessment</i> and <i>Planning Act</i> process.
Existing Conditions Report - Servicing Infrastructure (DSEL) dated Sept. 2023	Identifies the existing municipal infrastructure within, and surrounding, the SSUEA and assesses capacity to service the study area.
Stittsville South Expansion Lands – Transportation Report (Existing Conditions Report) (CGH) dated March 2023	Identifies transportation and traffic goals within the SSUEA and identifies current transportation and traffic patterns study area.
Stittsville South W4 Future Neighborhood Area – Existing Conditions Report (Kilgour & Associates Ltd.) dated October 3, 2023	Delineates the natural heritage system within the SSUEA based on field studies performed and identifies opportunities for consideration in the planning process related to development options. Defines drainage features and setbacks within and adjacent to the subject lands, which influences stormwater management recommendations for the development.
Geotechnical Investigation – Proposed Residential Development (Paterson) dated July 5, 2024	Determines general site subsoil and groundwater conditions, provides grade-raise recommendations, and bedrock contours.
Hydrogeological Existing Conditions Report (Paterson) dated July 5, 2024	Assesses the hydrogeological setting of the site with respect to bedrock and surficial geology, aquifers, aquitards, horizontal and vertical flow patterns, existing groundwater recharge/discharge, and aquifer vulnerability.
Hydrogeological Study and Water Budget Assessment (Paterson) dated July 5, 2024	Assesses the hydrogeological setting of the site with respect to aquifer systems, groundwater levels, hydraulic properties and catchment characteristics. Provides pre-development water budget analyses to identify infiltration potential and opportunities for the use of LID measures.
Conceptual SWM Ponds Sizing and Preliminary HGL Analysis – Draft Report (JFSA) dated November 30, 2023	Conceptual sizing of two SWM ponds, impacts of discharging a portion of SSUEA lands to the Davidson SWM Pond, and preliminary storm and sanitary HGL analysis.
Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis – Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area Development – Final Report (GeoAdvice Engineering Inc.) dated August	Describes the assumptions and results of the hydraulic modeling and capacity analysis for proposed development within the SSUEA lands. Includes identification of connections to existing municipal infrastructure and ensuring guideline requirements are

21-1247

2024	satisfied.
New Urban Expansion Development – Terms of Reference (DSEL, Revised per City Comments Dated March 15, 2024)	Terms of Reference (TOR) to document the servicing strategy approach for development of 5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road parcels of land located within Stittsville.

1.3 Master Servicing Study Scope

The subject properties have been considered as a part of the new Official Plan (OP) process and identified for future urban expansion through the application of a *Future Neighbourhood Overlay* on Schedule C17 of the new OP. Seven smaller parcels along Flewellyn Road and one parcel along Shea Road, owned by others, are also subject to the *Overlay* and are defined as Holdout Properties.

The objectives of this Master Servicing Study are to:

- Provide historical background information regarding the servicing of the subject property;
- Present servicing options explored while determining the recommended servicing strategy;
- Provide sufficient detail to demonstrate that development of the subject property will be adequately supported by municipal services;
- > Define the course of subsequent detailed design, review, and acceptance of the proposed municipal services;
- ➤ Demonstrate how the proposed municipal services will conform with current Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) servicing design criteria and other applicable agency guidelines; and,
- ➤ Demonstrate good engineering practice for the protection of public safety, the environment, and sustainable operation.

1.4 Environmental Assessment Act

As of early 2023, amendments to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment were adopted by the Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP), and therefore this report references that document. Per *Ontario Regulation 345/93: Designation and Exemption Private Sector Developers* under the Environmental Assessment Act, works undertaken by private sector developers are exempt from the Environmental Assessment Act unless they are:

- Schedule C projects (e.g. new wastewater treatment plant, stormwater pond w. biological treatment, new well, etc.); and
- Providing the project for the residents of a municipality for roads, water or wastewater.

Morrison Hershfield has prepared a Class Environmental Assessment Requirements Overview which summarizes the various infrastructure projects that are anticipated to be associated with the development of the SSUEA area including:

- Sanitary pump station upgrades;
- New stormwater management facilities for quality/quantity control;
- Low Impact Development (LID) systems; and
- New local and collector roadways.

Morrison Hershfield has concluded that based on the infrastructure requirements for the SSUEA, the projects are exempt from the Class EA process.

1.5 Development Plan

The proposed community concept is shown in *Figure 1*. The plan was informed by the environmental, site servicing and other studies and guidelines noted in *Section 2.0* below. The subdivision is contemplated to consist of a mix of single-family homes, townhomes, stacked townhomes, park blocks, stormwater management blocks, open space and road allowances/widenings. The following table summarizes the land use breakdown and predicted populations associated with the development concept.

Table 2: Development Statistics Projection

Land Use (1,2)	Area (ha)	Projected Residential Units per Area (ha)	Residential Population per Unit	Projected Population
Residential (Low Density)	14.4	33	3.4	1629
Residential (Low Density)	14.8	67	2.7	2677
Residential (Medium Density)	5.5	123	1.8	1214
Holdout Properties	5.7 (Existing Residential)	-	-	-
Streets & Widening	18.3	-	-	-
Parks	3.5	-	-	-
Natural Heritage Site	4.6	-	-	-

21-1247

Stormwater Facilities	4.4	-	-	-
Hydro Easement	4.0			
Davidson SWM Pond	3.8 (Existing)			
Shea Road Pump Station	0.20 (Existing			
Total	79.20	-	-	5520

Notes

The above statistics are used to inform the servicing design for the site.

2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report.

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, October 2012 (City Standards)
 - Technical Bulletins (ISDTB-2014-01, PIEDTB-2016-01, ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-04 & ISTB-2019-02).
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution (July 2010) (Water Supply Guidelines)
 - Technical Bulletins (ISDTB-2010-2, ISDTB-2014-2, ISTB-2018-02, and ISDTB-2021-032014-01, PIEDTB-2016-01, ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-04 & ISTB-2019-02).
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, 2008. (ECP Design Guidelines)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (**SWMP Design Manual**)
- Infrastructure Master Plan (City of Ottawa, June 2024)
- Fire Underwriters Survey, 2020 (**FUS**)
- City of Ottawa Official Plan, adopted by Council 2023. (Official Plan)
- Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Guidance Manual (Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Draft for Consultation MECP, January 2022 (MECP LID Manual)

⁽³⁾ Population density based upon above densities = 33 person/ha (SFH TH), 67 persons/ha (TH), 123 persons/ha (Condo).

⁽⁴⁾ Population projections may differ from population estimates used in other background studies. Population projection and residential population per unit values are based on Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) guidelines for servicing demand calculations and through consultation with City of Ottawa staff.

- Low Impact Development Technical Guidance Report, Implementation in Areas with Potential Hydrogeological Constraints
 Dillon Consulting and Aquafor Beech, February 2021
 (LID Report)
- Fernbank Community Design Plan, Master Servicing Study Novatech, June 2009 (Fernbank MSS)
- Stittsville South Area 6, City of Ottawa, Master Servicing Report & Stormwater Management Design Plan Novatech/DSEL, December 2013 (Area 6 MSS)
- Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Detailed Servicing & Stormwater Management Report Novatech, July 2016 (Stittsville South Servicing Report)
- Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station Design Brief Novatech, May 2016 (Shea Road P.S. Design)
- Design Brief for the Stormwater Management Pond for the Davidson Lands JFSA/DSEL, November 2017 (*Davidson Pond Brief*)
- Design Brief, Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 1 (5993 Flewellyn Road)
 IBI Group, February 2018
 (IBI Phase 1)
- Design Brief, Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 2 (5993 Flewellyn Road)
 IBI Group, July 2020
 (IBI Phase 2)
- Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development, 5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road, Ottawa
 Paterson Group (PG5570-2), July 5, 2024
 (Stittsville South Geotechnical)
- Hydrogeological Existing Conditions Report, Proposed Residential Development, 5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road & 6030 & 6070 Fernbank Road, Ottawa, Ontario Paterson Group (PH4625-REP.01.R1), July 5, 2024 (Stittsville South Hydrogeology)

- Stittsville South W4 Future Neighborhood Area Existing Conditions Report Kilgour & Associates Ltd., October 3, 2023.
 (Kilgour Natural Heritage Conditions)
- Jock River Reach 2 & Mud Creek Subwatershed Study Marshall Macklin Monaghan / WESA, May 2009. (Jock River Reach 2 SWS)
- Amendment to the Engineer's Report for the Faulkner Municipal Drain & Addendum #1
 Robinson, December 2020 and March 2021.
 (Faulkner Engineer's Report)
- Engineer's Report for the Flowing Creek Municipal Drain
 A.J. Graham Engineering, December 1973.
 (Flowing Creek Engineer's Report)
- Flowing Creek Flood Risk Mapping from Flewellyn Road to Jock River Rideau Valley Conservation Authority, May 2017. (Flowing Creek Flood Mapping)
- Caivan Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road): Conceptual SWM Ponds Sizing and Preliminary HGL Analysis.
 JFSA (P2267), November 2023. (Davidson Pond Brief)
- Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area Development (*Final Report*). GeoAdvice Engineering Inc., August 2024. (*GeoAdvice Hydraulic Analysis*)
- Stittsville South Expansion Lands Transportation Report. CGH Transportation (PN: 2021-128), November 2023.
- Sanitary Pump Station Pre-Design Report, Stittsville South (Novatech, July 2015)
- Hydrogeological Study and Water Budget Assessment, Proposed Residential Development, 5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road & 6030 & 6070 Fernbank Road, Ottawa, Ontario Paterson Group (PH4681-REP.01.R1), July 5, 2024 (Stittsville South Water Budget)

3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS AND SITE CONSTRAINTS

3.1 Land Ownership

The SSUEA subject area is comprised of multiple landowners with lands of varying size. However, the majority of land ownership (approximately 88%) within the development area is under one ownership (Caivan) with the remainder being smaller holdout parcels as indicated in *Exhibit 1* in *Section 1.1* of this report.

3.2 Geotechnical Conditions

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned to complete geotechnical investigation for the SSUEA lands. The following report was prepared:

Geotechnical Investigation – Proposed Residential Development, 5993, 6070 &
 6115 Flewellyn Road, Ottawa, Ontario (Paterson Group, July 5, 2024)

Key details are discussed herein, while full details are provided in the above report. The geotechnical investigation indicates that:

- ➤ Surface Conditions: Western portions of the subject site (west of FMD) are heavily vegetated while eastern areas are generally cleared of trees and vegetation. The site gradually slopes from the northwest to the southeast. The site also gradually slopes downward from the northeast and southwest to the central portion of the site, thereby having a shallow valley bearing northwest to southeast.
- ➤ **Soil Profile:** Profile consists of topsoil overlying a loose to compact, brown silty sand to sandy silt deposit, followed by compact to dense glacial till, underlain by bedrock. The glacial till deposit was generally observed to consist of compact to dense brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and traces of clay.
- ▶ Bedrock: Based on available geological mapping, the bedrock within the subject area consists of limestone, dolostone, shale and sandstone of the Gull River Formation and an overburden drift thickness of 0.3 to 6.1 m depth across the subject site. Borehole investigations into the bedrock surface yielded an average Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value ranging from 57 to 100% indicating a fair to excellent quality bedrock across the site. Proposed development within bedrock may require blasting; specific blasting requirements would apply.
- > Preliminary Grade Raise: From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site has been considered satisfactory for the proposed development. There will be

areas in the northwestern portion of the development area where bedrock removal will be required. Only two borehole locations observed a discontinuous shallow stiff, brown, silty clay layer which may have isolated specific 2m permissible grade raise restrictions but that would be refined at a site-specific level in future development applications.

➤ **Groundwater:** A total of 13 groundwater monitoring wells were installed within the development area. The groundwater table will fluctuate seasonally but measured groundwater levels generally ranged from 0 to 3.7 m below existing ground.

The above-mentioned geotechnical elements have informed the evaluation of servicing solutions and the preferred servicing designs included herein. As site-specific designs advance, a licensed geotechnical engineer is required to carry out final geotechnical investigations, complete with a review of any detailed grading plans.

3.3 Hydrogeology

The hydrogeology of the subject area has been analyzed by Paterson Group. Key implications are discussed herein, while full details are provided in the following report that was prepared:

- Hydrogeological Existing Conditions Report Proposed Residential Development: 5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road & 6030 & 6070 Fernbank Road, Ottawa, Ontario (Paterson Group, July 5, 2024)
- Hydrogeological Study and Water Budget Assessment Proposed Residential Development: 5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road & 6030 & 6070 Fernbank Road, Ottawa, Ontario (Paterson Group, July 5, 2024)

The hydrogeological investigations indicates that:

➤ Groundwater Recharge: The surficial geology mapping was reviewed and indicates that overburden soils at the subject site consist primarily of glaciomarine deposits with fine grained material to the east and coarse-grained material to the west. To the north, a small portion of the subject site consists of glacial till. Overburden soils identified by the geotechnical investigations by Paterson were generally consistent with the available mapping. Overburden thickness was observed to extend from 0.3 to 6.1 m below ground surface across the subject site, with available mapping indicating between 0 to 10 m of soil generally present. The overburden generally consisted of topsoil over silty sand to a sandy silt deposit underlain by glacial till. Clay was observed interbedded with the sandy silt layer on the eastern portion of the subject site.

Based on the field hydraulic conductivity testing undertaken, the hydraulic conductivity of the overburden materials were observed to range between 4.2 x 10⁻⁶ m/sec to 2.2 x 10⁻⁵ m/sec while the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock were observed to range between 4.3 x 10⁻⁷ to 1.6 x 10⁴ m/sec. The test results showed the surficial field saturated hydraulic conductivity ranged from 1.1 x 10⁻⁷ to 6.4 x 10⁻⁶ m/sec at a depth of 0.3 m and ≤8.3 x 10⁻⁹ to 5.9 x 10⁻⁶ m/sec at a depth of 0.6 m. The field saturated hydraulic conductivity indicates that the overburden soils are considered to have a moderate hydraulic conductivity suggesting that the overburden materials act as a permeable layer to predominantly transmit groundwater in a horizontal direction with insignificant recharge to the bedrock layer below due to the higher RQD values. A portion of the site is mapped as a significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA). The Mississippi- Rideau Source Protection Region (MRSPR) SGRA mapping shows that the site area mapped as a recharge area is negligible compared to the overall SGRA zones.

- > Groundwater Flow: The direction of hydraulic gradients shows that groundwater flow travels predominantly from west to east towards the eastern corner of the subject site. The overburden and bedrock groundwater flow in the vicinity of the study area is considered to partially reflect local topography and subwatershed regional boundaries. The horizontal hydraulic gradient in the bedrock was observed to be in a general eastward direction with increased values within the western portion of the subject site. Vertical hydraulic gradients were calculated within two nested well installations across the study area. Boreholes in the west area of the study area had vertical upward gradient of approximately 0.011 to 0.015 m/m while boreholes in the east area of the study area had a vertical downward gradient of 0.004 to 0.035 m/m. It is anticipated that the vertical gradient observed in the west portion of the site is due to the higher topography to the west of the subject site providing additional head where groundwater may daylight in areas such as the man-made excavation observed in the west portion of the site. The eastern portion of the site is showing a slight downward gradient which is indicative of the overburden providing insignificant recharge to the underlying bedrock aquifer.
- ➤ Aquifers: In general, the overburden soils at the subject site are relatively shallow and consist of moderate hydraulic conductivities with lower value materials on the east side of the development area. With the limited thickness of available quantity of groundwater within the overburden aquifer, it is not considered an adequate source for water supply wells. Surrounding water wells in the vicinity of the site are accessing the bedrock aquifers.

Based on a review of the MECP water well record database, Paterson has identified one aquifer system in the vicinity of the study area which consists of the underlying bedrock aquifer. The Gull River Formation aquifer system is located

over the entirety of the study area. The majority of water wells are completed at greater depths within the bedrock unit.

➤ **Wells:** As noted above, water supply wells are the primary source for drinking water for existing residential properties to the west (Woodside Acres) and adjacent holdout properties.

The above-mentioned hydrogeological elements have informed the evaluation of servicing solutions and the preferred designs included herein, along with the recommendations for mitigation measures to be implemented.

3.4 Drainage

For the Caivan landholdings west of the HONI corridor the site topography generally drains eastward and southward (elevations ranging from 109 m to 103 m) with drainage ultimately being conveyed to the north Flewellyn Road right-of-way (ROW) and the FMD which bisects the development area.

Similarly, the development area east of the HONI corridor also drains eastward and southward (elevations ranging from 104 m to 102 m) to the portion of the FMD along the northern Flewellyn Road ROW as well as the western side of the Shea Road ROW.

The conceptual grading plan, *Drawing No. 1*, demonstrates the SSUEA's overland flow routes based on the preliminary concept.

3.5 Natural Environment Features

Kilgour & Associates Limited (KAL) was retained by Caivan to complete an Environmental Existing Conditions Report for the SSUEA dated October 3, 2023, herein referenced as *Kilgour Natural Heritage Conditions*, for the proposed development area.

3.5.1 Natural Heritage System and Headwater Assessment

The natural heritage system and headwater drainage features of the subject area have been analyzed by KAL. Key implications are discussed herein, while full details are provided in the *Kilgour Natural Heritage Conditions* report.

The subject area is within the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority jurisdiction. During review of the site KAL conducted a headwater drainage feature assessment (HDFA) of the subject area. The review identified six (6) HDF's located both adjacent and within the development. One group of channels (tributaries A, B and D) is associated/located within the western treed area of the site while the second grouping (tributaries C, E and

F) is primarily associated with the FMD which bisects the study area and ultimately conveys all flows from the site. The following table describes the various features.

Table 3: HDFA Summary

KAL HDF Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Tributary (HDF) Description
Tributary A	Originates in the northwest region of the site (~150 m offset from west boundary and roughly parallel to Tributary D) and flows southward as a braided channel towards Flewellyn Road. It primarily functions as a drainage feature supporting spring/rainfall run-off.
Tributary B	Originates within the northwest, central region of the site and flows southeast, eventually joining Tributary E. It has a standing water pool present with interstitial flow towards the Flewellyn Drain.
Tributary C	Flewellyn Road ditch feature (north side) originating at the southwestern corner of the Site, at the terminus of Tributary D. Flows eastward, joining the Faulkner Drain. Tributary C is a permanent feature that has water present year-round
Tributary D	Man-made, engineered lot swale feature that originates in the northwestern corner of the Site. It follows the western property boundary and is present within portions of the rear yard allowances of the adjacent Woodside Acres estate lot development. Primarily functions as a drainage feature supporting spring/rainfall run-off.
Tributary E	Constructed, linear channel feature that originates within the west, central portion of the site and flows south eventually turning eastward joining the Faulkner Drain. It has intermittent standing water pools present with intermittent flow towards Faulkner Drain and receives intermittent flow from Tributary B.
Tributary F	Shea Road ditch feature (west side) at the eastern Site boundary. It is located adjacent to an agricultural field and connects downstream to the Faulkner Drain at Flewellyn Road. It primarily functions as a drainage feature supporting spring and rainfall run-off.

HDFs on the site currently exist in primarily forested areas such that they include extensive tree cover along their riparian corridors. The HDFs themselves are hydrologically limited, having insufficient water level though most of the year to support fish or other aquatic wildlife. Based upon site topography and constraints, future site development is anticipated to require the construction of multiple wet stormwater management facilities to support quality/quantity stormwater management for the area. The outlet channels for each feature provide an opportunity to design local watercourses following principles of natural channel design and with increased levels of hydration that would support improved habitat conditions beyond the limited capacity afforded by the current HDFs.

21-1247

Standard HDFA management directives of "Mitigation" indicate that a feature may be maintained, replicated, or enhanced using natural channel design techniques to maintain or enhance the reach. There is no requirement to retain the feature per se, but on-site flow, outlet flows, and overall water balance for the area must be maintained by providing mitigation measures to infiltrate clean stormwater. Per KAL, this applies to Tributaries A and D

Standard HDFA management directives of "Protection" indicate that the feature may be maintained and/or enhanced, but typically should not be relocated. The general directive is for the feature to be protected and its riparian zone enhanced where feasible. Notably for Tributaries B and E, however, these tributaries are sourced from the SWCM1-1 community wetland (see *Kilgour Natural Heritage Conditions* report for further details). As the wetland would be unlikely to remain with development occurring on the western half of the site, (i.e. even with standard setbacks) the hydrology of those tributaries is unlikely to remain regardless of protections otherwise applied. Tributaries C and F are also designated as "Protection" but those HDFs are periphery to the site (i.e. roadside ditches) and can be maintained in their respective locations.

The above-mentioned requirements informed the evaluation of servicing solutions and the preferred designs included herein, along with the recommendations for mitigation measures to be implemented.

3.5.2 Limit of Development

Detailed site-specific studies have been undertaken to define development constraints as they relate to the existing natural environment conditions. Tributary D along the western boundary of the study area, and the Faulkner Drain were identified as requiring development setbacks. Tributary D with a development setback of 5 m is anticipated to protect the limited flows within the feature. KAL has indicated that the existing FMD has variable setback buffers from 0 m through much of its length beyond the site. North of the SSUEA also has minimal setbacks. KAL concludes that the functionality of any buffer would be limited to providing filtration of overland runoff could be provided by a setback of 15m with simple vegetation buffers, or less provided that overland flows are treated by a stormwater facility prior to release or landscaping with comprehensive plantings.

Please refer to the Kilgour Natural Heritage Conditions report for full discussion.

The above-mentioned elements informed the evaluation of servicing solutions and the preferred pond footprint designs included herein, along with the recommendations for mitigation measures to be implemented – see **Section 10** of this report for additional information on mitigation measures.

21-1247

3.6 Existing Land Use and Adjacent Land Uses

Land uses within the subject area is currently a mix of active/former agricultural/pasture land in the eastern portions and partial forested areas in the western portion. The overall area is bisected diagonally (north/south) by an existing HONI 500kV utility corridor and an existing stormwater management facility (Davidson Stormwater Pond) is located centrally within the property and manages flows from a portion of the Edenwylde Subdivision to the north.

In addition, a stormwater conveyance ditch originating from the development areas to the north, conveys drainage southward parallel to the east boundary of the current 6070 Fernbank Road property. The ditch officially transitions to being the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD) approximately 215 m north of the Flewellyn Road ROW and then flows eastward along the Flewellyn Road corridor and then southward along the west side of Shea Road.

Land use to the southwest of the subject consists of a rural estate lot subdivision (Woodside Acres) while lands to the northwest are comprised of new urban community development areas (Stittsville South – Area 6 ("Edenwylde")) which began construction in ~2016/17.

Along the subject area periphery are six rural residential properties fronting onto Flewellyn Road and one undeveloped rural parcel fronting Shea Road. For the purpose of this MSS, these properties have been defined as holdout properties and are included as part of the overall SSUEA assessment.

3.7 Opportunities and Constraints

Based on the inventory of existing conditions:

- There is an opportunity to develop the subject area, while protecting some of the existing watercourses that have been identified for protection from alteration and development. Specific components of the natural heritage system are required to be protected from alteration and development are periphery to the site and can be protected while some internal areas will be impacted by the change in hydrologic regime and will have limited potential for mitigation.
- The general urbanization of the subject area is expected to increase runoff and has the potential to increase erosive forces in downstream receivers (i.e. the FMD). Generally speaking, directing controlled post-development flows to respect the existing receiver(s) and coordinating details with the recently updated studies and Engineer's Report for the FMD are expected to provide opportunity to limit release of runoff from development slowly over time, to mitigate erosive forces in run off and any impacts to downstream water levels.

- ➤ The surficial geology across the site generally consists of topsoil overlying a loose to compact, brown silty sand to sandy silt deposit, follow by compact to dense glacial till, underlain by bedrock.
 - Based on field hydraulic conductivity testing completed for the site, the hydraulic conductivity of the overburden materials were observed to range between 4.2 x 10⁻⁶ m/sec to 2.2 x 10⁻⁵ m/sec (moderate conductivity) while the high RQD bedrock conductivity was observed to range between 4.3 x 10⁻⁷ to 1.6 x 10⁻⁴ m/sec. Generally, Paterson concluded that the overburden materials act as a permeable layer to predominantly transmit groundwater in a horizontal direction with insignificant recharge to the bedrock layer below.
- There is an expected opportunity to support the development of the SSUEA by connecting to the existing municipal watermain and sanitary infrastructure. An allowance for capacity, with any appropriate upgrades, is expected within the receiving sewer systems to support the proposed development.
- ➤ The development area generally has no grade raise restrictions of note. There were two isolated locations where a discontinuous shallow stiff, brown, silty clay was observed at a shallow depth (located in the northeast area of the site) which may lead to a requirement of an area specific restriction of 2 m pending further detailed review as required. The grading plans will have regard for this constraint; bearing in mind that there is an identified opportunity for betterment (reduction) of these restrictions through additional study and programs such as a long-term settlement plan or a lightweight fill strategy depending upon the extents.

4.0 NEED AND JUSTIFICATION FOR MUNICIPAL SERVICING

4.1 Vision & Goals for the SSUEA

It is envisioned that the SSUEA study area will be a residential enclave for the residents in the southern boundary area of Stittsville. The combination of diverse housing options, in addition to the leisure and recreational opportunities, will make it an attractive place to live and play. The integration of various housing options, coupled with leisure and recreational amenities within the development, is anticipated to foster an appealing living and recreational environment.

An offset grid pattern road network, characterized by regularly spaced intersections will optimize transit, cycling, vehicular travel, and pedestrian circulation. The Hydro One Corridor will provide a strong linear corridor for pedestrians and cyclists, which will form part of a Greenspace network that links features such as the Davidson Stormwater Facility, watercourses, parks, and open spaces.

21-1247

4.2 Servicing Problem Statement

A servicing strategy is needed to support the wastewater collection requirements, water demands, storm drainage requirements, and stormwater management requirements for the proposed land uses within the SSUEA. The servicing strategy must be consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), must meet City of Ottawa requirements, must meet the requirements of other approval agencies (e.g. Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Rideau Valley Conservation Authority, etc.), and must demonstrate good engineering practice for the protection of public safety, the environment, and sustainable operation.

5.0 IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE SERVICING SOLUTIONS

Servicing solutions have been evaluated in terms of their ability to meet the problem statement (e.g. their ability to support the proposed level of development and their ability to meet relevant regulations and guidelines) and their expected net environmental impacts, including consideration of social and natural environments.

5.1 Water Distribution Alternatives

- ➤ **Do Nothing:** By not developing any water infrastructure, the problem statement would not be addressed, as the proposed urban development could not be supported.
- Construct Private or Communal Wells: Private or communal wells are not expected to support the water demands for the proposed urban development. This solution would not be consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement or other agency guidelines, which state that municipal service is the preferred form of water supply in urban areas.
- Expand Existing Municipal Water System: Expanding the municipal water system would provide safe and reliable drinking water to the new community, would offer wide-spread fire protection, would make efficient use of existing capacity, and would be consistent with City servicing practices for the urban area.

Based on the assessment summarized above, expanding the existing municipal water system is the preferred solution for water distribution for the proposed SSUEA development.

5.2 Wastewater Collection Alternatives

- ➤ **Do Nothing:** By not developing any wastewater infrastructure, the problem statement would not be addressed, as the proposed urban development could not be supported.
- Construct Private or Communal Septic Systems: Private or communal septic systems are not expected to support the wastewater generated by the proposed urban development. This solution is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement or other agency guidelines, which state that municipal sewage services are the preferred form of servicing within urban areas.
- Expand Existing Municipal Wastewater System: Municipal sewage services are the preferred form of servicing for urban areas in Ontario, as per the Provincial Policy Statement. An extension/expansion of the existing sanitary sewer system would make efficient use of existing capacity and is considered the best alternative solution to address the problem statement.

Based on the assessment summarized above, expanding the existing municipal wastewater system is the preferred solution for wastewater collection for the proposed development in the SSUEA.

5.3 Stormwater Collection & Management Alternatives

- ➤ **Do Nothing:** By urbanizing the subject lands and not developing any stormwater management infrastructure, the problem statement would not be addressed, as City and other agency requirements for environmental protection would not be met.
- > Open Ditches & Culverts for Stormwater Collection Systems: Open ditches and culverts can be used in road rights-of-way (ROWs) and public lands (e.g. owned by the City of Ottawa, easement in favor of a utility, etc.) to convey stormwater runoff from the roadway and adjacent development blocks towards a downstream outlet. Open ditches provide an element of quality treatment, but alone are not expected to provide sufficient quantity or quality control for protection of downstream watercourses in accordance with agency quidelines, so would need to be paired with other stormwater management practices to address the problem statement. While open ditches and culverts are appropriate in rural/semi-rural settings and certain urban settings (ROWs widths accommodate ditches, elevation changes across the site allow for ditches to remain relatively shallow, etc.), open ditches and culverts alone along all ROWs would not be consistent with City of Ottawa guidelines, which state that municipal sewers are the preferred form of servicing within urban areas. Open ditches necessitate wider ROWs which would lead to inefficient land uses for the development area that has obvious potential to be on

municipal services for water and sanitary servicing. The use of open ditches has not been carried forward for consideration.

- Expand Existing and Construct New Municipal Stormwater Minor/Major Collection Systems: A dual drainage system is a reasonable and feasible alternative stormwater management solution for the site, with the minor system consisting of underground storm sewers that accommodate runoff from frequent events, and the major systems consisting of overland flow routes for the less frequent, high intensity storm events. However, the dual drainage system alone cannot support the stormwater management requirements of the development area: the storm sewers and overland routes do not provide sufficient quantity or quality control for protection of downstream watercourses in accordance with agency guidelines, so would need to be paired with other stormwater management practices to address the problem statement. The use of minor/major collector systems has been carried forward for inclusion in the stormwater management approach.
- ➤ Implement Stormwater Control & Treatment within Rights of Way: The implementation of controls on development lots/blocks and in rights of way such as underground storage, oil and grit separator units, pervious pipe infiltrations systems, rear yard storage, etc. can contribute to meeting agency requirements for stormwater quantity control, stormwater quality control, and infiltration objectives for the proposed development plan. In addition, storage within Parks and Hydro Corridor lands can contribute to meeting agency guidelines for stormwater management. These controls alone are not expected to be sufficient to meet agency guidelines for protection of downstream watercourses. These controls have been carried forward for potential inclusion in a stormwater 'treatment train' approach, which is a recommended approach in agency guidelines that uses a combination of practices to address stormwater runoff generated in urban environments.
- Expand Existing Davidson Stormwater Management Pond: There is an existing stormwater management wet pond (Davidson SWM Pond) which has previously been constructed within the north central region of the SSUEA. The facility was implemented to service development lands to the north. The Davidson SWM Pond has the potential to service a portion of the east side of the SSUEA that is in close proximity based on site conditions. Wet ponds are a common type of stormwater management facility used throughout the City and the province, and can be designed to meet agency guidelines for protection of watercourses. In order to address the problem statement and meet all current agency guidelines, the existing pond would need to be expanded within its current designated block area given its constrained location.

The amount of additional tributary land that could be accommodated has to be assessed based on the facility's configuration. Other adjacent SSUEA

land area that cannot be treated by the Davidson SWM Pond would require an alternative (and smaller footprint) SWM solution due to topography constraints given that overall grades for the SSUEA trend southward, away from the Davidson SWM Pond. Any potential Davidson SWM pond expansion would make most efficient use of existing infrastructure and, when considered with the other elements of the "treatment train" mentioned above, is considered a complementary alternative solution to address the problem statement.

➤ Construct New Large-Scale Stormwater Management Pond(s): One or multiple new end-of-pipe stormwater management ponds are a feasible solution for stormwater management for the proposed urban development. Wet ponds are a common type of stormwater management facility used throughout the City and the province, and can be designed to meet agency guidelines for protection of watercourses. Based on site topography one or multiple new ponds would require additional land be dedicated to stormwater management. While the expansion of the Davidson SWM Pond may lower the extent of land area to be serviced, the inclusion of new facilities is the most suitable way to address the problem statement.

Based on the assessment above, a stormwater management strategy consisting of lot/block and rights-of-way controls, minor/major collection system, and the implementation of new SWM facilities is the preferred solution for stormwater management for the proposed development in the SSUEA.

6.0 ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE NEIGHBOURHOOD CONCEPT PLANS/SERVICING DESIGN CONCEPTS

6.1 Preliminary Land Use Plans

The preferred servicing solution for the SSUEA is to expand the existing municipal water and sanitary sewer network, and to provide adequate quality and quantity treatment of stormwater using applicable stormwater management techniques. Alternative neighborhood design concepts are to be developed and evaluated in terms of their ability to meet the problem statement (i.e. their ability to support the approved level of development and their ability to meet relevant regulations and guidelines) and their expected net environmental impacts. In considering net environmental impacts, consideration is given to impacts on: air, land, and water; plant, animal, and human life; social, economic, climate change, and cultural conditions; existing buildings and infrastructure; and the generation of noise, vibrations, radiation, and odours.

For the evaluation of alternative neighborhood concepts, the intent is to compare various alternatives to determine which best meets the vision and goals of the SSUEA development.

21-1247

From a servicing and grading perspective, the preferred concept plan was evaluated for:

- ➤ Minimizing construction, maintenance, and operations requirements for stormwater management facilities;
- Minimizing construction and operations requirements for deep sewers; and,
- Minimizing front-ending costs and allowing for efficient area development (e.g. phasing of collector roads and associated collector sewers and watermains).

6.2 Assessment of Alternative Land Use Plans

Based on the evaluation of servicing solutions and consideration of the net environmental impacts, the concept plan presented in *Figure 1* is the preferred land use plan for the SSUEA development based on the servicing options evaluated in the following sections of this report.

Other factors that were considered in the evaluation of different land use alternatives included:

- Parks and preserved woodlot adjacent to FMD and SWMP;
- Loss of watercourses;
- Minimizing upgrades to existing water system requirements;
- Compatibility with existing and future municipal infrastructure;
- Impacts to existing downstream flood levels; and
- Disruptions of natural habitat (loss / fragmentation).

6.3 Preferred Concept Plan and General Servicing Strategy

The preferred concept plan is provided in *Figure 1*.

For the purposes of this MSS, the SSUEA lands have been divided into two distinct land areas, with the Davidson Pond and Hydro Corridor serving as the internal limits within the study area. The land areas are referred to as the Stittsville West Lands and Stittsville South Lands.

The **Stittsville West Lands** (6115 Flewellyn Road and 6070 Fernbank Road under the ownership of Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd.) are bound by an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6), Flewellyn Road to south, an estate lot subdivision (Woodside Acres) to the west, and the Davidson SWM Pond/ Hydro One Corridor to the east. The West Lands are proposed to have a mix of varying density residential, park land and woodlot area, a SWM Pond facility, and a portion of the existing Hydro corridor.

21-1247

The **Stittsville South Lands** (5993 Flewellyn Road and 6030 Fernbank Road under the ownership of Caivan (Stittsville South) Ltd.) are bound by an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6), Flewellyn Road to south, Shea Road to the west, and the Davidson SWM Pond to the east. The South Lands are proposed to have a mix of varying density residential, park land, a SWM Pond facility, and a portion of the existing Hydro corridor.

The holdout properties along Flewellyn Road and Shea Road have been incorporated into the SSUAE study area and have been considered for future development. Note that the Concept Plan corresponding to the Preferred Land Use Plan can also be seen in *Figure 1.*

The road network included in the Concept Plan has been carried forward for the purposes of this MSS. The network serves only as a basis for high-level infrastructure capacity calculations contained in this MSS and is subject to change. A summary of the land uses and population projections used for the development of servicing requirements is provided in *Table 2*.

It is expected that as specific parcels are developed within the study area, the number of people and the associated servicing demands will be refined as part of *Planning Act* approvals. The MSS has been developed to account for flexibility at the detailed design level, by providing sewer sizing with reserve capacity, anticipating a 10% increase in water demands, etc. Alignment and sizing of infrastructure is subject to change as part of *Planning Act* approvals and would be treated as a minor change to this MSS.

6.4 Phasing Strategy

The land uses, services, and earthworks described in this Master Servicing Study will be built out by a singular landowner in separate discrete phases, according to the landowner's preferred timing. As such, there may be works outside of phase limits that are required to support a certain phase of development. The timing and approval of such works are to be addressed as part of future detailed design and approval processes for development of the lands within the SSUEA.

7.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING PLAN

7.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The SSUEA study area will be within the City's Pressure Zone 3W service area of the City of Ottawa water distribution network (see *Figure 2* for reference). The pressure zone receives supply from the Campeau Drive and Glen Cairn Pump stations. The Stittsville Elevated Tank provides balanced storage during peak usage and fire flow conditions. The available options for connectivity to the City's water supply network include:

- ➤ The major water supply line in the vicinity of the development is a 400mm diameter watermain along Fernbank Road, with a watermain stub approximately 300m southwest of the Fernbank Road and Shea Road intersection:
- ➤ An existing 250mm diameter watermain located within the Parade Drive ROW, immediately north of the western portion of the development area. A future southbound ROW block from Parade Drive is located between civic addresses 714 and 720 Parade Drive;
- An existing 250mm diameter watermain is located within the Aridus Crescent ROW which is north of the Davidson Lands parcel. An existing 50mm water service within a servicing block from Aridus Crescent to the SRPS pump station is also installed facilitating water supply to that facility;
- An existing 200mm diameter watermain located within the Painted Sky Way ROW at the northwest portion of the Davidson land parcel; and;
- ➤ An existing 200mm diameter watermain location within the Ocala Street ROW north of the northeastern portion of the Davidson land parcel.

7.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. was retained to perform a preliminary hydraulic assessment for the SSUEA Lands. The *Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis* – *Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area Development (GeoAdvice Hydraulic Analysis)* prepared by GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. dated August 2024 is enclosed in *Appendix C.1* for reference. This report is prepared for the *SSUEA* (W-4) and also considered the southeast parcel in the potable water servicing strategy.

The sizing of the trunk watermain infrastructure was considered in the **GeoAdvice Hydraulic Analysis**. Updated boundary conditions will be provided within the forthcoming Functional Servicing Report and the overall distribution network will be assessed at the detailed design stage.

21-1247

7.2.1 Water Supply Servicing Alternatives

To supply water to the entirety of the subject property, a local watermain network will follow the road network and ultimately connect to off-site watermains based on the layout presented in *Figure 1.1 of the Hydraulic Capacity and Modelling Analysis Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area Development* (GeoAdvice, August 2024) report. Watermain connections and sizing were reviewed as part of MSS-level design to address City of Ottawa and MECP requirements.

Based on GeoAdvice's review of the background infrastructure in this area, the watermain connections available to the north of the subject property present the only feasible option to service the lands, and no other logical or efficient alternative designs were advanced for additional analysis and evaluation. At this time, no opportunities exist to connect to the existing municipal infrastructure outside of what will be proposed below. Future extension of the 400 mm diameter watermain within Fernbank Road and the 300 mm diameter watermain within Shea Road (Cope Drive-Shea Road Intersection) would provide possible connection opportunities, however, no infrastructure upgrades are currently identified in the *Infrastructure Master Plan* (City of Ottawa, 2023) and adequate supply can be provided to the SSUEA in the absence of public infrastructure upgrades.

7.2.2 Water Servicing Plan Recommended Alternative

Stittsville West Lands

An existing, single, future north/south roadway connection is depicted within the legal fabric of the subdivision to the north (located between 714 and 720 Parade Drive). This location would provide a future roadway connection and watermain feed location from the existing watermain network for to the West Lands for initial phases of development in this area.

There are no additional future right of way blocks for a second roadway connection to the Parade Drive watermain from the West Lands. In terms of additional watermain feeds (either interim or permanent) a secondary watermain connection for the development area could be implemented via:

- (a) An interim/permanent loop through the Parade Drive stormwater management pond block at the east end of Parade Drive.
- (b) A watermain loop underneath the tributary to the FMD, then north through the walkway block adjacent to the SRSPS and connecting to the Aridus Crescent 250 mm watermain. This would require an upsize of the existing 50mm water service to the sanitary pump station.

Stittsville South Lands

An existing, single, future north/south roadway connection is depicted within the legal fabric of the subdivision as an extension of Painted Sky Way from the adjacent Stittsville South – Area 6 (Edenwylde) development to the north. This location provides the primary water supply point to the South Lands. Similar to the second feed option for the West Lands, a connection to the Aridus Crescent 250mm watermain could be implemented. For the ultimate development of the South Lands area, east of the HONI corridor, an external connection from Ocala Street will provide additional water supply and looping to the development area.

7.2.3 Water Supply Design Criteria

As detailed design progresses; timing, alignment, and sizing of local watermains will be confirmed. The subdivision's local watermain network will be sized to meet maximum hour and maximum day plus fire flow demands, with consideration given to proposed phasing plans. *Table 4* summarizes the Water Supply Design Criteria employed in the preparation of the preliminary water demand estimate as provided by the City of Ottawa for expansion areas where the population exceeds 3000 persons. See correspondence with City staff in *Appendix C.2*.

Table 4: Water Supply Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value			
Extracted from Section 4: Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution (July 2010)				
Minimum Watermain Size	150 mm diameter			
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4 m from top of watermain to			
'	finished grade			
During normal operating conditions desired operating pressure is within	350 kPa and 480 kPa			
During normal operating conditions pressure must not drop below	276 kPa			
During normal operating conditions pressure must not exceed	552 kPa			
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop below	140 kPa			
City of Ottawa – Email Correspondence (July 2024)				
Residential - Single Family	3.4 p/unit			
Residential – Townhome/ Semi	2.7 p/unit			
Residential – MediumDensity	1.8 p/unit			
Average Day Demand				
Single Detached	612 L/unit/day			
Multifamily	535 L/unit/day			
Apartment/Condo	394 L/unit/day			
Water Loss per Connection	80 L/unit/day			
Parkland	28,000 L/ha/day			
Outdoor Water Demand				
Single Detached	700 L/unit/day			

21-1247

Multifamily	350 L/unit/day
Apartment/Condo	0 L/unit/day
Parkland	0 L/unit/day
Maximum Day Demand	
Single Detached	AVDY + OWD L/unit/day
Multifamily	AVDY + OWD L/unit/day
Apartment/Condo	AVDY + OWD L/unit/day
Parkland	1.5 x AVDY
Peak Hour Demand	
Single Detached	2.1 x MXDY L/unit/day
Multifamily	2.1 x MXDY L/unit/day
Apartment/Condo	1.6 x MXDY L/unit/day
Parkland	1.8 x MXDY L/unit/day

¹ Values represent L/cap/day for residential land uses.

7.2.4 Fire Flow Demand

Fire Flow requirements are to be confirmed in accordance with Local Guidelines (Fire Underwriters Survey, 2020), City of Ottawa Water Supply Guidelines, and the Ontario Building Code, upon development of detailed concepts for the detached single homes, townhouses, stacked townhomes, and the park blocks. For planning purposes, fire flow estimates are provided in the preliminary water demand estimate based on the information available in the preliminary concept plan and comparable recent developments in the City of Ottawa.

Based on the initial boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, the maximum allowable fire flow requirement to ensure the minimum level of service within the distribution network is 217 L/s. As such, a fire flow of 167 L/s and 217 L/s were used for low density residential and medium density residential, respectively, to assess the trunk watermain network capacity and ensure that guideline requirements are met. Based on the analysis provided by GeoAdvice, the distribution network can sufficiently meet the required level of service under normal and emergency operating conditions. Adequate looping, hydrant spacing, and the elimination of dead end watermains will be emphasized within the development as detailed design proceeds to ensure that all guideline requirements are addressed. In addition, detailed fire flow calculations are to be completed for the purpose of sizing local watermains. The trunk watermain network has been designed for the maximum day plus 217 L/s fire flow requirement which will govern the watermain sizing for the SSUEA.

² Occupancy factors chosen according to housing type. The values shown were extracted from Section 4.2.8 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010)

³ Outdoor water demand is applied to single family, semi-detached and townhome units with rear yards.

⁴ The 1.5 multiplier represents the additional outdoor water demand associated with employment areas.

21-1247

A fire flow requirement of 167 L/s and 217 L/s was assigned to low density and high-density residential areas for the purpose of trunk watermain network design in support of the proposed land uses in the SSUEA. A summary of the minimum available fire flows is shown in **Table 5.**

Table 5: Summary of Minimum Available Fire Flows (GeoAdvice, 2023)

Required Fire Flow	Minimum Available Fire Flow
167 L/s (10,000 L/min)	273 L/s (16,380 L/min)
217 L/s (13,000 L/min)	254 L/s (15,240 L/min)

The modelling and reporting provided in *Appendix C.1* indicates that the proposed watermain network can provide domestic flows to the subject area with service pressures within the acceptable range and can provide the required fire flow at all modelled nodes. This fire flow is considered representative of the maximum allowable flow for residential uses under current City guidelines and is suitable for planning purposes for the residential uses proposed.

7.2.5 Boundary Conditions

To support the future development of a hydraulic analysis for the subdivision, updated boundary conditions have been requested for the preliminary water demands (see *Appendix C.3*). To assess serviceability and recommend a preferred trunk watermain network in support of development within the SSUEA, a preliminary water distribution model has been prepared by GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed servicing layout within the SSUEA using boundary conditions previously provided by the City of Ottawa (included in *Appendix C.4*). Although the population estimate associated with the recent boundary conditions request differs slightly from the population estimate provided previously, sufficient pressures are available within the network to support a reasonable variation in population density, and it is expected that the fire flow requirements will ultimately govern the water servicing design.

- Boundary Condition Location 1: Pressure Zone 3W, Parade Drive, Existing 254 mm diameter watermain.
- ➤ Boundary Condition Location 2: Pressure Zone 3W, Hickstead Way via Aridus Crescent, Existing 254 mm diameter PVC watermain.
- ➤ Boundary Condition Location 3: Pressure Zone 3W, Hickstead Way via Painted Sky Way, Existing 254 mm diameter PVC watermain.

Boundary Condition Location 4: Pressure Zone 3W, Ocaia Street, Existing 203 mm diameter PVC watermain.

7.3 Commitments for Functional and Detailed Design

Detailed hydraulic analyses will be prepared for the phases of the proposed water distribution network at the time of their respective detailed designs, to determine that water supply is made available to the SSUEA as specified in the City of Ottawa Water Supply Guidelines.

The water distribution network will have to be designed to support the phased development of the lands making up the SSUEA. The phased water supply systems will be looped for areas > 50 m³, per ISTB 2018-02-08, to provide for system security and redundancy.

The proposed trunk watermain network is shown to generally follow the proposed road network. Note that as the road network is conceptual in nature and is subject to change, the watermain network is also subject to change. Easements may be required for local and trunk watermains as detailed design progresses for the development lands, in order to meet City and MECP guidelines.

During detailed design of the developments within the SSUEA:

- ➤ Demands will be updated, and distribution refined, once more detailed development information is available;
- ➤ Demand factors according to Section 4.2.1 of the City of Ottawa *Design Guidelines* & subsequent Technical Bulletins will be used (for localized areas with populations less than 3,000 and/or areas less than 50 ha);
- ➤ Local watermain sizing will need to be evaluated at the subdivision approval stage; and,
- Individual residential blocks will be evaluated for required fire flow as detailed plans for these sites are developed.

7.4 Water Supply Conclusion

The SSUEA is to be serviced by a proposed network of trunk watermains varying in diameter from 250 mm to 300 mm. At this stage of analysis, only the trunk watermain within the West and South Lands are shown. A network of local watermains is assumed to service developments within the SSUEA.

A preliminary hydraulic analysis, provided in *Appendix C.1*, has been completed to ensure compliance with City of Ottawa Water Supply Guidelines. The proposed

21-1247

watermain network is expected to deliver all domestic and fire flows as per Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP), City of Ottawa and Fire Underwriters Criteria to support development within the SSUEA. Estimated fire flows of 167 L/s and 217 L/s for low density residential and parkland, and medium density residential, respectively, can be achieved for the development and service pressures are expected to fall within the appropriate ranges.

All proposed water infrastructure is to be designed and constructed in accordance with Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) and City of Ottawa guidelines as part of detailed design associated with the SSUEA.

8.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING PLAN

8.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The adjacent developments to the north of the subject lands are serviced by the existing Shea Road Sanitary Pumping Station (SRSPS) which is located along the north boundary within the SSUEA lands being assessed and generally located within the **Stittsville South Lands** portion of the development area (North of the existing stormwater management pond (Davidson Pond)). As per the Environmental Compliance Approval (*ECA #3415-ADWLJG*) issued September 21, 2016 (see report excerpt in **Appendix D.1**), the SRSPS, constructed in 2017, was designed with an interim firm capacity of 42 L/s and upgraded to its current firm capacity of 84 L/s (in accordance with its original design) in late 2022. The SRSPS forcemains were also directed to the most recent extension of the Fernbank Sanitary Trunk Sewer (FSTS).

A 450mm diameter sanitary sewer connected to the SRSPS is available to service the SSUEA. The existing 450mm diameter inlet sanitary sewer has a residual capacity of approximately 80% which would allow for an additional ~390 L/s of sanitary flows which is more than the capacity required.

Per the Master Servicing Report for the *Stittsville South – Area 6* development, there is excess capacity available in the Fernbank Lands trunk sewer (see report excerpt in *Appendix D.2*). The *Area 6* study summarized that the Fernbank Trunk was designed for a peak flow of 528 L/s (*Fernbank CDP Lands – New Trunk Sewer* sanitary design sheet provided in *Appendix D.3* for reference) and had a capacity of 670 L/s (excess capacity of 142 L/s). The Area 6 report further summarized that the *Area 6* and Liard Street P.S. (monitored) flows to the Fernbank Trunk totaled approximately 85 L/s and 39 L/s respectively and would utilize a portion of this capacity. However, the original design criteria of the Fernbank Trunk system (and Area 6) was based on older City of Ottawa design criteria. When considering the new criteria adopted by the City after those designs the excess capacity available is increased.

Table 6: Sanitary Flow Review

Network Reviewed	Area (ha)	Pop.	PF Q _{units} (L/s)		Q _{Com/Inst} (L/s)	Q _{I/I} (L/s)	Q _{TOT} (L/s)	Diff. (L/s)
Old City Parameters for Sanitary	/ ⁽¹⁾							
Fernbank CDP Lands (2)	551.8	30,169	2.47	302.5	71.0	154.5	528.0	
Stittsville Area 6 ⁽³⁾	70.74	4,502	3.29	59.94	2.37	19.81	82.1	
Liard St P.S. (monitored) (4)							39.0	

21-1247

New City Parameters for Sanitary (5)								
Fernbank CDP Lands	551.8	30,169	2.18	213.1	39.76	182.09	435.0	-93.0
Stittsville Area 6	70.74	4,502	2.83	41.2	1.33	23.34	66.0	-16.1
Liard St P.S. (monitored) (6)							39.0	0

- (1) Old City Parameters: 350 L/day; 0.28 L/s/ha infiltration; Comm./Inst. Flow = 50,000 l/ha/day
- (2) Sanitary design sheet excerpt provided in Appendix B. From "Fernbank Community Design Plan Master Servicing Study (June 2009)"
- (3) Sanitary design sheet excerpt from updated IB design for Edenwylde development. From City submission 2020-04-09"
- (4) Liard Street pump station monitored flow summary from the "West Urban Community Wastewater Collection System Master Servicing Plan" by RV Anderson Associates Ltd., dated July 2012 and as summarized in the Area 6 MSS.
- (5) New City Parameters: 280 L/day; 0.33 L/s/ha infiltration; Comm./Inst. Flow = 28,000 l/ha/day; updated Peak Factor correction factor
- (6) Same value as prior as it was monitored information.
- (7) Peaking Factor

From the table above the flow summarized in the Fernbank Lands trunk is reduced from 528.0 L/s to ~435.0 L/s (-93.0 L/s) based on review with new parameters.

The Area 6 land development flows are reduced from 82.1 L/s to ~66.0 L/s (-16.1 L/s).

The Area 6 MSS summarized excess capacity at peak flow in the Fernbank Lands trunk at 142 L/s. With the new parameters this excess capacity increases to 235 L/s based on the above table with 105 L/s of that taken up by the Area 6 and the Liard St. P.S. flows (130 L/s capacity remaining).

8.2 Wastewater Design Criteria

The City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines are to be used to design the SSUEA wastewater conveyance systems. The criteria employed in the preliminary design of the proposed wastewater system are summarized in **Table 9.**

Table 7: Wastewater Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value						
Current Desi	gn Guidelines						
Residential - Single Family	3.4 p/unit						
Residential – Townhome/ Semi	2.7 p/unit						
Residential – Apartment	1.8 p/unit						
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per						
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 4.0, Min 1.0						
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha for all areas						
Park Flows	9300 L/ha/d						
	(75 p/acre per Sewer Guidelines Appendix 4-A)						
Park Peaking Factor	1.0						
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the	$Q = \frac{1}{1} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$						
Manning's Equation	$Q = -AR^{73}S^{72}$						
14:	76						
Minimum Sewer Size	200mm diameter						
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013						
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade						

21-1247

Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s						
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s						
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012, and recent							
residential subdivisions in City of Ottawa.							
Operational Paramete	rs on Monitoring Data						
(Example Only, Values to be Reviewed on Case-by-Case Basis with City of Ottawa)							
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per						
Harmon – Correction Factor	0.4 to 0.6						
Commercial / Institutional Peak Factor	1 (non-coincident peak)						
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012, and recent							
residential subdivisions in City of Ottawa.							

The City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines state that wherever possible, the design of sanitary sewers should be based on the ultimate sewage flows permitted by the land use zoning. The preferred concept plan and associated population projections, detailed in **Section 1.5** of this report, represent the best available planning information and thus have been used for the purpose of sizing the proposed trunk sanitary sewer network. A summary of the associated design assumptions is provided below:

- ➤ Low Density Residential Areas: Based on the unit type areas available from the preferred concept plan, as well as the population densities from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (single family 3.4p/unit, townhomes 2.7p/unit, back-to-back townhomes 2.1 p/unit, apartments 1.8 p/unit), a population density of 148 pop/gross ha was assigned to all low-density residential areas.
- ▶ Medium Density Residential Areas: Based on the unit type areas available from the preferred concept plan, as well as, the population densities from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (single family 3.4p/unit, townhomes 2.7p/unit, back-to-back townhomes 2.1 p/unit, apartments 1.8 p/unit), a population density of 144 pop/gross ha was assigned to all medium density residential areas. Applying the 221 pop/gross ha density to the medium density areas allows for more flexibility in the future design of the medium density blocks.

Note that with the use of the population densities reported above, the population may differ from the populations reported in **Section 1.5.** The populations used for the wastewater servicing design are conservative in nature to allow for the sanitary sewer networks to accommodate potential changes in population and servicing demand estimates at the detailed design level, as site-specific designs advance.

8.3 SSUEA Wastewater Servicing

Sanitary sewer routing and sizes have been preliminarily proposed as part of MSS-level design, to meet City and MECP guidelines. The preferred trunk wastewater design follows and ultimately connects to the existing 450 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer

21-1247

which conveys flows to the SRSPS based on the layout presented in **Drawing No. 4**. The preferred design is a sanitary network that makes efficient use of existing SRSPS pump station and applicable downstream infrastructure.

8.3.1 SSUEA Wastewater Servicing Options

The following options were evaluated for sanitary servicing for the *West/South Lands*:

Option 1: Gravity sanitary flows for **Stittsville West/South Land** areas to the existing SRSS along with:

- a. Upgrades to the SRSPS to accommodate new flows (pumps, instrumentation, electrical, generator etc);
- b. Utilization of existing forcemains to the FSTS (pending capacity review);
- c. Construction of a new emergency overflow to a future stormwater management pond (SWMP) for the **SSUEA** to allow for lower underside of footings for the development.

Option 2: Construction of a new sanitary pump station within the southern portion of the **South Lands** along with:

- a. Forcemains pumping flows to the existing SRSPS;
- b. Upgrades to the SRSPS to accommodate new flows (pumps, instrumentation, electrical, generator etc);
- c. Utilization of existing forcemains to the Fernbank Sanitary Trunk Sewer (FSTS);
- d. Construction of a new emergency overflow to a future stormwater management pond (SWMP) to allow for lower underside of footings for new development.

Option 3: Construction of a new sanitary pump station within the southern portion of the **South Lands** which would accept all sanitary flows within the service area along with:

- Decommissioning of the existing SRSPS;
- b. Extension of gravity sewers to the new pump station;
- c. Utilization of existing forcemains to the Fernbank Sanitary Trunk Sewer (FSTS);
- d. Construction of a new emergency overflow to a future stormwater management pond (SWMP) to allow for lower underside of footings for new development.

Option 4: Similar to Option 3 above with the difference of implementing construction of new, independent, twin forcemains to the FSTS via Shea and Fernbank Roads (i.e. should the existing forcemains be found not suitable due to the additional head required to pump from the southern area).

21-1247

Option 5: Construction of a new sanitary pump station within the southern portion of the **South Lands** which would be independent of the SRSPS:

- a. SRSPS is not touched:
- b. Construct new, independent, twin forcemains to the FSTS via Shea and Fernbank Roads;
- c. Independent emergency overflow to a new SWMP.

8.3.2 Preferred Wastewater Servicing Plan

Of the various options reviewed, it was concluded that **Option 1** is the preferable approach/configuration. This option mitigates additional costs, utilizes/optimizes existing infrastructure and does not add additional uncertainty into the advancement of development. This is option is also consistent with the City's *Infrastructure Master Plan*, *Draft Final (July 2024)* which includes costs for the SRSPS and forcemain upgrades as part of its Wastewater Master Plan (Collection) Capital Program. See excerpts from the Draft IMP included *Appendix D.7*.

See attached *Drawing No. 4* for an overview of the proposed trunk sanitary network.

8.3.2.1 West Lands Preferred Wastewater Servicing Plan

No. 4. To demonstrate servicing feasibility, the trunk sewer is carried back at minimum slopes while accounting for drops at manholes, existing infrastructure sizing, and possible conflicts with crossing other sewers. As the design of the **West Lands** advance, the sanitary sewer network details are subject to change; for example, to be raised where appropriate to offer construction cost savings, provided that conditions related to minor changes are met.

The peak sanitary flow from the Stittsville **West Lands** are expected to be 44.11 L/s. See **Appendix D** for detailed calculations.

8.3.2.2 South Lands Preferred Wastewater Servicing Plan

No. 4. To demonstrate servicing feasibility, the trunk sewer is carried back at minimum possible slopes while accounting for drops at manholes, existing infrastructure sizing, and possible conflicts with crossing other sewers. As the design of the Stittsville South Lands advance, the sanitary sewer network details are subject to change; for example, to be raised where appropriate to offer construction cost savings, provided that conditions related to minor changes are met.

21-1247

The peak sanitary flow from the Stittsville **South Lands** are expected to be 37.21 L/s. See **Appendix D.4** for the sanitary trunk sewer design sheet calculations.

8.3.3 Sanitary Flow Review

As noted in **Section 8.1**, the SRSPS was recently upgraded to a firm capacity of 84 L/s. Buildout of the tributary areas to the SRSPS is ongoing, however there remains excess capacity available in the facility prior to any further upgrade/expansion being required. Novatech (the original designers of the SRSPS) have reviewed staged flows to the SRSPS with consideration given to inclusion of flows from the **Stittsville West/South Lands** development area under various flow conditions. The evaluation of various flow conditions (as often required by the City) considered the following:

- a) Condition 1 Design Flow Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied
- b) Condition 2 Annual flow Parameters for Occupied & Design Parameters for Unoccupied
- c) Condition 3 Annual flow Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied
- d) Condition 4 Rare Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied

(Design Parameters meaning standard design guideline values for design of sewers and pumping stations; Annual Parameters meaning typical flows based on data, and Rare Parameters meaning exceptional events)

Based on proposed conceptual development layout and density, the SSUEA has a projected population potential and effective extraneous area of ~5,760 persons and 64.2 ha (excluding HONI corridor and ponds), respectively. The theoretical peaked flow for the SSUEA, not considering peaking factors from external areas, is approximately 70 L/s.

With consideration to ongoing development, and existing lands, that are currently allocated as being tributary to the SRSPS (i.e. Area 6 development, redirected flows from the planned decommissioning of the Friendly Street Sanitary Pump Station etc.) the maximum required firm capacity of an updated SRSPS will be approximately 130 L/s.

8.3.4 SRSPS Anticipated Upgrades

In its May 2023 Shea Road Pump Station & Fernbank Trunk Capacity Review Novatech anticipated the following anticipated SRSPS upgrades to accommodate a new firm capacity (See **Appendix D.6** for further details):

Certain Upgrades:

Higher horsepower pumps;

21-1247

- Starters; and
- Power to pumps.

More than Likely Upgrades:

New generator.

Possible Upgrades:

- Primary power supply and 600V wiring; and
- Upsize 150mm piping between wet well and valve chamber and within basement.

Current Configuration Likely Sufficient:

- Controls:
- 200mm forcemain (need to demonstrate that surge pressures will not be an issue due to higher velocities; the theoretical velocity will be confirmed by a transient analysis as part of the detailed design for the SRSPS upgrades);
- Wet well (as long as new pumps fit and operating volumes are adjusted);
- Control room; and
- Bypass chamber.

Existing SCADA data for the current wastewater flows to the SRSPS will need to be reviewed further during the Draft Plan stage, prior to detailed design, to determine residual capacity and timing for future upgrades.

8.3.5 Consideration of Alternatives for Sanitary Servicing Design

Wastewater sewer sizing and routing were reviewed as part of MSS-level design, to address all City of Ottawa and MECP requirements. Given the background infrastructure planning in this area and the predicted performance, no other logical or efficient alternative designs were advanced for additional analysis and evaluation.

8.4 Commitments for Functional and Detailed Design

The wastewater conveyance systems will be designed to support the phased developments within the SSUEA lands. All proposed sanitary sewer infrastructure is to be designed in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines and all MECP guidelines.

The proposed gravity sewer conveyance systems are shown to generally follow the proposed road network. Note that as the road network is conceptual in nature, the alignments of the trunk sanitary sewers are also subject to change. Easements may be required in order to provide efficient servicing to address City and MECP guidelines.

21-1247

During design of the development within the SSUEA lands:

- ➤ Demands will be updated and distribution refined, once the more detailed development information is available;
- Design parameters according to City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines will be used:
- Design of the trunk sewers are to be optimized for construction efficiencies, provided that there are no significant negative impacts to affected landowners and that other requirements for minor amendments are met;
- Local sanitary sewer sizing will need to be evaluated at the subdivision approval stage; and
- Capacity in downstream infrastructure will be confirmed through sanitary sewer network modelling, as-builts, and/or sanitary design sheet information, as required.
- Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station upgrade requirements will be reviewed from a timing and cost perspective.

8.5 Wastewater Servicing Conclusion

The design of the sanitary sewer network is in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

The West and South Lands within the SSUEA are tributary to the Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station (SRSPS) and Fernbank Trunk sanitary sewer. The South and West Lands are to be directed to the Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station via the proposed trunk sanitary infrastructure as outlined in *Drawing No. 4*. Adequate residual capacity is available within the receiving downstream infrastructure and it can be concluded that the downstream infrastructure can adequately service the SSUEA lands. To support the full proposed development, the SRSPS will require upgrades to increase the PS firm capacity. There is currently excess capacity to accommodate the first phases of development and the timeline for staged upgrades will be reviewed as part of the FSR and detailed design. Additionally, JFSA has provided PCSWMM modelling to analyze the sanitary HGL elevations within the proposed development lands based on the flow details previously provided. From JFSA's analysis, it was found that the proposed existing sanitary sewer infrastructure is sufficiently sized to convey sanitary flows away from the proposed development under various extreme conditions. Please see the JFSA report in *Appendix E.1* for additional details. Note that updated HGL analyses will be provided at the functional and detailed design stage as additional details are established.

SCOPED MASTER SERVICING STUDY STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (W-4)

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.

21-1247

All proposed sanitary sewer infrastructure is to be designed and constructed in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines and MECP guidelines as part of detailed design associated with *Planning Act* applications within the SSUEA.

21-1247

9.0 STORMWATER CONVEYANCE

9.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage

The existing site topography for the subject properties generally drains eastward and southward. As noted previously the site is essentially bisected by an existing drainage watercourse which transitions into the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD). See **Drawing No. 3** for an overview.

Parade Drive Stormwater Management Facility

The residential development area to the north of the Maguire/Faulkner properties is serviced via an existing 1.9 ha stormwater pond block adjacent to Parade Drive. This stormwater facility has the following characteristics:

Drainage Area = ~33.7ha
Permanent Pool Elevation = 103.50 m
Extended Detention Elevation = 103.70 m
100-Year Elevation = 105.33 m

The facility outlets to an existing ditch located east of the storm outlet approximately 405 m upstream of the commencement of the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

Davidson Stormwater Management Facility

The existing development to the north of the Davidson/Eder properties is serviced by the central "Davidson" stormwater management pond. The existing Davidson stormwater pond occupies approximately 3.2 ha of land and is partially located under the existing Hydro One tower line. The ponds are sized for their respective areas with no specific additional areas considered. This stormwater facility has the following characteristics:

Drainage Area = ~40.6 ha
Permanent Pool Elevation = 101.50 m
Extended Detention Elevation = 102.10 m
100-Year Elevation = 103.17 m

The facility outlets from the south end of its configuration to a ditched outlet that conveys the flows southwest to the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

9.1.1 Faulkner Municipal Drain

Official Plan section 4.7.1(7) requires the applicant demonstrate that a legal and sufficient outlet can be established (not that the outlet has been established). Based on preliminary consultation with the City's Municipal Drain group, it is our opinion the subject site has legal and sufficient outlet available in the Faulkner Municipal Drain

21-1247

(FMD).

Consultation on the municipal drain was initiated in November 2023 with the City of Ottawa. The City noted the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD) had recently undergone an upgrades with construction of improvements completed in 2022 and 2023. Based on preliminary review, the City of Ottawa indicated the scope of work would fall under Section 65 of the Drainage Act with updates to the change in land use and incorporation of new connections to the FMD. This may require the City's Drainage Engineer involvement for confirmation of adequate outlet. This process has been initiated as outlined below.

In early 2024, the City of Ottawa provided stormwater management comments including the following direction regarding the municipal drain:

"Post-development discharge criteria will need to factor conveyance constraints along the Faulkner Municipal Drain (MD) along Shea Road, and possibly along the Flowing Creek MD. A request/Petition for a Drainage Engineer should be filed with the City to assist with identifying drainage improvements required to establish a legal and sufficient outlet for the future urban runoff from the expansion lands."

The Drainage Engineer assignment was carried at ARAC on October 3rd, 2024 and will be recommended for consideration to Council on October 9th, 2024.

During a September 2024 meeting, City of Ottawa staff further confirmed that the Drainage Act amendment process for the Faulkner Municipal Drain can proceed in parallel with the development review process and could be addressed in Draft Plan Conditions as required.

The FMD conveys flows from north to south to the Flewellyn Road right-of-way (ROW) then heads eastward along Flewellyn Road until it shifts southwards along the west side of Shea Road. The FMD drain begins at approximately 215 m north of Flewellyn Road (within the development lands) and ultimately discharges to Flowing Creek Municipal Drain 5.45 km away.

Based on the site topography the drainage for the **Stittsville West Lands** trends to the southeast where it is picked up by the FMD. The **Stittsville South Lands** similarly drain to the southeast and are collected in FMD along the north side of Flewellyn Road and also conveyed to the FMD via the Shea Road ditch.

Due to the presence of this FMD physical constraint within the development areas, an independent stormwater solution for quantity and enhanced water quality control (80% Total Suspended Solids removal) will be required for each of the **West/South Lands** areas with the most suitable solution being the incorporation of wet stormwater management facilities.

21-1247

9.2 SSUEA Stormwater Management Ponds and Servicing Options

There were several options evaluated for stormwater management and servicing for the **West/South Lands**, below is an overview of the stormwater servicing opportunities and constraints associated with the development for the SSUEA.

Based on the existing site topography the drainage for the **West Lands** trends to the southeast where it is picked up by the FMD. The **South Lands** similarly drain to the southeast and are collected in FMD along the north side of Flewellyn Road

The invert of the FMD at the proposed road crossing between the **West** and **South Lands** is ~101.5m. In order to drain the **West Lands** to a pond east of the FMD, DSEL's preliminary analysis found that a storm sewer with a top of pipe elevation of ~102.5m would be required at this crossing which conflicts with the FMD. As such, an independent stormwater solution for quantity and enhanced water quality control will be required for each of the **West/South Lands** areas with the most suitable solution being the incorporation of wet stormwater management facilities in the southeast corner of each of each area. This servicing strategy (as outlined in Option 1A) below, was presented to City staff in a February 8, 2024 consultation. While the City agreed this was the most logical servicing strategy, it requested that DSEL assess additional options including the feasibility of combining the proposed facilities and/or decommissioning the City's existing Davidson Pond facility. The results of this analysis are presented below.

A summary of all options evaluated is presented in *Figure 3*.

9.2.1 Option 1A: Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Eder Parcel)

This option consists of maintaining the existing Davidson SWM Pond and constructing two new ponds, one in the southeast corner of the **West Lands** and one in the southeast corner of the **South Lands**. Each pond would outlet to the FMD, with the outlet from for the West facility crossing the HONI corridor. Similar to the existing Davidson Pond it would be proposed to use the Hydro corridor land for 5-year storage.

Under this option, 4.1 Ha of the **South Lands** would drain to the existing Davidson Pond.

The unnamed watercourse, upstream of the Faulkner Municipal Drain and downstream of the Area 6 Pond headwall (Inv. 103.20) will be maintained and convey flows through the hydro corridor. This option will provide 56.4 Ha of developable land and have 7.6 Ha of area designated for the SWM ponds, including 2.1 Ha within the Hydro corridor.

Construction of a new emergency overflow to the future stormwater management pond (SWMP) at the south east corner of the development will allow for lower underside of footings for the new development.

This option is shown below in *Exhibit 2*.



Exhibit 2: Option 1A - Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Eder Parcel)

9.2.2 Option 1B: Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Davidson Parcel)

Similar to Option 1A but with the East SWMP constructed within the Davidson Lands. This option presents the following constraints/challenges compared to Option 1A:

- 1) Construction of the pond within the Davidson Lands would be inconsistent with the natural topography/drainage;
- 2) Significant cost increase due to:
 - a. Approximately 175,000 cu.m of addition fill required on the **South Lands** to redirect drainage.
 - b. Additional retaining walls required along Flewellyn and Shea Roads.

This option is shown below in *Exhibit 3*.




Exhibit 3: Option 1B - Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Davidson Parcel)

9.2.3 Option 2A: One SWM Pond and Relocation of Existing Drain (Perimeter Channel)

This option would consist of decommissioning the existing Davidson SWM Pond and constructing one new SWM pond within the **South Lands**. The unnamed watercourse, upstream of the Faulkner Municipal Drain and downstream of the Area 6 Pond headwall (Inv. 103.20) will be realigned and convey flows along the north and east perimeter of the development. This option will provide 54.9 Ha of developable land and have 6.2 Ha of area designated for the SWM pond.

Construction of a new emergency overflow to the future stormwater management pond (SWMP) at the southeast corner of the development will allow for lower underside of footings for the new development.

21-1247

The City would decommission its Davidson Pond under this option with its drainage area accommodated by the new pond. In order to remove the constraint caused by the existing FMD, the tributary ditch north of the FMD would be rerouted around the perimeter of the **South Lands.** This option presents the following constraints/challenges compared to Option 1A:

- 1) Rerouted drain requires participation of holdout property;
- 2) Trunk storm sewer requires non-standard box culvert cross section in order to cross under rerouted drain. Limited cover on storm sewer at crossing (even with box culvert) of ~0.3m;
- 3) Non-standard pond inlet:
 - a. Submerged inlet pipe (invert at pond ~99.5m with PP WL of 100.5m)
 - a. Limited cover on sewer (~0.3m)
 - b. Inlet pipe invert at ~1.0m above pond bottom;
- 4) Decrease in net developable area of ~1.5ha when compared to Option 0;
- 5) Significant cost increase due to:
 - a. New channel construction
 - b. Davidson Pond decommissioning for future residential development
 - c. Additional new pond construction costs to accommodate existing Davidson Pond drainage area.

This option is shown below in *Exhibit 4*.




Exhibit 4: Option 2A - One SWM Pond and Relocate Existing Drain (Perimeter Channel)

9.2.4 Option 2B One SWM Pond and Relocation of Existing Drain (Central)

Similar to Option 2A, this option would require decommissioning of the existing Davidson SWM Pond and construction of one new SWM pond within the **South Lands**. In addition, one new dry pond would be proposed within the Hydro corridor. The unnamed watercourse, upstream of the Faulkner Municipal Drain and downstream of the Area 6 Pond headwall (Inv. 103.20) will be maintained until it intersects the Hydro corridor, and a new channel would be constructed to convey flows easterly to the SWMP at the southeast corner of the development before discharging to the Faulkner Municipal Drain. This option would utilize a Dry Pond within the Hydro corridor for volume storage. This option will provide 54.8 Ha of developable land and have 8.4 Ha of area designated for the SWM ponds.

Construction of a new emergency overflow to the future stormwater management pond (SWMP) at the southeast corner of the development will allow for lower underside of footings for the new development.

The City would decommission its Davidson Pond under this option with its drainage area accommodated by the new pond. Under this option, in order to remove the

constraint caused by the existing FMD, the tributary ditch north of the FMD would be rerouted through the **South Lands**. With this configuration, the Hydro corridor could be used for 5-year storage. This option presents the following constraints/challenges compared to Option 1A:

- 1) Trunk storm sewer requires non-standard box culvert cross section in order to cross under rerouted drain. Limited cover on storm sewer at crossing (even with box culvert) of ~0.3m;
- 2) Non-standard pond inlet:
 - a. Fully submerged pipe (invert at pond ~99.05m with PP WL of 100.5m)
 - b. Limited cover on sewer (~0.3m)
 - c. Inlet pipe invert at ~0.5m above pond bottom;
- 3) Decrease in net developable area of ~1.6ha when compared to Option 0;
- 4) Significant cost increase due to:
 - a. New channel construction
 - b. Davidson Pond decommissioning for future residential development
 - c. Additional new pond construction costs to accommodate existing Davidson Pond drainage area.

This option is shown below in *Exhibit 5*.



21-1247

Exhibit 5: Option 2B - One SWM Pond and Relocate Existing Drain (Central Channel)

9.2.5 Option 3: Two SWM Ponds and Decommissioning of Davidson Pond

This option would consist of decommissioning the existing Davidson SWM Pond and constructing two new SWM ponds, one in the southeast corner of the *West Lands* and one in the southeast corner of the *South Lands*. The unnamed watercourse, upstream of the Faulkner Municipal Drain and downstream of the Area 6 Pond headwall (Inv. 103.20) will be maintained and convey flows through the hydro corridor to the Faulkner Municipal Drain. This option will provide 55.4 Ha of developable land and have 7.0 Ha of area designated for the SWM ponds, including 0.5 Ha within the Hydro corridor.

Construction of a new emergency overflow to the future stormwater management pond (SWMP) at the southeast corner of the development will allow for lower underside of footings for the new development.

Under this option, the ditch tributary to the FMD would remain in its current location. This option presents the following constraints/challenges compared to Option 1A:

- 1) Decrease in net developable area of ~1.0ha when compared to Option 1A;
- 2) Significant cost increase due to:
 - a. Davidson Pond decommissioning for future residential development
 - Additional new pond construction costs to accommodate existing Davidson Pond drainage area.

This option is shown below in *Exhibit 6*.




Exhibit 6: Option 3 - Two SWM Ponds and Decommissioning of Davidson Pond

9.2.6 Cost Comparison

A comparison of estimated SWM Pond costs for each option was prepared based on costs for similar work and summarized in *Table 8* below.

Table 8: Summary of Estimated SWM Costs

21-1247

				Option 1A		Option 1B			Option 2A			Option 2B			Option 3																							
Estimated SWM Costs	Unit	Unit Cost		Unit Unit Cost		Unit Unit Cost		Unit Cost		Unit Cost		Unit Unit Cost		Unit Unit Cost		Unit Unit Cost		Unit Unit Cost		nit Unit Cost		Unit Cost		Quantity	Est	imated Cost	Quantity	Est	timated Cost	Quantity	Es	stimated Cost	Quantity	Es	imated Cost	Quantity	Es	timated Cost
SVM Pond	ha	\$	1,875,000	5.20	\$	9,750,000	5.20	\$	9,750,000	6.20	\$	11,625,000	8.40	\$	15,750,000	7.00	\$	13,125,000																				
SVM Pond Channel	m	\$	3,000	-	\$	-	-	\$	-	1,200	\$	3,600,000	800	\$	2,400,000	-	\$	-																				
SWM Total					\$	9,750,000		\$	9,750,000		\$	15,225,000		\$	18,150,000		\$	13,125,000																				
City Costs for Davidson Pond Decommissioning	Allowance	\$	2,100,000	-	\$	-	-	\$	-	1	\$	2,100,000.00	1	\$ 2,100,000.00		1	\$	2,100,000.00																				
	Total Estima	ited	SWM Costs	\$	9	,750,000.00	\$ 9,750,000.00		\$ 17,325,000.00		\$ 20,250,000.00		0 \$ 15,225,00		5,225,000.00																							

9.2.7 Preferred SWM Pond Alternative

Due to the technical, cost and land ownership constraints presented above, *Option 1A – Two New SWM Ponds (East Pond on Eder Parcel)* remains the preferred stormwater servicing strategy for the Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area. This alternative minimizes new stormwater facility and channel construction and utilizes capacity in the existing Davidson Pond. As shown in *Table 8* above, this option presents the lowest overall SWM servicing cost. While the estimated stormwater costs for Option 1B are shown as equivalent to Option 1A, this alternative is not consistent with the existing site topography and would require significant additional costs, beyond SWM facility costs, for fill import and retaining walls.

9.2.7.1 South Lands

Stormwater flows from the existing development to the north of the **South Lands** is serviced by the existing Davidson SWM Pond. The existing Davidson SWM Pond was sized for its contributing area at the time of its construction and did not specifically account for other development areas.

The facility outlets from the south end of its configuration to a ditched outlet that conveys the flows southwest to the Faulkner Municipal Drain. Preliminary review of the Davidson SWM Pond indicates that it has the potential to accept additional flows from the **South Lands** development area.

The full extent of area that can be directed to the Davidson SWM Pond still has to be fully vetted but it is anticipated that a minimum of ~4.0 ha of SSUEA **South Lands** could be accommodated (assuming imperviousness of 58%). JFSA reviewed the capacity of of the Davidson Pond in its *Conceptual SWM Ponds and Preliminary HGL Analysis* (*JFSA, November 2023*) memo and concluded that the pond can accommodate this additional drainage area with minor modifications to the outlet structure. A detailed analysis of the pond operation to assess the release rates, pond water levels and HGL elevations within the proposed and existing development will be completed as part of the design process.

The remainder of the **South Lands** development area will ultimately require the construction of a new stormwater management facility (SWMF) utilizing the FMD as its outlet. The facility will be required to provide an enhanced level of protection as well as

21-1247

providing 2-, 5- and 100-year target release rates and would most appropriately be located in the southeast portion of the property abutting the Flewellyn Road ROW.

9.2.7.2 West Lands

The development of **West Lands** will require the construction of a new stormwater management facility utilizing the FMD as its outlet. The facility will be required to provide an enhanced level of protection as well as providing 2-, 5- and 100-year target release rates and would most appropriately be located in the southeast portion of the property abutting the HONI corridor easement. The outlet from the SWMF would preferably cross the HONI corridor in order to outlet to the FMD.

An update to the Drainage Engineer's report for the FMD would be required as part of the proposed new pond outlet to the FMD.

9.3 Post-Development Stormwater Management Targets

Stormwater management requirements for the proposed alternative Stormwater management scheme have been adopted from the *Jock River Reach 2 Subwatershed SWS*, City of Ottawa *Sewer Design Guidelines* and the *MECP SWMP Manual*.

Given the general criteria mentioned above, the following specific standards are applied for stormwater management within the subject property:

- ➤ Enhanced quality treatment will be provided for stormwater runoff from the subject property, corresponding to a long-term average Total Suspended Solid removal efficiency of 80%, as defined by the MECP prescribed treatment levels.
- Downstream receiving watercourses will be assessed for responses to planned stormwater management outflows, and stabilization mitigation measures will be planned as required.
- ➤ Storm sewers on local roads are to be designed to provide at least a 2-year level of service without any ponding per the City's latest Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01.
- Storm sewers on collector roads are to be designed to provide at least a 5-year level of service without any ponding per the City's latest Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01.
- ➤ For less frequent storms (i.e. larger than 2-year or 5-year), the minor system sewer capture will be restricted with the use of inlet control devices to prevent excessive hydraulic surcharges.
- ➤ Under full flow conditions, the allowable velocity in storm sewers is to be no less than 0.80 m/s and no greater than 6.0 m/s.

- ➤ For the 100-year storm and for all roads, the maximum depth of water (static and/or dynamic) on streets, rear yards, public space and parking areas shall not exceed 0.35 m at the gutter.
- ➤ The major system shall be designed with sufficient capacity to allow the excess runoff of a 100-year storm to be conveyed within the public ROW or adjacent to the right-of-way provided that the water level must not touch any part of the building envelope, must remain below all building openings during the stress test event (100-year + 20%), and must maintain 15 cm vertical clearance between spill elevation on the street and the ground elevation at the nearest building envelope.
- Flow across road intersections shall not be permitted for minor storms (generally 5-year or less).
- When catch basins are installed in rear yards, safe overland flow routes are to be provided to allow the release of excess flows from such areas. A minimum of 30 cm of vertical clearance is required between the rear yard spill elevation and the ground elevation at the adjacent building envelope.
- The product of the maximum flow depths on streets and maximum flow velocity must be less than 0.60 m²/s on all roads.

9.3.1 Quality Control

Per the *Jock River Reach 2 SWS*, prior to discharge to the receiver, quality treatment of stormwater runoff from the subject property is to be provided to meet the MECP Enhanced Protection criteria, corresponding to a long-term average Total Suspended Solid removal efficiency of 80%.

9.3.2 Quantity Control

Quantity control will be provided by the proposed West and South (JFSA's EAST) SWM facilities and the existing Davidson SWM facility. Peak flows will be attenuated by these facilities in order to ensure erosion concerns are mitigated downstream in the FMD.

9.4 Stormwater Management Design

9.4.1 Proposed Minor System

The subject property will be serviced by an internal gravity storm sewer system that is to generally follow the local road network and proposed servicing easements as required. The drainage will be conveyed within the underground piped sewer system to outlets located along the natural heritage corridor, providing hydration to wetlands. There will also be proposed outlets to the Faulkner Municipal Drain in order to provide some

baseflow to that feature (per City comments) along with rear yard sheet flow from lots backing onto the watercourse.

Street catchbasins will collect drainage from the streets and front yards, while rear yard catchbasins will capture drainage from backyards. Perforated catch basin leads will be provided in rear yards, except the last segment where they connect to the right-of-way which will be solid pipe, per City standards.

The preliminary rational method design of the minor system captures drainage for storm events up to and including the 2-year (local), 5-year (collector) and 10-year (arterial) event assuming the use of inlet control devices (ICD) for all catch basins within the subject property. The following table summarizes the standards that will be employed in the detailed design of the storm sewer network. The drainage area plans and rational method design sheets are provided in *Appendix E.2*.

Table 9: Storm Sewer Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value
Minor System Design Return Period	1:2 year (PIEDTB-2016-01) for local roads, without
	ponding
	1:5 year (PIEDTB-2016-01) for collector roads,
	without ponding
	1:100 year (PIEDTB-2016-01) for arterial road,
	without ponding
Major System Design Return Period	1:100 year
Intensity Duration Frequency Curve (IDF)	A
2-year storm event:	$i = \frac{A}{\left(t_c + B\right)^C}$
A=732.951 B=6.199 C=0.810	$(t_c + B)^{\circ}$
5-year storm event:	
A = 998.071 B = 6.053 C = 0.814	
Minimum Time of Concentration	10 minutes
Rational Method	Q = CiA
Storm sewers are to be sized employing	$Q = \frac{1}{4} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$
the Manning's Equation	
Duratic and for nove dand roof areas	n
Runoff coefficient for paved and roof areas	0.9
Runoff coefficient for landscaped areas	0.2
Minimum Sewer Size	250 mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n' for pipe flow	0.013
Minimum Depth of Cover	1.5 m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.8 m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	6.0 m/s
Clearance from 100-Year Hydraulic Grade	0.30 m
Line to Building Opening	
Max. Allowable Flow Depth on Municipal	35 cm above gutter (PIEDTB-2016-01)
Roads	T. I
Extent of Major System	To be contained within the municipal right-of-way or
	adjacent to the right-of-way provided that the water
	level must not touch any part of the building envelope

21-1247

	and must remain below the lowest building opening during the stress test event (100-year + 20%) and 15cm vertical clearance is maintained between spill				
	elevation on the street and the ground elevation at the				
	nearest building envelope (PIEDTB-2016-01)				
Stormwater Management Model	DDSWMM (release 2.1), SWMHYMO (v. 5.02) and				
•	XPSWMM (v. 10)				
Model Parameters	Of = 76.2 mm/hr, Fc = 13.2 mm/hr, DCAY = 4.14/hr,				
	D.Stor.Imp. = 1.57 mm, D.Stor.Per. = 4.67 mm				
Imperviousness	Based on runoff coefficient (C) where				
·	Percent Imperviousness = $(C - 0.2) / 0.7 \times 100\%$.				
Design Storms	Chicago 3-hour Design Storms and 24-hour SCS				
-	Type II Design Storms. Maximum intensity averaged				
	over 10 minutes.				
Historical Events	July 1st, 1979, August 4th, 1988 and August 8th, 1996				
Climate Change Street Test	20% increase in the 100-year, 3-hour Chicago storm				
Extracted from City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012, and ISSU, based on recent residential subdivisions in City of Ottawa.					

The following key City standards will be required for stormwater management within the subject lands and conveyance to the proposed stormwater management ponds, among other requirements:

- For less frequent storms (i.e. larger than the minimum level of service), the minor system sewer capture will be restricted with the use of inlet control devices to prevent excessive hydraulic surcharges;
- When catchbasins are installed in rear yards, safe overland flow routes are to be provided to allow the release of excess flows from such areas. A minimum of 30 cm of vertical clearance is required between the rear yard spill elevation and the ground elevation at the adjacent building envelope; and,
- ➤ The product of the maximum flow depths on streets and maximum flow velocity must be less than 0.60 m²/s on all roads.

The following additional grading criteria and guidelines will be applied to the detailed grading designs as per City of Ottawa Guidelines:

- Driveway slopes will have a maximum slope of 6%;
- Slope in grassed areas will be between 2% and 5%;
- Grades in excess of 7% will require terracing to a maximum of a 3:1 slope;
- > Swales are to be 0.15 m deep with 3:1 side slopes unless otherwise indicated; and,
- Perforated pipe will be required for drainage swales if they are less than 1.5% in slope (preferred to promote infiltration) and will be used to interconnect rear yard catchbasins where possible.

21-1247

Conservative average runoff coefficients (C) values have been applied according to the conceptual land uses and the amount of impervious area in each catchment:

- ➤ Low Density Residential Areas: The Concept Plan designates these areas for detached dwellings, semi-detached dwellings. These areas have been assigned an average runoff coefficient of 0.68 to account for impervious surfaces (driveways, roads, roofs) and pervious areas (backyards). This C value is representative of Caivan's developments in other parts of Ottawa.
- ▶ Medium Density Residential Areas: The Concept plan designates these areas rear-lane townhomes, back-to-back townhomes, stacked townhomes, back-to-back stacked townhomes, low-rise and mid-rise apartment buildings. These areas have conservatively been expected to have a small number of pervious surfaces. As such, an average runoff coefficient of 0.7 has been assigned. These C values are representative of recently approved studies of a similar scope to this MSS.
- ➤ **Park Blocks:** These blocks have been assigned an average runoff coefficient of 0.4, associated with maintained grass lawns.

MECP has indicated a priority to prepare communities for the costs and impacts of climate change, including lowering the risk of basement flooding. As part of this MSS, the City of Ottawa's climate change stress test (100-year 3-hour Chicago storm plus 20%) has been applied in the sections that follow, to confirm that no basement flooding and no unacceptable surface flooding is expected in this test condition.

As detailed design progresses, the runoff coefficients will be refined to reflect the proposed building envelopes, driveways and other details.

The preliminary conceptual servicing plan is shown on **Drawing No.2**. As detailed design progresses, alignment and sizing of local storm sewers will be confirmed and additional servicing easements may be required, guiding the development of the future proposed lot fabric in the concept plan.

9.4.2 Proposed Major System

Major system conveyance, or overland flow (OLF), will be provided to accommodate flows in excess of the minor system capacity. OLF is accommodated by generally storing stormwater up to the 100-year design event in road sags then routing additional surface flow along the road network and service easements towards the proposed naturalized wetlands, discharging to the FMD, as shown on **Drawing No.2**.

21-1247

The detailed grading design will include a saw-toothed-road design with 0.10 % minimum grade from highpoint to highpoint, in order to maximize available surface storage for management of flows up to the 100-year design event where possible.

Given the elements above, the proposed drainage systems are expected to safely capture and convey all storms up to and including the 100-year event in accordance with the requirements of the City Standards.

9.5 Proposed Grading

A site grading approach has been developed to provide major system conveyance to the receiving outlets at the proposed SWM wetland facilities, will outlet to the existing FMD drainage network. The proposed grading plan can be seen on **Drawing No.1**.

Paterson completed geotechnical investigations for the subject site.

The geotechnical conditions are described in detail in **Section 3.2 and 3.3.** For the SSUEA there is generally no raise restriction based on subsurface conditions for most of the site. Within an isolated area of the eastern portion of the site there were indications of a minor discontinuous clay seam that may require a 2 m restriction, but the area will have to be reviewed further in later stages to assess its extent and the possibility of mitigation measures. Any actual exceedances will ultimately be determined at detailed design at which time review and signoff by a licensed Geotechnical Engineer will be required.

The following additional grading criteria and guidelines will be applied to detailed design, per *City of Ottawa Guidelines*:

- Driveway slopes will have a maximum slope of 6%;
- Grading in grassed/landscaped areas to range from 2% to 3:1, with terracing required for slopes larger than 7%;
- > Swales are to be 0.15m deep with 3:1 side slopes unless otherwise indicated on the drawings; and,
- Perforated pipe will be required for drainage swales if they are less than 1.5% in slope.

The conceptual grading plans for the subdivision have been prepared with the objective of site elevations being kept as low as possible to minimize the importation of fill material.

9.6 Low Impact Development (LID) - Infiltration

The following general Low Impact Development techniques should be considered for implementation, where possible, as part of detailed design:

- Rear-yard swales should be designed with minimum grades where possible, to promote infiltration;
- Rear-yard catchbasin leads should be perforated (except for the last segment connecting to the storm sewer within the right-of-way), to promote infiltration; and,
- Where eavestroughs are provided on residential units, they are to be directed to landscaped surfaces, to promote infiltration.
- Furthermore, the following techniques can be examined as part of detailed landscaping design of the park block:
- Amended topsoil (minimum 300mm thick) can be considered for use;
- Micro-grading can be considered to promote infiltration; and

Although Best Management Practices (BMPs) should be implemented, where feasible, the site is not conducive for infiltration based on the soil conditions and the Paterson conclusion that subsurface flows are generally horizontal with a gradient towards the FMD.

9.7 Commitments for Functional and Detailed Design

The minor and major sewer systems and associated stormwater management facilities will be designed to support phased developments within the SSUAE. All proposed storm sewer infrastructure will be designed in accordance with the *Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines*. The Stittsville West and South SWM pond designs will be completed according to City guidelines and the *MOE SWMP Design Manual*, further detailing inlet and outlet structures, orifice sizing, and pond block design – including the maintenance of natural heritage lands along the FMD and the implementation of multi-use pathways within the Pond blocks to create connectivity. Pond side slopes design is to be approved by a licensed Geotechnical Engineer prior to construction.

The proposed gravity sewer conveyance systems are shown to generally follow the proposed road network, with the exception of select conceptual servicing easements and a trunk sewer that will connect the West and South Lands through the Hydro Corridor. Note that the road network is conceptual in nature and is subject to change. As such, the trunk storm sewer routing is also subject to change. Easements may be required to provide efficient servicing per City of Ottawa and MECP standards.

During design of the developments within the SSUEA Lands:

- Average runoff coefficients will be updated to reflect detailed pervious/impervious surfaces information;
- Design parameters factors according to City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines will be used;

21-1247

- Design of the trunk sewers are to be optimized for construction efficiencies, provided that there are no significant impacts to affected landowners and other requirements related to minor amendments are met;
- Local storm sewer sizing will need to be evaluated at the subdivision approval stage;
- Permissible grade raises will be further analyzed and confirmed by a licensed Geotechnical Engineer;
- Detailed storage calculations/modelling will be done to ensure storage targets are being met;
- Overland flow routes will be detailed further; and,
- Capacity in downstream infrastructure will be confirmed through storm sewer network modelling, as-builts, and rational method design information.

Of special note is the stormwater management design for the Stittsville South Lands. Decisions related to stormwater management criteria for this area are to be subject to additional City review as part of *Planning Act* approvals for this area, based on the strategy that the lands east and west of the Hydro Corridor outlet to the Faulkner Municipal Drain from the proposed SWM facility.

9.8 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

Based on the existing site topography and constraints including the FMD and tributary drain, DSEL prepared a stormwater servicing solution consisting of two new SWM ponds, servicing the **West** and **South Lands**, respectively (Option 1A above).

The stormwater runoff is designed to be captured by an internal gravity sewer system that will convey flows to multiple outlet locations

A preliminary assessment was conducted for the conceptual stormwater management (SWM) ponds in a development site, focusing on the Faulkner Municipal Drain. Simulations and sensitivity tests were performed to address erosion concerns. PCSWMM modeling analyzed storm and sanitary water elevations, concluding that gravity storm connections are feasible. Recent downstream upgrades in the FMD, designed by the Drainage Engineer, have been completed to accommodate new and planned development in the area. Coordination with the Drainage Engineer will be completed to verify the recently constructed drain improvements are sufficient to accommodate flows from this development.

21-1247

10.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Soil erosion occurs naturally and is a function of soil type, climate and topography. The extent of erosion losses is exaggerated during construction where vegetation has been removed and the top layer of soil becomes agitated.

Preliminary erosion and sediment controls are currently in place as part of the cut/fill work completed on the property and will be monitored, updated and maintained throughout future construction as required.

The following specific recommendations to the Contractor will be included in contract documents.

- Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time.
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.
- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches.
- Install silt fence to prevent sediment from leaving the site and entering existing watercourses, and clean and maintain throughout construction.
- > Install catchbasin inserts during construction to protect from silt entering the storm sewer system.
- Install mud mat in order to prevent mud tracking onto adjacent roads.
- > No refueling or cleaning of equipment near existing watercourses.
- ➤ No material stockpiles within 30 m of existing watercourses, unless otherwise permitted by RVCA and City of Ottawa.
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering.
- Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding.
- ➤ The Contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance.
- ➤ Erosion and sediment control will remain in place until the working areas have been stabilized and re-vegetated.

11.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMEDATIONS

This Master Servicing Study (*MSS*) provides historical background information regarding the servicing in the vicinity of the subject property and presents servicing options explored while determining the recommended servicing strategy. Sufficient detail is provided to demonstrate that the development of the subject property will be adequately supported by municipal services and demonstrate how the municipal services will conform to current guidelines and design criteria. The conclusions from this report are as follows:

- ➤ Paterson Group has completed geotechnical investigations of the development areas and has noted that the site has a minor area that may be subject to a grade raise restriction of up to 2 m pending additional investigation. The majority of the site does not have a grade raise restriction.
- ➤ The recommended water servicing preferred option of those evaluated is to connect to multiple connection points from the existing development lands to the north. The proposed network has demonstrated a watermain network that could provide RFF of 167 L/s or up to 217 L/s based on the boundary conditions provided by City staff.
- ➤ Detailed modelling at the detailed design stage will confirm phasing of the extensions of trunk watermains and sizing of the local watermain network. The proposed water design supply is to conform to all relevant City and MECP Guidelines and Policies.
- Sanitary service will be provided for the subject property via the upgrading of the adjacent Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station located within the north central portion of the site. Additional analyses and studies will assess the full scope of the upgrades and staging of the improvements.
- Stormwater service is to be provided by capturing stormwater runoff by an internal gravity sewer system that will convey flows to multiple stormwater management facilities:
 - 1. Existing Davidson SWM Pond adjustments to the outlet control of the facility will result in minimal variations in water levels to service the northeastern portion of the SSUEA, optimizing existing infrastructure;
 - 2. A new SWM Pond in the southeast quadrant of the site to service lands east of the FMD as shown in **Section 9.2.1 Exhibit 2**:
 - 3. A new SWM Pond along the southwest boundary of the HONI corridor to service the SSUEA lands west of the FMD. All outletting to the FMD.

- The storm outlets will be set at, or above, the 2-year summer water level of the FMD.
- ➤ A preliminary Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) modelling analysis has been completed and demonstrates that the HGL is maintained below the anticipated future underside of footings for the site.
- ➤ Erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented and maintained throughout construction. The FMD and adjacent watercourses will be protected from any negative impacts during construction.
- ➤ The proposed servicing and grading plans are expected to meet all City, RVCA, and MECP requirements as set out in background studies and current standards.

Prepared by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**

Reviewed by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**



Per: Peter Mott, P.Eng.



Per: Marc Pichette, P.Eng.

© DSEL SSUEA_Caivan_MSS_Subm1_rev1 (20241007).doc



David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

FIGURES

Existing Hydro Corridor Eder Parcel Existing SWMF SWM Pond SWM Pond FLEWELLYN ROAD

Caivan Stittsville CONCEPT PLAN

LEGEND

Subject Lands

Eder Parcel

Low-Density

Medium-Density

Drainage Corridor

Hydro Corridor

Buffer

Proposed SWM Pond

Existing SWM Pond

Existing Sanitary PS

Major Roads


Major Connections


Faulkner Drain


— Parcel Lines














OPTION 1B: TWO NEW SWM PONDS (EAST SWM POND ON DAVIDSON PARCEL)







	Option 1A				
nd Use					
et Developable Area	ha		56.4		
o. of SWM Ponds (including Davidson)	no.		3		
otal Caivan SWM Pond Area	ha		4.7		
dro Corridor SWM Pond - Caivan Built	ha		0.5		
ew Pond Channel	m		0		
timated SWM Costs	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	Es	stimated Cost
VM Pond	ha	\$ 1,875,000	5.20	\$	9,750,000
VM Pond Channel	m	\$ -		\$	-
VM Total				\$	9,750,000
ty Costs for Davidson Pond Decommissioning	Allowance			\$	-
Total Estimate	d Costs			\$	9,750,000.00

	Option 1B					
l Use						
Developable Area	ha			56.4		
of SWM Ponds (including Davidson)	no.			3		
l Caivan SWM Pond Area	ha			4.7		
o Corridor SWM Pond - Caivan Built	ha			0.5		
Pond Channel	m			0		
nated SWM Costs	Unit	ı	Unit Cost	Quantity	E	stimated Cost
/I Pond	ha	\$	1,875,000	5.20	\$	9,750,000
/I Pond Channel	m	\$	-		\$	-
Л Total	•				\$	9,750,000
Costs for Davidson Pond Decommissioning	Allowance				\$	-
Total Estimate	d Costs	•			\$	9,750,000.00

*NOTE: ADDITIONAL COSTS, BEYOND THOSE PRESENTED ABOVE FOR SWM PONDS, WOULD BE REQUIRED	
FOR ADDITIONAL FILL/ENGINEERED FILL AND RETAINING WALLS COMPARED TO OPTION 1A	

	Option 2A						
Land Use							
Net Developable Area	ha		54.9				
No. of SWM Ponds (including Davidson)	no.		1				
Total Caivan SWM Pond Area	ha		6.2				
Hydro Corridor SWM Pond - Caivan Built	ha		0				
New Pond Channel	m	1200					
Estimated SWM Costs	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	E	stimated Cost		
SWM Pond	ha	\$ 1,875,000	6.20	\$	11,625,000		
SWM Pond Channel	m	\$ 3,000	1,200	\$	3,600,000		
SWM Total				\$	15,225,000		
City Costs for Davidson Pond Decommissioning	Allowance			\$	2,100,000.00		
Total Estimate	d Costs			\$	17,325,000.00		

	Option 2B				
Land Use					
Net Developable Area	ha		54.8		
No. of SWM Ponds (including Davidson)	no.		1		
Total Caivan SWM Pond Area	ha		5.8		
Hydro Corridor SWM Pond - Caivan Built	ha		2.6		
New Pond Channel	m		800		
Estimated SWM Costs	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	E	stimated Cost
SWM Pond	ha	\$ 1,875,000	8.40	\$	15,750,000
SWM Pond Channel	m	\$ 3,000	800	\$	2,400,000
SWM Total				\$	18,150,000
City Costs for Davidson Pond Decommissioning	Allowance			\$	2,100,000.00
Total Estimate	d Costs			\$	20,250,000.00


	Option 3				
e					
velopable Area	ha		55.4		
WM Ponds (including Davidson)	no.		2		
ivan SWM Pond Area	ha		6.5		
Corridor SWM Pond - Caivan Built	ha		0.5		
nd Channel	m		0		
ed SWM Costs	Unit	Jnit Cost	Quantity	E	stimated Cost
ond	ha	\$ 1,875,000	7.00	\$	13,125,000
ond Channel	m	\$ 3,000		\$	-
otal				\$	13,125,000
sts for Davidson Pond Decommissioning	Allowance			\$	2,100,000.00
Total Estimate	\$	15,225,000.00			

LEGEND

DRAINAGE AREA TO
65.8Ha
71%
IMPERVIOUSNESS
(FROM JFSA REPOR

DEVELOPABLE LAND
POND
MUNICIPAL DRAIN
SANITARY P.S.
HYDRO CORRIDOR










David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.


120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca


DRAWINGS
















120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

APPENDIX A





120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 19, 2024

TO: Christopher Rogers, P.Eng.

FROM: Marc Pichette, P. Eng.

Caivan – Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road)

SUBJECT: New Urban Expansion Development – Terms of Reference (REVISED PER CITY

COMMENTS DATED MARCH 15, 2024)

DSEL Job No. 21-1247

ATTACHMENTS:

Chris,

Per comments provided to Caivan on March 15/24, the following is a proposed summary of Terms of Reference (TOR) to document the servicing strategy approach for development of the above noted parcels of land located within Stittsville.

1.0 BACKGROUND

Fotenn Consultants Inc. ("Fotenn") has previously circulated a January 27, 2022 outline for the development of Concept Plans and processes related to the above noted subject lands. Caivan Communities ("Caivan") has ownership of land parcels that are currently located in the rural area and are designated to be brought within the urban boundary through the new Official Plan process.

1.1 Study Area & Objectives

The subject lands are bound by Flewellyn Road to south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6) and an estate lot subdivision to the west. The area is also bisected by the Faulkner Municipal Drain and a Hydro One power transmission corridor.

The main objective of the servicing review is to develop an overall servicing strategy for the Stittsville Lands that will fulfill the requirements of municipal and provincial standards. The review will consider, evaluate and assess the servicing needs of the development area as it relates to geotechnical considerations, availability of service connections and stormwater management objectives. Several development alternatives for road network layouts, parks and unit mixes will be analyzed and assessed with respect to servicing strategies with a preferred overall servicing scheme identified.

The preferred internal servicing plan will be developed to meet regulatory requirements and will be free of conflicts between the various infrastructure components (water, wastewater, storm and stormwater infrastructure). The following sections present the anticipated scope of work to be completed:

- Task 1 (Agreement on Terms of Reference),
- Task 2 (Internal Concept Plan Review Process (Input Evaluation)),
- Task 3 (Functional Servicing Report and Master Infrastructure Review).

2.0 WORK PLAN

Task 1: Agreement on Terms of Reference

Preparation and finalizing of the TOR for the proposed servicing assessment approach guiding the Concept Plan development process. This draft TOR will be circulated to the City for review/comment on the proposed scope and will form the basis of the future servicability review.

Task 2: Internal Concept Plan Review Process (Input Evaluation)

From an Overall Servicing perspective, this task will include a thorough consolidation of the documents listed in Section 2.1, investigate and quantify residual capacities and servicing constraints while keeping in mind the environmental constraints identified as part of the Task 2 process. The scope of work to complete the Concept Plan Review Process will include the following components:

2.1 Review and Consolidate

As part of the Concept Plan Review Process, a review of background reports that are concerned with the study area will be completed. The review will, at minimum, include the following reports and guidelines being considered:

- City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (City of Ottawa, October 2012) & Technical Bulletins (ISDTB-2014-01, PIEDTB-2016-01, ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-04 & ISTB-2019-02)
- City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (City of Ottawa, July 2010) & Technical Bulletins (ISD-2010-2, ISDTB-2014-2, ISTB-2018-02, & ISDTB-2021-03)
- Infrastructure Master Plan (City of Ottawa, 2013)
- Low Impact Development Technical Guidance Report, Implementation in Areas with Potential Hydrogeological Constraints (Dillon Consulting and Aquafor Beech, February 2021)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual (Ministry of the Environment, March 2003)
- Amendment to the Engineer's Report for the Faulkner Municipal Drain (Robinson, December 2020) & Addendum No. 1 (Robinson, March 2021)
- Stittsville South Area 6, City of Ottawa, Master Servicing Report & Stormwater Management Design Plan (Novatech/DSEL, December 2013)
- Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Detailed Servicing & Stormwater Management Report (Novatech July 2016)
- Sanitary Pump Station Pre-Design Report, Stittsville South (Novatech, July 2015)
- Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station Design Brief (Novatech, May 2016)
- Design Brief, Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 1 (5993 Flewellyn Road) (IBI Group, February 2018)
- Fernbank Community Design Plan, Master Servicing Study (Novatech, June 2009)

- Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development 5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road – Ottawa (Paterson (PG5570-2) January 2022)
- Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Guidance Manual (Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Draft for Consultation January 2022)

2.2 Hydrological Modelling

Based on a review of background reports and topographic information available, a hydrologic model will be developed to estimate peak flows and hydrographs under for the various outlets from the Study Area. The analysis will be conducted with SWMHYMO under the design storm types, return periods and hydrological parameters described in the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. The analysis will consider the drainage features inventoried as part of the topographical survey (open ditch, culverts, etc.) as well as any drainage divides. Surface flows will be calculated based on the existing flow patterns for the various outlets; drainage ditches, culverts and storm sewers (if applicable).

2.3 Coordination and Liaise with Other Disciplines

The Concept Plan review process will discuss findings from other disciplines including geotechnical, hydrogeology, water budget, hydrology, ecology (aquatic resources and natural areas), etc., which will establish the existing environmental conditions. The coordination will ensure that the hydrologic analysis considers natural environmental inventories and constraints. Where required, drawings prepared will note existing conditions constraints and potential opportunities, which may impact storm and stormwater servicing or other municipal infrastructure.

2.3.1 Coordinate and Liaise with Geotechnical Engineer

In consultation with the geotechnical engineer, DSEL will:

- Review specific grade raise restrictions to better understand the potential grading constraints versus potential land use;
- Review the soil's characteristics to better understand whether they are conducive to infiltration measures;
- Review the soil's structural capabilities from a support/strength perspective;
- Review the areas of either recharge or discharge potential.

2.3.2 Coordinate with the Hydrogeologist

In consultation with the hydrogeologist, the existing conditions water budget analysis will be reviewed to identify the zones conducive to infiltration measures or other low impact development (LID) strategies. These measures could potentially be used to mitigate impacts on the water budget. As part of this task, LID strategies will be reviewed, at a conceptual level, to determine their viability and effectiveness in maintaining the existing conditions water budget and potential benefits to mitigating downstream impacts.

- Prepare a conceptual LID plan which illustrates the zones noted above and how the measures will be integrated into the overall plan(s);
- Evaluate viability and performance of LIDs with respect to soil conditions, groundwater levels and depth of storm sewer infrastructure within the development area. The feasibility of LID performance will be assessed as part of the master planning process;

2.3.3 Coordinate with Biologist

City of Ottawa

In consultation with the biologist, the environmental constraints will be further reviewed to better understand their sensitivity to various land uses and their proximity to Concept Plan elements. The objectives and targets from a storm discharge perspective will be based on the on-site environmental constraints as well as the limitations of the receiving watercourses.

2.3.4 Review Topographical Survey and Complete Inventory of Existing Infrastructure

Once all constraints have been compiled a further review of topographical surveys will be completed as well as the drainage patterns identified under current conditions. As part of this task, existing services and outlets will be inventoried for wastewater, water and stormwater. The assessment of residual capacities for existing services will also be reviewed. Any additional survey data will be obtained as required to supplement as-built information.

2.4 Evaluation and Assessment of Storm Design Criteria, Objectives and Pond Alternatives

Based on the findings of the natural resource inventories, storm criteria for both water quality and quantity will be established from a consensus with other disciplines and based on requirements prevalent in the Study Area. Once adopted by the consultant team, the storm criteria will be presented and confirmed by regulatory agencies. Review and comment on potential end-of-pipe solutions that would satisfy the storm criteria and the most suitable approach and siting (based on topography, soil type etc) for the Concept Plans. Based on the siting of the facilities, establish footprint of the end-of-pipe facilities in accordance with the guidance described in Section 4 of the MECP SWMPDM. This includes evaluation of potential capacity of the existing Area 6 SWM pond to optimize use of that infrastructure.

Geosynthetic clay liners, or equivalent, will be incorporated into pond design where required based on the recommendations of the geotechnical engineer.

Pond sizing will be established conservatively and not be downsized based on the finding of LID options reviewed to establish water balance.

Several stormwater drainage alternatives will be prepared and evaluated, taking into consideration the following planning constraints:

- 1) The management of drainage from existing SWM ponds;
- 2) The development site is bisected by Faulkner Municipal Drain;
- The lower-lying lands being occupied by non-participating landowners;
- 4) The seperation of residential developable areas by the Hydro corridor;

2.5 Coordination with Drainage Engineer for requirements relating to the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD).

Preliminary consultation with the City's Municipal Drain Group, indicated that the scope of work would fall under Section 65 of the Drainage Act with updates to the change in land use and incorporation of new connections to the FMD. The City would engage with the same Drainage Engineer that completed the design of the recently constructed FMD upgrades to confirm adequate outlet (sufficient capacity) and determine if any further work is required under this project. There are sections of the Faulkner Municipal Drain that currently exist inside the urban boundary and will be abandoned as part of the development approval process. Further consultation will be undertaken with the City's Municipal Drain Group to verify and complete all requirements under the Ontario Drainage Act.

2.6 Concept Plan Summary Discussions & Preferred Plan Selection

The preceding evaluations considered along with the Concept Plans reviewed will determine a preferred plan which will be brought forward for the more detailed review and assessment of servicing in Task 3.

Task 3: Functional Servicing Report and Master Infrastructure Review

After the completion of Task 2, the Consulting Team will have developed several Concept Plans based on the findings and any other discipline inputs compiled to date from the Team with a preferred option selected. This will include environmental, stormwater, geotechnical and transportation. For the preferred Concept Plan the municipal servicing constraints criteria (see Task 3.1) will be investigated for the preparation of the servicing analysis. Review will also include comment on suitable servicing routes via either servicing blocks and/or the establishment of right-of-way corridors that have appropriate cross-sections to accommodate the various elements of servicing infrastructure required.

3.1 Evaluation of Municipal Servicing Requirements for the Preferred Concept Plan

DSEL will evaluate infrastructure servicing alternatives for the Concept Plans prepared by considering each option and providing the Team with inputs using the general criteria outlined below in order to resolve the preferred Concept as described in the Fotenn outline memo previously circulated. The tasks envisioned to be included in a Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report area as follows:

3.1.1 Grading

1. Develop a macro level Grading Plan for the Concept Plans based on the constraints identified by the geotechnical engineer. Grading will be developed in accordance with the criteria described in the Design Guidelines.

3.1.2 Identify and Assess Capacity of Existing Conveyance Systems

1. Based on topographical maps/surveys and servicing reports of existing developments adjacent to the limits of the Study Area, free flowing capacity of watercourses (i.e. Faulkner Drain), roadside ditches and water crossings (if any) will be reviewed.

3.1.3 Water Infrastructure

- 1. Confirm pressure objectives with the City along feedermains under both domestic and fire flow conditions. Connections will be to the development areas to the north of the Study Area. Coordination with the Water Master Plan to be undertaken with City staff.
- 2. Calculate domestic demands (average, maximum day and peak hour) based on "system level parameters" (expectation being there will be in excess of 3,000 persons) under the build-out condition of the proposed land use for the selected Concept Plans. The preferred parameters will be provided by the City.
- 3. Calculate required design fire flow for concurrence by City staff.
- 4. Calculate theoretical domestic demands for potential phases of development based on a phasing strategy. Develop and populate a base water model for the preferred Concept Plan.
- 5. Acquire hydraulic boundary conditions at each of the connection points of the existing water distribution system. Proposed connection locations to be concurred with by City Staff.
- 6. Evaluate the performance of the distribution system against municipal requirements under domestic demand conditions for the Concept Plan. Assess and identify deficiencies and develop system upgrades, if required, to meet municipal requirements from both pressure and demand criteria.
- 7. Evaluate the performance of the proposed distribution system under a maximum day plus fire flow conditions for the Concept Plans supply characteristics of the pressure zone in accordance with Technical Bulletins.
- 8. Prepare a Water Servicing Plan for the preferred Concept Plan.

3.1.4 Wastewater Infrastructure

- 1. Based on the sanitary sewer outlets inventoried as part of Task 2, assess residual capacities. Coordination Wasterwater Master Plan to be undertaken with City Staff.
- 2. Develop peak wastewater flows based on the land use and population projections for the different land uses associated with the Concept Plans as per the Sewer Design Guidelines.
- 3. Prepare a Sanitary Drainage Area Plan and Design Sheets for the preferred Concept Plan.
- 4. Review trunk sanitary sewer routes, establish preliminary invert elevations based on topography and existing outlets. Prepare Sanitary Servicing Plan and assess impact of phasing on infrastructure. Identify servicing constraints, potential crossing conflicts and adjust, as required once the Storm Servicing Plan has been completed.
- 5. Assess residual capacities, beyond the Study Area population.
- 6. Review Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station for capacity and potential upgrades. Coordination with the Wastewater Master Plan Project Manager to be undertaken in order to assess conceptual pumping upgrates that will be required to accommodate the expansion area.:
 - a. Summarize the existing pump station parameters.
 - b. Review of potential component upgrades as well as overflow requirements.
 - c. Review electrical changes needed to accommodate higher HP pumps and high-level electrical overview.
- d. Transient analysis review.
- 7. Summarize findings for Wastewater Component within reporting.

3.1.5 Storm Servicing and Stormwater Management

- 1. Based on the prior Task findings, confirm storm design criteria (quantity and quality) with the RVCA, MECP and the City and discuss potential impacts.
- 2. Review topographic survey and maps. Based on the storm sewer outlets inventoried as part of prior tasks, confirm outlet locations and inverts, and assess residual capacities and drainage patterns, etc.
- 3. Review existing conditions hydrological analysis to establish the baseline condition.
- 4. Finalize capacity assessment of existing surface outlets using desktop calculations.
- 5. Determine minor and major system drainage boundaries for the Concept Plans based on residual capacities of the existing outlets.
- 6. Carry out post-development Water Budget based on the Concept Plan. Identify and assess water budget deficits for the preferred Concept Plan.
- 7. In consultation with the hydrogeologist:
 - Investigate, at the conceptual level, the integration of low impact development (LID) strategies within the Study Area based on inputs from the hydrogeologist
 - · evaluate potential infiltration measures, and
 - assess conceptually the performance of the LID strategies and infiltration measures with respect to the potential water budget deficits.
- 8. Based on the minor and major system boundaries, prepare post-development Storm Drainage Area Plan and Servicing Layout for the preferred Concept Plan. Identify servicing constraints, potential crossing conflicts and adjust, as required.

- 9. Coordinate with the City Drainage Group regarding the Faulkner Drain and any requirements under the Ontario Drainage Act.
- 10. Prepare Storm Sewer Design Sheets and Drainage Area Plans for the preferred Concept Plan with appropriate runoff coefficients, assessment of trunk storm sewer inverts etc as per Sewer Design Guidelines.
- 11. Review and finalize potential end-of-pipe solutions that would satisfy the storm criteria (water quality and quantity) and the most suitable approach and siting (based on topography, soil type etc) for the preferred Concept Plan. Based on the siting of the facilities, establish footprint of the end-of-pipe facilities in accordance with the guidance described in Section 4 of the MECP SWMPDM.
- 12. Carry out a hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis of the proposed storm sewer system to evaluate the freeboard between the potential underside of footings and the 1:100 year storm. The analysis is to include the evaluation under the climate change event in accordance with the OSDG.
- 13. Assess impact of phasing on proposed storm infrastructure.
- 14. Summarize findings for Stormwater Management within the reporting

3.1.6 Water Budget

- 1. In consultation with the hydrogeological/geotechnical engineer, JFSA/DSEL will prepare a pre- and post-development water balance review (infiltration, runoff and evapotranspiration) for the site in accordance with the methodology summarized in Section 3.2 of the MECP's "Stormwater Management Planning & Design Manual, March 2003". This will include consideration of *Table 3.1 Hydrologic Cycle Component Values* and evaluation of 39 years of historical rainfall data from the Ottawa Airport via continuous hydrologic SWMHYMO model simulations. As per 4.7.1 (3.b) of the draft Official Plan.
- 2. Findings above will also be correlated to the mitigation of potential downstream impacts of the development.

3.1.7 Opinion of Probable Cost and Phasing

- 1. Coordination with other disciplines to finalize phasing for the Concept Plan in regard to servicing constraints.
- 2. Prepare an opinion of probable cost for municipal servicing for the preferred Concept Plan.

Marc Pichette, P.Eng.

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.



October 16, 2023

Hugo Lalonde Director, Land Development Caivan 3813 Borrisokaen Roade Ottawa Ontario K2J 4J4

Dear Mr. Lalonde:

Re: Stittsville South W-4 Urban Expansion Area, Class Environmental Assessment Requirements Overview

The new City of Ottawa Official Plan (OP) was approved on November 4, 2022 and added over 1,900 hectares to the urban area including the Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area (SSUEA) and identified by the City as Area W-4. The W-4 lands are shown on Schedule C17 of the Official Plan, excerpt below shown in Figure 1:




Figure 1: City of Ottawa Official Plan - Schedule C17

The W-4 lands consist of the following parcels and are more accurately shown on Figure 2:

- West Lands (6115 Flewellyn Road and 6070 Fernbank Road under the ownership of Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd.)
- South Lands (5993 Flewellyn Road and 6030 Fernbank Road under the ownership of Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc.)
- City SWMF lands
- 7 hold out parcels along Shea Road and Flewellyn Road
- Hydro One Network Inc. Corridor




Figure 2: W-4 Study Area

Existing Condition Reports for the W-4 Lands have been completed and submitted to the City of Ottawa for review, including but not limited to:

- Existing Conditions Report Servicing, DSEL, September 2023
- Stittsville South Expansion Lands Transportation Report, CGH, March 2023

A technical memorandum by DSEL (October 4, 2023), summarizing the findings of the engineering Existing Conditions and advancing preliminary servicing considerations for the future scoped Master Servicing Study for W-4 has also been submitted to the City of Ottawa. This includes the Shea Road PS Capacity upgrade wastewater project as recommended in the draft City of Ottawa Infrastructure Master Plan.



MH has reviewed the above noted documents and the purpose of this memo is to summarize the identified infrastructure projects as they relate to Class EA requirements. The following list identifies infrastructure projects to service the future development area for Caivan's Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area (SSUEA) along with the Class EA requirements as per Appendix 1 of the MCEA (March 2023).

- 1. Upgrades associated with an existing sanitary pump station (Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station). Upgrades anticipated to consist of:
 - a. Potential electrical service to building as well as instrumentation/wiring.
 - b. New generator & switchgear.
 - c. Potential bigger control building footprint to be assessed at design stage.
 - d. New pumps (change all 3 pumps from 30HP to 60HP) and associated variable frequency drives for power supply.
 - e. Upsize miscellaneous internal piping.
 - f. There is the potential that one of the existing forcemains may have to be upsized. This would also be assessed at the design stage in consultation with City staff.
 - g. Provision of a new sanitary emergency overflow pipe to lower sanitary hydraulic grade line constraints for the Caivan landholdings. The new overflow will the overflow from its current location to the existing 'Davidson SWM Pond' to a new SWM Pond associated with development of the Caivan landholdings.

Increase pumping station capacity by adding or replacing equipment and appurtenances, where new equipment is located in an existing building or structure & Extending of existing sewage collection system 'Exempt' from EA process

- 2. Stormwater management:
 - a. Potential to utilize an existing stormwater management pond (the adjacent 'Davidson SWM Pond') for initial phase of development. [Establish new or replace or expand an existing stormwater detention/retention pond where no additional property is required. 'Exempt' from EA process
 - b. Two new stormwater management facilities that will provide quality and quantity control to the Caivan SSUEA landholdings (a west pond and an east pond). Two facilities are required due to a physical site constraint imposed by the existing Faulkner Municipal Drain which bisects the Caivan landholding. Both new facilities will outlet to the Faulkner Municipal Drain. [Establish new or replace or expand an existing stormwater detention/retention pond where no additional property is required (i.e., owned by Caivan). 'Exempt' from EA process
 - c. LIDS –strategy/system may be considered. 'Exempt' from the EA process
- Potable water supply will be provided by a southward extension of existing watermains at multiple locations from the development areas to the north of the SSUEA. 'Exempt' from EA process
- 4. Transportation:



- **a.** Local roads which are required as a condition of approval on a site plan, consent, plan of subdivision or plan of condominium which will come into effect under the *Planning Act* prior to the construction of the road. **'Exempt' from EA process**
- **b.** New collector roads are required as a condition of approval on a plan of subdivision and/or the subdivision agreement which will come into effect under the *Planning Act* prior to the construction of the road.
- d. These projects would be 'Exempt' based on the results of the Archaeological Screening Process (Stage 3 AA conducted and no further archaeological assessment or mitigation study is required)

Accordingly, based on our review of the infrastructure requirements for the W-4 Urban Expansion Area, all projects are exempt from the Class EA.

Yours truly,

Kelly Roberts, Principal / Senior Environmental Planner

KRoberts@morrisonhershfield.com 613 739 2910 EXT. 1022303





120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT - SERVICING

FOR

STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH)
INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE
WEST) LTD.

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 21-1247

SEPTEMBER 2023 - 1ST SUBMISSION © DSEL

EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT - SERVICING FOR

STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	STUDY AREA	1
2.1	Location	1
2.2	Site Characteristics	2
3.0	BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS	3
4.0	EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICING	4
4.1	Wastewater Servicing	
4.2	Water Supply Servicing	7
4.3	Stormwater Servicing	
	4.3.1 Parade Drive Stormwater Management Facility	9 9
	4.3.5 Site Drainage	
5.0	OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS	11
5.1	Drainage Network	11
5.2	Water Quantity Control	11
5.3	Water Quality Control	11
5.4	Infiltration	11
5.5	Existing Servicing Infrastructure	12
	5.5.1 Wastewater Servicing	12
	5.5.2 Water Servicing	
6.0	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	14
6.1	Wastewater Servicing	14
6.2	Water Servicing	14
6.3	Stormwater Servicing	14

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Drawings
Appendix B	Sanitary
Appendix C	Water
Appendix D	Stormwater

EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT - SERVICING FOR

STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA

SEPTEMBER 2023 - 1ST SUBMISSION CITY OF OTTAWA PROJECT NO.: 21-1247

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. and Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd. (Caivan) have retained a Consultant Team to prepare documents to support the Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area (SSUEA)which will be implemented as an Amendment to the City of Ottawa Official Plan (OP) and removal of the Future Neighborhood Overlay on Schedule C17.

Per the City of Ottawa request, a Terms of Reference (TOR) document was prepared and validated by the City (TOR included in Appendix E for reference) to outline the servicing assessment approach for the subject lands. David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) has been retained to prepare a scoped Master Servicing Study (MSS) to outline water, wastewater, and stormwater management servicing strategies for the SSUEA. In advance of preparing the MSS, an Existing Conditions Report is required to evaluate and assess existing water resources and servicing infrastructure in the vicinity of the SSUEA, and to identify constraints and opportunities that will provide the baseline conditions of an Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

2.0 STUDY AREA

2.1 Location

The properties comprising the Caivan landholdings within the SSUEA are as follows and illustrated in Figure 1:

- ~18.8 ha 6115 Flewellyn Road;
- ~16.1 ha 6070 Fernbank Road;
- ~17.4 ha 5993 Flewellyn Road
- ~12.4 ha (6030 Fernbank Road) parcel and
- ~8.8 ha of holdout land parcels (including Hydro corridor owned lands west of Faulkner Drain) within the SSUEA study area.

The noted land parcels are now designated as Urban Expansion Area in the City of Ottawa Official Plan as of November 2022. As illustrated in the following figure, the overall development area is bound by Flewellyn Road to south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6 ("Edenwylde")) and an estate lot subdivision (Woodside Acres) to the west.



Figure 1: Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area - Location Plan

2.2 Site Characteristics

The subject site is currently undeveloped and is a mix of active/former farmland in the eastern areas and forested areas in the western portion. The overall area is bisected diagonally (north/south) by an existing a Hydro One 500kV utility corridor and an existing stormwater management facility is located centrally within the property and manages flows from a portion of the Edenwylde Subdivision.

In addition, a stormwater conveyance ditch originating from the development areas to the north, runs southward parallel to the east boundary of the Faulkner Property. The ditch officially transitions to being the Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD) approximately 215 m north of Flewellyn Road and then conveys flows eastward along Flewellyn Road.

For the land parcel west of the Hydro corridor the terrain generally trends lower from northwest to southeast with elevations ranging from 109 m to 103 m. For land area east of

the Hydro corridor the same southeast trend existing with elevations ranging from 104 m to 102 m at Flewellyn Road. The various Existing Conditions figures provided in the Appendices demonstrate the SSUEA site contours.

3.0 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

There are a variation of documents and reports that have been prepared in relation to lands surrounding the site. The documents include subwatershed studies of the Jock River, covering the areas south of the site, and servicing documents for the urban area north of the site.

- City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (City of Ottawa, October 2012) & Technical Bulletins (ISDTB-2014-01, PIEDTB-2016-01, ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-04 & ISTB-2019-02).
- City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (City of Ottawa, July 2010) & Technical Bulletins (ISD-2010-2, ISDTB-2014-2, ISTB-2018-02, & ISDTB-2021-03).
- Infrastructure Master Plan (City of Ottawa, 2013).
- West Urban Community Wastewater Collection System Master Servicing Plan (RV Anderson Associates Ltd, July 2012).
- > Stittsville Master Drainage Plan (A.J. Robinson, 1994).
- Low Impact Development Technical Guidance Report, Implementation in Areas with Potential Hydrogeological Constraints (Dillon Consulting and Aquafor Beech, February 2021).
- > Stormwater Planning and Design Manual (Ministry of the Environment, March 2003).
- ➤ Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Guidance Manual (Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Draft for Consultation January 2022).
- Jock River Reach 2 & Mud Creek Subwatershed Study Marshall Macklin Monaghan / WESA, May 2009
- Amendment to the Engineer's Report for the Faulkner Municipal Drain (Robinson, December 2020) & Addendum No. 1 (Robinson, March 2021).
- > Engineer's Report for the Flowing Creek Municipal Drain (A.J. Graham Engineering, December 1973).
- Flowing Creek Flood Risk Mapping from Flewellyn Road to Jock River (Rideau Valley Conservation Authority, May 8, 2017).
- > Stittsville South Area 6, City of Ottawa, Master Servicing Report & Stormwater Management Design Plan (Novatech/DSEL, December 2013).
- > Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Detailed Servicing & Stormwater Management Report (Novatech July 2016).
- > Sanitary Pump Station Pre-Design Report, Stittsville South (Novatech, July 2015)
- > Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station Design Brief (Novatech, May 6, 2016).

- Design Brief, Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 1 (5993 Flewellyn Road)
 (IBI Group, February 2018).
- Design Brief, Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 2 (5993 Flewellyn Road) (IBI Group, July 2020).
- Design Brief for the Stormwater Management Pond for the Davidson Lands (JFSA/DSEL, November 2017).
- Fernbank Community Design Plan, Master Servicing Study (Novatech, June 2009).
- Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development 5993, 6070 &
 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa (Paterson (PG5570-2) January 2022).

4.0 EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICING

4.1 Wastewater Servicing

A recently (2017) constructed sanitary pump station (Stittsville South Area 6 Sanitary Pumping Station – also referred to as the Shea Road Pump Station (SRPS)) associated with the recent new development to the north is located along the north boundary centrally to the land parcels being reviewed (immediately north of an existing stormwater management pond (Davidson Pond)). As per the Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA #3415-ADWLJG issued September 21, 2016. See **Appendix B**) for the pump station, the initial firm capacity of the station was 42 L/s with recently completed pump expansions to an ultimate firm design capacity of 84 L/s (December 2022).

A 450mm diameter sanitary sewer connects to the existing Shea Road sanitary pump station. The existing 450mm diameter inlet sanitary sewer has an inlet pipe elevation of 98.72 m at the last manhole upstream of the station and wet well invert elevation of 96.50 m. The inlet sewer has a residual capacity of approximately 80% which would allow for an additional \sim 390 L/s of sanitary flows.

Previously, the SRPS directed forcemain flows northward to the existing Liard Street pumping station. As of December 2022 the SRPS now directs flows directly to the recently completed extension of the Fernbank Lands trunk sanitary sewer. Additionally, there is an existing low lift pumping station located on nearby Friendly Crescent which provides service to 70 dwellings and discharges to the Hartsmere Drive sanitary sewer through a 100mm diameter forcemain. Ultimately this low lift station will be decommissioned and the sanitary flows redirected to the SRPS. Timing for this decommissioning is still pending.

As per the Master Servicing Report for the *Stittsville South – Area 6* development, there is excess capacity available in the Fernbank Lands trunk sewer (see report excerpt in *Appendix B*). The *Area 6* study summarized that the Fernbank Trunk was designed for a peak flow of 528 L/s (*Fernbank CDP Lands – New Trunk Sewer* sanitary design sheet provided in *Appendix B* for reference) and had a capacity of 670 L/s (excess capacity of 142 L/s). The Area 6 report further summarized that the *Area 6* and Liard Street P.S. (monitored) flows to the Fernbank Trunk totaled approximately 85 L/s and 39 L/s respectively and would utilize a portion of this capacity. However, the original design criteria of the Fernbank Trunk system (and Area 6)

was based on older City of Ottawa design criteria. When considering the new criteria adopted by the City after those designs the excess capacity available is increased.

Table 1
Sanitary Flow Review

Network Reviewed	Area (ha)	Pop.	PF (7)	Q _{units} (L/s)	Q _{Com/Inst} (L/s)	Q _{I/I} (L/s)	Q _{TOT} (L/s)	Diff. (L/s)
Old City Parameters for Sanitary (1)								
Fernbank CDP Lands (2)	551.8	30,169	2.47	302.5	71.0	154.5	528.0	
Stittsville Area 6 ⁽³⁾	70.74	4,502	3.29	59.94	2.37	19.81	82.1	
Liard St P.S. (monitored) (4)	-						39.0	1
New City Parameters for Sa	New City Parameters for Sanitary (5)							
Fernbank CDP Lands	551.8	30,169	2.18	213.1	39.76	182.09	435.0	-93.0
Stittsville Area 6	70.74	4,502	2.83	41.2	1.33	23.34	66.0	-16.1
Liard St P.S. (monitored) (6)							39.0	0

- (1) Old City Parameters: 350 L/day; 0.28 L/s/ha infiltration; Comm./Inst. Flow = 50,000 l/ha/day
- (2) Sanitary design sheet excerpt provided in Appendix B. From "Fernbank Community Design Plan Master Servicing Study (June 2009)"
- (3) Sanitary design sheet excerpt from updated IB design for Edenwylde development. From City submission 2020-04-09"
- (4) Liard Street pump station monitored flow summary from the "West Urban Community Wastewater Collection System Master Servicing Plan" by RV Anderson Associates Ltd., dated July 2012 and as summarized in the Area 6 MSS.
- (5) New City Parameters: 280 L/day; 0.33 L/s/ha infiltration; Comm./Inst. Flow = 28,000 l/ha/day; updated Peak Factor correction factor
- (6) Same value as prior as it was monitored information.
- (7) Peaking Factor

From the table above the flow summarized in the Fernbank Lands trunk is reduced from 528.0 L/s to $\sim 435.0 \text{ L/s}$ (-93.0 L/s) based on review with new parameters.

The Area 6 land development flows are reduced from 82.1 L/s to \sim 66.0 L/s (-16.1 L/s).

The Area 6 MSS summarized excess capacity at peak flow in the Fernbank Lands trunk at 142 L/s. With the new parameters this excess capacity increases to 235 L/s based on the above table with 105 L/s of that taken up by the Area 6 and the Liard St. P.S. flows (130 L/s capacity remaining).

Construction of the Fernbank Lands trunk extension up to the Area 6 development was completed/commissioned in December 2022.

The SRPS details are as follows:

- pump station control building complete with mechanical and electrical systems, process piping, valves, control panels, SCADA system, odour control system, swab launchers and appurtenances;
- > one (1) 2400 mm diameter FRP wet well, complete with valves, couplings and appurtenances; with three (3) pumps with each pump capable of delivering 42

- liters/second at a TDH of 29 meters for an ultimate firm capacity of 84 liters/second;
- wastewater flows are pumped via dual 200 mm diameter HDPE DR13.5 sanitary forcemains to a to a new discharge chamber on Fernbank Road outletting flows to the newly constructed Fernbank Sanitary Trunk Sewer (completed/commissioned in December 2022);
- one (1) 2400 mm x 1800 mm concrete by-pass chamber, complete with valves, couplings and appurtenances;
- one (1) 1800 mm diameter concrete by-pass manhole, complete with valves, couplings and appurtenances;
- one (1) 1800 mm diameter concrete emergency overflow manhole, complete with one (1) primary measuring device consisting of broad crest weir complete with ultrasonic level recorder (referred to as SAN MH 97);
- > one (1) concrete encased underground dedicated commercial hydro service;
- one (1) 170 KW self-enclosed diesel generator on a reinforced concrete pad adjustment to the pump station control building, complete with diesel fuel tank, valves and controls;
- emergency sanitary sewer overflow consisting of a 600 mm diameter sewer to the adjacent Davidson Stormwater Management facility located south of the SRPS (outlet elevation 103.40 m).

4.2 Water Supply Servicing

4.2.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The SSUEL study area will be part of the City's Zone 3W of the City of Ottawa water distribution network (see Drawing 3 for reference). The pressure zone receives supply from the Campeau Drive and Glen Cairn Pump stations. The Stittsville Elevated Tank provides balancing storage during peak usage and fire flow conditions.

Existing watermains to the north of the subject lands represent the only option for water servicing. These include:

- ➤ The major water supply line in the vicinity of the development is a 400mm diameter watermain along Fernbank Road;
- An existing 250mm diameter watermain located within the Parade Drive right-of-way (ROW), immediately north of the Maguire and Faulkner land parcels. A future southbound ROW block is located between civic addresses 714 and 720 Parade Drive;
- An existing 250mm diameter watermain is located within the Aridus Crescent ROW which is north of the Davidson Lands parcel. An existing 50mm water service within a servicing block from Aridus Crescent to the SRPS pump station is also installed facilitating water supply to that facility;
- An existing 200mm diameter watermain located within the Painted Sky Way ROW at the northwest portion of the Davidson land parcel; and
- An existing 200mm diameter watermain location within the Ocala Street ROW north of the northeastern portion of the Davidson land parcel.

4.2.2 Existing Watermains and Operating Pressures

In relation to the Stittsville Area 6 development areas to the north, the water supply was reviewed for two separate analyses:

- Stantec Consulting Ltd. prepared a hydraulic analysis of the proposed western portion
 of the Area 6 lands in their report titled "Stittsville Area 6 Phase 1 & 2 Potable
 Water Hydraulic Assessment (September 2, 2015). This model was based on the City
 up to date model that was updated for the 2013 Water Master Plans with current (in
 2015) conditions and future conditions (projected 2031 conditions from the 2013
 Water Master model) analyzed.
- IBI Group prepared a hydraulic analysis as part of their "Design Brief Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 1" (February 2018) servicing reporting for the eastern portion of the Stittsville Area 6 lands. This analysis was based on boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa (see report excerpts in *Appendix C*).

The Stantec analysis above notes that head losses under peak demands could reduce minimum pressure to below guideline requirements at higher elevations (i.e. ground elevations greater than 124m). However, future planned connections within the Fernbank Lands development area will mitigate the issue.

During average day demands ground elevations less than 106m may experience system pressures greater than the upper 80psi limit specified in City guidelines. As noted in Section

2.2, existing site elevations range from 109 m to 103 m (for areas west of the Hydro One corridor) and from 104 m to 102 m in the eastern areas. Should higher pressures be encountered in the southern areas of the development pressure reducing valves would be required.

Water servicing needs in the SSUEA will be evaluated as part of the future MSS review of the development area in consultation with City staff via the generation of hydraulic boundary conditions.

4.3 Stormwater Servicing

4.3.1 Parade Drive Stormwater Management Facility

The residential development area to the north of the Maguire/Faulkner properties is serviced via an existing 1.9 ha stormwater pond block adjacent to Parade Drive. This stormwater facility has the following characteristics:

Drainage Area = \sim 33.7ha Permanent Pool Elevation = 103.50 m Extended Detention Elevation = 103.70 m 100-Year Elevation = 105.33 m

The facility outlets to an existing ditch located east of the storm outlet. The ditch is approximately 405 m upstream of the commencement of the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

4.3.2 Davidson Stormwater Management Facility

The existing development to the north of the Davidson/Eder properties is serviced by the central "Davidson" stormwater management pond. The existing Davidson stormwater pond occupies approximately 3.2 ha of land and is partially located under the existing Hydro One tower line. The ponds are sized for their respective areas with no specific additional areas considered. This stormwater facility has the following characteristics:

Drainage Area = ~40.6 ha
Permanent Pool Elevation = 101.50 m
Extended Detention Elevation = 102.10 m
100-Year Elevation = 103.17 m

The facility outlets from the south end of its configuration to a ditched outlet that conveys the flows southwest to the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

4.3.3 Faulkner Municipal Drain

The Faulkner Municipal Drain (FMD) generally bisects the whole of the development area in half. The FMD conveys flows from north to south to the north side of Flewellyn Road (i.e. roadside ditch) then heads eastward and then southwards along the west side of Shea Road. The FMD drain begins at approximately 215 m north of Flewellyn Road (within the development lands) and ultimately discharges to Flowing Creek Municipal Drain 5.45 km away (approximately 330 m south of the intersection of Shea Road and Brownlee Road). Figure 6.1 (Maintenance Sections and Section Drainage Areas) from the Engineer's Report is provided in **Appendix D** for reference.

The Engineer's Report for the FMD was recently amended in December 2020 by Robinson Consultants Inc. to accommodate the changes in land use from rural, or agricultural, to urban development. Additionally, some modifications of the main drain were also documented in order to relocate a portion, lower the profile in some locations, and modify the cross-section where required in order to increase capacity and reduce erosion potential. No specific erosion thresholds are noted for the FMD in the Engineer's Report.

Subsequent to the amended Report, there was a minor addendum in March 2021 to account for an adjustment in the prescribed value for lands utilized for construction of the drain and the resultant modified value of allowances.

The FMD model will be utilized during the design of future stormwater management facilities to confirm that there will be no negative impacts to water levels or capacity of the drain in the post-development condition. JFSA has reviewed the existing conditions as a component of their "*Pre-Development Hydraulic and Hydrologic Study*" (provided in **Appendix D**) and noted some private access culverts along Flewellyn Road are close to or at capacity as summarized in the Engineer's Report Table 4.2. These culverts can be revisited in association with future consultation with the Drainage Engineer is association with the advancement of the development area.

4.3.4 Flowing Creek Municipal Drain

As noted in the prior section, the FMD outlets to the Flowing Creek Municipal Drain (FCMD) south of Brownlee Road. The Engineer's Report for FCMD was prepared by A.J. Graham Engineering Consultants Limited in December 1973 and was constructed in 1974 by the former Township of Goulbourn. There are no known issues with the FCMD.

The Rideau Valley Conservation Authority completed Flood Risk Mapping for Flowing Creek in May 2017 (covering from Flewellyn Road to the confluence with the Jock River). The RVCA report makes reference to some possible shallow field flood areas southwest of Akins/Shea Road, however, it goes on to detail the 'considerable uncertainty' as to how this may occur and whether there would be any material impact to the adjacent FMD (see RVCA report excerpts in *Appendix D* for reference). However, it is presumed that the Drainage Engineer for the FMD has considered this potentiality based on their recent FMD improvements and knowledge of the FCMD Flood Risk Mapping results.

4.3.5 Site Drainage

For the Caivan landholdings west of the Hydro one corridor the site topography generally drains eastward and southward with drainage ultimately being conveyed to the FMD which bisects the development area.

Similarly, the development area east of the Hydro One corridor also drains eastward and southward to the portion of the FMD along the northern Flewellyn Road right-of-way.

JFSA has reviewed the development area's existing conditions as a component of their "Pre-Development Hydraulic and Hydrologic Study" provided in **Appendix D** for reference.

There are no minor storm sewer systems that the development area is tributary to.

5.0 OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

5.1 Drainage Network

The review of site topography has generally shown that surface water is conveyed to adjacent perimeter roadside ditches and the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

As a component of the review of storm servicing for the future MSS, any adjustment to drainage boundaries or outlets will require consultation with appropriate agencies. Generally speaking, the development only has one viable stormwater outlet which is the FMD and stormwater management facilities will be located at the southern boundary of study area due to site topography. Therefore any adjustments will need to be coordinated with the Drainage Engineer and any processes completed in accordance with the Drainage Act. This includes consideration of the Flowing Creek Municipal Drain (FCMD) (which the FMD connects to) and ultimately the Jock River.

Lands which comprise the SSUEA are not restricted by floodplain areas from any major watercourses. Preliminary review of the FMD HEC-RAS modelling associated with the recently updated Engineer's Report (see Section 4.3.3) has indicated that there may be private access culverts on the FMD along Flewellyn Road that could constrain flows during the 100-year event and these will be further assessed in future design stages in consultation with the Drainage Engineer.

5.2 Water Quantity Control

Water quantity controls for the development area will be impacted by various site constraints (i.e. infiltration potential, development density, etc) as well as downstream capacities. At minimum, post-development peak flows within the FMD are not to exceed pre-development levels for all storms up to the 100-year event. Generally this will require review of the FMD and FCMD based on the on-site controls implemented and also manage runoff volumes so as not to create downstream impacts. Prior consultation with the RVCA for other development areas tributary to the Jock River has indicated that there are no quantity control required within the Jock River Reach 2 subwatershed. Updated subwatershed reporting is currently a work in progress.

5.3 Water Quality Control

Water quality control for the development area will have to be in accordance with the Jock River Reach 2 & Mud Creek Subwatershed Study. Similar to the adjacent development areas recently advanced, this would mean that the requirement is for an enhanced protection level (80% TSS removal) of water quality treatment.

5.4 Infiltration

The Hydrogeological review completed by Paterson Group characterized the hydrogeological condition of the SSUEA with respect to bedrock and surficial geology, aquifers, aquitards, horizontal and vertical flow patterns, existing groundwater use, and aquifer vulnerability. The report generally summarizes that the overburden and bedrock within the SSUEA have hydraulic conductivity values ranging from 4.2×10^{-6} m/sec to 2.2×10^{-5} m/sec (moderate hydraulic conductivity) and 4.3×10^{-7} m/sec to 1.6×10^{-4} , respectively (refer to the *Table 2* summary from the Paterson report in *Appendix D*). Field saturated conductivity values from Paterson's *Table 3* are also provided. Highest surficial field saturated values were observed

within the southwestern portion of the subject site indicating that this area will have more permeable characteristics than the northeastern areas and as such are more conducive for providing LID measures for water balance and could be considered for optimizing the rate of infiltration via typical lot level and conveyance Best Management Practices (BMPs).

JFSA has reviewed the development area's existing conditions as a component of their "*Pre-Development Hydraulic and Hydrologic Study*" provided in **Appendix D** for reference. JFSA's water budget modelling considered the shallow infiltration results, as reported by Paterson, in their analysis. The JFSA detailed PCSWMM model was run for 39 year, from 1967 to 2007, using hourly rainfall data from Environment Canada's Ottawa International Airport monitoring station. Table 1 from the JFSA report are provided in *Appendix D* for reference. The table outlines the water budget breakdown of the development area of the SSUEA. Based on the simulations, JFSA assessed that the eastern portion of the development area (east of the Faulkner Drain) will have 17% of the annual rainfall resulting in runoff with 63% evaporating and 20% infiltrating.

Mapping from the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan indicates that some portions of the development area may fall under the fringes of the Significant Groundwater Recharge Area (SGRA) mapping. The site review by Paterson has indicated that the high Rock Quality Designation (RQD) of the bedrock within the site area supports an interpretation that the significance of the recharge to the bedrock aquifer is minimal.

5.5 Existing Servicing Infrastructure

The following opportunities and constraints have been identified for the SSUEA and will be reviewed in further detail in a future MSS.

5.5.1 Wastewater Servicing

Wastewater servicing for the SSUEA is governed by the capacity of the SRPS (and its forcemains) and ultimately by the available residual capacity in the existing recently completed Fernbank Lands Sanitary Trunk sewer. As per the Master Servicing Report for the Stittsville South – Area 6 development, there is excess capacity available in the Fernbank Lands trunk sewer. The Area 6 study summarized that the Fernbank Trunk was designed for a peak flow of 528 L/s and had a capacity of 670 L/s (excess capacity of 142 L/s). The prior sanitary system flows were based on older City of Ottawa parameters. When evaluations flows based on updated parameters in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01 the excess capacity is theoretically increased to 235 L/s.

The existing SRPS also has an emergency overflow outlet (internal weir elevation of 103.40m – see Novatech Drawing No. 113004-PS-SVC in *Appendix B*) to the adjacent "Davidson" stormwater management pond to the south. This overflow will have to be assessed at detailed design to determine if a new overflow is required based on projected underside of footing elevations during the future MSS preparation.

As noted in Section 4.1 the existing sanitary sewer inlet at the SRPS is at an invert elevation of 98.72m. Existing ground elevations in the southeast portion of the SSUEA are as low as ~ 101.60 m which imposes some constraint in terms of fill import required to facilitate a gravity system that would conveys sanitary flows all the way to the SRPS approximately 1km away.

5.5.2 Water Servicing

Based on prior analyses undertaken for development areas within Area 6 to the north of the subject site, lower water pressures are anticipated during peak hours in areas with ground elevations of 124m or higher and high pressures during average day demands for areas with ground elevations lower than 106m. A future detailed analysis to be prepared in conjunction with the MSS will determine where mitigation may be required within the watermain network.

5.5.3 Stormwater Servicing

There are currently no existing or planned stormwater management facilities associated with the SSUEA lands. The overall site currently drains to the Faulkner Municipal Drain via sheet drainage and various periphery roadside drainage ditches. The FMD poses a constraint for the development area given that it bisects the central portion of the development area while topography for the lands areas on either site have the same northwest to southeast drainage pattern. This drainage pattern does provide the opportunity to have a wet pond facility adjacent to the FMD as an outlet(s) at the southern boundary of the development areas but detailed review during MSS preparation will fully assess whether one or two facilities would ultimately be required.

6.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Wastewater Servicing

The sanitary flows from the SSUEA will require conveyance by sanitary pumping to convey flows to the Fernbank Lands Trunk sewer. Gravity flows to the existing SSUEA are possible but are likely constrained by the extent of fill importation required to facilitate sufficient sewer cover on a gravity system in the lower (southern) areas of the SSUEA based on site topography. This includes having appropriate freeboard over the sanitary overflow for the SRPS.

The MSS should evaluate proposed servicing alternatives should fill importation be deemed excessive (i.e. new pumping facility, relocation of the SRPS, etc). In addition, the MSS should review the SRPS sanitary overflow condition and assess whether a new overflow elevation to another location (i.e. a new SWM facility) is warranted to further mitigate site grading conditions.

6.2 Water Servicing

At the Master Servicing Study stage the water supply for the SSUEA will be assessed via a hydraulic assessment of the proposed distribution network in order to confirm sufficient water supply is available, and within the required pressure ranges, under future demands during average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions. Watermain boundary conditions will be requested from the City of Ottawa and the analysis will be completed in accordance with the most current design guidelines and technical bulletins.

6.3 Stormwater Servicing

There are currently no planned stormwater management facilities associated within the SSUEA. The local drainage for the subject area is ultimately conveyed by the FMD which bisects the SSSUEA lands.

The site topography has natural gradients from the northwest to the southeast lending to the practical implementation of stormwater management facility/facilities in the southern areas of the site, with an outlet to the FMD. It is anticipated that any proposed facility/facilities could provide both quantity and quality control to meet required targets. Quantity control would be required to maintain the integrity of the FMD and mitigate any increases in water levels within that system. The MSS will detail the target requirements of the facilities.

A review of options for adjustments to the FMD, where it bisects the site, can be reviewed as part of the MSS but there appears to be limited opportunities due to the location of the FMD in relation to holdout properties not under control by the proponent.

Analyses completed by Paterson Group and JFSA have shown areas of moderate hydraulic conductivity. The MSS will quantify the post-development water balance to summarize that a water balance condition is met.

Prepared by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**





Per: Kevin L. Murphy, P.Eng.


© DSEL 20230912_stittsville_south_exconditions_final.docx

APPENDIX A

DRAWINGS







APPENDIX B

WASTEWATER



Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Action en matière de changement climatique

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER 3415-ADQLJG Issue Date: September 21, 2016

Stittsville South Inc. and 1384341 Ontario Ltd. 1737 Woodward Drive, 2nd Floor

Ottawa, Ontario K2C 0P9

Site Location: Stittsville South Area 6 Sanitary Pumping Station

5970 Fernbank Road and part of 5993 Flewellyn Road

City of Ottawa, Ontario

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the <u>Environmental Protection Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

Sanitary Pump Station and Forcemain

- one (1) 3000 mm diameter and 8.65 metre deep wet well with provision for three (3) submersible non-clog wastewater pumps, each pump designed for 42 litres/second at a Total Dynamic Head (TDH) of 29 metres, complete with trash basket, pipe rails, level regulation, force air blower unit and appurtenances;
- two (2) pumps will be initially installed with each pump capable of delivering 42 litres/second at a TDH of 29 metres for an initial firm capacity of 42 litres/second;
- the third pump will be added through an amendment to the ECA once development flows approach 42 litres/second to bring the pump station to its ultimate firm capacity of 84 litres/second;
- approximately 870 metres of dual 200 mm diameter HDPE DR13.5 sanitary forcemains originating at the pump station control building and terminating at the existing sanitary sewer on Fernbank Road;
- one (1) 2400 mm x 1800 mm concrete discharge manhole, complete with Swab Catcher, replacing the existing sanitary MH 401 on Fernbank Road. Dual forcemains will discharge to this new manhole;
- pump station control building complete with mechanical and electrical systems, process piping, valves, control panels, SCADA system, odour control system, swab launchers and appurtenances;

- one (1) 170 KW self-enclosed diesel generator (to be registered under Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR)) on a reinforced concrete pad adjustment to the pump station control building complete with diesel fuel tank, valves and controls;
- one (1) 2400 mm x 1800 mm concrete by-pass chamber complete with valves, couplings and appurtenances;

Sanitary Sewers Pump Station

- approximately 4.8 metres of 450 mm diameter sanitary sewer @ 2.55% from Sanitary MH 99 to wet well;
- approximately 18 metres of 200 mm diameter sanitary forcemain HDPE 13.5 from SAN MH 99 to By-pass Chamber;
- approximately 18.7 metres of 600 mm diameter sanitary sewer from SAN MH 99 to SAN MH 97;

Interim Emergency Sanitary Sewer Overflow

approximately 26.6 metres of 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer from sanitary MH 97 to the existing Faulkner Ditch. Elevation of emergency overflow in sanitary MH 97 is 104.27m;

Permanent Emergency Sanitary Sewer Overflow

the permanent Emergency Sanitary Sewer Overflow will discharge to the future Davidson Stormwater Management Facility which is anticipated to be constructed within the next 2-4 years;

- the permanent emergency sanitary sewer overflow will consist of 3 metres of 600 mm diameter sewer from sanitary MH 97 to the future stormwater management facility. The elevation of the emergency overflow in MH 97 is 103.40m:
- provision to adjust the elevation of the permanent emergency sanitary overflow in MH 97 within a range of 102.80m to 103.70m based on the final 100-year water level in the future Davidson Stormwater Management Facility;
- one (1) primary measuring device in MH 97 consisting of a broad crest weir complete with ultrasonic level recorder;
- once permanent emergency sanitary sewer overflow is established, the interim overflow will be abandoned;

including erosion/sedimentation control measures during construction and all other controls and appurtenances essential for the proper operation of the aforementioned Works;

all in accordance with the application from the Stittsville South Inc. and 1384341 Ontario Ltd., dated March 03, 2016, and all other supporting documents, final plans and specifications prepared by Novatech.

For the purpose of this environmental compliance approval, the following definitions apply:

"Approval" means this entire document including the application and any supporting documents listed in any schedules in this Approval;

"BOD5" (also known as TBOD5) means five day biochemical oxygen demand measured in an unfiltered sample and includes carbonaceous and nitrogenous oxygen demand;

"Director" means a person appointed by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the Environmental Protection Act for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act;

"E. Coli" refers to the thermally tolerant forms of Escherichia that can survive at 44.5 degrees Celsius;

"Emergency Situation" means a structural, mechanical or electrical failure that causes a temporary reduction in the capacity of the sanitary sewage pumping station or an unforeseen flow condition that may result in:

- a) danger to the health or safety of any person; or
- b) injury or damage to any property, or serious risk of injury or damage to any property.

"EPA" means the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.E.19, as amended;

"Event" in the context the sanitary sewage pumping station located outside a Sewage Treatment Plant, means an action or occurrence, at the sanitary sewage pumping station that causes a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow. An Event ends when there is no recurrence of a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow in the 12-hour period following the last Sewage Pumping Station Overflow. Two Events are separated by at least 12 hours during which there has been no recurrence of a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow;

"Limited Operational Flexibility" (LOF) means the modifications that the Owner is permitted to make to the Works under this Approval;

"Ministry" means the ministry of the government of Ontario responsible for the Environmental Protection Act and the Ontario Water Resources Act and includes all officials, employees or other persons acting on its behalf;

"Notice of Modifications" means the form entitled "Notice of Modifications to Sewage Works" included in Schedule "A";

"Owner" means the Stittsville South Inc. and 1384341 Ontario Ltd., and includes their successors and assignees;

"Professional Engineer" means a person entitled to practise as a Professional Engineer in the Province of Ontario under a licence issued under the Professional Engineers Act;

"Sewage Pumping Station Overflow" means any discharge from a sanitary sewage pumping station located outside a Sewage Treatment Plant that does not undergo any treatment or only receives partial treatment before it is discharged to the environment;

"Substantial Completion" has the same meaning as "substantial performance" in the Construction Lien Act;

"Water Supervisor" means the person appointed as Water Supervisor of the Ottawa office of the Ministry;

"Works" means the sewage works described in the Owner's application(s) and this Approval.

You are hereby notified that this environmental compliance approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (1) The Owner shall ensure that any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the Works is notified of this Approval and the Conditions herein and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure any such person complies with the same.
- (2) The designation of the The City of Ottawa as the operating authority of the site on the application for approval of the Works does not relieve the Owner from the responsibility of complying with any and all of the Conditions of this Approval.
- (3) Except as otherwise provided by these Conditions, the Owner shall design, build, install, operate and maintain the Works in accordance with the description given in this Approval, and the application for approval of the Works.
- (4) Where there is a conflict between a provision of any submitted document referred to in this Approval and the Conditions of this Approval, the Conditions in this Approval shall take precedence, and where there is a conflict between the listed submitted documents, the document bearing the most recent date shall prevail.
- (5) Where there is a conflict between the listed submitted documents, and the application, the application shall take precedence unless it is clear that the purpose of the document was to amend the application.
- (6) The Conditions of this Approval are severable. If any Condition of this Approval, or the application of any requirement of this Approval to any circumstance, is held invalid or unenforceable, the application of such Condition to other circumstances and the remainder of this Approval shall not be affected thereby.
- (7) The issuance of, and compliance with the Conditions of this Approval does not:
 - (a) relieve any person of any obligation to comply with any provision of any applicable statute, regulation or other legal requirement, including, but not limited to, the obligation to obtain approval from the local conservation authority necessary to construct or operate the sewage Works; or
 - (b) limit in any way the authority of the Ministry to require certain steps be taken to require the

Owner to furnish any further information related to compliance with this Approval.

2. <u>EXPIRY OF APPROVAL</u>

(1) This Approval will cease to apply to those parts of the new Works which have not been constructed within **five** (5) **years** of the date of this Approval.

3. CHANGE OF OWNER

- (1) The Owner shall notify the Director, in writing, of any of the following changes within **thirty (30)** days of the change occurring:
 - (a) change of Owner;
 - (b) change of address of the Owner;
 - (c) change of partners where the Owner is or at any time becomes a partnership, and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the <u>Business Names Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, c. B17 shall be included in the notification to the Director;
 - (d) change of name of the corporation where the Owner is or at any time becomes a corporation, and a copy of the most current information filed under the <u>Corporations Information Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, c. C39 shall be included in the notification to the Director.

4. UPON SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF THE SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

- (1) Upon Substantial Completion of the sewage pumping station, the Owner shall prepare a statement, certified by a Professional Engineer, that the sewage pumping station was constructed in accordance with this Approval, and shall make the written statement available to the Ministry, upon request.
- (2) Within **one** (1) **year** of Substantial Completion of the sewage pumping station, a set of as-built drawings showing the sewage pumping station "as constructed" shall be prepared. These drawings shall be kept up to date through revisions undertaken from time to time and a copy shall be retained at the sewage pumping station for the operational life of the sewage pumping station.

5. <u>SEWAGE PUMPING STATION OVERFLOW</u>

- (1) Any Sewage Pumping Station Overflow is prohibited, except:
 - (a) in an Emergency Situation;
 - (b) where the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow is a direct and unavoidable result of a planned maintenance procedure, the Owner notified the Water Supervisor **fifteen** (15) **days** prior to the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow and the Water Supervisor has given written consent of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow; or,

- (c) where the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow is planned for research or training purposes, the discharger notified the Water Supervisor **fifteen (15) days** prior to the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow and the Water Supervisor has given written consent of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.
- (2) The Owner shall forthwith notify the Spills Action Centre (SAC) at 1-800-268-6060 or e-mail at moe.sac.moe@ontario.ca and the Medical Officer of Health of every Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event. This notice shall include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - (a) the date and time at which the Event(s) started,
 - (b) duration of the Event(s);
 - (c) the location of the Event(s);
 - (d) the measured or estimated volume of the Event(s) (unless the Event(s) is/are ongoing); and
 - (e) the reason for the Event (s).
- (3) The Owner shall submit Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event Reports to the Ministry's local office on an Annual basis, no later than forty-five (45) days following the end of the calendar year. Event Reports shall be in an electronic format specified by the Ministry. In each Event Report the Owner shall include, at a minimum, the following information on any Event(s) that occurred during the preceding year:
 - (a) the date and time at which the Event(s) started,
 - (b) duration of the Event(s);
 - (c) the location of the Event(s);
 - (d) the measured or estimated volume of the Event(s) (unless the Event(s) is/are ongoing); and
 - (e) the reason for the Event(s).
- (4) The Owner shall use best efforts to collect a representative sample consisting of a minimum of two (2) grab samples of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow and have it analysed for parameters outlined in Table 1 of Condition 7 (2) using the protocols specified in Condition 7 (3), one at the beginning of the Event and the second approximately near the end of the Event, to best reflect the effluent quality of such Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.
- (5) The Owner shall maintain a record of all Sewage Pumping Station Overflow(s), which shall contain, at a minimum, the types of information set out in Condition 5 (2 (a)) to 5 (2 (e)) in respect of each Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.

6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

- (1) The Owner shall exercise due diligence in ensuring that, at all times, the Works and the related equipment and appurtenances used to achieve compliance with this Approval are properly operated and maintained. Proper operation and maintenance shall include effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training, including training in all procedures and other requirements of this Approval and the Act and regulations, adequate laboratory facilities, process controls and alarms and the use of process chemicals and other substances used in the Works.
- (2) The Owner shall prepare an operations manual within **six** (6) **months** of Substantial Completion of the sewage pumping station, that includes, but not necessarily limited to, the following information:
 - (a) operating procedures for routine operation of the sewage pumping station;
 - (b) inspection programs, including frequency of inspection, for the sewage pumping station and the methods or tests employed to detect when maintenance is necessary;
 - (c) repair and maintenance programs, including the frequency of repair and maintenance for the sewage pumping station;
 - (d) procedures for the inspection and calibration of monitoring equipment;
 - (e) a spill prevention control and countermeasures plan, consisting of contingency plans and procedures for dealing with equipment breakdowns, potential spills and any other abnormal situations, including notification of the Water Supervisor; and
 - (f) procedures for receiving, responding and recording public complaints, including recording any follow-up actions taken.
- (3) The Owner shall maintain the operations manual current and retain a copy at the location of the sewage pumping station for the operational life of the sewage pumping station. The Owner shall make the manual available to the Ministry, upon request.
- (4) The Owner shall make all manuals, plans, records, data, procedures and supporting documentation available to the Ministry, upon request.

7. MONITORING AND RECORDING

The Owner shall, upon the issuance of this Approval, carry out the following monitoring program:

- (1) All samples and measurements taken for the purposes of this Approval are to be taken at a time and in a location characteristic of the quality and quantity of the effluent stream over the time period being monitored.
- (2) Samples shall be collected at the following sampling points, at the frequency specified, by means of

the specified sample type and analysed for each parameter listed and all results recorded:

Table 1 - Monitoring during a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event (Samples to be collected from the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow sewer near the sewage pumping station)											
Sample Type	Grab										
Parameters	BOD5, Total Suspended Solids, Total Phosphorus, E. Coli (E. Coli samples may be limited to overflows occurring between Apr 1 and Oct 31)										

- (3) The methods and protocols for sampling, analysis and recording shall conform, in order of precedence, to the methods and protocols specified in the following:
 - (a) the Ministry's Procedure F-10-1, "Procedures for Sampling and Analysis Requirements for Municipal and Private Sewage Treatment Works (Liquid Waste Streams Only), as amended from time to time by more recently published editions;
 - (b) the Ministry's publication "Protocol for the Sampling and Analysis of Industrial/Municipal Wastewater" (January 1999), ISBN 0-7778-1880-9, as amended from time to time by more recently published editions; and
 - (c) the publication "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" (21st edition), as amended from time to time by more recently published editions.

8. <u>REPORTING</u>

- (1) **Fifteen (15) days** prior to the date of a planned Sewage Pumping Station Overflow being conducted pursuant to Condition 5 and as soon as possible for an unplanned Sewage Pumping Station Overflow, the Owner shall notify the Water Supervisor in writing of the pending start date, in addition to an assessment of the potential adverse effects on the environment and the duration of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.
- (2) In addition to the obligations under Part X of the Environmental Protection Act, (which includes contacting the Spills Action Centre (SAC) at 1-800-268-6060 or e-mail at moe.sac.moe@ontario.ca), the Owner shall, within **ten (10) working days** of the occurrence of any reportable spill as defined in Ontario Regulation 675/98, Bypass or loss of any product, by-product, intermediate product, oil, solvent, waste material or any other polluting substance into the environment, (with the exception of a sanitary sewage discharged during an Event), submit a full written report of the occurrence to the Water Supervisor describing the cause and discovery of the spill or loss, clean-up and recovery measures taken, preventative measures to be taken and schedule of implementation.
- (3) The Owner shall prepare and submit a report to the Water Supervisor on an annual basis. The reports shall contain the following information:
 - (a) a copy of all Notice of Modifications submitted to the Water Supervisor as a result of Schedule A, Section 1 (Limited Operational Flexibility) with a status report on the implementation of each modification;

(b) a report summarizing all modifications completed as a result of Schedule A, Section 3.

9. <u>LIMITED OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY</u>

- (1) The Owner may make modifications to the Works in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of this Approval and subject to the Ministry's "Limited Operational Flexibility Criteria for Modifications to Sewage Works", included under Schedule "A" of this Approval, as amended.
- (2) The sewage pumping station works proposed under Limited Operational Flexibility shall adhere to the design guidelines contained within the Ministry's publication "Design Guidelines for Sewage Works 2008", as amended.
- (3) The Owner shall ensure at all times, that the sewage pumping station works, related equipment and appurtenances which are installed or used to achieve compliance are operated in accordance with all Terms and Conditions of this Approval.
- (4) For greater certainty, the following are not permitted as part of Limited Operational Flexibility:
 - (a) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works that result in an increase of the Rated Capacity of the sewage pumping station works;
 - (b) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works that may adversely affect the approved effluent quality criteria or the location of the discharge/outfall;
 - (c) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works approved under s.9 of the EPA, and
 - (d) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works pursuant to an order issued by the Ministry.
- (5) Implementation of Limited Operational Flexibility is not intended to be used for piecemeal measures that result in major alterations or expansions.
- (6) If the implementation of Limited Operational Flexibility requires changes to be made to the Emergency Response, Spill Reporting and Contingency Plan, the Owner shall, as deemed necessary in consultation with the Water Supervisor, provide a revised copy of this plan for approval to the local fire services authority prior to implementing Limited Operational Flexibility.
- (7) For greater certainty, any alteration made under the Limited Operational Flexibility may only be carried out after other legal obligations have been complied with including those arising from the Environmental Protection Act, Niagara Escarpment Planning and Development Act, Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act, Lake Simcoe Protection Act and Greenbelt Act.
- (8) Prior to implementing Limited Operational Flexibility, the Owner shall complete a Notice of Modifications describing any proposed modifications to the sewage pumping station works and submit it to the Water Supervisor.

10. TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- (1) The Owner shall install and maintain temporary sediment and erosion control measures during construction and conduct inspections once every **two (2) weeks** and after each significant storm event (a significant storm event is defined as a minimum of 25 mm of rain in any 24 hours period). The inspections and maintenance of the temporary sediment and erosion control measures shall continue until they are no longer required and at which time they shall be removed and all disturbed areas reinstated properly.
- (2) The Owner shall maintain records of inspections and maintenance which shall be made available for inspection by the Ministry, upon request. The record shall include the name of the inspector, date of inspection, and the remedial measures, if any, undertaken to maintain the temporary sediment and erosion control measures.

11. RECORD KEEPING

The Owner shall retain for a minimum of **five** (5) **years** from the date of their creation, all records and information related to or resulting from the operation and maintenance activities required by this Approval.

Schedule "A"

Limited Operational Flexibility Criteria for Modifications to Sewage Works

1. The modifications to a sewage pumping station approved under an Environmental Compliance Approval (Approval) that are permitted under the Limited Operational Flexibility (LOF), are outlined below and are subject to the LOF conditions in the Approval, and require the submission of the Notice of Modifications. If there is a conflict between the sewage pumping station works listed below and the Terms and Conditions in the Approval, the Terms and Conditions in the Approval shall take precedence.

1.1 Sewage Pumping Stations

a. Adding or replacing equipment where new equipment is located within an existing sewage pumping station site, provided that the facility Rated Capacity is not exceeded and the existing flow process and/or treatment train are maintained, as applicable.

1.2 Pilot Systems

- a. Installation of pilot systems for new or existing technologies provided that:
 - i. any effluent from the pilot system is discharged to the inlet of the sewage pumping station or hauled off-site for proper disposal,
 - ii. any effluent from the pilot system discharged to the inlet of the sewage pumping station or sewage conveyance system does not significantly alter the composition/concentration of the influent sewage to be treated in the downstream process; and that it does not add any inhibiting substances to the downstream process, and
 - iii. the pilot system's duration does not exceed a maximum of two years; and a report with results is submitted to the Director and Water Supervisor three months after completion of the pilot project.
- 2. Sewage works that are exempt from section 53 of the OWRA by O. Reg. 525/98 continue to be exempt and are not required to follow the notification process under this Limited Operational Flexibility.
- 3. Normal or emergency operational modifications, such as repairs, reconstructions, or other improvements that are part of maintenance activities, including cleaning, renovations to existing approved sewage works equipment, provided that the modification is made with Equivalent Equipment, are considered pre-approved.
- 4. The modifications noted in section (3) above are not required to follow the notification protocols under Limited Operational Flexibility, provided that the number of pieces and description of the equipment as described in the Approval does not change.



I hereby declare that:

1. I am authorized by the Owner to complete this Declaration;

Notice of Modification to Sewage Works

RETAIN COPY OF COMPLETED FORM AS PART OF THE ECA AND SEND A COPY TO THE WATER SUPERVISOR (FOR MUNICIPAL) OR DISTRICT MANAGER (FOR NON-MUNICIPAL SYSTEMS)

Part 1 – Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) with Limited Operational Flexibility

ECA Number	ber, issuance date and notice number, which s	TOUR SIGHT W	
ECA Number	Issuance Date (mm/dd/yy)		Notice number (if applicable)
ECA Owner		Municipality	
Part 2: Description (Attach a detailed description	of the modifications as part of the sewage works)	of the Li	imited Operational Flexibility
Description shall include:			
 A detail description of the m type/model, material, proce 		vorks (e.g. se	ewage work component, location, size, equipment
2. Confirmation that the anticipation	pated environmental effects are negligible.		
	or amendments to, all relevant technical docur on is not required, but the listing of updated do		re affected by the modifications as applicable, i.e. design brief, drawings, emergency plan, etc.)
Part 3 - Declaratio	n by Professional Engineer		
	erified the scope and technical aspects of this n	nodification a	and confirm that the design:
1. Has been prepared or revie	ewed by a Professional Engineer who is license		
3. Has been designed consist	Operational Flexibility as per the ECA; tent with Ministry's Design Guidelines, adhering	g to engineer	ring standards, industry's best management
	ng ongoing compliance with s.53 of the Ontario		ources Act; and other appropriate regulations. contained in this form is complete and accurate.
Name (Print)	and the second s		PEO License Number
, and			
Signature			Date (mm/dd/yy)
Name of Employer			

The Owner consents to the modification; and These modifications to the sewage works are proposed in accorda The Owner has fulfilled all applicable requirements of the Environment I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, information and be	nental Assessment Act.
Name of Owner Representative (Print)	Owner representative's title (Print)
Owner Representative's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yy)

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

- 1. Condition 1 is imposed to ensure that the Works are built and operated in the manner in which they were described for review and upon which approval was granted. This Condition is also included to emphasize the precedence of Conditions in the Approval and the practice that the Approval is based on the most current document, if several conflicting documents are submitted for review.
- 2. Condition 2 is included to ensure that, when the Works are constructed, the Works will meet the standards that apply at the time of construction to ensure the ongoing protection of the environment.
- 3. Condition 3 is included to ensure that the Ministry records are kept accurate and current with respect to approved Works and to ensure that any subsequent Owner of the Works is made aware of the Approval and continue to operate the Works in compliance with it.
- 4. Condition 4 is included to ensure that the sewage pumping station is constructed in accordance with the Approval and that record drawings of the sewage pumping station "as constructed" are maintained for future reference.
- 5. Conditions 5 and 7 are included to indicate that Sewage Pumping Station Overflow of untreated and/or partially treated sewage to the environment is prohibited, save in certain limited circumstances where the failure to do so could result in greater injury to the public interest than the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow itself, or where the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow can be limited or otherwise mitigated by handling it in accordance with an approved contingency plan. The notification and documentation requirements allow the Ministry to take action in an informed manner and will ensure the Owner is aware of the extent and frequency of Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event(s).
- 6. Condition 6 is included to require that the Works be properly operated, maintained, funded, staffed and equipped such that the environment is protected and deterioration, loss, injury or damage to any person or property is prevented. As well, the inclusion of a comprehensive operations manual for the sewage pumping station governing all significant areas of operation, maintenance and repair is prepared, implemented and kept up-to-date by the Owner and made available to the Ministry. Such a manual is an integral part of the operation of the sewage pumping station. Its compilation and use should assist the Owner in staff training, in proper plant operation and in identifying and planning for contingencies during possible abnormal conditions. The manual will also act as a benchmark for Ministry staff when reviewing the Owner's operation of the Works.

- 7. Condition 8 is included to provide a performance record for future references, to ensure that the Ministry is made aware of problems as they arise, so that the Ministry can work with the Owner in resolving any problems in a timely manner.
- 8. Condition 9 is included to ensure that the Works are operated in accordance with the application and supporting documentation submitted by the Owner, and not in a manner which the Director has not been asked to consider. These Conditions are also included to ensure that a Professional Engineer has reviewed the proposed Modifications and attests that the Modifications are in line with that of Limited Operational Flexibility, and provide assurance that the proposed Modifications comply with the Ministry's requirements stipulated in the Terms and Conditions of this Approval, Ministry policies, guidelines, and industry engineering standards and best management practices.
- 9. Condition 10 is included as installation, regular inspection and maintenance of the temporary sediment and erosion control measures is required to mitigate the impact on the downstream receiving watercourse during construction, until they are no longer required.
- 10. Condition 11 is included to require that all records are retained for a sufficient time period to adequately evaluate the long-term operation and maintenance of the Works.

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

- 1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
- 2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

- 3. The name of the appellant;
- 4. The address of the appellant;
- 5. The environmental compliance approval number;
- 6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
- 7. The name of the Director, and;
- 8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

<u>AND</u>

The Director appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change 135 St. Clair Avenue West, 1st Floor Toronto, Ontario M4V 1P5 * Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 326-5370 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 21st day of September, 2016

Gregory Zimmer, P.Eng.

Director

appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the *Environmental Protection Act*

MS/

c: District Manager, MOECC Ottawa office
 Greg McDonald, Novatech
 Charles Warnock, Program Manager, City of Ottawa, Development Review
 Linda Carkner, Program Manager, City of Ottawa, Infrastructure Services




TABLE D-1: FERNBANK CDP LANDS - NEW TRUNK SEWER **SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET (2031)**

	AREA	\								RESIDENTIAL								СОММ	ERCIAL	INSTITUTIONAL		C+I	INFILTRATION		N					PIPE			
			LO	N DENS	ITY	MED	DIUM DEI	NSITY	HIG	H DENSI	TY	MI	XED US	E		TC	TAL						Peak				Total						
ID	From	То	Area (ha)	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Area (ha)	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Area (ha)	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Area (ha)	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Peak Factor	Peak Flow (I/s)	Area (ha)	Accum. Area (ha)	Area (ha)	Accum. Area (ha)	Flow	Total Area (ha)	Accum. Area (ha)	Infilt. Flow (I/s)	Flow	Size (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	Capacity (I/s)	Full Flow Vel. (m/s)	Q/Q _{full} (%)
1 2	902 904	904 908	9.85 11.65	910 1076	910 1986	0.36 3.10	54 465	54 519	0.00 0.00	0	0	0.00 0.00	0	0	964 1541	964 2505	3.8 3.5	14.9 35.6	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.78 0.91	0.78 1.69	0.7 1.5	16.07 22.29	16.07 38.36	4.5 10.7	20.1 47.8	250 300	0.24 0.24	154 306	30.4 49.4	0.60 0.68	66.0% 96.7%
3	906	908	7.45	688	688	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	688	688	3.9	10.9	0.00	0.00	2.63	2.63	2.3	14.51	14.51	4.1	17.2	250	1.50	373	76.0	1.50	22.7%
4	908	912	4.45	411	3085	1.67	251	770	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	662	3855	3.3	52.3	0.63	0.63	0.00	4.32	4.3	16.43	69.30	19.4	76.0	300	0.61	396	78.8	1.08	96.4%
5	910	912	10.35	956	956	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	956	956	3.8	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.83	0.7	19.34	19.34	5.4	20.9	250	0.24	320	30.4	0.60	68.8%
6	912	920	11.15	1030	5071	0.00	0	770	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	1030	5841	3.2	75.3	0.00	0.63	2.50	7.65	7.2	18.11	106.75	29.9	112.4	450	0.15	207	115.2	0.70	97.5%
7 8	914 916	916 920	16.35 10.45	1511 966	1511 2477	0.90 0.00	135 0	135 135	0.00 0.00	0 0	0 0	0.00 0.00	0 0	0	1646 966	1646 2612	3.7 3.5	24.3 37.0	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.45 0.85	0.45 1.30	0.4 1.1	25.23 15.69	25.23 40.92	7.1 11.5	31.8 49.5	300 375	0.25 0.20	152 314	50.4 81.8	0.69 0.72	63.0% 60.6%
9	918	920	5.55	513	513	0.49	74	74	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	587	587	3.9	9.4	0.00	0.00	6.14	6.14	5.3	16.04	16.04	4.5	19.2	250	0.85	363	57.2	1.13	33.5%
10	920 922 924	922 924 934	0.00 12.20 0.00	0 1127 0	8061 9188 9188	0.00 0.09 0.00	0 14 0	979 993 993	0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1141 0	9040 10181 10181	3.0 2.9 2.9	109.8 121.5 121.5	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.63 0.63 0.63	0.00 1.52 0.00	15.09 16.61 16.61	13.6 15.0 15.0	0.00 27.31 0.00	163.71 191.02 191.02	45.8 53.5 53.5	169.3 190.0 190.0	525 525 525	0.18 0.23 0.79	265 290 669	190.3 215.2 398.8	0.85 0.96 1.78	88.9% 88.3% 47.6%
11	926	930	4.95	457	457	8.40	1260	1260	0.00	0	0	3.45	279	279	1996	1996	3.6	29.0	1.99	1.99	0.82	0.82	2.4	26.79	26.79	7.5	38.9	375	0.14	530	68.4	0.60	56.9%
12	928	930	9.35	864	864	3.55	533	533	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	1397	1397	3.7	20.9	0.00	0.00	3.85	3.85	3.3	22.72	22.72	6.4	30.7	200	7.00	55	90.5	2.79	33.9%
13 14	930 932	932 934	1.65 0.00	152 0	1473 1473	2.95 0.00	443 0	2236 2236	0.00 0.00	0 0	0 0	0.00 7.12	0 577	279 856	595 577	3988 4565	3.3 3.3	53.9 60.7	0.34 3.56	2.33 5.89	0.80 6.10	5.47 11.57	6.8 15.2	10.54 17.52	60.05 77.57	16.8 21.7	77.4 97.6	450 525	0.11 0.10	308 455	99.1 141.9	0.60 0.63	78.2% 68.8%
15	934	972	2.90	268	10929	1.80	270	3499	0.00	0	0	1.21	98	954	636	15382	2.8	172.4	0.61	7.12	0.40	28.58	31.0	15.08	283.67	79.4	282.8	600	0.26	1007	326.6	1.12	86.6%
16 17 18	936 938 940	938 940 952	7.58 8.05 6.35	700 744 587	700 1444 2031	0.70 1.00 0.99	105 150 149	105 255 404	0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.00 4.41 0.00	0 357 0	0 357 357	805 1251 736	805 2056 2792	3.9 3.6 3.5	12.6 29.8 39.2	0.00 2.21 0.00	0.00 2.21 2.21	2.17 0.83 0.00	2.17 3.00 3.00	1.9 4.5 4.5	14.42 25.14 10.51	14.42 39.56 50.07	4.0 11.1 14.0	18.5 45.4 57.8	250 300 300	1.00 0.35 0.75	108 156 310	62.0 59.7 87.4	1.22 0.82 1.20	29.8% 76.0% 66.1%
19 20 21 22	942 944 946 948 950	944 946 948 950 952	7.25 12.20 4.15 0.00 5.05	670 1127 383 0 467	670 1797 2180 2180 2647	4.70 1.00 4.22 0.00 0.30	705 150 633 0 45	705 855 1488 1488 1533	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1375 1277 1016 0 512	1375 2652 3668 3668 4180	3.7 3.5 3.4 3.4 3.3	20.6 37.5 50.0 50.0 56.2	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	12.67 0.82 3.87 0.00 3.24	12.67 13.49 17.36 17.36 20.6	11.0 11.7 15.1 15.1 17.9	34.19 20.35 17.22 0.00 11.43	34.19 54.54 71.76 71.76 83.19	9.6 15.3 20.1 20.1 23.3	41.2 64.4 85.2 85.2 97.3	250 375 375 450 450	0.90 0.20 0.50 0.15 0.15	516 511 243 195 221	58.9 81.8 129.3 115.2 115.2	1.16 0.72 1.13 0.70 0.70	70.0% 78.8% 65.9% 74.0% 84.5%
23	952	972	4.15	383	5061	5.50	825	2762	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	357	1208	8180	3.0	100.8	0.00	2.21	0.00	23.60	22.4	22.72	155.98	43.7	166.8	450	0.54	282	218.6	1.33	76.3%
24 25 26	954 956 958 960	956 958 960 966	7.70 10.70 0.00 7.75	711 989 0 716	711 1700 1700 2416	2.90 0.00 0.00 0.00	435 0 0 0	435 435 435 435	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	6.70 0.00 0.00 0.00	543 0 0 0	543 543 543 543	1689 989 0 716	1689 2678 2678 3394	3.6 3.5 3.5 3.4	24.9 37.8 37.8 46.7	3.35 0.00 0.00 0.00	3.35 3.35 3.35 3.35	0.79 6.27 0.00 0.00	0.79 7.06 7.06 7.06	3.6 9.0 9.0 9.0	22.81 23.45 0.00 11.51	22.81 46.26 46.26 57.77		34.9 59.8 59.8 71.9		0.15 0.20 0.15 0.15	330 411 177 82	70.8 133.0 115.2 115.2	0.62 0.81 0.70 0.70	49.3% 44.9% 51.9% 62.4%
27	962 964	964 966	2.55 0.00	236 0	236 236	4.70 0.00	705 0	705 705	5.04 0.00	680 0	680 680	0.00 0.00	0 0	0 0	1621 0	1621 1621	3.7 3.7	24.0 24.0	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.0 0.0	20.97 0.00	20.97 20.97	5.9 5.9	29.9 29.9		0.35 1.00	479 298	36.7 62.0	0.72 1.22	81.4% 48.2%
28	966	970	1.80	166	2818	5.25	788	1928	0.00	0	680	0.00	0	543	954	5969	3.2	76.7	0.00	3.35	8.89	15.95	16.8	22.38	101.12	28.3	121.8	525	0.15	249	173.8	0.78	70.1%
29	968	970	6.90	638	638	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	638	638	3.9	10.1	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.99	0.9	11.03	11.03	3.1	14.1	200	0.32	82	19.4	0.60	72.7%
	970	972	0.00	0	3456	0.00	0	1928	0.00	0	680	0.00	0	543	0	6607	3.1	83.8	0.00	3.35	0.00	16.94	17.6	0.00	112.15	31.4	132.8	600	0.15	178	248.1	0.85	53.5%
	972 974		0.00 0.00 210.48	0	19446 19446	0.00 0.00 54.57	0 0	8189 8189	0.00 0.00 5.04	0 0	680 680	0.00 0.00 22.89	0	1854 1854	0 0	30169 30169	2.5 2.5	302.5 302.5	0.00 0.00	12.68 12.68	0.00 0.00	69.12 69.12	71.0 71.0		551.8 551.80	154.5 154.5	528.0 528.0		0.20 0.20	586 66	669.7 669.7	1.21 1.21	78.8% 78.8%
Dos	ın Paraı	motors			_	_				_			_	_				nits/Net ha	Dan/Hait												Project: Fer	mhaml CDD	1/404400

Design Parameters:

Infiltration =

Avg Flow/Person = 350 I/day Comm./Inst. Flow = 50,000 l/ha/day

0.28 l/s/ha

Pipe Friction n = 0.013

Residential Peaking Factor = Harmon Equation (max 4, min 2) Peaking Factor Comm./Inst. =

Units/Net ha Pop/Unit

Low Density Residential = 3.30 28 2.50 (Multi Family Residential)

Medium Density Residential = 60
High Density Residential = 75 1.80 Mixed Use = 90

1.80 (50% of mixed use area is residential)

Project: Fernbank CDP (101108) Designed: KJM Checked: MAB Dwg. Reference: 101108-SAN

Date: May 8, 2009



Davidson Lands City of Ottawa Name of Clien/Developer

	ibigroup.com	37 0 10 220 0000																													Name of Cl	lien/Developer
	LOCATION							RESIDE									ICI AREAS					ATION ALLOV		FIXED	TOTAL				OSED SEWER			
STREET	AREA ID	FROM	то	AREA w/ Units	SF	SD	TYPES	APT	AREA w/o Units	IND	CUM	PEAK FACTOR	PEAK FLOW		JTIONAL	COMM	A (Ha) MERCIAL	INDUSTRIA		PEAK FLOW	AREA I	(Ha) CUM	FLOW (L/s)	FLOW (L/s)	FLOW (L/s)	CAPACITY (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	VELOCITY (full)	CAP	LABLE ACITY
SIREEI	AREA ID	MH	MH	(Ha)	31	30	in .	AFI	(Ha)	IND	COIVI		(L/s)	IND	CUM	IND	CUM	IND C	UM	(L/s)	IND	COIVI	(L/5)	(L/3)	(L/S)	(L/5)	(111)	(11111)	(70)	(m/s)	L/s	(%)
PHASE 2																																
Kayenta Street	142A	MH142A	MH143A	0.40	4	4				24.4	24.4	4.00	0.40								0.4	0.40	0.11		0.51	48.39	83.80	200	2.00	1.492	47.88	98.95%
Maygrass Way	146A	MH146A	MH143A	0.29	1	4				14.2	14.2	4.00	0.23								0.29	0.29	0.08		0.31	64.01	39.65	200	3.50	1.974	63.70	99.51%
Maygrass Way	143A	MH143A	MH144A	0.41		6	4			27.0	65.6	4.00	1.06								0.41	1.10	0.31		1.37	60.24	60.76	200	3.10	1.858	58.87	97.72%
Kaventa Street	139A	MH139A	MH140A	0.20						10.8	10.8	4.00	0.18										0.06		0.23	59.26	40.89	200	3.00	1.827	59.03	99.61%
Kayenta Street	140A	MH140A	MH141A	0.07	1	4				3.4	14.2	4.00	0.23								0.2	0.20	0.08		0.31	51.89	9.57	200	2.30	1.600	51.59	99.41%
	141A	MH141A	MH144A	0.38		6	3			24.3	38.5	4.00	0.62								0.38	0.65	0.18		0.81	51.89	78.46	200	2.30	1.600	51.09	98.45%
Kayenta Street	144A	MH144A	MH145A	0.05			1			2.7	106.8	4.00	1.73								0.05	1.80	0.50		2.23	47.16	13.99	200	1.90	1.454	44.93	95.26%
PHASE 1																																
Kayenta Street	145A	MH145A	MH155A	0.24	1		4			14.2	121.0	4.00	1.96								0.24	2.04	0.57		2.53	47.16	54.00	200	1.90	1.454	44.63	94.63%
Kaventa Street	159A	MH159A	MH153A	0.35	1	2	5			22.3	22.3	4.00	0.36								0.35	0.35	0.10		0.46	51.89	35.93	200	2.30	1.600	51.43	99.11%
,	153A 154A	MH153A MH154A	MH154A MH155A	0.52 0.25	2	8	3			36.5 6.8	58.8 65.6	4.00	0.95								0.52	0.87	0.24		1.20 1.38	51.89 54.10	69.86 13.93	200	2.30	1.600 1.668	50.70 52.72	97.69% 97.46%
					2																											
Block 159	155A 156A	MH155A MH156A	MH156A MH103A	0.03	1					0.0	186.6 186.6	4.00 4.00	3.02 3.02	-							0.03	3.19 3.22	0.89		3.92 3.93	45.26 45.26	40.50 41.32	200 200	1.75 1.75	1.396 1.396	41.35 41.34	91.35% 91.33%
Edenwylde Drive	100A	MH100A	MH101A	0.63	3		16			53.4	53.4	4.00	0.87								0.63	0.63	0.18		1.04	43.28	68.32	200	1.60	1.335	42.24	97.59%
Edenwylde Drive	101A	MH101A	MH102A	0.23	1		3			11.5	64.9	4.00	1.05								0.23	0.86	0.24		1.29	28.63	29.78	200	0.70	0.883	27.34	95.49%
	102A	MH102A	MH103A	0.34	2		8			28.4	93.3	4.00	1.51								0.34	1.20	0.34		1.85	48.39	43.19	200	2.00	1.492	46.54	96.18%
Edenwylde Drive	103A	MH103A	MH104A	0.23			7			18.9	298.8	4.00	4.84								0.23	4.65	1.30		6.14	45.26	30.98	200	1.75	1.396	39.12	86.43%
Jardiniere Street	122A 120A	MH122A MH120A	MH120A MH121A	0.21 0.05			5 1			13.5 2.7	13.5 16.2	4.00 4.00	0.22								0.21	0.21 0.26	0.06 0.07		0.28 0.34	28.63 28.63	40.37 9.56	200 200	0.70 0.70	0.883 0.883	28.35 28.29	99.03% 98.83%
	121A	MH121A	MH126A	0.41			12			32.4	48.6	4.00	0.79								0.41	0.67	0.19		0.98	35.89	86.22	200	1.10	1.107	34.91	97.28%
Jardiniere Street	123A	MH123A	MH124A	0.36			11			29.7	29.7	4.00	0.48								0.36	0.36	0.10		0.58	32.46	67.99	200	0.90	1.001	31.88	98.21%
	124A 125A	MH124A MH125A	MH125A MH126A	0.17 0.31			3 10			8.1 27.0	37.8 64.8	4.00 4.00	0.61 1.05								0.17 0.31	0.53 0.84	0.15 0.24		0.76 1.29	21.64 21.64	12.58 43.85	200 200	0.40 0.40	0.667 0.667	20.88 20.36	96.48% 94.06%
Jardiniere Street	126A	MH126A	MH127A	0.47			14			37.8	151.2	4.00	2.45								0.47	1.98	0.55		3.00	26.50	97.91	200	0.60	0.817	23.50	88.66%
External Commercial	135A	MH135A	MH127A							0.0	0.0	4.00	0.00			2.73	2.73			2.37	2.73	2.73	0.76		3.13	26.50	12.08	200	0.60	0.817	23.37	88.17%
Jardiniere Street	127A 128A	MH127A MH128A	MH128A MH129A	0.02						0.0	151.2	4.00 4.00	2.45				2.73 2.73			2.37	0.02	4.73 4.82	1.32		6.14 6.17	20.24	11.48 61.77	200 200	0.35 0.35	0.624 0.624	14.10 14.07	69.65%
	129A	MH129A	MH130A	0.03						0.0	151.2 151.2	4.00	2.45 2.45				2.73			2.37	0.03	4.85	1.35 1.36		6.18	20.24 20.24	11.48	200	0.35	0.624	14.07	69.52% 69.48%
	130A 131A	MH130A MH131A	MH131A MH132A	0.70			26 15			70.2 40.5	221.4 261.9	4.00 4.00	3.59 4.24				2.73			2.37	0.7	5.55 6.03	1.55 1.69		7.51 8.30	20.24	91.95 71.17	200	0.35	0.624 0.624	12.73 11.94	62.89% 58.99%
	132A	MH132A	MH104A	0.04						0.0	261.9	4.00	4.24				2.73			2.37	0.04	6.07	1.70		8.31	20.24	28.10	200	0.35	0.624	11.93	58.93%
Edenwylde Drive	104A	MH104A	MH105A	0.44			11			29.7	590.4	3.94	9.41				2.73			2.37	0.44	11.16	3.12		14.91	55.26	67.20	300	0.30	0.757	40.35	73.02%
	105A	MH105A	MH106A							0.0	590.4	3.94	9.41				2.73			2.37	0	11.16	3.12		14.91	55.26	11.41	300	0.30	0.757	40.35	73.02%
PHASE 2																																
Maygrass Way	147A	MH147A	CAP	0.43	7					23.8	23.8	4.00	0.39								0.43	0.43	0.12		0.51	56.95	60.75	200	2.77	1.756	56.45	99.11%
PHASE 1																																
Maygrass Way		CAP	MH148A							0.0	23.8	4.00	0.39								0	0.43	0.12		0.51	56.95	12.00	200	2.77	1.756	56.44	99.11%
	148B 149A	MH148A MH149A	MH149A MH150A	0.40 0.18	6					20.4 6.8	44.2 51.0	4.00 4.00	0.72								0.4	0.83 1.01	0.23		0.95 1.11	50.75 50.75	69.93 11.43	200	2.20	1.565 1.565	49.80 49.64	98.13% 97.81%
	150A 151A	MH150A MH151A	MH151A MH152A	0.51 0.55	1	1 0	12			38.5 43.2	89.5 132.7	4.00 4.00	1.45 2.15								0.51 0.55	1.52 2.07	0.43 0.58		1.88 2.73	41.91 41.91	68.53 65.09	200 200	1.50 1.50	1.292 1.292	40.03 39.18	95.52% 93.49%
	152A	MH152A	MH157A	0.63	1	10	5			43.9	176.6	4.00	2.86								0.63	2.70	0.76		3.62	37.48	87.29	200	1.20	1.156	33.86	90.35%
		MH157A	MH106A							0.0	176.6	4.00	2.86								0	2.70	0.76		3.62	100.85	8.35	300	1.00	1.382	97.24	96.41%
PHASE 2																																
Taliesin Crescent	200A 201A	MH200A MH201A	MH201A MH202A	0.56 0.46	1		18 16			48.6 43.2	48.6 91.8	4.00 4.00	0.79 1.49								0.56	0.56 1.02	0.16		0.94 1.77	27.59 21.64	59.89 63.75	200	0.65	0.851 0.667	26.64 19.87	96.58% 91.81%
		MH202A	CAP106AE							0.0	91.8	4.00	1.49								0	1.02	0.29		1.77	21.64	9.65	200	0.40	0.667	19.87	91.81%
	202A	CAP106AE	MH106A	0.08			1			2.7	94.5	4.00	1.53	-							0.08	1.10	0.31		1.84	21.64	16.50	200	0.40	0.667	19.80	91.50%
PHASE 1																																
Edenwylde Drive	106A	MH106A	MH107A	0.28	1		6			16.2	877.7	3.84	13.64				2.73			2.37	0.28	15.24	4.27		20.28	50.44	79.17	300	0.25	0.691	30.17	59.80%
PHASE 2																																
Taliesin Crescent	203A	MH203A	MH204A	0.57			18			48.6	48.6	4.00	0.79								0.57	0.57	0.16		0.95	27.59	61.41	200	0.65	0.851	26.64	96.57%
	204A	MH204A MH205A	MH205A CAP 107AE	0.37			14			37.8 0.0	86.4 86.4	4.00	1.40								0.37	0.94	0.26		1.66 1.66	21.64 21.64	50.66	200	0.40	0.667 0.667	19.98	92.31% 92.31%
	205A	CAP 107AE		0.11			2			5.4	91.8	4.00	1.40								0.11	1.05	0.26 0.29		1.66	21.64 21.64	16.00	200	0.40	0.667	19.98 19.86	92.31% 91.77%
PHASE 1				1										-											1							
Edenwylde Drive	107A	MH107A	MH108A	0.39			11			29.7	999.2	3.80	15.38				2.73			2.37	0.39	16.68	4.67		22.42	50.44	81.58	300	0.25	0.691	28.02	55.55%
	10/A	IVITUA	MULTOOM				11			23.1	333.2			1845						2.31	0.33				22.42	50.44	01.30	300	0.23		20.02	33.3370
Design Parameters:				Notes: 1. Mannings of	coefficient (n)	=		.013				Designed:		LME			No. 1.						mission No. 1							Date 2019-10-30		
Residential SF 3.4 p/p/u		ICI Areas	Peak Factor	 Demand (p Infiltration 			350 L/ 0.28 L/		300 1	L/day		Checked:					2. 3.						mission No. 2 mission No. 3				-			2020-02-07 2020-04-09		-
TH/SD 2.7 p/p/u APT 1.8 p/p/u	INST 50,0	100 L/Ha/day	1.5 1.5		l Peaking Facto																	,										
Other 66 p/p/Ha	IND 35,0	100 L/Ha/day 100 L/Ha/day	1.5 MOE Chart			mula = 1+(14/(opulation in th						Dwg. Refere	nce:	37533-501																		
	170	100 L/Ha/day																Reference: 7533.5.7.1						ate: 0-04-08						Sheet No: 1 of 2		
-								-											_				2020									



Davidson Lands City of Ottawa Name of Clien/Developer

	ibigroup.com																													INAMINE OF CIT	lien/Developer
	LOCATION			AREA	1	UNIT	TYPES	RESIDEN	AREA	POPU	LATION	PEAK	PEAK			AREA	ICI AREAS		PEAK		FRATION ALLOV A (Ha)	VANCE FLOW	FIXED FLOW	TOTAL FLOW	CAPACITY	LENGTH	DIA	SLOPE	VELOCITY	AVA	ILABLE
STREET	AREA ID	FROM	TO	w/ Units	SF	SD	TH	APT	w/o Units	IND	CUM	FACTOR	FLOW		TUTIONAL	COMM	IERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	FLOW	IND	CUM	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(full)	CAPA	ACITY
		MH	MH	(Ha)					(Ha)				(L/s)	IND	CUM	IND	CUM	IND CUM	(L/s)				.,,,	.,,,	.,,,	. ,	` '		(m/s)	L/s	(%)
PHASE 2																															
Block 170	320A	MH320A	MH186A						2.08	137.3	137.3	4.00	2.22							2.08	2.08	0.58		2.81	21.64	12.44	200	0.40	0.667	18.83	87.03%
Crosanti Drive	186A	MH186A	MH187A	0.10						0.0	137.3									0.1	2.18	0.61		2.83	20.24	45.53	200	0.35	0.624	17.41	86.00%
Maverick Crescent	300A	MH300A	MH301A	0.20			4			10.8	10.8	4.00	0.18							0.2	0.20	0.06		0.23	27.56	11.47	200	0.65	0.850	27.33	99.16%
	301A 302A	MH301A MH302A	MH302A MH303A	1.01 0.07			31 2			83.7 5.4	94.5 99.9	4.00 4.00	1.53 1.62							1.01 0.07	1.21 1.28	0.34 0.36		1.87 1.98	20.24 20.24	116.48 11.48	200 200	0.35 0.35	0.624 0.624	18.37 18.27	90.76% 90.23%
	303A	MH303A	MH304A	0.35			9			24.3	124.2	4.00	2.01							0.35	1.63	0.46		2.47	20.24	61.29	200	0.35	0.624	17.77	87.80%
	304A	MH304A	MH305A	0.01						0.0	124.2	4.00	2.01							0.01	1.64	0.46		2.47	20.24	14.00	200	0.35	0.624	17.77	87.79%
Maverick Crescent	300A1	MH300A	MH306A	0.75			26			70.2	70.2	4.00	1.14							0.75	0.75	0.21		1.35	27.59	92.03	200	0.65	0.851	26.24	95.12%
Ocala Street	306A 307A	MH306A MH307A	MH307A MH308A	0.08			5			0.0 13.5	70.2 83.7	4.00 4.00	1.14 1.36							0.08	0.83 1.07	0.23		1.37 1.66	20.24 20.24	12.96 68.00	200 200	0.35 0.35	0.624 0.624	18.87 18.59	93.23% 91.82%
	308A	MH308A	MH305A	0.26			7			18.9	102.6	4.00	1.66							0.26	1.33	0.37		2.03	20.24	59.95	200	0.35	0.624	18.21	89.95%
Ocala Street	305A	MH305A	MH187A	0.06						0.0	226.8	4.00	3.68							0.06	3.03	0.85		4.52	20.24	50.21	200	0.35	0.624	15.72	77.65%
Crosanti Drive	187A	MH187A	MH188A	0.19						0.0	364.1	4.00	5.90							0.19	5.40	1.51		7.41	20.24	89.74	200	0.35	0.624	12.83	63.39%
	188A	MH188A	MH189A	0.80			27			72.9	437.0	4.00	7.08							0.8	6.20	1.74		8.82	20.24	103.64	200	0.35	0.624	11.43	56.45%
	189A	MH189A CAP	CAP MH108A	0.12			2			5.4 0.0	442.4 442.4	4.00 4.00	7.17 7.17							0.12	6.32 6.32	1.77 1.77		8.94 8.94	21.64 21.64	7.33 16.00	200 200	0.40	0.667 0.667	12.70 12.70	58.70% 58.70%
PHASE 1																															
Edenwylde Drive	108A	MH108A	MH109A	0.26			5			13.5	1455.1	3.69	21.74				2.73		2.37	0.26	23.26	6.51		30.63	50.44	75.91	300	0.25	0.691	19.81	39.28%
PHASE 2																													1		
Orvieto Way	211A	MH211A	CAP210AE	0.65			13			35.1	35.1	4.00	0.57	1	1					0.65	0.65	0.18		0.75	27.59	86.14	200	0.65	0.851	26.84	97.28%
		CAP210AE	MH210A							0.0	35.1		0.57							0	0.65	0.18		0.75	27.59	4.00	200	0.65	0.851	26.84	97.28%
		MH210A	MH109A					_		0.0	35.1	4.00	0.57							0	0.65	0.18		0.75	27.59	11.31	200	0.65	0.851	26.84	97.28%
PHASE 1																												-	-	<u> </u>	
Hickstead Way	109A	MH109A	MH110A	0.32	5					17.0	1507.2	3.68	22.46				2.73		2.37	0.32	24.23	6.78		31.61	50.44	76.01	300	0.25	0.691	18.83	37.33%
PHASE 2																														 	
EDIENDIA ODEGGENE	EVERONIA.	EV4.414.04		4.66	70					220.0	222.0	4.00	2.00							4.66	4.55	4.00		F 46	40.50	40.00	200	2.40	4.500		00 500/
FRIENDLY CRESCENT Block 169	EXTERNAL	EXMH181 MH230A	MH230A MH231A	4.66	70					238.0	238.0 238.0	4.00 4.00	3.86 3.86							4.66 0	4.66 4.66	1.30 1.30		5.16 5.16	49.58 49.58	10.88 45.03	200 200	2.10 2.10	1.529 1.529	44.42 44.42	89.59% 89.59%
Sendero Way	231A 221A	MH231A MH221A	MH221A MH222A	0.59	10 15					34.0 51.0	272.0 323.0	4.00 4.00	4.41 5.23							0.59 0.64	5.25 5.89	1.47 1.65		5.88 6.88	34.22 26.50	67.09 80.39	200 200	1.00 0.60	1.055 0.817	28.34 19.62	82.82% 74.03%
	222A	MH222A	MH223A	0.17	2					6.8	329.8	4.00	5.34							0.17	6.06	1.70		7.04	34.22	11.46	200	1.00	1.055	27.18	79.42%
	223A	MH223A	MH224A	0.40	8					27.2	357.0	4.00	5.78							0.4	6.46	1.81		7.59	21.64	69.88	200	0.40	0.667	14.05	64.91%
Sendero Way	235A	MH235A	MH232A	0.56	8					27.2	27.2	4.00	0.44							0.56	0.56	0.16		0.60	34.22	61.94	200	1.00	1.055	33.62	98.25%
	232A 233A	MH232A MH233A	MH233A MH234A	0.13 0.45	1 10					3.4 34.0	30.6 64.6	4.00 4.00	0.50 1.05							0.13 0.45	0.69 1.14	0.19 0.32		0.69 1.37	48.39 21.64	11.34 57.60	200 200	2.00 0.40	1.492 0.667	47.70 20.27	98.58% 93.69%
	234A	MH234A	MH224A	0.59	13					44.2	108.8	4.00	1.76							0.59	1.73	0.48		2.25	21.64	83.39	200	0.40	0.667	19.39	89.61%
Sendero Way	224A	MH224A	CAP 225AN	0.17	3					10.2	476.0	3.99	7.68							0.17	8.36	2.34		10.03	26.50	37.03	200	0.60	0.817	16.48	62.18%
	225A	CAP 225AN MH225A	MH225A MH226A	0.03						0.0	476.0 476.0		7.68 7.68							0.03	8.36 8.39	2.34		10.03 10.03	26.50 26.50	6.50 15.56	200 200	0.60	0.817 0.817	16.48 16.47	62.18% 62.14%
	226A	MH226A	MH110A	0.02						0.0	476.0	3.99	7.68							0.02	8.41	2.35		10.04	26.50	21.49	200	0.60	0.817	16.46	62.12%
Painted Sky Way	215A	MH215A	MH216A	0.62	14					47.6	47.6	4.00	0.77							0.62	0.62	0.17		0.94	24.19	83.61	200	0.50	0.746	23.25	96.09%
	216A	MH216A CAP 110AE	CAP 110AE MH110A	0.75	18					61.2 0.0	108.8 108.8	4.00 4.00	1.76 1.76							0.75	1.37 1.37	0.38		2.15 2.15	49.58 49.58	84.93 15.00	200 200	2.10 2.10	1.529 1.529	47.44 47.44	95.67% 95.67%
Hickstead Way	110A	MH110A	MH111A	0.36	6					20.4	2112.4	3.57	30.52	-	1		2.73		2.37	0.36	34.37	9.62		42.52	50.44	78.00	300	0.25	0.691	7.92	15.71%
Hickstead Way	176A	MH176A	MH111A	0.36	6					20.4	20.4	4.00	0.33							0.36	0.36	0.10		0.43	34.22	64.00	200	1.00	1.055	33.79	98.74%
Aridus Crescent	111A	MH111A	MH112A	0.47	10					34.0	2166.8	3.56	31.23				2.73		2.37	0.47	35.20	9.86		43.46	91.46	68.97	375	0.25	0.802	48.00	52.48%
	112A 113A	MH112A MH113A	MH113A MH114A	0.51 0.12	10					34.0 3.4	2200.8 2204.2	3.55 3.55	31.68 31.72	1	1		2.73 2.73		2.37 2.37	0.51 0.12	35.71 35.83	10.00 10.03		44.05 44.12	91.46 91.46	66.19 13.49	375 375	0.25 0.25	0.802 0.802	47.41 47.33	51.84% 51.75%
	113A 114A	MH114A		0.12	9					30.6	2234.8	3.55	32.12				2.73		2.37	0.12	36.35	10.18		44.12	91.46	55.98	375	0.25	0.802	46.79	51.75%
		structure - (32	EXMH181	 									1	1	1											12.00 35.00	200 250	2.77 0.54	+	 	
		EXMH181	Structure - (328	9)																						16.74 7.33	250 200	0.54 0.40			
		IVIT189A	paracture - (328	91																						7.55	200	0.40			
WORKS DESIGNED	BY NOVATECH	1			-								-							<u> </u>	<u> </u>								+		
STITTSVILLE SOUTH	EXTERNAL		407.17	32.94						2182.0										32.94	32.94	0		40		42	2			40	
	175A	111	109 (0)	0.23	3					10.2	2192.2	3.55	31.57	1	1					0.23	33.17	9.29		40.85	141.68	115.7	375	0.60	1.243	100.83	71.17%
STREET NO. 11	176B 177A	109 (0)	107 (0)	0.54 0.49	11					37.4 34.0	2229.6 2263.6		32.05 32.49							0.54	33.71	9.44 9.58		41.49 42.07	91.46	71.7 62.1	375	0.25	0.802 0.802	49.97 49.39	54.63% 54.00%
STREET NO. 11 STREET NO. 11	177A 178A	107 (0) 105 (0)	105 (0) MH115A	0.49	10					34.0	2267.0									0.49 0.19	34.20 34.39	9.58		42.07	91.46 258.68	11.0	375 375	0.25 2.00	2.269	49.39 216.51	83.70%
BLOCK 263		MH115A	99 (1800)							0.0	4501.8	3.29	59.94				2.73		2.37	0	70.74	19.81		82.12	320.35	73.3	450	1.16	1.951	238.23	74.37%
BLOCK 263		99 (1800)	PS							0.0	4501.8		59.94				2.73		2.37	0	70.74	19.81		82.12	474.96	4.8	450	2.55	2.893	392.84	82.71%
Design Parameters:		1	1	Notes:	1	I					1	Designed:	1	LME	1	1	No.				R	evision			<u> </u>	1			Date		
		ICI Aro			oefficient (n)	=		0.013	202	I /day							1.					mission No. 1							2019-10-30		
Residential SF 3.4 p/p/u		ICI Areas	Peak Factor		allowance:			L/day L/s/Ha	300	L/day		Checked:					2. 3.					mission No. 2 mission No. 3							2020-02-07 2020-04-09		
TH/SD 2.7 p/p/u APT 1.8 p/p/u		IO L/Ha/day IO L/Ha/day	1.5 1.5	4. Residential	Peaking Facto Harmon Forn													-													
Other 66 p/p/Ha	IND 35,00	0 L/Ha/day	MOE Chart		where P = po							Dwg. Refer	ence:	37533-501																	
	1700	0 L/Ha/day																e Reference: 37533.5.7.1					ate: 0-04-08						Sheet No: 2 of 2		
L									1										2020												

velocity of approximately 1.4m/s (within MOE recommended forcemain velocities of 0.8 t 2.5m/s).

According to the West Urban Community – Wastewater Collection System Master Servicing Plan by RV Anderson Associates Ltd., dated July 2012 monitored peak flows entering the Stittsville Pump Station were 39L/s in 2010. With a capacity of 108L/s, the remaining capacity is 69L/s. Based on the aforementioned, the Liard St. Pump Station can handle the majority of the development. It is recommended that the flows at the Liard St. Pump Station continue to be monitored until extension of the Fernbank Trunk is completed (see 6.1.5 for details regarding the Future Fernbank Trunk).

6.1.4 Friendly Crescent Pump Station

The Friendly Crescent Pump Station is a low lift station, which services the properties along Friendly Crescent. The flow is pumped west to the 250mm dia. sewer along Hartsmere Drive and has an overflow that is directed to a storm outlet east of Friendly Crescent.

Novatech Engineering produced the "Design Services and Stormwater Report" in May 2000 with a detailed design of the Friendly Crescent Pump Station. The station was designed to serve 70 dwellings that discharge to the Friendly Crescent Pump Station with a peak flow of 5.77 L/s using twin Flygt effluent pumps CP3085.182 that push 6.0 L/sec at 7.15 meters total dynamic head through a 100mm diameter, 230m long forcemain.

It is proposed that the sanitary sewer-shed of Friendly Crescent Pump Station be accounted for in the servicing alternatives, in order to provide a higher level of service, by providing a gravity outlet to avoid the costs of maintaining and operating the existing pump station.

6.1.5 Future Fernbank Trunk

The Future Fernbank Trunk will be built along the Hydro One easement to accommodate the future development of the Fernbank Community Design Plans as referenced in the Master Servicing Study for the Fernbank lands. Once constructed, the Liard Street Pump Station will be decommissioned, and all flows from the Liard Street Pump Station sewershed and the Area 6 lands will be directed to the Fernbank Trunk through a gravity sewer. The Fernbank Trunk will convey flows to the Hazeldean Pump Station. The decommissioning work will be undertaken by the City, based on the time frame provided in Infrastructure Master Plan.

The Fernbank Trunk was designed for a peak flow of 528L/s and has a capacity of 670L/s which leaves an excess capacity of 142L/s. As per section 6.1.3 of this report, the Liard Street Pump Station had a monitored flow of 39L/s in 2010, and proposed Area 6 peak design flows is 85L/s which summates to 124L/s. Based on these flows, there is adequate capacity in the Fernbank Trunk.

Based on coordination with the Landowners within the Fernbank CDP lands, the sewer depth and size will be accounted for at the proposed subdivisions within the Fernbank Lands CDP to provide the required capacity in order to eventually decommission the Liard Street Pump station and accumulate the Area 6 flows. The cost for over-sizing and over –depth of the sewers is discussed in Section 9.2.

City of Ottawa Page -9

Table ES 3: WUC summary of flow generation scenarios

	È			CURI	RENT SE	WER COI	NFIGURA	TION	
PUMPING STATION OR TRUNK SEWER	FIRM CAPACITY	EXISTING	FLOW (1)	Scena	ario 1	Scen	ario 2	Scen	ario 3
(Year)			2010	2031	2060	2031	2060	2031	2060
	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)
Richmond Pump Station	360		151	340	340	314	314	407	407
Stittsville PS	108		39	106	506	77	300	91	353
Hazeldean Pump Station	1225		832	1537	1937	1373	1596	1741	2003
Kanata West Pump Station ⁽²⁾	765		152	593	689	462	555	561	678
Signature Ridge Pump Station ⁽³⁾	360		54	309	423	218	302	256	351
March Pump Station	490		326	771	941	668	814	820	1008
Acres Road Pump Station	4600		2119	4186	4966	3774	4320	4437	5099
Glen Cairn Trunk		2815 to 2988	1139	2512	3008	2192	2508	2758	3137
Stittsville Trunk		519 to 972	358	485	885	444	679	572	732
Main Street Sewer		307 to 739	138	330	444	237	321	342	399
Penfield Sewer		398 to 734	170	360	474	267	351	342	437
March Ridge Trunk (Above March Forcemain)		1223	245	434	548	339	423	428	523
March Ridge Trunk (Below March Forcemain)		1016	571	1205	1489	1007	1237	1248	1531
Watts Creek Siphon		1014	571	1205	1489	1007	1237	1248	1531
Tri-Township Collector		1595 to 1803	1705	3717	4497	3199	3745	4006	4668
March Wood Trunk		1100	230	574	705	502	616	608	752
East March Trunk		550	96	172	211	141	173	187	231
North Kanata Trunk - Phase I		4047 to 4640	1705	3717	4497	3199	3745	4006	4668
Nepean Collector		190	190	197	197	193	193	234	234
Watt's Creek Trunk		5418 to 6640	1891	3914	4694	3392	3938	4240	4902

The coloured cells in the table identify the component of the current sewer system that is under capacity by the time of the projected growth in 2031 or 2060.

^{(1) –} flow results based on the dynamic model calculation;

APPENDIX C

WATER SUPPLY

STITTSVILLE SOUTH SUBDIVISION CITY OF OTTAWA

DETAILED SERVICING & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:

ROSS BRADLEY, CINQUE TERRE HOLDINGS INC. & STITTSVILLE SOUTH INC.

Prepared By:

NOVATECH

Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2M 1P6

> September 21, 2015 Revised July 18, 2016

> Novatech File: 113004 Ref: R-2015-072

6.0 WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

6.1 Background Information

The proposed development is located within the service area of Pressure Zone 3W of the City of Ottawa water distribution system. This zone is fed by the Glen Cairn and Campeau Drive Pump Stations. Balancing storage during peak and fire flow conditions is accomplished with use of the Stittsville Elevated Tank.

The existing water distribution system adjacent to the study area includes;

- 400mm diameter watermain on Fernbank Road
- 300mm diameter watermain on Arrowwood Drive
- 200mm diameter watermain on Hartsmere Drive
- 250mm diameter watermain on West Ridge Drive

The existing ground elevations within Area 6 are between 105m and 124m above sea level.

Novatech has retained Stantec Consulting Ltd.(Stantec) to undertake a hydraulic analysis using the City's most up to date model which had recently been updated for the 2013 Water Master Plan update. The analysis takes into account the future Fernbank CDP Lands. The Stantec findings and recommendations; 'Stittsville Area 6 - Potable Water Hydraulic Assessment Phase 1 &2' dated September 2nd, 2015 can be found in **Appendix D**.

6.2 Previous Recommendations

As part of the *Area 6 MSR*, it was determined that the preferred water servicing alternative consists of a 250mm watermain spine, and 200mm diameter watermain feeding adjacent local roads. It was also recommended that a 300mm diameter watermain be extended from Arrowwood Drive into the proposed site as 200mm watermain for backbone continuity, and the 400mm diameter watermain on Fernbank Road be extended towards the East in order to meet the proposed 250mm diameter spine. Refer to **Figure 6.1**.

6.3 Overall Water Demand & Criteria

The water demands for Stittsville South would be estimated using the City of Ottawa's Water Distribution Design Guidelines.

6.3.1 Water Demand

The domestic demand design criteria used to determine the size of the watermains required to service the Stittsville South area are as follows:

Domestic Demand

Average Residential Domestic Flow per capita 350 L/cap/day

Capita per dwelling 3.4 persons per single

2.7 persons per townhouse

2.3 persons per stacked townhouse

2.1 persons per apartment

Maximum Day Demand 2.5 x Average Day Demand Peak Hour Demand 2.2 x Maximum Day Demand

Commercial and Parks Demand

Commercial Capita 50,000 L/ha/day

Maximum Day Demand 1.5 x Average Day Demand Peak Hour Demand 1.8 x Maximum Day Demand

Park Demand 1000 L/park/day

6.3.2 Fire Flow Demand

The City of Ottawa requires proposed watermain networks meet Fire Underwriters Survey fire flow requirements. However, Technical Bulletin ISDTP-2014-02 specifies that the fire flow requirement can be capped at 10,000 L/min for the following;

- Single detached dwellings, provided that there is a minimum rear yard separation of 10m between adjacent units.
- Town and row homes, provided that firewalls with a minimum of two hour fire-resistance rating that comply with OBC Div. B, Subsection 3.1.10 are used to separate home blocks into fire areas that comprise no more than the lesser of seven units, and 600m² of building area. Furthermore, there must be a minimum rear yard separation of 10m.

Based on the above, the watermain analysis has assessed the ability of the proposed network to attain a fire flow of 10,000 L/min at all locations. Fire Underwriters Survey fire flow calculations have also been included for reference in **Appendix D**.

6.3.3 Design Criteria

The design criteria used to determine the size of the watermains required to service the Stittsville South area are based on a conservative approach that considers three possible scenarios, as follows:

System Pressures

Maximum Allowable Pressure 551.6kPa (80psi)
Minimum Allowable Pressure (excluding fire flow conditions) 275.8kPa (40psi)
Minimum Allowable Pressure (including fire flow conditions) 137.9Kpa (20psi)

6.4 Watermain Analysis

Novatech has retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. to conduct a hydraulic analysis of the proposed development potential, and its effects on the City's water infrastructure. The hydraulic network model and memo 'Stittsville Area 6-Phase 1 & 2 - Potable Water Hydraulic Assessment' dated September 2nd, 2015 is included in **Appendix D**. The hydraulic network simulated average day, peak hour and maximum day plus fire flow conditions.

Stantec used the City's most up to date model that was recently updated for the 2013 Water Master Plan. Both current conditions and future conditions (anticipated 2031 conditions from the 2031 Water Master Plan model) were analyzed.

It is important to note that in the area of the proposed development, head losses under peak demands could reduce minimum pressures to below guideline requirements at higher

elevations. Future planned connections as per the Water Master Plan, within the Fernbank lands will mitigate this issue resulting in increased minimum pressures.

6.5 Discussion

6.5.1 Low Pressures

Under peak hour demands, ground elevations greater than 124m are susceptible to minimum pressures marginally below the required 40psi under 2013 existing conditions. In future 2013 conditions, minimum pressures everywhere within the proposed development are not expected to drop below required pressures.

Within the vicinity of the cul-de-sac at the end of Street Five, ground elevations are greater than 124m. In order to mitigate marginally low expected pressures, it is proposed that 25mm services be installed for Lots 23, 24, and 25 to alleviate low pressure concerns. The specifications and details of these mitigations will be provided in the detail design drawings of the Camplina Way, 113004-GP1.

It is also expected that buildings within Block 349 will experience marginally low pressures due to the ground elevations in this area. Within Block 349, jet pumps will be required where buildings are greater than two stories tall. The jet pumps will be owned and maintained by the condominium corporation. Such mitigation measures, including the jet pumps, will be finalized within servicing reports during detailed design in support of the site plan application.

Similarly, at Block 353 contains the potential for a 6 story condominium building that will likely require pressure boosting measures. Such measures include but are not limited to jet pumps within the mechanical room of the apartment block. These measures will be owned and maintained by the condominium corporation and will be finalized within servicing reports during detailed design in support of the site plan application.

Refer to the Legal Plan of Subdivision for the location of the aforementioned blocks.

6.5.2 High Pressures

Under average day demands (also known as basic day demands), ground elevations less than 106m will experience pressures greater than the required limit of 80psi. As Phase 1 and 2 of the Stittsville South subdivision do not have any finished grade elevations around residential units or street below elevation 106.00, high pressures are not a concern.

6.5.3 Fire Flow

As per Appendix B-5 through B-8 of the attached Stantec Potable Water Hydraulic Assessment, a 10,000L/min fire flow is attained in general throughout the proposed development as per ISDB-TB2014-01. However there are a few localized exceptions, where the criteria was not met as discussed below.


At node A95 (at the dead end of Campolina Way) the available fire flow is 8000L/min. The FUS long calculation for Lot 25 (worst case scenario) was calculated to be 8,000L/min; therefore, the fire demand is met. a second 250mm watermain loop (along Falabella, Campolina, Lipizzaner) was introduced to retain the minimum required fire flows of 8,000L/min.

As per ISD-TB 2014-01, at node 81, the residential configuration does not allow the 10,000 L/min fire demand to be utilized and requires the FUS long method to be utilized. As such, the fire demand at this location is 13,000 L/min. The available fire flow at residual pressure of 20 psi, is 18,000L/min; therefore, the fire demand is met.

6.6 Recommendations & Proposed Water Infrastructure

Based on the findings of 'Stittsville Area 6 - Potable Water Hydraulic Assessment' there is sufficient capacity to provide both the required domestic and emergency fire flows to the service area. In order to accomplish this, it is proposed that the 250mm diameter watermain existing west of the development would be extended through Area 6 and reconnected at Fernbank Road. This 250mm watermain would act as the spine, from which 200mm diameter watermain will feed adjacent roads. It is also recommended that an existing 300mm diameter watermain be extended as a 200mm watermain from Arrowwood Drive into the proposed site for backbone continuity, and the 400mm diameter watermain on Fernbank Road be extended towards the East in order to meet the proposed 250mm diameter spine. Elevations greater than 124m will require additional measures to increase peak hour pressures. Elevations less than 106m will require pressure reduction measures. Refer to Figure 6.1 for sizing.

It is likely that the eastern portion of Parade (Lots 286 - 295) will be developed in advance of the Davidson Lands; hence the watermain within this portion will be deemed a dead-end. Is it anticipated that the Davidson Lands will advance within the next two years. As there are less than 50 units temporarily connected to this portion of the main, the City's guidelines are met.



APPENDIX D

Hydraulic Analysis – Stantec (Retained by Novatech)

Stittsville Area 6 - Potable Water Hydraulic Assessment of Phase 1 & 2



Prepared for: Novatech Engineering Consultants Limited

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled Stittsville Area 6 - Potable Water Hydraulic Assessment of Phase 1 & 2 was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of Novatech Engineering Consultants Ltd. (the "Client"). Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

	grachle	
Prepared by	J	
	(Signature)	
Val Hoang, M.A.Sc.,	Engineering Intern	

Reviewed by ______(Signature)

Kevin Alemany, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.



Table of Contents

EXEC	JTIVE SUM	MARY	••••
1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	AREA OF GROUND PREVIOUS	DEVELOPMENT	. 1.1 . 1.2 . 1.3
2.0 2.1 2.2	ALLOWA	ABILITY	. 2.8
3.0	WATER DI	EMANDS	3.1
4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3	HYDRAUL BASIC DA	IC MODELLING RESULTS	. 4.1 . 4.1
5.0	CONCLU	SION	5.1
LIST O	FTABLES		
Table Table Table	3-2: Non-R 3-3: Estimo 4-1: C-Fac	ated Residential Population based on Unit Types Residential Areas ated Water Demand Stors Used for Applied Watermain Based on Pipe Diameter Ual Pressure during BSDY and PKHR Demands	. 3.1 . 3.1 . 4.1
LIST OI	F FIGURES		
Figure Figure Figure	1-2: Grou 1-3: Conr Repo 1-4: Phasi	tion of Area 6nd Elevations (m) in Area of Proposed Development nection Points of Area 6 to Existing Watermains from Stantec 2014 ortng Plan	. 1.2 . 1.3 . 1.5
Figure Figure	1-5: Conr 1-6: Propo	nection Points to Existing System and Future Development osed Piping Sizing and Alignment	. 1.6 . 1.7
LIST OF	APPENDI	CES	
APPEN	IDIX A	FUS FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS	.A.1
APPEN	IDIX B	HYDRAULIC MODELLING RESULTS	. B.1



Executive Summary

Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) has carried out a detailed potable water hydraulic analysis for Phases 1 and 2 of the proposed Area 6 service area located in Stittsville on behalf of Novatech Engineering Consultants Ltd. The proposed Area 6 development is located between Stittsville Main Street and Shea Road along Fernbank Road and is adjacent to the boundaries of Pressure Zone 3W of the City of Ottawa water distribution system.

A hydraulic assessment was performed using the City's most up to date model (with permission) for existing conditions to simulate Phase 1 and 2. The spine of the network is proposed to be 250mm diameter piping (which connects to existing watermains) with 200mm diameter piping making up the remainder of the internal network.

The proposed watermain to service the mixed use development has sufficient capacity to provide the required domestic demands while maintaining the City's objective pressure in the development. However, additional consideration should be taken for buildings with more than two storeys in height as they are subject to experiencing low pressures (i.e. below 40 psi) on the higher floors during peak demands. Proposed building heights, ground elevations and minimum pressure constraints need to be considered accordingly.

A fire flow assessment under maximum day demand conditions was carried out and it was determined that fire flows greater than 10,000 L/min can be achieved while maintaining a residual pressure of 20 psi throughout the development except for one dead-end location. According to the latest site plans, this dead-end location is anticipated to service units that require 8,000 L/min of fire flow per the FUS calculation, which is deemed achievable according to model results presented herein.



Background September 2, 2015

1.0 BACKGROUND

1.1 AREA OF DEVELOPMENT

Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) has undertaken a hydraulic assessment of the potable water servicing area for the proposed Area 6 Stittsville development on behalf of Novatech Engineering Consultants Ltd. This analysis specifically reviews conditions of Phase 1 and 2_as they are currently in the detailed design stage. Area 6 is a mixed-use development that includes single homes, town houses, apartment buildings and a small commercial area.

The proposed development site is located between Stittsville Main Street and Shea Road along Fernbank Road (Figure 1-1). It is located within the service area of Pressure Zone 3W of the City of Ottawa water distribution system. Zone 3W is fed by the Glen Cairn and Campeau Drive Pump Stations with the Stittsville Elevated Tank providing balancing storage for peak flows as well it provides storage to meet emergency and fire flow conditions.




Figure 1-1: Location of Area 6



Background September 2, 2015

1.2 GROUND ELEVATIONS

The existing ground elevations of the proposed Area 6 development range from approximately 107m and 125m. The elevations shown on **Figure 1-2** were interpolated from an elevation topography file and assigned to the nodes in the hydraulic model.

Future Development 108 109 109 107 111 108 111 111 112 110110 113 113 Legend 119 117 Elevations (m) 119 Proposed Pipes 122 **Existing Watermains** 118 119 Roads 121 125

Figure 1-2: Ground Elevations (m) in Area of Proposed Development




Background September 2, 2015

1.3 **PREVIOUS STUDIES**

In 2014, Stantec performed a Zone Level hydraulic analysis for Stittsville Area 6 where the criteria described in the 2013 Water Master Plan (WMP) was used to estimate water demands for the entire development. The resulting proposed watermain network is shown in Figure 1-3 along with the connection points of Area 6 to the existing water distribution system. Pipes within the proposed network are made up of 305mm, 250mm and 203mm in diameter.

Figure 1-3: Connection Points of Area 6 to Existing Watermains from Stantec 2014 Report



Hydraulic modelling showed that the proposed pipe sizing and alignment was capable of providing domestic demand and the City's Objective fire flow of 10,000 L/min while maintaining pressures in accordance to the City Guidelines except for one location. This location is the dead-end located in the southwest (cul-de-sac) of the development which was capable of achieving 7,000 L/min of flow. Additionally, since this location has a ground elevation greater than 124.5m, it resulted in minimum pressures slightly below the City's objective of 40 psi during peak hour demands. It was recommended that oversized services and plumbing be considered



Background September 2, 2015

for this location to achieve minimum pressures of 40 psi. Constraints of multi-storey buildings located in areas of high elevations should also be considered accordingly to avoid low pressure on the higher storeys.

During analysis of Phase 3 which was modeled under future 2031 conditions, it was noted that the typical operating pressures are anticipated to exceed the objective limit of 80 psi in the lands with lower elevations. Areas with ground elevations less than 106m are expected to experience pressures greater than 80 psi and require pressure reducing measures to be in accordance with the Ontario Plumbing.

1.4 PHASING & PROPOSED PIPING

Area 6 is currently proposed to be developed in 3 phases (**Figure 1-4**). Phase 1 and 2 are currently in the detailed design stage while Phase 3 is not expected to be developed in the short term and not included in this latest servicing analysis.

Phase 1 - the Cavanagh lands includes

- a connection to the existing 250mm diameter watermain on West Ridge Dr. (point 1);
- a connection the existing 203mm diameter watermain on Hartsmere Dr. (point 2).

Phase 2 - Regional lands and Bell Lands west of Cavanagh Lands includes

- an extension of 300mm diameter watermain along Arrowwood Dr. (point 3);
- a connection to the existing 203mm diameter watermain on Hartsmere Dr. (point 4); and
- a connection to the existing 203mm diameter watermain on Friendly Cres. (point 5).

Phase 3 - Davidson Lands (to be developed in future phases)

construction of a small section of 400mm diameter pipe to connect point 6 to the
existing watermain on Fernbank; this extension would represent a portion of the
extended future 400mm diameter watermain along Fernbank Road towards Shea Road.



Background September 2, 2015





Figure 1-4: Phasing Plan

Figure 1-5 shows the connection points of the development to the existing watermain network and the connection from Phase 2 to the future development (Phase 3) on the east side of Area 6 (denoted as connection "F"). It should be noted that the watermain from connection 3 into the development (along Arrowwood Drive) as shown in **Figure 1-6** was previously proposed to be a 305mm diameter pipe (refer to **Figure 1-3**) but has been revised to 250mm and 203mm pipes to avoid oversized piping. Additionally, to increase the and minimum pressures during peak hour and fire flow at the cul-de-sac location, the surrounding pipes are recommended to be upsized from 203mm to 250mm diameter watermains.



Background September 2, 2015

Figure 1-5: Connection Points to Existing System and Future Development



Background September 2, 2015

Legend

Connection Points

Existing Watermaina

Reads

I Future Development

Proposed Pipes

Dia. (mm)

203

254

406

Figure 1-6: Proposed Piping Sizing and Alignment



Serviceability September 2, 2015

2.0 SERVICEABILITY

2.1 ALLOWABLE PRESSURES

The City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines state that the design objective for system pressures under normal demand conditions (i.e. average day, maximum day and peak hour) is in the range of 40 to 80 psi at the ground elevation in the streets (i.e. at hydrant level). The maximum pressure at any point in the distribution system is 100 psi; however, as per the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, pressure relief measures are required for services when pressures greater than 80 psi are anticipated. Under emergency fire flow conditions, the minimum pressure in the distribution system is allowed to drop to 20 psi.

Multi-storey residential buildings require an additional 5 psi for every additional storey over two storeys to account for the change in elevation head and some additional headloss. For example, the minimum pressure required for a two-storey building is 40 psi whereas a three-storey building requires at least 45 psi and a four-storey building requires at least 50 psi. This is to account for the difference in elevation and additional pipe headloss.

2.2 FIRE FLOWS

The City of Ottawa requires new developments to demonstrate that the proposed watermain network can achieve the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) fire flow objective (using the long form calculation). The City's Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 specifies the type of development and condition that allow fire flow requirements to be capped at 10,000L/min.

Novatech has confirmed that the maximum fire flow requirement that would be required based on the planned development is 10,000L/min. FUS calculations are presented in **Appendix A**. This report assesses the ability of the network to attain a fire flow of 10,000L/min throughout the network.



Water Demands September 2, 2015

3.0 WATER DEMANDS

The City of Ottawa's Water Design Guidelines were used for estimate the water demands of Phase 1 and 2 as they are in the detailed design stage of development. The average day (AVDY) demands were estimated using a residential consumption rate of 350 L/cap/d and population densities based on various unit types. **Table 3-1** shows the unit count and estimated population. For parks, a water consumption rate of 1,000L/d was applied. **Table 3-2** shows the total park area for Phase 1 and 2 and its corresponding water demand.

Maximum day (MXDY) demands were estimated by multiplying AVDY demands by a factor of 2.5 and peak hourly (PKHR) demands were estimated by multiplying MXDY demands by a factor of 2.2. **Table 3-3** shows the estimated water demands for each phase where the latest plans for Phase 1 and 2 calls for a total **650** units and an estimated population of **1,903**.

Table 3-1: Estimated Residential Population based on Unit Types

Phase	Unit Type	Persons/Unit	Units	Population
	Single Family	3.4	269	914
1	Town Houses	2.7	126	341
	Phase 1 Total		395	1,256
	Single Family	3.4	69	235
2	Town Houses	2.7	34	92
2	Apartments	2.1	152	320
	Phase 2 Total		255	647
			650	1,903

Table 3-2: Non-Residential Areas

Phase	Non-Residential	Area (ha)	Demand (L/s)
1	Dorle	1.33	0.77
2	Pulk	0.82	0.47

Table 3-3: Estimated Water Demand

Phase	Population	BSDY (L/s)	MXDY (L/s)	PKHR (L/s)	
1	1,256	5.08	12.71	27.96	
2	1,903	7.70	19.25	42.34	



Hydraulic Modelling Results September 2, 2015

4.0 HYDRAULIC MODELLING RESULTS

4.1 HYDRAULIC MODEL SET-UP

With the permission of the City, Stantec performed the hydraulic analysis using the City's 2013 Water Master Plan (WMP) model. Stantec assessed the anticipated pressures in the Area 6 development and reviewed potential upgrades/upsizing of existing watermains (if any) in order to meet minimum servicing requirements.

The software package used to carry out the analysis was H_2OMAP Water by Innovyze. The model was tested under three different domestic demand conditions: basic day (BSDY), peak hour (PKHR) and one emergency condition: maximum day plus fire (MXDY+FF). For the analysis herein, Stantec adjusted the previous model that was used for the 2014 hydraulic analysis to correspond to the updated changes of Phase 1 and 2.

New watermains were added to the hydraulic model to simulate the proposed distribution system. Hazen-Williams coefficients ("C-Factors") were applied to the new watermain in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Water Distribution Design Guidelines (Table 4-1):

Table 4-1: C-Factors Used for Applied Watermain Based on Pipe Diameter

Pipe Diameter (mm)	C-Factor
150	100
200 to 300	110
350 to 600	120
> 600	130

4.2 BASIC DAY AND PEAK HOUR DEMANDS

Steady-state modelling under 2013 (existing) conditions was used to model basic day and peak hour scenarios. **Table 4-2** shows the pressure observed during hydraulic modelling under BSDY and PKHR demands. It can be seen that maximum pressures do not exceed the City's objective of 80 psi in Phase 1 and 2.

During the previous 2014 study, one location within Area 6 with a ground elevation greater than 124m (cul-de-sac) was susceptible to minimum pressure marginally below 40 psi under peak hour. As such for this analysis herein, the pipes surrounding this area were upsized from 200mm to 250mm diameter watermains to increase the minimum pressures to the City's objective. Hydraulic modelling results show that in doing so, the minimum pressure at the cul-de-sac is at 40 psi and satisfies the City's guidelines. It is recommended that pressure testing be performed to confirm that pressures to not drop below 40 psi in this location.



Hydraulic Modelling Results September 2, 2015

Table 4-2: Residual Pressure during BSDY and PKHR Demands

Dhasa	BSDY	PKHR
Phase	Max. Pressure (psi)	Min. Pressure (psi)
1	72	40
2	76	40

Multi-storey buildings require an additional 5 psi for every additional storey over two storeys to account for the change in elevation head and additional headloss. Based on a resulting minimum hydraulic gradeline of 153m under peak demand conditions in Area 6, the following are the "cut-off" elevations for various multiple storey buildings, above which, pressures would fall below the minimum pressure guideline objective:

Two storeys: ground elev. greater than 124.5m results in pressures less than 40 psi.

Three storeys: ground elev. greater than 121.0m results in equivalent pressures less than 40 psi. Four storeys: ground elev. greater than 117.5m results in equivalent pressures less than 40 psi.

4.3 MAXIMUM DAY + FIRE FLOW

The City of Ottawa's design guidelines for water distribution systems require a minimum pressure of 20 psi to be maintained at all points in the distribution system under a condition of maximum day and fire flow demand. A hydraulic analysis was accomplished using a steady-state maximum day demand scenario along with the automated fire flow simulation feature of the software.

During 2013 conditions for Phase 1 and 2, the proposed network was able to supply fire flows greater than 10,000 L/min while maintaining a residual pressure of 20 psi at all locations in Area 6 except one location in the southwest of the development. This location was modelled as a dead-end and the available fire flow was approximately 8,000 L/min at a residual pressure of 20 psi. However, the latest site plan shows this area is anticipated to service single family homes and as per the FUS fire flow calculated for this unit type, the required fire flow is 8,000 L/min (Appendix A). See Appendix B for available fire flows as each location.



Conclusion September 2, 2015

5.0 CONCLUSION

The proposed mixed residential development is located in an area of the City's water distribution system that has sufficient capacity to provide both the required domestic and emergency fire flows to the majority of the service area using the proposed piping alignment and sizing proposed.

During existing conditions, Phases 1 and 2 are expected to operate in objective range of 40 - 80 psi under BSDY and PKHR demands. It is recommended, however, that the dead-end location (cul-de-sac) located in the southwest portion of the development be checked for pressures to confirm minimum pressures do not drop below 40 psi.

A fire flow analysis was performed and it was determined that a fire flow greater than 10,000 L/min is achievable at all locations except for one dead-end located in the southwest portion of the development. Site plans show that location is anticipated to service single family homes and will require an FUS fire flow of 8,000L/min which is achievable.



Appendix A FUS Fire Flow Calculations September 2, 2015

Appendix A FUS FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS





Fire Flow Calculations - Towns (w/o Party Walls) (6 Unit Row)

As per Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

PROJECT: Area 6 Lands

JOB#: 113004

DATE: Aug 2015

• Wood frame • Ordinary construction • Non-combustible construction (< 2 hrs) • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Area of structure (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² A Ar	С	Coefficient related to type of construction	[yes/no]				
• Ordinary construction • Non-combustible construction (< 2 hrs) • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 (All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys) F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A) ^{0.5} Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge • Non-combustible • Non-combustible • Limited combustible • Limited combustible • Combustible • Free burning • Rapid burning Sprinkler Reduction • Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) 0 - 3 m 3.1 - 10 m 10.1 - 20 m 20.1 - 30 m 30.1 - 45 m Fire Wall Separation • Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW {(1) - (2) + (3)} (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) BY: Adam Lambros				-			
• Non-combustible construction • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) • Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² (All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys) F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A) 0.5			,				
 Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) Fire resistive construction (> 2 hrs) Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) A Area of structure considered (m²)* (All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys) F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}				0.8			
+ Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,200 <==> 12,917 ft² (All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys) F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A) ^{0.5}		 Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) 					
A Area of structure considered (nf) * (All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys) F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A) ^{0.5} Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge • Non-combustible • Limited combustible • Combustible • Free burning • Rapid burning Sprinkler Reduction • Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) 0 - 3 m 3.1 - 10 m 20 // 10.1 - 20 m 20.1 - 30 m 30.1 - 45 m Fire Wall Separation • Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) Or 250 L/s or 3,303 IGPM BY: Adam Lambros		 Fire resistive construction (> 2 hrs) 		0.6			
CAll floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A) 0.5 11,432 L/min		• Interpolation (Using FUS Tables)					
All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys F Required fire flow (L/min) F = 220 C (A) ^{0.5} 11,432 L/min Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge 1-15% Limited combustible 1-15% Limited combustible 1-15% Combustible 0% Free burning 15% Rapid burning 25% Sprinkler Reduction 15% Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) 1/2 sides 1/2 side 1/2 side 0 - 3 m 20% 2 side 50% 3.1 - 10 m 20% 1 side 15% 20.1 - 30 m 20% 1 side 15% 20.1 - 30 m 20% 1 side 10% 30.1 - 45 m 5% Cumulative Total 75% Fire Wall Separation 6,430 L/min Fire Wall Separation 6,430 L/min REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] 15,000 L/min (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min 0r 250 L/s or 3,303 IGPM BY: Adam Lambros	Α	Area of structure considered (m²) *	1,200	<==>	12,917	ft ²	7
The standard of the standard		(All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys)					
Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge [yes/no] y -25% • Non-combustible y -25% • Limited combustible -15% • Combustible 0% • Free burning 15% • Rapid burning 25% Sprinkler Reduction Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) [yes/no] yes 25% 2 side 50% 3.1 - 10 m yes 15% 1 side 15% 10.1 - 20 m yes 15% 1 side 15% 20.1 - 30 m yes 10% 1 side 10% 30.1 - 45 m 5% Cumulative Total 75%	F	Required fire flow (L/min)					
• Non-combustible • Limited combustible • Limited combustible • Combustible • Combustible • Free burning • Rapid burning Sprinkler Reduction • Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50%		$F = 220 \text{ C (A)}^{0.5}$			11,432	L/min	<u> </u>
• Limited combustible • Combustible • Combustible • Free burning • Rapid burning Sprinkler Reduction • Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 8,574 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) [yes/no] 0 - 3 m yes 25% 2 side 50% 3.1 - 10 m 20% 10.1 - 20 m yes 15% 1 side 15% 20.1 - 30 m yes 10% 1 side 10% 30.1 - 45 m yes 10% 1 side 10% 5% Cumulative Total 75% Fire Wall Separation • Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] 15,000 L/min or 250 L/s or 3,303 IGPM BY: Adam Lambros		Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge	[yes/no]				
• Limited combustible		<u> </u>	V	-25%			
• Free burning • Rapid burning • Rapid burning Sprinkler Reduction • Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) [yes/no] 0 - 3 m yes 25% 2 side 50% 3.1 - 10 m 20% 10.1 - 20 m yes 15% 1 side 15% 20.1 - 30 m yes 15% 1 side 10% 30.1 - 45 m 5% Cumulative Total 75% Fire Wall Separation • Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] 15,000 L/min (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) Or 250 L/s or 3,303 IGPM		Limited combustible	•				
• Rapid burning		Combustible		0%			
Sprinkler Reduction		Free burning		15%			
Sprinkler Reduction		Rapid burning		25%			
◆ Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) [yes/no] 25% 2 side 50% 3.1 - 10 m 20% 20% 15% 1 side 15% 20% 15% 1 side 15% 20% 10% 1 side 10% 30.1 side 10%<					8,574	L/min	_(1)
Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) 0 - 3 m 3.1 - 10 m 10.1 - 20 m 20.1 - 30 m 30.1 - 45 m Fire Wall Separation N/A Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) BY: Adam Lambros				•			
0 - 3 m		▼ INOn-combustible - Fire Resistive (3	no	50%	0	L/min	= (2)
3.1 - 10 m 10.1 - 20 m 20% 10.1 - 30 m 30.1 - 45 m Fire Wall Separation N/A Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) PY: Adam Lambros		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[yes/no]	_			
10.1 - 20 m 20.1 - 30 m 30.1 - 45 m Fire Wall Separation N/A Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) BY: Adam Lambros			yes		2 side	50%	
20.1 - 30 m 30.1 - 45 m yes 10% 1 side 10% 5% Cumulative Total 75% 6,430 L/min Fire Wall Separation N/A Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) BY: Adam Lambros							
30.1- 45 m 5% Cumulative Total 75% 6,430 L/min Fire Wall Separation N/A Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) N/A REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) BY: Adam Lambros			yes				
Cumulative Total 75% 6,430 L/min			yes		1 side	10%	
Fire Wall Separation • Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) BY: Adam Lambros		30.1- 45 m					
Fire Wall Separation • Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) or or 3,303 IGPM				Cumulat	ive Total	75%	
* Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] 15,000 L/min (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) or 250 L/s or 3,303 IGPM BY: Adam Lambros					6,430	L/min	
(As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] 15,000 L/min		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N/A				
REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)] 15,000 L/min (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) or 250 L/s or 3,303 IGPM							
(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		(As per City of Ottawa Standard)			6,430	L/min	= (3)
BY: Adam Lambros							
BY: Adam Lambros		(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)					
				or	3,303	IGPM	
* Largest Block Size		BY: Adam Lambros					



Fire Flow Calculations - Towns (w/o Party Walls) (Blocks 344&343)

As per Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

DATE: Aug 2015

PROJECT: Area 6 Lands

JOB#: 113004

Coefficient related to type of construction [yes/no] Wood frame У 1.5 Ordinary construction 1 Non-combustible construction 8.0 Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) 0.7 Fire resistive construction (> 2 hrs) 0.6 Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) A Area of structure considered (m²) * 1,000 10,764 ft² (All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys) Required fire flow (L/min) $F = 220 \text{ C } (A)^{0.5}$ 10,436 L/min Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge [yes/no] • Non-combustible -25% Limited combustible -15% Combustible 0% • Free burning 15% Rapid burning 25% 7,827 L/min (1) Sprinkler Reduction • Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3 no 50% 0 L/min (2) Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides) [yes/no] 0 - 3 m 25% 1 side 25% yes 3.1 - 10 m 20% 1 side 20% yes 10.1 - 20 m 15% 20.1 - 30 m 10% 1 side 10% yes 30.1-45 m 5% 1 side yes 5% Cumulative Total 60% 4,696 L/min Fire Wall Separation N/A ◆ Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min 4,696 L/min (3) (As per City of Ottawa Standard) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)]13,000 L/min (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) 216.67 L/s or 2,862 IGPM or BY: Adam Lambros * Largest Block Size



Fire Flow Calculations - Single Residential Unit (At Cul-De-Sac)

As per Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

PROJECT: Area 6 Lands

DATE: Aug 2015

JOB#: 113004

F				
С	Coefficient related to type of construction	[yes/no]		
	◆ Wood frame	у	 1.5	
	 Ordinary construction 		1	
	 Non-combustible construction 		0.8	
	 Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) 		0.7	
	 Fire resistive construction (> 2 hrs) 		0.6	
	Interpolation (Using FUS Tables)			
Α	Area of structure considered (m²) *	360	<==>	3,875 ft ²
	(All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys)		1	
F	Required fire flow (L/min)			
	$F = 220 \text{ C (A)}^{0.5}$			6,261 L/min
	Occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge	[yes/no]		
	◆ Non-combustible	У	-25%	
	 Limited combustible 	-	-15%	
	Combustible		0%	
	Free burning		15%	
	Rapid burning		25%	
	Sprinkler Reduction			
	Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3	no	50%	0 <u>L/min</u> (2)
	Exposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides)	[yes/no]	_	
	0 - 3 m	yes	25%	2 side 50%
	3.1 - 10 m		20%	
	10.1 - 20 m		15%	
	20.1 - 30 m		10%	
	30.1- 45 m	yes	5%	
			Cumulat	ive Total 60%
				2,818 L/min
	Fire Wall Separation	N/A		
	Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min			
	(As per City of Ottawa Standard)			2,818 L/min (3)
	(por ony or original originally			(3)
	REQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)]			8,000 L/min
	(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		or	133.33 L/s
			or	1,761 IGPM
	BY: Adam Lambros			
	* Largest Size Unit			



ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS LTD. Fire Flow Calculations - Single Residential Unit (3,000sqft +)

As per Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

PROJECT: Area 6 Lands

DATE: Aug 2015

JOB#: 113004

C C	oefficient related to type of construction	[yes/no]				
	Wood frame	У	1.5			
	Ordinary construction		1			
	 Non-combustible construction 		0.8			
	 Fire resistive construction (< 2 hrs) 		0.7			
	 Fire resistive construction (> 2 hrs) 		0.6			
	 Interpolation (Using FUS Tables) 					
Аа	rea of structure considered (㎡) *	360	<==>	3,875	4 2	7
	All floors excluding Basement, under 2-Storeys)	000		0,070	' IL	J
(/	ni iloors excluding basement, under z-storeys)					
FR	equired fire flow (L/min)					
	$F = 220 \text{ C } (A)^{0.5}$			6,261	L/min	=
0	occupancy hazard reduction of surcharge	[yes/no]				
	Non-combustible	у	-			
	Limited combustible	,	-15%			
	◆ Combustible		0%			
	◆ Free burning		15%			
	• Rapid burning		25%			
	Tapia Salimiy		2070	4,696	L/min	(1)
S	prinkler Reduction				- Availant	
	Non-combustible - Fire Resistive (3)	no	50%	0	L/min	=(2)
E	xposure surcharge (cumulative (%), 2 sides)	[yes/no]				
	0 - 3 m	yes	- 25%	2 side	50%	
	3.1 - 10 m		20%			
	10.1 - 20 m	yes	15%	1 side	15%	
	20.1 - 30 m	yes	10%	1 side	10%	
	30.1- 45 m	Ĭ	5%			
			Cumulat	ive Total	75%	
				3,522	L/min	
F	ire Wall Separation	N/A				
	Number of Party Walls * 1000 L/min	,, .				
	(As per City of Ottawa Standard)		,	3,522	L/min	_(3)
R	EQUIRED FIRE FLOW [(1) - (2) + (3)]			8 000	L/min	7
	(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		or	133.33		
	(5,000 11111)		or		IGPM	
B,	Y: Adam Lambros					
	Largest Unit Size					
,	Largoot Offit Oizo					

Appendix B Hydraulic Modelling Results September 2, 2015

Appendix B HYDRAULIC MODELLING RESULTS






Figure B-1: Node IDs

PHASE 1

		BSI	Υ		PKHR			
ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (psi)	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (psi)
A100	0.195	124.0	160.7	52	1.075	124.0	153.3	42
A6	0.195	122.2	160.7	55	1.075	122.2	153.3	44
A3	0.195	118.3	160.7	60	1.075	118.3	153.3	50
A10	0.385	117.4	160.7	62	0.385	117.4	153.3	51
A7	0.195	119.0	160.7	59	1.075	119.0	153.3	49
A11	0.385	116.8	160.7	62	0.385	116.8	153.3	52
A4	0.195	115.9	160.7	64	1.075	115.9	153.3	53
A13	0.195	113.5	160.7	67	1.075	113.5	153.3	57
A8	0.195	114.6	160.7	66	1.075	114.6	153.3	55
A77	0.195	113.5	160.7	67	1.075	113.5	153.3	57
A20	0.195	112.5	160.7	69	1.075	112.5	153.3	58
A79	0.195	112.6	160.7	68	1.075	112.6	153.3	58
A19	0.195	111.8	160.7	70	1.075	111.8	153.3	59
A32	0.195	112.9	160.7	68	1.075	112.9	153.3	57
A81	0.195	112.7	160.7	68	1.075	112.7	153.3	58
A30	0.195	111 <i>.7</i>	160.7	70	1.075	111.7	153.3	59
A28	0.195	110.9	160.7	71	1.075	110.9	153.3	60
A17	0.195	110.5	160.7	71	1.075	110.5	153.3	61
A22	0.195	110.2	160.7	72	1.075	110.2	153.3	61
A26	0.195	111.8	160.7	70	1.075	111.8	153.3	59
A84	0.195	111.8	160.7	70	1.075	111.8	153.3	59
A85	0.195	110.2	160.7	72	1.075	110.2	153.3	61
A86	0.195	111.0	160.7	71	1.075	111.0	153.3	60
A36*	0.195	110.0	160.7	72	1.075	110.0	153.3	62
A12	0.195	111.7	160.7	70	1.075	111.7	153.3	59
Al	0.195	121.0	160.7	56	1.075	121.0	153.3	46
A2	0.195	119.0	160.7	59	1.075	119.0	153.3	49
A95**	0.195	125.0	160.7	51	1.075	125.0	153.3	40
A5	0.055	123.2	160.7	53	0.056	123.2	153.3	43
А9	0.055	119.1	160.7	59	0.056	119.1	153.3	49

^{*}Node A36 is a connection to Phase 2; does remain a dead-end

^{**}Node A95 is a dead-end located southwest of the development

PHASE 1 MXDY+FF

ID	Static Demand (L/s)	Static Pressure (psi)	Static Head (m)	Fire-Flow Demand (Lpm)	Residual Pressure (psi)	Available Flow at Hydrant (Lpm)	Available Flow Pressure (psi)
A1	0.489	49	155.4	10,000	23	13,000	20
A10	0.385	54	155.4	10,000	27	13,000	20
A100	0.489	45	155.4	10,000	24	13,000	20
All	0.385	55	155.4	10,000	35	16,000	20
A12	0.489	62	155.4	10,000	42	17,000	20
A13	0.489	60	155.4	10,000	35	15,000	20
A17	0.489	64	155.4	10,000	33	13,000	20
A19	0.489	62	155.4	10,000	37	15,000	20
A2	0.489	52	155.4	10,000	29	15,000	20
A20	0.489	61	155.4	10,000	40	16,000	20
A22	0.489	64	155.4	10,000	33	13,000	20
A26	0.489	62	155.4	10,000	40	16,000	20
A28	0.489	63	155.4	10,000	42	17,000	20
A3	0.489	53	155.4	10,000	30	15,000	20
A30	0.489	62	155.4	10,000	42	18,000	20
A32	0.489	60	155.4	10,000	43	19,000	20
A36*	0.489	65	155.4	10,000	17	12,000	20
A4	0.489	56	155.4	10,000	29	13,000	20
A5	0.056	46	155.4	10,000	26	13,000	20
A6	0.489	47	155.4	10,000	27	14,000	20
A7	0.489	52	155.4	10,000	33	16,000	20
A77	0.489	60	155.4	10,000	41	18,000	20
A79	0.489	61	155.4	10,000	39	16,000	20
A8	0.489	58	155.4	10,000	39	17,000	20
A81	0.489	61	155.4	10,000	42	18,000	20
A84	0.489	62	155.4	10,000	40	16,000	20
A85	0.489	64	155.4	10,000	34	13,000	20
A86	0.489	63	155.4	10,000	36	14,000	20
A9	0.056	52	155.4	10,000	31	15,000	20
A95**	0.489	43	155.4	10,000	-2	8,000	20

^{*}Node A36 is a connection to Phase 2; does remain a dead-end

^{**}Node A95 is a dead-end located southwest of the development

····	BSDY				PKHR			
ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (psi)	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressur (psi)
A100	0.195	124.0	160.7	52	1.075	124.0	152.9	41
Α6	0.195	122.2	160.7	55	1.075	122.2	152.9	44
A3	0.195	118.3	160.7	60	1.075	118.3	152.9	49
A10	0.385	117.4	160.7	62	0.385	117.4	152.9	50
A7	0.195	119.0	160.7	59	1.075	119.0	152.9	48
A11	0.385	116.8	160.7	62	0.385	116.8	152.9	51
A4	0.195	115.9	160.7	64	1.075	115.9	152.9	53
A13	0.195	113.5	160.7	67	1.075	113.5	152.9	56
A8	0.195	114.6	160.7	66	1.075	114.6	152.9	54
A77	0.195	113.5	160.7	67	1.075	113.5	152.9	56
A20	0.195	112.5	160.7	69	1.075	112.5	152.9	57
A79	0.195	112.6	160.7	68	1.075	112.6	152.9	57
A19	0.195	111.8	160.7	69	1.075	111.8	152.9	58
A32	0.195	112.9	160.7	68	1.075	112.9	152.9	57
A81	0.195	112.7	160.7	68	1.075	112.7	152.9	57
A30	0.195	111.7	160.7	70	1.075	111.7	152.9	59
A28	0.195	110.9	160.7	71	1.075	110.9	152.9	60
A17	0.195	110.5	160.7	71	1.075	110.5	152.9	60
A22	0.195	110.2	160.7	72	1.075	110.2	152.9	61
A26	0.195	111.8	160.7	69	1.075	111.8	152.9	58
A84	0.195	111.8	160.7	69	1.075	111.8	152.9	58
A85	0.195	110.2	160.7	72	1.075	110.2	152.9	61
A86	0.195	111.0	160.7	71	1.075	111.0	152.9	59
A36	0.195	110.0	160.7	72	1.075	110.0	152.9	61
A12	0.195	111.7	160.7	70	1.075	111.7	152.9	59
A1	0.195	121.0	160.7	56	1.075	121.0	152.9	45
A2	0.195	119.0	160.7	59	1.075	119.0	152.9	48
495**	0.195	125.0	160.7	51	1.075	125.0	152.9	40
A5	0.647	123.2	160.7	53	3.556	123.2	152.9	42
A9	0.647	119.1	160.7	59	3.556	119.1	152.9	48
A24	0.237	107.7	160.7	75	0.237	107.7	152.8	64
A73	0.264	110.6	160.7	71	1.454	110.6	152.8	60
A23	0.237	109.4	160.7	73	0.237	109.4	152.8	62
A18	0.264	107.0	160.7	76	1.454	107.0	152.8	65
A62	0.264	107.7	160.7	75	1.454	107.7	152.9	64
A14	0.264	108.9	160.7	74	1.454	108.9	152.9	62
A15	0	107.5	160.7	76	0.061	107.5	152.9	64
A21	0.264	109.6	160.7	73	1.454	109.6	152.8	61

PHASE 2 MXDY+FF

ID	Static Demand (L/s)	Static Pressure (psi)	Static Head (m)	Fire-Flow Demand (Lpm)	Residual Pressure (psi)	Available Flow at Hydrant (Lpm)	Available Flow Pressure (psi)
A1	0.489	49	155.3	10,000	30	13,000	20
A10	0.385	54	155.3	10,000	31	13,000	20
A100	0.489	44	155.3	10,000	27	12,000	20
A11	0.385	55	155.3	10,000	38	16,000	20
A12	0.489	62	155.2	10,000	45	17,000	20
A13	0.489	59	155.3	10,000	38	15,000	20
A14	0.661	66	155.2	10,000	42	15,000	20
A15	0	68	155.2	10,000	33	12,000	20
A17	0.489	64	155.2	10,000	37	13,000	20
A18	0.661	69	155.2	10,000	43	15,000	20
A19	0.489	62	155.2	10,000	40	15,000	20
A2	0.489	52	155.3	10,000	34	14,000	20
A20	0.489	61	155.3	10,000	43	16,000	20
A21	0.489	65	155.2	10,000	41	15,000	20
A22	0.237	64	155.2	10,000	37	13,000	20
A23	0.237	65	155.2	10,000	34	12,000	20
A24	0.489	68	155.2	10,000	42	15,000	20
A26	0.489	62	155.2	10,000	44	17,000	20
A28	0.489	63	155.2	10,000	45	17,000	20
A3	0.489	52	155.3	10,000	35	15,000	20
A30	0.489	62	155.2	10,000	45	18,000	20
A32	0.489	60	155.3	10,000	46	19,000	20
A36	0.489	64	155.2	10,000	42	15,000	20
A4	1.616	56	155.3	10,000	32	13,000	20
A5	0.489	46	155.3	10,000	29	13,000	20
A6	0.661	47	155.3	10,000	30	13,000	20
A62	0.489	68	155.2	10,000	44	15,000	20
A7	0.661	52	155.3	10,000	36	15,000	20
A73	0.489	63	155.2	10,000	32	12,000	20
A77	0.489	59	155.3	10,000	44	18,000	20
A79	0.489	61	155.3	10,000	42	16,000	20
A8	0.489	58	155.3	10,000	42	17,000	20
A81	0.489	61	155.3	10,000	45	18,000	20
A84	0.489	62	155.2	10,000	44	17,000	20
A85	0.489	64	155.2	10,000	37	13,000	20
A86	1.616	63	155.2	10,000	43	16,000	20
A9	0.489	51	155.3	10,000	34	14,000	20
A95**	0.489	43	155.3	10,000	5.1	8,000	20

^{**}Node A95 is a dead-end located southwest of the development

IBI GROUP REPORT
DESIGN BRIEF
DAVIDSON LANDS – OPA 76 AREA 6a
PHASE 1
5993 FLEWELLYN ROAD
Prepared for Davidson Co-Tenancy (Tartan Land Corporation)

2 WATER SUPPLY

2.1 Existing Conditions

The proposed development is located within the service area of Pressure Zone 3W of the City of Ottawa water distribution system. The zone is fed by the Glen Cairn and Campeau Drive Pump Stations, both of which are remote from the site. Balancing storage during peak and fire flow conditions is provided by the Stittsville Elevated Tank. There are several existing watermains adjacent to the site including 200 mm diameter watermains on both Fernbank Road and Friendly Crescent and a 200 mm diameter watermain in Fernbank Road, west of the site. As part of the development of the adjacent Stittsville South lands a 250 mm watermain will be extended along Hickstead Drive which extends to Street No. 3 in Phase 1. **Figure 2.1** shows the location of the existing Water Plan adjacent to the site.

2.2 Serviceability Study

A conceptual water plan for the Stittsville South Area 6 area was included in the 2013 MSR study. A copy of the recommended plan, Watermain Concept Plan – Figure 6.1 from that report is included in **Appendix A**. The main elements of the recommended plan for the subject site include an extension of the proposed 250 mm diameter main spine through the subject site from the west (Regional Lands) and connecting to the existing watermain on Fernbank Road to the north.

2.3 Design Criteria

2.3.1 Water Demands

Water demands have been calculated for the full development including Phase 1. Per unit population density and consumption rates are taken from Tables 4.1 and 4.2 at the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution and are summarized as follows:

•	Single Family	3.4 person per unit
•	Townhouse and Semi-Detached	2.7 person per unit
•	Average Apartment	1.8 person per unit
•	Residential Average Day Demand	350 l/cap/day
•	Residential Peak Daily Demand	875 l/cap/day
•	Residential Peak Hour Demand	1,925 l/cap/day
•	ICI Average Day Demand	50,000 l/gross ha/day
•	ICI Peak Daily Demand	75,000 l/gross ha/day
•	ICI Peak Hour Demand	135,000 l/gross ha/day

Residential units in Phase 1 consist of single family, semi-detached and street townhouses. A future commercial site which is not part of this development is located at the north corner of the site adjacent to Fernbank and Shea Roads, the water demands for this site is included in the design. A watermain demand calculation sheet is included in **Appendix A** and the total water demands are summarized as follows:

IBI GROUP REPORT
DESIGN BRIEF
DAVIDSON LANDS – OPA 76 AREA 6a
PHASE 1
5993 FLEWELLYN ROAD
Prepared for Davidson Co-Tenancy (Tartan Land Corporation)

		Full Development	Phase 1
•	Average Day	10.85 l/s	6.39 l/s
•	Maximum Day	25.53 l/s	14.39 l/s
•	Peak Hour	55.25 l/s	30.76 l/s

2.3.2 System Pressure

The Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (WDG001), July 2010, City of Ottawa, Clause 4.2.2 states that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 552 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in Clause 4.2.2 of the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure	Minimum system pressure	under peak hour demand	d conditions shall not
------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	------------------------

be less than 276 kPa (40 psi)

Fire Flow During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall

not be less than 140 kPa (20 psi) during a fire flow event.

Maximum Pressure Maximum pressure at any point in the distribution system shall not

exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). In accordance with the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, the maximum pressure should not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure reduction controls will be required for buildings where it is not possible/feasible to maintain the system pressure below

552 kPa.

2.3.3 Fire Flow Rates

The Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method of calculating fire flow requirements is to be used in accordance with the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution. In the FUS method, wood frame buildings with separations less than three meters are considered one fire area. Buildings in the Davidson Lands Development are wood frame buildings, with separation less than three meters. An example is on Street No. 11 between lots 73 and 81 in which the 9 single family lots all have separations less than three meters thus making one fire area. A FUS calculation for this area is included in **Appendix A.** The calculations predict that the fire flow requirement needs to be 22,000 l/min which is impractical to supply with local watermains.

In the recent Technical Bulletin 'ISDTB-2014-02, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water', the fire flow requirements for single detached dwellings and traditional town and row houses can be capped at 10,000 l/min provided that there is a minimum separation of 10 meters between the backs of adjacent units and that the town and row house blocks are limited to 600 square meters of building areas and seven dwelling units. Since the residential units in the Davidson Lands meet the requirements of ISDTB-2014-02, the fire flow rate of 10,000 l/min (166.7 l/s) is used in the fire flow analysis.

There are no details for the future commercial site at the north of the development. Since the site is bisected by the Hydro One corridor there is a limit on the size of the building that can be placed on the site therefore a fire flow rate of 12,000 l/min (200 l/s) for the external commercial development is used in our fire flow analysis.

2.3.4 Boundary Conditions

The City of Ottawa has provided hydraulic boundary conditions at two locations; one at the existing main on Fernbank Road at Hartsmere Drive and the other at the southwest intersection of Friendly Crescent and Hartsmere Drive. Two separate conditions were given for the max day plus fire

scenario, one for the 167 l/s residential fire flow and a separate one for the 200 l/s commercial fire flow. A copy of the boundary conditions is included in **Appendix A** and summarized as follows:

	CONNECTION 1 FERNBANK ROAD	CONNECTION 2 FRIENDLY CRESCENT
Max HGL (Basic Day)	160.4 m	160.4 m
Peak Hour	151.1 m	150.8 m
Max Day + Fire (167 l/s Fire Flow)	142.3 m	135.2 m
Max Day + Fire (200 l/s Fire Flow)	137.5m	127.8 m

2.3.5 Hydraulic Model

A computer model for the overall Davidson Lands along with a separate model 1 containing only Phase 1 has been developed using the H20 MAP Version 6.0 program produced by MWH Soft Inc. The model includes the existing watermains and boundary conditions at Fernbank Road and Friendly Crescent.

2.4 Proposed Water Plan

2.4.1 Modeling Results

The hydraulic model was run under basic day, maximum day with fire flows and under peak hour conditions for the overall development and Phase 1 only. Water pipes are sized to provide sufficient pressure and to deliver the required fire flows. During the design stage all mains are tested at the minimum 150 mm diameter size, while the pressure criteria is met with the minimum sized mains the fire flow requirement is not achieved at all locations. The main sizes are increased in an iterative process until the fire flow results are sufficient for both the overall sub-division and Phase 1.

Results of the hydraulic model are include in **Appendix A** and summarized as follows:

<u>Scenario</u>	<u>Overall</u>	Phase 1 Only
Basic Day (Max HGL) Pressure Range	454.5 to 534.8 kPa	459.5 to 535.9 kPa
Peak Hour Pressure Range	359.6 to 438.4 kPa	367.1 to 440.9 kPa
Max Day + 167 l/s Fire Flow Minimum Flow	163.9 l/s	150.5 l/s
Max Day + 200 l/s Fire Flow Minimum Flow	247.3 l/s	208.8 l/s

A comparison of the results and design criteria is summarized as follows:

Maximum Pressure	All notes in both analysis have basic day pressures under 552 kPa,
	therefore pressure reducing control is not required for this development.
Minimum Pressure	All nodes in the model exceed the minimum value of 276 kPa (40 psi).

IBI GROUP REPORT
DESIGN BRIEF
DAVIDSON LANDS – OPA 76 AREA 6a
PHASE 1
5993 FLEWELLYN ROAD
Prepared for Davidson Co-Tenancy (Tartan Land Corporation)

Fire Flow

All residential nodes exceed the fire flow requirement of 166.7 l/s in the overall development. The fire flow for the commercial site exceeds the 200 l/s requirement using the boundary condition for the 200 l/s flow.


Under the Phase 1 analysis, there is one location at Node J24 at the south intersection of Maygrass Way and Kayenta Street where the fire flow is less than the requirement. The Phase 1 fire flow at Node 24 is 150.5 l/s which is within 90% of the 166.7 l/s requirement, the fire flow at this node increases to 204.0 l/s when the adjacent Phase 2 is constructed.

2.4.2 Watermain Layout

Figure 2.2 shows the proposed Water Plan for both Phase 1 and the balance of the sub-division.

In the 2013 MSR, a 400 mm watermain is proposed on Fernbank Road along the frontage of the Davidson Lands connecting to an existing 200 mm watermain that is shown on Figure 2.1. With the boundary conditions provided at Fernbank and Hartsmere Drive as shown in Section 2.3.4., the fire flows in the site range from 99.4 l/s to 146.4 l/s, in order to achieve the required fire flows the existing 200 mm watermain is required to be replaced and the 400 mm watermain will be extended to Hartsmere Drive.

A 250 mm watermain will be extended from the adjacent Stittsville South development along Hickstead Way and Edenwylde Drive to connect to the 400 mm main on Fernbank Road. For Phase 1 a second connection is required to the 400 mm main on Fernbank Road that will extend through Kayenta Street which is part of Phase 2. In order to service future Phases 2 and 3, a 250 mm watermain is required to connect to the 400 mm watermain on Fernbank Road at Jardiniere Street, this 250 mm main will, in a future phase cross the hydro corridor and a 250 mm main will be extended along Cosanti Drive connecting to the 250 mm main on Edenwylde Drive.



APPENDIX D

STORMWATER



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

ifsa.com

Paris. ON Gatineau. QC Montréal. QC Québec. QC

Ottawa. ON

January 30, 2023 Project Number: P2267

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited 120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

Attention: Kevin Murphy, P.Eng.

Subject: Caivan – Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road):

Pre-Development Hydraulic and Hydrologic Study

Introduction

As set out in the Terms of References for the Caivan Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road), drafted by David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd (DSEL) on June 9, 2022, the predevelopment hydraulic and hydrologic conditions of the proposed development site are required to be assessed. The following memo will assess the existing major flow patterns within and around the site, and outline the findings of a detailed pre-development water budget analysis based on hydrologic modelling using site-based soil data and historical rainfall data.


Site Overview


The subject lands are bound by Flewellyn Road to the south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6) and an estate lot subdivision to the west. The area is also bisected by the Faulkner Municipal Drain and a Hydro One power transmission corridor. For this study, the overall development area has been broken into two sections (referred to as east and west) bisected by the municipal drain and hydro corridor. The property parcel of 5993 Flewellyn Road (east) is cleared of trees and vegetation, while the west parcels (comprising 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road) are treed with patches of grassed areas. **Figure 1** provides an overview of the development site relative to the Faulkner drain and major roads.

Pre-Development Drainage

1m LiDAR flown in 2020 by the City of Ottawa has been obtained to determine the existing primary flow patterns within the site. This topographic data was imported into GIS software with watershed delineation tools applied to determine the drainage areas and primary flow paths within the site. **Figure 2** provides an overview of the primary existing subwatersheds and flow paths within the site.

From this analysis, it is seen that for the eastern lands, the site primarily consists of 2 major drainage areas both of which discharge to the Faulkner Drain on Flewellyn Road. For the west property, the drainage patterns are slightly more complex but approximately half of the lands discharge to the Faulkner Drain on Flewellyn road, while the remaining half discharges to the Faulkner drain which divides the east and west properties. Note that there is no external drainage area that flows across either site.





Project Ref #: P2267 Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Limited



Pre-Development Water Budget Analysis

A continuous SWMHYMO model has been developed to assess the site's pre-development water budget. This model makes use of site-based infiltration testing results as well as local climatic conditions, the following sections outline each of these items in detail.

Soil Infiltration / CN

Paterson Group completed Guelph Permeameter testing throughout the site, to determine the site's soil infiltration rates. From this analysis, soils were tested at both shallow depths (approximately 0.3 m - 0.5 m below ground) and deeper depths (0.5 m >). Based on Paterson's site testing the majority of the site consist of Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt with some localized pockets of silty clay with sand. Overall the site was found to have soil infiltration rates in the range of **26 mm/hr** to **76 mm/hr**. The localized infiltration results of this testing have been mapped in GIS and an inverse distance weighting algorithm was applied to provide a complete infiltration map of the site, **Figure 3** provides an overview of this mapping. A full summary of Paterson's site infiltration testing can be found in **Attachment A**. Based on Paterson's Site investigation the soils present are considered a "Type C" hydrologic soil group.


The latest available (2021) aerial mapping for the City of Ottawa was used to discretize the various land use conditions throughout the development site. **Figure B1** in **Attachment B** provides a visual overview of the study area. This land use data was merged with the underlying soil types to derive a Curve Number (CN), based on applicable values outlined in Tables A2 and A3 in the SWMHYMO Manual. Each Curve Number was then weighted based on the total area within a given subcatchment to determine the weighted CN for that subcatchment, see **Table B1** in **Attachment B**. Based on this analysis the site has a CN* of **65** and **61** for the lands East and West of the Faulkner Drain respectively.

Time to Peak

The time-to-peak values have been calculated based on existing topography using the City of Ottawa LiDAR. Flow paths have been discretized based on the topographic data using GIS tools and the longest major flow path was identified; **Figure B2** in **Attachment B** outlines the flow path discretization. The upstream and downstream topographic elevations and flow lengths were identified and used in the calculations. For this natural subcatchment, the Federal Aviation Administration (FFA) method was determined to be the most appropriate method to calculate the Time to Peak. **Table B2 in Attachment B** provides full details of these calculations, along with other time-to-peak values using alternative t₀ calculation methods.

Continuous Simulations

A continuous SWMHYMO model was developed to assess the site's water budget under predevelopment conditions. This model was run using 36 years of hourly rainfall data from the Ottawa International Airport from 1967 to 2003 (excluding missing 2001 rainfall data), the average annual evaporation, infiltration and runoff volumes from the subject site were computed and compared. Note that this rain gauge is generally only operational for the months of April-November. Outside of this window precipitation is more likely to be in the form of snowfall and the soils are also more likely to be frozen, making it difficult to simulate such conditions with a hydrologic model using conventional City parameters, as such, this period has not been considered in the analysis.





Simulation Results

The complete SWMHYMO modelling input and output files have been provided in **Attachment B**. **Table B3** provides the full summary of the SWMHYMO modelling, based on the 39 years of data, and outlines the maximum, minimum and average volumes and percentages of precipitation that evaporate infiltrate and runs off the site, **Table 1** below is an excerpt this summary.

Table 1: Pre-Development Water Budget based on Continuous Simulations

Precipitation	Evaporation		Infiltra	ation	Runoff		
(mm)	(mm)	(%)	(mm)	(%)	(mm)	(%)	
589.1	370.7	63%	116.9	20%	101.6	17%	

Based on the continuous simulations using 39 years of historical rainfall data it was determined that for the total development site, approximately 17% of the annual rainfall will result in runoff, 63% will evaporate and 20% will infiltrate.

Existing Hydraulic Conditions

Robinson Consulting Inc completed an updated hydraulic model of the Faulkner Drain as a part of their December 2020 "Amendment to the Engineer's Report for the Faulkner Municipal Drain". As a part of this study, modifications were proposed to the existing Faulkner Municipal Drain which included relocating a portion of the drain, lowering the drain profile, and modifying the cross-section of the drain to increase the capacity and reduce the potential for erosion of the steep banks. This study also considered the upgrading/replacement of 3 culverts within the drain. At the time of drafting this memo, these updates are either completed or currently under construction, as such can be reflective of current conditions.

Based on Table 4.2 of the Robinsons report there are three existing culverts that act as residential entrances on Flewellyn Road (Culverts 4+882.90, 5+055.00 & 5+185.40) that are either close to or have slightly less than the required capacity to safely convey the full 100-year flow. The culverts are likely controlling water levels along this portion of Flewellyn Road, as such these culverts should be revisited in the future to ensure that peak water levels are contained within the Faulkner Municipal drain.





Conclusion

In summary, a detailed topographic study has been undertaken on the site to identify all major flow paths within the development under existing conditions. From this analysis, it was found that for the eastern lands, the site primarily consists of 2 major drainage areas both of which discharge to the Faulkner Drain on Flewellyn Road. For the western lands, the drainage patterns are slightly more complex but approximately half of the lands discharge to the Faulkner Drain on Flewellyn Road, while the remaining half discharges to the Faulkner Drain where it divides the east and west properties.

Continuous hydrologic modelling has been completed which has made use of soil infiltration testing completed by Paterson Group to determine the site's predevelopment water budget. Based on this analysis it was determined that for the total development site, approximately 17% of the annual rainfall will result in runoff, 63% will evaporate and 20% will infiltrate.

Based on Table 4.2 of the Robinsons report there are three existing culverts that act as residential entrances on Flewellyn Road (Culverts 4+882.90, 5+055.00 & 5+185.40) that are either close to or have slightly less than the required capacity to safely convey the full 100year flow. The culverts are likely controlling water levels along this portion of Flewellyn Road, as such these culverts should be revisited in the future to ensure that peak water levels are contained within the Faulkner Municipal drain.

PROFESSIONAL

J. J. BURNETT

100227734

HOVINCE OF ONT

Yours truly,

J.F Sabourin and Associates Inc.

Jonathon Burnett, B.Eng, P.Eng Water Resources Engineer

cc: J.F Sabourin, M.Eng, P.Eng **Director of Water Resources Projects**

will

Figures

Figure 1: Site Overview

Figure 2: **Existing Drainage Patterns**

Figure 3: Soil Infiltration Map

Tables

Table 1A: Existing Water Budget Summary

Attachments

Attachment A: Paterson Group Soil Infiltration Testing

Attachment B: Water Budget Analysis





J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com


Attachment A

Paterson Group Soil infiltration Testing

	,	lic Conductivity Results and Estim	1	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Test Completed Adjacent to Borehole ID	Infiltration Testing Elevation (m asl)	Material	K _{fs} (m/s)	Unfactored Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)*
BH1-21	103.90 Brown Silty Sand 2		2.10E-06	56
D111-21	103.63	Brown Silty Sand	1.90E-06	56
BH2-21	106.95	Brown Silty Sand	6.40E-06	76
DUZ-SI	106.65	Brown Silty Sand	5.30E-07	39
BH7-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand	1.10E-06	47
ВП7-21	106.44	Brown Silty Sand	1.60E-06	52
BH11-21	104.68	Brown Silty Sand	2.70E-06	60
BU11-51	104.38	Brown Silty Sand	1.60E-06	52
BH15-21	102.70	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.10E-07	31
DU12-51	102.48	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	≤ 8.1E-09	≤ 13
BH17-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.90E-06	74
DU1/-51	106.44	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	4.10E-06	67
BH22-21	102.58	Brown Silty Sand	1.10E-06	47
DU57-51	102.28	Brown Silty Sand	1.60E-06	52
BH23-21	102.33	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	5.30E-07	39
DU52-51	101.70	Brown Silty Clay	≤ 8.1E-09	≤ 13
BH26-21	102.74	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.10E-07	26
BU50-51	102.44	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.10E-07	26
BH29-21	101.87	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.30E-07	39
DU73-71	101.57	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.70E-07	33
BH31-21	103.19	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.10E-06	47
р⊔21-71	102.89	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.35E-07	27
DU27 21	103.21	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.30E-06	72
BH37-21	102.91	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.90E-06	74

^{*}The infiltration rates do not include a safety correction factor. Based on our testing results, a safety correction factor can range between 2.5 to ≥ 3.5.







Attachment B

Water Budget Analysis






Table B1: Calculation of SCS Curve Number (CN) and Modified Curve Number (CN*)

	EAST (39.345 ha)									
Area			Soil			% of	Weighted			
(ha)	Land Type	Soil Name	Condition	Soil Group	CN	Catchment	CN			
15.625	Urban Lawn/Shallow Rooted Crops	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	79	39.7%	31.4			
22.565	Pasture and Shrubs	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	70	57.4%	40.1			
1.155	Impervious (SWM)	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	99	2.9%	2.9			
						CN	74.4			
						CN*	65			

	WEST (39.847 ha)										
Area			Soil			% of	Weighted				
(ha)	Land Type	Soil Name	Condition	Soil Group	CN	Catchment	CN				
24.901	Mature Forest	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	73	62.5%	45.6				
14.946	Pasture and Shrubs	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	70	37.5%	26.3				
			•	•	•	CN	71.9				
						CN*	61				

Table B2: Time to Peak Calculations

Table B2: Time to Peak Calculations									
Parameter	Units	East	West						
Area	ha	39.35	39.85						
CN*	-	65	61						
Ptotal to calc C from CN, use 2	P(mm)	33.2	33.2						
yr 3 hr Chicago stom	F (IIIIII)	33.2	33.2						
	la(mm)	4.67	4.67						
	RV(mm)	4.8	4.3						
Ptotal to calc C from CN, use 2	D()	F2 77	F2 77						
yr 24 hr SCS stom	P(mm)	52.77	52.77						
	RV(mm)	12.3	11.0						
C (From Chicago storm)	-	0.15	0.13						
C (From SCS storm)	-	0.23	0.21						
	m	1012	976						
Length of Channel	ft	3320	3201						
	m	104.35	109.56						
Elevation of Head Water	ft	342	359						
	m	100.16	102.45						
Elevation of Outlet	ft	329	336						
	m/m	0.41%	0.73%						
Average Slope	ft/ft	0.41%	0.73%						
		0.41/0	0.73%						
Kirpich Time of Concentration mins 33 26									
Time to Peak	min	22	17						
Time to Peak	Hours	0.37	0.29						
	A (From Chicago		0.23						
Time of Concentration	mins	133	110						
Time to Peak	mins	88	73						
Time to Peak	Hours	1.47	1.22						
	FAA (From SCS s		1.22						
Time of Concentration	mins	121	101						
Time to Peak	mins	80	67						
Time to Peak	Hours Barnsby Willia	1.34	1.12						
Time of Concentration		48	41						
	mins								
Time to Peak	mins	32	28						
Time to Peak	Hours	0.53	0.46						
Time of Coveration	SCS	100	150						
Time of Concentration	mins	199	159						
Time to Peak	mins	133	106						
Time to Peak	Hours	2.21	1.77						
	Selected Metho								
	A (From Chicago								
Time to Peak	min	88	73						
Time to Peak	Hours	1.47	1.22						

Note:

All methods calculated as per Appendix A of the SWMHYMO manual Time to Peak calculated as 2/3 Time of concentration

```
Metric units / ID Numbers OFF
1
   2
3
   *# SWMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <BETA> / INPUT DATA FILE
   *#***************************
4
   *# Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]
5
   *# Project Number: [2267]
6
7
   *# Date : [2021/12/14]
   *# Modeller : [JB]

*# Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates

*# License # : 2549237
8
9
10
   *#************************
11
   *#****************
12
                  TZERO=[1967.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[67]
13
   START
                  [""] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
14
   *%------
15
   *# Ottawa International Airport (1967 - 2003)
16
17
   READ AES DATA AES_FILENAME=["6106000.123"],
18
                  IELEM=[123], START_DATE=[0], END_DATE=[-364]
19
   *%-----
   COMPUTE API
20
                  APII=[50], APIK=[0.90]/day
21
   22
   *# Pre Development Condition - Using NASHHYD and CN
   23
   CONTINUOUS NASHYD
24
                  NHYD=["EastPre"], DT=[15]min, AREA=[39.35](ha),
25
                  DWF = [0](cms), CN/C = [65], IA = [5.5](mm),
                  N=[3], TP=[1.47]hrs,
26
27
                  Continuous simulation parameters:
                    IaRECper=[6](hrs), SMIN=[-1](mm), SMAX=[-1](mm),
28
                    SK=[0.025]/(mm), InterEventTime=[ 12 ](hrs)
29
                  Baseflow simulation parameters:
                    BaseFlowOption=[1] , InitGWResVol=[ 0.0 ](mm), GWResK=[ 0.935
30
                    ](mm/day/mm)
31
                    VHydCond=[ 0.07 ](mm/hr), END=-1
32
   *9_____
33
   CONTINUOUS NASHYD
                  NHYD=["WestPre"], DT=[15]min, AREA=[39.85](ha),
34
                  DWF = [0](cms), CN/C = [61], IA = [5.5](mm),
35
                  N=[3], TP=[1.22]hrs,
                  Continuous simulation parameters:
36
37
                    IaRECper=[6](hrs), SMIN=[-1](mm), SMAX=[-1](mm),
                    SK=[0.025]/(mm), InterEventTime=[ 12 ](hrs)
38
                  Baseflow simulation parameters:
                    BaseFlowOption=[1] , InitGWResVol=[ 0.0 ](mm), GWResK=[ 0.935
39
                    ](mm/day/mm)
40
                    VHydCond=[ 0.07 ](mm/hr), END=-1
41
              ____|
42
   ADD HYD
                  NHYDsum=["Pre"], NHYDs to add=["WestPre"+"EastPre"]
43
   *# Pre Development Condition - Using NASHHYD and CN - No INFILTRATION
44
45
   NHYD=["InfEastPre"], DT=[15]min, AREA=[39.35](ha),
46
   CONTINUOUS NASHYD
47
                  DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[99.99], IA=[5.5](mm),
48
                  N=[3], TP=[1.47]hrs,
49
                  Continuous simulation parameters:
50
                    IaRECper=[6](hrs), SMIN=[-1](mm), SMAX=[-1](mm),
                    SK=[0.025]/(mm), InterEventTime=[ 12 ](hrs)
51
                  Baseflow simulation parameters:
52
                    BaseFlowOption=[1] , InitGWResVol=[ 0.0 ](mm), GWResK=[ 0.935
                    ](mm/day/mm)
                    VHydCond=[ 0.07 ](mm/hr), END=-1
53
54
   *8-----
55
                  NHYD=["InfWestPre"], DT=[15]min, AREA=[39.85](ha),
   CONTINUOUS NASHYD
                  DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[99.99], IA=[5.5](mm),
56
57
                  N=[3], TP=[1.22]hrs,
58
                  Continuous simulation parameters:
59
                    IaRECper=[6](hrs), SMIN=[-1](mm), SMAX=[-1](mm),
                    SK=[0.025]/(mm), InterEventTime=[ 12 ](hrs)
60
                  Baseflow simulation parameters:
                    BaseFlowOption=[1] , InitGWResVol=[ 0.0 ](mm), GWResK=[ 0.935
61
                    ](mm/day/mm)
```

```
62
                        VHydCond=[ 0.07 ](mm/hr), END=-1
 63
 64
     ADD HYD
                      NHYDsum=["InfPre"], NHYDs to add=["InfWestPre"+"InfEastPre"]
 65
     67
     *# STORMS
 68
     69
     START
                      TZERO=[1968.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[68]
 70
     *%____
                      _____
 71
     START
                      TZERO=[1969.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[69]
 72
 73
     START
                      TZERO=[1970.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[70]
 74
     *%____
                      TZERO=[1971.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[71]
 75
     START
 76
     *%____
                      TZERO=[1972.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[72]
 77
 78
     *%---
 79
                      TZERO=[1973.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[73]
     START
     *%____
 80
                      ______
 81
     START
                      TZERO=[1974.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[74]
 82
     *%____
 83
                      TZERO=[1975.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[75]
     START
 84
 85
     START
                      TZERO=[1976.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[76]
 86
     *%____
 87
     START
                      TZERO=[1977.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[77]
 88
 89
     START
                      TZERO=[1978.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[78]
 90
     *%____
 91
     START
                      TZERO=[1979.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[79]
 92
     *%____
                             _____
 93
     START
                      TZERO=[1980.0101],
                                      METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0],
 94
 95
     START
                      TZERO=[1981.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[81]
96
     *%____
97
                      TZERO=[1982.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[82]
     START
98
     *%____
                      ______
99
                      TZERO=[1983.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0],
     START
                                                            NRUN=[83]
100
101
     START
                      TZERO=[1984.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0],
                                                           NRUN=[84]
102
     *%____
                      -----
103
     START
                      TZERO=[1985.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[85]
104
     *%____
105
     START
                      TZERO=[1986.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[86]
     *%____
106
107
     START
                      TZERO=[1987.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[87]
108
     *%____
109
     START
                      TZERO=[1988.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[88]
110
     *%---
111
     START
                      TZERO=[1989.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[89]
112
     *%____
113
     START
                      TZERO=[1990.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[90]
114
     *%---
                              ______
115
     START
                      TZERO=[1991.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0],
116
     *%____
     START
                      TZERO=[1992.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[92]
117
     *%____
118
119
                      TZERO=[1993.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[93]
     START
120
     *%____
                      TZERO=[1994.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[94]
121
     START
122
     *%____
                      TZERO=[1995.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[95]
123
     START
124
     *%____
                      _____
125
     START
                      TZERO=[1996.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[96]
126
     *%____
                      TZERO=[1997.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0],
127
     START
                                                             NRUN=[97]
128
     *%____
129
     START
                      TZERO=[1998.0101], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[0], NRUN=[98]
130
```

131	START	TZERO=[1999.0101],			
132 133	*%	TZERO=[2000.0101],	METOUT=[2],		NRUN=[100]
134 135 136	*% MISSING FROM AES *%START				1
137 138	START	 TZERO=[2002.0101],			
139 140	*% START	TZERO=[2003.0101],	METOUT=[2],	NSTORM=[0],	
141 142	*% FINISH				

00001> 00002>	SSSSS W M M M H H Y Y M M OO 222 000 11 5555
00004>	SSSSS W W N N H H Y Y N M OOO 222 000 11 5555
00006> 00007>	SSSSS WW M M H H Y M M OOO 222 0 0 11 555 FEB 2015
00008> 00009>	2 0 0 11 5 # 2549237 StormWater Management HYdrologic Model 222 000 11 555 =======
00010> 00011> 00012>	***************************************
00012> 00013>	************ A single event and continuous hydrologic simulation model ************************************
00015>	OTTHYMO-83 and OTTHYMO-89.
00017>	******* Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc.
00019> 00020>	Ottawa, Ontario: (613) 836-3884 Gatineau, Quebec: (819) 243-6858 E-Mail: swnhymo@jfma.Com
00021> 00022>	***************************************
00023> 00024> 00025>	+++++++++++++++ Licensed user: JFSAinc. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
00025> 00026> 00027>	
00028>	**************************************
00030>	++++++ PROGRAM ARRAY DIMENSIONS +++++ Maximum value for ID numbers : 11 Max. number of rainfall points: 105408
00032>	Max. number of rainfall points: 105408 Max. number of flow points : 105408
00034>	
00036> 00037>	SUMMARY OUTPUT
00038> 00039>	* RUN DATE: 2023-01-25 TIME: 12:51:43 RUN COUNTER: 000842 *
00040> 00041> 00042>	* Input file: C:\Temp\202301-Pre_Dev-NB\STIT-Pre_v01.1-WB.dat *Output file: C:\Temp\202301-Pre_Dev-NB\STIT-Pre_v01.1-WB.out *Summary file: C:\Temp\202301-Pre_Dev-NB\STIT-Pre_v01.1-WB.sum **The control of the contr
00042>	* User comments:
00045>	* 2:
00047>	• 3:
00049>	#**************************************
00051>	# SWMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <beta> / INPUT DATA FILE</beta>
00053> 00054>	# Project Name : [Caivan Stittaville West properties] # Project Number: [2267] # Date : [2021/12/14] # Modeling: [37] # Modeling: [38] # Shourin and Associates # Lifenes # 2 244937
00055>	# Date : [2021/12/14] # Modeller : [JB]
00057> 00058> 00059>	# Modeller : [JB] Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates # License # : 2549237
00059>	#**************************************
00061>	** END OF RUN : 66
00064>	
00066>	
00068>	RUNH::COMMAND# R0067:C00001
00070>	R0067:C00001START
00072>	[TZERO = .00 hrs on 19670101] [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
00074>	RODGY:COMCOL- START TEXT = .00 hrs on 19670101 TEXT = .00 hrs
00076>	# SWMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <beta> / INPUT DATA FILE</beta>
00081>	# Date : [2021/12/14] # Modeller : [JB]
00083>	# Project Number: [2267] # Project Number: [2267] # Date let [2021/12/14] # Company
00085>	#**************************************
00089>	* RRAD AES DATA [Filename = 6106000.123]
00091> 00092>	[Start_date= 1967.0101: End_date= 1967.1231] {DT= 60.min: Length= 3984.hrs: WetHrs= 257: DryHrs= 3727: PTOT= 386.90}
00000	
00093> 00094>	Maximum average rainfall intensities over 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 24 60 17 65 13 20 7 25 3 83 2 36 1 73 1 32 90 mm/hr
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096>	Maximum average rainfall intensities over 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 24.60 17.65 13.20 7.25 3.83 2.30 1.73 1.33 6.90 mm/hr 24.60 17.65 13.20 7.25 3.80 2.30 1.73 1.33 6.90 mm/hr 24.60 18.6
00093> 00094> 00095>	24.60 35.30 39.60 43.50 46.00 56.60 62.30 63.20 64.90 mm
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00097> 00098> 00099> 00100>	Maximum average rainfall intensities over 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 24.60 17.65 13.20 7.25 3.83 2.66 1.73 1.32 4.95 mm/r 14.60 17.65 13.20 7.25 3.83 2.66 1.73 1.32 5.95 mm/r 14.60 17.65 13.20 7.25 3.83 2.66 1.73 1.32 5.95 mm/r 14.60 17.65 13.20 14.60
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 56 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 66 5 5 6 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 26 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00097> 00099> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 42 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 6 40 32 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 72 hrs 72 hrs 73 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105> 00106>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 26 hrs 42 hrs 72 hrs 8 8 0 65 56 40 32 29 2 30 2 8 2 8 2 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8
00093> 00094> 00095> 00095> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 6 40 32 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 7
00093> 00094> 00095> 00095> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 6 40 32 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 7
00093> 00094> 00095> 00095> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 6 40 32 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 7
00093> 00094> 00095> 00095> 00097> 00097> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108> 00109> 001012> 001012>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 60 e65 64 012 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations have 24 20 18 70 e67 (2004 2) 29 14 20 18 20
00093> 00094> 00095> 00095> 00097> 00097> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108> 00109> 001012> 001012>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 60 e65 64 012 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations have 24 20 18 70 e67 (2004 2) 29 14 20 18 20
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00097> 00097> 00109> 00100> 001010> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00110> 00111> 00111> 00111> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 80 65 56 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations have 10 hrs 10 h
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00097> 00097> 00109> 00100> 001010> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00110> 00111> 00111> 00111> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116> 00116>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 80 65 56 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 90 7 42 19 14 29 16 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00096> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108> 00107> 00111> 00112> 00113> 00114> 00115> 00116> 00116> 00117	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 80 65 56 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 179 42 12 12 14 28 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00096> 00097> 00098> 00100> 00101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00105> 00106> 00107> 00108> 00107> 001108> 00108> 00108> 00109> 001109> 00	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 80 65 56 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 179 42 19 14 2 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
00093> 00094> 00095> 00096> 00096> 00097> 00098> 00098> 00100> 001101> 00102> 00103> 00104> 00107> 00108>	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 80 65 56 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following durations 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 179 42 19 14 2 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
00093- 00094- 00095- 00096- 00097- 00098- 00098- 00098- 00098- 00098- 00008- 00102- 00102- 00108- 00	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 26 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 65 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
00093- 00094- 00095- 00096- 00097- 00098- 00098- 00098- 00098- 00098- 00008- 00102- 00102- 00108- 00	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 12 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hr
00093-3 00094-00095-00096-00097-00096-00097-00098-0009	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 18 hrs 1
00093-3 00094-00095-00094-00095-0009	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 18 hrs 1
00093-3-00094-00095-00094-00095-0009	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 18 hrs 1
00093-3-00094-00095-0009	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 4 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 80 6 55 6 40 12 29 24 20 18 **Monber of events with at least the following dustrions have 10 hrs 10 h
00093-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 15 hrs 4 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 15 hrs 4 hrs 10 hrs 2 hr
00093-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 4 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 80 of 65 8 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following dustrions 10 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 10 of 70
0009345-0000946-000946-000948-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 4 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 80 6 65 8 6 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following dustrions of hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 7 42 33 9 14 4 33 9 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
00093-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00095-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 4 krs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 80 6 65 8 6 40 12 29 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following dustrions of hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 7 42 33 9 14 4 33 9 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
00093-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00097-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 18 hrs
000934-000000000000000000000000000000000	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 o 15 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 Number of events with at least the following dumations 19 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 o 16 0 o 1 o 1 o 1 o 1 o 1 o 1 o 1 o 1 o 1 o
00093-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00094-5 00097-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 18 2 2 2 2 2 1 18 100 of 55 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 0 18 100 of 55 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 0 18 100 of 55 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 0 18 100 of 55 56 40 12 2 2 2 2 2 0 18 100 of 55 56 40 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
000155-00150	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 12 20 24 20 18 Number of events with at least this following durations 12 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 9 10 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
00093-5-00093-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 brs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 8 6 brs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 8 6 brs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs
0.0035-0.	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 h
000151-00113	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 26 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 12 20 24 20 18 180 6 65 6 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 180 6 70 65 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 180 6 70 67 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180
0001925-000113-000123-0	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 26 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 12 20 24 20 18 180 6 65 5 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 180 6 76 65 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 180 6 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 7
00093-5-00093-	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 18 hrs 12 hrs 18 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 1
000925-00	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs 6 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 18 hrs
000995-00	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 hrs 12 hrs 18 18 2 2 2 2 1 18 180 675 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 18 180 676 56 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 10 180 180 676 56 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180
0.0035-5-00135	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 26 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 12 20 24 20 18 80 6 165 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 80 6 165 6 40 12 20 24 20 18 80 6 165 6 40 18 20 20 24 20 18 80 6 165 6 40 18 20 20 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
000155-001155-00	1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 2 hrs 18 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 8 6 0 hrs 12 hrs 18 18 2 2 2 2 1 18 180 675 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 18 180 676 56 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 10 180 180 676 56 56 40 12 22 2 2 2 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180

```
| OCCUPATION | CARROLL | C
```

```
| Section | Part | Part
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             005705 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005715 | 005
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             08578b [187008b 0] [21800 prior 19730103] [2180prior 2.2esetric output] |
08578b [187008b 0] | 08578b 
                                                                                                           RINE:COMMANDE
REGISTRONICA
REGISTRONICA
[ITERS = .00 hrs on 19710101]
[REGISTRON = .01 | .00 hrs on 19710101]
[REGISTRONICA = .0 | .00 hrs on 19710101]
[REGISTRONICA = .0 | .00 hrs on 19710101]
[REGISTRONICA = .00 hrs on 19710101]
[R
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | DOSS-90 | PROFIT | 
                      ### 6 Channol mermetical Airport | ... |

### 8 Channol mermetical Airport | ... |

### 8 Channol mermetical Airport | ... |

### 8 Channol mermetical | ... | ... |

### 8 Channol mermetical | ... |

### 8 Chan
             - SIGNA - ** END OF RUN : 73
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         006695 ** LINC OF RUN: 1 33

006602

006603

006603

006604

006605

006605

006605

006605

006605

006606

(TEXENO - 00 hrs on 19740101)

006606

006605

(10000 - 00 hrs on 19740101)

006606

006605

006606

(10000 - 00 hrs on 19740101)

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

0066606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

006606

00
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 0.0662-0 | ISSTORMS 0 | 0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0664-0 | 0.0
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00485-
00
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 000799 | Clark | Company | Company
```

```
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905-
000905
                            # STORMS
** END OF RUN : 74
                        | RINH:COMPANDE | ROOTS:COMPANDE | ROOTS
                      : 0.1000X:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              START

[TZERO = .00 hrs on 19760101]

[METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]

[NSTORM= 0 ]
| 10129- | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029 | 1029
```

```
01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 | 01365 
                                                               # STORMS
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               0.1212 END OF JUST : 81
0.1222 0.1323 ...
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 01325-
01326-
01327-
01328-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01329-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01339-
01
                                                                           # STORMS
*** END OF RIN : 79
           01159-
01160-
01161- BANG-COMANDS
01161- BANG-COMANDS
01161- BANG-COMANDS
01161- BANG-COMANDS
01161- BANG-COMANDS
01161- BANG-COMANDS
01165- [RETORT 2 ([simperial, 2-metric output)]
01165- [RETORT 2 ([simperial, 2-metric output)]
01167- [RETORT 0000]
01167- [RETORT 0000]
01168- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DATA TILE
011710- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DATA TILE
011711- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DATA TILE
011711- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DATA TILE
011711- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DATA TILE
011716- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DATA TILE
01176- BANG-COMANDS 0100 CERTA- JAPAT DAT
                                                                                                  Table Theorematical Alaport (120)

PREAD RES INVA.

[Filename 5156500.122]

[Filename 5156500.123]

[F
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 RUNH: COMMONDE
ROBES: COMMONDE
STARTO = .00 hrs on 198301011
[RECOUTS 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
[RECOUTS 0.083]
                                                                              SIONNS
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 # SMMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <BETA> / INPUT DATA FILE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          0.423.5 # Project Name | Column Stitzwille West properties|
0.424.5 # Project Name | Column Stitzwille West properties|
0.424.5 # Project Name | Column Stitzwille West properties|
0.424.5 # Project Name | Column Stitzwille West properties|
0.425.5 # Date | 12021/12/14|
0.425.
                    01245 | RUNH-COMMONDS | 01245 | RUNTCUTERO = (14-imperial, 2-metric output)] | 01245 | RUNTCUTERO = (14-imperial, 2-metric output)] | 01255 | RUNTCUTERO = (14-imperial, 2-metric output)] | 0
```

```
19831004 19830921 19830921 19831005 19831005 19831006 19831006 19831006 date Number of rainfall events per following interevent time

1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 169 110 114 97 78 53 48 44 34

Funder of events with at least the following durations at 12 hrs 72 hrs 12 hrs 13 hrs 6 hrs 14 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 16 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 16 hrs 18 8 54 hrs 18 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 16 hrs 18 h
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           01621> # Pre Development Condition - Using NASHRYD and CN
01622> ### CONTINUOUS NASHRYD 15.0 015astpre 39.35 1.09 1985.0618_0:00 85.30 .152 .000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         016653 RUNH: COMMOND#
016655 RUNH: COMMOND#
016655 RUNH: COMMOND#
016655 PITZED = .00 hrs on 198601011
016659 [RETOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
016705 [RETOUTH 0]
016715 [RUNH 0086]
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 015259 | Number of events with at least the following durations | 01526 | 01520 | 01522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02522 | 02
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | NUMBER COMMANDS | RODGET COM
                           # STORMS
** END OF RIN : 84
 Number of events with at least the following durations
1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 8 hrs 12 hrs 2 hrs 3 hrs 48 hrs 72
123 70 40 14 5 0 0 0 0

R0085:000035---
COMPUTE ADT
[APTINE 80.00. Aptinely .9000: APTINELS .9955]
[APTINE 80.00. Aptinely .9000: APTINELS .9955]
```

01861> R0087:C00006-	01981 R0089:C00008
01805> # Pre Development Condition - Using NASHHYD and CN - No INFILTRATION 01807> ####################################	0.1895 MOSP CHOOSE
0.1085 0.0087 0.00027	0.1995 *** *******************************
	0.1995- 0.20003- 0.20
018255 ** SIND OF RUN: 87 018265 018275 0182	02005> [METOUT 2 [(1-imperial, 2-metric output)] 02005- [METOUS 2 [(1-imperial, 2-metric output)]]
0.8315 PRINSI-COMMANDS 0.8345 PRINSI-COMMANDS 0.8345 PRINSI-COMMANDS 0.8345 STATE 0.00 hrs on 19880101 0.8345 (TEXED) 2.0 (14-imperial, 2-metric output)] 0.8346 (PRINSMS 0.0 18-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	2021 # Project Name : [Caivan Stitzavile West properties]
0.1838.5 [SETTORM: 0] 0.1839.5 [SEGUEN - 0.084] 0.1841.5 [SEGUEN - 0.084] 0.1842.5 [SEGUEN - 0.084] 0.1842.5 [SEGUEN - 0.084] 0.1842.5 [SEGUEN - 0.084] 0.1842.5 [SEGUEN - 0.084] 0.1843.5 [SEGUEN - 0.	02015 02016 02016
018465 # Modelper : (JE) 01845 # Modelper : (JE) 01845 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 01845 # Licensee # : 2599237	002055 1 hr 3 hrs 8 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 9 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 6 hrs 12
01851 8 Ottawn International Airport (1967 - 2001) 01852 ROBESTORONO	UJU355 anumber of events with at least the following durations 1020345 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 8 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 202045 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 200
0.8559 (374T_ARE 1989.0101: And_ARE6: 1989.2140) 0.8856 (Fr = 0.0.111) (1989.2140) 0.8857 (Fr = 0.0.111) (1989.2140) 0.8859 (Fr = 0.0.111) (1989.2140) 0.8859 (Fr = 0.0.111) (1989.2140) 0.8850 (Fr = 0.0.111) (19	02035 APILIA: 50.00: APIKAN, 9000: APIKAN, 9956 02035 APILIA: 50.00: APIKAN, 9000: APILIA: 9956 02045 PLA OPIRAN, 74.68: APIAN, 92.44; 74.74 02044: PIRE PROPRIEMENTAL STREET
Ol8619 Number of rainfall events per following interevent time 1	02065
0.0273- ************************************	0.0045
01880 R0088:C00005	20589 # Pre Development Condition - Using NASSENTO and CN - No INFILITATION
018846 [InterFourtimes 12.00] 01885 ROBERGOOG6-	120699
0.9515 ##################################	02071> ADD NYD 15.0 02:1nfNestPre 38.85 .851.990.0720, 6:00 .265.07 n/a .000 .02073> + 15.0 02:1nfNestPre 37.20 .726 1990.0720, 6:15 .265.07 n/a .000 .02073> 503M* 15.0 01:1nfDre 79.20 1.573.990.0720, 6:15 .265.07 n/a .000 .02074.************************************
0.1895	02078- 02079- 02080- 02083- 02083- 02083- 02083-
0.1005	22055 RIMS-COMPAGNE 22056 R005 C00001- 22056 R005 C00001- 22056 R005 C00001- 22056 RMITOUT 2 (-inperial, 2-metric output)
019115 019115 019115 019145 019145	02081: [NRINN = 0091] 02082; # 02083: # SMMMTMO VEYI-5 02/Jan 2001 (SETA> / INDUT DATA FILE 02084; #
01918h 20089:C0001 01918-0 2008:C0001 01918-0 2008:C0001- 01918-0 2008:C0001- 019212 [MRTOMF 0] (*imperial, 2-metric output)] 019212 [MRTOMF 0] [202055 FOOSet Anne : [Livan strtavile west properties]
	02109 REMA ARS DATA
1928 Project Number: 1267	02115> 19910409 19910409 19910401 19910410 19910421 19910410 19910410 19910423 date 02115> Number of rainfall events per following interevent time 02115> 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 02116> 192 153 142 113 90 60 51 44 34
01937. FEED AES DATA	02117 Number of events with at least the following durations 02118> 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 56 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 50 hrs 6 hrs 6 hrs 72 h
01946> Number of raintall events per following interevent time 01947> 1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 6 hrs 12 hrs 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 01948> 170 137 120 101 71 52 40 36 29	10.11.02 For Development Constitute
01951 169 81 49 17 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	021315 [InterFventTimes 12.00] 02132 80931:00005
01956 - ***********************************	Oliver Continue
	021465 (CH=100.0: N= 3.00: Tp= 1.47) [121475 [IAREC 6.0: SMNn 1.39: SMAx= 9.24: SK=.025] [121485 [InterventTime 12.00] [121497 ROD91:000088
	02155) CONTINUOUS MASSETD 15.0 011nfNeestbre 39.85 .541 1991.0410_3:45 157.28 .283 .000 02151> [CH0100.1 % 13.001 mp 1.2] 02000 9.24: SEC .025] 02152
01978	02159 = ###################################

```
02185- # Otiawa Insernational Airport (1867 - 2001)
02187- # Otiawa Insernational Airport (1867 - 2001)
02189- * SEAD AND DATA
021899- * SEAD AND DATA
021891- * SEAD AND DATA
02253 RUNN=COMMAND#
02254 RUNN=COMMAND#
02255 STATE 0 = .00 hrs on 19930101]
02255 [72250 = .00 hrs on 19930101]
02255 [72250 = .00 hrs on 19930101]
02255 [72250 = .00 hrs on 19930101]
02255 [187070 = .0]
02255 [187070 = .0]
02255 [187070 = .0]
02255 [187070 = .0]
02255 [187070 = .0]
  02261-8 | SMONTHON Versi-S.02/Jan 2001.csEra / INDUT_DATA FILE
02262-8 | Project Mame ! (Cativn Stitztville Mest properties)
02263-8 | Project Mane ! (Cativn Stitztville Mest properties)
02265-8 | Data Missel | (2021/12/14)
02265-8 | Data Missel | (2021/12/14)
02265-8 | Cateva | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1971) | (1
```

```
[METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
[NSTORM= 0 ]
                                                                          INSTRUME 0 | INSTRUME 0 | INSTRUMENT OF STATE OF
      | 202351 | Company | 1.7.F, Sabourin and Associates |
202352 | Electenes | 2849277 |
202353 | Electenes | 2849277 |
202354 | Company | 2.7.F, Sabourin and Associates |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 | Coltawa International Airport (1967 - 2003) |
202355 |
                   0.4415 = $\text{TOMMS}$
0.4415 = \text{** NDO OF NON 1 54}
0.4415 = \text{** NDO OF NON 1 54}
0.4415 = \text{** NDO OF NON 1 54}
            | 0.24418 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24429 | 0.24
```

```
| The comparation of the compara
                                   * STORMS
** END OF RUN : 96
                                             RINN::COMMONDS
ROOSS:COMOOL:
START = .00 hrs on 19980101]
INTERIOR = .00 hrs on 19980101]
INTE
    0.0681> # 10000000 Ver15.02/An 2001.0007A PILE

0.0685 | Broject Name | Catvam Rittaville Mest properties|
0.0685 | Broject Name | Catvam Rittaville Mest properties|
0.0685 | Broject Name | (2021/12/14|
0.0685 | Broject Name | (2021/
```


```
1 hr 2 hrs 3 hrs 46 48 8 12 hre 24 hrs 36 hrs 48 hrs 72 hrs 3 hrs 56 48 hrs 72 hrs 57 48 
                                                                                                          # STORES
** END OF RUN : 98
                                                                                                | December | Contribute | Contr
                                         | 102355 | 10231-102004018 | 10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-10231-1
                                                                                                                                02863-
02865-
02865-
02865-
02867-
14.70 9.60
02868-
14.70 19.20
02867-
02867-
02867-
02867-
02867-
02867-
02867-
02867-
02867-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
02870-
```

```
| RINE:COMMANDE | RECORD | REC
* SIGNED OF RUN : 102
                                                                  | RUBS | COMMANDS | RUBS | R
                                                                                              | Available | Avai
```

```
| BODDED | B
```

Table B3 - Pre Development Water Budget

	Precipitation	Evapo	Evaporation		Infiltration		off
Year	(mm)	(mm)	(%)	(mm)	(%)	(mm)	(%)
1967	386.9	213.7	55%	87.7	23%	85.5	22%
1968	592.8	356.7	60%	127.2	21%	108.9	18%
1969	569.8	359.1	63%	121.0	21%	89.7	16%
1970	558.9	358.6	64%	111.3	20%	89.0	16%
1971	522.1	361.4	69%	92.4	18%	68.3	13%
1972	784.3	441.3	56%	152.7	19%	190.3	24%
1973	744.9	435.8	59%	168.0	23%	141.1	19%
1974	386.2	281.2	73%	62.6	16%	42.4	11%
1975	535.5	338.7	63%	107.8	20%	89.0	17%
1976	492.4	348.6	71%	84.3	17%	59.5	12%
1977	677.6	430.6	64%	137.5	20%	109.5	16%
1978	638.8	408.5	64%	143.5	22%	86.9	14%
1979	866.5	466.3	54%	203.8	24%	196.4	23%
1980	622	411.9	66%	122.4	20%	87.8	14%
1981	936.4	536.6	57%	175.3	19%	224.5	24%
1982	596.1	407.7	68%	114.8	19%	73.6	12%
1983	587.3	412.8	70%	97.3	17%	77.3	13%
1984	459.4	280.8	61%	96.2	21%	82.4	18%
1985	559.9	341.9	61%	133.5	24%	84.5	15%
1986	849.4	500.1	59%	163.6	19%	185.8	22%
1987	639.9	441.6	69%	107.0	17%	91.3	14%
1988	643.2	418.5	65%	119.0	18%	105.8	16%
1989	522.5	356.7	68%	100.2	19%	65.6	13%
1990	727.8	462.7	64%	137.8	19%	127.3	17%
1991	555.8	398.5	72%	91.5	16%	65.8	12%
1992	730.2	456.3	62%	137.3	19%	136.5	19%
1993	721.1	486.1	67%	141.4	20%	93.6	13%
1994	527	322.4	61%	100.1	19%	104.5	20%
1995	321.6	162.9	51%	66.3	21%	92.4	29%
1996	512.2	339.2	66%	99.3	19%	73.7	14%
1997	433.2	290.7	67%	92.0	21%	50.5	12%
1998	440.3	297.8	68%	83.9	19%	58.6	13%
1999	424.4	273.4	64%	85.1	20%	65.9	16%
2000	535.9	343.1	64%	108.0	20%	84.9	16%
2002	551.5	278.1	50%	114.3	21%	159.1	29%
2003	554.6	323.5	58%	120.8	22%	110.3	20%
Average	589.1	370.7	63%	116.9	20%	101.6	17%
Min	321.6	162.9	50%	62.6	16%	42.4	11%
Max	936.4	536.6	73%	203.8	24%	224.5	29%



The record of site-specific information associated with RVCA's regulatory approval process since 2006 was checked. It was found that no site-specific work affects the flood risk lines.

Drawings FL-1 and FL-2 in Appendix F depict the delineated floodplain and areas of shallow flooding.

7.2 Areas of Shallow Flooding

At a few places (Drawing FL-1), it is expected that flood water would go overbank from the channel and identifiable floodplain into the adjacent areas. However, there is considerable uncertainty as to how this would manifest itself and which area would actually be inundated by overbank water under the regulatory flood event. Many factors appear to be in the play: stream hydraulics, volume of flood water, volume of channel and floodplain storage, flood water escaping the stream as spills, amount of flood water available for spilling, local (micro) topography, shallow (sheet) flow with wet/dry fronts, rain water collected in depressions and puddles, soil and vegetation characteristics, etc. etc., and their interaction with each other. Needless to say, this is a very complex phenomenon and does not lend itself to engineering computation. While we can visualize

the existence of areas of shallow flooding and can tentatively identify their probable extent, we cannot calculate with any degree of accuracy relevant parameters such as flood elevation, water depth or velocity. We tentatively call them areas of shallow flooding ¹¹. Such areas of shallow flooding are prone to a lesser (lesser than identifiable floodplains) albeit unspecified degree of flood risk. Even though flood risk parameters cannot be estimated, the areas of shallow flooding are nonetheless hazardous to a certain extent. As such they fall –in our judgment – within the broad category of hazardous lands as defined

Flowing Mapping.docx 5/8/2017 10:41:10 AM Page 21 of 35

Our concept of areas of shallow flooding is somewhat akin to that adopted by Credit Valley Conservation. A recent document (CVC 2010; Section 5.4.3) reads: "Floodplain Spill Areas – There are several areas within the CVC's jurisdiction where floodplain spills occur. Spill areas are portions of the floodplain where hydraulic modeling and mapping of the riverine flood hazard indicates that flood waters are not physically contained within the valleyland and may or may not exit the watershed or subwatershed into surrounding lands. It is important to note that floodplain spill areas do not include the flood fringe, regardless of its characteristics such as flood flows and depths. Generally, the depth of flooding in spill areas cannot be readily determined as the flood depths that occur depend on a number of factors such as local and down-gradient topography, storage volume and the amount of spill flow that occurs. In addition, spills typically occur during higher flow rates of the storm event where the volume and depth of flood water is also dependent on the duration of the storm event."




Table 1 - Grou	undwater Level Measure	ment Sumi	mary												
	Well ID	BH1-21	BH2-21	BH3-21	BH22A-21	BH24-21	BH33-21	HA1-22	BH1-22	BH1A-22	BH2-22	BH3-22	BH3A-22	BH4-22	BH5-22
Ground Sui	rface Elevation (m asl)	104.29	107.19	108.41	102.98	103.07	104.7	106.78	107.31	107.31	103.58	102.25	102.25	105.71	105.7
Groundwate	er (GW) Measurements														
11-Jan-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.22	0.82	0.89	2.49	0.67	1.84			Molle M	ere Not Ins	tallad At T	hic Timo		
11-Jd11-22	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.07	106.37	107.52	100.49	102.40	102.86			wells w	ere Not in	stalleu At 1	IIIS IIIIIE		
11-Oct-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.12	1.16	0.90	2.61	0.60	2.12	0.31	1.33	1.44	1.52	0.84	0.81	3.62	1.62
11-001-22	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.17	106.03	107.52	100.37	102.47	102.59	106.48	105.99	105.87	102.06	101.42	101.44	102.10	104.09
28-Oct-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.01	0.95	0.92	N/A	0.46	1.98	0.28	1.35	1.43	1.52	0.61	0.40	3.65	1.64
20-001-22	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.28	106.25	107.49	N/A	102.61	102.72	106.51	105.97	105.88	102.06	101.64	101.85	102.07	104.06





Test Hole ID	Screened Media	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/sec)*
HA1-22	Brown Silty Sand w/ Trace Gravel	1.51E-05
BH1-22	Bedrock	1.53E-05
BH1A-22	Brown Silty Sand/Glacial Till	Insufficient Water Volume to Conduct Test
BH2-22	Bedrock	8.99E-06
BH3-22	Bedrock	6.29E-05
BH3A-22	Brown Silty Sand/Glacial Till	4.48E-06
BH4-22	Bedrock	8.89E-07
BH5-22	Bedrock	1.52E-05
BH1-21	Bedrock	1.23E-04
BH2-21	Bedrock	3.99E-05
BH3-21	Bedrock	2.98E-06
BH22A-21	Bedrock	4.31E-07
BH24-21	Bedrock	6.19E-05
BH33-21	Bedrock	1,60E-04

^{*}Average value from all tests conducted at each test location





Table 3 - Overburden F	Fable 3 - Overburden Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Results and Estimated Infiltration Rates							
Test Completed Adjacent to Borehole ID	Infiltration Testing Elevation (m asl)	Material	K _{fs} (m/s)*	Unfactored Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)**				
BH1-21	103.90	Brown Silty Sand	2.10E-06	56				
	103.63	Brown Silty Sand	1.90E-06	56				
BH2-21	106.95	Brown Silty Sand	6.40E-06	76				
DUZ-51	106.65	Brown Silty Sand	5.30E-07	39				
BH7-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand	1.10E-06	47				
	106.44	Brown Silty Sand	1.60E-06	52				
D1144 24	104.68	Brown Silty Sand	2.70E-06	60				
BH11-21	104.38	Brown Silty Sand	1.60E-06	52				
DU4E 24	102.70	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.10E-07	31				
BH15-21	102.48	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	≤ 8.1E-09	≤ 13				
DU147-24	106.74	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.90E-06	74				
BH17-21	106.44	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	4.10E-06	67				
DU22 24	102.58	Brown Silty Sand	1.10E-06	47				
BH22-21	102.28	Brown Silty Sand	1.60E-06	52				
BH23-21	102.33	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	5.30E-07	39				
	101.70	Brown Silty Clay	≤ 8.1E-09	≤ 13				
BH26-21	102.74	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.10E-07	26				
BU50-51	102.44	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.10E-07	26				
BH29-21	101.87	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.30E-07	39				
	101.57	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.70E-07	33				
DU21 21	103.19	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.10E-06	47				
BH31-21	102.89	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.35E-07	27				
BH37-21	103.21	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.30E-06	72				
	102.91	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.90E-06	74				

^{*}Field hydrualic conductivity (Kfs)



^{**}The infiltration rates do not include a safety correction factor. Based on our testing results, a safety correction factor can range between 2.5 to ≥ 3.5.

Well 'A'		Well 'B'				
Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Distance (m)	Hydrualic Gradient (m/m)*	Date
BH3-21	107.515	BH1-22	105.985	73	0.0208	October 11, 2022
BH3-21	107.515	BH1A-22	105.87	73	0.0224	October 11, 2022
BH3-21	107.515	BH5-22	104.085	131	0.0263	October 11, 2022
BH3-21	107.515	BH4-22	102.095	206	0.0263	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH2-21	106.03	197	-0.0002	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH1-21	103.17	442	0.0064	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH5-22	104.085	148	0.0128	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH2-22	102.06	447	0.0088	October 11, 2022
BH1A-22	105.87	BH2-21	106.03	197	-0.0008	October 11, 2022
BH1A-22	105.87	BH1-21	103.17	442	0.0061	October 11, 2022
BH1A-22	105.87	BH5-22	104.085	148	0.0120	October 11, 2022
BH1A-22	105.87	BH2-22	102.06	447	0.0085	October 11, 2022
BH1A-22	105.87	BH3A-22	101.44	708	0.0063	October 11, 2022
BH2-21	106.03	BH1-21	103.17	296	0.0097	October 11, 2022
BH2-21	106.03	BH2-22	102.06	358	0.0111	October 11, 2022
BH5-22	104.085	BH4-22	102.095	137	0.0145	October 11, 2022
BH5-22	104.085	BH2-22	102.06	330	0.0061	October 11, 2022
BH2-22	102.06	BH3-22	101.415	397	0.0016	October 11, 2022
BH2-22	102.06	BH3A-22	101.44	397	0.0016	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH3-22	101.415	485	0.0024	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH3A-22	101.44	485	0.0024	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH22A-21	100.37	549	0.0040	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH24-21	102.47	307	0.0004	October 11, 2022
BH3-22	101.415	BH22A-21	100.37	296	0.0035	October 11, 2022
BH3A-22	101.44	BH22A-21	100.37	296	0.0036	October 11, 2022
BH24-21	102.47	BH22A-21	100.37	524	0.0040	October 11, 2022
BH4-22	102.095	BH3-22	101.415	584	0.0012	October 11, 2022
BH4-22	102.095	BH3A-22	101.44	584	0.0011	October 11, 2022
BH4-22	102.095	BH33-21	102.585	404	-0.0012	October 11, 2022

^{**}Hydrualic Gradient = (GW Elevation Well 'A' - GW Elevation Well 'B') / Distance




Table 5 - Vertical Hydrualic Gradient Summary							
Well 'A'			Well 'B'				
Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well Depth (m)	Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well Depth (m)	Hydrualic Gradient (m/m)*	Date
BH1-22	105.985	98.29	BH1A-22	105.87	105.69	-0.0155	October 11, 2022
BH3-22	101.415	93.13	BH3A-22	101.44	99.1	0.0042	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.965	98.29	BH1A-22	105.88	105.69	-0.0115	October 28, 2022
BH3-22	101.64	93.13	BH3A-22	101.85	99.1	0.0352	October 28, 2022

^{*}Hydrualic Gradient = (GW Elevation Well 'A' - GW Elevation Well 'B') / (Well Depth Well 'A' - Well Depth Well 'B')







APPENDIX E

TERMS OF REFERENCE





120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 9, 2022

TO: Christopher Rogers, P.Eng.

FROM: Kevin L. Murphy, P. Eng.

Caivan – Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road)

SUBJECT: New Urban Expansion Development – Terms of Reference (REVISED PER CITY

COMMENTS DATED MAY 6, 2022)

DSEL Job No. 21-1247

ATTACHMENTS:

Chris.

As per your request to Caivan at a pre-consultation meeting held (February 7/22), the following is a proposed summary of Terms of Reference (TOR) to document the servicing strategy approach for development of the above noted parcels of land located within Stittsville.

1.0 BACKGROUND

Fotenn Consultants Inc. ("Fotenn") has previously circulated a January 27, 2022 outline for the development of Concept Plans and processes related to the above noted subject lands. Caivan Communities ("Caivan") has ownership of land parcels that are currently located in the rural area and are designated to be brought within the urban boundary through the new Official Plan process.

1.1 Study Area & Objectives

The subject lands are bound by Flewellyn Road to south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6) and an estate lot subdivision to the west. The area is also bisected by the Faulkner Municipal Drain and a Hydro One power transmission corridor.

The main objective of the servicing review is to develop an overall servicing strategy for the Stittsville Lands that will fulfill the requirements of municipal and provincial standards. The review will consider, evaluate and assess the servicing needs of the development area as it relates to geotechnical considerations, availability of service connections and stormwater management objectives. Several development alternatives for road network layouts, parks and unit mixes will be analyzed and assessed with respect to servicing strategies with a preferred overall servicing scheme identified.

The preferred internal servicing plan will be developed to meet regulatory requirements and will be free of conflicts between the various infrastructure components (water, wastewater, storm and stormwater infrastructure). The following sections present the anticipated scope of work to be completed:

- Task 1 (Agreement on Terms of Reference),
- Task 2 (Internal Concept Plan Review Process (Input Evaluation)),
- Task 3 (Functional Servicing Report and Master Infrastructure Review).

2.0 WORK PLAN

Task 1: Agreement on Terms of Reference

Preparation and finalizing of the TOR for the proposed servicing assessment approach guiding the Concept Plan development process. This draft TOR will be circulated to the City for review/comment on the proposed scope and will form the basis of the future servicability review.

Task 2: Internal Concept Plan Review Process (Input Evaluation)

From an Overall Servicing perspective, this task will include a thorough consolidation of the documents listed in Section 2.1, investigate and quantify residual capacities and servicing constraints while keeping in mind the environmental constraints identified as part of the Task 2 process. The scope of work to complete the Concept Plan Review Process will include the following components:

2.1 Review and Consolidate

As part of the Concept Plan Review Process, a review of background reports that are concerned with the study area will be completed. The review will, at minimum, include the following reports and guidelines being considered:

- City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (City of Ottawa, October 2012) & Technical Bulletins (ISDTB-2014-01, PIEDTB-2016-01, ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-04 & ISTB-2019-02)
- City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (City of Ottawa, July 2010) & Technical Bulletins (ISD-2010-2, ISDTB-2014-2, ISTB-2018-02, & ISDTB-2021-03)
- Infrastructure Master Plan (City of Ottawa, 2013)
- Low Impact Development Technical Guidance Report, Implementation in Areas with Potential Hydrogeological Constraints (Dillon Consulting and Aquafor Beech, February 2021)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual (Ministry of the Environment, March 2003)
- Amendment to the Engineer's Report for the Faulkner Municipal Drain (Robinson, December 2020) & Addendum No. 1 (Robinson, March 2021)
- Stittsville South Area 6, City of Ottawa, Master Servicing Report & Stormwater Management Design Plan (Novatech/DSEL, December 2013)
- Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Detailed Servicing & Stormwater Management Report (Novatech July 2016)
- Sanitary Pump Station Pre-Design Report, Stittsville South (Novatech, July 2015)
- Stittsville South Subdivision, City of Ottawa Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station Design Brief (Novatech, May 2016)
- Design Brief, Davidson Lands OPA 76 Area 6a, Phase 1 (5993 Flewellyn Road) (IBI Group, February 2018)
- Fernbank Community Design Plan, Master Servicing Study (Novatech, June 2009)

- Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development 5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road – Ottawa (Paterson (PG5570-2) January 2022)
- Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Guidance Manual (Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Draft for Consultation January 2022)

2.2 Hydrological Modelling

Based on a review of background reports and topographic information available, a hydrologic model will be developed to estimate peak flows and hydrographs under for the various outlets from the Study Area. The analysis will be conducted with SWMHYMO under the design storm types, return periods and hydrological parameters described in the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. The analysis will consider the drainage features inventoried as part of the topographical survey (open ditch, culverts, etc.) as well as any drainage divides. Surface flows will be calculated based on the existing flow patterns for the various outlets; drainage ditches, culverts and storm sewers (if applicable).

2.3 Coordination and Liaise with Other Disciplines

The Concept Plan review process will discuss findings from other disciplines including geotechnical, hydrogeology, water budget, hydrology, ecology (aquatic resources and natural areas), etc., which will establish the existing environmental conditions. The coordination will ensure that the hydrologic analysis considers natural environmental inventories and constraints. Where required, drawings prepared will note existing conditions constraints and potential opportunities, which may impact storm and stormwater servicing or other municipal infrastructure.

2.3.1 Coordinate and Liaise with Geotechnical Engineer

In consultation with the geotechnical engineer, DSEL will:

- Review specific grade raise restrictions to better understand the potential grading constraints versus potential land use;
- Review the soil's characteristics to better understand whether they are conducive to infiltration measures;
- Review the soil's structural capabilities from a support/strength perspective;
- Review the areas of either recharge or discharge potential.

2.3.2 Coordinate with the Hydrogeologist

In consultation with the hydrogeologist, the existing conditions water budget analysis will be reviewed to identify the zones conducive to infiltration measures or other low impact development (LID) strategies. These measures could potentially be used to mitigate impacts on the water budget. As part of this task, LIDAlso strategies will be reviewed, at a conceptual level, to determine their viability and effectiveness in maintaining the existing conditions water budget and potential benefits to mitigating downstream impacts.

 Prepare a conceptual LID plan which illustrates the zones noted above and how the measures will be integrated into the overall plan(s);

2.3.3 Coordinate with Biologist

In consultation with the biologist, the environmental constraints will be further reviewed to better understand their sensitivity to various land uses and their proximity to Concept Plan elements. The objectives and targets from a storm discharge perspective will be based on the on-site environmental constraints as well as the limitations of the receiving watercourses.

2.3.4 Review Topographical Survey and Complete Inventory of Existing Infrastructure

City of Ottawa

Once all constraints have been compiled a further review of topographical surveys will be completed as well as the drainage patterns identified under current conditions. As part of this task, existing services and outlets will be inventoried for wastewater, water and stormwater. The assessment of residual capacities for existing services will also be reviewed. Any additional survey data will be obtained as required to supplement as-built information.

2.4 Evaluation and Assessment of Storm Design Criteria , Objectives and Pond Alternatives

Based on the findings of the natural resource inventories, storm criteria for both water quality and quantity will be established from a consensus with other disciplines and based on requirements prevalent in the Study Area. Once adopted by the consultant team, the storm criteria will be presented and confirmed by regulatory agencies. Review and comment on potential end-of-pipe solutions that would satisfy the storm criteria and the most suitable approach and siting (based on topography, soil type etc) for the Concept Plans. Based on the siting of the facilities, establish footprint of the end-of-pipe facilities in accordance with the guidance described in Section 4 of the MECP SWMPDM. This includes evaluation of potential capacity of the existing Area 6 SWM pond to optimize use of that infrastructure.

Pond sizing will be established conservatively and not be downsized based on the finding of LID options reviewed to establish water balance.

2.5 Coordination with Drainage Engineer for requirements relating to the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

Consulation will be undertaken with the City's Municipal Drain Group to assess any requirements under the Ontario Drainage Act for the Faulkner Municipal Drain in terms of drainage outlets and land use changes proposed. The consultation will serve as the basis for any amendments to the existing Engineer's Report that may be required.

2.6 Concept Plan Summary Discussions & Preferred Plan Selection

The preceding evaluations considered along with the Concept Plans reviewed will determine a preferred plan which will be brought forward for the more detailed review and assessment of servicing in Task 3.

Task 3: Functional Servicing Report and Master Infrastructure Review

After the completion of Task 2, the Consulting Team will have developed several Concept Plans based on the findings and any other discipline inputs compiled to date from the Team with a preferred option selected. This will include environmental, stormwater, geotechnical and transportation. For the preferred Concept Plan the municipal servicing constraints criteria (see Task 3.1) will be investigated for the preparation of the servicing analysis. Review will also include comment on suitable servicing routes via either servicing blocks and/or the establishment of right-of-way corridors that have appropriate cross-sections to accommodate the various elements of servicing infrastructure required.

3.1 Evaluation of Municipal Servicing Requirements for the Preferred Concept Plan

DSEL will evaluate infrastructure servicing alternatives for the Concept Plans prepared by considering each option and providing the Team with inputs using the general criteria outlined below in order to resolve the preferred Concept as described in the Fotenn outline memo previously circulated. The tasks envisioned to be included in a Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report area as follows:

- 3.1.1 Grading
 - 1. Develop a macro level Grading Plan for the Concept Plans based on the constraints identified by the geotechnical engineer. Grading will be developed in accordance with the criteria described in the Design Guidelines.
- 3.1.2 Identify and Assess Capacity of Existing Conveyance Systems

1. Based on topographical maps/surveys and servicing reports of existing developments adjacent to the limits of the Study Area, free flowing capacity of watercourses (i.e. Faulkner Drain), roadside ditches and water crossings (if any) will be reviewed.

3.1.3 Water Infrastructure

- 1. Confirm pressure objectives with the City along feedermains under both domestic and fire flow conditions. Connections will be to the development areas to the north of the Study Area. Coordination with the Water Master Plan to be undertaken with City staff.
- 2. Calculate domestic demands (average, maximum day and peak hour) based on "system level parameters" (expectation being there will be in excess of 3,000 persons) under the build-out condition of the proposed land use for the selected Concept Plans. The preferred parameters will be provided by the City.
- 3. Calculate required design fire flow for concurrence by City staff.
- 4. Calculate theoretical domestic demands for potential phases of development based on a phasing strategy. Develop and populate a base water model for the preferred Concept Plan.
- 5. Acquire hydraulic boundary conditions at each of the connection points of the existing water distribution system. Proposed connection locations to be concurred with by City Staff.
- 6. Evaluate the performance of the distribution system against municipal requirements under domestic demand conditions for the Concept Plan. Assess and identify deficiencies and develop system upgrades, if required, to meet municipal requirements from both pressure and demand criteria.
- 7. Evaluate the performance of the proposed distribution system under a maximum day plus fire flow conditions for the Concept Plans supply characteristics of the pressure zone in accordance with Technical Bulletins.
- 8. Prepare a Water Servicing Plan for the preferred Concept Plan.

3.1.4 Wastewater Infrastructure

- 1. Based on the sanitary sewer outlets inventoried as part of Task 2, assess residual capacities. Coordination Wasterwater Master Plan to be undertaken with City Staff.
- 2. Develop peak wastewater flows based on the land use and population projections for the different land uses associated with the Concept Plans as per the Sewer Design Guidelines.
- 3. Prepare a Sanitary Drainage Area Plan and Design Sheets for the preferred Concept Plan.
- 4. Review trunk sanitary sewer routes, establish preliminary invert elevations based on topography and existing outlets. Prepare Sanitary Servicing Plan and assess impact of phasing on infrastructure. Identify servicing constraints, potential crossing conflicts and adjust, as required once the Storm Servicing Plan has been completed.
- 5. Assess residual capacities, beyond the Study Area population.
- 6. Review Shea Road Sanitary Pump Station for capacity and potential upgrades. Coordination with the Wastewater Master Plan Project Manager to be undertaken in order to assess conceptual pumping upgrates that will be required to accommodate the expansion area.:
 - a. Summarize the existing pump station parameters.
 - b. Review of potential component upgrades as well as overflow requirements.
 - c. Review electrical changes needed to accommodate higher HP pumps and high-level electrical overview.
- d. Transient analysis review.

- 7. Summarize findings for Wastewater Component within reporting.
- 3.1.5 Storm Servicing and Stormwater Management
 - 1. Based on the prior Task findings, confirm storm design criteria (quantity and quality) with the RVCA, MECP and the City and discuss potential impacts.
 - 2. Review topographic survey and maps. Based on the storm sewer outlets inventoried as part of prior tasks, confirm outlet locations and inverts, and assess residual capacities and drainage patterns, etc.
 - 3. Review existing conditions hydrological analysis to establish the baseline condition.
 - 4. Finalize capacity assessment of existing surface outlets using desktop calculations.
 - 5. Determine minor and major system drainage boundaries for the Concept Plans based on residual capacities of the existing outlets.
 - 6. Carry out post-development Water Budget based on the Concept Plan. Identify and assess water budget deficits for the preferred Concept Plan.
 - 7. In consultation with the hydrogeologist:
 - Investigate, at the conceptual level, the integration of low impact development (LID) strategies within the Study Area based on inputs from the hydrogeologist
 - evaluate potential infiltration measures, and
 - assess conceptually the performance of the LID strategies and infiltration measures with respect to the potential water budget deficits.
 - 8. Based on the minor and major system boundaries, prepare post-development Storm Drainage Area Plan and Servicing Layout for the preferred Concept Plan. Identify servicing constraints, potential crossing conflicts and adjust, as required.
 - 9. Coordinate with the City Drainage Group regarding the Faulkner Drain and any requirements under the Ontario Drainage Act.
 - 10. Prepare Storm Sewer Design Sheets and Drainage Area Plans for the preferred Concept Plan with appropriate runoff coefficients, assessment of trunk storm sewer inverts etc as per Sewer Design Guidelines.
 - 11. Review and finalize potential end-of-pipe solutions that would satisfy the storm criteria (water quality and quantity) and the most suitable approach and siting (based on topography, soil type etc) for the preferred Concept Plan. Based on the siting of the facilities, establish footprint of the end-of-pipe facilities in accordance with the guidance described in Section 4 of the MECP SWMPDM.
 - 12. Carry out a hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis of the proposed storm sewer system to evaluate the freeboard between the potential underside of footings and the 1:100 year storm. The analysis is to include the evaluation under the climate change event in accordance with the OSDG.
 - 13. Assess impact of phasing on proposed storm infrastructure.
 - 14. Summarize findings for Stormwater Management within the reporting
- 3.1.6 Water Budget
 - 1. In consultation with the hydrogeological/geotechnical engineer, JFSA/DSEL will prepare a pre- and post-development water balance review (infiltration, runoff and evapotranspiration) for the site in accordance with the methodology summarized in Section 3.2 of the MECP's "Stormwater Management Planning & Design Manual, March 2003". This will include consideration of *Table 3.1 Hydrologic*

City of Ottawa

Cycle Component Values and evaluation of 39 years of historical rainfall data from the Ottawa Airport via continuous hydrologic SWMHYMO model simulations. As per 4.7.1 (3.b) of the draft Official Plan.

- 2. Findings above will also be correlated to the mitigation of potential downstream impacts of the development.
- 3.1.7 Opinion of Probable Cost and Phasing
 - Coordination with other disciplines to finalize phasing for the Concept Plan in regard to servicing constraints.
 - 2. Prepare an opinion of probable cost for municipal servicing for the prefered Concept Plan.

Kevin L. Murphy, P.Eng.

DSEL


david schaeffer engineering ltd.



David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

APPENDIX B







120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

APPENDIX C



Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis Sittsville South Urban Expansion Area Development

Final Report

Prepared for:

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. 120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

Prepared by:

GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. Unit 203, 2502 St. John's Street Port Moody, BC V3H 2B4

Submission Date: August 8, 2024

Contact: Mr. Werner de Schaetzen, Ph.D., P.Eng.

Project: 2022-018-DSE

Copyright © 2024 GeoAdvice Engineering Inc.





Document History and Version Control

Revision No.	Date	Document Description	Revised By	Reviewed By
R0	December 1, 2023	Draft	Ben Loewen	Werner de Schaetzen
R1	December 6, 2023	Updated Draft	Ben Loewen	Werner de Schaetzen
R2	August 8, 2024	Final	Ben Loewen	Werner de Schaetzen

Confidentiality and Copyright

This document was prepared by GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. for David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. The material in this document reflects the best judgment of GeoAdvice in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. GeoAdvice accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decision made or actions based on this document. Information in this document is to be considered the intellectual property of GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. in accordance with Canadian copyright law.

Statement of Qualifications and Limitations

This document represents GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. best professional judgment based on the information available at the time of its completion and as appropriate for the project scope of work. Services performed in developing the content of this document have been conducted in a manner consistent with that level and skill ordinarily exercised by a member of the engineering profession currently practicing under similar conditions. No warranty, express or implied is made.





Contents

1	Intr	oductio	on	4
2	Mod	deling (Considerations	6
	2.1	Water	r Main Configuration	6
	2.2	Elevat	ions	6
	2.3	Consu	ımer Demands	6
	2.4	Fire Fl	low Demand	7
	2.5	Bound	dary Conditions	8
3	Hyd	raulic (Capacity Design Criteria	9
	3.1	Pipe C	Characteristics	9
	3.2	Pressu	ure Requirements	9
4	Hyd	raulic (Capacity Analysis	10
	4.1	Develo	opment Pressure Analysis	10
	4.2	Develo	opment Fire Flow Analysis	10
5	Oth	er Serv	vicing Considerations	12
	5.1	Water	r Supply Security	12
	5.2	Valves	S	12
	5.3	Hydra	nts	13
	5.4	Water	r Quality	13
6	Con	clusion	ns	14
A	ppendi	ix A	Domestic Water Demand Calculations and Allocation	
Α	ppendi	іх В	Required Fire Flow Allocation	
Α	ppendi	ix C	Boundary Conditions	
A	ppendi	ix D	Pipe and Junction Model Inputs	
Α	ppendi	ix E	ADD and PHD Model Results	
Α	ppendi	ix F	MDD+FF Model Results	





1 Introduction

GeoAdvice Engineering Inc. ("GeoAdvice") was retained by David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. ("DSEL") to size the proposed trunk water main network for the Sittsville South Urban Expansion Area (SSUEA) development ("Development") in the City of Ottawa, ON ("City").


The development will have four (4) connections to the City's water distribution system on Parade Drive, Hickstead Way via Aridius Crescent, Hickstead Way via Painted Sky Way, and Ocaia Street that will feed the proposed development. The connections used for modeling the proposed development were based on the boundary conditions provided by the City on May 30, 2023 (refer to **Appendix C**).

The development site is shown in **Figure 1.1** on the following page, with the recommended trunk main pipe diameters.

This report describes the assumptions and results of the hydraulic modeling and capacity analysis using InfoWater (Innovyze), a GIS water distribution system modeling and management software application.

The results presented in this report are based on the analysis of steady state simulations. The predicted available fire flows, as calculated by the hydraulic model, represent the flow available in the water main while maintaining a residual pressure of 20 psi at the hydrant. No extended period simulations were completed in this analysis to assess the water quality or to assess the hydraulic impact on storage and pumping.

Ge ...





Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis SSUEA 2022-018-DSE

Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

SSUEA
Site Layout and
Connection Point

Figure 1.1



Modeling Considerations

2.1 Water Main Configuration

The trunk water main network was modeled based on drawings prepared by DSEL and provided to GeoAdvice on September 1, 2023, and November 17, 2023.

2.2 Elevations

Elevations of the modeled junctions were assigned according to a preliminary site grading plan at road level, which was prepared by DSEL and provided to GeoAdvice on September 1, 2023.

2.3 Consumer Demands

The proposed residential demands for the development were based on a demand rate of 280 L/cap/d as per City of Ottawa technical bulletin ISTB 2018-01. Demand factors used for this analysis were taken according to the Ministry of Environment (MOE) Design Guidelines for subdivision populations of 3,001 capita - 10,000 capita. A summary of these tables highlighting relevant data for this development is shown in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1: City of Ottawa Demand Factors

Demand Type	Amount	Units
Average Day Demand		
Residential	280	L/c/d
Park	28,000	L/ha/d
Maximum Daily Demand		
Residential	2.0 x avg. day	L/c/d
Park	1.5 x avg. day	L/ha/d
Peak Hour Demand		
Residential	3.0 x avg. day	L/c/d
Park	1.8 x avg. day	L/ha/d

Table 2.2 and **Table 2.3** summarize the water demand calculations for proposed development.





Table 2.2: Development Population and Demand Calculations - Residential

Dwelling Type	Number of Units	Persons Per Unit*	Population	Average Day Demand (L/s)	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
Single Detached	676	3.4	2,299	7.5	14.9	22.4
Back-to-back Townhome	462	2.3	1,063	3.4	6.9	10.3
Traditional Townhome	679	2.7	1,834	5.9	11.9	17.8
Total	1,817		5,196	16.8	33.7	50.5

^{*}City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.

Table 2.3: Park Demand Calculations

Dwelling Type	Area (ha)	Average Day Demand (L/s)	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
Park	0.12	0.04	0.06	0.11

Table 2.4 summarizes the water demand calculations for the hold-out lands adjacent to the development.

Table 2.4: Holdout Lands Demand Calculations

Dwelling Type	Area (ha)	Average Day Demand (L/s)	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
Residential	4.75	1.4	2.8	4.2

Detailed calculations of demands are shown in Appendix A.

2.4 Fire Flow Demand

Fire flow demands are typically determined in accordance with the Fire Underwriters Survey's Water Supply for Public fire Protection guideline (2020). FUS calculations are based on the types of building, floor area, number of storeys, construction class, occupancy class and exposure factor. At this time, there is not enough information about the building construction details to complete FUS calculations. As such, the following required fire flow were assumed:

Park: 167 L/s

• Single family: 167 L/s

Multi-family/medium density: 217 L/s

FUS calculations should be completed once detailed drawings become available to validate the required fire flow assumption. The FUS fire flows may vary from those assumed in this report.





Fire flow simulations were completed at each model node under the most conservative required fire flow value. The locations of nodes do not necessarily represent hydrant locations. Detailed FUS fire flow calculations as well as the illustrated spatial allocation of the required fire flows are shown in **Appendix B**.

2.5 Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions were provided by the City of Ottawa in the form of Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) at the following locations:

- Connection 1: Parade Drive
- Connection 2: Hickstead Way via Painted Sky Way
- Connection 2A: Hickstead Way via Aridius Crescent
 - An additional connection was modeled with the assumption of identical HGL values to Connection 2 based on the location proximity
- Connection 3: Ocaia Street

The four connections to the proposed development are illustrated in **Figure 1.1**.

Boundary conditions were provided for Peak Hour (PHD), Maximum Day plus Fire (MDD+FF) and Average Day (high pressure check, ADD) demand conditions. The City boundary conditions were provided to GeoAdvice on May 30, 2023, and can be found in **Appendix C**.

Table 2.5 summarizes the City of Ottawa boundary conditions ("Scenario 3") used to size the water network.

Table 2.5: Boundary Conditions ("Scenario 3")

Condition	Connection 1 HGL (m)	Connection 2 HGL (m)	Connection 2A HGL (m)	Connection 3 HGL (m)
Average Day (max. pressure)	160.4	160.4	160.4	160.4
Peak Hour (min. pressure)	150.0	149.8	149.8	149.7
Max Day + Fire Flow (167 L/s)	142.8	140.4	140.4	136.1
Max Day + Fire Flow (217 L/s)	134.8	131.0	131.0	124.2





3 Hydraulic Capacity Design Criteria

3.1 Pipe Characteristics

Pipe characteristics of internal diameter (ID) and Hazen-Williams C factors were assigned in the model according to the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines for PVC water main material. Pipe characteristics used for the development are outlined in **Table 3.1** below.

Table 3.1: Model Pipe Characteristics

Nominal Diameter	ID PVC	Hazen Williams
(mm)	(mm)	C-Factor (/)
250	250	110
300	297	120

3.2 Pressure Requirements

As outlined in the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines, the generally accepted best practice is to design new water distribution systems to operate between 350 kPa (50 psi) and 480 kPa (70 psi). The maximum pressure at any point in the distribution system in occupied areas outside of the public right-of-way shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure requirements are outlined in **Table 3.2.**

Table 3.2: Pressure Requirements

Demand Condition		Minimum Pressure		Maximum Pressure	
	(kPa)	(psi)	(kPa)	(psi)	
Normal Operating Pressure (maximum daily flow)	350	50	480	70	
Peak Hour Demand (minimum allowable pressure)	276	40	-	-	
Maximum Fixture Pressure (Ontario Building Code)	-	-	552	80	
Maximum Distribution Pressure (minimum hour check)	-	-	552	80	
Maximum Day Plus Fire	140	20	-	-	





4 Hydraulic Capacity Analysis

The proposed trunk water mains within the development were sized to the minimum diameter which would satisfy the greater of maximum day plus fire and peak hour demand. Modeling was carried out for average day, peak hour and maximum day plus fire flow using InfoWater.

Detailed pipe and junction model input data can be found in **Appendix D**.

4.1 Development Pressure Analysis

Modeled service pressures for the development is summarized in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1: Summary of Available Service Pressures

Average Day Demand	Peak Hour Demand
Maximum Pressure	Minimum Pressure
78.7 psi (543 kPa)	58.4 psi (402 Pa)

As outlined in the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines, the generally accepted best practice is to design new water distribution systems to operate between 350 kPa (50 psi) and 480 kPa (70 psi). The maximum pressure at any point within the distribution system in occupied areas outside of the public right-of-way shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi) and the minimum pressure at any point within the distribution system shall not fall below 270 kPa (40 psi). As such, based on the City boundary conditions, pressure reducing valves are not predicted to be required throughout development.

Detailed result tables and figures can be found in **Appendix E**.

4.2 Development Fire Flow Analysis

Summaries of the minimum available fire flows in the development are shown in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2: Summary of the Minimum Available Fire Flows

Required Fire Flow	Minimum Available Flow*	Junction ID
167 L/s	273 L/s	JCT-STV-066
217 L/s	254 L/s	JCT-STV-048

^{*}The predicted available fire flows, as calculated by the hydraulic model, represent the flow available in the water main while maintaining a residual pressure of 20 psi at the hydrant. High available fire flows (>500 L/s) are theoretical values. Actual available fire flow is limited by the hydraulic losses through the hydrant lateral and hydrant port sizes.

As shown in **Table 4.2**, the fire flow requirements can be met at all junctions within the development.





Summaries of the residual pressures in the development are shown in **Table 4.3**. The minimum allowable pressure under fire flow conditions is 140 kPa (20 psi) at the location of the fire.

Table 4.3: Summary of the Residual Pressures (MDD + FF)

	m Residual essure	Average Residual Pressure	Maximum Residual Pressure
23.8 ps	(164 kPa)	40.5 psi (279 kPa)	47.2 psi (325 kPa)

As shown in **Table 4.3**, there is sufficient residual pressure at all the junctions within the development.

Detailed fire flow results and figures illustrating the fire flow results can be found in **Appendix F**.

Additional hydraulic modeling should be conducted once the internal water main network has been designed, in order to validate the proposed trunk main diameters discussed within this report.





5 Other Servicing Considerations

5.1 Water Supply Security

The City of Ottawa Design Guidelines allow single feed systems for developments up to a total average day demand of 50 m³/day and require two (2) feeds if the development exceeds 50 m³/day for supply security, according to Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2021-03.

The development services a total average day demand of 1,580 m³/day; as such, two (2) feeds are required. The development has four (4) feeds, which were modeled as part of this analysis.

5.2 Valves

No comment has been made in this report with respect to exact placement of isolation valves within the distribution network for the development other than to summarize the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines for number, location, and spacing of isolation valves:

- Tee intersection two (2) valves
- Cross intersection three (3) valves
- Valves shall be located 2 m away from the intersection
- 300 m spacing for 150 mm to 400 mm diameter valves
- Gate valves for 100 mm to 300 mm diameter mains
- Butterfly valves for 400 mm and larger diameter mains

Drain valves are not strictly required under the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines for water mains under 600 mm in diameter. The Guidelines indicate that "small diameter water mains shall be drained through hydrant via pumping if needed."

Air valves are not strictly required under the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines for water mains up to and including 400 mm in diameter. The Guidelines indicate that air removal "can be accomplished by the strategic positioning of hydrant at the high points to remove the air or by installing or utilizing available 50 mm chlorination nozzles in 300 mm and 400 mm chambers."

The detailed engineering drawings for the development are expected to identify valves in accordance with the requirements noted above.

Ge ...



5.3 Hydrants

No additional comment has been made in this report with respect to exact placement of hydrants within the distribution network for the development other than to summarize the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines for maximum hydrant spacing:

- 125 m for single family unit residential areas on lots where frontage at the street line is 15 m or longer
- 110 m for single family unit residential areas on lots where frontage at the street line is less than 15 m and for residential areas zoned for row housing, doubles, or duplexes
- 90 m for institutional, commercial, industrial, apartments and high-density areas

The detailed engineering drawings for the development are expected to identify hydrant locations in accordance with the requirements noted above.

5.4 Water Quality

The turnover rate of the water within the development network only, calculated from the connections to the development is about 3 hours (ADD is 1,580 m³/day).

The above rate is based on the volume of the development network and the development average day demand.

Page | 13



6 Conclusions

The hydraulic capacity and modeling analysis of the Sittsville South Urban Expansion Area development yielded the following conclusions:

- The proposed water main network can deliver all domestic flows under the ADD and the PHD conditions. Service pressures are expected to range between 58 psi (402 kPa) and 79 psi (543 kPa).
- The proposed trunk water main network is able to deliver fire flows at all junctions.

Project ID: 2022-018-DSE
Permit to Practice #: 1000623

Page | 14



Submission

Prepared by:

August 9 202

Ben Loewen, P.Eng., GDBA, PMP. Project Engineer / Project Manager

Approved by:

Werner de Schaetzen, Ph.D., P.Eng.

Wern de Shorte

Senior Modeling Review





Appendix A Domestic Water Demand Calculations and Allocation



Consumer Water Demands

Stittsville West - Residential Demands

	Number of	Population		Average Day Demand			Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type		Persons per	Population Per Dwelling	(L/c/d)	(1 /d)	(1 /c)	2.0 x Avg. Day	3 x Avg. Day
	Units	Unit	Туре	(L/C/U)	(L/d) (L/s)		(L/s)	(L/s)
Single Detached	449	3.4	1,527		427,560	427,560 4.95		14.85
Back-to-Back Townhome	162	2.3	373	280	104,440	104,440 1.21		3.63
Traditional Townhome	374	2.7	1,010		282,800 3.27		6.55	9.82
Subtotal	985	5 2,91			814,800	9.43	18.86	28.29

Stittsville West - Non Residential Demands

		Aroa			Ave	rage Day Dem	and	Max Day	Peak Hour
Property	Туре	Area (ha)			(L/ha/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	1.5 x Avg. Day (L/s)	1.8 x Max Day (L/s)
Park		0.07			28,000	1,963	0.02	0.03	0.06
	Subtotal	0.07				1,963	0.02	0.03	0.06

Future Development & Holdouts 1 - Residential Demands

	Aroa	Population		Ave	rage Day Dem	and	Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	Area (ha)	Persons per Ha	per Population Per Dwelling Type (L/c/d) (L/d) (L/s)		2.0 x Avg. Day (L/s)	3 x Avg. Day (L/s)		
Residential	2	90.0	150	280	42,000	0.49	0.97	1.46
Subtotal	Subtotal 2 150			42,000	0.49	0.97	1.46	

Stittsville South - Residential Demands

	Number of		Population	Average Day Demand			Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	Units	Persons per	Population Per Dwelling	(L/c/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	2.0 x Avg. Day	3 x Avg. Day
	Offics	Unit	Туре	(L/C/U)	(L/U)	(L/3)	(L/s)	(L/s)
Single Detached	227	3.4	772		216,160		5.00	7.51
Back-to-Back Townhome	300	2.3	690	280	280 193,200 2.2		4.47	6.71
Traditional Townhome	305	2.7	824	230,720		2.67	5.34	8.01
Subtotal	832		2,286		640,080	7.41	14.82	22.23

Stittsville South - Non Residential Demands

		Aroa			Ave	rage Day Dem	and	Max Day	Peak Hour
	Property Type	Area (ha)			(L/ha/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	1.5 x Avg. Day (L/s)	1.8 x Max Day (L/s)
Park		0.12			28,000	3,405	0.04	0.06	0.11
	Subtotal	0.12				3,405	0.04	0.06	0.11

Future Development & Holdouts 2 - Residential Demands

	Aroa	Population		Ave	rage Day Dem	and	Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	Area (ba)	Persons per	Population Per Dwelling	(1 /a/d)	(1 /d)	(L/s)	2.0 x Avg. Day	3 x Avg. Day
	(ha)	На	Туре	(L/c/d)	:/d) (L/d)		(L/s)	(L/s)
Residential	1.60	90.0 145		280	40,600	0.47	0.94	1.41
Subtotal		145			40,600	0.47	0.94	1.41

Future Development & Holdouts 3 - Residential Demands

Tatale Development a molacato o	residential B							
	Aroa	Population		Average Day Demand			Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	Area (ha)	Persons per	'	(L/c/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	2.0 x Avg. Day	3 x Avg. Day
	, ,	На	Туре	(, , ,	, , ,	(, ,	(L/s)	(L/s)
Residential	0.67	90.0	61	280 17,080 0.20		0.40	0.59	
Subtotal			61		17,080	0.20	0.40	0.59

Future Development & Holdouts 4 - Residential Demands

	Aroa	Population		Ave	rage Day Dem	and	Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	Area (ha)	Persons per	er Population Per Dwelling (L/c/d) (L/d) (L/d) (L		(L/s)	2.0 x Avg. Day	3 x Avg. Day	
	(Ha)	На	Туре	(L/ C/ U)	L/C/U) (L/U)		(L/s)	(L/s)
Residential	0.81	90.0	73	73 280 20,440		0.24	0.47	0.71
Subtotal		73			20,440	0.24	0.47	0.71

	Average Day	Max Day	Peak Hour
Stittsville West	9.94	19.87	29.81
Stittsville South	8.35	16.68	25.04

^{*}Peaking factors based on development population of 3,001-10,000 capita from the MOE Design Guidelines


Demand Calculations and Allocation

Drummond Lands Domestic Demands

Demand Polygon	Junction ID	Dwelling Type	Number of Units	Population	А	verage Day Deman	d	Max Day 2.0 x Avg. Day	
					L/c/d	L/d	L/s	(L/s)	(L/s)
	JCT-STV-001						0.41	0.82	1.22
	JCT-STV-008						0.41	0.82	1.22
1	JCT-STV-009	Single Detached	148	503	280	140,933	0.41	0.82	1.22
	JCT-STV-010						0.41	0.82	1.22
	JCT-STV-010						0.45	0.91	1.36
	JCT-STV-019					_	0.45	0.91	1.36
2		Single Detached	165	561	280	157,121			
	JCT-STV-020					_	0.45	0.91	1.36
	JCT-STV-021						0.45	0.91	1.36
3	JCT-STV-029	Traditional Townhouse	225	608	280	170,134	0.98	1.97	2.95
	JCT-STV-049 JCT-STV-053						0.98 0.62	1.97 1.24	2.95 1.86
4	JCT-STV-054	Single Detached	116	395	280	110,461	0.62	1.24	1.86
•	JCT-STV-066	Traditional Townhouse	66	178	280	49,906	0.62	1.24	1.86
						.0,000	0.02		
		Single Detached	20	68	280	19,045			
5	JCT-STV-048	Traditional Townhouse	83	224	280	62,760	2.16	4.31	6.47
		Back-to-Back Townhouse	162	373	280	104,440			
	JCT-STV-068						0.18	0.36	0.54
	JCT-STV-075						0.18	0.36	0.54
6	JCT-STV-076	Single Detached	81	275	280	77,132	0.18	0.36	0.54
	JCT-STV-077					<u> </u>	0.18	0.36	0.54
	JCT-STV-078 JCT-STV-083						0.18 0.18	0.36 0.36	0.54 0.55
	JCT-STV-086						0.18	0.36	0.55
7	JCT-STV-087	Single Detached	66	224	280	62,848	0.18	0.36	0.55
	JCT-STV-088						0.18	0.36	0.55
	JCT-STV-082	Cinalo Datachad	_	47	200	4.704	0.67	1.34	2.01
8	JCT-STV-093	Single Detached	5	17	280	4,761	0.67	1.34	2.01
0	JCT-STV-098	Traditional Townhouse	45	122	280	34,041	0.67	1.34	2.01
	JCT-STV-099	Back-to-Back Townhouse	300	690	280	193,200	0.67	1.34	2.01
	JCT-STV-079	a. . a			222	<u></u>	0.17	0.34	0.52
•	JCT-STV-080	Single Detached	8	27	280	7,618	0.17	0.34	0.52
9	JCT-STV-081						0.17	0.34	0.52
	JCT-STV-108 JCT-STV-109	Traditional Townhouse	88	238	280	66,568	0.17 0.17	0.34	0.52 0.52
	JCT-STV-109 JCT-STV-067						0.17	0.34 0.51	0.52
	JCT-STV-067	Single Detached	67	228	280	63,801	0.25	0.51	0.76
10	JCT-STV-114	Cirigie Detaoried		220	200		0.25	0.51	0.76
. •	JCT-STV-115	T 101	24	105	222	40.444	0.25	0.51	0.76
	JCT-STV-117	Traditional Townhouse	61	165	280	46,144	0.25	0.51	0.76
11	JCT-STV-104	Traditional Townhouse	111	300	200	92.067	0.49	0.97	1.46
11	JCT-STV-107	Traditional Townhouse	111	300	280	83,967	0.49	0.97	1.46
A1	JCT-STV-048	Residential	1.6658	150	280	42,000	0.49	0.97	1.46
A2	JCT-STV-067	Residential	1.6034	145	280	40,600	0.47	0.94	1.41
A3	JCT-STV-107	Residential	0.6690	61	280	17,080	0.20	0.40	0.59
A4	JCT-STV-082	Residential	0.8095	73	280	20,440	0.24	0.47	0.71
	Total:		1,822	5,625		1,575,000	18.23	36.46	54

Drummond Lands Non-Domestic Demands

Drummond Lands No	ni-poinestic Deman	us						
				Д	Average Day Dema	nd	Max Day	Peak Hour
Property Type	Junction ID	Phase	Area (ha)	// //a a /al\	(1 /4)	(1. /2)	1.5 x Avg. Day	1.8 x Max Day
				(L/ha/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)
Park	JCT-STV-048	Stittsville West	0.04	28,000	1,025	0.01	0.02	0.03
Park	JCT-STV-029	Stittsville West	0.03	28,000	938	0.01	0.02	0.03
Park	JCT-STV-110	Stittsville South	0.04	28,000	991	0.01	0.02	0.03
Park	JCT-STV-076	Stittsville South	0.09	28,000	2,414	0.01	0.02	0.04
Park	JCT-STV-077	Suusvine South	0.09	20,000	2,414	0.01	0.02	0.04
	Total:		0.19		5,368	0.06	0.09	0.17





Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis SSUEA 2022-018-DSE

Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.


Demand Allocation

Figure A.1



Appendix B Required Fire Flow Allocation







SSUEA 2022-018-DSE

Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: BL Reviewed by: WdS not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of

Required Fire Flow

Figure B.1



Appendix C Boundary Conditions



Boundary Conditions Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area

Provided Information

Scenario	Dem	nand
Scenario	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	1,043	17.39
Maximum Daily Demand	2,059	34.32
Peak Hour	3,114	51.90
Fire Flow Demand #1	10,020	167.00
Fire Flow Demand #2	13,020	217.00
Fire Flow Demand #3	16,980	283.00

Location

Existing Condition Model



Existing Condition with **Conceptual** Looping for Future Servicing Scenario 1





Results

1. Existing Condition Model (No Future Demand)

Connection 1 - Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.2	72.3
Peak Hour	155.2	65.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	143.5	48.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	135.7	37.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	123.2	19.8

¹ Ground Elevation = 109.2 m

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.2	77.9
Peak Hour	155.2	70.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	139.7	48.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	129.6	34.4
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	113.1	11.0

¹ Ground Elevation = 105.4 m

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.2	79.8
Peak Hour	155.2	72.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	127.3	33.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	109.3	7.5
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	80.0	-34.3

¹ Ground Elevation = 104.1 m

2. Existing Condition Model with Future Demands

Connection 1 – Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	72.8
Peak Hour	150.1	58.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	142.3	47.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	134.0	35.2

Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	120.7	16.4
¹ Ground Elevation =	109.2	m

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	78.1
Peak Hour	149.6	62.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	137.6	45.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	126.7	30.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	109.2	5.3

¹ Ground Elevation =

105.4

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	80.0
Peak Hour	149.2	64.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	123.8	28.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	104.7	0.9
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	74.0	-42.8

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

3. Existing Condition with 254 mm Looping for Future Servicing Scenario 1

104.1

Connection 1 - Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	72.8
Peak Hour	150.0	58.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	142.8	47.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	134.8	36.3
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	122.0	18.2

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

109.2

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	78.2
Peak Hour	149.8	63.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	140.4	49.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	131.0	36.4
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	116.1	15.2

m

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	80.1
Peak Hour	149.7	64.9
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	136.1	45.5
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	124.2	28.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	105.2	1.7

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

4. Existing Condition with 254 mm Looping for Future Servicing Scenario 2

104.1

Connection 1 - Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	72.8
Peak Hour	150.0	58.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	142.8	47.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	134.8	36.3
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	122.0	18.2

¹ Ground Elevation =

109.2

m

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	78.2
Peak Hour	149.8	63.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	140.4	49.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	131.0	36.4
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	116.1	15.3

¹ Ground Elevation =

105.4

m

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	80.1
Peak Hour	149.7	64.9
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	136.5	46.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	124.9	29.6
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	106.3	3.2

¹ Ground Elevation =

104.1

m

Disclaimer


The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.



Appendix D Pipe and Junction Model Inputs

Project ID: 2022-018-DSE Permit to Practice #: 1000623







Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis
SSUEA
2022-018-DSE


Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

Junction IDs

Figure D.1





Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis
SSUEA
2022-018-DSE

Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

Pipe IDs


Figure D.2



Appendix E ADD and PHD Model Results

Project ID: 2022-018-DSE Permit to Practice #: 1000623







Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis SSUEA 2022-018-DSE


Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

ADD Pressure Results SSUEA

Figure E.1





Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis SSUEA 2022-018-DSE

Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

PHD Pressure Results SSUEA


Figure E.2



Appendix F MDD+FF Model Results

Project ID: 2022-018-DSE Permit to Practice #: 1000623







Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis SSUEA 2022-018-DSE


Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

Available Fire Flow SSUEA

Figure F.1





Project: Hydraulic Capacity and Modeling Analysis
SSUEA
2022-018-DSE

Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Date: December 2023

Created by: **BL** Reviewed by: **WdS** DISCLAIMER: GeoAdvice does not warrant in any way the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map. Field verification of the accuracy and completeness of the information shown on this map is the sole responsibility of the user.

Residual Pressure @ Required Fire Flow SSUEA

Figure F.2

From: Bougadis, John < John.Bougadis@ottawa.ca>

Sent: July 19, 2024 9:47 AM

To: Peter Mott; van de Lande, Robin

Cc: Marc Pichette; Steve Pichette; Gong, Qiaoqiao

Subject: Re: Stittsville South - Urban Expansion area - Request for hydraulic boundary

conditions

Attachments: DraftFinal_SystemLevelDemandParameters_24May2024(JB).xlsx

EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi Peter

I have attached the water demand parameters to be used for expansion areas where the population exceeds 3000 persons. Please update the demand calculatioms for Stittsville South and resubmit your boundary conditions request.

Thanks,

John

From: Peter Mott < PMott@dsel.ca>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2024 2:28 PM

To: van de Lande, Robin < Robin.vandeLande@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Marc Pichette < <u>MPichette@dsel.ca</u>>; Steve Pichette < <u>spichette@dsel.ca</u>>; Bougadis, John

<John.Bougadis@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Stittsville South - Urban Expansion area - Request for hydraulic boundary conditions

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good afternoon Robin – In keeping consistent with previous requests, see previous correspondence below, I would like to request the updated hydraulic boundary conditions for the SSUEA. The density estimates for the development have increased which will require remodelling of the proposed supply network.

Could you please have this information provided as soon as possible as it will be used to inform our MSS and FSR design for the area. Please see the, request, attached from GeoAdvice Engineering Inc.

Please let me know if you have any questions or require additional information.

Thanks,

System Level Parameters for MSS (2024)	Consumption Rate ¹	Population Density cap/unit ³	Average Day Demand (L/unit/day)	Residential Outdoor Water Demand (OWD) (L/unit/day) ⁴	Maximum Day Demand (L/unit/day)	Peak Hour Demand
SFH	180	3.4	612	700	Average Day Demand + OWD	2.1 x Maximum Day Demand
MLT	198	2.7	535	350	Average Day Demand + OWD	2.1 x Maximum Day Demand
MLT without rear yards	198	2.7	535	0	Average Day Demand	1.6 x Maximum Day Demand
APT	219	1.8	394	0	Average Day Demand	1.6 x Maximum Day Demand
EMP ²	138	1	138	N/A	1.5 x Average Day Demand ⁵	1.8 x Maximum Day Demand
Water Loss per connection	N/A	N/A	80	N/A	Average Day Demand	Average Day Demand
Total Demand			Sum above for Total Average Day			Sum above for Total Peak Hour

¹ Values represent L/cap/day for residential land uses and L/emp/day for employment areas.

² Apply a rate of 17,000 l/h/day if employment totals are unknown. The rate represents the average demand for ICI areas at the 90th percentile.

³ Occupancy factors should be chosen according to housing type. The values shown were extracted from Section 4.2.8 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010)

⁴ Outdoor water demand is applied to single family, semi-detached and townhome units with rear yards.

⁵ The 1.5 multiplier represents the additional outdoor water demand associated with employment areas.

July 24, 2024

Sent by email: PMott@dsel.ca

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. 120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

Attention: Peter Mott, M.Eng., P.Eng.

Junior Project Manager

Re: Water Distribution Network Boundary Condition Request

Stittsville Properties Development GeoAdvice Project ID: 2022-018-DSE

Dear Mr. Mott,

In order to carry out the watermain analysis and hydraulic modeling for the Stittsville Properties development in the City of Ottawa, we request the hydraulic boundary conditions (HGL) for the proposed connection points as shown on the attached schematic. Flow conditions are outlined in the attached consumer water demand calculations.

Boundary conditions at **Connections 1, 2, 3 and 4** are required for the following demand conditions:

- Average day demand = 16.72 L/s
- Maximum day demand = 26.21 L/s
- Maximum day demand + fire flow (167 L/s) = 193.21 L/s
- Maximum day demand + fire flow (217 L/s) = 243.21 L/s
- Maximum day demand + fire flow (283 L/s) = 309.21 L/s
- Peak hour demand = 52.24 L/s

NOTE: The above demands and fire flows should be allocated and split equally to Connections 1, 2, 3 and 4.

For the maximum day demand plus fire flow scenarios, the HGLs for the lowest (167 L/s) and highest (283 L/s) fire flow requirement scenarios should be provided. The HGLs for any intermediate fire flow scenarios will be interpolated. Please confirm if any pumps turn on between the lowest (167 L/s) and highest (283 L/s) fire flow requirement scenarios. If there are any pumps feeding the development area and any additional pumps turning on between the lowest and highest fire flow scenarios, the HGLs <u>cannot</u> be interpolated or extrapolated. In this case, boundary conditions should be provided for all fire flow scenarios listed above.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

GeoAdvice Engineering Inc.
Wern de Shocke

Werner de Schaetzen, Ph.D., P.Eng. President and Chief Executive Officer

werner@geoadvice.com

GeoAdvice Engineering Inc.

Attachments: Mark up for connection locations and demand calculations

Consumer Water Demands

Stittsville West - Residential Demands***

	Number of		Population	Average Day Demand			OV	VL	Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	Units	Persons per Unit	Population Per Dwelling Type	(L/unit/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	max 2ay	2.1 x Max Day (L/s)
Single Detached	317	3.4	1,078	612	219,364	2.54	700	2.57	5.11	10.40
Stacked	337	1.8	607	394	159,738	1.85	-	-	1.85	3.54
Traditional Townhome	578	2.7	1,561	535	355,470	4.11	350	2.34	6.46	12.97
Subtotal	1,232		3,246		734,572	8.50		4.91	13.41	26.91

Stittsville West - Non Residential Demands

	Area		Average Day Demand			OV	VL	Max Day	Peak Hour	
Property Type	Area (ha)		(L/ha/d)	(L/d)	(1./6)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	1.5 x Avg. Day	1.8 x Max Day	
	(IId)	(L/IIa/u)	(L/U)	(L/s)	(L/ullit/u)	(L/S)	(L/s)	(L/s)		
Park	0.91		28,000	25,480	0.29			0.44	0.80	
Subtotal	0.91			25,480	0.29			0.44	0.80	

Future Development & Holdouts 1 - Residential Demands***

Dwelling Type	Area		Population	Aver	age Day Dema	ind	OV	VL	Max Day	Peak Hour
	(ha)	Persons per Ha	Population Per Dwelling Type	(L/unit/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	IVIAX Day	2.1 x Max Day (L/s)
Residential**	1.67	90.0	150	612	30,529	0.35	700	0.36	0.71	1.45
Subtotal	1.67		150		30,529	0.35		0.36	0.71	1.45

Stittsville South - Residential Demands***

Stittsville South - Residential Demail	artisvine south - residential Demands										
Dwelling Type	Number of		Population	Average Day Demand			OV	VL	Max Dav	Peak Hour	
	Units	Persons per	Population Per Dwelling	(L/ha/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	IVIAX Day	2.1 x Max Day	
		Unit	Туре	(L/na/d)				(L/S)		(L/s)	
Single Detached	162	3.4	551	612	112,104	1.30	700	1.31	2.61	5.32	
Stacked	337	1.8	607	394	159,738	1.85	1	1	1.85	3.54	
Traditional Townhome	413	2.7	1,116	535	253,995	2.94	350	1.67	4.61	9.27	
Subtotal	912		2,274		525,837	6.09		2.99	9.07	18.12	

Stittsville South - Non Residential Demands

	A	Average Day Demand			OV	VL	Max Day	Peak Hour	
Property Type	Area		(L/ha/d)	(1 /4)	(1. (2)	(L/unit/d)	(1./=)	1.5 x Avg. Day	1.8 x Max Day
	(ha)		(L/na/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/a)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)
Park	0.75		28,000	21,000	0.24			0.36	0.66
Park	1.85		28,000	51,800	0.60			0.90	1.62
Subtotal	2.60			21,000	0.84			1.26	2.28

Future Development & Holdouts 2 - Residential Demands***

	Area		Population	Aver	age Day Dema	ınd	OV	/L	Max Day	Peak Hour
Dwelling Type	(ha)	Persons per Ha	Population Per Dwelling Type	(L/unit/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	IVIAX Day	2.1 x Max Day (L/s)
Residential**	1.60	90.0	145	612	29,512	0.34	700	0.35	0.69	1.40
Subtotal			145		29,512	0.34		0.35	0.69	1.40

Future Development & Holdouts 3 - Residential Demands***

ruture Development & Holdouts 3 - F	residential Del	manus								
Dwelling Type	Area	Population		Average Day Demand			OV	VL	Max Dav	Peak Hour
	(ha)	Persons per Ha	Population Per Dwelling Type	(L/unit/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	IVIAX Day	2.1 x Max Day (L/s)
Residential**	0.67	90.0	61	612	10,980	0.13	700	0.15	0.27	0.59
Subtotal			61		10,980	0.13		0.15	0.27	0.59

Future Development & Holdouts 4 - Residential Demands***


	Area Popul		Population	Average Day Demand		OWL		Max Day	Peak Hour	
Dwelling Type	(ha)	Persons per Ha	Population Per Dwelling Type	(L/unit/d)	(L/d)	(L/s)	(L/unit/d)	(L/s)	IVIAX Day	2.1 x Max Day (L/s)
Residential**	0.81	90.0	73	612	15,029	0.17	700	0.17	0.35	0.70
Subtotal			73		15,029	0.17		0.17	0.35	0.70


	Average Day	Max Day	Peak Hour
Stittsville West	9.15	14.56	29.15
Stittsville South	7.57	11.64	23.09

^{*}Peaking factors based on the updated City water demand parameters

^{**}Assumed to be single family, to be confirmed in detailed design by DSEL

^{***}As requested by the City, a demand of 80 L/unit was added to each scenario (ADD, MDD, PHD) for residential demands, no peaking factor included





Boundary Conditions Stittsville South Urban Expansion Area

Provided Information

Scenario	Demand		
Scenario	L/min	L/s	
Average Daily Demand	1,043	17.39	
Maximum Daily Demand	2,059	34.32	
Peak Hour	3,114	51.90	
Fire Flow Demand #1	10,020	167.00	
Fire Flow Demand #2	13,020	217.00	
Fire Flow Demand #3	16,980	283.00	

Location

Existing Condition Model



Existing Condition with **Conceptual** Looping for Future Servicing



Results

1. Existing Condition Model (No Future Demand)

Connection 1 - Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.2	72.3
Peak Hour	155.2	65.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	143.5	48.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	135.7	37.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	123.2	19.8

¹ Ground Elevation = 109.2 m

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.2	77.9
Peak Hour	155.2	70.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	139.7	48.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	129.6	34.4
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	113.1	11.0

¹ Ground Elevation = 105.4 m

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.2	79.8
Peak Hour	155.2	72.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	127.3	33.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	109.3	7.5
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	80.0	-34.3

¹ Ground Elevation = 104.1

2. Existing Condition Model with Future Demands

Connection 1 – Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	72.8
Peak Hour	150.1	58.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	142.3	47.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	134.0	35.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	120.7	16.4

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

109.2

105.4

m

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	78.1
Peak Hour	149.6	62.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	137.6	45.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	126.7	30.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	109.2	5.3

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

m

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	80.0
Peak Hour	149.2	64.2
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	123.8	28.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	104.7	0.9
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	74.0	-42.8

¹ Ground Elevation =

3. Existing Condition with 254 mm Looping for Future Servicing

Connection 1 - Parade Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	72.8
Peak Hour	150.0	58.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	142.8	47.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	134.8	36.3
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	122.0	18.2

¹ Ground Elevation =

109.2

m

Connection 2 - Hickstead Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	78.2
Peak Hour	149.8	63.1
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	140.4	49.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	131.0	36.4
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	116.1	15.2

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

105.4

104.1

Connection 3 - Ocaia St.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	160.4	80.1
Peak Hour	149.7	64.9
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	136.1	45.5
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	124.2	28.7
Max Day plus Fire Flow #3	105.2	1.7

¹ Ground Elevation =

m

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.



DSEL

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

APPENDIX D



Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Action en matière de changement climatique

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER 3415-ADQLJG Issue Date: September 21, 2016

Stittsville South Inc. and 1384341 Ontario Ltd. 1737 Woodward Drive, 2nd Floor

Ottawa, Ontario K2C 0P9

Site Location: Stittsville South Area 6 Sanitary Pumping Station

5970 Fernbank Road and part of 5993 Flewellyn Road

City of Ottawa, Ontario

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the <u>Environmental Protection Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

Sanitary Pump Station and Forcemain

- one (1) 3000 mm diameter and 8.65 metre deep wet well with provision for three (3) submersible non-clog wastewater pumps, each pump designed for 42 litres/second at a Total Dynamic Head (TDH) of 29 metres, complete with trash basket, pipe rails, level regulation, force air blower unit and appurtenances;
- two (2) pumps will be initially installed with each pump capable of delivering 42 litres/second at a TDH of 29 metres for an initial firm capacity of 42 litres/second;
- the third pump will be added through an amendment to the ECA once development flows approach 42 litres/second to bring the pump station to its ultimate firm capacity of 84 litres/second;
- approximately 870 metres of dual 200 mm diameter HDPE DR13.5 sanitary forcemains originating at the pump station control building and terminating at the existing sanitary sewer on Fernbank Road;
- one (1) 2400 mm x 1800 mm concrete discharge manhole, complete with Swab Catcher, replacing the existing sanitary MH 401 on Fernbank Road. Dual forcemains will discharge to this new manhole;
- pump station control building complete with mechanical and electrical systems, process piping, valves, control panels, SCADA system, odour control system, swab launchers and appurtenances;

- one (1) 170 KW self-enclosed diesel generator (to be registered under Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR)) on a reinforced concrete pad adjustment to the pump station control building complete with diesel fuel tank, valves and controls;
- one (1) 2400 mm x 1800 mm concrete by-pass chamber complete with valves, couplings and appurtenances;

Sanitary Sewers Pump Station

- approximately 4.8 metres of 450 mm diameter sanitary sewer @ 2.55% from Sanitary MH 99 to wet well;
- approximately 18 metres of 200 mm diameter sanitary forcemain HDPE 13.5 from SAN MH 99 to By-pass Chamber;
- approximately 18.7 metres of 600 mm diameter sanitary sewer from SAN MH 99 to SAN MH 97;

Interim Emergency Sanitary Sewer Overflow

approximately 26.6 metres of 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer from sanitary MH 97 to the existing Faulkner Ditch. Elevation of emergency overflow in sanitary MH 97 is 104.27m;

Permanent Emergency Sanitary Sewer Overflow

the permanent Emergency Sanitary Sewer Overflow will discharge to the future Davidson Stormwater Management Facility which is anticipated to be constructed within the next 2-4 years;

- the permanent emergency sanitary sewer overflow will consist of 3 metres of 600 mm diameter sewer from sanitary MH 97 to the future stormwater management facility. The elevation of the emergency overflow in MH 97 is 103.40m:
- provision to adjust the elevation of the permanent emergency sanitary overflow in MH 97 within a range of 102.80m to 103.70m based on the final 100-year water level in the future Davidson Stormwater Management Facility;
- one (1) primary measuring device in MH 97 consisting of a broad crest weir complete with ultrasonic level recorder;
- once permanent emergency sanitary sewer overflow is established, the interim overflow will be abandoned;

including erosion/sedimentation control measures during construction and all other controls and appurtenances essential for the proper operation of the aforementioned Works;

all in accordance with the application from the Stittsville South Inc. and 1384341 Ontario Ltd., dated March 03, 2016, and all other supporting documents, final plans and specifications prepared by Novatech.

For the purpose of this environmental compliance approval, the following definitions apply:

"Approval" means this entire document including the application and any supporting documents listed in any schedules in this Approval;

"BOD5" (also known as TBOD5) means five day biochemical oxygen demand measured in an unfiltered sample and includes carbonaceous and nitrogenous oxygen demand;

"Director" means a person appointed by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the Environmental Protection Act for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act;

"E. Coli" refers to the thermally tolerant forms of Escherichia that can survive at 44.5 degrees Celsius;

"Emergency Situation" means a structural, mechanical or electrical failure that causes a temporary reduction in the capacity of the sanitary sewage pumping station or an unforeseen flow condition that may result in:

- a) danger to the health or safety of any person; or
- b) injury or damage to any property, or serious risk of injury or damage to any property.

"EPA" means the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.E.19, as amended;

"Event" in the context the sanitary sewage pumping station located outside a Sewage Treatment Plant, means an action or occurrence, at the sanitary sewage pumping station that causes a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow. An Event ends when there is no recurrence of a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow in the 12-hour period following the last Sewage Pumping Station Overflow. Two Events are separated by at least 12 hours during which there has been no recurrence of a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow;

"Limited Operational Flexibility" (LOF) means the modifications that the Owner is permitted to make to the Works under this Approval;

"Ministry" means the ministry of the government of Ontario responsible for the Environmental Protection Act and the Ontario Water Resources Act and includes all officials, employees or other persons acting on its behalf;

"Notice of Modifications" means the form entitled "Notice of Modifications to Sewage Works" included in Schedule "A";

"Owner" means the Stittsville South Inc. and 1384341 Ontario Ltd., and includes their successors and assignees;

"Professional Engineer" means a person entitled to practise as a Professional Engineer in the Province of Ontario under a licence issued under the Professional Engineers Act;

"Sewage Pumping Station Overflow" means any discharge from a sanitary sewage pumping station located outside a Sewage Treatment Plant that does not undergo any treatment or only receives partial treatment before it is discharged to the environment;

"Substantial Completion" has the same meaning as "substantial performance" in the Construction Lien Act;

"Water Supervisor" means the person appointed as Water Supervisor of the Ottawa office of the Ministry;

"Works" means the sewage works described in the Owner's application(s) and this Approval.

You are hereby notified that this environmental compliance approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (1) The Owner shall ensure that any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the Works is notified of this Approval and the Conditions herein and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure any such person complies with the same.
- (2) The designation of the The City of Ottawa as the operating authority of the site on the application for approval of the Works does not relieve the Owner from the responsibility of complying with any and all of the Conditions of this Approval.
- (3) Except as otherwise provided by these Conditions, the Owner shall design, build, install, operate and maintain the Works in accordance with the description given in this Approval, and the application for approval of the Works.
- (4) Where there is a conflict between a provision of any submitted document referred to in this Approval and the Conditions of this Approval, the Conditions in this Approval shall take precedence, and where there is a conflict between the listed submitted documents, the document bearing the most recent date shall prevail.
- (5) Where there is a conflict between the listed submitted documents, and the application, the application shall take precedence unless it is clear that the purpose of the document was to amend the application.
- (6) The Conditions of this Approval are severable. If any Condition of this Approval, or the application of any requirement of this Approval to any circumstance, is held invalid or unenforceable, the application of such Condition to other circumstances and the remainder of this Approval shall not be affected thereby.
- (7) The issuance of, and compliance with the Conditions of this Approval does not:
 - (a) relieve any person of any obligation to comply with any provision of any applicable statute, regulation or other legal requirement, including, but not limited to, the obligation to obtain approval from the local conservation authority necessary to construct or operate the sewage Works; or
 - (b) limit in any way the authority of the Ministry to require certain steps be taken to require the

Owner to furnish any further information related to compliance with this Approval.

2. <u>EXPIRY OF APPROVAL</u>

(1) This Approval will cease to apply to those parts of the new Works which have not been constructed within **five** (5) **years** of the date of this Approval.

3. CHANGE OF OWNER

- (1) The Owner shall notify the Director, in writing, of any of the following changes within **thirty (30)** days of the change occurring:
 - (a) change of Owner;
 - (b) change of address of the Owner;
 - (c) change of partners where the Owner is or at any time becomes a partnership, and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the <u>Business Names Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, c. B17 shall be included in the notification to the Director;
 - (d) change of name of the corporation where the Owner is or at any time becomes a corporation, and a copy of the most current information filed under the <u>Corporations Information Act</u>, R.S.O. 1990, c. C39 shall be included in the notification to the Director.

4. UPON SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF THE SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

- (1) Upon Substantial Completion of the sewage pumping station, the Owner shall prepare a statement, certified by a Professional Engineer, that the sewage pumping station was constructed in accordance with this Approval, and shall make the written statement available to the Ministry, upon request.
- (2) Within **one** (1) **year** of Substantial Completion of the sewage pumping station, a set of as-built drawings showing the sewage pumping station "as constructed" shall be prepared. These drawings shall be kept up to date through revisions undertaken from time to time and a copy shall be retained at the sewage pumping station for the operational life of the sewage pumping station.

5. <u>SEWAGE PUMPING STATION OVERFLOW</u>

- (1) Any Sewage Pumping Station Overflow is prohibited, except:
 - (a) in an Emergency Situation;
 - (b) where the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow is a direct and unavoidable result of a planned maintenance procedure, the Owner notified the Water Supervisor **fifteen** (15) **days** prior to the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow and the Water Supervisor has given written consent of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow; or,

- (c) where the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow is planned for research or training purposes, the discharger notified the Water Supervisor **fifteen (15) days** prior to the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow and the Water Supervisor has given written consent of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.
- (2) The Owner shall forthwith notify the Spills Action Centre (SAC) at 1-800-268-6060 or e-mail at moe.sac.moe@ontario.ca and the Medical Officer of Health of every Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event. This notice shall include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - (a) the date and time at which the Event(s) started,
 - (b) duration of the Event(s);
 - (c) the location of the Event(s);
 - (d) the measured or estimated volume of the Event(s) (unless the Event(s) is/are ongoing); and
 - (e) the reason for the Event (s).
- (3) The Owner shall submit Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event Reports to the Ministry's local office on an Annual basis, no later than forty-five (45) days following the end of the calendar year. Event Reports shall be in an electronic format specified by the Ministry. In each Event Report the Owner shall include, at a minimum, the following information on any Event(s) that occurred during the preceding year:
 - (a) the date and time at which the Event(s) started,
 - (b) duration of the Event(s);
 - (c) the location of the Event(s);
 - (d) the measured or estimated volume of the Event(s) (unless the Event(s) is/are ongoing); and
 - (e) the reason for the Event(s).
- (4) The Owner shall use best efforts to collect a representative sample consisting of a minimum of two (2) grab samples of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow and have it analysed for parameters outlined in Table 1 of Condition 7 (2) using the protocols specified in Condition 7 (3), one at the beginning of the Event and the second approximately near the end of the Event, to best reflect the effluent quality of such Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.
- (5) The Owner shall maintain a record of all Sewage Pumping Station Overflow(s), which shall contain, at a minimum, the types of information set out in Condition 5 (2 (a)) to 5 (2 (e)) in respect of each Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.

6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

- (1) The Owner shall exercise due diligence in ensuring that, at all times, the Works and the related equipment and appurtenances used to achieve compliance with this Approval are properly operated and maintained. Proper operation and maintenance shall include effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training, including training in all procedures and other requirements of this Approval and the Act and regulations, adequate laboratory facilities, process controls and alarms and the use of process chemicals and other substances used in the Works.
- (2) The Owner shall prepare an operations manual within **six** (6) **months** of Substantial Completion of the sewage pumping station, that includes, but not necessarily limited to, the following information:
 - (a) operating procedures for routine operation of the sewage pumping station;
 - (b) inspection programs, including frequency of inspection, for the sewage pumping station and the methods or tests employed to detect when maintenance is necessary;
 - (c) repair and maintenance programs, including the frequency of repair and maintenance for the sewage pumping station;
 - (d) procedures for the inspection and calibration of monitoring equipment;
 - (e) a spill prevention control and countermeasures plan, consisting of contingency plans and procedures for dealing with equipment breakdowns, potential spills and any other abnormal situations, including notification of the Water Supervisor; and
 - (f) procedures for receiving, responding and recording public complaints, including recording any follow-up actions taken.
- (3) The Owner shall maintain the operations manual current and retain a copy at the location of the sewage pumping station for the operational life of the sewage pumping station. The Owner shall make the manual available to the Ministry, upon request.
- (4) The Owner shall make all manuals, plans, records, data, procedures and supporting documentation available to the Ministry, upon request.

7. MONITORING AND RECORDING

The Owner shall, upon the issuance of this Approval, carry out the following monitoring program:

- (1) All samples and measurements taken for the purposes of this Approval are to be taken at a time and in a location characteristic of the quality and quantity of the effluent stream over the time period being monitored.
- (2) Samples shall be collected at the following sampling points, at the frequency specified, by means of

the specified sample type and analysed for each parameter listed and all results recorded:

Table 1 - Monitoring during a Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event (Samples to be collected from the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow sewer near the sewage pumping station)		
Sample Type	Grab	
Parameters	BOD5, Total Suspended Solids, Total Phosphorus, E. Coli (E. Coli samples may be limited to overflows occurring between Apr 1 and Oct 31)	

- (3) The methods and protocols for sampling, analysis and recording shall conform, in order of precedence, to the methods and protocols specified in the following:
 - (a) the Ministry's Procedure F-10-1, "Procedures for Sampling and Analysis Requirements for Municipal and Private Sewage Treatment Works (Liquid Waste Streams Only), as amended from time to time by more recently published editions;
 - (b) the Ministry's publication "Protocol for the Sampling and Analysis of Industrial/Municipal Wastewater" (January 1999), ISBN 0-7778-1880-9, as amended from time to time by more recently published editions; and
 - (c) the publication "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" (21st edition), as amended from time to time by more recently published editions.

8. <u>REPORTING</u>

- (1) **Fifteen (15) days** prior to the date of a planned Sewage Pumping Station Overflow being conducted pursuant to Condition 5 and as soon as possible for an unplanned Sewage Pumping Station Overflow, the Owner shall notify the Water Supervisor in writing of the pending start date, in addition to an assessment of the potential adverse effects on the environment and the duration of the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow.
- (2) In addition to the obligations under Part X of the Environmental Protection Act, (which includes contacting the Spills Action Centre (SAC) at 1-800-268-6060 or e-mail at moe.sac.moe@ontario.ca), the Owner shall, within **ten (10) working days** of the occurrence of any reportable spill as defined in Ontario Regulation 675/98, Bypass or loss of any product, by-product, intermediate product, oil, solvent, waste material or any other polluting substance into the environment, (with the exception of a sanitary sewage discharged during an Event), submit a full written report of the occurrence to the Water Supervisor describing the cause and discovery of the spill or loss, clean-up and recovery measures taken, preventative measures to be taken and schedule of implementation.
- (3) The Owner shall prepare and submit a report to the Water Supervisor on an annual basis. The reports shall contain the following information:
 - (a) a copy of all Notice of Modifications submitted to the Water Supervisor as a result of Schedule A, Section 1 (Limited Operational Flexibility) with a status report on the implementation of each modification;

(b) a report summarizing all modifications completed as a result of Schedule A, Section 3.

9. <u>LIMITED OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY</u>

- (1) The Owner may make modifications to the Works in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of this Approval and subject to the Ministry's "Limited Operational Flexibility Criteria for Modifications to Sewage Works", included under Schedule "A" of this Approval, as amended.
- (2) The sewage pumping station works proposed under Limited Operational Flexibility shall adhere to the design guidelines contained within the Ministry's publication "Design Guidelines for Sewage Works 2008", as amended.
- (3) The Owner shall ensure at all times, that the sewage pumping station works, related equipment and appurtenances which are installed or used to achieve compliance are operated in accordance with all Terms and Conditions of this Approval.
- (4) For greater certainty, the following are not permitted as part of Limited Operational Flexibility:
 - (a) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works that result in an increase of the Rated Capacity of the sewage pumping station works;
 - (b) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works that may adversely affect the approved effluent quality criteria or the location of the discharge/outfall;
 - (c) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works approved under s.9 of the EPA, and
 - (d) Modifications to the sewage pumping station works pursuant to an order issued by the Ministry.
- (5) Implementation of Limited Operational Flexibility is not intended to be used for piecemeal measures that result in major alterations or expansions.
- (6) If the implementation of Limited Operational Flexibility requires changes to be made to the Emergency Response, Spill Reporting and Contingency Plan, the Owner shall, as deemed necessary in consultation with the Water Supervisor, provide a revised copy of this plan for approval to the local fire services authority prior to implementing Limited Operational Flexibility.
- (7) For greater certainty, any alteration made under the Limited Operational Flexibility may only be carried out after other legal obligations have been complied with including those arising from the Environmental Protection Act, Niagara Escarpment Planning and Development Act, Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act, Lake Simcoe Protection Act and Greenbelt Act.
- (8) Prior to implementing Limited Operational Flexibility, the Owner shall complete a Notice of Modifications describing any proposed modifications to the sewage pumping station works and submit it to the Water Supervisor.

10. TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- (1) The Owner shall install and maintain temporary sediment and erosion control measures during construction and conduct inspections once every **two (2) weeks** and after each significant storm event (a significant storm event is defined as a minimum of 25 mm of rain in any 24 hours period). The inspections and maintenance of the temporary sediment and erosion control measures shall continue until they are no longer required and at which time they shall be removed and all disturbed areas reinstated properly.
- (2) The Owner shall maintain records of inspections and maintenance which shall be made available for inspection by the Ministry, upon request. The record shall include the name of the inspector, date of inspection, and the remedial measures, if any, undertaken to maintain the temporary sediment and erosion control measures.

11. RECORD KEEPING

The Owner shall retain for a minimum of **five** (5) **years** from the date of their creation, all records and information related to or resulting from the operation and maintenance activities required by this Approval.

Schedule "A"

Limited Operational Flexibility Criteria for Modifications to Sewage Works

1. The modifications to a sewage pumping station approved under an Environmental Compliance Approval (Approval) that are permitted under the Limited Operational Flexibility (LOF), are outlined below and are subject to the LOF conditions in the Approval, and require the submission of the Notice of Modifications. If there is a conflict between the sewage pumping station works listed below and the Terms and Conditions in the Approval, the Terms and Conditions in the Approval shall take precedence.

1.1 Sewage Pumping Stations

a. Adding or replacing equipment where new equipment is located within an existing sewage pumping station site, provided that the facility Rated Capacity is not exceeded and the existing flow process and/or treatment train are maintained, as applicable.

1.2 Pilot Systems

- a. Installation of pilot systems for new or existing technologies provided that:
 - i. any effluent from the pilot system is discharged to the inlet of the sewage pumping station or hauled off-site for proper disposal,
 - ii. any effluent from the pilot system discharged to the inlet of the sewage pumping station or sewage conveyance system does not significantly alter the composition/concentration of the influent sewage to be treated in the downstream process; and that it does not add any inhibiting substances to the downstream process, and
 - iii. the pilot system's duration does not exceed a maximum of two years; and a report with results is submitted to the Director and Water Supervisor three months after completion of the pilot project.
- 2. Sewage works that are exempt from section 53 of the OWRA by O. Reg. 525/98 continue to be exempt and are not required to follow the notification process under this Limited Operational Flexibility.
- 3. Normal or emergency operational modifications, such as repairs, reconstructions, or other improvements that are part of maintenance activities, including cleaning, renovations to existing approved sewage works equipment, provided that the modification is made with Equivalent Equipment, are considered pre-approved.
- 4. The modifications noted in section (3) above are not required to follow the notification protocols under Limited Operational Flexibility, provided that the number of pieces and description of the equipment as described in the Approval does not change.



I hereby declare that:

1. I am authorized by the Owner to complete this Declaration;

Notice of Modification to Sewage Works

RETAIN COPY OF COMPLETED FORM AS PART OF THE ECA AND SEND A COPY TO THE WATER SUPERVISOR (FOR MUNICIPAL) OR DISTRICT MANAGER (FOR NON-MUNICIPAL SYSTEMS)

Part 1 – Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) with Limited Operational Flexibility

ECA Number	ber, issuance date and notice number, which si	Journ Start W	
ECA Number	Issuance Date (mm/dd/yy)		Notice number (if applicable)
ECA Owner		Municipality	
Part 2: Description (Attach a detailed description	of the modifications as part of the sewage works)	of the Li	imited Operational Flexibility
Description shall include:			
 A detail description of the m type/model, material, proce 		rorks (e.g. se	ewage work component, location, size, equipment
Confirmation that the anticip	pated environmental effects are negligible.		
	or amendments to, all relevant technical documents not required, but the listing of updated doc		re affected by the modifications as applicable, i.e. design brief, drawings, emergency plan, etc.)
Part 3 - Declaratio	n by Professional Engineer		
	erified the scope and technical aspects of this n	nodification a	and confirm that the design:
1. Has been prepared or revie	ewed by a Professional Engineer who is license		
	Operational Flexibility as per the ECA; tent with Ministry's Design Guidelines, adhering	to engineer	ring standards, industry's best management
practices, and demonstrating	ng ongoing compliance with s.53 of the Ontario	Water Reso	ources Act; and other appropriate regulations. contained in this form is complete and accurate.
,	ist of my knowledge, information and belief the	information	PEO License Number
Name (Print)			PEO License Number
Signature			Date (mm/dd/yy)
Name of Employer			

The Owner consents to the modification; and These modifications to the sewage works are proposed in accordance with the Limited Operational Flexibility as described in the ECA. The Owner has fulfilled all applicable requirements of the Environmental Assessment Act. I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, information and belief the information contained in this form is complete and accurate.					
Name of Owner Representative (Print)	Owner representative's title (Print)				
Owner Representative's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yy)				

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

- 1. Condition 1 is imposed to ensure that the Works are built and operated in the manner in which they were described for review and upon which approval was granted. This Condition is also included to emphasize the precedence of Conditions in the Approval and the practice that the Approval is based on the most current document, if several conflicting documents are submitted for review.
- 2. Condition 2 is included to ensure that, when the Works are constructed, the Works will meet the standards that apply at the time of construction to ensure the ongoing protection of the environment.
- 3. Condition 3 is included to ensure that the Ministry records are kept accurate and current with respect to approved Works and to ensure that any subsequent Owner of the Works is made aware of the Approval and continue to operate the Works in compliance with it.
- 4. Condition 4 is included to ensure that the sewage pumping station is constructed in accordance with the Approval and that record drawings of the sewage pumping station "as constructed" are maintained for future reference.
- 5. Conditions 5 and 7 are included to indicate that Sewage Pumping Station Overflow of untreated and/or partially treated sewage to the environment is prohibited, save in certain limited circumstances where the failure to do so could result in greater injury to the public interest than the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow itself, or where the Sewage Pumping Station Overflow can be limited or otherwise mitigated by handling it in accordance with an approved contingency plan. The notification and documentation requirements allow the Ministry to take action in an informed manner and will ensure the Owner is aware of the extent and frequency of Sewage Pumping Station Overflow Event(s).
- 6. Condition 6 is included to require that the Works be properly operated, maintained, funded, staffed and equipped such that the environment is protected and deterioration, loss, injury or damage to any person or property is prevented. As well, the inclusion of a comprehensive operations manual for the sewage pumping station governing all significant areas of operation, maintenance and repair is prepared, implemented and kept up-to-date by the Owner and made available to the Ministry. Such a manual is an integral part of the operation of the sewage pumping station. Its compilation and use should assist the Owner in staff training, in proper plant operation and in identifying and planning for contingencies during possible abnormal conditions. The manual will also act as a benchmark for Ministry staff when reviewing the Owner's operation of the Works.

- 7. Condition 8 is included to provide a performance record for future references, to ensure that the Ministry is made aware of problems as they arise, so that the Ministry can work with the Owner in resolving any problems in a timely manner.
- 8. Condition 9 is included to ensure that the Works are operated in accordance with the application and supporting documentation submitted by the Owner, and not in a manner which the Director has not been asked to consider. These Conditions are also included to ensure that a Professional Engineer has reviewed the proposed Modifications and attests that the Modifications are in line with that of Limited Operational Flexibility, and provide assurance that the proposed Modifications comply with the Ministry's requirements stipulated in the Terms and Conditions of this Approval, Ministry policies, guidelines, and industry engineering standards and best management practices.
- 9. Condition 10 is included as installation, regular inspection and maintenance of the temporary sediment and erosion control measures is required to mitigate the impact on the downstream receiving watercourse during construction, until they are no longer required.
- 10. Condition 11 is included to require that all records are retained for a sufficient time period to adequately evaluate the long-term operation and maintenance of the Works.

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

- 1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
- 2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

- 3. The name of the appellant;
- 4. The address of the appellant;
- 5. The environmental compliance approval number;
- 6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
- 7. The name of the Director, and;
- 8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

<u>AND</u>

The Director appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change 135 St. Clair Avenue West, 1st Floor Toronto, Ontario M4V 1P5 * Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 326-5370 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 21st day of September, 2016

Gregory Zimmer, P.Eng.

Director

appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the *Environmental Protection Act*

MS/

c: District Manager, MOECC Ottawa office
 Greg McDonald, Novatech
 Charles Warnock, Program Manager, City of Ottawa, Development Review
 Linda Carkner, Program Manager, City of Ottawa, Infrastructure Services

velocity of approximately 1.4m/s (within MOE recommended forcemain velocities of 0.8 t 2.5m/s).

According to the West Urban Community – Wastewater Collection System Master Servicing Plan by RV Anderson Associates Ltd., dated July 2012 monitored peak flows entering the Stittsville Pump Station were 39L/s in 2010. With a capacity of 108L/s, the remaining capacity is 69L/s. Based on the aforementioned, the Liard St. Pump Station can handle the majority of the development. It is recommended that the flows at the Liard St. Pump Station continue to be monitored until extension of the Fernbank Trunk is completed (see 6.1.5 for details regarding the Future Fernbank Trunk).

6.1.4 Friendly Crescent Pump Station

The Friendly Crescent Pump Station is a low lift station, which services the properties along Friendly Crescent. The flow is pumped west to the 250mm dia. sewer along Hartsmere Drive and has an overflow that is directed to a storm outlet east of Friendly Crescent.

Novatech Engineering produced the "Design Services and Stormwater Report" in May 2000 with a detailed design of the Friendly Crescent Pump Station. The station was designed to serve 70 dwellings that discharge to the Friendly Crescent Pump Station with a peak flow of 5.77 L/s using twin Flygt effluent pumps CP3085.182 that push 6.0 L/sec at 7.15 meters total dynamic head through a 100mm diameter, 230m long forcemain.

It is proposed that the sanitary sewer-shed of Friendly Crescent Pump Station be accounted for in the servicing alternatives, in order to provide a higher level of service, by providing a gravity outlet to avoid the costs of maintaining and operating the existing pump station.

6.1.5 Future Fernbank Trunk

The Future Fernbank Trunk will be built along the Hydro One easement to accommodate the future development of the Fernbank Community Design Plans as referenced in the Master Servicing Study for the Fernbank lands. Once constructed, the Liard Street Pump Station will be decommissioned, and all flows from the Liard Street Pump Station sewershed and the Area 6 lands will be directed to the Fernbank Trunk through a gravity sewer. The Fernbank Trunk will convey flows to the Hazeldean Pump Station. The decommissioning work will be undertaken by the City, based on the time frame provided in Infrastructure Master Plan.

The Fernbank Trunk was designed for a peak flow of 528L/s and has a capacity of 670L/s which leaves an excess capacity of 142L/s. As per section 6.1.3 of this report, the Liard Street Pump Station had a monitored flow of 39L/s in 2010, and proposed Area 6 peak design flows is 85L/s which summates to 124L/s. Based on these flows, there is adequate capacity in the Fernbank Trunk.

Based on coordination with the Landowners within the Fernbank CDP lands, the sewer depth and size will be accounted for at the proposed subdivisions within the Fernbank Lands CDP to provide the required capacity in order to eventually decommission the Liard Street Pump station and accumulate the Area 6 flows. The cost for over-sizing and over –depth of the sewers is discussed in Section 9.2.

TABLE D-1: FERNBANK CDP LANDS - NEW TRUNK SEWER **SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET (2031)**

D From To Area (ha) Pop. Pop. (ha) Pop. Pop. (ha) Pop. Pop. (ha) Pop.	Slope (%) Length (m) Capacity (I/s) Full Flovel. (m/vel. (m/	n/s) (%) 66.0% 96.7% 22.7% 3 96.4% 0 68.8% 0 97.5% 6 63.0% 6 60.6%
Column C	(%) (m) (l/s) Vel. (m/s) 0.24 154 30.4 0.60 0.24 306 49.4 0.68 1.50 373 76.0 1.50 0.61 396 78.8 1.08 0.24 320 30.4 0.60 0.15 207 115.2 0.70 0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	(%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)
2 904 908 11.65 1076 1986 3.10 465 519 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 1541 2505 3.5 35.6 0.00 0.00 0.91 1.69 1.5 22.29 38.36 10.7 47.8 300 3 906 908 7.45 688 688 688 0.00 0 0 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0	0.24 306 49.4 0.68 1.50 373 76.0 1.50 0.61 396 78.8 1.08 0.24 320 30.4 0.60 0.15 207 115.2 0.70 0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	96.7% 22.7% 3 96.4% 68.8% 97.5% 63.0% 60.6%
4 908 912 4.45 411 3085 1.67 251 770 0.00 0 0.00 0 0 0.62 3855 3.3 52.3 0.63 0.63 0.00 4.32 4.3 16.43 69.30 19.4 76.0 300 5 910 912 10.35 956 956 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 </th <th>0.61 396 78.8 1.08 0.24 320 30.4 0.60 0.15 207 115.2 0.70 0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85</th> <th>96.4% 68.8% 97.5% 9 63.0% 60.6%</th>	0.61 396 78.8 1.08 0.24 320 30.4 0.60 0.15 207 115.2 0.70 0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	96.4% 68.8% 97.5% 9 63.0% 60.6%
5 910 912 10.35 956 956 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0.83 0.83	0.24 320 30.4 0.60 0.15 207 115.2 0.70 0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	68.8% 97.5% 9 63.0% 60.6%
6 912 920 11.15 1030 5071 0.00 0 770 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 1030 5841 3.2 75.3 0.00 0.63 2.50 7.65 7.2 18.11 106.75 29.9 112.4 450 7 914 916 16.35 1511 1511 0.90 135 135 0.00 0 0 0 0.00 0 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.0	0.15 207 115.2 0.70 0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	97.5% 9 63.0% 60.6%
7 914 916 916 920 922 0.00 0 922 924 12.20 1127 9188 0.00 0 9188 0.00 0 993 0.00 0 993 0.00 0 0 993 0.00 0 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0	0.25 152 50.4 0.69 0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	63.0% 60.6%
8 916 920 10.45 966 2477 0.00 0 135 0.00 0 0.00 0 966 2612 3.5 37.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.1 15.69 40.92 11.5 49.5 375 9 918 920 5.55 513 513 513 0.49 74 74 0.00 0 0.00 0 587 587 3.9 9.4 0.00 0.00 6.14 6.14 5.3 16.04 16.04 4.5 19.2 250 10 920 922 0.00 0 8061 0.00 0 979 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 15.09 13.6 0.00 163.71 45.8 169.3 525 924 924 934 0.00 0 993 0.00 0 0.00 0 0 1141 10181 2.9 121.5 0.00 0.63 0.00 15.09 13.6 0.00 15.0 27.31 191.02 53.5 </th <th>0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85</th> <th>60.6%</th>	0.20 314 81.8 0.72 0.85 363 57.2 1.13 0.18 265 190.3 0.85	60.6%
10 920 922 924 934 922 924 934 0.00 0 9188 0.00 0 9188 0.00 0 979 0.00 0 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.	0.18 265 190.3 0.85	33.5%
10 922 924 12.20 1127 9188 0.09 14 993 0.00 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 16.61 15.0 27.31 191.02 53.5 190.0 525 190.0 525 190.0 525 190.0 525 190.0 525		
11 926 930 4.95 457 457 8.40 1260 1260 0.00 0 0 3.45 279 279 1996 1996 1996 1.99 1.99 1.99 0.82 0.82 2.4 26.79 26.79 7.5 38.9 375	0.79 669 398.8 1.78	88.3%
	0.14 530 68.4 0.60	56.9%
12 928 930 9.35 864 864 3.55 533 533 0.00 0 0 0 0 1397 1397 3.7 20.9 0.00 0.00 3.85 3.3 22.72 22.72 6.4 30.7 200	7.00 55 90.5 2.79	33.9%
	0.11 308 99.1 0.60 0.10 455 141.9 0.63	
15 934 972 2.90 268 10929 1.80 270 3499 0.00 0 0 1.21 98 954 636 15382 2.8 172.4 0.61 7.12 0.40 28.58 31.0 15.08 283.67 79.4 282.8 600	0.26 1007 326.6 1.12	2 86.6%
17 938 940 8.05 744 1444 1.00 150 255 0.00 0 0 4.41 357 357 1251 2056 3.6 29.8 2.21 2.21 0.83 3.00 4.5 25.14 39.56 11.1 45.4 300	1.00 108 62.0 1.22 0.35 156 59.7 0.82 0.75 310 87.4 1.20	76.0%
20 944 946 12.20 1127 1797 1.00 150 855 0.00 0 0	0.90 516 58.9 1.16 0.20 511 81.8 0.72 0.50 243 129.3 1.13 0.15 195 115.2 0.70 0.15 221 115.2 0.70	78.8% 65.9% 74.0%
23 952 972 4.15 383 5061 5.50 825 2762 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 357 1208 8180 3.0 100.8 0.00 2.21 0.00 23.60 22.4 22.72 155.98 43.7 166.8 450	0.54 282 218.6 1.33	3 76.3%
25 956 958 10.70 989 1700 0.00 0 435 0.00 0 0.00 0 543 989 2678 3.5 37.8 0.00 3.35 6.27 7.06 9.0 23.45 46.26 13.0 59.8 450 958 960 0.00 0 1700 0.00 0 435 0.00 0 0 0.00 543 989 2678 3.5 37.8 0.00 3.35 0.00 7.06 9.0 0.00 46.26 13.0 59.8 450 958 958 960 0.00 0 1700 0.00 0 0.00 0 59.8 450	0.15 330 70.8 0.62 0.20 411 133.0 0.81 0.15 177 115.2 0.70 0.15 82 115.2 0.70	44.9% 51.9%
	0.35 479 36.7 0.72 1.00 298 62.0 1.22	
28 966 970 1.80 166 2818 5.25 788 1928 0.00 0 680 0.00 0 543 954 5969 3.2 76.7 0.00 3.35 8.89 15.95 16.8 22.38 101.12 28.3 121.8 525	0.15 249 173.8 0.78	3 70.1%
29 968 970 6.90 638 638 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0.00	0.32 82 19.4 0.60	72.7%
970 972 0.00 0 3456 0.00 0 1928 0.00 0 680 0.00 0 543 0 6607 3.1 83.8 0.00 3.35 0.00 16.94 17.6 0.00 112.15 31.4 132.8 600	0.15 178 248.1 0.85	5 53.5%
	0.20 586 669.7 1.21 0.20 66 669.7 1.21	

Design Parameters:

Infiltration =

Avg Flow/Person = 350 I/day Comm./Inst. Flow = 50,000 l/ha/day

0.28 l/s/ha

Pipe Friction n = 0.013

Residential Peaking Factor = Harmon Equation (max 4, min 2) Peaking Factor Comm./Inst. =

Units/Net ha Pop/Unit


Low Density Residential = 3.30 28 Medium Density Residential = 60
High Density Residential = 75 2.50 (Multi Family Residential)

1.80 Mixed Use = 90 1.80 (50% of mixed use area is residential) Project: Fernbank CDP (101108) Designed: KJM Checked: MAB Dwg. Reference: 101108-SAN

Date: May 8, 2009

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET Manning's n=0.013 RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION INFILTRATION COMM LOCATION INSTIT PARK C+I+I CUMULATIVE мн мн ARFA POP FACT. FLOW AREA AREA AREA FLOW AREA AREA FLOW FLOW (FULL) Q act/Q cap (FULL) (ACT) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (ha) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (l/s) (l/s) (m/s) (m/s) SAN TRUNK 1 0.10 0.10 Λ 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.93 1.03 1.03 0.85 98 0.95 98 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.85 1.88 1.84 214 2.79 312 0.00 0.00 0.93 1.84 3.72 2.68 2.68 770 5.47 1082 0.00 0.93 6.40 120A 135A 2.96 1303 3.2 13.42 0.00 0.93 0.10 2.96 3.09 16.61 63.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.38 221 8.43 0.00 9.36 0.20 23 8.63 1326 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.20 9.56 1.10 127 9.73 1453 0.00 0.00 0.93 1.10 10.66 152 11.96 1.30 11.03 1605 0.00 0.00 0.93 1.30 1.70 196 12.73 1801 0.00 0.93 1.70 13.66 0.00 135A 139A 16.09 5.31 2.43 184 15.16 1985 3.1 19.75 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 2.43 25.16 60.0 0.20 43.25 0.58 0.23 27 15.39 2012 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.23 16.32 0.24 18 15.63 2030 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.24 16.56 27.48 139A 143A 1.28 148 16.91 2178 3.0 21.50 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 1.28 17.84 5.89 60.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.64 0.61 0.65 23 17.11 0.93 18.04 0.20 2201 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.29 1.28 22 148 0.00 0.93 0.29 18.33 0.93 0.10 1.28 19.61 6.47 17.40 0.00 2223 2371 3.0 23.22 143A 159A 29.79 43.25 60.0 300 0.20 0.69 0.61 0.66 18.68 2394 0.93 0.20 23 18.88 0.00 0.00 0.20 19.81 0.65 51 19.53 2445 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.65 20.46 1.36 101 20.89 2546 0.00 0.00 0.93 1.36 21.82 166A 3029 3.0 28.97 37.68 43.25 159A 4.27 483 0.93 0.10 4.27 26.09 8.61 60.0 300 0.20 0.87 0.61 0.69 25.16 0.00 0.00 0.23 27 25.39 0.00 0.93 0.23 26.32 3056 0.00 166A 169A 2.26 171 27.65 3227 0.00 0.00 0.93 2.26 28.58 40.20 60.0 0.20 43.25 0.93 0.69 0.20 3250 23 27.85 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.20 28.78 169A 172A 0.96 73 28.81 3323 2.9 31.48 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.96 29.74 9.81 41.40 60.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.96 0.61 0.70 0.05 29.79 0.05 Ω 28.86 3323 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.20 15 29.06 3338 0.00 0.93 29.99 0.00 0.20 172A 173A 1.31 99 30.37 3437 2.9 32.45 0.00 0.00 0.93 1.31 31.30 78.41 0.55 174A 173A 72.5 375 78.41 0.37 28 30.74 3465 2.9 32.69 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.37 31.67 10.45 43.24 0.20 0.55 0.71 0.73 174A 375 175A 0.42 31.16 3497 2.9 32.96 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.42 32.09 10.59 43.65 70.5 0.20 78.41 0.56 0.71 0.73 175A 27 0.73 176A 0.36 31.52 3524 2.9 33.18 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.36 32.45 10.71 43.99 61.0 375 0.20 78.41 0.56 0.71 0.12 176A 177A 0.12 32.57 10.75 44 11 375 0.73 31.64 3533 2.9 33.26 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.20 78.41 0.56 0.71 9.5 177A 178A 3533 2.9 33.26 0.10 32.59 44.11 60.0 375 0.71 0.73 0.02 31.66 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.02 10.75 0.20 78.41 0.56 178A 179A 3533 2.9 33.26 0.00 0.00 0.93 32.59 44.11 24.5 375 0.56 0.73 31.66 0.10 0.00 10.75 0.20 78.41 0.71 179A 180A 3533 2.9 33.26 375 31 66 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.00 32 59 10 75 44 11 16.5 0.20 78 41 0.56 0.71 0.73 180A 283A 31.66 3533 2.9 33.26 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.10 0.00 32.59 10.75 44.11 11.0 375 78.41 0.56 0.71 0.73 0.20 To SAN TRUNK 2, Pipe 283A - 284A 31.66 3533 0.93 0.00 0.00 32.59 SAN TRUNK 2 0.04 0.00 0.04 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.04 0 0.28 33 0.32 33 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.28 0.32 203A 205A 50 83 3.6 0.97 1.22 60.0 200 0.35 19.40 0.34 0.43 0.75 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.43 0.75 0.25 0.06 0.62 0.40 46 1.15 129 0.00 0.40 1.15 0.00 0.00 205A 206A 82 1.85 2.40 0.00 1.85 0.61 3.01 74.5 19.40 0.16 0.62 0.45 0.70 211 3.5 0.00 0.00 0.70 200 0.35 0.38 44 2.23 255 0.00 0.00 2.23 206A 217A 0.68 79 2.91 334 3.73 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.68 2.91 0.96 4.69 81.0 200 0.35 19.40 0.24 0.62 0.50 3.4 **DESIGN PARAMETERS** ROJECT Designed Park Flow = 9300 L/ha/da 0.10764 l/s/Ha V.W. 1247- Stittsville South Uban Expansion Area Average Daily Flow = 280 I/p/day Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph Comm/Inst Flow = 28000 L/ha/da 0.3241 l/s/Ha Extraneous Flow = 0.330 L/s/ha Checked: LOCATION: Industrial Flow = City of Ottawa 35000 L/ha/da 0.40509 I/s/Ha Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s W.L. Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00 Manning's n = (Conc) 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013 Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor = 1.00 Townhouse coeff= 2.7 Dwg. Reference: File Ref: Date: Sheet No. 0.32 l/s/Ha Single house coeff= 31 Jul 2024 Institutional = 34 Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs, No.

SANITARY SEWER CA	ALCULA	TION SH	EET																								
Manning's n=0.013			l Ri	ESIDENTIAL	. AREA AN	D POPULATIO	ON			I co	ОММ	l in:	STIT	I PA	RK	C+I+I	1	INFILTRATIO	ON .	ı				PIPE			
STREET	FROM	ТО	AREA	UNITS	POP.	CUMU	LATIVE	PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	TOTAL	DIST	DIA	SLOPE	CAP.	RATIO	V	EL.
	M.H.	M.H.	(ha)			AREA (ha)	POP.	FACT.	FLOW (I/s)	(ha)	AREA (ha)	(ha)	AREA (ha)	(ha)	AREA (ha)	FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	FLOW (I/s)	FLOW (I/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(FULL) (I/s)	Q act/Q cap	(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)
			0.12		0	3.03	334				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.12	3.03	+								
			0.58		67	3.61	401				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.58	3.61									
			1.17		135	4.78	536				0.00		0.00		0.00		1.17	4.78									
	217A	226A	2.13		248	6.91	784	3.3	8.37		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	2.13	6.91	2.28	10.65	61.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.36	0.61	0.55
			0.12		0	7.03	784				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.12	7.03									
	<u> </u>		0.72 1.15		83 134	7.75 8.90	867 1001	-			0.00	-	0.00		0.00		0.72 1.15	7.75 8.90									
	226A	228A	1.13		98	10.20	1001	3.2	11.46		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.13	10.20	3.37	14.83	60.0	250	0.25	29.73	0.50	0.61	0.60
	228A	229A	0.16		0	10.36	1099	3.2	11.46		0.00		0.00	1.85	1.85	0.20	2.01	12.21	4.03	15.69	69.5	250	0.30	32.57	0.48	0.66	0.65
	229A	230A	0.16		0	10.52	1099	3.2	11.46		0.00		0.00		1.85	0.20	0.16	12.37	4.08	15.74	67.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.36	0.61	0.56
	230A	231A	0.04		0	10.56	1099	3.2	11.46		0.00		0.00		1.85	0.20	0.04	12.41	4.10	15.76	15.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.36	0.61	0.56
			0.08		0	10.64	1099				0.00		0.00		1.85		0.08	12.49									
			0.81		61	11.45	1160				0.00		0.00		1.85		0.81	13.30									
			2.74		787	14.19	1947				0.00		0.00	-	1.85		2.74	16.04									
-	231A	265A	3.34		244 452	17.53 21.43	2191 2643	3.0	25.62		0.00		0.00	1	1.85	0.20	3.34	19.38 23.28	7.68	33.50	39.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.77	0.61	0.68
	265A	266A	0.06		0	21.49	2643	3.0	25.62		0.00		0.00	1	1.85	0.20	0.06	23.34	7.70	33.52	34.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.77	0.61	0.68
	266A	267A	0.14		0	21.63	2643	3.0	25.62		0.00		0.00		1.85	0.20	0.14	23.48	7.75	33.57	80.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.78	0.61	0.68
	267A	268A	0.11		9	21.74	2652	3.0	25.70		0.00		0.00		1.85	0.20	0.11	23.59	7.78	33.68	21.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.78	0.61	0.68
			0.05		4	21.79	2656				0.00		0.00	0.73	2.58		0.78	24.37									
			0.06		5	21.85	2661				0.00		0.00		2.58		0.06	24.43									
	268A	269A	0.10		8	21.95	2669	3.0			0.00		0.00		2.58	0.28	0.10	24.53	8.09	34.22	80.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.79	0.61	0.68
	269A 270A	270A 280A	0.59 0.12		45 9	22.54 22.66	2714 2723	3.0	26.24 26.32		0.00		0.00	-	2.58	0.28	0.59 0.12	25.12 25.24	8.29 8.33	34.81 34.92	114.0 14.5	300 300	0.20	43.25 43.25	0.80	0.61	0.68
	270A	20UA	0.12		0	22.68	2723	3.0	20.32		0.00		0.00		2.58	0.20	0.12	25.24	0.33	34.92	14.5	300	0.20	43.23	0.81	0.61	0.00
	-		0.02		12	22.84	2735				0.00		0.00	1	2.58		0.02	25.42									
	280A	281A	2.15		163	24.99	2898	3.0	27.84		0.00		0.00		2.58	0.28	2.15	27.57	9.10	37.21	37.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.86	0.61	0.69
	281A	282A				24.99	2898	3.0	27.84		0.00		0.00		2.58	0.28	0.00	27.57	9.10	37.21	8.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.86	0.61	0.69
	282A	283A				24.99	2898	3.0	27.84		0.00		0.00		2.58	0.28	0.00	27.57	9.10	<u>37.21</u>	2.5	450	2.50	450.79	0.08	2.83	1.69
Contribution From SAN TRUNK 1, Pipe						31.66	3533				0.00		0.00		0.93		32.59	60.16									
	283A	284A				56.65	6431	2.7	56.55		0.00		0.00		3.51	0.38	0.00	60.16	19.85	76.79	2.3	450	2.50	450.79	0.17	2.83	2.10
SAN TRUNK 3																											
	6001A	6002A				0.00					0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.0	375	0.35	103.73	0.00	0.94	0.05
	6002A	6003A				0.00	0				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.0	375	0.30	96.03	0.00	0.87	0.05
	6003A	6004A				0.00	0				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.0	375	0.35	103.73	0.00	0.94	0.05
								-																			
																			1								
			DESIGN PA		RS								Designe					PROJEC	T:					_			
Park Flow =	9300	L/ha/da	0.10764	l/s/Ha										V.W.	_						1247- Sti	ttsville S	outh Uba	an Expans	sion Area		
Average Daily Flow =	280	l/p/day	0.0044	1/- // 1 -				or = as p	er MOE Gi				Oh c -1:	J.				LOCATIO	ONI.								
Comm/Inst Flow =	28000	L/ha/da	0.3241	l/s/Ha		Extraneou				L/s/ha			Checked					LOCATIO	JN:				City of	Ottowo			
Industrial Flow = Max Res. Peak Factor =	35000 4.00	L/ha/da	0.40509	l/s/Ha		Minimum Manning's	,	(Conc)	0.600 0.013		0.013			W.L.	_								City of	Ottawa			
Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.00					Townhous		(00110)	2.7	(1 40)	0.010		Dwg. Re	eference:				File Ref:				Date:				Sheet No	. 2
Institutional =	0.32	l/s/Ha				Single hou			3.4					Drainage P	lan, Dwgs.	No.							31 Jul 2024	4		of	





MEMORANDUM

DATE: MAY 30, 2023

TO: KEVIN MURPHY

FROM: SAM BAHIA, BEN SWEET

RE: SHEA ROAD PUMP STATION & FERNBANK TRUNK

CAPACITY REVIEW

NOVATECH FILE NO.: 122163

CC: CARL SCIUK

Introduction

Under the New Official Plan two expansion areas were introduced to West Stittsville: W3 and W4 West Stittsville. Wastewater flows from these expansion areas were not previously considered as part of the Shea Road Pump Station (Shea PS) and Fernbank Trunk Sanitary (FTS) Sewer original designs.

As per David Schaeffer Engineering Limited's (DSEL) request, this memorandum summarizes the findings from the Shea PS and FTS Sewer capacity review, for both the current and future wastewater flows within the respective sewer sheds. The capacity review considers the introduction of the New Official Plan expansion areas – W4 West Stittsville (Subject Site), and an allowance for W3 West Stittsville, which would introduce additional flows to the FTS Sewer. Drawing 122163-SAN illustrates W3 and W4 West Stittsville.

The Subject Site is located at the North-West corner of Flewellyn Road and Shea Road, South of the Area 6 lands. As W4 West Stittsville is directly adjacent to the Shea PS, wastewater flows could be directed to the Shea PS through sanitary sewers, and ultimately to the FTS Sewer.

Background and Infrastructure Status

Upgrades to the Shea PS were completed in August 2022, which included the installation of a third pump. These upgrades increased the Shea PS firm capacity from 42 L/s to 84 L/s, accommodating further development of the Area 6 lands as well as the decommissioning of the Friendly Crescent Pump Station (Friendly PS). Currently, it is anticipated that full buildout of the Area 6 lands will be completed within the next five years (assumed to be prior to the development of W3 and W4 West Stittsville) and the decommissioning of the Friendly PS will be completed by July 2023.

Extension of the FTS Sewer from Goldhawk Drive to Edenwylde Drive was completed in December 2022, accommodating the above-mentioned increase in the Shea PS firm capacity as well as further development North of Fernbank Road. In the future, it is planned that the FTS Sewer will be extended to Liard Street to allow for the decommissioning of the Liard Street Pump Station (Liard PS). The future FTS Sewer extension and the Liard PS decommissioning will be completed by others.

M:\2022\122163\DATA\CORRESPONDENCE\MEMOS\SHEA PS & FTS SEWER_CAPACITY REVIEW.20230530-SHEA PS & FTS SEWER_CAPACITY REVIEW.DOCX
PAGE 1 OF 4



Although this work is being completed by others, it is anticipated that this work will be completed within the next five years.

It is important to note that the decommissioning of the Friendly and Liard PS were both considered as part of the Shea PS and FTS Sewer original designs. The wastewater flows from the Shea PS and FTS Sewer ultimately outlet to the Hazeldean Road Pump Station (Hazeldean PS).

Subject Site Development Potential

High-level development potential of the Subject Site has been provided to Novatech by DSEL, which comprises of a population potential and effective extraneous area of 5,760 persons and 64.2 ha (excluding HONI corridor and ponds), respectively, which would be developed in 2 stages (East and West of the Faulkner Municipal Drain). The theoretical peaked flow for the Subject Site, not considering peaking factors from external areas, is approximately 70 L/s.

Shea Road Pump Station Capacity Review

As mentioned previously, the Shea PS current firm capacity is 84 L/s.

For the purposes of the Shea PS capacity review, the development buildout has been broken down into four scenarios for construction staging. The stages are as follows:

- Stage 1 & 2 Area 6 lands full buildout including MD blocks, Bell lands, and commercial lands
- Stage 3 Friendly PS decommissioned with flows diverted to the Shea PS
- Stage 4 W4 West Stittsville partial buildout (Maguire & Faulkner lands only)
- Stage 5 W4 West Stittsville full buildout (Davidson & Eder lands added)

Tables 1 to 4 have been prepared to compare the Shea PS staged flow under different loading parameters. The different loading parameters are as follows:

- Condition 1 Design Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied
- Condition 2 Annual Parameters for Occupied & Design Parameters for Unoccupied
- Condition 3 Annual Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied
- Condition 4 Rare Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied

The following table summarizes the Shea PS staged flows under different loading parameters.

Shea PS Staged Flow Summary

Scenario	Condition 1 (Design)	Condition 2 (Annual/Design)	Condition 3 (Annual)	Condition 4 (Rare)
Stage 1 & 2	64.13 L/s	47.48 L/s	44.50 L/s	60.08 L/s
Stage 3	67.45 L/s	49.81 L/s	46.85 L/s	63.60 L/s
Stage 4	101.59 L/s	84.25 L/s	69.38 L/s	94.73 L/s
Stage 5	128.45 L/s	111.46 L/s	87.44 L/s	120.24 L/s

Given the wastewater flows for the Stage 5 (full buildout) under each different loading parameter are all greater than the Shea PS current firm capacity, future upgrades to the pump station will be



required if these lands are all to be directed to the Shea PS. If the future upgrades are based on the peaked design flows, the Shea PS proposed firm capacity would need to be upgraded to 130 L/s.

Shea Road Pump Station Potential Future Upgrades

Novatech has reviewed potential component upgrades to the Shea PS based on 130 L/s, which should accommodate the above.

The following outlines the potential future upgrades:

Certain Upgrades:

- Higher HP pumps;
- Starters; and
- Power to pumps.

More than Likely Upgrades:

New generator.

Possible Upgrades:

- Primary power supply and 600V wiring; and
- Upsize 150mm piping between wet well and valve chamber and within basement.

Probably OK:

- Controls;
- 200mm forcemain (as long as it can be demonstrated that surge pressures will not be an
 issue due to higher velocities; the theoretical velocity will need to be confirmed by a transient
 analysis as part of the detailed design for the Shea PS future upgrades);
- Wet well (as long as new pumps fit and operating volumes are adjusted);
- Control room; and
- Bypass chamber.

Once DSEL has reviewed the sanitary servicing approach for W4 West Stittsville, the Shea PS future upgrades can be reviewed further.

Monitoring of the existing wastewater flows to the Shea PS will also need to be reviewed further during the Master Servicing Study / Draft Plan stage, prior to detailed design, to determine residual capacity and future upgrades. This will be completed under separate cover.

Fernbank Trunk Sanitary Sewer Capacity Review

For the purposes of the FTS Sewer capacity review, we have updated sanitary sewer design sheets of the downstream trunks which include the anticipated future growth to determine the impacts on the sewer system.

On a reach-by-reach basis, the sanitary sewer design sheets include the relevant population and areas based on the existing and anticipated future growth being directed to the respective sewers as well as the fixed wastewater flow allowances for the Shea PS (Areas 6 lands and W4 West Stittsville) and W3 West Stittsville. The existing and anticipated future growth includes the development lands owned by CRT Developments Inc., 1384341 Ontario Ltd. (Cavanagh-Fernbank), 2087875 Ontario



Ltd. (Tartan-Fernbank) as well as the Liard PS sewer shed under the assumption that it will be decommissioned.

Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet 1 includes the sewers upstream of EX FT24 (MHSA72815). Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet 2 includes the sewers downstream of EX FT24 (MHSA72815).

The updates are as follows:

- Added fixed wastewater flow allowance to the relevant sections of the downstream trunk for the Shea PS Stage 5 (full buildout) = 130 L/s (as outlined above);
- Added fixed wastewater flow allowance to the relevant sections of the downstream trunk for W3 West Stittsville = 40 L/s (based on 45 ha of developable land at 0.9 L/s/ha); and
- Assumed the Liard PS decommissioning has been completed, thus, the Liard PS sewer shed and W3 West Stittsville are directed to the FTS Sewer.

Based on the foregoing and review of the impacts on the sewer system, it should be noted that there are minor surcharges. However, due to the depth of the trunk sewer and the isolated sections of trunk sewer surcharging under the peaked design flows, impact would be negligible.

Attachments

- 1. Table 1 Shea PS Staged Flow Summary (Design Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied)
- 2. Table 2 Shea PS Staged Flow Summary (Annual Parameters for Occupied & Design Parameters for Unoccupied)
- 3. Table 3 Shea PS Staged Flow Summary (Annual Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied)
- 4. Table 4 Shea PS Staged Flow Summary (Rare Parameters for Occupied & Unoccupied)
- 5. Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet 1 Upstream of EX FT24
- 6. Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet 2 Downstream of EX FT24
- 7. Drawing 122163-SAN
- 8. Drawing 108180-FT5



TABLE 1 - SHEA PUMP STATION STAGED FLOW SUMMARY (DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR OCCUPIED AND UNOCCUPIED)

AREA	SCENARIO	TOTAL POPULATION OCCUPIED P	TOTAL POPULATION UNOCCUPIED P	TOTAL POPULATION P	ICI AREA CA (ha)	DRAINAGE AREA BUILT A (ha)	DRAINAGE AREA PROPOSED A (ha)	PRO-RATED POP. FLOW Q(A) (L/s)	PRO-RATED HARMON PEAKING FACTOR HP x k	PEAK POP. FLOW Q(pR) (L/s)	PEAK ICI FLOW Q(pC) (L/s)	EXTRANEOUS FLOW (I/I WET) Q(E) (L/s)	GROUND WATER INFILTRATION (I/I DRY)	TOTAL FLOW Q(D) (L/s)	NOTES
	Stage 1 & 2 Full Buildout Incl. MD, Bell & ICI	3583.3	820.4	4403.7	2.6	57.73	4.60	14.27	2.64	37.63	0.73	20.05	5.72	64.13	84 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION
Shea Pump Station	Stage 3 Friendly PS Decommissioned	3821.3	820.4	4641.7	2.6	62.39	4.60	15.04	2.62	39.41	0.73	21.36	5.95	67.45	CURRENT FIRM CAPACITY.
Sewer Shed	Stage 4 W4 Partial Buildout (Maguire & Faulkner only)	3821.3	4023.4	7844.7	2.6	62.39	38.99	25.42	2.45	62.21	0.73	30.99	7.67	101.59	130 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION
	Stage 5 W4 Full Buildout (Davidson & Eder added)	3821.3	6580.4	10401.7	2.6	62.39	68.81	33.71	2.35	79.22	0.73	39.34	9.16	128.45	PROPOSED FIRM CAPACITY

UNIT	AVG. CAPITA FLOW	HARMON CORRECTION FACTOR	ICI FLOW PARAMETER	I/I WET	I/I DRY	I/I TOTAL
	q (L/person/d)	K	ICI (L/s/ha)	E (L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)
OCCUPIED (Design)	280	0.8	0.28	0.28	0.05	0.33
UNOCCUPIED (Design)	280	0.8	0.28	0.28	0.05	0.33

EQUATIONs:

1) Q(A) = (P x q /86,400) 2) $Q(pR) = Q(A) \times HP \times K$

3) $Q(C) = (CA \times c / 86,400)$ 4) $Q(pC) = Q(C) \times CP$

5) Q(E) = (A x E)

6) Q(D) = Q(pR) + Q(pC) + Q(E)

DEFINITIONS/NOTES:

Q(A) = Average Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(pR) = Peak Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(C) = Average ICI Flow (L/sec) Q(pC) = Peak ICI Flow (L/sec)

Q(E) = Extraneous Flow (L/sec)

Q(D) = Peak Design Flow (L/sec)

POPULATION:

Singles 3.4 Semis/Towns 2.7 Institutional eq

14 persons/ha A = Residential Area CA = ICI Area

P = Population

q = Avg. Residential Capita Flow Parameter HP = Harmon Residential Peaking Factor

CP = ICI Peaking Factor

K = Harmon Correction Factor

E = Extraneous Flow Parameter



TABLE 2 - SHEA PUMP STATION STAGED FLOW SUMMARY (ANNUAL PARAMETERS FOR OCCUPIED AND DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR UNOCCUPIED)

AREA	SCENARIO	TOTAL POPULATION OCCUPIED P	TOTAL POPULATION UNOCCUPIED P	TOTAL POPULATION P	ICI AREA	DRAINAGE AREA BUILT A (ha)	DRAINAGE AREA PROPOSED A (ha)	PRO-RATED POP. FLOW Q(A) (L/s)	PRO-RATED HARMON PEAKING FACTOR HP x k	PEAK POP. FLOW Q(pR) (L/s)	PEAK ICI FLOW Q(pC) (L/s)	EXTRANEOUS FLOW (I/I WET) Q(E) (L/s)	GROUND WATER INFILTRATION (I/I DRY)	TOTAL FLOW Q(D) (L/s)	NOTES
	Stage 1 & 2 Full Buildout Incl. MD, Bell & ICI	3583.3	820.4	4403.7	2.6	57.73	4.60	10.95	2.10	23.00	0.44	20.05	3.98	47.48	
Shea Pump Station	Stage 3 Friendly PS Decommissioned	3821.3	820.4	4641.7	2.6	62.39	4.60	11.50	2.08	23.94	0.44	21.36	4.08	49.81	84 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION CURRENT FIRM CAPACITY.
Sewer Shed	Stage 4 W4 Partial Buildout (Maguire & Faulkner only)	3821.3	4023.4	7844.7	2.6	62.39	38.99	21.88	2.15	47.03	0.44	30.99	5.80	84.25	
	Stage 5 W4 Full Buildout (Davidson & Eder added)	3821.3	6580.4	10401.7	2.6	62.39	68.81	30.17	2.13	64.39	0.44	39.34	7.29	111.46	130 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION PROPOSED FIRM CAPACITY

UNIT	AVG. CAPITA FLOW	HARMON CORRECTION FACTOR	ICI FLOW PARAMETER	I/I WET	I/I DRY	I/I TOTAL
	q (L/person/d)	K	ICI (L/s/ha)	E (L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)
OCCUPIED (Annual)	200	0.6	0.17	0.28	0.02	0.30
UNOCCUPIED (Design)	280	0.8	0.28	0.28	0.05	0.33

EQUATIONs:

1) Q(A) = (P x q /86,400) 2) $Q(pR) = Q(A) \times HP \times K$

3) $Q(C) = (CA \times c / 86,400)$ 4) $Q(pC) = Q(C) \times CP$

5) Q(E) = (A x E)

6) Q(D) = Q(pR) + Q(pC) + Q(E)

DEFINITIONS/NOTES:

Q(A) = Average Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(pR) = Peak Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(C) = Average ICI Flow (L/sec) Q(pC) = Peak ICI Flow (L/sec)

Q(E) = Extraneous Flow (L/sec)

Q(D) = Peak Design Flow (L/sec)

POPULATION:

Singles 3.4 Semis/Towns 2.7

Institutional eq 14 persons/ha A = Residential Area CA = ICI Area

P = Population

q = Avg. Residential Capita Flow Parameter HP = Harmon Residential Peaking Factor

CP = ICI Peaking Factor

K = Harmon Correction Factor

E = Extraneous Flow Parameter



TABLE 3 - SHEA PUMP STATION STAGED FLOW SUMMARY (ANNUAL PARAMETERS FOR OCCUPIED AND UNOCCUPIED)

AREA	SCENARIO	TOTAL POPULATION OCCUPIED	TOTAL POPULATION UNOCCUPIED	TOTAL POPULATION P	ICI AREA	DRAINAGE AREA BUILT A (ha)	DRAINAGE AREA PROPOSED A (ha)	PRO-RATED POP. FLOW Q(A) (L/s)	PRO-RATED HARMON PEAKING FACTOR HP x k	PEAK POP. FLOW Q(pR) (L/s)	PEAK ICI FLOW Q(pC) (L/s)	EXTRANEOUS FLOW (I/I WET) Q(E) (L/s)	GROUND WATER INFILTRATION (I/I DRY)	TOTAL FLOW Q(D) (L/s)	NOTES
	Stage 1 & 2 Full Buildout Incl. MD, Bell & ICI	3583.3	820.4	4403.7	2.6	57.73	4.60	10.19	1.98	20.16	0.44	20.05	3.85	44.50	84 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION
Shea Pump Station	Stage 3 Friendly PS Decommissioned	3821.3	820.4	4641.7	2.6	62.39	4.60	10.74	1.96	21.11	0.44	21.36	3.94	46.85	CURRENT FIRM CAPACITY. 71L/s SHEA PUMP STATION
Sewer Shed	Stage 4 W4 Partial Buildout (Maguire & Faulkner only)	3821.3	4023.4	7844.7	2.6	62.39	38.99	18.16	1.84	33.32	0.44	30.99	4.63	69.38	REDUCED OPERATIONAL CAPACITY (15% REDUCTION OF FIRM).
	Stage 5 W4 Full Buildout (Davidson & Eder added)	3821.3	6580.4	10401.7	2.6	62.39	68.81	24.08	1.76	42.44	0.44	39.34	5.22	87.44	130 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION PROPOSED FIRM CAPACITY

UNIT	AVG. CAPITA FLOW	HARMON CORRECTION FACTOR	ICI FLOW PARAMETER	I/I WET	I/I DRY	I/I TOTAL
	q (L/person/d)	K	ICI (L/s/ha)	E (L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)
OCCUPIED (Annual)	200	0.6	0.17	0.28	0.02	0.30
UNOCCUPIED (Annual)	200	0.6	0.17	0.28	0.02	0.30

EQUATIONs:

1) Q(A) = (P x q /86,400) 2) $Q(pR) = Q(A) \times HP \times K$

3) $Q(C) = (CA \times c / 86,400)$ 4) $Q(pC) = Q(C) \times CP$

5) Q(E) = (A x E)

6) Q(D) = Q(pR) + Q(pC) + Q(E)

DEFINITIONS/NOTES:

Q(A) = Average Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(pR) = Peak Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(C) = Average ICI Flow (L/sec)

Q(pC) = Peak ICI Flow (L/sec) Q(E) = Extraneous Flow (L/sec)

Q(D) = Peak Design Flow (L/sec)

POPULATION:

Singles 3.4 Semis/Towns 2.7 Institutional eq

14 persons/ha A = Residential Area CA = ICI Area

P = Population

q = Avg. Residential Capita Flow Parameter HP = Harmon Residential Peaking Factor

CP = ICI Peaking Factor

K = Harmon Correction Factor

E = Extraneous Flow Parameter



TABLE 4 - SHEA PUMP STATION STAGED FLOW SUMMARY (RARE PARAMETERS FOR OCCUPIED AND UNOCCUPIED)

AREA	SCENARIO	TOTAL POPULATION OCCUPIED	TOTAL POPULATION UNOCCUPIED	TOTAL POPULATION	ICI AREA	DRAINAGE AREA BUILT	DRAINAGE AREA PROPOSED	PRO-RATED POP. FLOW	PRO-RATED HARMON PEAKING FACTOR	PEAK POP. FLOW	PEAK ICI FLOW	EXTRANEOUS FLOW (I/I WET)	GROUND WATER INFILTRATION (I/I DRY)	TOTAL FLOW	NOTES
		Р	Р	Р	CA (ha)	A (ha)	A (ha)	Q(A) (L/s)	HP x k	Q(pR) (L/s)	Q(pC) (L/s)	Q(E) (L/s)	(L/s)	Q(D) (L/s)	(L/s)
	Stage 1 & 2 Full Buildout Incl. MD, Bell & ICI	3583.3	820.4	4403.7	2.6	57.73	4.60	10.19	1.98	20.16	0.44	35.64	3.85	60.08	84 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION
Shea Pump Station	Stage 3 Friendly PS Decommissioned	3821.3	820.4	4641.7	2.6	62.39	4.60	10.74	1.96	21.11	0.44	38.11	3.94	63.60	CURRENT FIRM CAPACITY.
Sewer Shed	Stage 4 W4 Partial Buildout (Maguire & Faulkner only)	3821.3	4023.4	7844.7	2.6	62.39	38.99	18.16	1.84	33.32	0.44	56.33	4.63	94.73	130 L/s SHEA PUMP STATION
	Stage 5 W4 Full Buildout (Davidson & Eder added)	3821.3	6580.4	10401.7	2.6	62.39	68.81	24.08	1.76	42.44	0.44	72.14	5.22	120.24	PROPOSED FIRM CAPACITY

UNIT	AVG. CAPITA FLOW	HARMON CORRECTION FACTOR	ICI FLOW PARAMETER	I/I WET	I/I DRY	I/I TOTAL
	q (L/person/d)	K	ICI (L/s/ha)	E (L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)	(L/s/ha)
OCCUPIED (Rare)	200	0.6	0.17	0.53	0.02	0.55
UNOCCUPIED (Rare)	200	0.6	0.17	0.53	0.02	0.55

EQUATIONs:

1) Q(A) = (P x q /86,400) 2) $Q(pR) = Q(A) \times HP \times K$

3) $Q(C) = (CA \times c / 86,400)$ 4) $Q(pC) = Q(C) \times CP$

5) Q(E) = (A x E)

6) Q(D) = Q(pR) + Q(pC) + Q(E)

DEFINITIONS/NOTES:

Q(A) = Average Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(pR) = Peak Residential Flow (L/sec) Q(C) = Average ICI Flow (L/sec) Q(pC) = Peak ICI Flow (L/sec)

Q(E) = Extraneous Flow (L/sec)

Q(D) = Peak Design Flow (L/sec)

POPULATION:

Singles 3.4 Semis/Towns 2.7 Institutional eq

persons/ha 14

A = Residential Area CA = ICI Area

P = Population

q = Avg. Residential Capita Flow Parameter HP = Harmon Residential Peaking Factor

CP = ICI Peaking Factor

K = Harmon Correction Factor E = Extraneous Flow Parameter

PROJECT SPECIFIC INFO
USER DESIGN INPUT
CUMILATIVE CELL
CALCULATED DESIGN CELL OUTPUT
CALCULATED ANNUAL CELL OUTPUT
CALCULATED RAPE CELL OUTPUT
USER AS-BUILT INPUT



	LOCATION													D	EMAND														Α.5	S-BUILT CAPACIT	Υ	
	LOGATION							RESIDENTIAL	FLOW							MMERICAL / INSTITU	TIONAL FLOW			1	FYTRAN	OUS FLOW		1	TOTAL DESIGN FLO	nw		ΔS		PIPE SIZING / DES		N
								RESIDENTIAL	LOW						INDUSTRIAL / CO	MINIERIOAE / INSTITU	TIONALTEON				LATRAIN	00011011			TOTAL DESIGN TEX			A3	-BOILT SEWER F	FIFE SIZING / DES	IGH VEKII IGATIO	•
																					AREA	METHOD										
STREET	AREA	FROM MH	TO MH				41/0 BOBUL 47/01/	PEAKED DESIGN	PEAK	PEAKED			COMMERICAL /	CUMULATIVE	AVG DESIGN	COMMERICAL /	CUMULATIVE	PEAKED	PEAKED	CUMULATIVE	DESIGN	ANNUAL	RARE	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL		AS-BUILT				
			MH	POPULATION	CUMULATIVE	PEAK	FLOW	PEAKED DESIGN	ANNUAL/RARE	ANNUAL/RARE	RESIDENTIAL	CUMULATIVE RES	INSTITUTIONAL	COMMERICAL /	COMMERICAL /	INSTITUTIONAL	ICI	DESIGN	ANNUAL/RARE POP	EXTRANOUS	EXTRAN.	EXTRAN.	EXTRAN.	DESIGN	ANNUAL	RARE FLOW	AS-BUILT	DIDE OUTE	PIPE ID ROL	JGH. AS-BUIL	CAPACITY	FULL FLOW Qpeak
				(in 1000's)	POPULATION (in 1000's)	FACTOR	Q(q)	Q(p)	FACTOR	POP FLOW Q(AR - Res)	DRAINAGE AREA (ha.)	DRAINAGE AREA (ha.)	AREA	INSTITUTIONAL AREA	INSTITUTIONAL FLOW Q (ci)	PEAK FACTOR	DRAINAGE AREA	ICI FLOW Q (CI)	FLOW Q(AR - ICI)	DRAINAGE AREA	FLOW Q(e)	FLOW Q(e)	FLOW Q(e)	FLOW Q(D)	FLOW Q(A)	FLOW Q(R)	LENGIH	(mm) AND	(m) ROU	n) GRADE	(L/s)	VELOCITY Design (m/s) Qcap
					(111 1000 3)		(L/s)	(L/s)	М	(L/s)	(IIa.)	(na.)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(L/s)	TACTOR	(ha.)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(ha.)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(11)	MATERIAL	(111)	(70)		(IIIIa) Quap
Future (By Others)																																
Fernbank Rd	A1 & A2, E1	24	23	4.339	4.339	2.84	14.06	39.95	2.38	23.91	143.270	143.270	5.000	5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	148.270	48.93	44.48	81.55	130.50	97.38	143.446		450 PVC	0.457 0.0	013 0.25	148.7	0.91 87.8%
Fernbank Rd Fernbank Rd		23	22	0.000	4.339 4.339	2.84	14.06 14.06	39.95 39.95	2.38	23.91 23.91	0.000	143.270 143.270		5.000 5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000 5.000	1.62	0.98 0.98	148.270 148.270	48.93 48.93	44.48 44.48	81.55 81.55	130.50 130.50	97.38 97.38	143.446 143.446		450 PVC 450 PVC	0.457 0.0 0.457 0.0	013 0.25 013 0.25	148.7	0.91 87.8% 0.91 87.8%
Fernbank Rd		21	20	0.000	4.339	2.84	14.06	39.95	2.38	23.91	0.000	143.270		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	148.270	48.93	44.48	81.55	130.50	97.38	143.446					148.7	0.91 87.8%
Novatech																																
Fernbank Rd	A3 & A4, D1-8	20	19	0.000	4.339	2.84	14.06	39.95	2.38	23.91	0.000	143.270		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	148.270	48.93	44.48	81.55	260.50	185.38	265.446	139.52	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.26	326.6	1.12 79.8%
Stantec																																
Future Cope Dr Future Cope Dr	B1	19	18	0.000 0.248	4.339	2.84	14.06	39.95	2.38	23.91	0.000	143.270 146.550		5.000 5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	148.270 151.550	48.93	44.48 45.47	81.55	260.50	185.38	265.446 268.477	103.25	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.25	320.3	1.10 81.3%
Future Cope Dr	B2	17	16	0.853	5,440	2.77	17.63	48.81	2.33	29.30	11.320	157,870		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	162.870	53.75	48.86	89.58	274.18	195.14	278.859	115.78	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.25	320.3	1.12 80.7% 1.10 85.6%
Future Cope Dr		16	15	0.000	5.440	2.77	17.63	48.81	2.33	29.30	0.000	157.870		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	162.870	53.75	48.86	89.58	274.18	195.14	278.859	118.77	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.24	313.8	1.08 87.4%
Future Cope Dr Future Cope Dr	В3	15	14	1.285 0.000	6.725 6.725	2.70	21.79	58.82 58.82	2.27	35.40 35.40	18.800	176.670 176.670		5.000 5.000	1.62 1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62 1.62	0.98	181.670 181.670	59.95 59.95	54.50 54.50	99.92 99.92	290.39 290.39	206.88	295.302 295.302	94.32	600 CONC	0.610 0.0 0.610 0.0	013 0.23 013 0.25	307.2	1.05 94.5% 1.10 90.7%
Future Cope Dr		13	12	0.000	6.725	2.70 2.70	21.79	58.82	2.27	35.40	0.000	176.670		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	181.670	59.95	54.50	99.92	290.39	206.88	295.302	103.39	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.23	320.3 307.2	
Future Cope Dr	B4	12	11	0.000	6.725	2.70	21.79 23.00	58.82	2.27	35.40 37.14	0.000	176.670		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	181.670	59.95 61.94	54.50 56.31	99.92	290.39	206.88	295.302 300.354	36.69	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.29	345.0	1.18 84.2% 1.03 98.3%
Future Cope Dr Future Cope Dr	B4	11	10 9	0.373 0.000	7.098 7.098	2.68	23.00	61.66 61.66	2.26	37.14	6.020 0.000	182.690 182.690		5.000 5.000	1.62 1.62	1.00	5.000 5.000	1.62	0.98	187.690 187.690	61.94	56.31	103.23	295.22	210.43 210.43	300.354	33.62	600 CONC	0.610 0.0 0.610 0.0	013 0.22 013 0.24	300.4 313.8	1.03 98.3% 1.08 94.1%
Future Cope Dr		9	8	0.000	7.098	2.68	23.00	61.66	2.26	37.14	0.000	182.690		5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	187.690	61.94	56.31	103.23	295.22	210.43	300.354	41.40	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.24	313.8	1.08 94.1%
Future Cope Dr	DE DE	8	7	0.000 0.265	7.098	2.68	23.00	61.66	2.26	37.14 38.37	0.000	182.690 186.410	2.050	5.000	1.62	1.00	5.000	1.62	0.98	187.690 194.360	61.94 64.14	56.31 58.31	103.23	295.22 300.38	210.43 214.24	300.354 305.832	78.56	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.25 013 0.25	320.3	1.10 92.2% 1.10 93.8%
Future Cope Dr Novatech	D3, D0			0.265	7.363	2.07	23.00	63.67	2.25	30.37	3.720	100.410	2.950	7.950	2.50	1.00	7.950	2.30	1.36	134.360	04.14	30.31	106.90	300.36	214.24	303.032	139.72	800 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.25	320.3	1.10 93.0%
Future Cope Dr		6	- 5	0.000	7 363	2 67	23.86	63 67	2 25	38 37	0.000	186.410		7 950	2 58	1.00	7 950	2 58	1 56	194 360	64 14	58 31	106 90	300 38	214 24	305 832	102 50	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 022	300.4	1.03 100.0%
Future Cope Dr	B7	5	4	0.381	7.744	2.65	25.10	66.54	2.24	40.13	6.950	193.360	0.900	8.850	2.87	1.00	8.850	2.87	1.74	202.210	66.73	60.66	111.22	306.13	218.53	312.083	115.13	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.22 013 0.27	332.8	1.14 92.0%
Future Cope Dr	D40.00	4	3	0.000	7.744 8.948	2.65 2.60	25.10 29.00	66.54	2.24	40.13 45.60	0.000	193.360 219.700		8.850 8.850	2.87	1.00	8.850 8.850	2.87	1.74	202.210	66.73 75.42	60.66 68.57	111.22 125.70	306.13	218.53	312.083 332.042	118.86	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.23	307.2	1.05 99.7%
Future Cope Dr Future Cope Dr	B10, C2	2	1	1.204 0.000	8.948	2.60	29.00	75.45 75.45	2.20	45.60	26.340 0.000	219.700		8.850 8.850	2.87	1.00	8.850	2.87	1.74	228.550 228.550	75.42	68.57	125.70	323.74 323.74	231.90 231.90	332.042	122.40	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	013 0.37	389.6	1.33 83.1% 1.03 107.8%
Future Cope Dr		1	EX 110A	0.000	8.948	2.60	29.00	75.45	2.20	45.60	0.000	219.700		8.850	2.87	1.00	8.850	2.87	1.74	228.550	75.42	68.57	125.70	323.74	231.90	332.042	81.40	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.29	345.0	1.03 107.8% 1.18 93.9%
IBI																																
Goldhawk Dr Goldhawk Dr			EX 109A EX 108A	0.000	12.330 12.333	2.49	39.96	99.54	2.12	60.46 60.47	0.000 0.180	277.420 277.600	3.130	18.260 18.260	5.92	1.00	18.260 18.260	5.92 5.92	3.59	295.680 295.860	97.57 97.63	88.70 88.76	162.62 162.72	373.03 373.11	268.75 268.82	385.675 385.788	61.28	600 CONC	0.610 0.0 0.610 0.0	0.35	379.0 379.0	1.30 98.4% 1.30 98.5%
Goldhawk Dr		EX 108A	EX 107A	0.017	12.349	2.49	40.02	99.67	2.12	60.54	0.320	277.920		18.260	5.92	1.00	18.260	5.92	3.59	296.180	97.74	88.85	162.90	373.33	268.99	386.035	53.32	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.35	379.0	1.30 98.5%
Goldhawk Dr Goldhawk Dr		EX 107A	EX 106A EX 105A	0.013 0.023	12.363 12.386	2.49	40.06	99.77	2.12	60.60 60.70	0.300 0.310	278.220 278.530		18.260 18.260	5.92	1.00	18.260 18.260	5.92 5.92	3.59	296.480 296.790	97.84 97.94	88.94 89.04	163.06 163.23	373.52 373.78	269.14 269.33	386.257 386.526	62.94	600 CONC	0.610 0.0 0.610 0.0	0.35	379.0	1.30 98.6%
Goldhawk Dr			EX 105A EX 104A	0.023	12.386	2.49	40.14	104.91	2.12	63.79	0.240	278.530		18.260	5.92	1.00	18.260	5.92	3.59	309.560	102.15	92.87	170.26	382.99	276.25	386.526	72.85	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.35	379.0	1.30 98.6% 1.33 98.3%
Goldhawk Dr			EX 103A	0.023	13.131	2.47	42.56	105.07	2.10	63.89	0.450	291.750		18.260	5.92	1.00	18.260	5.92	3.59	310.010	102.30	93.00	170.51	383.29	276.48	396.987	48.77	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.37	389.6	1.33 98.4%
Goldhawk Dr Goldhawk Dr		EX 103A	EX 102A EX FT24	0.030 0.020	13.161 13.181	2.47 2.47	42.65 42.72	105.28 105.41	2.10	64.02 64.10	0.470	292.220 294.350		18.260 18.260	5.92 5.92	1.00	18.260 18.260	5.92 5.92	3.59	310.480 312.610	102.46 103.16	93.14 93.78	170.76 171.94	383.65 384.49	276.75 277.48	397.372 398.628	45.00	600 CONC	0.610 0.0	0.37	389.6	1.33 98.3% 1.33 98.4% 1.33 98.5% 1.33 98.7%
Goldhawk Di		LX 102A	LA / 124	0.020	13.101	2.47	42.72	103.41	2.10	04.70	2.730	234.330		10.200	3.32	1.00	70.200	3.52	3.39	312.010	103.10	33.70	171.54	304.43	277.40	330.020	102.33	OU CONC	0.070 0.0	0.37	303.0	1.55 90.776
DEMAND EQUATION																		ı. <u>-</u> .	_								CAPACITY EC					
Design Parameters: 1. Q(D), Q(A), Q(R) =	Q(p) + Q(fd) + Q(i) + Q(e)			<u>Definitions:</u> Q(D) = Peak Design	Flow (L/sec)		Q(A) = Peak Annua	I Flow (L/sec)								ALLOWANCES (L/S)	Area E-1 Areas D1-D8	Shea	PS Current Capacity	v + Upgrade (Are		V3 West Stittsville t Stittsville- 46L/s	e 40 s' 130	28 88	122	Q full= (1/n) A Where : Q	R^(2/3)So^(1/2) full = Capacity ('L/s)			
2. Q(p) =	(P x q x M x K / 8				Q(e) = Extraneous F	low (L/sec)		Q(R) = Peak Rare F	low (L/sec)												, ,, ,			"			n	= Manning coeff	ficient of roughne	ess (0.013)		
q Avg capita flow (L/per/day)=	280 200	L/per/day L/per/day	(design) (annual and		Q(p) = Population F K = Harmon Correct			0:				A (0 DD)																= Flow area (m ²) = Wetter perime				
4. M = Harmon Formula (maxin		L/per/day	(annuai and	rare)	P = Residential Pop			Singles 3.4			Semis/Towns 2.7	Apts (2-BR) 2.1															S S	= vvetter perime o = Pipe Slope/g	radient			
					Typ Service Diamet	er (mm)		135																								
5. K=	0.8		(design)		Typ Service Length I/I Pipe Rate (L/mm			15 0.007			15																					
6. Park flow is considered equ	0.6 valent to a single	nit / ha	(annual and	rare)	Q(fd) = Foundation			0.007																								
Park Demand :	: 1	Single Unit	Equivalent / P	Park ha	Q(ici) = Industrial / (Commercial / Insti																										
7. Foundation Drains 8. Q(ici) =	0.45 ICI Area x ICI Flo	L/s/unit			Institutional / Comm	nercial / Industria Design =					Industrial 35000 10000 1.0	Commercial / Institutional 28000	L/qHa/d																			
9 Q(e) =	0.33	L/sec/ha	(design)			Annual / Rare =					10000	17000	L/gHa/d																			
	0.30	L/sec/ha	(annual)		ICI Peak *	Design =		Std ICI>			1.0	1.5	* ICI Peak = 1.0 Defau	lt, 1.5 if ICI in contrib	uting area is >20% (de	sign only																
NOTE(S)	0.55	L/sec/ha	(rare)			Annual / Rare =					1.0																					
Future (by others) sewer section	ns included for co	ceptual design	purposes on	ly - design and cor	struction by others																											
Novatech and Stantec sewer se	ctions based on a	builts.																														
IBI sewer sections based on de Red text depicts fixed allowand		eas within the	desian sheet.																													
Residential flows for the sewer	s downstream of M	H6 updated bas	sed on the cor				Lands Phase 4, Adeo	uacy of Public Serv	icing Report (May 20	122).																						
Refer to Sanitary Sewer Design	Sheet 2, prepared	by Novatech, d	ated May 17, 2	2023, for analysis o	f sewers downstream	of EX FT24.																										

NOVATECH
M:\2022\122163\DATA\Calculations\SAN\20230517\20230529-SAN Design Sheet 1.xlsx Page 1 of 1

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET 2

AREA	RESIDENTIAL						MIXED USE COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONAL C+I				INFILTRATION			PIPE																		
		LOW DENSITY MEDIUM DENSITY HIGH DENSITY MIXED USE TOTAL						Accum		Accum.		A cours	Peak	Total	Accum.	Infilt.									Actual							
						Area		Area			Accum.	Peak	Peak Flow	Area	Accum. Area	Area	Accum.	Area	Accum. Area	Flow	Area	Accum.	Flow	Total	Size		Slone	Length	Capacity	Full Flow	Q/Q _{full}	Vel.
ID From	То	Area (ha)	Pop.	Area (ha)	Pop.	(ha)	Pop.	(ha)		Pop.	Pop.	Factor	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	Flow (I/s)		Туре	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	Vel. (m/s)	14	(m/s)
		\ /		()		, ,		()			•		(' '	()	(1104)	(1104)	(1.0.)	(1.0)	(1104)	(,, 0)	()	(1104)	(,, 0)	()	()	.) 0	(70)	()	(1,0)	1011 (1111/0)	(70)	(11110)
																															 	
Shea PS Cui	rent C	anacity +	Ungrade	(Area 6 - 8	84 I /s: W	4 West 9	Stittsville	e - 46 l	/s) W3 V	Vest Stitt	sville (40	1 /s)												170.0								
F1		upuony -	opg.aac	1	1	1		1					105.4	0.0	0.00	10.06	18.26		0.00	8.9	242.64	312.61	103.2	217.5								
ГІ										13101	13181	2.5	105.4	0.0	0.00	10.20	10.20		0.00	6.9	312.01	312.01	103.2	217.5								
FT24	FT23									0	13181	2.5	105.4		0.00		18.26		0.00	8.9		312.61	103.2	387.5	600	C 100-D	0.34	108.9	373.5	1.28	103.7%	1.49
FT23	-									0	13181	2.5	105.4		0.00		18.26		0.00	8.9		312.61	103.2	387.5		C 100-D		106.2		1.37	96.9%	1.59
FT22										0	13181	2.5	105.4		0.00		18.26		0.00	8.9		312.61	103.2	387.5	600	C 100-D	0.36	108.6	384.3	1.32	100.8%	1.53
FT21										0	13181	2.5	105.4		0.00		18.26		0.00	8.9		312.61	103.2	387.5	600	C 100-D	0.45	106.3	429.7	1.47	90.2%	1.69
FT20										0	13181	2.5	105.4		0.00		18.26		0.00	8.9		312.61	103.2	387.5	600			103.6	429.7	1.47	90.2%	1.69
FT19	FT18									0	13181	2.5	105.4		0.00		18.26		0.00	8.9		312.61	103.2	387.5	600	C 100-D	0.91	124.5	611.1	2.09	63.4%	2.22
F2		2.90	268	1.80	270	0.00	0	0.00		538	538	3.4	5.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.2	13.19	13.19	4.4	10.4				1				
F2 F3		15.95	1474	14.90	2235	0.00	0		0 7 856	4565	4565	2.8	41.8	10.57	10.57	0.60	0.60	11.57		8.5	77.57		25.6	75.9								
13		13.93	14/4	14.90	2233	0.00	0	10.57	030	4303	4303	2.0	41.0	10.57	10.57	0.00	0.00	11.31	11.57	0.5	11.51	11.31	23.0	73.9							+	
FT18	FT17									0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1	750	C 100-D	0.20	88.5	519.4	1.14	88.6%	1.30
FT17										0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1		C 100-D		126.4	557.0	1.22	82.6%	1.37
FT16	FT15									0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1	750	C 100-D	0.25	110.6	580.7	1.27	79.2%	1.42
FT15										0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1		C 100-D		118.1	492.7	1.08	93.4%	1.24
FT14										0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1		C 100-D		115.3	478.9	1.05	96.1%	1.22
FT13										0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1		C 100-D		129.2	544.8	1.19	84.5%	1.35
FT12										0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1		C 100-D		108.6	492.7	1.08	93.4%	1.24
FT11	F110									U	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	460.1	750	C 100-D	0.18	100.8	492.7	1.08	93.4%	1.24
Stittsville Tru	nk																							379.0								
Stittsville 11u	IK																							319.0							+	
FT10	FT09									0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	839.1	750	C 100-D	0.45	84.1	779.1	1.71	107.7%	1.97
FT09	FT08									0	18284	2.4	139.4		10.57		18.86		11.97	17.6		403.37	133.1	839.1	750	C 100-D	0.56	40.9	869.1	1.91	96.5%	2.21
F4		60.08	5551	16.71	2506	0.00	0	5.62		8512	8512	2.6	72.3	5.62	5.62	0.00	0.00	19.62		10.9		164.76	54.4	137.5								
F5		7.70	711	2.90	435	0.00	0	6.70		1689	1689	3.1	17.0	6.70	6.70	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.79	2.0	22.81	22.81	7.5	26.6								
F6		29.70	2744	9.95	1493	5.04	680	0.00	0	4917	4917	2.8	44.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.15	16.15	7.9	89.34	89.34	29.5	82.0				1				
FT08	ET07									0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0	1200	C 100-D	0.22	77.5	1907.7	1.63	54.7%	1.67
FT07										0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0		C 100-D		83.5	1466.5	1.03	71.2%	1.07
FT06										0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0	1200			24.6	1819.0	1.56	57.4%	1.60
FT05										0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0		C 100-D		89.0	1626.9	1.39	64.2%	1.49
FT04										0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0	1200	C 100-D	0.19	95.0	1772.9	1.52	58.9%	1.58
FT03	FT02									0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0	1200	C 100-D	0.25	107.5	2033.7	1.74	51.3%	1.74
FT02										0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0		C 100-D		107.5		1.44	62.3%	1.52
FT01										0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0		C 100-D		61.4	1907.7	1.63	54.7%	1.67
OTT1	GC									0	33403	2.1	232.2		22.89		18.86		48.53	38.3		680.28	224.5	1044.0	1200	C 100-D	0.20	19.1	1819.0	1.56	57.4%	1.60
																															-	
Dealer De	t																		4-/N-4 !-	Dan "11 11									D==2: -4 5	T		
Design Para	meters	5:																Uni	ts/Net ha	Pop/Unit	τ								Project: F	ernbank Tr	unk Sanita	ry Sewer

Design Parameters:
Avg Flow/Person = 280 I/day

Pipe Friction n = 0.013
Residential Peaking Factor = Harmon Equation (max 4, min 2) Comm./Inst. Flow = 28,000 l/ha/day

Infiltration = 0.33 l/s/ha Peaking Factor Comm./Inst. = 1.5

Sewer sections based on asbuilts.

Red text depicts fixed allowances for the above areas within the design sheet.

Refer to Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet 1, prepared by Novatech, dated May 17, 2023, for analysis of sewers upstream of FT24.

Low Density Residential = 28 3.30


Medium Density Residential = 60 2.50 2.50 (Multi Family Residential)


High Density Residential = 75 Mixed Use = 90 1.80 (50% of mixed use area is residential)


1.80


Designed: KJM Checked: MAB Revised: BCS/BHB

Dwg. Reference: 108180-FT5 Date: February 3, 2015 Revised: May 29, 2023











INFRASTRUCTURE MASTER PLAN - Schedule 1

Urban Expansion Areas as defined in the Official Plan

PLAN DIRECTEUR DE L'INFRASTRUCTURE - Annexe 1

Zones d'expansion urbaine comme défini dans le Plan officiel






Wastewater Master Plan (Collection) – Capital Program

Project Name	Area	Identified in 2013 IMP	Timing	Total Capital Cost Estimate	Per cent Growth funded		
Richmond King Street Trunk Sewer Upgrade (Phase 4a)	Rural	No	2024-2029	\$6.6	75%		
Carp PS Capacity Upgrade and Forcemain	Rural	Yes	2029-2034	\$30.1	75%		
Richmond Forcemain Twinning (Phase 4)	Rural	Yes	2024-2029	\$38.6	75%		
Manotick Main PS Capacity Upgrade (Phase 1)	Rural	Yes	2024-2029	\$2.5	64%		
Manotick Main PS Capacity Upgrade (Phase 2)	Rural	Yes	2044-2046	\$4.3	5%		
Mahogany PS Capacity Upgrade	Rural	No	2024-2029	\$3.3	90%		
Penfield Trunk Sewer Upgrade	Suburban West	No	2029-2034	\$7.8	95%		
Kanata West Diversion Sewer	Suburban West	No	2029-2034	\$3.0	95%		
Kanata West Sewer Oversizing	Suburban West	No	2029-2034	\$1.8	100%		
Kanata West PS Capacity Upgrade	Suburban West	Yes	2029-2034	\$3.3	100%		
March PS Capacity Upgrade	Suburban West	Yes	2039-2044	\$2.8	70%		
Signature Ridge Forcemain	Suburban West	Yes	2029-2034	\$5.9	75%		
Stittsville PS Decommissioning and Gravity Sewer	Suburban West	Yes	2024-2029	\$6.5	30%		
Shea Road PS Capacity Upgrade and Forcemain	Suburban West	No	2029-2034	<mark>\$7.8</mark>	100%		
Acres PS Risk Mitigation (Phase 2)	Suburban West	Yes	2024-2029	\$25.5	48%		
Acres PS Capacity Upgrade(Phase 3)	Suburban West	Yes	2029-2034	\$34.1	39%		
Acres PS Overflow (Phase 4)	Suburban West	Yes	2034-2039	\$26.3	80%		
Spratt Road Trunk Sewer Upgrade	Suburban South West	No	2029-2034	\$13.8	95%		
Conroy Trunk Sewer Upgrade (Phase 1)	Suburban South East	Yes	2029-2034	\$12.3	95%		
Conroy Trunk Sewer Upgrade (Phase 2)	Suburban South East	No	2029-2034	\$8.8	95%		
Walkley Sewer Upgrades	Suburban South East	No	2034-2039	\$2.7	95%		
Forest Valley PS Capacity Upgrade (Phase 1)	Suburban East	Yes	2029-2034	\$2.6	4%		

Shea Road Pump Station Capacity Upgrade and Forcemain



PROJECT SCHEDULE									
	Budget Authority	2029-2034							

PROJECT FUNDING								
Total Capital Estimate	\$7.8 M							
% Development Charge Funded	100%							
% Rate Funded	0%							
% Other Source Funded	0%							

PROJECT RATIONALE

What: The project involves a capacity increase at Shea Road Pump Station to 110 L/s, along with the replacement of one of the existing 200mm diameter forcemain with a larger diameter.

Why: The purpose of this project is to accommodate 2046 population growth demands in Stittsville South.

APPROVALS AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS									
EA Requirements This project is exempt from the requirements of the Municipal Engineers Class EA									
Follow-up Actions	 The following actions are required to pursue implementation of this project: Confirm preferred solution through Master Servicing Study for upstream expansion area Review flow monitoring and confirm timeline requirements for project Functional, preliminary, and detailed design Implementation (tender and construction) 								













120 Iber Road, Suite 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9 613-836-0856 dsel.ca

APPENDIX E

DSEL



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

ifsa.com

November 30, 2023 Project Number: P2267

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited 120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

Attention: Kevin Murphy, P.Eng.

Subject: Caivan - Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road):

Conceptual SWM Ponds Sizing and Preliminary HGL Analysis

Introduction

J.F Sabourin and Associates Inc. (JFSA) was retained by David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) to prepare a memorandum (memo) to present a conceptual SWM ponds sizing and HGL analysis for the development of the Caivan Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road) in support of the Master Servicing Study (MSS) currently being prepared by DSEL. The present memo presents the following:

- A conceptual sizing of two SWM ponds (referred to hereon as East and West SWM Ponds) based on the existing stormwater conditions within the subject site.
- The impacts of discharging a portion of the development to the existing Davidson Pond SWM facility.
- A preliminary storm HGL analysis within the proposed development based on the estimated 100-year water level in the SWM ponds and a conceptual stormwater trunk sewer network.
- A preliminary sanifary HGL analysis within the proposed development based on the estimated 100-year water level in the SWM ponds and a conceptual sanifary trunk sewer network.

Site Overview

The subject lands are bound by Flewellyn Road to the south, Shea Road to the east, an existing urban subdivision development to the north (Stittsville South – Area 6) and an estate lot subdivision to the west. The area is also bisected by the Faulkner Municipal Drain and a Hydro One power transmission corridor. For this study, the overall development area has been broken into two sections (referred to simply as east and west) bisected by the municipal drain and hydro corridor. The property parcel of 5993 Flewellyn Road (east) is predominantly agricultural land and grassed areas, while the west parcels (comprising 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road) are treed with patches of grassed areas. **Figure 1** provides an overview of the development site relative to the Faulkner drain and major roads.



Existing Stormwater/Pre-Development Conditions

A memo titled "Caivan-Stittsville Lands (5993, 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road) — Pre-Development Hydraulic and Hydrologic Study" assessing the existing major flow patterns within and around the site and outlining the findings of a detailed pre-development water budget analysis based on modelling using site-based soil data and historical rainfall data was prepared by JFSA on January 30, 2023. Note that a minor adjustment was made to the existing conditions/pre-development drainage areas to reflect an additional portion of the existing Hydro One power transmission corridor within the existing Davidson Lands subdivision, located northeast of the subject site that was identified to drain uncontrolled towards the Faulkner Municipal Drain after the preparation of the January 2023 memo referred to above.

A post- to pre-development approach was taken to size the conceptual SWM ponds and the drainage areas within the subject site were subdivided accordingly. Figure 2 shows an overview of the existing conditions/pre-development drainage areas within the subject site. From this figure, it can be seen that for the eastern lands, the site primarily consists of 3 (three) drainage areas discharging to the Faulkner Municipal Drain, with subcatchment East_2 corresponding to the pre-development drainage area used to assess the conceptual East SWM Pond. For the westerly lands, the site primarily consists of 2 (two) drainage areas discharging to the Faulkner Municipal Drain, with subcatchment West_1 corresponding to the pre-development drainage area used to assess the conceptual West SWM Pond. The remaining 3 (three) subcatchments (East_1, East_3 & West_2) remain draining uncontrolled to the Faulkner Municipal Drain.

A SWMHYMO model has been developed to assess the site's pre-development flows and determine the allowable release rates for the conceptual SWM ponds and it can be found in **Attachment B**, with pre-development CN and TP calculations.







Legend

Development Area

— Municipal Drain

SCALE: 1:6000

100 200 300 m






Caivan - Stittsville Lands

Figure 1: Site Overview

PROJECT	P2267
DRAWN	PP
DATE	NOV 2023





Proposed Stormwater/Post-Development Conditions

Based on the existing topography of the site the SWM ponds of this development will be located in the southeast most corners of the respective blocks. It has been assumed that the site will have an average imperviousness of 71% (RC=0.7) to ensure a conservative representation of a typical residential development. Approximately 36.55 ha of the development will drain to the West SWM pond, approximately 25.81 ha will drain to the East SWM Pond and 4.13 ha of development, will drain to the existing Davidson SWM Pond. The remaining lands will remain unchanged from existing conditions and freely drain to the Faulkner drain.

Figure 3 shows an overview of the post-development conditions and shows the location of both East and West SWM Ponds. Note that the location of the proposed conceptual SWM ponds is based on grading constraints and both ponds will outlet to the existing Faulkner Municipal Drain.

Based on a preliminary analysis, minor adjustments to the existing Davidson Pond outlet structure can be made to maximize the storage capacity of the pond and accommodate the additional flows from the proposed development. Details of this preliminary analysis have also been provided in this report.

SWMHYMO Post-Development Simulations

A SWMHYMO model has been developed to assess the proposed East and West SWM Pond outflows under post-development conditions to ensure that they do not exceed the target/predevelopment release rates. Conceptual outflow-storage curves based on the pre-development release rates were applied to the model for both the East and West SWM ponds. Note that the simulations presented in this memo are limited to the subject site only and a detailed assessment of the impacts of the proposed development on the Faulkner Municipal Drain will be prepared at a later date when more details regarding the development are solidified. At this time, to alleviate erosion concerns within the existing Faulkner Municipal Drain, a "sensitivity test" was prepared by halving the target/pre-development release rates for all design storm events, therefore increasing the required volumes to be stored in the proposed conceptual SWM ponds. Preliminary stage-storage curves for both East and West SWM Ponds were prepared by DSEL and used in this analysis. Tables with details and calculations for both conceptual SWM ponds, including the preliminary stage-storage curve used in the analysis can be found in **Attachment C**.

Post-Development Simulation Results

The complete SWMHYMO modelling input and output files have been provided in **Attachment C**, with a full summary of the modelling results and calculations. **Table 1** below is an excerpt of this summary.


Table 1: Summary of Target Release Rates & Required Volumes (100-year 24-hr SCS Type II Storm)

SWM Pond ID	100-year Target Release Rate (Full) (m³/s)	Required 100-year Volume (m³)	100-year Target Release Rate (Halved) (m³/s)	Required 100-year Volume (m³)
West	0.960	19,310	0.480	21,300
East	0.926	12,850	0.463	14,460



Based on **Table 1**, by halving the target release rates for all storm design events, the increase in the required 100-year storage volume would be approximately **10%** and **13%** for the West and East SWM Ponds respectively. The approximate footprint area required to accommodate a SWM facility with these storage volumes would be approximately **3.0 ha** and **2.5 ha** for the west and east ponds respectively. The "sensitivity test" gives an idea of the increase in the required 100-year storage volumes in both SWM ponds to alleviate erosion concerns in the Faulkner Municipal Drain, however, a more detailed flooding and erosion assessment will be prepared when more information is available for the subdivision to verify and mitigate any impacts the development may have on the municipal drain.







Preliminary Stormwater Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis


A preliminary stormwater hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis was prepared to verify the freeboard between the HGL and the proposed top of manhole elevations within the subject site (as underside of footing (USF) elevations are not available at this time) to verify the feasibility of gravity storm servicing connections for the units within the proposed development.

Storm HGL Analysis Approach

Preliminary hydraulic grade line calculations for the proposed development were completed using PCSWMM modelling software. The proposed storm sewer infrastructure data was extracted from DSEL's compiled storm sewer design sheets and incorporated into a PCSWMM model, with flows derived from DSEL's Rational Method calculations applied to each Maintenance Hole (MH) in the model as steady flows (using the baseflow option). Exit losses were applied to all storm sewer pipes in the system based on the angle of the downstream connection. Figure 4 provides an overview of the model. The preliminary HGL analysis assumes the use of the typical City of Ottawa circular ICDs throughout the proposed development, therefore, to simulate the flow in the storm sewers during the 100-year event, the Rational Method flows from DSEL's storm design sheets were increased by 14%, to account for the additional head on the ICDs during the 100year event. Refer to Attachment A for DSEL's storm design sheets. Additionally, the analysis assumes the highest estimated 100-year water levels in the West and East SWM Ponds (103.80m and 102.20m respectively) as per Tables C-4W and C-4E of Attachment C. The 100-year water level in the existing Davidson SWM Pond with the adjustment of the pond controls to accommodate the additional drainage area mentioned earlier in this memo was estimated to be approximately 103.32m and was used in the preliminary storm HGL assessment for this site.

Preliminary Storm HGL Results

Table D-1 of **Attachment D** shows the maximum storm HGL elevation results and the calculated freeboard between the HGL and the top of the manhole elevation. As can be seen, the average freeboard was found to be **2.40m**, which is considered to be sufficient to provide a minimum of 0.30m freeboard between the HGL and future USF elevations for units serviced by gravity storm connections, assuming that the typical USF elevation would be approximately 1.80m below the assumed top of manhole elevations. USF elevations may have to be slightly raised in some localized areas of the subject site due to grading constraints to maintain a minimum of 0.30m freeboard, however, this analysis shows that gravity service connections to the storm sewer are feasible.





Existing Davidson Pond Updates

As mentioned above it is assumed that approximately **4.13 ha** of development will drain to the existing Davidson SWM Pond. This additional area was added to the Davidson Pond SWMHYMO model with minor modifications to the existing pond controls to accommodate the additional drainage area. With these modifications in place, the Davidson SWM facility has sufficient storage volume to provide quality and quantity control to accommodate the additional drainage area. The pond's maximum 100-year storage volume used increased from **23,600 m³** to **26,610 m³** and the 100-year water level has increased from **103.17 m** to **103.32 m**. A detailed analysis of the pond operation to assess the release rates, pond water levels and HGL elevations within the proposed and existing development will be prepared when more details of the subdivision become available. Refer to **Attachment F** for preliminary model results and details.

Preliminary Sanitary Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis

The sanitary flows from the proposed development discharge into the existing Shea Road Pumping Station (SRPS) and a sanitary HGL assessment has been prepared to ensure that the proposed sanitary system within the development is adequately sized to safely convey flows to the existing pumping station under emergency pump failure scenario.

Scenarios Analyzed

As per the City of Ottawa ISTB-2018-01, the site was assessed under Annual and Rare conditions. The following outlines the assumptions for both scenarios:

Rare Flows:

- Flow contributions based on Rare Event parameters set out in the City of Ottawa design guidelines and ISTB-2018-01.
- Pumping station operating at a maximum capacity of 71.5 L/s assumed a safety factor
 of 15% reduction of the firm capacity of 84 L/s as per information provided by Novatech to
 DSEL by email on August 18, 2023.
- Emergency overflow locations that discharge to the existing Davidson SWM Pond and proposed East SWM Pond are assumed to be flapped, with a downstream water level of 103.32m and 102.20m respectively based on the 100-year water level in the SWM ponds.

Annual Flows:

- Flow contributions based on Annual event parameters set out in the City of Ottawa design guidelines and ISTB-2018-01.
- Complete pumping station failure (0 L/s).
- Emergency overflow locations that discharge to the existing Davidson SWM Pond and proposed East SWM Pond are assumed to be flapped, with a downstream water level of 103.32m and 102.20m respectively based on the 100-year water level in the SWM ponds.

Note that a new sanitary emergency overflow at an invert of **102.344 m** is proposed to be installed and discharge to the proposed East SWM Pond. The existing sanitary overflow infrastructure to the Davidson SWM Pond will be maintained, however, modifications to the emergency overflow weir dimensions and crest/invert elevation in **SANMH 97** are proposed. The analysis assumes that the existing emergency overflow weir (sharp-crested weir) will be modified from an approximately 500mm long by 300mm high weir (assumed existing dimensions based on photos from the site as actual dimensions could not be obtained during the preparation of this memo) with a crest/invert elevation of 103.40 m will be modified to a **900mm** long by **500mm** high emergency overflow weir with a crest/invert elevation of **103.05 m** (above the estimated 25-year water level in the Davidson SWM Pond).




Sanitary HGL Analysis Approach

Preliminary hydraulic grade line calculations for the proposed development were completed using PCSWMM modelling software. The proposed sanitary sewer infrastructure data was extracted from DSEL's compiled sanitary design sheets and incorporated into a PCSWMM model, with flows derived from DSEL's sanitary calculations applied to each Maintenance Hole (MH) in the model as steady flows (using the baseflow option). Exit losses were applied to all sanitary sewer pipes in the system based on the angle of the downstream connection. **Figure 5** provides an overview of the model.

Preliminary Sanitary HGL Results

Tables E-1 and E-2 of Attachment E show the maximum sanitary HGL elevation results and the calculated freeboard between the HGL and the top of the manhole elevation. As can be seen, the minimum freeboard was found to be 2.33 m and 1.96 m for the Rare and Annual scenarios respectively, which is considered to be sufficient to provide a minimum of 0.30m freeboard between the HGL and future USF elevations under the Rare flows scenario and maintaining the HGL below the USF under the pumping station failure scenario (Annual flows), assuming that the typical USF elevation would be approximately 1.80m below the assumed top of manhole elevations. USF elevations may have to be slightly raised in some localized areas of the subject site due to grading constraints to maintain the minimum required freeboard, however, this analysis shows that the preliminary sanitary sewer system for the proposed development is adequately sized.





Project Ref #: P2267 Client: David Schaeffer Engineering Limited



Conclusion

In summary, a pre- to post-development approach was taken to preliminarily size the conceptual West and East SWM ponds within the subject site that will outlet into the Faulkner Municipal Drain. At this time, the simulations presented in this memo are limited to the subject site only and to alleviate erosion concerns within the existing Faulkner Municipal Drain, a "sensitivity test" was prepared by halving the target/pre-development release rates for all design storm events, however, a detailed assessment of the impacts of the proposed development on the Faulkner Municipal Drain will be completed at a later date when site plan details become solidified.

PCSWMM modelling has been completed to analyze the storm HGL elevations within the proposed development based on the flow details provided by DSEL. Based on this analysis, it was determined that according to the resulting freeboards between the HGL and the top of manhole elevations, it is feasible to have units serviced by gravity storm connections, assuming that the typical USF elevation would be approximately 1.80m below the assumed top of manhole elevations.

A preliminary analysis of the existing Davidson Pond has also been completed to assess the impact of draining approximately **4.13 ha** of the proposed development to this facility. It was seen that the pond outlet structure would need to be modified, but with these modifications, the pond is expected to accommodate the additional drainage area from the proposed development. A detailed analysis of the pond operation to assess the release rates, pond water levels and HGL elevations within the proposed and existing development will be completed at a later date when more details of the subdivision become solidified.

PCSWMM modelling has been completed to analyze the sanitary HGL elevations within the proposed development based on the flow details provided by DSEL. From this analysis, it was found that the proposed existing sanitary sewer infrastructure is sufficiently sized to safely convey sanitary flows away from the proposed development under various extreme conditions.

Yours truly,

J.F Sabourin and Associates Inc.

Paulo Pickart, B.Eng., P.Eng. Water Resources Project Engineer

Jonathon Burnett, B.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer



cc: J.F Sabourin, M.Eng., P.Eng. Director of Water Resources Projects

Figures

Figure 1: Site Overview

Figure 2: Existing/ Pre-Development Conditions Overview Figure 3: Proposed/ Post-Development Conditions Overview

Figure 4: PCSWMM Storm Model Overview Figure 5: PCSWMM Sanitary Model Overview


Tables

Table 1: Summary of Target Release Rates & Required Volumes

(100-year 24-hr SCS Type II Storm)

Attachments

Attachment A: Storm and Sanitary Design Sheets (as per DSEL)
Attachment B: Existing/Pre-Development Conditions Simulations
Attachment C: Proposed/Post-Development Conditions Simulations
Attachment D: Preliminary Storm Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis
Attachment E: Preliminary Sanitary Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis
Attachment F: Existing Davidson SWM Pond Preliminary Analysis





J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com

Attachment A

Storm and Sanitary Design Sheets (As per DSEL)



STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years



Manning	0.013		Arterial Ro		Frequency		S																										
	LOCA	ATION								ARE	A (Ha)										LOW								SEWER DA				
				2 YI				5 \	/EAR	_		10 YEAR			100 YE			Time of		_	_	_	Peak Flow	Peak Flow + 14%	DIA. (mm	DIA. (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF	RATIO
Location	From Node	To Node	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv.	Accum. 2.78 AC		R	Indiv.	2.78 AC		R Indiv.	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)		Indiv. 2.78 AC 2	Accum.	Conc. (min)	2 Year			100 Year (mm/h)	O (1/s)	Q (l/s)	(actual)	(nominal)		(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (min	O/O ful
Location	r Tom Node	TO NOUC	(Hu)		2.70 AC	2.70 AC	(riu)		2.70 AC	2.70 AC	(riu)	2.70 AC	2.70 AC	(114)		2.70 AC 2	2.70 AC	(111111)	(11111/11)	(11111/11)	(11111/11)	(1111211)	Q (1/s)	Q (IIs)	(actual)	(HOHIMAI)		(70)	(111)	(13)	(111/3)	LOW (IIII	Q/Q Iui
STM TR	UNK 1																																
					0.00							0.00	0.00				0.00	14.21															
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00	15.14															
	111	118	3.73	0.74	7.67 0.00	7.67 7.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				0.00	15.14 15.50	61.45	83.12	97.33	142.13	471	538	825	825	CONC	0.20	56.5	641.9463	1.2009	0.7841	0.734
					0.00				0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	10.60															
	118	122	2.30	0.74	4.73		0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	15.92	59.68	80.69	94.48	137.95	740	844	975	975	CONC	0.20	51.5	1002.2295	1.3424	0.6394	0.739
					0.00	12.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.87															
	122	123		0.68	3.78	16.19			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				0.00	16.56		78.83		134.74	944	1076	1200	1200	CONC	0.15		1509.9717		1.4044	0.625
	123	124	0.37	0.68	0.70	16.89			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				0.00	17.97	55.55		87.86		938	1069	1200	1200	CONC		61.0			0.7615	
	124	136	0.32	0.68	0.60	17.49 17.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				0.00	18.73 16.81	54.17	/3.18	85.65	124.99	948	1080	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	61.0	2067.1669	1.4442	0.7040	0.458
					0.00	17.49		0.00	0.00	0.61		0.00	0.00				0.00	10.01															
			0.97	0.90	2.43			0.40	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	$\overline{}$															
	136	137	3.20	0.68	6.05				0.00	0.61		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.43	52.97	71.53	83.71	122.15	1419	1618	1500	1500	CONC	0.15	12.0	2737.7609	1.5493	0.1291	0.518
	137	147			0.00	25.97			0.49			0.00	0.00				0.00	19.56	52.75	71.23	83.36	121.64	1448	1651	1500	1500	CONC	0.15	75.5	2737.7609	1.5493	0.8122	0.529
					0.00	25.97		0.00	0.00		\perp	0.00	0.00				0.00	11.77															
					0.00	25.97			0.00			0.00	0.00	-			0.00	19.27										+					
	_		0.86	0.90	0.00 2.15		0.69	0.74	0.00		+ +	0.00	0.00	-			0.00				1				+		 	+	-		_		
	147	148	4.18			36.02			0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	20.37	51.44	69.45	81.26	118.56	2028	2312	1500	1500	CONC	0.15	49.5	2737.7609	1.5493	0.5325	0.741
	148	149			0.00				0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	20.90				116.64	1996	2275	1500		CONC			2737.7609			
STM TR	UNK 2																																
					0.00	0.00			0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	19.64															
	204	222	C 24	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	18.05 19.64	50.00	74.00	02.46	404.05	600	770	075	075	CONC	0.00	40.0	1000 0005	4 2424	0.0004	0.000
	221	222 226	6.31 0.03		12.98	12.98 13.04			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				0.00	20.24		71.06		121.35 119.04		779 768	975 1050		CONC	0.20		1002.2295 1221.2174			
	222	220	0.00	0.74	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	12.38	31.04	03.72	01.00	113.04	0/4	700	1030	1030	00110	0.20	0.0	1221.2174	1.4103	0.0414	0.552
			0.18	0.68	0.34				0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00																
			0.44	0.74	0.91	14.29			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																
	226	229			0.00	14.29			0.58	0.58		0.00	0.00				0.00		51.58	69.63	81.48	118.88	777	886	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	50.5	1057.6053	1.2214	0.6891	0.735
			0.40	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	12.10															
	229	232	0.42	0.68	0.79 1.09	15.08 16.17			0.00	0.58		0.00	0.00		-		0.00	20.97	50.51	68.18	70.79	116.39	856	976	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	110.5	1509.9717	1 2251	1 /019	0.567
	225	232	0.55	0.74	0.00	16.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	1	0.00	0.00				0.00	13.38	30.31	00.10	19.10	110.38	000	370	1200	1200	CONC	0.13	119.5	1309.9111	1.5551	1.4510	0.307
	232	239	1.08	0.74	2.22		0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	22.47	48.37	65.26	76.35	111.36	928	1057	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	56.5	1509.9717	1.3351	0.7053	0.614
					0.00	18.39	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	16.55															
					0.00	18.39	0.84	0.40	0.93			0.00	0.00		-		0.00																
	239	247	1.61	0.74	3.31	21.71	0.00		0.00	1.51		0.00	0.00				0.00	23.17	47.43	63.98	74.84	109.15	1126	1284	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	55.0	1509.9717	1.3351	0.6866	0.746
					0.00	21.71			0.00	1.51		0.00	0.00				0.00	12.38 15.00	-	-	-							-					
			1.27	0.68	2.40	24.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.51		0.00	0.00				0.00	15.00		+													
	247	248	1.21	0.00	0.00		1.30	0.74	2.67	4.19		0.00	0.00				0.00	23.86	46.55	62.78	73.44	107.09	1385	1579	1350	1350	CONC	0.25	30.5	2668.7010	1.8644	0.2727	0.519
	248	251				24.11			0.00			0.00	0.00					24.13		62.32		106.30		1567	1350			0.30		2923.4155			
STM TR	UNK 3						1	1								2.25	0.0-	10										1					
					0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	12.83	-	+								-					
	304	305	0.93	0.74	1.91	1.91	0.00	0.40	0.07			0.00	0.00				0.00	12.83	67.42	91.31	106.97	156.28	135	154	600	600	CONC	0.15	35.5	237.8056	0.8411	0.7035	0.568
	305	306	0.93	0.74	0.23			<u> </u>	0.00	0.07		0.00	0.00				0.00	13.53	65.47			151.65	146	166	600	600	CONC		16.5				0.614
	306	307	0.38	0.74	0.78				0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	13.86	64.60			149.60	195	222	675	675	CONC			325.5584		1.2274	
	307	312	0.32	0.74	0.66	3.58			0.00			0.00	0.00				0.00	15.08	61.57	83.29		142.42		258	675	675	CONC			325.5584		1.2274	
					0.00	3.58	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		-	0.00	0.00	13.15				L											
	312	313	1.02	0.74	2.10	5.68		1	0.00	0.07		0.00	0.00				0.00	16.31		79.54		135.97	339	387	675	675	CONC		39.5		1.2866		
	313	318			0.00	5.68		-	0.00	0.07		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.82	5/.//	78.09	91.43	133.47	333	380	750	750	CONC	0.20	9.5	497.8726	1.1270	0.1405	0.669
									1		+																						
Definition	s:					1	-	-	-	-	-				L	I				-	-	+ +	Designed:		-		PROJECT	Γ:					
	AIR, where									Notes:																			- STITTSV	ILLE SOUTH	URBAN EX	PANSION	AREA

Q = 2.78 AIR, where Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s) A = Areas in hectares (ha)

I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)
R = Runoff Coefficient

1) Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve
2) Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s

1247 - STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA Checked: LOCATION: City of Ottawa Sheet No. SHEET 1 OF 3 Dwg. Reference: File Ref: Date: 24 Nov 2023

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years
Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years

				Roads Return l																				7							166		AVV	1
Manning	0.013		Arterial R	oads Return Fi	requency	= 10 years	S																											
	LOCA	ATION		21/51			1		/F.4.B	ARE	A (Ha)	40.1	<i>(</i> 5.15)			100	\/E15		m: c	T		LOW	1	n i ri In	1 77 . 140	(Pare ()	DI ()	TEXTEN	OT OPE	SEWER DA		TEX OCUEN	mp m or	D LTTO
-			ADEA	2 YEA			4054	51	YEAR		ADEA	10 1	/EAR		ADEA	100	YEAR							Peak Flow P	eak Flow + 14%	6 DIA. (mm)	DIA. (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF	RATIO
Location F	From Mode	To Node	AREA (Ha)		Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC		R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC		R	Indiv.	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	Conc. (min)	2 Year (mm/h)	5 Year (mm/h)		100 Year (mm/h)	Q (l/s)	Q (l/s)	(actual)	(nominal)		(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/e)	LOW (mir	O/O ful
Location	Tom Noue	TO Node	(I Ia)		.76 AC	2.76 AC	(I Id)		2.76 AC	2.76 AC	(i ia)		2.76 AC	2.70 AC	(IIa)		2.76 AC	2.70 AC	(111111)	(111111/11)	(111111/11)	(11111/11)	(11111/11)	Q (I/S)	Q (I/S)	(actual)	(Hollillai)		(/0)	(111)	(1/8)	(111/8)	LOW (IIII	Q/Q Iui
					0.00	5.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.24							+ +						\vdash	$\overline{}$	
					0.00	5.68	0.54	0.40		0.67			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.24							1						\vdash		
	318	319	0.77		1.58	7.26	0.0.	0.10	0.00	0.67			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.96	57.49	77.71	90.97	132.80	469	535	825	825	CONC	0.20	26.5	641.9463	1.2009	0.3678	0.731
	319	5000			0.00	7.26			0.00	0.67			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.33	56.76	76.71	89.80		463	528	900	900	CONC	0.15	36.0	701.1305		0.5444	0.661
STM TRU	NK 4																	1															<u> </u>	
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.28															
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00		11.23													لــــــــا		
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00		13.28															
			0.50		1.03	1.03	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																
					0.00	1.03	0.79	0.68		1.49			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00								+ -						$\vdash \vdash$		
	405	407	1.17		0.00	1.03	0.85	0.74	1.75 0.00	3.24			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.28	66.16	89.58	104.02	152.20	EOE	E7 E	925	025	CONC	0.25	62.0	717 7170	1 2426	0.7820	0.702
	400	407	1.17		0.00	3.24	0.00	0.00		3.24	1		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.47	00.10	09.38	104.93	153.29	505	575	825	825	CONC	0.25	03.0	717.7178	1.3420	0.1820	0.703
	407	410			0.00	3.24		0.68		4.91			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		64.08	86.73	101.58	148.37	633	722	975	975	CONC	0.15	59.0	867.9562	1 1625	0.8459	0.729
	401	7.10			0.00	3.24		0.00		4.91			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.33	04.00	00.70	101.00	140.07	300		1 0,0	0,0	30.10	0.10	00.0	307.0002	1020	0.0400	0.720
					0.00	3.24		0.68		5.21			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00								1						$\overline{}$		
	410	418	0.81		1.67	4.91			0.00	5.21			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.90	62.00	83.87	98.22	143.43	741	845	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	41.5	1509.9717	1.3351	0.5181	0.491
					0.00	4.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.21			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.48															
					0.00	4.91	0.00	0.00		5.21			0.00	0.00			0.00																	
			0.09		0.17	5.08			0.00	5.21			0.00	0.00			0.00															igwdown		
			0.00		0.00	5.08	0.85	0.68		6.81			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00														-		
	418	422	0.96		1.97 0.00	7.05 7.05	1.31	0.40	0.00 1.46	6.81 8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	45.40	60.79	00.00	00.00	140.58	1100	1264	1200	1000	CONC	0.45	F7 F	1509.9717	4 2254	0.7178	0.724
	418	422			0.00	7.05	0.00	0.40		8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.63	60.79	82.22	90.28	140.58	1109	1264	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	57.5	1509.97 17	1.3351	0.7178	0.734
	422	425	1.40		2.65	9.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.14	59.20	80.05	93.72	136.84	1236	1409	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	60.0	2067.1669	1 4442	0.6924	0.598
	722	720	1.40		0.00	9.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00		13.63	00.20	00.00	00.72	100.04	1200	1400	1000	1000	00110	0.10	00.0	2007.1000	1.1112	0.0024	0.000
					0.00	9.70		0.00		8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00		13.63															
			0.61	0.68	1.15	10.85			0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00															1	
	425	427	1.12	0.68	2.12	12.97			0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.83	57.76	78.07	91.40	133.43	1395	1590	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	114.5	2067.1669	1.4442	1.3214	0.675
					0.00	12.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.55															
	427	429	1.31		2.48	15.45			0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00		18.15	55.20	74.58	87.30	127.42	1470	1675	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	31.0	2067.1669	1.4442	0.3578	0.711
	400	400	0.44		0.00	15.45	0.00	0.00		8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00		10.71	54.50	70.70	00.00	105.00	4400	4707	4050	4050	00110	0.45	04.5	0007.4000	1 1110	0.7444	0.704
	429	433	0.44		0.83	16.28 16.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.27 8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.51 12.15	54.56	73.70	86.26	125.89	1498	1707	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	64.5	2067.1669	1.4442	0.7444	0.724
	433	434	0.43		0.81	17.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.27	—		0.00	0.00			0.00		19.26	53.26	71.93	84.18	122.84	1505	1716	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	36.5	2067.1669	1.4442	0.4212	0.728
	434	435	0.43		0.00	17.09			0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.68	52.56	70.97	83.05		1485	1693	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	5.0	2067.1669	1.4442	0.4212	0.728
	435	436			0.00	17.09			0.00	8.27			0.00	0.00			0.00		19.73		70.84		120.96		1690	1350		CONC			2067.1669			
																		-								1000								•
STM TRU	NK 5																																	
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00		14.09															
	513	518	3.35		6.89	6.89	L	L	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.09	64.01	86.63	101.47	148.20	441	503	900	900	CONC	0.15	55.0	701.1305	1.1021	0.8317	0.629
			1		0.00	6.89	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00	_		0.00		12.35	-						+						\vdash		
			0.19		0.00	6.89 7.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		-	0.00	0.00	12.68	-		-				+						\vdash		
			0.19		0.36	7.72			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00								+ -						\vdash		
		 	0.20		0.47	7.72	0.45	0.74		0.00			0.00	0.00		 	0.00		1	 		 	+ +			+ +				 		\vdash		
		 	1		0.00	7.72	0.45	0.74		2.26			0.00	0.00		1	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>	1		1	+ +			+ +						\vdash		
			0.81		1.67	9.39	1	1	0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00														\Box		
	518	519			0.00	9.39			0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00	2.35	0.25	1.63	1.63	14.92	61.96	83.82	98.16	143.35	1006	1146	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	74.0	1509.9717	1.3351	0.9238	0.666
			0.25		0.47	9.86			0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00			0.00	1.63																
	519	5190	1.32		3.30	13.16			0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00			0.00	1.63	15.84	59.85	80.93	94.76	138.36	1197	1365	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	65.0	2067.1669	1.4442	0.7501	0.579
			0.25		0.47	13.64			0.00	2.26		_	0.00	0.00			0.00	1.63	L	L		l	1			1			_			\Box		
	5190	5230			3.33	16.97		-	0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00		-	0.00	1.63	16.59					1386	1580	1350	1350	CONC	0.15		2067.1669			0.671
	5230	523	0.10		0.19	17.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00	-	-	0.00		17.42	56.59	76.48	89.53	130.69	1357	1547	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	4.5	2067.1669	1.4442	0.0519	0.657
\vdash	523	525	0.90		0.00 1.70	17.15 18.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.26			0.00	0.00		 	0.00	1.63	12.92 17.47	56.49	76.34	80.27	130.45	1451	1654	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	70.5	2067.1669	1.4442	0.8136	0.702
	523	323	0.80	0.00	1.70	10.00			0.00	2.20			0.00	0.00		1	0.00	1.03	17.47	30.49	10.34	09.31	130.43	1401	1054	1330	1000	CONC	0.10	10.0	2007.1009	1.4442	0.0130	0.702
Definitions:		ı	-				1		-	-			1	L	1				1		I		1	Designed:				PROJECT	-	L	l			
- ciminolis.																							1.	Designed.				1						

Q = 2.78 AIR, where Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s) A = Areas in hectares (ha)

I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h) R = Runoff Coefficient

Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve
 Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s

1247 - STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA LOCATION: Checked: City of Ottawa Sheet No. SHEET 2 OF 3 Dwg. Reference: File Ref: Date: 24 Nov 2023

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

= Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)

R = Runoff Coefficient

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years



0.013 AREA (Ha) SEWER DATA LOCATION Time of Intensity Intensity Intensity Intensity Intensity Intensity Peak Flow Peak Flow + 14% DIA. (mm) DIA. (mm) TYPE | SLOPE LENGTH CAPACITY VELOCITY TIME OF RATIO 2 YEAR 5 YEAR 10 YEAR 100 YEAR Conc. 2 Year 5 Year 10 Year 100 Year AREA Indiv. Accum. AREA Indiv. Accum. AREA Indiv. Accum. AREA Indiv. Accum. R ocation From Node To Node (Ha) 2.78 AC 2.78 AC (min) (mm/h) (mm/h) (mm/h) Q (1/s) Q (l/s) (%) (m) (l/s) 0.00 18.86 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.26 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.63 10.66 525 526 0.23 0.68 0.43 19.29 0.00 2.26 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.63 18.28 54.97 74.26 86.92 126.86 1436 1637 1350 1350 CONC 0.15 27.0 2067.1669 1.4442 0.3116 0.694 25.0 2067.1669 1.4442 0.2885 0.687 527 18.59 54.41 73.50 86.02 125.54 1421 1620 0.00 19.29 0.00 0.00 1350 CONC 0.15 526 0.00 2.26 Definitions: Designed: Q = 2.78 AIR, where 1247 - STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s) 1) Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve Checked: LOCATION: 2) Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s A = Areas in hectares (ha) City of Ottawa

Dwg. Reference:

Date:

24 Nov 2023

Sheet No.

SHEET 3 OF 3

File Ref:

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RARE FLOWS)

0.74

2.96

5.02 0.18

0.14

0.46

261A 262A

264A

265A

260A 261A

262A

264A

14.55 1209

17.51 1865

22.53 2263 2.5 13.23 22.71 2277 2.5 13.31

11 22.85 2288 2.5 13.37

37 23.31 2325 2.5 13.56



(ACT.)

(m/s)

0.39

0.45

0.56

0.57

0.57

0.66

0.67

0.68

0.68

0.69

0.69

0.69

0.69

0.69

0.69

Manning's n=0.013 LOCATION RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION COMM INSTIT PARK C+I+I FACT. FLOW AREA AREA M.H. M.H. AREA POP. AREA FLOW AREA AREA FLOW FLOW (FULL) Q act/Q cap (FULL) (ha) (l/s) (ha) (ha) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (ha) (l/s) (l/s) (%) (l/s) (m/s) (ha) (ha) (ha) (ha) (mm) SAN TRUNK 1 0.00 0.00 126A 127A 3.23 3.91 2.8 2.14 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.23 3.91 2.15 4.29 74.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.10 0.61 1.26 105 5.17 430 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.26 5.17 127A 141A 1.60 126 6.77 556 2.8 3.57 0.00 0.00 1.60 6.77 3.72 7.29 300 0.20 43.25 0.17 0.61 412 0.00 0.55 0.55 0.06 2.40 9.17 1340 2.6 8.15 141A 142A 4.42 372 13.04 0.00 0.00 4.42 13.59 7.47 15.69 60.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.36 0.61 0.36 13.95 142A 143A 0.36 13.40 1370 2.6 8.32 0.00 0.00 7.67 16.06 60.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.37 0.61 143A 152A 0.57 14.52 7.99 16.64 0.20 0.57 13.97 1418 | 2.6 | 8.59 0.00 0.00 0.55 0.06 110.0 300 43.25 0.38 0.61 14.06 1425 0.00 1.91 1.45 15.97 0.58 16.55 0.09 1475 0.00 14.64 1.91 1.43 120 16.07 1595 0.00 0.00 1.91 1.43 17.98 152A 175A 175A 3.51 279 19.58 1874 2.6 11.12 0.00 1.91 0.21 3.51 21.49 180A 560 26.68 2434 2.5 14.15 15.72 43.25 0.70 7.10 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 7.10 28.59 30.08 52.0 300 0.20 0.61
 117
 28.16
 2551
 2.5
 14.77

 80
 29.18
 2631
 2.5
 15.19
 180A 183A 183A 186A 1.48 0.00
 1.91
 0.21
 1.48
 30.07
 16.54

 1.91
 0.21
 1.02
 31.09
 17.10
 31.51 32.49 52.0 52.0 0.20 43.25 0.73 0.75 0.00 0.61 1.02 300 43.25 0.00 186A 187A 1.54 121 30.72 2752 2.5 15.83 0.00 1.91 0.21 1.54 32.63 17.95 33.98 116.5 375 0.20 78.41 0.43 0.71 187A 188A 0.34 27 31.06 2779 2.5 15.97 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.34 32.97 18.13 34.31 64.5 375 0.20 78.41 0.44 0.71 0.44 188A 190A 31.41 2806 2.5 16.11 0.21 0.35 33.32 0.71 0.35 0.00 0.00 34.64 71.5 0.20 78.41 190A 191A 31.70 2829 2.5 16.23 0.29 33.61 44.5 375 0.71 191A 192A 0.11 31.81 2838 2.5 16.28 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.11 33.72 18.55 35.03 8.5 375 0.20 78.41 0.45 0.71 192A 193A 0.01 31.82 2839 2.5 16.28 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.01 33.73 18.55 35.04 26.0 375 0.20 78.41 0.71 193A 194A 31.82 | 2839 | 2.5 | 16.28 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.00 33.73 18.55 35.04 27.0 0.20 78.41 0.45 0.71 194A 195A 31.82 2839 2.5 16.28 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.00 33.73 18.55 35.04 16.5 375 78 41 0.45 0.71 0.20 195A 196A 31.82 2839 2.5 16.28 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.00 33.73 18.55 35.04 11.0 375 0.20 78.41 0.45 0.71

0.69 o SAN TRUNK 2, Pipe 196A - 282A 31.82 2839 0.00 1.91 33.73 0.00 SAN TRUNK 2 207A 208A 0.51 0.51 3.0 0.31 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.51 0.51 0.28 0.59 11.5 200 0.35 19.40 0.03 0.62 0.27 208A 203A 0.08 0.59 3.0 0.35 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.59 0.32 0.68 52.0 200 0.35 19.40 0.03 0.62 0.29 0.62 221A 223A 203A 1.68 143 2.9 0.97 0.00 0.00 1.09 1.68 0.35 0.39 1.09 92 0.00 0.00 0.92 1.89 91.5 200 19.40 0.10 221A 143 2.9 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.68 0.92 1.89 119.0 0.35 19.40 0.62 0.39 0.67 56 2.35 199 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.67 2.35 223A 224A 224A 3.70 6.05 511 2.8 3.29 0.00 3.70 6.05 3.33 6.62 56.0 250 0.30 32.57 0.20 0.66 0.52 240A 6.05 6.58 511 555 2.8 3.29 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.31 0.00 6.05 1.84 7.89 6.62 9.5 0.30 0.20 0.66 0.52 0.53 0.00 0.00 1.50 126 8.08 681 0.00 0.00 1.31 1.50 9.39 1.86 156 9.94 837 0.00 0.00 1.31 1.86 11.25 1074 2.7 6.63 240A 241A 3.01 237 12.95 0.00 1.31 0.14 3.01 14.26 7.84 14.62 98.0 300 43.25 0.34 0.61 0.55 0.00 0.20 1110 2.7 241A 260A 0.43 0.00 0.00 1.31 0.14 0.43 14.69 15.06 102.0 43.25 0.61 0.56 0.43 13.81 1147 0.00 0.00 1.31 0.43 15.12

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.54

1.31

1.31

0.74 15.86

2.96 18.82

26.49

26.66

26.80

27.60

13.29

108.5

300

42.5 300

63.0 300

0.20

0.20

0.20

43.25

43.25

43.25

43.25

 1.31
 0.14
 5.02
 23.84
 13.11

 1.31
 0.14
 0.18
 24.02
 13.21

1.85 0.20 1.00 25.16 13.84

1.31 0.14 0.14 24.16

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

1.85 0.20 0.26 25.42 265A 274A 0.26 23.57 2346 2.5 13.68 0.00 0.00 13.98 27.86 63.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.64 0.61 0.65 DESIGN PARAMETERS ROJECT Designed: 1247 - STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA Park Flow = 9300 0.10764 I/s/Ha Average Daily Flow = 200 Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph I/n/day 0.550 L/s/ha LOCATION Comm/Inst Flow = 17000 L/ha/da 0.1968 Extraneous Flow = Checked: I/s/Ha City of Ottawa ndustrial Flow = 10000 L/ha/da 0.11574 I/s/Ha Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013 Manning's n = (Conc) Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor = Townhouse coeff= Dwg. Reference: File Ref: 1.00 2.7 Sheet No. 0.20 I/s/Ha Single house coeff= 3.4 28 Nov 2023 nstitutional = Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs, No.

0.62

0.62

0.64

0.61

0.61

0.61

0.64

0.64

0.65

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RARE FLOWS)



Manning's n=0.013																							(U	tawc	l	
LOCATI	ON		R	ESIDENTIAL	L AREA ANI	POPULATI	ON			COM	ММ	IN:	ISTIT	P/	ARK	C+I+I		INFILTRATIO	N					PIPE			
STREET	FROM	TO	AREA	UNITS	POP.		ILATIVE	PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	TOTAL	DIST	DIA	SLOPE	CAP.	RATIO		EL.
	M.H.	M.H.				AREA	POP.	FACT.	FLOW		AREA		AREA		AREA	FLOW	AREA	AREA	FLOW	FLOW			(0.1)	(FULL)	Q act/Q cap	(FULL)	(AC
1			(ha)	1	1	(ha)		1	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(l/s)		(m/s)	(m
	274A	275A	1.85		147	25.42	2493	2.5	14.46		0.00		0.00	0.06	1.91	0.21	1.91	27.33	15.03	29.70	41.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	0.6
	275A	279A	0.01		1	25.42	2493	2.5	14.47		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.91	0.21	0.01	27.34	15.03	29.71	12.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	0.6
	279A	279A 280A	0.78		61	26.21	2555	2.5	14.47		0.00		0.00	 	1.91	0.21	0.01	28.12	15.04	30.46	22.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.09	0.61	0.6
	280A	281A	0.78		01	26.21	2555	2.5	14.79		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.00	28.12		30.46	16.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.70	0.61	0.6
	281A	196A				26.21	2555	2.5	14.79		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.00	28.12		30.46	2.5	450	2.50	450.79	0.70	2.83	1.6
Contribution From SAN TRUNK 1, I						31.82	2839	2.0	14.73		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	33.73	61.85	10.47	30.40	2.0	+50	2.00	400.73	0.07	2.00	1.0
Contabation From Crat Treating 1, 1	196A	282A				58.03	5394	2.3	29.08		0.00		0.00		3.82	0.41	0.00	61.85	34.02	63.50	2.3	450	2.50	450.79	0.14	2.83	1.9
	100/1	20271				00.00		12.0	20.00		0.00		0.00		1 0.02	0	0.00	000	002	00.00		1.00	2.00	1000	0	2.00	
SAN TRUNK 3																											
	6001A	6002A				0.00					0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.0	375	0.35	103.73	0.00	0.94	0.0
	6002A	6003A				0.00	0				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.0	375	0.35	103.73	0.00	0.94	0.0
	6003A	6004A				0.00	0				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.5	375	0.35	103.73	0.00	0.94	0.0
																					1		1			1	
		4		1	<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>							1			1	1		1	<u> </u>		1	ļ	1	1
											\rightarrow												-				
				<u> </u>				-			_											<u> </u>	-	-			-
											_														1		
											_									-		<u> </u>					-
		+	+								_			1	+			1									1
															+			1		<u> </u>				+	1		1
										7		4															
								\perp																			
													1									1					
		+											1		-			-					-	-			1
		+	+									1	+	-	+	1	-	+	1		+	1		1		1	-
		+	+ 4		1			1				-	1	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	 	+	+	-	-	1
		+	1									1	+		+			+	-	-			1	+			1
		+		+	 	-						1	1		+	-		+	<u> </u>	 	+	 		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	
		+			1							 	1		+			+	1		1	1	1	+		1	
		+											1		1			<u> </u>			1		1	<u> </u>			†
		1			†								1		1		†	1			1	1		1		†	
		1																									†
																	<u> </u>										
			DESIGN PA	ARAMETÈ	RS								Designe	d:				PROJECT	T:								
Park Flow =	9300	L/ha/da	0.10764	I/s/Ha																1247 -	- STITTS	VILLE S	OUTH UR	BAN EXP	ANSION A	REA	
Average Daily Flow =	200	l/p/day				Industrial	Peak Fac	tor = as r	er MOE Gr	raph																	
Comm/Inst Flow =	17000	L/ha/da	0.1968	I/s/Ha		Extraneou				L/s/ha			Checked	d:				LOCATIO	N:								
Industrial Flow =	10000	L/ha/da	0.11574			Minimum			0.600														City of	Ottawa			
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00	L/IId/dd	0.11014	1,5/110		Manning's	,	(Conc)			0.013							1					J.ty Oi	J114			
Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.00					Townhous		(Jule)	2.7	(. 40)	0.013		Dwa Re	eference:				File Ref:				Date:				Sheet No	. 2
Institutional =	0.20	l/s/Ha					use coeff=	:	3.4						Plan, Dwgs	No							28 Nov 202	23		of	

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (ANNUAL FLOWS)



Manning's n=0.013

Manning's n=0.0																										COLIT	OL.	
	LOCATION			RF		L AREA ANI	D POPULATIO					OMM	INS	STIT	PA	RK	C+I+I		INFILTRATIO						PIPE			
ST	TREET	FROM	то	AREA	UNITS	POP.	CUMUL		PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	TOTAL	DIST	DIA	SLOPE	CAP.	RATIO	VE	
		M.H.	M.H.				AREA	POP.	FACT.	FLOW	l	AREA		AREA		AREA	FLOW	AREA	AREA	FLOW	FLOW		1 '		(FULL)	Q act/Q cap	(FULL)	(ACT.)
				(ha)			(ha)			(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(l/s)		(m/s)	(m/s)
0.411.75111117.4																		· '					<u> </u>	_		├		
SAN TRUNK 1			+								-	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00				├ ──'		 	├		
		4004	4074	0.68		53	0.68	53	00	0.44	-	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.68	0.68	4.47	0.04	74.0	L 200	- 000	10.05		0.04	0.00
		126A	127A	3.23		272	3.91	325	2.8	2.14	-	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	3.23	3.91	1.17	3.31	74.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.08	0.61	0.36
		127A	141A	1.26 1.60		105 126	5.17 6.77	430 556	2.8	3.57		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.26 1.60	5.17 6.77	2.03	5.60	7.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.13	0.04	0.42
		127A	14 IA	1.85	-	412			2.8	3.57	-	0.00		0.00	0.55	0.00	0.00	2.40	9.17	2.03	5.60	7.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.13	0.61	0.42
		141A	142A	4.42		372	8.62 13.04	968 1340	2.6	8.15		0.00		0.00	0.55	0.55	0.06	4.42	13.59	4.08	12.29	60.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.28	0.61	0.52
		141A	143A	0.36	1	30	13.40	1370	2.6	8.32	1	0.00		0.00		0.55	0.06	0.36	13.95	4.19	12.29	60.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.28	0.61	0.52
		143A	152A	0.57		48	13.40	1418	2.6	8.59		0.00		0.00		0.55	0.06	0.57	14.52	4.19	13.01	110.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.29	0.61	0.53
		140/	1027	0.09		7	14.06	1425	2.0	0.55		0.00		0.00	1.36	1.91	0.00	1.45	15.97	4.50	13.01	110.0	300	0.20	45.25	0.50	0.01	0.55
			+	0.58		50	14.64	1475			1	0.00		0.00	1.50	1.91		0.58	16.55					 	+		+	
			+	1.43		120	16.07	1595				0.00		0.00		1.91		1.43	17.98				$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	 	+	\vdash	 	
		152A	175A	3.51		279	19.58	1874	2.6	11.12		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	3.51	21.49	6.45	17.78	56.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.41	0.61	0.58
		175A	180A	7.10	1	560	26.68	2434	2.5	14.15	1	0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	7.10	28.59	8.58	22.93	52.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.53	0.61	0.62
		180A	183A	1.48	1	117	28.16	2551	2.5	14.77	1	0.00	1	0.00		1.91	0.21	1.48	30.07	9.02	23.99	52.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.55	0.61	0.63
		183A	186A	1.02	1	80	29.18	2631	2.5	15.19		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	1.02	31.09	9.33	24.72	52.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.57	0.61	0.63
		186A	187A	1.54		121	30.72	2752	2.5	15.83		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	1.54	32.63	9.79	25.82	116.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.33	0.71	0.64
		187A	188A	0.34		27	31.06	2779	2.5	15.97		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.34	32.97	9.89	26.06	64.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.33	0.71	0.64
		188A	190A	0.35		27	31.41	2806	2.5	16.11	1	0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.35	33.32	10.00	26.31	71.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
		190A	191A	0.29		23	31.70	2829	2.5	16.23		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.29	33.61	10.08	26.52	44.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
		191A	192A	0.11		9	31.81	2838	2.5	16.28		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.11	33.72	10.12	26.60	8.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
		192A	193A	0.01		1	31.82	2839	2.5	16.28		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.01	33.73	10.12	26.61	26.0	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
		193A	194A	1			31.82	2839	2.5	16.28		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.00	33.73	10.12	26.61	27.0	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
		194A	195A				31.82	2839	2.5	16.28		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.00	33.73	10.12	26.61	16.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
		195A	196A				31.82	2839	2.5	16.28		0.00		0.00		1.91	0.21	0.00	33.73	10.12	26.61	11.0	375	0.20	78.41	0.34	0.71	0.64
To SAN TRUNK 2	2, Pipe 196A - 282A		1				31.82	2839				0.00	V	0.00		1.91			33.73				<u> </u>					
SAN TRUNK 2																							<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
		207A	208A	0.51		44	0.51	44	3.0	0.31		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.15	0.46	11.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.02	0.62	0.26
		208A	203A	0.08		7	0.59	51	3.0	0.35		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.08	0.59	0.18	0.53	52.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.03	0.62	0.26
		203A	221A	1.09		92	1.68	143	2.9	0.97		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.09	1.68	0.50	1.47	91.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.08	0.62	0.36
		221A	223A				1.68	143	2.9	0.97	_	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	1.68	0.50	1.47	119.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.08	0.62	0.36
		0004		0.67		56	2.35	199	0.0	0.00		0.00	,	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.67	2.35	4.00	5.44	50.0	050	0.00	00.57	- 0.40	0.00	0.40
		223A	224A	3.70		312	6.05	511	2.8	3.29		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	3.70	6.05	1.82	5.11	56.0	250	0.30	32.57	0.16	0.66	0.48
		224A	240A	0.53	1	44	6.05 6.58	511	2.8	3.29		0.00		0.00	1.31	0.00	0.00	0.00 1.84	6.05 7.89	1.82	5.11	9.5	250	0.30	32.57	0.16	0.66	0.48
			+	1.50		126	8.08	555 681	-			0.00		0.00	1.31	1.31		1.50	9.39				 	├ ──	-		\vdash	
			+	1.86	1	156	9.94	837			1	0.00		0.00		1.31		1.86	11.25				──'		+	-	\longrightarrow	
		240A	241A	3.01	1	237	12.95	1074	2.7	6.63	1	0.00		0.00		1.31	0.14	3.01	14.26	4.28	11.05	98.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.26	0.61	0.51
		241A	260A	0.43		36	13.38	1110	2.7	6.84		0.00		0.00		1.31	0.14	0.43	14.69	4.41	11.39	102.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.26	0.61	0.52
		2+1M		0.43		37	13.81	1147	2.1	0.04	1	0.00	-	0.00		1.31	0.14	0.43	15.12	7.41	11.08	102.0	300	0.20	70.20	0.20	0.01	0.02
			+	0.43		62	14.55	1209	1		1	0.00	 	0.00		1.31	 	0.43	15.12				\vdash	 	 		+	
			+	2.96		656	17.51	1865				0.00		0.00		1.31		2.96	18.82						†		\vdash	
		260A	261A	5.02		398	22.53	2263	2.5	13.23		0.00		0.00		1.31	0.14	5.02	23.84	7.15	20.53	13.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.47	0.61	0.60
		261A	262A	0.18		14	22.71	2277	2.5	13.31		0.00		0.00		1.31	0.14	0.18	24.02	7.13	20.65	108.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.48	0.61	0.60
		262A	264A	0.14		11	22.85	2288	2.5	13.37		0.00		0.00		1.31	0.14	0.14	24.16	7.25	20.76	42.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.48	0.61	0.60
		264A	265A	0.46		37	23.31	2325	2.5	13.56	1	0.00		0.00	0.54	1.85	0.20	1.00	25.16	7.55	21.31	63.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.49	0.61	0.61
		265A	274A	0.26		21	23.57	2346	2.5	13.68	1	0.00	1	0.00		1.85	0.20	0.26	25.42	7.63	21.50	63.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.50	0.61	0.61
•				DESIGN PA	ARAMETE	RS								Designe	d:				PROJEC1	Г:								
Park Flow =		9300	L/ha/da	0.10764	I/s/Ha									1					1		1247 -	STITTS	VILLE SC	OUTH UR	BAN EXP	ANSION A	.REA	
Average Daily Flow	=	200	l/p/day				Industrial F		or = as p																			
Comm/Inst Flow =		17000	L/ha/da	0.1968	l/s/Ha		Extraneou				L/s/ha			Checked	l:				LOCATIO	N:								
Industrial Flow =		10000	L/ha/da	0.11574	I/s/Ha		Minimum \	,		0.600														City of	Ottawa			
Max Res. Peak Fact		4.00					Manning's		(Conc)	0.013	(Pvc)	0.013																
Commercial/Inst /Pa	ark Peak Factor =	1.00					Townhous	e coeff=		2.7				Dwa. Re	ference:				File Ref:				Date:			1	Sheet No.	1
Institutional =		0.20	I/s/Ha					use coeff=		3.4						lan, Dwgs							•	28 Nov 202		Į.	of	

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (ANNUAL FLOWS) Manning's n=0.013 LOCATION RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION COMM INSTIT PARK C+I+I CUMULATIVE STREET FROM TO AREA UNITS PEAK PEAK AREA ACCU. AREA ACCU. AREA ACCU. PEAK TOTAL ACCU. INFILT. TOTAL DIST DIA SLOPE RATIO M.H. AREA POP. FACT. FLOW AREA AREA AREA FLOW AREA AREA FLOW FLOW (FULL) Q act/Q cap (FULL) (ACT.) (ha) (ha) (mm) (m/s) 274A 1.91 27.33 43.25 275A 2.5 14.46 0.00 0.00 0.06 22.86 0.20 0.53 0.62 1.85 147 25.42 2493 1.91 0.21 8.20 41.5 300 0.61 2494 2.5 14.47 27.34 275A 279A 0.01 25.43 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.01 8.20 22.87 12.5 300 0.20 43.25 0.53 0.61 0.62 2555 2.5 14.79 22.5 279A 280A 0.78 61 26.21 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.78 28.12 8.44 23.43 300 0.20 43.25 0.54 0.61 0.62 280A 281A 26.21 2555 2.5 14.79 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.21 0.00 28.12 8.44 23.43 16.0 300 0.20 43.25 0.54 0.61 0.62 281A 196A 26.21 2555 2.5 14.79 0.00 0.00 1.91 0.00 28.12 8.44 23.43 2.5 450 2.50 450.79 0.05 2.83 1.46 Contribution From SAN TRUNK 1, Pipe 195A - 196A 31.82 2839 0.00 0.00 1.91 33.73 61.85 282A 58.03 5394 0.00 3.82 0.41 0.00 61.85 18.56 48.04 2.3 2.50 450.79 2.83 1.84 SAN TRUNK 3 6001A 6002A 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 103.73 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 375 0.35 0.00 0.94 0.05 0.00 13.0 6002A 6003A 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 47.0 375 0.35 103.73 0.00 0.94 0.05 6003A 6004A 0.00 0.00 12.5 375 0.94 0.05 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.35 103.73 0.00 DESIGN PARAMETERS **PROJECT** Designed 1247 - STITTSVILLE SOUTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA Park Flow = 9300 L/ha/da 0.10764 I/s/Ha Average Daily Flow = 200 I/p/day Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph Comm/Inst Flow = 17000 L/ha/da 0.1968 l/s/Ha Extraneous Flow = 0.300 L/s/ha Checked: LOCATION: Industrial Flow = 10000 0.11574 I/s/Ha Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s City of Ottawa L/ha/da Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00 Manning's n = (Conc) 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013

Dwg. Reference:

Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No.

File Ref:

Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =

Institutional =

1.00

0.20

l/s/Ha

Townhouse coeff=

Single house coeff=

2.7

3.4

28 Nov 2023

Sheet No.



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com

Attachment B

Existing/Pre-Development Conditions Simulations



Table B1: Calculation of SCS Curve Number (CN) and Modified Curve Number (CN*)

		East_2 (25.45	3 ha)				
Area			Soil			% of	Weighted
(ha)	Land Type	Soil Name	Condition	Soil Group	CN	Catchment	CN
15.221	Urban Lawn/Shallow Rooted Crops	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	79	59.8%	47.2
10.232	Pasture and Shrubs	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	70	40.2%	28.1
						CN	75.4
						CN*	66

		West_1 (36.15	3 ha)				
Area			Soil			% of	Weighted
(ha)	Land Type	Soil Name	Condition	Soil Group	CN	Catchment	CN
24.995	Mature Forest	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	73	69.1%	50.5
11.158	Pasture and Shrubs	Fine Sandy Loam	С	Fair	70	30.9%	21.6
						CN	72.1
						CN*	61



Table B2: Time to Peak Calculations

	2: Time to Peak		
Parameter	Units	East_2	West_1
Area	ha	25.45	36.15
CN*	-	66	61
Ptotal to calc C from CN, use 2	P(mm)	33.2	33.2
yr 3 hr Chicago stom			
	la(mm)	4.67	4.67
	RV(mm)	5.1	4.3
Ptotal to calc C from CN, use 2 yr 24 hr SCS stom	P(mm)	52.77	52.77
	RV(mm)	12.9	11.1
C (From Chicago storm)	-	0.15	0.13
C (From SCS storm)	-	0.24	0.21
	m	513	1003
Length of Channel	ft	1682	3291
	m	102.78	109.56
Elevation of Head Water	ft	337	359
	m	100.16	102.19
Elevation of Outlet	ft	329	335
	m/m	0.0051	0.0073
Average Slope	ft/ft	0.51%	0.73%
	Kirpich	0.51%	0.73%
Time of Concentration	mins	18	26
		12	
Time to Peak	min		18
Time to Peak	Hours	0.20	0.29
Time of Concentration	A (From Chicago mins	87	111
	-		
Time to Peak	mins	58	74
Time to Peak	Hours	0.97	1.23
	AA (From SCS s	•	102
Time of Concentration	mins	79	102
Time to Peak	mins	53	68
Time to Peak	Hours	0.88	1.13
Time of Control 1	Bransby Willian		42
Time of Concentration	mins	24	43
Time to Peak	mins	16	29
Time to Peak	Hours	0.27	0.48
	SCS	4.5 -	15:
Time of Concentration	mins	100	161
Time to Peak	mins	67	107
Time to Peak	Hours	1.12	1.79
	Selected Metho		
	A (From Chicago		
Time to Peak	min	58	74
Time to Peak	Hours	0.97	1.23

Note:

All methods calculated as per Appendix A of the SWMHYMO manual

Time to Peak calculated as 2/3 Time of concentration

Table B3: West Pre-Development Peak Flows (36.15 ha; CN* 61)

Frank	(1)	Dun off Volume
Event	Pre-Dev Flows (1)	Runoff Volume
	(m³/s)	(m³)
25mm CHI 3Hr	0.079	817
2-Year CHI 3Hr	0.138	1,410
5-Year CHI 3Hr	0.255	2,585
10-Year CHI 3Hr	0.347	3,507
25-Year CHI 3Hr	0.477	4,801
50-Year CHI 3Hr	0.585	5,874
100-Year CHI 3Hr	0.706	7,075
2-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.218	3,362
5-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.379	5,755
10-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.501	7,563
25-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.667	9,988
50-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.805	11,998
100-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.960	14,239

⁽¹⁾ Target flows based on peak pre-development flows



Table B4: East Pre-Development Peak Flows (25.45 ha; CN* 66)

	TTC-Development Teak Flows (2	
Event	Pre-Dev Flows ⁽¹⁾	Runoff Volume
	(m³/s)	(m³)
25mm CHI 3Hr	0.079	695
2-Year CHI 3Hr	0.137	1,191
5-Year CHI 3Hr	0.252	2,161
10-Year CHI 3Hr	0.342	2,911
25-Year CHI 3Hr	0.468	3,957
50-Year CHI 3Hr	0.572	4,820
100-Year CHI 3Hr	0.688	5,775
2-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.218	2,794
5-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.375	4,724
10-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.493	6,161
25-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.651	8,073
50-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.781	9,643
100-Year SCS 24 Hr	0.926	11,381

⁽¹⁾ Target flows based on peak pre-development flows



00001>	20 Metric units	/ ID Numbers OFF
00002> 00003> 00004>	*# SWMHYMO Ver:5.50	00/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE
00005>	*# Project Name :	[Caivan Stittsville West properties]
00006> 00007>	*# Project Number: *# Date : *# Modeller :	[2267] [2023/11/27]
00008>	*# Modeller : *# Company :	J.F. Sabourin and Associates
00010> 00011>	*# Company : *# License # :	2549237
00012> 00013>	*% 25 mm Storm base START	J.F. Sabourin and Associates 2549237 Mid on 2-Year, 3-Rour Chicago Storm Mid on 2-Year, 3-Rour Chicago Storm TERBOG(0.0), WENGOUT=(2), NOTOME(1), NEUN=(001) ["239803H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00014> 00015>	*1	["25MMC3H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00017>	READ STORM	STORM_FILENAME=["storm.001"]
00019>	DEFAULT VALUES	ICASEdef=[1], read and print values DEFVAL_FILENAME=["Ottawa.val"]
00020> 00021>	*\	
00022> 00023>	*# Pre Development 0	Condition
00024> 00025>	*# West Area DESIGN NASHYD	NOVO-(80 18) PR-(1)-i- 3PR3-(26 18)()
00026> 00027>		WHID=[WESC_I , DI-[I]MIN, ARAA-[30:13](MA), DWW=[0](Cmm), CM/C=[61], TP=[1.23]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , ,](mm/hr), END=-1
00028> 00029>	*,	
00030> 00031>	*# East Area DESIGN NASHYD	NHYD=["East 2"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[25.45](ha), DMF=[0](cms], CN/C=[65], TP=[0.97])hrs, BARMALI = 1
00032> 00033>		DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[66], TP=[0.97]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , ,](mm/hr), END=-1
00034> 00035>	***************************************	
00036> 00037>	*# STORMS	
00038>	*% 25 mm Storm base *%START	nd on 2-Year, 3-Hour Chicago Storm TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[001]
00040> 00041> 00042>	*5	don 2-Year_3-Mour Chicago Storm TIRRO [0.1], MRIUN=[0.1] RIUN=[0.1] RIUN=[0.1
00043>		TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[002]
00044> 00045>		
00046> 00047>	*% 5-Year, 3-Hour (TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[005]
00048> 00049>		
00050> 00051>	*% 10-Year, 3-Hour START	Chicago Storm TEERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010] "010YC3H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00052> 00053>		TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010] "010YC3H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00054> 00055>	*% 25-Year, 3-Hour START	
00056> 00057>	*1	"025YC3H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00058> 00059> 00060>	*% 50-Year, 3-Hour START	
00061>	*% 100-Year 3-Hous	["050YC3H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00062> 00063>	*% 100-Year, 3-Hous	Chicago Storm TERGC=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[099] "100YC3H.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00064>	*% 2-Year, 24-Hour	
00067>	*% 2-Year, 24-Hour START	SCS Storm TIERG-[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[102] "SCZ4002x.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" scs="" storm<="" td="" time=""></storm>
00068> 00069>	*%* *% 5-Year, 24-Hour	["SC24002x.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00070> 00071> 00072>	*% 5-Year, 24-Hour START	SCS Storm TIZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[105] "SC24005x.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00072>	*% 10-Year, 24-Hous	
00075>	*% 10-Year, 24-Hou: START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[110]
00076>	*8	- 555 54
00079>	*% 25-Year, 24-Hous	TERC=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[125] "SC24025x.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00081>		
00083>	START	SCS Storm TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[150] "SC24050x.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
000055	*% 100-Year 24-Ho	r SCS Storm
00086> 00087> 00088>	*% 100-Year, 24-Hos	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[199]
00089>	*% 2-Year, 12-Hour	TERRO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[199] "SC24100x.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00091>	*START	SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSW00 model) TZERO-[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTGRM=[1], NRUN=[202] ("SC12002c.stm") <-storm filename, one per line for NSTGRM time
00093>	*% 5-Year, 12-Hour	SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSWNM model)
00095>	*START	SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSWDM model) TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[205] ["SCI2005c.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00097>	*% 10-Year, 12-Hour	
00099>	*START	SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSNMM model) TERC=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[210] ["SC1010c.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00100> 00101> 00102>	*% 25-Year, 12-Hous	
00103>	*START	SUS STORM per City or Ottawa Carp River P-SUSWE models TIERO-[0.0], METOUT-[2], NSTORM-[1], NRUN=[225] ["SC12025c.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00105> 00106>	*% 50-Year, 12-Hour	r SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSMMN model)
00107>	*START	SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PC5MEN model) TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NSUN=[250] ["SC12050c.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00109> 00110>	*% 100-Year, 12-Hot	
00111> 00112>	*START	r SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSMMM model) TZERO-[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM-[1], NRUN=[299] ("SCI2100C.stm") (storm filename) one per line for NSTORM time
00113> 00114>	*% July 1st, 1979 :	Storm - Ottawa International Airport
00115> 00116> 00117>	*START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[979] ["19790701.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00118>	*% August 4th, 1988	Storm - Ottawa International Sirport
00119> 00120>	*START	TIRRO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[988] ["19880804.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm="" one="" per="" td="" time<=""></storm>
00121> 00122>	*% August 8th, 199	
00123> 00124>	*START	Storm - Ottawa International Airport TZERO=[0.0], WZTOUT=[2], NSTON=[1], NRUN=[996] [*19960808.stm*] <-storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
00125> 00126>	*% 100-Year, 3-Hou:	
00127> 00128> 00129>	*START	chicago Storm + 20% TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[999] ("100YC3H+.stm") &-storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
	FINISH	

00001> =================================	00181> R0005:C00001
00002> 00003> SSSSS W W M M H H Y Y M M OOO 222 000 11 5555 ========= 00004> S W W M MM M H H Y Y MM MM O O 2 0 0 11 5	00182) START 00183> [TIRRO = .00 hrs on 0] 00184> [METOMF = 2 (!simperial, 2=metric output)] 00185> [METOMF 1]
00005> SSSSS WWW MMM HHHHH Y MMM O O 2 0 0 11 5 Ver 5.500	001855 [NSTORM 1] 001865 [NSTORM 0005] 001875 [NSTORM 0005]
00008> 2 0 0 11 5 # 2549237	00187> #***** 00188> # SMMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE
00010>	00188) # SMORITMO Veri5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 00189) # Project Name : [Csivan Stittsville West properties] 00190) # Project Number: [2267]
000115 SRMHYMO Ver 5.500 000125 A single event and continuous hydrologic simulation model	0013) \$ Froject Number: [2267] 00120 \$ Pack Pack
000125 BB00170 Ver 5.500 000135 A single event and continuous hybricologic simulation model 000131 based on the principles of HTMD and its successors 000145 B00165 000165	001940 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 001950 # License # : 2549227 001950 #
	00197 R0005:C00002
00015	00189 READ STORMS TAKEN 001 00189 READ STORMS TAKEN 001 002000 Comment cuttach 00100 Year, 3 Hours 002000 Comment of 10200 2000 3000 3000 300 300 300 300 300
00022>	
00024> ++++++++++++ Licensed user: JFSAinc. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	00204> Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Fre-Dev SWMHYMO\Ottawa.val
00026>	0002050 ICANEDW = 1 (read and print database for City of Orana Projects)
00029> ******************	00209> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00210> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
00031> ******* Max. number of rainfall points: 105408 ************************************	00211> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNF= .250] 00212> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
00033> 00034>	00214> Parameters used in NASHYD:
00036> ************************************	00216> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 00217> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEF OCT NOV DEC
00038> * RUN DATE: 2023-11-28 TIME: 09:32:38 RUN COUNTER: 007600 * 00039> *** 00040> * Input file: C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Pre-Dev SMMHYMO\STIT-Pre v04.dat *	00218> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00041> * Output file: C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Pre-Dev SWMHYMO\STIT-Pre-V04.out * 00042> * Summary file: C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Pre-Dev SWMHYMO\STIT-Pre-V04.sum *	00215 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
00043> * User comments:	
00045> * 2: 	00225 ##################################
00049> 00030> #	00228> [CN= 61.0: N= 3.00: Tp= 1.23] 00229>
0003D) # 0005D) # SMMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 00052> #	002109 # East Area 00211 NOD05-1001
00053> # Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties] 00054> # Project Number: [2267]	00233> [CN= 66.0: N= 3.00: Tp= _47] 00234>
00055> # Date : [2023/11/27] 00056> # Modeller : [PP]	00235
000535 # Froject Name: [Caivan Stitzville West properties] 000554 # Froject Name: [Caivan Stitzville West properties] 000554 # Froject Name: [Caivan Stitzville West properties] 000555 # Modeller : [FF] 000556 # Modeller : [FF] 000555 # License # : 2549237	00239
00061> R0001:c00001	00240> 00241> 00242>
000625 START 0006635 [TERO = .00 hrs on 0] 000644 [METOUT= 2 ([=imperial, 2=metric output)] 000645 [METOUR= 1]	00243> 00244>
00065> [NSTORM= 1] 00066> [NKUN = 0001] 00067 = 0001:c00002	00245> RUN#:COMMANU# 00246> ROUD:COMMODIT
	00247
00070> Comment = 25 MM BASED ON CHICAGO STORM 2 Year, 3 Hours	00248) [TERO = No hrs on 0] 002490 [RETURN = 2 No hrs on 0] 002490 [RETURN = 0 No hrs on 0] 002490 [RETURN = 0010] 00252 RETURN = 0010]
00071> [SDT=10.00:SDUR= 3.00:FTOT= 25.00] 00071> R0001:C0003	00252> # ***********************************
000715	00235) # SMORHTMO VeriS.500/Feb.201% / INFUT DATA FELS 00235) # Project Name : [Caivan Stittqville West properties] 00255) # Project Number: [0261]
00076> FileTitle= File commont: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00077> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM 00078> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:	DO255
00078> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00078> [For 76.20 mm/hr] [For 31.20 mm/hr] [For 76.20 mm/hr] [For 76.2	00259> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00260> # License # : 2549237
00081> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNF= .250] 00082> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANGHUD: 00083> [IAime= 1.57 mm] [CLIE 1.50] [MNBT= 0.13]	00262> R0010:CG0002
0083> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] (CLI= 1.50) [MNI= .013] 0084> Parameters used in NASTO: 0085> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [Ni= 3.00]	00264> Filename = storm.001 00265> Comment = CHICAGO STORM 10 Year, 3 Hours
00086> Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) 00087> JAN FEE MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	00266> [8DT=10.00:SDUR= 3.00:PTOT= 49.50] 00267> R0010:C000003
00089> Average monthly Fotential Evapotranspiration in (mm) 00090> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	00269> Filename = Ct/Temp\F2267-Stittswille FSR\20231127-Fre-Dev SWMHYMO\Ottawa.val 00270> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)
000855 154 91 758 91 758 92 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	DOCEST MODIFICATION DECEMBER DECEMBE
00094>	00275> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY=4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00275> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STAMDHYD:
000959 ; MOSI.ARGA DTMin-ID:NHYD- AREAHa-QFEAKums-TpeakDate hh:nmRVmm-R.CDWFcms 000956 R0001:000045-	12076
00099>	00279> Parameters used in NASHYD:
00100) # East Area 00100 # East Area 00100 # Double Modern	0.0280 The 0.02
00103> (CM= 66.0: N= 3.00: Tp= .97) 00104> ************************************	00283> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00106> *** END OF RUN : 1	00286> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00108> 00110> 00110>	00285 Fra Town Communication
00111> 00112>	00291> R00101:CQ0004
00113> 00114> 00115> RUN#:COMMAND#	00293> [cN= 61.0: N= 3.00: Tp= 1.23] 00294>
00115> RUN#:COMMAND# 00116> R0002:C00001- 00117> START	00295
00118> [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] 00119> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	00298> [CN= 66.0: N= 3.00: Tp= .97]
00121> (NRUN = 0002] 00122> (NRUN = 0002)	003005 # STORMS 00301> ####################################
00123> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE	00303>
001255 # Project Name : (Calvan Stitéwille West properties) 001265 # Project Number: (2267) 001267 # Diete : [2023/14/27] 001275 # Diete : [2023/14/27]	00305> 00306> 00307>
00128) # Modeller : [PP] 00129) # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates	00308>
001259 # Modeller : [FF] 001259 # Company : J.J. Sabourin and Associates 001259 # License : 144923	00310> RUN\$:CCMMGAND\$ 00311> RO025:C00001
00133> RODE:COUNCE	OD3125 START OD 175 OD
00133	00315> [NSTORM= 1] 00316> [NSUN = 0025] 00317> #*****
	00317
	00000
00141> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]	00321> # Project Number: [2267]
00141> FileTitles File comment: [Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects] O0142> THE PROLUMNUM PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDBYD COM 00143> Horton's infultration equation parameters:	00.220
001419 FileTitles File communit: [Farameters for city of Ottawa Frojects] 001429 THE FOLICHING FRAMMERS ASS USED IN THE DESIGN STANDON COM 001439 Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 001449 [For 76.20 m/m]r [For 13.20 m/m]r [For 13.20 m/m]r [For 13.20 m/m]r [For 13.20 m/m]r [DATP 4.14 m/r] [For 0.00 mm] 001459 Farameters for PENYIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 01450 [Inger 4.67 m] [Inger 4.0 m] [Inger 9.0 m] [Inger 9.0 m]	001200 F Project Names Calvan strtsvile mest properties
00141) FileTitle File communit [Farameters for city of Ottawa Projects] THE FOLIOMORY FARMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDAYD COM 00141) HOSTON'S INTELLIGENCE ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDAYD COM 001450 For The Community (Ferlia) on muchin [COXT 4.14 /hr] [F 0.00 mm] 001450 Farameters for PRIVIOUS surfaces in STANDAYD: 001450 [Laper 4.67 mm] [Lare44.00 m] [Jule 2.20]	00212
00141) FileTitEs File comment: [Parameters for city of Ottawa Frojects] 00142)	00212
Didition File Community Faramaters for City of Ottawa Projects	00212 Froject Number: [226]
Didition File Community Faramaters for City of Ottawa Projects	00313 Froject Number: [226]
Deletits File comment: Parameters for city of Ottawa Frojects	00213 # Project Number: [226]
Didition File Community Faramaters for City of Ottawa Projects	002131 # Project Number: [2267] 002124 # Rodeller [FP]
	00213 # Project Number: [226]
Description File community Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects	00213 Froject Number: [2267] 00213 East [2267] 00214 Company J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00213 East 1267] 00214 Company J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00213 East 1267] 00215 East Company J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00213 East 1267] 00215 East Company J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00213 East 1267] 00215 East Company J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00213 East 1267] 00215 East Found East 1267] 00216 East East East 1267] 00216 East East East 1267] 00217 East East East 1267] 00218 East East East 1267] 00219 East East East 1267] 00219 East East East 1267] 00219 East E
	00213 Froject Number: [226]
District File Community Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects	00213 Froject Number: [226]
Did Filerities File comment: [Parameters for city of Ottaws Projects]	00213 Froject Number: [226] 123/11/21 123/11/2
Didition File Community Faramaters for City of Ottawa Projects	0.00210 Foodel Number: (2026)
	0.00210 Foodel Number: (2026)
District File Community Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects	00213 Froject Number: [226] 123/11/21 123/11/2

00361> R0025:C00005OTmin-ID:NNYD	00541> Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) 00542> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
00365> [CN= 66.0: N= 3.00: Tp= .97]	00543> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00365 * EXTORMS 00366 * TERM OF ROW: 49	00545> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00546> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00368> 00369>	00548> # Pre Development Condition 00549> ************************************
00370> 00371> 00372>	00550> # West Area 00551> R0102:C00004DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKCms-TpeakDate_hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
00372> 00374>	00550) # West Area
00375> RUNA : COMMAND# 00376- RODSO: COMODI	00555> # East Area 00556> R0102:C00005DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:nmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
00377> START 00378> [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0]	00555 MARSIAN MARSTON 1,00157m - MARSIAN QUEATURE TPRANKING himm - MANUM-R.C. CRETON 005579 DRSIGN MARSTON 1,00157m 1,00157m 2
00378> (TIEBO = 0.00 hrs on 0) 0375> [METONT = 2 (limperial, 2-metric output)] 03850 [METONS = 1] 03850 [METONS = 1]	UU3337 - 005607
00383> # SMORHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 003834 #	00562> -* END OF RUN : 104 00563> 005645
	00564> 00565> 00566>
00385 + Project Number: (220) 00385 + Braine : (2020)11/27] 00385 + Robaltar : (2020)11/27] 00385 + Robaltar : (2021)12/27] 00385 + Robaltar : (2021)12/27] 00385 + Robaltar : (2021)12/27]	00565> 00567> 00568>
00389 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00390> # License # : 2549237	00569> 00570> RIM#*COMMAND#
00391> #* 00392> R0050:C00002	00571> R0105:C00001
00393> READ STORM 00394> Filename = storm.001	00573>
00345 - F. Liname : storm.001 00355 - Comment = CRICAGO STORM 50 Year, 3 Hours 00356 - [SDT-01.00.SSUMF 3.00:FDOT= 64.81] 00356 - [SDT-01.00.SSUMF 3.00:FDOT= 64.81]	00576> [NRUN = 0105]
00398> DEFAULT VALUES 00399> Filename = C:\Temp\P2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Fre-Dev SWMHYNO\Ottawa.val	00578> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE
00400> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data) 00401> FileTitle File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]	
00402> THE FOLDWING PRAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDBYD COM 00403> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00404> [For 76.20 mm/hr] [For 15.20 mm/hr] [ECAT= 6.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00404> Farameters for FERGUIOS surfaces in STANDBYDD:	OSSE19 Froject Bumber: [250] OSSE19 Froject Bumber: [250] OSSE29 David OSSE20 David OSSE20 David OSSE20 David OSSE20 Froject Bumber: [FF] OSSE20 Froject Bumber: FF Saborin and Associates OSSE20 Clickmas 2:244272 OSSE20
00405> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDBYD: 00406> [IADer= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250]	005849 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 005859 # License # : 2549237 005869 # License # : 2549237
00405 [IApex= 4.67 mm] [LED=40.00 m] [MHP= 2.20] 00407 Facematers for IMPROTOGS unifaces in STANDETCI 004089 [IAinpe 1.57 mm] [CLIT 1.50] [MHI= 0.13] 004089 [IAinpe 1.57 mm] [CLIT 1.50] 004080 [IAinpe 1.67 mm] 004080 [IAinpe 1.67 mm	00587> R0105:C00002- 00588> READ STORM 00589> Filename = storm.001
00409> Parameters used in NASHYD: 00410> [Ta= 4.67 mm] [N=3.00] 00411> Average modelly Page Symporation data in (mm)	005959 Releases = torm.001 00590 Comment = 9 years SCE Type 2 Sterm 24 Howrs step 10 min, City of Ottawa 005910 [307-10.00:350Mm 24.00:97075 64.11]
00412> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00413> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00592> R0105:C00003
00414> Average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration in (mm) 00415> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	00594> Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Fre-Dev SWMHYMO\Ottawa.val 00595> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print date)
0041/2	00596> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00597> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDAYD COM
00418) # Pre Development Condition 00419> ************************************	00598> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00599> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00600> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
08421> 880551:00004- "DTRID-ILDRWITD" - SAERAN-OPERAGES-Typeskitate hitzer 500m=8,CDWFCms 00422> DESIGN MARRYD 1. 0 0.1146st 1 36.15 559 No_date 2:35 16.25 521 000 00423> [CR: 61.0: No 3.00: Tpc 1.22] 00423> [CR: 61.0: No 3.00: Tpc 1.22]	00602> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
00423> [CN= 61.0: N= 3.00: Tp= 1.23]	00603> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 00604> Parameters used in NASHYD:
00425> # East Area 00426> R0050:C00005	00605> [Ia= 4,67 mm] [N= 3.00] 00606> Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) 00607> JAN FEB M&R APR MMY JUN JUL ADG SEP OCT NOW DEC
08255 BID59: CD005 - DTRin: IDSWTD - SREENSCPEARCHS TypeAkTate hitm: NUM-8.CCMFCms 004275 DESCRIB MARRYD I. 0 01EEBST 2 25.45 572 No_date 2144 18.94 292 000 004285 [CN: 66.0: N 3.00: Typ. 37] 2 24.5 572 No_date 2144 18.94 292 000 004285 [CN: 66.0: N 3.00: Typ. 37]	Tan Grant Tan
00430 # STORMS 00431 ************************************	00610> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00611> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00432> ** END OF RUN : 98 00433> 00434>	00612> # Pre Development Condition 00614> ####################################
00435>	
00436> 00437>	06469 80195:200004
00439> 00440> RUN#:COMMAND#	00619> ####################################
00441> R0099:C00001	00500-1 East Area 00500-1 East Area 005010-1 East A
00444> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	00624> ####################################
00446> [NRUN = 0099]	00626> ***********************************
00445) # SMMRHMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INRUT DATA FILE 00445) # Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]	00628> 00629> 00620>
	00631> 00632>
00453> # Modeller : [PF] 00454> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates	00633>
004555 # License # : 2549237 00456 # ***********************************	00635> RUN::CORANDE 00635> RO11:COD001 00637> START
	Obesys
00439 - STURBER STORN.001 004490 - Comment = CHILOGO STORM 100 Year, 3 Hours 004401 - Comment = CHILOGO STORM 100 Year, 3 Hours 004401 STORN ST	00640 [MSTONE 1] 00641 [NSTONE 1] 00641 [NSTONE 0110]
00462> R0099:C00003	00642> SWMHYNO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE
054415 GEFAULT VALUES Temp.V2247-mille FRM.20231127-Fre-Dev SROOMSOUTLEAS, Value 05445	00645 # Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]
00466> FileTitle= File commont: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Profects] 00467> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDAYD COM 00468> HOrton's infiltration equation parameters:	006469 # Project Number: [2287] 006479 # Date [2023/11/27] 006489 # Modeller : [FP] 006499 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates
00469> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F 00 mm] 00470> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 00471> [Theory 4.47 mm] [IGE=0.00 m] [MMP= 250]	006457 # Mutuality [FT] 006457 # Company J.F. Sabourin and Associates 005505 # License # : 2549237 005515 # ***
00472> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDBYD:	00652> R0110:C00002
00474> Parameters used in NASHYD: 00475> [Tam 4 67 mm] [NR 3 001	00654> Filename = storm.001 00655> Comment = 10 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa
00476> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 00477> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	00657> R0110:C00003
004789 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00659> Filename = C:\Temp\P2267-Stittsville FSR\20231127-Pre-Dev SMMHYMO\Ottawa.val
00.81> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	006699 ICASEOV - I (tawa ann pinn take) City of Ottawa Projects 006610 THE FOLLOWING PARAMETER ARE USED IN THE MESION STANDHYL COM 006620 Hotton's infiltration equation parameters 006640 [Por 76.20 mm/hr] [Por 13.00 mm/hr] [CRAT 4.14 /hr] [Por .00 mm] 006650 Parameters for EMPHOUSE surfaces in STANDHYDIO
00483> # Pre Development Condition 00484> ###################################	00663> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00664> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]
00480> R0099:C00004DTmin-ID:NNYDAREAha-QPEAKCHS-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms 00487> DESIGN NASHYD 4.0 01:West 1 36.15 706.Wa.date 2:45 10.57 272 000	00667> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
0.00459 0.0059: 00.004 0.0059	00668> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 00669> Parameters used in NASHYD:
	00670> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] 00671> Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm)
004815 B1099:10005	006/05 148" 41.97 Em) [HE 2.007] Pan Eveporation data in (Em) 1007
00495> # STORES	00675> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00676> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
0049> ENO OF RUN: 101 00498>	00677> ### Development Condition 00678> # Pre Development Condition
00500> 00501>	00000 1 0 0 0
00502> 00503>	00683 (CMS 61.0; No. 3.00; Tps 1.23) (CMS 62.0; No. 3.00; No. 2.3) (CMS 62.0; No. 3.00; No. 3.00
00504> 00505> RUN#:COMMAND#	00685> # East Area
08505-R0102:C00001- 00507- START 00509- [TZERC = .00 hrs on 0]	00665 NOI10:c00005
00509> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	
00511> [NRUN = 0102]	00691> *** END OF RUN : 124
U005125 # SWMTYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUR_DATA FILE 005145 # Project. Name : (Caivan Stittswille West properties)	00694>
00516 # Project Number: [2267] 00517 # Date : [2023/11/27]	00696> 00697>
00518> # Modeller : [PF] 00519> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 005200 # License # : 2549237	00698> 00699> 00700> RUN#:COMMAND#
0052D) # Licenze # : 2349237 0052D #	00701> R0125:C00001
00523> READ STORM 00524> Filename = storm.001	00703> [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] 00704> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
00525> Comment = 2 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa 00526> [SDT=10.00:SDUM= 24.00:PTOT= 48.46] 00527> R0102:C00003	00706> [NKUN = 0125]
00527> R0102:C00003	00708> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE 00709> #************************************
00530> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data) 00531> FileTitle File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]	00710> # Project Name : (Caivan Stittsville West properties)
00532> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDAYD COM 00533> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:	00713> # Modeller : (PP)
0.003150 Rectan() = 1.004	007145 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 007155 # License # : 2549237 007165 #
00537> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:	00717> R0125:C00002
00538> [IAimps 1.57 mm] (CLTE 1.50) [MNI= .013] 00539> Parameters used in NASHYO: 00540> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00]	00713> Filenamm = storm.001 00720> Comment = 25 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa

```
| SITH-10.00-SDOWS -24.00-FROWS -86.89|
| MIDISCORDOUS | MIDISCORD - 86.89|
| MIDISCORD - 80.89|
| MIDISCORD - 80.89|
| MIDISCORD - 80.89|
| MIDISCORD - 80.89|
| FIRST | MIDISCORD - 80.89|
|
                                                                                                                  [SDT=10.00:SDUR= 24.00:PTOT= 86.89]
      007425 | 1700 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 10
                                                            RUN#:COMMAND#
R0151:COMMAND#
R0151:COMMAND#
FITERS = .00 hrs on 0]
| METODT = 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)|
| INSTORM = 1|
| INSTORM = 1,0130
                                                      007880 | IAinge 1.97 maj (CLT# 1.50) [0015-0.13]

007800 | IZA 4.67 maj [19-3.00]

008001 | IZA 4.67 maj [19-3.00]

008010 | Average monthly Rep Evaporation data in (ms) 007

008011 | Average monthly Rep Evaporation data in (ms) 007

008012 | Out 0.00 |
# Pres Development Condition

# West Area

- "Defining 1518WEST - Description - Descri
                                                                  # East Area
RG199:C00005-------DTmin-ID:NHYD---------AREAha-QFEAKCMS-TpeakDate hh:mm-----RVmm-R.C.---DWFCMS
DESIGN NASHYD 1.0 01:East 2 25.45 .926 No date 12:58 44.72 .419 .000
                                                               UNESIGN NASHED 1.0 01:Nast 2 25.45 .926 No_date 12:58 [CN= 66.0: N= 3.00: Tp= .97]
                  00885 FINISH
00885 - SHINDS / ERRORS / NOTES
00895 - MARRINGS / ERRORS / NOTES
```



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com

Attachment C

Proposed/Post-Development Conditions Simulations



West SWM Pond Preliminary Stage Storage

		Temmary Stage		
		Volume above		
Elevation	Volume	PP @ 90%	Area	
(m)	(m³)	(m³)	(m²)	Demarcation
99.80	0.000		3020.15	Pond Bottom
99.85	154.104		3144.03	
99.90	314.431		3269.02	
99.95	481.036		3395.2	
100.00	653.980		3522.56	
100.05	833.321		3651.08	
100.10	1019.117		3780.78	
100.15	1211.428		3911.65	
100.20	1410.311		4043.7	
100.25	1615.827		4176.92	•
100.30	1828.033		4311.34	
100.35	2046.989		4446.91	
100.40	2272.753		4583.66	
100.45	2505.384		4721.58	
100.50	2744.941		4860.68	
100.55	2991.481		5000.95	
100.60	3245.066		5142.42	
100.65	3505.752		5285.04	
100.70	3773.599		5428.84	
100.75	4048.665		5573.81	
100.80	4331.010		5719.96	
100.85	4620.691		5867.28	
100.90	4917.768		6015.81	
100.95	5222.300		6165.48	
101.00	5534.346		6316.33	
101.05	5853.963		6468.35	
101.10	6181.210		6621.55	
101.15	6516.147		6775.92	
101.20	6858.832		6931.49	
101.25	7209.325		7088.22	
101.30	7567.684		7246.12	
101.35	7933.966		7405.19	
101.40	8308.232		7565.44	
101.45	8690.540		7726.86	
101.50	9081.105		7895.73	
101.55	9479.858		8054.41	
101.60	9886.703		8219.4	
101.65	10301.827		8385.56	
101.70	10725.289		8552.9	
101.75	11157.147		8721.42	

West SWM Pond Preliminary Stage Storage

	Volume above					
Elevation	Volume	PP @ 90%	Area			
(m)	(m³)	(m³)	(m²)	Demarcation		
101.80	11597.620	0.000	8897.52	Permanent Pool		
101.85	12045.777	403.341	9028.75			
101.90	12500.648	812.725	9166.12			
101.95	12962.407	1228.308	9304.24			
102.00	13431.091	1650.124	9443.11			
102.05	13906.737	2078.205	9582.73			
102.10	14389.542	2512.730	9729.49			
102.15	14901.470	2973.465	10747.63			
102.20	15441.536	3459.524	10854.97			
102.25	15986.982	3950.426	10962.87			
102.30	16537.839	4446.197	11071.41			
102.35	17094.139	4946.867	11180.6			
102.40	17655.912	5452.463	11290.33			
102.45	18223.191	5963.014	11400.84			
102.50	18796.007	6478.548	11511.76			
102.55	19374.387	6999.090	11623.45			
102.60	19958.368	7524.673	11735.8			
102.65	20547.977	8055.321	11848.56			
102.70	21143.245	8591.062	11962.16			
102.75	21744.207	9131.928	12076.31			
102.80	22350.889	9677.942	12190.95			
102.85	22963.324	10229.134	12306.47			
102.90	23581.551	10785.538	12422.58			
102.95	24205.591	11347.174	12539.04			
103.00	24835.475	11914.069	12656.31			
103.05	25471.239	12486.257	12774.27			
103.10	26112.912	13063.763	12892.63			
103.15	26760.520	13646.610	13011.69			
103.20	27414.100	14234.832	13131.51			
103.25	28073.683	14828.457	13251.84			
103.30	28739.300	15427.512	13372.81			
103.35	29410.982	16032.026	13494.48			
103.40	30088.758	16642.024	13616.55			
103.45	30772.660	17257.536	13739.53			
103.50	31462.724	17878.594	13863.02			
103.55	32158.978	18505.222	13987.14			
103.60	32861.450	19137.447	14111.74			
103.65	33570.173	19775.298	14237.2			
103.70	34285.182	20418.806	14363.13			
103.75	35006.502	21067.994	14489.69			

West SWM Pond Preliminary Stage Storage

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Elevation	Volume	Volume above PP @ 90%	Area	
(m)	(m³)	(m³)	(m²)	Demarcation
103.80	35734.166	21722.891	14616.85	
103.85	36468.205	22383.526	14744.73	
103.90	37208.652	23049.929	14873.14	
103.95	37955.532	23722.121	15002.07	
104.00	38708.883	24400.137	15131.94	
104.05	39468.737	25084.005	15262.25	
104.10	40235.121	25773.751	15393.1	
104.15	41008.066	26469.401	15524.7	
104.20	41787.609	27170.990	15657.03	
104.25	42573.776	27878.540	15789.64	
104.30	43366.647	28592.124	15925.22	Top of Pond



Table C-1W: West Pond Criteria for Required Storage Volumes

Pond	Area ⁽¹⁾ (ha)	Imperviousness (%)	Storage Volume for Impervious Level ⁽²⁾ (m³/ha)
N/A	N/A	70	225
West SWM Pond	36.55	71	227
N/A	N/A	85	250

⁽¹⁾ Refer to Appendix C for drainage areas to the SWM facility.

Table C-2W: Required Storage Volumes for West SWM Facility

Pond Component	Required Volume (m³)	Allowable Release Rate (m³/s)
Permanent Pool (PP) (1)	6,835	-
Quality Control ⁽²⁾	1,462	0.017
Forebay (20% PP)	1,367	-
PP - Forebay	5,468	-

⁽¹⁾ Required PP volume based on Table C-1W (227 - 40).



⁽²⁾ Protection Level for Wet Pond: Enhanced 80% long-term S.S. removal. SWM Planning & Design Manual, Table 3.2, p.3-10 (March 2003).

⁽²⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m3/ha

⁽³⁾ Quality control release rate based on 48 hour drawdown time

Table C-3W: Summary of West SWM - Pond Operating Characteristics

rubic o ovv. Cammary of vest ovviii - 1 ond operating characteristics					
Pond Components	Pre Dev Flows ¹ (m³/s)	Pond Release Rate (m³/s)	Pond Volume (m³)	Preliminary Pond Water Level (m)	
Permanent Pool ²	-	-	6,835	101.80	
Quality Control ³	-	0.017	1,462	102.00	
25mm 3-hr Chicago	0.079	0.079	5,296	102.40	
2-year 3-hr Chicago	0.138	0.138	7,024	102.60	
5-year 3-hr Chicago	0.255	0.255	9,627	102.80	
10-year 3-hr Chicago	0.347	0.347	11,410	103.00	
25-year 3-hr Chicago	0.477	0.477	13,670	103.20	
50-year 3-hr Chicago	0.585	0.585	15,370	103.30	
100-year 3-hr Chicago	0.706	0.706	17,140	103.45	
2-year 24-hr SCS	0.218	0.218	8,331	102.70	
5-year 24-hr SCS	0.379	0.344	11,340	102.95	
10-year 24-hr SCS	0.501	0.455	13,290	103.15	
25-year 24-hr SCS	0.667	0.667	15,670	103.35	
50-year 24-hr SCS	0.805	0.805	17,480	103.50	
100-year 24-hr SCS	0.960	0.960	19,310	103.65	

⁽¹⁾ Target flows based on peak pre development flows

⁽³⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m³/ha released over 48 hours



⁽²⁾ Required permanent pool volume based on MOE SWMPD Manual Table 3.2, enhanced 80% TSS Removal for wet pond.

Table C-4W: Summary of West SWM - Pond Operating Characteristics (Sensitivity Test)

·	Halved Pre Dev	Pond Release	David Valores	Preliminary Pond
Pond Components	Flows ¹	Rate	Pond Volume	Water Level
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m ³)	(m)
Permanent Pool ²	-	-	6,835	101.80
Quality Control ³	-	0.017	1,462	102.00
25mm 3-hr Chicago	0.040	0.040	5,561	102.45
2-year 3-hr Chicago	0.069	0.069	7,467	102.60
5-year 3-hr Chicago	0.128	0.128	10,440	102.90
10-year 3-hr Chicago	0.174	0.174	12,430	103.05
25-year 3-hr Chicago	0.239	0.239	14,920	103.30
50-year 3-hr Chicago	0.293	0.293	16,780	103.45
100-year 3-hr Chicago	0.353	0.353	18,700	103.60
2-year 24-hr SCS	0.109	0.109	9,110	102.75
5-year 24-hr SCS	0.190	0.174	12,420	103.05
10-year 24-hr SCS	0.251	0.230	14,570	103.25
25-year 24-hr SCS	0.334	0.334	17,190	103.45
50-year 24-hr SCS	0.403	0.403	19,210	103.65
100-year 24-hr SCS	0.480	0.480	21,300	103.80

⁽¹⁾ Target flows based on halved peak pre development flows

⁽³⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m³/ha released over 48 hours



⁽²⁾ Required permanent pool volume based on MOE SWMPD Manual Table 3.2, enhanced 80% TSS Removal for wet pond.

East SWM Pond Preliminary Stage Storage

		ciiiiiai y Stage		
		Volume above		
Elevation	Volume	PP @ 90%	Area	
(m)	(m³)	(m³)	(m ²)	Demarcation
98.50	0.000		3246.88	Pond Bottom
98.55	163.757		3303.4	
98.60	330.412		3362.79	
98.65	500.056		3422.98	
98.70	672.727		3483.84	
98.75	848.449		3545.07	
98.80	1027.259		3607.31	
98.85	1209.194		3670.1	
98.90	1394.295		3733.93	
98.95	1582.588		3797.82	~
99.00	1774.104		3862.8	
99.05	1968.891		3928.66	
99.10	2166.989		3995.26	
99.15	2368.428		4062.31	
99.20	2573.239		4130.15	
99.25	2781.448		4198.21	
99.30	2993.088		4267.38	
99.35	3208.210		4337.5	
99.40	3426.851		4408.12	
99.45	3649.043		4479.56	
99.50	3874.868		4553.44	
99.55	4148.700		5529.72	
99.60	4427.975		5641.25	
99.65	4712.865		5754.37	
99.70	5003.442		5868.73	
99.75	5299.753		5983.68	
99.80	5601.864		6100.78	
99.85	5909.873		6219.57	
99.90	6223.838		6339.04	
99.95	6543.820		6460.25	
100.00	6869.903		6583.04	
100.05	7202.148		6706.77	
100.10	7540.620		6832.12	
100.15	7885.389		6958.63	
100.20	8236.510		7086.22	
100.25	8594.048		7215.32	
100.30	8958.096		7346.59	
100.35	9328.723		7478.51	
100.40	9705.984		7611.9	
100.45	10089.947		7746.64	

East SWM Pond Preliminary Stage Storage

		cililliar y Stage		
		Volume above		
Elevation	Volume	PP @ 90%	Area	
(m)	(m³)	(m³)	(m²)	Demarcation
100.50	10480.785	0.000	7886.88	Permanent Pool
100.55	10877.687	357.212	7989.2	
100.60	11279.831	719.141	8096.54	
100.65	11687.349	1085.908	8204.2	
100.70	12100.263	1457.530	8312.36	
100.75	12518.616	1834.048	8421.74	
100.80	12942.548	2215.587	8535.53	
100.85	13379.456	2608.804	8940.79	
100.90	13828.524	3012.965	9021.95	
100.95	14281.662	3420.789	9103.59	•
101.00	14738.893	3832.297	9185.63	
101.05	15200.229	4247.500	9267.8	
101.10	15665.680	4666.406	9350.25	
101.15	16135.281	5089.046	9433.8	
101.20	16609.069	5515.456	9517.7	
101.25	17087.054	5945.642	9601.71	
101.30	17569.243	6379.612	9685.86	
101.35	18055.656	6817.384	9770.65	
101.40	18546.334	7258.994	9856.48	
101.45	19041.288	7704.453	9941.69	
101.50	19540.526	8153.767	10027.81	
101.55	20044.078	8606.964	10114.28	
101.60	20551.961	9064.058	10201.04	
101.65	21064.211	9525.083	10288.95	
101.70	21580.846	9990.055	10376.46	
101.75	22101.868	10458.975	10464.4	
101.80	22627.306	10931.869	10553.14	
101.85	23157.199	11408.773	10642.6	
101.90	23691.564	11889.701	10731.99	
101.95	24230.410	12374.663	10821.84	
102.00	24773.763	12863.680	10912.32	
102.05	25321.656	13356.784	11003.39	
102.10	25874.112	13853.994	11094.85	
102.15	26431.146	14355.325	11186.5	
102.20	26992.764	14860.781	11278.23	
102.25	27558.982	15370.377	11370.49	
102.30	28129.837	15884.147	11463.72	
102.35	28705.361	16402.118	11557.23	
102.40	29285.568	16924.305	11651.05	
102.45	29870.467	17450.714	11744.91	
102.50	30460.077	17981.363	11839.51	

East SWM Pond Preliminary Stage Storage

		· · ·		
		Volume above		
Flouration	Volume	PP @ 90%	Area	
Elevation				
(m)	(m³)	(m³)	(m²)	Demarcation
102.55	31054.434	18516.284	11934.79	
102.60	31653.556	19055.494	12030.05	
102.65	32257.446	19598.995	12125.57	
102.70	32866.140	20146.820	12222.19	
102.75	33479.665	20698.992	12318.84	
102.80	34098.053	21255.541	12416.68	
102.85	34721.317	21816.479	12513.86	
102.90	35349.455	22381.803	12611.67	
102.95	35982.506	22951.549	12710.37	
103.00	36620.544	23525.783	12811.15	Top of Pond



Table C-1E: East Pond Criteria for Required Storage Volumes

Pond	Area ⁽¹⁾ (ha)	Imperviousness (%)	Storage Volume for Impervious Level ⁽²⁾ (m³/ha)
N/A	N/A	70	225
East SWM Pond	25.81	71	227
N/A	N/A	85	250

⁽¹⁾ Refer to Appendix C for drainage areas to the SWM facility.

Table C-2E: Required Storage Volumes for West SWM Facility

Pond Component	Required Volume (m³)	Allowable Release Rate (m³/s)
Permanent Pool (PP) (1)	4,826	-
Quality Control ⁽²⁾	1,032	0.012
Forebay (20% PP)	965	-
PP - Forebay	3,861	-

⁽¹⁾ Required PP volume based on Table C-1E (227 - 40).

⁽²⁾ Protection Level for Wet Pond: Enhanced 80% long-term S.S. removal. SWM Planning & Design Manual, Table 3.2, p.3-10 (March 2003).

⁽²⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m3/ha

⁽³⁾ Quality control release rate based on 48 hour drawdown time

Table C-3E: Summary of East SWM - Pond Operating Characteristics

Table 5 52. Summary of East Sym 1 one Sperating Sharaster Steet						
Pond Components	Pre Dev Flows ¹ (m³/s)	Pond Release Rate (m³/s)	Pond Volume (m³)	Preliminary Pond Water Level (m)		
Permanent Pool ²	-	-	4,826	100.50		
Quality Control ³	-	0.012	1,032	100.65		
25mm 3-hr Chicago	0.079	0.079	3,586	101.00		
2-year 3-hr Chicago	0.137	0.137	4,710	101.15		
5-year 3-hr Chicago	0.252	0.252	6,460	101.35		
10-year 3-hr Chicago	0.342	0.342	7,672	101.45		
25-year 3-hr Chicago	0.468	0.468	9,205	101.65		
50-year 3-hr Chicago	0.572	0.572	10,360	101.75		
100-year 3-hr Chicago	0.688	0.688	11,570	101.90		
2-year 24-hr SCS	0.218	0.218	5,564	101.25		
5-year 24-hr SCS	0.375	0.335	7,576	101.45		
10-year 24-hr SCS	0.493	0.441	8,882	101.60		
25-year 24-hr SCS	0.651	0.585	10,490	101.80		
50-year 24-hr SCS	0.781	0.712	11,700	101.90		
100-year 24-hr SCS	0.926	0.926	12,850	102.00		

⁽¹⁾ Target flows based on peak pre development flows

⁽³⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m³/ha released over 48 hours



⁽²⁾ Required permanent pool volume based on MOE SWMPD Manual Table 3.2, enhanced 80% TSS Removal for wet pond.

Table C-4E: Summary of East SWM - Pond Operating Characteristics (Sensitivity Test)

Table 5-42. Cultimary of East Sym 1 one Sportaling Shartestonistics (Scholitzing Fest)						
David Campananta	Halved Pre Dev		Pond Volume (m³)	Preliminary Pond		
Pond Components	Flows ¹	Rate		Water Level		
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(/	(m)		
Permanent Pool ²	-	-	4,826	100.50		
Quality Control ³	-	0.012	1,032	100.65		
25mm 3-hr Chicago	0.040	0.040	3,851	101.05		
2-year 3-hr Chicago	0.069	0.069	5,146	101.20		
5-year 3-hr Chicago	0.126	0.126	7,133	101.40		
10-year 3-hr Chicago	0.171	0.171	8,459	101.55		
25-year 3-hr Chicago	0.234	0.234	10,120	101.75		
50-year 3-hr Chicago	0.286	0.286	11,380	101.85		
100-year 3-hr Chicago	0.344	0.344	12,720	102.00		
2-year 24-hr SCS	0.109	0.109	6,171	101.30		
5-year 24-hr SCS	0.188	0.170	8,419	101.55		
10-year 24-hr SCS	0.247	0.225	9,877	101.70		
25-year 24-hr SCS	0.326	0.300	11,700	101.90		
50-year 24-hr SCS	0.391	0.368	13,070	102.05		
100-year 24-hr SCS	0.463	0.463	14,460	102.20		

⁽¹⁾ Target flows based on halved peak pre development flows

⁽³⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m³/ha released over 48 hours



⁽²⁾ Required permanent pool volume based on MOE SWMPD Manual Table 3.2, enhanced 80% TSS Removal for wet pond.

```
ICASEdef=[1], read and print values
DEFVAL_FILENAME=["Ottawa.val"]
                                                                    ** Note: Development

** Note: To-volopment

** Foot-development

** Charles ** Foot-development

** Hord-development

** Hord-develo
0.96 | 1.931 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00212 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00212 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00214 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00215 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00216 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00217 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00218 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00219 | 1.911 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00229 | 1.921 | (max Yearty pts) |
0.00229 | 1.92
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               : drainings area to East SMM Food

NYMICT[$ FOOD[$1, DT=[1] min], AREa=[25.81] (ba), XIME=[0.66], TIME=[0.71], DMF=[0.1] (cms),
LOSS=[1] Norton Equ: Fo=[16.2] (smhrs), Fo=[13.2] (smhrs), CAX=[4.14] (hrs), F=[0.00] cms),
Forvious areas: IApa=[4.67] (smi, SLEP=[2.01]), LOF=[401], NMF=[0.23], CSC=[0] cmin],
Impervious areas: IAma=[1.57] (smi), SLEP=[0.51] (si), LOE=[415] (m), NMT=[0.21], SCE=[0] (smin),
RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[, -1] (smin), RAINFALLE[
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              cms) - (ha-m) [ ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 0 ] ( ), 
   ** STORMS

** 25 mm Storm based on 2-Year, 3-Hour Chicago Storm

** 25 mm Storm based on 2-Year, 3-Hour Chicago Storm

** TERSO(0,) METOUT=[0], NOTOUM=[1], NORDHN=[001]

** ["250MClM.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NOTOWN time
                                                                                                                                                                                                                            Chicago Storm
TIERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[002]
["002YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM bi
                                                             *$ -1-mark | house Storm transcript | National Start trans
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Chicago Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010]
["010YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                             *\ 25-Year, 3-Bour Chicago Storm
*\ 25-Year, 3-Bour Chicago Storm
TERRoi(0,0), METOUT=(2), NETOUN=(1), NEBME=(022)

TERROi(0,0), METOUT=(2), NETOUN=(1), NEBME=(022)

["025YCH.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NEBGRM time
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Chicago Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[050]
["050YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM to
                                                          SCS Storm
TZERO=[0,0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[105]
["SC24005x.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for B
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            SCS Storm
PERRO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[125]
MSC24025x.stm*] <--storm filename, one per line for
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[150]
m"] <--storm filename, one per line for
                                                             *1 100-Year, 24-Mour SOS Storm
*1 100-Year, 24-Mour SOS Storm
TIRRO(0.0), METUOT=(2), MSTORM=(1), MNON=[199]
START
[*SC2410M, star*] <-- core filename, one per line for MSTORM
--- coresum model)
                                                          "SCALING ARE | Congrows as Carp River Primer and Carp River Primer model and Carp River Ri
                                                                * 10-Year, 12-Hous SCS Storm (per city of Ottawa Carp River START TZERC=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NETORM=[1], ("SC12010c.stm") <--storm filename, one
                                                                "("SCI200.cs.tm") (--stoom filename, one per line for NSTORM time
125-Year, 12-Rour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSRON model)
15TAK* ("SCI200.cs.tm") (--stoom filename, one per line for NSTORM time
16TAK* ("SCI200.cs.tm") (--stoom filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                             ** 50-Year, 12-Bour SCS Storm [per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)
**START | 12-Bour SCS Storm [per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**START | 17-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**100-Year, 12-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**TOAT | 17-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**TOAT | 17-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)
                                                                | 1 Statement | 
                                                             "% August 4th, 1988 Storm - Ottawa International Airport
"START TERG=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[988]
"19898004.stm"] (--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
```

	The state of the s
00001>	00181> FileTitle= File comment: [Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00182> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM 00183> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:
00004> SSSSS W W M M H H T Y M M OOO 22 00 11 5555 00005> SSSS W W M M M H H H Y Y M M M O O 2 0 0 11 5 Ver 5.500	00183> Horton's infiltration equation parameters are used in int inside statement come 00183> Horton's infiltration equation parameters 4:14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00185> Farameters for FERVIOUS surfaces in STANNIYO:
00005> SSSS WW M M H H Y M M O O 222 0 0 11 55 FEB 2015 00007> SSSSS WW M M H H Y M M OOO 2 0 0 11 5 =========	00186> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [IGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] 00187> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
00008> 0001 5 # 2549237 00009> StormWater Management HYdrologic Model 222 000 11 55 # 2549237	00186> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LEF=40.00 m] [DNF= 250] 00187> Farameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDNY: 00188> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [DNT= .013] 00188> Farameters used in NASHYO:
00010> 00011>	
	001915
00014> ******** hased on the principles of HYMO and its successors **********************************	00194> Average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration in (mm) 00195> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
00015> OTTHYMO-83 and OTTHYMO-89. 000165 000177 Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc.	
000135 Distributed Dy J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 00185 Care Company Com	00198> # Fost Development Conditions 00199> ***********************************
00020> *********** E-Mail: swmhymo@jfsa.Com ************************************	00200> # Most Dovelopment
00022> 00023> +	002023 *********************************
00024> +++++++++++++ Licensed user: JFSAinc. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	00204> CALIB STANDHYD 1.0 01:W Pond 36.55 3.459 No_date 1:05 21.79 .684 .000 00205> [XIMP=.66:TIMP=.71]
000265 ************************************	002055 (RIME-06:TIME-7:1) per 76.03/re 13.03/RAT-4.14: p00) 002065 (Burton parameter size for fa.03/re 13.03/RAT-4.14: p00) 002065 (Burton parameter size face 4.03/REP2.03/RAT-4.14: p00) 002085 (Impervious areas: IABPR-1.5/REP2.03/RAT-4.01/RAT-03
00028>	00208> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494::MNI=.013:SCI= .0] 00209> ************************************
000305 Maximum value for ID numbers: 11 000315 Max. number of rainfall points: 105408	00210> # West Fond Routing
00032> ************************************	00213 M003100005
00034> 00035>	00214> out <= 1.0 01:W_Pond-Out 36.55 .138 No_date 3:06 21.79 n/a .000 00215> overflow <= 1.0 03:W_Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000
00036> ************************************	00216> (MxStoUsed=.7024E+00 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
00038> * RUN DATE: 2023-11-28 TIME: 13:41:04 RUN COUNTER: 009488 *	002187 # 10tal post-development flows from west development
00405 • Input file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Siring\STIT-Post_v06.dat 004015 • Output file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Siring\STIT-Post_v06.dut 0040425 • Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Siring\STIT-Post_v06.dut • 0040425 • Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Siring\STIT-Post_v06.dum • 0040425 • Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Siring\STIT-Post_v06.dut	002200 80002:000006-
	00222> + 1.0 02:W_Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 00223> SUM= 1.0 01:West 36.56 .138 No_date 2:06 21.79 n/a .000
00045> * 2: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	00225> # East Development
00046> * 3:	00226> # Post-development drainage area to East SWM Pond
00048> 00049>	002279 : Fost-development drainage area to East SMM, Fond 002279 : Fost-development drainage area to East SMM, Fond 002289 80002:000007
00050> # 00051> # SMMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 00052> # 00052 # 00052	002200 (KIMP-,66:TIMP71) 002210 [Borton parameter: For 76.20; fee 11.20; FCX+4.14: F=.00] 002310 [Fortions area: Japan-16:51:Elepa 00.LGP do.LGP_00.205.ECP 0] 002320 [Fortions area: Japan-16:51:Elepa 00.LGP do.LGP_00.205.ECP 0] 002340 [Fortions area: Japan-16:51:Elepa 00.LGP do.LGP 0.205.ECP 0]
00053> # Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]	UUZSZ> [Pervious area: IAper= 4.67:SLPF=2.00:LGP= 40::MNF=.250:SCP= .0] 00233> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 415::MNI=.013:SCI= .0]
	00235> # East Pond Routing
000555 # Engress numeric : [2023/11/28] 00055 # Bodoller : [FE] 00057 # Company : J.F. sabourin and Associates 00057 # Company : J.F. sabourin and Associates	00236> ### 00237> R0002:C00008
UUU38> # License # : 2549237 00059> #************************************	002379 N0002:000009-
00060> RUN#:COMMAND#	00240> overflow <= 1.0/03:E_Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 00241> (MxStoUsed=.4710E+00 m3, TotOvfvol=.0000E+00 m3, N+Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
00062> START 00063> [TERO = .00 hrs on 0]	00243> # Total post-development flows from East development
00064> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)] 00065> [NETOUM= 1]	00245> R0002:C00009
000675 R0001:c00002	00246> ADD HYD
00068> READ STORM	00248> SOM= 1.0 01:East 25.81 .137 No_date 2:44 21.79 n/a .000 00249>
00685 PIAS STORM 00685 PIAS STORM 001 00695 PIAS STORM 001 00715 PIAS STORM 001 00715 PIAS STORM 001 00715 [STV-10.00.0015-001] 00715 [STV-10.00.0015-001]	00250> # STORMS 00251> *** END OF RUN : 4
	00252> ** END OF NON: 4 00253> 00254> ************************************
000755 TCASEdy = 1 (read and print data)	00255>
000755 FileTitle File comment: [Framework parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 000757 THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDRYD COM 000758 HOrton's infiltration equation parameters:	00256> 00257>
00079> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]	00258> 00250> RUN#:CCMMAND#
00080> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD: 00081> [IApe== 4.67 mm] [IAFP=40.00 m] [MNFP250] 00082> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD:	00261> R0095:C00001- 00262> SDART
00815 [1Aper 4.67 mm] [LDF=4.0.0 m] [DNF= 1.250] 00825 Parameters for INEWFOUNDS unifaces in STANDANTO: 00835 [1Aimp 1.97 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 00835 [Aimp 1.07 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [MNI= .013]	00263> [TZERO = 0.0 hrs on 0]
00085> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00]	00265> (NSTORM= 1.1)
00087> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00088> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00266> (NRUN = 0005] 00267> # GRANDYNO MAYES 500/Esh 2015 / IMBUT DAYA ETTE
00885 - 3AM FEM MAN AFR MAY 3TM JUL AGG EEP OCT MOV DEC 00885 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	00268> # SW6HYMO Vers5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 00269 # Project Name / (Fajwan Stiftsville Mint properties)
00.91> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00270> # Project Name (Catvan Stittsville West properties) 00271> # Project Number: (2267) 00272> # Date : (2003/11/28)
00935 # Post Development Conditions 00950 ##################################	00273> # Modeller : [FF] 00273> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00275> # Licose # : 2549237 0275> * Licose # : 2549237
00939 * Post Development Conditions 00959 * Mest Development 00959 * Mest Development 00959 * Post-development drainage area to West SMM Fond 00959 * Post-development drainage area to West SMM Fond 00959 * DOSI-000044 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	UC2719 * Modellar : [FF] 000749 * Company # 7 Sabourin and Associates 000769 * Company # 7 Sabourin and Associates 000769 # 00008**COMMON # 7 Sabourin and Associates
00935 * Fost Development Conditions 00955 * Mest Development distinguishment 00955 * Mest Development distinguishment 00955 * Rose Tovelopment	002739 * Modeller : [FF] 002749 * Company # 2 Fashourin and Associates 002769 * Company # 2 Fashourin and Associates 00276 #* 002778 800005000002-
00935 * Fost Development Conditions 00955 * Mest Development distinguishment 00955 * Mest Development distinguishment 00955 * Rose Tovelopment	100713-4 Modeller [FF] Sabourin and Associates 100713-4 Modeller 100713-4
00935 Fost Development Conditions 00955 Most Development Conditions 00055 Most Development Condi	100273 Modelier 189 Sabourin and Pasociates
00935 Fost Development Conditions 00995 Fost Servelopment draining area to Nest 388 Fond 00995 Boot Servelopment draining area to Nest 388 Fond 00995 Fost Se	100273 Modeller FF Saborin and Associates
00935 Fost Development Conditions 00995 Fost Servelopment draining area to Nest 388 Fond 00995 Boot Servelopment draining area to Nest 388 Fond 00995 Fost Se	100213 1002124 10021
00935 Fost Development Conditions 00995 Fost Servelopment draining area to Nest 388 Fond 00995 Boot Servelopment draining area to Nest 388 Fond 00995 Fost Se	100273 * Modelier 1 [89] 20273 * Licepse # 2-244227 00276 Pt. Clepse # 2-244227 00279 Pt. Clepse # 2-24227 00279 Pt. Clepse # 2-2
00935 Foot Development Conditions 00955 Foot Tevelopment drainage area to West 1884 Pool Development Conditions 00957 Foot Tevelopment drainage area to West 1884 Pool Development Conditions 00957 Foot Tevelopment drainage area to West 1884 Pool Development Conditions 00958 Foot Tevelopment drainage area to West 1884 Pool Development Conditions 00959 Calls STANRHOT 1.0 011 W Food 56.5 2.502 No date 1.06 46.15.646 0.06 00959 Calls STANRHOT 1.0 0.01 W Food 0.5 2.502 No date 1.06 46.15.646 0.06 00959 Calls STANRHOT 1.0 0.01 W Food 0.5 2.502 No date 1.06 46.15.646 0.06 00050 INSTANRHOT 1.06 1.07 W Food 0.06 1.07 W Food 0.06 001050 Instant Conditions 1.06 1.07 W Food 0.06 001050 Instant Conditions 0.06 1.07 W Food 0.06 001050 Instant Conditions 0.06 0.06 001050 Instant Conditions 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050 0.06 0.06 001050	100273 * Modelier 1 [89] 20273 * Licepse # 2-244227 00276 Pt. Clepse # 2-244227 00279 Pt. Clepse # 2-24227 00279 Pt. Clepse # 2-2
Post Development Conditions Post	002319 002416 0
Post Development Conditions Post	1
Post Development Conditions Post	1
	1
Post Development Conditions Post Development Conditions Post Development drainings area to Nest DBM Fond	100273 Modelier 189 Sabouria and Pasociates
Post Development Conditions Post Development Post Development Conditions Post Developmen	1002319 100261.is 1989 1980
Post Development Conditions Post Development Post Development Conditions Post Developmen	1002319 100261.is 1989 1980
Post Development Conditions Post	1002319 100261.is 1989 1980
Post Development draining area to West DMN Fond Post DMN Fond Fond Post DMN Fond Fond Post DMN Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond	1
Post Development draining area to West DMN Fond Post DMN Fond Fond Post DMN Fond Fond Post DMN Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond Fond	1
Post Development draining area to West DMN Fond	1
Post Development draining area to West 100 Post	1985 1985
Post Development Conditions Post Development Conditions Post Development draining area to Nest DBM Food	1
Post Development drainage area to Nest 100 Post	1985 1985
Post Development drainage area to Nest 100 Post	1
Post Development Conditions Post	1
Post Development Conditions Post	1
Post_Development_Conditions	1
Post_Development_Conditions	1
Post Development Conditions Post	1
Post Development Conditions Post Development Conditions Post Development declarage area to West DBM Pond	Control Cont
Post_Development Conditions Post_Development distingue area to Nest IMP Post_Development distingue area to	Control Cont
Post_Development Conditions Post_Development distingue area to Nest IMP Post_Development distingue area to	Montangle 1
Post_Development Conditions Post_Development data flange area to Nest IMP Post_Development data flange area data fla	Control Cont
Post_Development_Conditions	Control Cont
Post Development drainage area to West 1889 Pond Post 1987 Pond Post	Control Cont
Prost Development Conditions Prost Development Intelligent	Control Cont
Prost Development Conditions	Control Cont
Place Development Conditions Place Development Conditions Place Development definings area to Nest 100 Pool	1
Post Development drainage area to West 1889 Ponds Post 1987 Ponds Post 1987 Post 1987 Post 1987 Post 1987 Post 1987	Month 1987
Post Development Conditions Post Development distingue area to Nest IMM Food Post Development Post Development distingue area to Nest IMM Food Post D	1
Post_Development drainage area to West IDM Food	Control Cont
Place Development Conditions Place Development distings area to West 100 Food	March Marc
Place Development Conditions	Control Cont

00361> 00362>	00541 ####################################
00365> 00365> RUN#:COMMAND#	
00365 9700 20001 - 00001 - 000 hrs on 0) 00450 9700 - 000 hrs on 0) 00460 9700 - 000 hrs on 0) 00469 9700 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 00000 - 000000	00547> [Pervious area: IAper= 4.67:SLPP=2.00:LGP= 40.:NNP=.250:SCP= .0] 00548> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 415.:NNI=.013:SCI= .0]
	00550> # East Pond Routing 00551> # East Pond Routing
00373> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE	005509 Bast Food Rooting
00376> # Project Number: [2267]	00555> overflow <= 1.0 03:E Pond-Ovf .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 00556> (Mox50Used=9205E+00 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
00375> # Modeller : [FP] 00375> # Tompany : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00375> # License : 124:927 00375> # License : 124:927	00559> ###################################
	00560> R0023:000009
083845	00565- ***********************************
00387> R0010:C00003	00567> ** END OF RUN: 49 00568> 00569>
DEPARTY WALTEN YEARS	00570> 00571> 00572>
00393> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00394> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fo=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00395> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD:	00573> 00574> 00575> RUN#:COMMAND#
00396> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] 00397> Parameters for IMPERNIOUS surfaces in STRADHYD:	00576> R0050:C00001
00399> Parameters used in NASHYD:	00579> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
0.04000> [Iss 4.67 mm] [Iss 3.000] variation data in [ms] 0.04010	005829 [MRXIV=0050] (MRXIV=0050] 005839 # SMGHIMO Ver:5.300/Feb.2015 / INFUT DATA FILE
00405> JAN FEE MA AFR MAY JUN JUL ADG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00406> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00385> # Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties] 00386> # Project Number: [225] 00386> # Project Number: [207]
00405>	00885 Froject Name : [Caivan Stitusvile West properties]
00412> ####################################	005959 * ELECTRIC * : 2392237 005919 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	00594> Filename = storm.001 00595> Comment = CHICAGO STORM 50 Year, 3 Hours
004150 [Norton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAF=4.14: F= .00] 004170 [Fervious area: Laper= 4.67:SEFP=2.00:LGR= 40.1MR=250:SCP= .0] 004187 [Impervious area: LAimp= 1.75:SEFP= .50:LGR= 440:LMR=1.013:SCI= .0]	005954> [SUT=10.00:SDUR= 3.00:PTOT= 64.81] 00597> R0050:C00003 00598> DEFAULT VALUES
00419) * ###################################	005990 DEFOLIT VALUES 005990 THE PART OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
0042D> West-Fond Routing 0042D> 80010-000005	00602> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM 00603> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00604> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr], [Fo=13.20 mm/hr], [DCAY= 4.14 /hr], [F= .00 mm]
004275	00605> Parameters for PERVACUS surfaces in STANDRYD: 00606> [laper= 4.67 mm] [DGP=40.00 m] [MNP= 2.30] 00607> Parameters for INFERVACUS surfaces in STANDRYD:
00428> # Total post-development flows from West development	00608> [IAimp= 1.7] mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 00609> Parameters used in NASHID: 00610> [Ia= 4.67 mm] NN= 3.00]
04430> 801010:000066	006130
00434> ***********************************	00614> Average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration in (mm) 00615> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00616> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
06435> # East Development ####################################	00617> ####################################
06439 AD019:C0007	0062D # West Towelopment 1.0 0187 # One 0 30.637 9.528 ho date 104 51.0792 .000
00444>	00623> R0050:C00004 DTmin-ID:NHYD AREANa-QPEAKCns-TpeakDate hh:nmRVmm-R.CDWFcms 00624> CALIB STANDHYD 1.0 01:W Pond 36,55 9.525 No date 1:04 51.30 .792 .000 00625> (XIMP66:TIMP=.71)
004465 # DOUGLOCOUOD8	006255 (MUM-66:THE PARMEN 1:0 01:8 Food 94.63 - 9.03 Modate 1:04 31.0 .792 .000 006255 (MUM-66:THE PARMEN 7:0 7:00 006255 (MUM-66:THE PARMEN 7:0 7:00 006255 (MUM-66:THE PARMEN 7:00 00625 (MUM-66:THE PARMEN 7:00 006
004489 ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 021E Pond 25.81 4.783 No. date 1.04 37.25 n/a .000 004489 cut = 1.0 011E Pond-out 25.81 .342 No. date 2.06 37.25 n/a .000 004500 cverflow <= 1.0 031E Pond-out 5.81 .342 No. date 2.06 37.25 n/a .000 004500 cverflow <= 1.0 031E Pond-out 0.00 .000 No. date 0.00 07.00 n/a .000 004500 cverflow <= 1.0 031E Pond-out 0.00 .000 No. date 0.00 0.00 n/a .000 004500 cverflow <= 1.0 031E Pond-out 0.000 No. date 0.000 No.	00620> # West Fond Routing
000322	00(2)> # MacF End Root 169 00(2) 00(2) # MacF E
06455> 80109:C00099-	00635>
00459> + croping	00639> # Total post-development flows from West development 00639> 00639> 00640> B0880-00006
004615 ** END OF RUN : 24 004625 ** END OF RUN : 24	00689 # Total post-development flows from Wort development 00649 # 80050c00006 - DTM:-ID:NNTO-DEVELOPMENT - TPeakCate his:::
00464> 00465>	UUb44> ###################################
00466> 00467> 00468>	00445) * Brace Down.lopmont 00445) * Flore-development designs area to East 50M Found 004470 * Flore-development designs area to East 50M Found 004470 * Flore-development designs area to East 50M Found 004590 * CALIS STAMBUTO* 1.0 01.8 Found 005490 * CALIS STAMBUTO* 1.0 01.8 Found 005500 * (EAST-RESTRIPS-71] 005510 * (EAST-RESTRIPS-71) 005510 * (FRENTISS) * CALIS STAMBUTO* 1.0 01.8 Found 005510 * (FRENTISS) * CALIS STAMBUTO* 1.0 01.8 Found 005510 * (FRENTISS) * CALIS STAMBUTO* 1.0 01.6 Found 005510 * (FR
004715 RUN\$:COMEAND\$ 004715 R0025:COM001	006505 (XINF-,66:TIMP-,71] 006515 (Horton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00) 006515 (Horton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00)
00473> [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] 00474> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	000347
00476> [NBUN = 0025]	Models Flast Food Rooting
004789 # SMORYMOV DETS. 500/Feb 2015 / INNUT DATA FILE 004789 # Project Name : [Caiyan Stittsville West properties]	00659
00481> # Perject Number: [2267] 00482> # Date : [2023/11/28] 00482> # Modeller : [FP]	00663) # Total post-development flows from East development
06485 ± Company : I.F. Tabbourin and Associates 00485 ± License ± : 2549237 00485 → License ± : 2549237 00485 → Anod3:c00002	06659 M0050:000009-
00489 READ STORM 004899 Filename = storm.001	00000
00489	00672 - END OF RUN : 98
00494> Filename = C:\Temp\P2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val 00495> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)	00673> 00673> 00673>
004069 Fjaffithe File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 004909	00673> 00678> 00678>
00501> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250]	00680> RUN#:COMMAND# 00681> R009:C0001
00503> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 00504> Parameters used in NASHYDA 00505. [Tw- 4.62 mm] (N= 2.001)	00683> [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] 00684> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
005065 Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) 005075 JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AND SEP OCT MOV DEC	00686> [NRUN = 0099]
005015 Parameter#[Go: IMEREVACUS surfaces in SIMMENTO: 005015 Data	006895 \$ 38601W0 Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 006895 \$ Froject Name : (Caivan Stitsville West properties) 00690 \$ Project Name : (Caivan Stitsville West properties) 00690 \$ Project Number: (2547)
005125 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00601) # Project Humber: [2207] 00601) # Droject Humber: [2207] 00602) # Robalize : [PF] Saborian and Associates 00603) # Robalize : [PF] Saborian and Associates 00603) # Robalize : [PS] Saborian and Associates
	00695 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00695 # License # : 2549277 00695 # :
005155 P Next-Development defailings area to Next 2008 point 005157 P Next-Development defailings area to Next 2008 point 005157 P Next-Development defailings area to Next 2008 point 005157 P Next-Development defailings area to Next-Development defailings are to Next-Development defailings area to Next-Development defailings are to Next-Development defailings area to Next-Development defailings are t	00699> Filename = storm.001 00700> Comment = CHICAGO STORM 100 Year, 3 Hours
005219 (Ante-00:1nr-11) (Motton parameters: For = 76.20:For = 13.20:DCAT#4.40: F=.00] (MOST2) (Fortions area: 1Apper = 4.67:SEPF2.00:1CR= 40:MRR-250:SCP= .0] (MOST2) (Impervious area: 1Aiper = 1.75:SEPF= =5.01:Imper-44:MRR-250:SCP= .0] (MOST2) (Impervious area: 1Aiper = 1.75:SEPF= =5.01:Imper-44:MRR-250:SCP= .0]	00701> (SDT=10.00:SDUR= 3.00:PTOT= 71.66) 00702> R0099:00003
00524> ************************************	00704> Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val
05525> # Mexit Food Routing 05525> # Mexit Food Routing 05525- # Mexit Food Routing 05525- # Moxit Food Routing 05	00706> FileTitle File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00707> 00707> 00708> Retroit in Infiltration equation parameters; 00708> Retroit in Infiltration equation parameters; 00708> Retroit in Infiltration equation parameters; 00700> File The Retroit in Infiltration equation parameters; 00700> File The Retroit in Infiltration equation parameters for Parameters for Retroiton surfaces in EntAdMRTO;
003299 out <= 1.0 01:W Fond-Out 36.55 .477 No.date 2:15 45.23 n/a .000 005300 overflow <= 1.0 03:W Fond-Out 0.0 .000 No.date 0:10 0.0 n/a .000 00531) (MosEcoUsed=.1367E+01 m3, TotOutYol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Out= 0, TotDutOut= 0.hrs)	00709> [Fow 76_20 mm/hr] [Fow=12_20 mm/hr] [CoLAV# 4.14 /hr] [Fe .00 mm] 007100- Farameters for FERNIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD; 007110- [IApper 4.67 mm] [LiDe=40_00 m] [MNFe .250] 007120- Farameters for LIDEFERNIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD;
003337 # TOCAT post-development flows from west development	00712> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHUTD: 00713> [Inlamp = 1.57 mm] [CLI = 1.50] [MNI = .013] 00714> Parameters used in NASHYD: 00715> [Iam = 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00]
05335 20123-01010[4	00715> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [H= 3.00] 00716> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 00717> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00718> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00338) ###################################	00718> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0

00721:	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00901> 00902>	# Project Number: [2267] # Date : [2023/11/28]
00724	\$ Post Development Conditions	00903> 00904> 00905>	# Modeller : [PF] # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates # License # : 2549237
00726 00727 00728 00729	# Fort-development durainage areas to Neet. 5808 Food # Fort-development durainage areas to Neet. 5808 Food # Fort-development durainage areas to Neet. 5808 Food # Fort-development durainage areas # Fort-development durainage	00907>	#0105:C00002- READ STORM Filename = storm 001
00731	Horton parameters: Fo= 78.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00] [Pervious area: IApper= 4.67:SLFP=2.00:LGP= 40.:MMP=_250:SCP= .0]	00910> 00911> 00912>	READ STONM filenames accurately filenames accurately filenames accurately filenames accurately filenames f
00733	Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494.UMNI= .013:SCI= .0	00913>	Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\Ottawa.val
	######################################	00916> 00917> 00918> 00919>	FileTitle File comment: [Farameters for City of Octawa Projects] NOTION: THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS AND USED IN THE DESIGN FARAMOUT COM NOTION: S. Intilization equation parameters: PORT OF S. Intilization equation parameters and the second parameters of the second param
00739 00740 00741 00742		00920> 00921> 00922>	[IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
00743 00744 00745	# Total post-development flows from West development ####################################	00923> 00924> 00925>	[IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] Parameters used in NASHYD:
00746	Total post-development Sizes from Nact development	00926> 00927> 00928> 00929>	Annuage northly Fens Proporation data in (mm) JAN FEB MANA ARR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
00750: 00751: 00752:	Flact Development drainage are to East SMP Pood	00930> 00931> 00932>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
00753: 00754: 00755:	# Fost-development drainage area to East SMN Fond # Fost-development # F	00933>	# Post Development Conditions
00756: 00757: 00758: 00759:	Monton parameters: For 76.20:For 13.20:ECXN=4.16: Fs .00 Fervious area: Laper-4.67:ESLP=2.00:LET= 40::MRT=.200:SCF= .0 Impervious area: Later-4.67:ESLP=2.00:LET= 40::MRT=.200:SCF= .0 Impervious area: Later-1.57:ESLP=1.57:ESLP=1.50:LET= 40::MRT=.200:SCF= .0 Laper-4.58:ESLP=1.57:ESLP	00936> 00937> 00938> 00939>	Fost-devolution
00760: 00761: 00762:	# East Pond Routing ************************************	00941> 00942>	[Horton parameters: Fo= 76.20:FC= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00] [Pervious area: IAper= 4.67:SLPP=2.00:LGP= 40::MNP=,250:SCP= .0]
00764:	# East Food Routing ### ROUSE FOOD ROUTING ### ROUTING	00945>	# West Pond Routing
00767:	# Total post-development flows from East development	00947> 00948> 00949>	ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:W Fond 36.55 4.705 No date 12:04 46:90 n/a .000 out <= 1.0 01:W Fond-out <= 6.55 .344 No date 12:04 46:90 n/a .000
00770: 00771: 00772:	Total post-development	00950> 00951> 00952>	overflow <= 1.0 03:W Fond-Ovf .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 (MxStoUsed=.1134E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
00774	* ************************************	00954> 00955> 00956>	# Total post-development flows from West development #0105:C00006
00778		00957> 00958> 00959>	REIDS::000066
00780: 00781: 00782: 00783:			
00784	RINÉ-COMMANDÉ	00964> 00965> 00965>	Foot-development datalangs
00787: 00788: 00789: 00790:	R0102:00001	00967> 00968> 00969>	CALLS SYMMETS 1.0 015 Febru 25.54 = 3.409 No Cate 12:03 49.90.032 0.000 [IXINE-XITHE.71] [Bioton parameters Re-76.01Fc=13.001044-1.41; F=00] [Februous area: [Abher=4.61:SEFF=2.06107e-40:NNF-250:SCF=0] [Impervious area: [Abher=1.57:SEFF=5.06107e-45:NNT-0.01:SCI=0]
	NISTORME 1	00970> 00971> 00972>	# East Fond Routing ####################################
00794: 00795: 00796: 00797:	MORNET = 0.022	00974> 00975> 00976>	BOUTE RESERVOIR - 1.% 02:E Fond 25.81 3.469 No date 12:03 46.90 n/a .0.00 cut: 4 1.0 UNE Fend-dut 25.81 3.33 No date 12:13 46.90 n/a .0.00 cut: 4 1.0 UNE Fend-dut 25.81 3.33 No date 12:13 46.90 n/a .0.00 (sestodsed: 75768+00 al, 7cotyrois: 0008+00 al, N-over 0, 7cotyrois: 0.000 (sestodsed: 75768+00 al, 7cotyrois: 0008+00 al, N-over 0, 7cotyrois: 0.000
00798	* Modeller : [PP] * Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates	00978>	# Total post-development flows from East development
00801: 00802: 00803:	R0102:c00002	00981> 00982> 00983>	### R0105:C00009
00804: 00805: 00806:	Reduction = storm.001 Comment = years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa [SDT=10.00:sDURR= 24.00:FTOT= 48.46] R0102:c00003	009847	
008083	Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val	00990>	
00811 00812 00813 00814	FileTitle= File comment: [Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects] THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM Horton's infiltration equation parameters:	00991> 00992> 00993> 00994>	
00815 00816 00817	[IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] Parameters for IMPERVIOUS SUFfaces in STANDHYD:	00995> 00996> 00997>	RUN#:CQMMAND#
00818: 00819: 00820:	TAIMPE 1.57 mm] (CLT= 1.50) [MNI= .013] Parameters used in NASHYD: (7	00998>	[TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
00821: 00822: 00823: 00824:	· .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	01003>	SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE
	JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00		
008293	A Month Parallelement		Fetopec Name: (CAIVAN activation was properties) # Respect Name: (2209) # Respect Name: (2209) # Respect Name: (2209) # Modellar 1972/11/28) # Modellar 27.F. Saborin and Associates # License # : 2549237
00832 00833 00834	F Post-development drainage area to Nest SMM Fond #FORT-development drainage area to N		
00835: 00836: 00837: 00838:	[Horton parameters: Fo= 76.20:FC= 13.20:DCMY=4.14: F= .00] [Fervious area: IApe=4.67:SLP=2.00:LGP= 40:MMP=.250:SCP= .0]	01015> 01016> 01017> 01018>	R01010:C00002 Filefame = storm.001 Comment = 10 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa Comment = 10 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa Comment = 10 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa Comment = 10 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa DEFAULT VALUES
00839	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	01019> 01020> 01021>	Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)
00842: 00843: 00844:	* West Food Society	01022> 01023> 01024> 01025>	Horton's infiltration equation parameters: [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]
00845 00846 00847	Overfice = 1.0 usa mona-over	01025> 01026> 01027> 01028>	[IAper 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
00849 00850 00851	Total post-development	01029> 01030> 01031>	
00852: 00853: 00854:	+ 1.0 02N Fond-Orf .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 SUM= 1.0 01Nest 36.55 .218 No date 1822 34.35 n/a .000 # East Devalopment	01032> 01033> 01034> 01035>	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
00856: 00857: 00858:	# Post-development drainage area to East SNN Pond	01036> 01037> 01038>	# Post Develonment Conditions
		01040>	# West Development
00863: 00864: 00865	Indicate Park Par	01042> 01043> 01044> 01045>	THE STATE THE
00866 00867 00868	R0102:C00008	01047>	[Pervious area: IAper= 4.67:SLPP=2.00:LGP= 40.:MNP=.250:SCP= .0] [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]
00869	out <= 1.0 01EE Fond-Dut 25.81 .218 No date 13:03 34.35 n/a .000 - overflow <= 1.0 03EE Fond-Dut .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 - (Mostcoback-35648-00 m3, Toxyburbol-000E+00 m3, N-out- 0, Toxyburbort= 0.hrs)	01050>	# West Fond Souting # R010:000005
00873: 00874: 00875:	# Total post-development flows from East development ####################################	01053> 01054> 01055>	ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:W Pond 36.55 5.757 No date 12:04 55.24 n/a .000 out <= 1.0 01:W Pond-Out 36.55 .455 No date 13:01 55.24 n/a .000 overflow <= 1.0 03:W Pond-Out .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000
00876: 00877: 00878:	ADD HYD 1.0 02:E Pond-Out 25.81 .218 Ne date 13:03 34.35 n/a .000 + 1.0 02:E Pond-Out 0.00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000	01056>	(Mexicoleode:1328+01 ml, Tociprival=00000+00 ml, N-Orf 0, Tochurdve 0.hrs) # Total post-development flows from Next development # Total post-development flows from Next development
	Some 1.0 01:2ast	01059> 01060> 01061> 01062>	### ADD HID 10.0006 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***
00883		01064>	4 Para
00886 00887 00888 00889		01066> 01067> 01068>	** Fort-development drainage area to East 1984 Fond ** **R01101000077**************************
00890: 00891: 00892:	RUB5:COMMAND# RB105:C00001	01071>	[Horton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00] [Pervious area: IAper= 4.67:SLPP=2.00:LGP= 40.:MNP=.250:SCP= .0]
00893	TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] (METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	01075>	# East Pond Routing
00896	NSTORM= 1	01076>	No. Company
00898	# SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE	01078>	ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:E Pond 25.81 4.243 No date 12:03 55.24 n/a .000 out <= 1.0 01:E Pond-Out 25.81 4.41 No date 12:45 55.24 n/a .000 overflow <= 1.0 03:E Pond-Out 0.00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000

01081>	(MxStoUsed=.9882E+00 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf=	0.hrs}		
01083>	# Total post-development flows from East development			
	R0110:C00009DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAba-OPEAKCMs-TpeakDate bb:mm	RVmm-R C	-DWFcms	
01087>	+ 1.0 02:E Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No date 0:00	.00 n/a	.000	
01089>		33.24 11/4	.000	
01090> 01091> 01092>	** END OF RUN : 124			
010000	***************************************			
01095>				
01097>				
01098> 01099>				
01100> 01101>				
01102> 01103>	R0125:C00001			
01104> 01105>	[METOUT= 2 (I=Imperial, 2=metric output)]			
01106> 01107>	[NRUN = 0125]			
01108>	# SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE			
01110>	# Project Name : [Caivan Stittaville West properties] # Project Number: [2267] # Date : [2023/11/28]			
01112>	# Date : [2023/11/28] # Modeller : [PP]			
01114>	# Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates			
01116>	# Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville Mest properties] # Project Name: [Caivan Stittsville Mest properties] # Project Name: [Caivan Stittsville Mest properties] # Modeller : [FF] # Company : [Jr. Salourin and Associates : Jr. Salourin and Associates : [Jr. Salourin and Associates : Jr. Salourin and Associates : [Jr. Salourin and Associates : Jr. Salourin and Associates : [Jr. Salourin and Associates : Jr. Salourin and Associates : [Jr. Salourin and Associates :			
01118>	READ STORM Filename = storm.001			
01120>				
01122>				
01124> 01125>	Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\Ottawa. ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)	val		
01126> 01127>	FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]			
01128>	Horton's infiltration equation parameters:			
01130>	Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:			
01131> 01132> 01133>	Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:			
01134>	Parameters used in NASHYD:			
01135> 01136> 01137>	Average monthly ran Evaporation data in (mm)			
01137> 01138> 01139>	JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL ANG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00			
01139> 01140> 01141>	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC			
01142>				
	# Post Development Conditions			
01147>	# West Investigation # Fost-development drainage area to West SWM Pend # ROIZS:COMO4	RVmm-R.C	-DWFcms	
01149>	CALLS STANDED 1.0 ULW FORD 36.55 /.086 No date 12:03	65.62 .755	.000	
01151> 01152>	[ARR0911RF73] [Borton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00] [Forvious area: IAper= 4.67:SIFF=2.00:LGP= 40.:MFR-250:SCF= .0] [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SIFF=3.00:LGP= 404.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]			
01153> 01154>	[Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]			
01155>	# West rond Kouting			
01157>	R0125:C00005	RVmm-R.C 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01159> 01160>			.000	
01161> 01162>	***************************************	U.HES!		
01163> 01164>	# Total post-development flows from West development			
01165>	R0125:C00006	RVmm-R.C 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01168>	+ 1.0 02:W Fond-OVT .00 .000 No date 0:00 SUM= 1.0 01:West 36.55 .667 No date 12:50	65.62 n/a	.000	
01172>	# Post-development drainage area to East SWM Pond			
01173>	R0125:C00007	RVmm-R.C 65.62 .755	-DWFcms	
01173>	R0125:C00007	RVmm-R.C 65.62 .755		
01173>	R0125:C00007	RVmm-R.C 65.62 .755		
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01179> 01180>	R0125:C000077	65.62 .755		
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01179> 01180> 01181> 01182>	R0125:C000077	65.62 .755	.000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01179> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183>	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	65.62 .755	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01175> 01177> 01177> 01179> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184>	### R0125:C000077	-RVmm-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01175> 01177> 01177> 01179> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184>	### R0125:C000077	-RVmm-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01175> 01177> 01177> 01179> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184>	### R0125:C000077	-RVmm-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01178> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01182> 01183> 01185> 01185> 01186> 01187> 01188> 01190> 01191> 01191>	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	-RVmm-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01177> 01178> 01180> 01180> 01182> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01186> 01187> 01189> 01191> 01191>	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	-RVmm-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01177> 01177> 01178> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01185> 01185> 01189> 01190> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191>	### ### ### ### #### #### ############	-RVmm-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01179> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01186> 01187> 01190> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01193>	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01180> 01181> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01189> 01190> 01190> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191>	### ### ### ### #### #### ############	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01185> 01185> 01190> 01191> 01	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01180> 01181> 01181> 01181> 01185> 01185> 01185> 01185> 01190> 01191> 01	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01177> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01180> 01181> 01180> 01181> 01185> 01186> 01185> 01186> 01187> 01187> 01187> 01188> 01187> 01190> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01195> 01191> 01195>	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 011775> 01176> 011779> 011780> 01181> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01186> 01185> 01186> 01187> 01190> 01191> 01191> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01192> 01191> 01192> 01193> 01194> 01195> 01195> 01196> 01197> 01198> 01199> 01200> 01201> 01202> 01203> 01204> 01205> 01206> 01207> 01207>	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173> 01174> 01175> 01176> 01176> 01177> 01178> 01180> 01180> 01181> 01182> 01183> 01184> 01185> 01187> 01190> 01200> 0120> 01200> 012	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011745-01175-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01176-01180-0180-018	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173-01173-01174-01175-	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011745-01175	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01174-01175-	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011745-01	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011745-01	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173-01174-	### ### ### #### #####################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173-01173-01173-01173-01173-01173-01173-01184-01185-01185-	### ### ##############################	-RVIII-R.C. 65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a 0.00 n/a 0.hrs) -RVIII-R.C. -65.62 n/a .00 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01173-01173-01173-01173-01173-01173-01173-01184-01185-01185-	### ### ##############################	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011745-01	### ### ##############################	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011735-011735-011735-011735-011735-011735-011735-011735-011805-01	### ### ##############################	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-01189-	### ##################################	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-01189-	ROLES-COMMONDE ROLES - COMMONDE ROLES - COMMON	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011730-0117011701170170170170170170170170170170	ROLES-COMMONDE ROLES - COMMONDE ROLES - COMMON	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011730-01	ROLES-COMMONDE ROLES-COMMONDE	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-01180-	ROLES-COMMONDS ROLES - COMMONDS ROLES - COMMON	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-	### ### ##############################	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-01189-01190-	ROLES-COMMANDS ROLES-COMMANDS	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
011730-01	### ROLESCONOON	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-	### ROLESCONOON	-50m-R.C65.62 n/a 65.62 n/a	-DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	
01179-01179-01180-01190-	ROLIS-COMMANDS ROLIS-COMMANDS	- SVmm-R.C SVmm	.000 -DNFcms -000 -000 -000 -000 -000	
011730-01	### ROLES-COMPONENT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	-80-8-0.755 -80-8-	.000 -DNFcms -000 -000 -000 -000 -000	
011730-01	### ROLES-COMPONENT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	- SVmm-R.C SVmm	.000 -DNFcms -000 -000 -000 -000 -000	
01179-	### ### ##############################	- SVmm-R.C SVmm	.000 -DNFcms -000 -000 -000 -000 -000	

012613	> ####################################	RVmm-	R.C	DWFcms
012633	R0150:C00005	73.59	n/a	.000
01265	overflow <= 1.0 03:W_Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No_date 0:00	.00	n/a	.000
012663	> (MxStoUsed=.1748E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf=	0.h	rs)	
012683	- FIGURE POST GEVELORISHE IZONS IZON MEST GEVELORISHED			
01270	PO150:C00006	D1/mm_	R.C	DWFcms
012713	> ADD HYD 1.0 02:W Pond-Out 36.55 .805 No date 12:47 + 1.0 02:W Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No date 0:00	73.59	n/a n/a	.000
01273	SUM= 1.0 01:Wost 36.55 .805 No date 12:47	73.59	n/a	.000
012753	* # East Development			
01276	# Post-development drainage area to East SMM Fond R0150:C0007			
012783	> R0150:C00007DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mm > CALIB STANDHYD 1.0 01:E_Pond 25.81 5.902 No_date 12:02	RVmm-	R.C	DWFcms
012803				
01281				
01283	> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 415.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]			
012853	# East Pond Routing			
01286	> # East Fond Kouling > ####################################	RVmm-	R.C	DWFcms
012883	> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:E Pond 25.81 5.902 No date 12:02 > out <= 1.0 01:E Pond-Out 25.81 .712 No date 12:40	73.58	n/a n/a	.000
012903	overflow <= 1.0 03:E Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No date 0:00	.00	n/a	.000
01292	R0150:0000008 Cfmin-10:NNID			
012943	> # Total post-development flows from East development			
01295	> R0150:C00009DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mm > ADD HYD 1.0 02:E Pond-Out 25.81 .712 No date 12:40			
01297	R0150:C00009	.00	n/a	.000
012993	+ storms		/ 64	.000
013013	·			
013023				
013043				
013063				
013083				
013093				
013112	R0199:C00001			
013132	R01991:C00001- START 00 hrs on 0] (TERG = .00 hrs on 0] (METOUTE 2 (1=imografil, 2=metric output))			
013153	NSTORM= 1]			
	[NRUN = 0199]			
013183	# SNMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE			
01320	# Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]			
013213	> # Project Number: [2267] > # Date : [2023/11/28]			
013233	Project Name : (Casum Spitzville Mest properties) Date [2021/97/28] Modellar [FF] subscript and Associates License 1 234928			
01325	t License # : 2549237			
013272				
013283	READ STORM Filename = storm.001			
013303	(GDT-10 00:GDTD- 24 00:PTOT- 104 721	a		
013323	k0199:C00003			
	DEFAULT VALUES Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\Ottawa. ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)	val		
013353				
01337	THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM			
01-3391	[Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]			
013403	Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNF= .250]			
013423	Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: (IAimps 1.57 mm) [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013]			
013433 013445 013453	Parameters used in NASHYD: [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00]			
	[1a= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00]			
013463	Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm)			
013473	Average monthly Fam. Evaporation data in (mm). JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC. 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0			
013473 013483 013493	Nverage monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) JAN FEE MAR ARK MAX JUN JUL AUG SEF OCT NOV DEC 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00			
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351:	** Average monthly Fam Evaporation data in (mm) JAN FEB MAX AFR MAX JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .			
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352:	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0			
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352:	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0			
01347: 01348: 01249: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01353: 01355:	JAN FEB MAN AFR MAY JUN 30L AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00			
01347: 01348: 01249: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01353: 01355:	JAN FEB MAR ARR MAY JUN DU. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	RVmm-	R.C	DWFcms
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01357: 01357: 01358: 01359: 01360:	JAN FEB MAM ARM MAY JUN DIL ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	RVmm- 81.94	R.C	DWFcms
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01355: 01356: 01357: 01358: 01359: 01360: 01361: 01362:	JAN FEB MAM ARM MAY JUN DIL ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	RVmm- 81.94	R.C	DWFcms
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01356: 01357: 01358: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01362:	JAN FEB MAR ARY MAY JUN DU. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	RVmm- 81.94	R.C .768	DWFcms .000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01356: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01364:	JAN FEB MAR ARY MAY JUN DID. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	81.94	R.C768	DWFcms
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01356: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01364:	JAN FEB MAR ARY MAY JUN DID. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	81.94	.768	.000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01356: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01364:	JAN FEB MAR ARY MAY JUN DID. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	81.94	.768	.000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01356: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01364:	JAN FEB MAR ARY MAY JUN DID. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	81.94	.768	.000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01356: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01364:	JAN FEB MAR ARY MAY JUN DID. ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	81.94	.768	.000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01369: 01369: 01369: 01369: 01372: 01372:	JAN FEB MAM ARM NAY JUN DIL ANG SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	81.94	.768	.000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01354: 01355: 01356: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01366: 01369: 01369: 01369: 01369: 01372: 01372:	JAN FEB MAM ARM NAY JUN DIL ANG SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C n/a n/a n/a rs}	.000DWFcms .000 .000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01353: 01353: 01359: 01360: 01362: 01363: 01364: 01369: 01369: 01369: 01370: 01371: 01372: 01373: 01373:	Date Description Date	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C n/a n/a n/a rs}	.000DWFcms .000 .000
01347: 01348: 01349: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01353: 01353: 01356: 01357: 01366: 01376: 01	JAN FEB MAM ARE NAY JUN DID. AND SEP OCT BOY DEC 100.0000 100.000 100.	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C n/a n/a n/a rs}	.000
01347: 01348: 01249: 01350: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01353: 01353: 01360: 01361: 01362: 01363: 01366: 01376: 01	JAN FEB MAN ARP MAY JUN DID AND SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C n/a n/a n/a rs}	.000DWFcms .000 .000
01347: 01348: 01249: 01350: 01350: 01351: 01352: 01353: 01353: 01353: 01353: 01353: 01361: 01362: 01363: 01	JAN FEB MAN ARP NAY JUN DID ANG SEP OCT NOV DEC 100 -	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C	.000DWFcms .000 .000
113473 (13478)	JAN FEB MAM ARM NAY JUN DUL ANG SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 -	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C	DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
11.2477. 12.491. 12.49	JAN PER MAN ARP NAY JUN DID. AND SEP OCT NOV DEC 100 -	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C	DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
1134774 01356 01368 01368 01368 01368 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378 01378	JAN FEB MAM ARM NAY JUN DUL ANG SEP OCT MOV DEC 100 -	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C	DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
11.3473 (1).	JAN FEB MAM ARM NAY JUNE DID. AND SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 - 100	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 .00 0.h	R.C	DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
11.3472 (1.347	JAN FEB MAM ARM NAY JUNE DID. AND SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 - 100	81.94	R.C	-DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
11.3472 12.	JAN FEB MAN ARY NAY JUN DUL ANG SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 -	81.94	R.C	-DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
11.3472 12.	JAN FEB MAN ARY NAY JUN DUL ANG SEP OCT BOY DEC 100 -	81.94RVmm-81.94 81.94RVmm-81.94RVmm-81.94RVmm-81.94RVmm-81.94	R.C	-DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
11.3472 (13.47	Jan	81.94	R.C	-DMFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
11.3472732 12.3472732 12.347273	JAN FEB MAN ARY NAY JUN DUE ANG SEP OCT NOV DEC 100 -	81.94RVmm-81.94 00 0.hRVmm-81.94RVmm-81.94 00 0.h 00 0.h	R.C	DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
11.3472 (1.347	Date	81.94	.768 R.C	
11.3472 (1.347	Date	81.94 RVmm-81.94 81.94 0.00 0.hRVmm-81.94 0.00 81.94RVmm-81.94 0.00 0.h	.768 R.C	
11.34727.00 12.34727.00 12.34727.00 13.34	Description	81.94 RVmm-81.94 81.94 0.00 0.hRVmm-81.94 0.00 81.94RVmm-81.94 0.00 0.h	.768 R.C	DWFcms .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
11.34727.00 12.34	Description	81.94 RVmm-81.94 81.94 0.00 0.hRVmm-81.94 0.00 81.94RVmm-81.94 0.00 0.h	.768 R.C	
11.34727.00 12.34	Description	81.94 RVmm-81.94 81.94 0.00 0.hRVmm-81.94 0.00 81.94RVmm-81.94 0.00 0.h	.768 R.C	
10.347370 10.347370 10.347370 10.347370 10.357370	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.347273	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3472 3472 3472 3472 3472 3472 3472	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.347.25 (1.348	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.3474.00 (1.3414.00	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000
11.34727 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Description	81.94 RVmm- 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94 81.94	.768 R.C	.000

```
00013-20 Metric units / ID Numbers OFF

00003- ** SMERNYNO Ver:5.500/reb 2015 / NNUT DATA FILE

00003- ** Froject Name : [Caivan Stittaville West properties]

00005- ** Froject Name : [2023/11/28]

00007- ** I cate

00007- ** Company : [2023/11/28]

00007- ** Company : [2023/11/28]

00018- ** Company : [2023/11/28]

00019- ** Company : [2023/11
                                                         ICASEdef=[1], read and print values
DEFVAL_FILENAME=["Ottawa.val"]
                                                                  ** Note: Development

** Note: To-volopment

** Foot-development

** Charles ** Foot-development

** Hord-development

** Hord-develo
0.48 , 2.131 | max twenty pts)

0.0012 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0022 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0023 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0024 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0025 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0026 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0027 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0027 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0028 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)

0.0029 | 1, -1 | (max twenty pts)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           : drainings area to East SMM Food

NYMICT<sup>®</sup> F. RODA<sup>®</sup> (7.0°E1) (min.) AREac[25.81] (mh.) XIME=[0.66], TIME=[0.71], DMF=[0.1] (mm.)
LOSS=[1] Norton Equ: Foc[16.2] (mm/hr.), Foc[13.2] (mm/hr.), CCAV=[4.14] (/hr.), Foc[0.00] (mm.)
Forvious areas: IApac=[4.67] (mm.) SLEP=[2.01](4), LOF=[4.01], NMF=[0.23], SCE=[0] (min.)
Impervious areas: IAImper[1.57] (mm.) SLEP=[0.51](4), LOF=[4.15] (m.), NMT=[0.21], SCE=[0] (min.)
SLEPENTALLE [, -1] (mm/hr) SLEPENTA
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | (max twenty pts)
   ** STORMS

** 25 mm Storm based on 2-Year, 3-Hour Chicago Storm

** 25 mm Storm based on 2-Year, 3-Hour Chicago Storm

** TERSO(0,) METOUT=[0], NOTOUM=[1], NORDHN=[001]

** ["250MClM.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NOTOWN time
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Chicago Storm
TIERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[002]
["002YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM bi
                                                            *$ -1-mark | house Storm research | house Sto
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              Chicago Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010]
["010YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                            *\ 25-Year, 3-Bour Chicago Storm
*\ 25-Year, 3-Bour Chicago Storm
TERRoi(0,0), METOUT=(2), NETOUN=(1), NEBME=(022)

TERROi(0,0), METOUT=(2), NETOUN=(1), NEBME=(022)

["025YCH.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NESORM time
["025YCH.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NESORM time
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              Chicago Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[050]
["050YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM to
                                                         SCS Storm
TZERO=[0,0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[105]
["SC24005x.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for R
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        SCS Storm
PERRO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[125]
MSC24025x.stm*] <--storm filename, one per line for
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[150]
m"] <--storm filename, one per line for
                                                            *1 100-Year, 24-Mour SOS Storm
*1 100-Year, 24-Mour SOS Storm
TIRRO(0.0), METUOT=(2), MSTORM=(1), MRON=[199]
START
[*SC2410M, star*] <-- core filename, one per line for MSTORM
--- coresum model)
                                                         "SCALING ARE | Congrows as Carp River Primer and Carp River Primer model and Carp River Ri
                                                               * 10-Year, 12-Hous SCS Storm (per city of Ottawa Carp Rivers TERRO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NETONN=[1], ("SC12010c.stm") <--storm filename, one
                                                               "("SCI200.cs.tm") (--stoom filename, one per line for NSTORM time
125-Year, 12-Rour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSRON model)
15TAK* ("SCI200.cs.tm") (--stoom filename, one per line for NSTORM time
16TAK* ("SCI200.cs.tm") (--stoom filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                            ** 50-Year, 12-Bour SCS Storm [per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)
**START | 12-Bour SCS Storm [per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**START | 17-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**100-Year, 12-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**TOAT | 17-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)

**TOAT | 17-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSBON model)
                                                               | 1 Statement | 
                                                            "% August 4th, 1988 Storm - Ottawa International Airport
"START TERG=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[988]
"19898004.stm"] (--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
```

	There is a second of the secon
00001>	00181> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00182> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD CCM 00183> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:
00003> SSSSS W W M M H H Y Y M M OO 2 0 0 11 5 0 00005> SSSSS W W M M M H H H H Y M M M O O 2 0 0 11 5 Ver 5.500	OURSE/ INF FULLWARMS PARAMETERS AND USED IN INC UNDIG STANDARD COM- 00183> Horton's infiltration equation parameters 00184> [For 76.20 mm/hr] [Fe=13.20 mm/hr] [CCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00185> Parameters for FERVIOUS surfaces in STANDARDYD:
00005> SSSS WW M M H H Y M M OO 222 0 0 11 55 FEB 2015 00007> SSSS WW M M H H Y M M OOO 2 0 0 11 55 =============================	00186> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] 00187> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
000009> StormWater Management HYdrologic Model 22 00 11 5 # 2549237	00186> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGF=40.00 m] [NGF= 250] 00187> Farameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 00188> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [NNT= .013] 00188> Farameters used in NABEND:
00010> 00011>	
	00181> Average monthly Pan Exportation data in (mn) 00189> JAN FER MAK ARR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT MOV DEC 00189> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
00014> ******** based on the principles of HYMO and its successors **********************************	00194> Average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration in (mm) 00195> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
00015> OTTHYMO-89 and OTTHYMO-89. 00016- Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc.	
000175 Distributed by J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 00185 Charles of the Company Ontario (18) 886-8884 00185 Gatinssa, Quabect (819 241-688	00198> # Post Development Conditions 00199> ###################################
00020> ********* E-Mail: swmhymo@jfsa.Com ************************************	002005 # Mart David opport
00022> 00023> +	002015
00024> ++++++++++++++++ Licensed user: IFSAinc. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	00204> CALIB STANDHYD 1.0 01:W Pond 36.55 3.459 No_date 1:05 21.79 .684 .000 00205> [XIMP=.66:TIMP=.71]
00026> +	002055 [KIMP06:TEMP71] Per 76:00:Tem 11.00:CANTed.14: Pr .00) 00205 [Morton parameter Libers 4.0:Temp-2.0:Liber 4.0:MBP20:SECP 0] 00208 [Impervious area: IAMpr: 4.5:SECP 2.0:Liber 4.0:Liber.2.0:SECP 0] 00208 [Impervious area: IAMpr: 1.5:SECP 2.0:Liber 494:NBE01:SECT 0.0)
00028>	00208> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0] 00209>
000305 Maximum value for ID numbers: 11 000315 Max. number of rainfall points: 105408	00210> # West Pond Routing
00032> Max. number of flow points : 105408	00212> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:W Fond 36.55 3.459 No date 1:05 21.79 n/a .000 00214> out <= 1.0 01:W Fond-Out 36.55 .069 No date 1:05 21.79 n/a .000
00034> 00035>	00214> out <= 1.0 01:M_Pond-Out 36.55 .069 No_date 3:13 21.79 n/a .000 00215> overflow <= 1.0 03:M_Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000
00036> SUMMARY OUTPUT	002135 800002:00005
00038> * RUN DATE: 2023-11-28 TIME: 13:58:47 RUN COUNTER: 009568	UUZ18> # TOTAI post-development flows from West development
00405 * Input file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.dat * 00415 * 0utput file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizing\STIT-Post v06-S.out * 00425 * Summary file: C:\Temp\P226F-Stittaville FSR\20231128-MS\20	002200 800021:000006-
00043> Summary file: C:\temp\rzzb/-StittsVile FSK\ZUZSilzs-MSS Fond Siring\STIT-FOST_VU6-S.sum 00043> User comments: 00044> 1:	00222> + 1.0 02:W Fond-OUT .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 N/a .000 00223> SUM= 1.0 01:West 36.55 .069 No date 3:13 21.79 n/a .000
00045> * 2:*	00225> # East Development
00046> * 3:	00227> # Post-development drainage area to East SWM Pond
00048> 00049> 00050> #	00228> R0002:C00007
00051> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE 00052> #	002100 (XXMP66171MP71) 002110 (Morton parameters For 76.20;fer 13.20;FCXM-4.14: FP .00) 002125 (Fervious area: Haper-469;Extra0blane-60;EXTR-200:EXTR-0) 002235 (Fervious area: Haper-469;Extra0blane-60;EXTR-200:EXTR-0) 002240 (XXMP66171MP70)
00053> # Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]	00233> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 415.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]
00055> # Date : [2023/11/28] 00056> # Modeller : [PP]	00235> # East Fond Routing
000555 # Eace 2021/11/28 00055 # Boldeller [P] 00055 # October Company 1.F. Babourin and Associates 00057 # Company 2.F. Babourin and Associates	00237> R0002:C00008DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-OPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:nmRVnm-R.CDWFcms
000595 * LICHINA * : 234937 000595 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	00238> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02sE Fond 25.81 2.569 No date 1:04 21.79 n/a .000 00239> 001 = 1.0 07:E Fond-Out 25.81 0.69 No date 3:08 21.79 n/a .000 00240> overflow = 1.0 07:E Fond-Out 0.00 .00 n/a .000 No date 0.00 .00 n/a .000
000615 700001 7000001	00241> (MxStoUsed=.6146E+00 m3, TotOvfvol=.0000E+00 m3, N=Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
00063> [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] 00064> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	002437 * Total post-development flows from East development
	00245> R0002:C00009
00067> R0001:C00002	02435 80002:000056
00069> Filename = storm.001 00070> Comment = 25 MM BASED ON CHICAGO STORM 2 Year, 3 Hours	
000689 PEAD STONM 000699 FEATURE STORTH, 001 PEAG STORTH CONTRACT STORTH CONTR	00251> *** END OF RUN : 4
00073> DEFAULT VALUES 00074> Filename = C:\Temp\P2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Pond Sizinq\Ottawa.val	00253> 00254>
00076> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]	00255> 00256>
00078> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:	00257> 00258>
00080> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:	00259> 00260> RUN#:COMMAND#
000815 [1Apper 4.67 mm] [LGF=40.00 m] [B0H= 2350] 000825 Paramaters for INFERTURES SURFACES in STANDERTO; 000815 Paramaters for INFERTURE SURFACES in STANDERTO; 000815 Paramaters used in ANASTRD:	00261> R0905;C00001
00083> [IAImp= 1.57mm] [CLI=1.50] [RN1=.013] 00084> Parameters used in NASHYD: 00085> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [M= 3.00]	00265
000000	002665
008879 JAN FEB MAX SFR MAX JUN JUL BUS SEP OCT MOV DEC 008889 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 0	00268> # SNMHYMO Ver:5,500/Feb 2015 / IMPUT DATA FILE
00090> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	00270> # Project Name . [Caivan Stittsville West properties] 00271> # Project Number: [2267]
00092> ************************************	
00932 * Post Development Conditions 00933 * Post Development Conditions 00945 * **********************************	002722 # Date : (2023/11/29) 002739 # Modeller : [PP]
00935 # Post Development Conditions 00935 # Post Development Conditions 00935 # West Povelopment	OCTITY Date 1
000950 - 10000000000000000000000000000000000	002129 Date 12025/11/29 002739 Modeller E/S 002769 Modeller E/S 002769 Modeller E/S 002769 Company J.F. Sabourin and Negociates 002759 E/Locade E/S 2549227 002765 E/S 002757 MODUSCO00022 002765 E/S 002757 MODUSCO00022 002757 MODUSCO00002 002757 MODUSCO00002 002757 MODUSCO00002 002757 MODUSCO00002 002757 MODUSCO0002 002757
000950 *********************************	002129 Date 12025/11/28 002739 Modeller EP 002749 Company J.F. Sabourin and Negociates 002749 Company J.F. Sabourin and Negociates 002759 Liconde 2.549227 002756 2.549227 002758 0027578
000950 *********************************	OCCUPS Date
000935 ************************************	OCT20 Date Date 1 (2009/11/29) OCT20
000930 *** Tour Development Conditions 000930 *** Mean: Development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** Mean: Development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** Post-development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** Post-development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** CALE STANDARYO *** 1.0 0.1 N Frond	002729 # Date 1 (2007/11/29)
000930 *** Tour Development Conditions 000930 *** Mean: Development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** Mean: Development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** Post-development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** Post-development drainings area to West SMM Frond 000930 *** CALE STANDARYO *** 1.0 0.1 N Frond	OCCUPY Date 1 (2007)11/78 OCCUPY OCCU
0.00525	OCCUPY Date 1 (2007)11/78 OCCUPY OCCU
	OCCUPY Date 1 (2007)11/78 OCCUPY OCCU
	County Date Company 1,0009711/78
	COURTY Date 1 (2007/11/28) 1 (20
	COURTY Date 1 (2007/11/28) 1 (20
Next Development	COUNTY Date 12009711/78 County 12009711/78 County 1200971 County
	OCCUPY Date 1 (2007/11/78) 1 (20
	Control
	Control
	Oct Oct Oct
	Oct Oct Oct
New	Company 1.7 Sabourin and Passociates 1000971/1/28 Company 1.7 Sabourin and Passociates 1.0
New	Company 1.5
	Company 1.5
New	Company 1.5
New	Company 1.5
New	Company 1.5
New	COUNTY COMPANY 1 (2005/11/28)
New	Company 1.5
New	Company 1
New	Company 1
	Company 1, 2, 5, 8, 8, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
New	Company 1.7 Babourin and Pampetates 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000
New	Company 1.5 Security 1.000 1
New	Company J.F. Babourin and Pasquiates
Next Development	Company 1.5
	Company 1.5
	Company 1.5
	Company 1, 2, 5, 8, 8, 9, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10
New	Company 1.5
	Company 1.5
	Company 1.5
New Colon	Company 1.7 Security and Pasquistance 1.000 1.7 1.000 1.
	Company 5.7. 3.8000rin and Passolates

00341> 00342>	00545) ###################################
00364> 00365> RUN#:COMMAND#	
003659 87870 = .00 Nrs.on 0 .00 Nr	00540 [Sorton parameters: For 76.20:For 13.20:CDXY=4.14: F = .00] 005470 [Forvious area: IApper 4.6:IEEP=0.00:LDE 6.0:RNP=2.20:SCP= .0] 005400 [Impervious area: IAImpe 1.57:IEEP= 50:LGT 415:RNT=0.13:SCT= .0] 005400 [Impervious area: IAImpe 1.57:IEEP= 50:LGT 415:RNT=0.13:SCT= .0]
00370> [NSTORM= 1] 00371> [NRUN = 0010] 00372+ #	
00373> # SWMHYNO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE 00374> # Product Name : [Cairan Stitzerille Mear evenewring]	000509 East Food Nouting 000509 East Food Nouting 000509 East Food Nouting 000509 0005
00376> # Project Number: [2267]	
00375> # Modeller : [PE] 00375> # Modeller : [PE] 00375> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00375> # License : 254937 00375> # License : 254937	00559> ***********************************
	00560) N0025:000009
083845	00565> # STORMS 005665 #################################
00388> DEFAULT VALUES 00389 Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Srittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val 00390> TCASSGV = 1 (read and print data)	00568> 00569> 00570>
00391> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00300> THE FOLLOWING PREMEMETER ARE HERD IN THE PERIOD COM	00571> 00571> 00572>
003945 [Fow 76.20 mm/hr] [Fow 13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 003955 Parameters for PERVIOUS SUNFACES IN STANBAYON	00574> 00575> RUN#:COMMAND#
00396- [IApars 4.67 mm] LLD#40.00 m) [MSF= 250] 00397- Parameters for IMPERVIOUS SURfaces in STANDAYD: 00398- [IAimps 1.57 mm] [LLT=1.50] [MSI= 0.13] 00398- [Arameters used in MASHOY:	00379 N0030:00001
	00580> [NSTORM= 1] 00581> [NRUN = 0050] 00582> [
0.04.000 Tas 4.6 Tms Tas 3.000 results of data in (ms) 0.000 results of data in (ms)	00583> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE 00584> #************************************
00406> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00586> # Project Number: [2267] 00587> # Date : [2023/11/28] 00588> # Modeller : [PP]
00409>	00855 Froject Mane : [Caivan Stitaville West profestion] 00856 Froject Munder: [257] 1/28
00412> ####################################	005927 R0050:C00002
004155 [XIME=.6611ME=.71] 004155 [XIME=.611.0016Te.13.20:DCAV=4.14: F=.00] 004157 [Fervious area: IAper=.4.07:siEP=2.00:DCAV=4.14: F=.00] 004177 [Fervious area: IAper=.4.07:siEP=2.00:DCAV=4.016Te.2520:SDF=.0] 004187 [Inpervious area: IAiper=.4.07:siEP=2.05:DCAV=4.016Te.02.35:SDF=.0]	Marian
00419>	00598> DEFAULT VALUES 00599> Filename = C:\Temp\F2267-Etitsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val 00600> ICASEAv = 1 (read and frint data)
00421> ************************************	00601> FileTitle=file comment: [Faramaters for City of Ottawa Projects] 00602> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM 00603> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:
064245	006045 [For %2.0 mm/hr] [For 13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 006055 Parameters for PERVICUS surfaces in STANBHYS] (1Aper= 4.67 mm] [LEP-GR-0.0 mm] [MBF-2.250]
00428> # Total post-development flows from West development	00607> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD: 00608> [IAimp= 1.5] maj [CLI= 1.50] [NMI= .013] 00609> Parameters used in NASHYD:
0443b 2019c00066 - DTMin-IDHNITO - SEMBAD-OPEACom-Tpeakbate hhime - RTMn-R.C OMCros 00431b ADD NTD 1.0 021W Prod-to 1 86.55 1/7 Nb Gates 2070 37.25 PAG .000 00432b + 1.0 021W Prod-to v1 86.55 1/7 Nb Gates 0.00 .00 n/a .000 00432b gupe 1.0 011Wsc 1 86.55 1/7 Nb Gates 0.00 .00 1/3 7.35 n/a .000	00610> [Ia= 4.67 mm] N= 3.00] 00611> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 00612> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
00434> ***********************************	Octob
06435> # East Development ####################################	00615> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
04439 A0104-C00007F7min-10-NNTO	00619> ####################################
00442> [Pervious area: IApper=4.87:SLFP=2.00:LGE= 40:NMF=.250:SCF= .0] 00443> [Impervious area: IAimp=1.57:SLFP=.50:LGE=415:NMI=.013:SCI= .0] 00444>	000005 Wast Devolopment
00445) Flast Food Routing	00625> (Morton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00) 00627> (Forvious area: IAper= 4.6:SLFP=2.00:LGP= 40.:NMP=.250:SCP= .0)
00448> ROUTE RESERVOIR > 1.0 02:E Fond 25.81 4.783 No date 1:04 37.25 n/a .000 00449> cut <= 1.0 01:E Fond-Out 25.81 4.783 No date 3:00 37.25 n/a .000 00450> cverflow <= 1.0 03:E Fond-Out .00 No date 0:00 07.25 n/a .000	06628> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 4947;MI=_013:SCI= .0] 00629> #West Pond Routing
004515 (Mactouded=,84396+00 Ha, TottoProt=,00006+00 Ha, N-OUT= 0, TottoProt= 0.nrs) 004525 **Total post-development flows from East development 004539 **Total post-development flows from East development	00(20) # Next Food Routing 00(20) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 021* Wrond 86:50 9:52 No date 1:04 51.10 n/a .000 00(31) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 021* Wrond-04 8:55 .233 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # Overfile # 1.0 031* Rout-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 0:00 .0 0 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000 00(30) # ROUTE RESERVOIR # 1.0 031* ROUTE-04-07 .0 000 No date 3:02 51.30 n/a .000
06455> 80019+C000099	06635> overfick <= 1.0 03:W Pend-O'V .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 00636> (MxStoUsedr.1678E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
00459> + empare	00688) # Total post-development flows from West development 00639)
00461> ************************************	000689 Total post*-levelogement flows from West development 000689 Total post*-levelogement flows from West development 000689 00000:000000000000000000000000000000
00465- 00465- 00466- 00477-	UU6442 7
00468> 00469>	00450 + East Development 00450 + Fost-development drainage area to East SMM Fost SMM - Topakinte hims - Whom-R.C DMYcms 00450 + Fost-development drainage area to East SMM Fost SMM - Topakinte hims - Whom-R.C DMYcms 00450 - CLUSTER SMM(HIM DEVELOPMENT - 1.00 II. Fond - 25.81 7.057 No date 1:03 51.30 7.92 .000 00450 - CLUSTER SMM(HIM DEVELOPMENT - 1.00 III. Fond - 25.81 7.057 No date 1:03 51.30 7.92 .000 00450 - CLUSTER SMM(HIM DEVELOPMENT - 1.00 III. Fond - 1.00 III. Fond - 1.00 III. Fond - 0.000 00551 - [PRIVIOUS area: IAppe - 1.5718EPP-0.001.GPF - 40.1MPR-2.00:GDF - 0] 005520 - [Inspections area: IAppe - 1.5718EPP-0.001.GPF - 40.1MPR-2.00:GDF - 0]
0047D RUM\$:COMMANNE 0047D R0025:C00001- 00472> START	00650> VXIME=.66;TIME71 00651> [Horton parameters: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.14: F= .00 00652> [Fervious area: IAper= 4.67:SLFF=2.00:LGP= 40.:MNF=.250:SCP= .0]
00473> [TEEBO = .00 hrs on 0] 00474> [METOUT= 2 (leimperial, 2=metric output)] 00475> [NSTONM= 1]	000345
00473> [NETCOM: 1] 00473> [NETCOM: 1] 00473> [NETCOM: 2] 00473> [NETCO	
0048D # Broject Mame : (Calium Stittsville West properties) 0048D # Broject Mumber: [2207] 0048D # Date 10048D # Date 1004	00569
00482 + Modeller : [F2] 00483 + Modeller : [F2] 00484 + Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates	006657 + 10411 post-development 110ws 110m East development
00489 + Company : I'. Isabourin and Associates 00489 + Company : I'. Isabourin and Associates 00489 - Mills I	00665 NOUSCOUNDS
064895 Alleanes storag001 064905 Comment = CHIGGOS STORM 23 Year, 3 Hours 064901 (SUT-10.00:36UR= 3.06:FDOT= 58.23)	00550> *********************************
00432> R0025:C00003- 00433> DEFAULT VALUES 00434> Filename = C;\text{Vers}(\frac{7}{2}\text{C}7-\text{Sittsville} FSR\20231128-MSS Fond \text{Sizing\0tawa.val}	00672> ** END OF RUN : 98 00673> 00674>
00495> ICASEdv = 1 (gead and print data) 00496> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]	00675> 00676> 00677>
00499> [Fo=76.20 mm/hF], [Fo=13.20 mm/hF] [DCAY= 4.14 /hF] [F= .00 mm] 00500> Parameters for PENYUOUS SUPERIORS in STANNHYD:	00678> 00679> 00680> RUN#:COMMAND#
00501> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250]	00681> R0099:C00001
005010 Parameter# Ger INERNYOUS surfaces in STANSHYD: 005010 Interp 1 % main (Cirk 1.50) (Birt .013) 005010 Parameters used in NASHYD: 005010 Parameters used in NASHYD: 005010 August Parame	00683> [TERGO = .00 hrs on 0] 00684> [METOTT = 2 (lsimperial, 2=metric output)] 00685> [METOM= 1] 00685> [OSE700= 0.00]
00507> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00508> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	00688> # SWMHYNO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE
00510> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN ULL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 00511> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	
00514>	006919 Project Number: [2267] 006929 Date Project Number: [2267] 006929 State Project Number: [2767] 006939 Modellar Project Pr
00515) * West Development distantage area to Nest 30MP point 00515) * Flort-development distantage area to Nest 30MP point 00515) * Flort-development distantage area to Nest 30MP point 00515) * Flort-development distantage area to Nest 30MP point 00515) * Albert Sevelopment distantage area to Nest 30MP point 00515) * CALES 10MP point 10MP	006965 # 00697> R0099:C00002
005199 CALIE STANDRIV 1.0 011% Fond 36.55 8.208 No.date 1:04 45.23 .777 .000 005200 KIMPR-66:TIMP-7:10 76.20:FC = 13.20:CCAT*=0.45: Fr = .001 005210 [Rorton parameters: For = 76.20:FC = 13.20:CCAT*=0.45: Fr = .00] 0052220 [Pervious area: Taper=4.67:SIEPF=2.00:LGT*= 4.00:MET=2.25:GCT*= .0]	00595 Filename = torm.001 00700> Comment = URLCAGOSTOWN 100 Year, 3 Hours 00701> (SUT-10.00:SUUR= 3.00:PTOT= 71.66)
00523> [Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0] 00524> ************************************	00702> R0099:C00003- 00703> EFERULT VALUES 00704> Filename = C:Temp\F2267-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val 00705> [CASEdv = 1 (read and print data)
	00706> FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]
005253 + Mest Food Routing 005253 + Mest Food Routing 005253 + Mest Food Routing 005253 - Mod25400055	00708> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 00709> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fo=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 00710> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDRYD:
003337 # TOCAL DOSC-GAVELORMENT LIOWS ITOM WEST GEVELORMENT	00711> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= .250] 00712> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 00713> [INTERP_ 1.57 mm] [CLI- 1.50] [MNP= 0.12]
00535) # #################################	00714> Farameters used in NASUYO: 00715> [Ina 4.67 m] [Ne 3.00] 00716> Average monthly Fan Ewsporation data in (mm) 00717> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
00337> + 1.0 02W Fond-Ov2 0.00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 00338> UN= 1.0 01:Next 36.55 .239 No date 3:04 45.23 n/a .000 00339> UN= 1.0 01:Next 36.55 .239 No date 3:04 45.23 n/a .000 00340 # East Development	00716> Average monthly Fan Eveporation data in (mm) 00717> JAM FEB MAR AFF MAY JUH JUL AUG EEP OCT NOV DEC 00718> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
OUTED T MADE DEVELOPMENT	OWN FED THAN MEN PHAI JUN JUL MUG SER UCT NUW DEC

007212	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	00901> 00902> 00903>	# Project Number: [2267] # Date : 2023/11/28] # Modeller : [PP]
007242	***************************************	00904>	# Modeller [PF] # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates # Licenses : 244927 # Licenses : 244927
007273 007283 007293 007303	# Most Lowelcoment drainage area to West SMM Fond # Font-fewelcoment drainage area # Font-fewelcoment drainage are		RRIOS:COURCE Filename = storm.001 Comment = 5 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa [SDT=10.00:SDUR= 24.00:PTOT= 64.11] RRIOS:COURCE = 24.00:PTOT= 64.11]
007313 007323 007333 007343	[Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 494:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]	00913>	R0105:C00003
00735	# West Pond Routing R0099:C0005	00915> 00916> 00917> 00918>	ROIDS:CONDON- EFRAUIT VALUES Filename = C. Commpy P2267-Filttwillo FER\00231128-MSS Fond Sizing\00ttawa.val Filename = C. Commpy P2267-Filttwillo FER\00231128-MSS Fond Sizing\00ttawa.val Filentiale = File comment: Faramanters for City of Ottawa Projects Filentiale = File comment: Faramanters for City of Ottawa Projects Filentiale = Filename =
007392	* Neat Food Noting 8 Neat Food Noting 80099:000005	00918> 00919> 00920> 00921> 00922>	NOTION'S INTELLIGITION EQUALITY PARAMETERS 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: [IADer= 4.67 mm] [LEGP=40.00 m] [NNT= .250]
007437	T TOTAL POST GEVELOPMENT TIONS TEOM NEST GEVELOPMENT	00922> 00923> 00924> 00925>	Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: [IAimps 1.57 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [MNI= .013] Parameters used in NASHYD: [Ia= 4.67 mm] [Na 3.00]
00746	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	00926> 00927>	Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
007492	# East Development	00929> 00930> 00931>	
	FEMIL UNRACEMENT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	00933>	F Post Development Conditions
007563 007573 007583	[Pervious area: Laper= 4.6/SLPP=2.00:LAP= 40.:MNP=.25U:SCP= .0]	00936> 00937> 00938> 00939>	# Most Convolopment drainage area to Most SMM Fond # Fost-dovelopment drainage area to Most SMM Fond MOSICCOROUS — FORMER DISINST — AREADa-OPEAKONG-PeakCate hither—FVMm-R.C. — DMFcms CALLS STAURETO 1.0 01.W Fond 36.55 4.765 No.date 12:04 46.90.732 .000 [XIMP665TIME-7.1]
007603 007613 007623	######################################	00941>	[XIMP=.66:TIMP=.71] [Botton parameters: Fow 76.20:Fc= 13.20:DCAY=4.146 F= .00] [Pervious area: IAper=4.67:LEF=2.00:LGF= 40.:NDF=270:SCF= .0] [Impervious area: IAper=4.75:LEF=5.01LGF=649.XDMF=070:SCF= .0]
007633 007643 007653	# East Food Noting ### ROUTE PRESENCE	00943> 00944> 00945>	***************************************
007673 007683 007693	# Total post-development flows from East development	00947> 00948> 00949>	West Food Routing
007703	# Total post-development flows from East development #R0999:C000099-		
007743 007753 007763	SIME 1.0 01:Eins: 25.81 344 Mo_date 2224 57.75 m/a .000	00954> 00955> 00956>	R0105:C0006
		00958> 00959> 00960>	### ##################################
007813 007823 007833 007843		00961> 00962>	# Post-development drainage area to East SWM Pond
007853 007863 007873	RUN#:COMMAND# R0102:C00001	00965> 00966> 00967>	### RODISCOUNTY
007882	[METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]	00968> 00969> 00970>	[Impervious area: IAimpe 1.57:SLPI= 50:LGI= 475.100I=.013:SCI= .0] # East Pond Routing
007932	[MURDS = 0102] # SMORTHON Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE	00972> 00973> 00974>	R0105:C00008
007953 007963 007973	<pre># Project Mame : (Caivan Stittsville West properties) # Project Wane: [2267] # Date Date (2022/11/28) # Modeller (PP)</pre>	00975> 00976> 00977> 00978>	overflow <= 1.0 03% Fond-Ovf 0.0 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 (Mxsts0ded-84198F0 m3, ToTGyDts0ded-84198F0 m3, ToTGyDts0ded-84198F0 m3, ToTGyDts0ded-84098F0 m3, ToTGyDts0
007993 008003 008013	# Project Name : (Caisna Etitraville West properties) # Project Name: (280) # Project Name: (280) # Nodeller : [FP] # Modeller : [FP] # Company : 3-F, Sabourin and Associates # NODE: (2000022	00979> 00980> 00981>	Total post-development flows from Eag development
008023 008043 008043	NAIDACTOROUGEZ- FELDASTON Filename storm.001 Comment = 2 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa [SDT-10.00:SDUR= 24.00:FTOT= 48.46] R0102:CD0003-	000055	4 PRODUCE
008062 008072 008082 008092		00986> 00987> 00988>	- Support
008103 008113 008123	ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data) FileTitle= File comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Projects]	00990> 00991> 00992>	
008133 008143 008153 008163	For 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:	00993> 00994> 00995> 00996>	RUN1:COMMAND#
008163 008173 008183 008193 008203	[IAimp= 1.57 mm] (CLT= 1.50) [MNI= .013] Parameters used in NASHYD: [Tan 4.62 mm] (Nm 2.00)	00999>	Mallo(m0001- TTANT 0.00 hrs on
008213 008223 008233 008243	Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL ADG SEP OCT NOV DEC- .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	01001> 01002>	SMORTHS VETS.500/Feb 2015 / IMPUT DATA FILE
008253 008263 008273	00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00		
008293	F Post Development Conditions	01008> 01009> 01010>	Forgoet Name : (Caivan Stitzville West properties)
008323 008333 008343	# Most Lowelcyment drainage area to Mest SMM Fond Most Control of the Control of	01012> 01013> 01014>	RO110:c00002- READ STORM Filefname = storm.001
00836 00837 00838	[KIMS-08:TIMS-7.7] FR= 76.20/re. 13.20/re.2044.44 FR 001 FR= 75000 area: lhge=74.5151742.00.102 40.3000 re. 30/05072 10] [Impervious area: lhge=74.5151742.00.102 40.3000 re. 30/05072 10] [Impervious area: lhge=1.37/s15174 .00.102 44.3001-0.11300 re. 30/05072 10]	01016> 01017> 01018>	SERAID SPEMS FileAname s storm.001 Comment = 10 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa (STD-10.05:SUSME = 24.00:FFDT= 74.35) R0110FCD0000- CEFAULT VALUES
008393 008403 008413	# West Pond Routing ## West Po	01019> 01020> 01021> 01022>	DEFAULT VALUES Fleanmer c: (C:Yemp\P226)-gitteville FSN\20211128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val ICASEDr = 1 (read and print data) Fleitler Fleather come and reprint description of the Projects Fleitler Fleather come and reprint description of the Projects Hotton's infiltration equation parameters: [For 56.20 mm/r] [Forl.20 mm/r] [Forl.20 mm/r] [For 56.20 mm/r] [Forl.20 mm/r] [
00843 00844 00845	* Neat Food Noting 100:000005	01023> 01024> 01025>	Darameters for DEDUTONS surfaces in STANDAYD:
		01026> 01027> 01028> 01029>	[IApare 4.57 mm] ILGH=40,00 m] [BBTR= 250] Parameters for IMPERFORD surfaces in STANDRTD: [IAlapare 1.57 mm] (LINE 1.50] [BBNR= .015] [IAPARE 1.57 mm] (LINE 1.50] [BBNR= .015]
008503 008513 008523	R0102:C00066	01030> 01031> 01032> 01033>	[Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 0.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .
008542 008552 008562	508= 1.0 01888t 36.55 .109 80 date 14.20 34.35 h/a .000 # East Development	01034>	
008573 008583 008593 008603	FOR-t-development duratinage area to East SMM Fond ### FOR-t-development duratinage area to East SMM Fond #### FOR-t-development duratinage area to East SMM Fond #### FOR-t-development duratinage area to East SMM Fond ###################################	01038>	# Post Development Conditions
008623 008623	[Horton parameters: Fc= 76.20:Fc= 13.20:FCAY=4.14: F= .00] [Fervious area: TApe= 4.67:SIFP=2.00:LGF= 40:MNP=.250:SCF= .0] [Impervious area: TAinp= 1.57:SIFF= .50:LGF= 415.MNF=.013:SCF= .0]	01041> 01042> 01043>	FOR-development drainage area to West BMM Fond FOR-development drainage area to West BMM Fond FOR-development drainage area to West BMM Fond FOR-development drainage FOR-dev
	East Fond Routing ### Routing	01044> 01045> 01046> 01047>	CALTE STANDRYD 1.0.01:W Fenon 8.5.5 5.77 No date 12:04 55.24 .743 .000 [IMEN-65:THEP-1; 10-76.20:Fe-13.00:CDAY=4.14: F00] [Fervious area: IAper-4.67:EEF=2.00:LGE= 40:NNE-2.250:EF= .0] [Impervious area: IAper-4.67:EEF=2.00:LGE= 40:NNE-2.250:EF= .0] [Impervious area: IAper-1.57:EEF=5.01LGE=649:NNE-0.215:EE= .0]
008683 008693 008703	ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 012E Fond - 25.81 2.355 Mo date 12:04 34.35 n/a .000 out <= 1.0 012E Fond-out 25.81 .109 No date 13:46 34.35 n/a .000 overflow <= 1.0 03E Fond-out 0.00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000	01048> 01049>	***************************************
008713 008733 008743	(McStotleads.471E+00 m3, 700ptv103-00000+00 m3, N-Ovir 0, TotBuctor 0.hrs) # Total post-development flows from Egat development	01052> 01053> 01054>	West_Roud Routing
008753 008763 008773	# Total post-development flows from East development ####################################	01055> 01056> 01057> 01059>	overflow <= 1.0 03:M Pond-Ovf .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 (Modstoe) = 14578-01 m3, TocToVTO-2:0008+00 m3, N-Ovf = 0, TocToUTOV = 0.hrs)
008793	######################################	01059> 01060> 01061>	ACO NYD 10.00 Mpc. 1.00 Mp
008833		01064>	4 Tools Tool
008863 008873 008883 008893		01066> 01067> 01068>	* Dest-days open dysings aves to Fast SMM Rond
008903 008913 008923	R0105:C00001	01070> 01071> 01072>	### MRID: CHORONO
008933	[TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]		4 Took Pool Pool Pool
008983	# SMMCHNO Veris.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE # Project Name : [Calvas Stittsville West properties]	01077> 01078> 01079> 01080>	MAIDING MAID
00900	* industrial : [carvail officeating mean biobattles]	1 01080>	overflow <= 1.0 03:E_Pond-Ovf

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
01081>	(MxStoUsed=.9877E+00 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
01081>	***************************************
01083>	# Total post-development flows from East development
01085>	R0110:C00009DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
01087>	### MOID DTM: TDM: TDM:
010885	***************************************
01091>	* STORMS ** END OF RUN : 124
01092>	** END OF RUN : 124
01094>	***************************************
01096> 01097>	
01098>	
01099>	RUN#:COMMAND#
01101>	R0125:C00001
01103>	[TZERO = .00 hrs on 0]
01105>	START
01106> 01107>	[NRUN = 0125]
01108>	# SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb 2015 / INPUT DATA FILE
01110>	
011112>	# Date : [2023/11/28]
01114>	# Modeller : [PF] # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates
01115> 01116>	# License # : 2549237
01117>	R0125:C00002R0125:C00002
01119>	SAUTS-COMMONDATE STATEMENT OF S
01121>	[SDT=10.00:SDUR= 24.00:PTOT= 86.89]
01122>	DEFAULT VALUES
01125>	ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)
01126> 01127>	IN LINEARS = C:\temp\P2267-Stitz\Vile FSR\02231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Otawa.val ICARDV = I (read and print data) Fileritle File comment: [Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects] Fileritle File Comment (Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects)
01128>	Horton's infiltration equation parameters: [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]
01130> 01131>	Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
01131>	THE FOLIAMING PRARMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDBYD COM BOTTON'S infiltration equation parameters; [FG= 76.20 mm/hz] [FG=13.20 mm/hz] [CGAT= 4.14 /hz] [F= .00 mm] Farameters for EREVIOUS SURfaces in STANDBYD [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGF=40.00 m] [DGF=.250] Farameters for IMEREVIOUS surfaces in STANDBYD: [IAimps 1.37 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [MNI=.013] Farameters of THEREVIOUS (THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF
01134>	Parameters used in NASHYD:
01135> 01136>	[ia= 4.6/mm] [N= 3.00] Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm)
01137> 01138>	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
01139>	Parameters used in NASHYD: [1am 4.67 cmm] [1s] 3.00 [1am 4.67 cmm] [1am 4.67 cmm] 3.00 [1am
01141> 01142>	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.
01142>	# Post Development Conditions
01145>	***************************************
01146> 01147>	# Post-development drainage area to West SWM Pond
01148>	# MOST_UNGNO-LOPENING TURN-LOPENING TO THE TOTAL THE
01150> 01151>	
01152>	Market 001:1085-12 Top 76.00:pc 13.00:DOXY-0.14 F=.00
01154>	(impervious area: IAImp- 1.37/856130:261- 494.5Wa10.3:3610)
01156>	# West Pond Routing
01157> 01158>	R0125:C00005DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKcms-TpeakDate_hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:W_Pond 36.55 7.086 No_date 12:03 65.62 n/a .000
01159> 01160>	ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 021W Fond 36.55 7.066 No date 12:03 65.62 n/a .000 out <= 1.0 011W Fond-Out 36.55 .334 No fate 13:28 65.62 n/a .000 overflow <= 1.0 031W Fond-Out 36.55 .334 No fate 13:28 65.62 n/a .000 overflow <= 1.0 031W Fond-Out .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 No date 0:00 No date 0
01161> 01162>	(MxStoUsed=.1719E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)
01163>	+ 10tal post-development liows from west development
01165>	R0125:C00006
01167>	R0123:C00006-
01169> 01170>	***************************************
01171> 01172>	F Not-I development drainage area to East 38M Fond 8/1021-(00007)
01172> 01173> 01174>	# FOST-development drainage area to East SWW FOND R0125:C00007DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QFEAKCMS-TPeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFCmS CALIB STANDHYD 1.0 01:E FOND 25.81 5.212 No date 12:02 65.62 .755 .000
	R0125:C00007
01176> 01177>	[KIMP6:171MH71] For 76.20;For 13.20;CGMY-6.14; F= .00] [Burton parts For 76.20;For 13.20;CGMY-6.14; F= .00] [Burton parts For 76.20;For 13.20;CGMY-6.14; F= .00] [Impervious area: IAimp=1.37;SEMY50;LGT=415:MNIT013;SCT=.0]
01178> 01179>	[Impervious area: IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .50:LGI= 415.:MNI=.013:SCI= .0]
01180>	# East Pond Routing
01182>	R0125:C00008DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKCms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
01184>	ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:E Fond 25.81 5.212 No date 12:02 65:62 n/a .000 out <= 1.0 01:E Fond-Out 25.81 3.00 No date 12:02 65:62 n/a .000 overflow <= 1.0 03:E Fond-Out 0.00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000
01186>	Task Food Rooting
01189> 01190>	R0125:C00009DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QPEAKoms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
01191>	R0123:C000099
01193>	SUM= 1.0 01:East 25.81 .300 No date 13:08 65.62 n/a .000
01195>	# STORMS
01197>	** END OF RUN : 149
01198> 01199>	
01201>	
01202> 01203> 01204>	
01205>	RUN#:COMMAND#
01206> 01207>	R0150:C00001
01208> 01209>	START [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0] [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
01210> 01211>	[NSTORM= 1] (NRUN = 0150]
01212> 01213>	# SWMHYMO Vezi5.500/Feb 2015 / INFUT DATA FILE
01214>	Project Name : [Caivan Stittsville West properties]
01216> 01217>	Project Name : (Edivan Stittsville West properties) Detect Name : (2023/11/28) Detect (2023/11/28) Nodel Name : [182]
01218>	# Modeller : [EF] # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates
01220>	
01222>	# 150:C00002
01224>	Filename = storm.001
01225>	Comment = 50 years SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa [SDT=10.00:SDUR= 24.00:PTOT= 96.53]
	R0150:C00003
01229>	Filename = C:\Temp\F2269-Stittsville FSR\20231128-MSS Fond Sizing\Ottawa.val ICASEdy = 1 (read and print data)
01231> 01232>	History = Citempy 22 (Festivatelle FSR/0231128-MSS Fond Skring)Ottava.val ICARDOY = (read and print data) Fileritle File comment: [Parameters Oc. City of Ottava Projects] THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE ARE DIT IN THE EXCENTS STANGED COM
01233>	Horton's infiltration endowered and other in the Massac Statement Com Horton's infiltration equation parameters? [Fe= 76.20 mm/hm] [Fe=13.20 mm/hm] [GDM*+4.14 /hm/ [F= .00 mm] Farameters for FERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHIDD
01235>	Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
01236> 01237>	Taper= 4.47 mm
01238> 01239> 01240>	[TAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLT=1.50] [MNI= .013] Perameters used in NASHVD: [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00]
012415	[Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm)
01242> 01243>	The second secon
01244> 01245>	
01246> 01247>	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.
01248>	# Post Development Conditions
01251>	# West Development
01252>	# Post-development drainage area to West SWM Pond
	R0150:C00004DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-OPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
01254>	CALIB STANDHYD 1.0 01:W Pond 36.55 8.099 No date 12:03 73.59 .762 .000
01055	
01055	
01255> 01256> 01257> 01258> 01259>	R0150:C00004-

01262> 01263>							
	R0150:C00005	Tmin-ID:NHYD 1.0 02:W Pond	-AREAha-QPEA	Kcms-TpeakDat	e_hh:mm 12:03	RVmm-R.C	a .000
01264>	out <= overflow <=	1.0 01:W_Pond-Out 1.0 03:W_Pond-Ovf	36.55	.403 No_date	13:17	73.58 n/	a .000 a .000 a .000
012072	***************************************					U.nrs	
01269>	R0150:C00006	######################################	-AREAha-OPE	Kcms-ToeakDat	######## e hh:mm	RVmm-R.C	DWFcms
01271> 01272> 01273>	ADD HYD + SUM=	1.0 02:W_Pond-Out 1.0 02:W_Pond-Ovf	36.55	.403 No_date	13:17	73.58 n/	a .000
01273> 01274>	SUM=	1.0 01:West	36.55	.403 No date	13:17	73.58 n/	a .000
01275> 01276> 01277>	# East Development	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Pond				
01278> 01279>	# Post-development drains R0150:C00007	Tmin-ID:NHYD 1.0 01:E Pond	-AREAha-QPEA	Kcms-TpeakDat 5.902 No date	e_hh:mm 12:02	RVmm-R.C	DWFcms
01280> 01281>	[XIMP=.66:TIMP=.71] [Horton parameters:	Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20	:DCAY=4.14:	F= .00]			
01282>	[XIMP=.00:TIMP=.//] [Horton parameters: [Pervious area: [Impervious area:	. IAper= 4.67:SLPP=2. : IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .	00:LGP= 40. 50:LGI= 415.	:MNP=.250:SCF :MNI=.013:SCI	= .0] = .0]		
01285>	# East Pond Routing						
01287> 01288>	R0150:C00008	Tmin-ID:NHYD 1.0 02:E Pond	-AREAha-QPEA	Kcms-TpeakDat 5.902 No date	e_hh:mm 12:02	73.58 n)	a .000
01289> 01290>	out <= overflow <=	1.0 01:E_Pond-Out 1.0 03:E_Pond-Ovf	25.81	.368 No_date	13:04	73.59 n/	a .000 a .000 a .000
01291>	(MxStoUsed=.1307E+01	. m3, TotOvfVol=.000	00E+00 m3, 1	I-Ovf= 0, T	otDurOvf=	0.hrs	
							DWFcms
01296> 01297>	R0150:C00009	1.0 02:E_Pond-Out 1.0 02:E_Pond-Ovf	25.81	.368 No date	13:04	73.59 n/	a .000
01298> 01299> 01300>	SUM= ####################################	1.0 01:East	25.81	.368 No date	13:04	73.59 n/	a .000
01300>	# STORMS ************************************						
01303>				,			
01305> 01306>							
01307> 01308> 01309>							
01310>	RUN#:COMMAND# R0199:C00001						
01312>		s on 01					
01314>	[METOUT= 2 (1=	s on =imperial, 2=metric o	output)]				
01316>	[NKUN = 0199]				******		
01318>	# SWMHYMO Ver:5.500/Feb	2015 / INPUT DATA FI	LE		******		
01321> 01322>	# Project Name : (Caiva # Project Number: (2267) # Date : (2023) # Modeller : [PP] # Company : J.F. 5 # License # : 25492:	/11/28]	-ropertres;				
01323> 01324>	# Modeller : [PP] # Company : J.F. S	Jabourin and Associat	:05				
01325>	# License # : 254923	12					
01327>	R0199:C00002	11					
01330> 01331>	Comment = 100 year [SDT=10.00:SDUR= 1 R0199:C00003	rs SCS Type 2 Storm 2 24.00:PTOT= 106.73]	4 Hours step	10 min, City	of Ottaw	a	
01333>							
01334> 01335> 01336>	ICASEdv = 1 (read						
01336>	Fileritie= File Com THE FOLI Horton's infiltrati	mment: [Parameters fo LOWING PARAMETERS ARE	USED IN THE	tawa Projects DESIGN STAND	HAD COW		
01339> 01340>	[Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] Parameters for PERV	(Fc=13.20 mm/hr) [DCA VIOUS surfaces in STA	Y= 4.14 /hr]	[F= .00 mm]			
01341> 01342>	[IAper= 4.67 mm] Parameters for IMPE	[LGP=40.00 m] [MNP= ERVIOUS surfaces in S	.250] TANDHYD:				
01343> 01344> 01345>	[IAimp= 1.57 mm] Parameters used in	[CLI= 1.50] [MNI= NASHYD:	.013]				
01346> 01347>	Horton's infiltrati [Foo 76.20 mm/hr] Farameters for FEW. Parameters for INFE Bachmeters for INFE [Inline] 1.57 mm] Farameters used in Inline 4.67 mm], Inline 4.67 mm], Inline 1.57 mm] JAN FEE MAX AFR .00 .00 .00 .00 Average monthly Bot JAN FEE MAX AFR .00 .00 .00 .00 Average monthly Bot JAN FEE MAX AFR	Evaporation data in	AUG SEP	OCT NOV D	EC		
01348> 01349>	.00 .00 .00 .00 Average monthly Pot	.00 .00 .00 cential Evapotranspir	.00 .00 ation in	.00 .00 . (mm)	00		
01350> 01351> 01352>	JAN FEB MAR AFR .00 .00 .00 .00	MAY JUN JUL .00 .00 .00	AUG SEP .00 .00	OCT NOV D	EC 00		
01352>	# Post Development Condit	ions:			 		
01357>	* Post-development drains R0199:C00004	ge area to West SWM STmin-ID:NHYD	Pond -AREAha-QPE	Kcms-TpeakDat	e_hh:mm	-RVmm-R.0	DWFcms
01360>	(XIMP=.66:TIMP=.71)	1.0 01:W_Pond 	36.33 :	p- 001	12:02	81.94 ./6	.000
01362> 01363>	(MINFE.00:TINFE.71) (Horton parameters: (Pervious area: (Impervious area:	: IAper= 4.67:SLPP=2. : IAimp= 1.57:SLPI= .	00:LGP= 40. 50:LGI= 494.	:MNP=.250:SCF ::MNI=.013:SCI	= .0]		
01364> 01365>	# West Pond Routing						
01366>	# West Fond Routing #R0199:C00005 #R0UTE RESERVOIR -> OUT <= OVERTION <= (MXStoUsed= 2130F0) # Total pagt-development ####################################	OTmin-ID:NHYD	-AREAha-QPE	Kcms-TpeakDat	e_hh:mm	RVmm-R.C	DWFcms
01369>	out <=	1.0 02:W_Pond 1.0 01:W_Pond=Out	36.55	.480 No_date	13:11	81.94 n/ 81.94 n/	a .000 a .000 a .000
01371> 01372>	(MxStoUsed=.2130E+01	m3, TotOvfVol=.000	00E+00 m3, b	I-Ovf= 0, T	otDurOvf=	0.hrs)	
		flows from West deve	lopment				
01374>	# Total pest-development						
01374> 01375> 01376> 01376>	R0199:C00006	7Tmin-ID:NHYD 1.0 02:W Pond-Out	-AREAha-QPEA	Kcms-TpeakDat .480 No_date	e_hh:mm 13:11	-RVmm-R.C 81.94 n/	a .000
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379>	ADD HYD + SUM=	DTmin-ID:NHYD	-AREAha-QPE 36.55 .00 36.55	Kcms-TpeakDat .480 No_date .000 No_date .480 No_date	e_hh:mm 13:11 0:00 13:11	RVmm-R.C 81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/	a .000 a .000 a .000
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01380>	ADD HYD + SUM=	1.0 02:W_Pond-Out 1.0 02:W_Pond-Ovf 1.0 01:West	36.55 .00 36.55	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11	-RVmm-R.C 81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/	a .000 a .000 a .000
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01380>	ADD HYD + SUM=	1.0 02:W_Pond-Out 1.0 02:W_Pond-Ovf 1.0 01:West	36.55 .00 36.55 Pond	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/	DWFcms
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01380> 01381> 01382> 01383> 01384>	ABO HYD SUM= Feast Development Float-development drains R0199:C00007	1.0 02:W Pond-Out 1.0 02:W Pond-Ovf 1.0 01:West 1.0 01:West 1.0 01:E Pond	36.55 .00 36.55 ***********************************	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date ####################################	13:11 0:00 13:11 *********************************	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/	DWFcms
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01380> 01381> 01382> 01383> 01384>	ABO HYD SUM= Feast Development Float-development drains R0199:C00007	1.0 02:W Pond-Out 1.0 02:W Pond-Ovf 1.0 01:West 1.0 01:West 1.0 01:E Pond	36.55 .00 36.55 ***********************************	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date ####################################	13:11 0:00 13:11 *********************************	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/	DWFcms
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01380> 01381> 01382> 01383> 01384> 01385> 01386> 01387> 01388> 01389> 01389>	# East Development # Post-development drains # Post-development drains # Post-development drains # Post-development drains Post-development drains	1.0 02:W Pond-Out 1.0 02:W Pond-Out 1.0 01:W Pond-Ovf 1.0 01:Wost ###################################	36.55 .00 36.55 ***********************************	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date .480 No date .6693 No date .F= .001 .MNF=.250:SCF	13:11 0:00 13:11 *********************************	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/	DWFcms
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01381> 01382> 01383> 01384> 01385> 01386> 01387> 01388> 01389> 01390> 01391>	SUM- SUM- SUM- SUM- FEAST Development drains ROIS9:COGOO7- CALE STANDRYD RF-71] [NOTTON parameters [Impervious area statistics] East Fond Routing #East Fond Routing	1.0 02:W Fond-Out 1.0 02:W Fond-Out 1.0 02:W Fond-Out 1.0 01:W Fond 1.0 01:W Fond 01: Fond 1.0 01:E Fond 1.0 01:E Fond 1: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20 1: IApp= 4.67:SIP=2. 1: IAimp= 1.57:SIP=1.	36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date .480 No date .693 No date F= .00] :MNF=.250:SCF	13:11 0:00 13:11 *********************************	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/ 	2DWFcms 8 .000
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01380> 01380> 01381> 01382> 01384> 01385> 01385> 01386> 01387> 01389> 01390> 01391> 01391> 01391> 01391> 01391> 01391> 01391>	SUM- SUM- SUM- SUM- FEAST Development drains ROIS9:COGOO7- CALE STANDRYD RF-71] [NOTTON parameters [Impervious area statistics] East Fond Routing #East Fond Routing	1.0 02:W Fond-Out 1.0 02:W Fond-Out 1.0 02:W Fond-Out 1.0 01:W Fond 1.0 01:W Fond 01: Fond 1.0 01:E Fond 1.0 01:E Fond 1: Fo= 76.20:Fc= 13.20 1: IApp= 4.67:SIP=2. 1: IAimp= 1.57:SIP=1.	36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date .480 No date .693 No date F= .00] :MNF=.250:SCF	13:11 0:00 13:11 *********************************	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/ 	2DWFcms 8 .000
01376> 01377> 01378> 01379> 01389> 01381> 01382> 01382> 01383> 01384> 01385> 01386> 01389> 01390> 01391> 01393> 01393> 01393> 01393> 01393> 01393> 01393>	East Development ###################################	1.0 02:M Pond-Out 1.0 02:M Pond-Out 1.0 02:M Pond-Out 1.0 01:West age area to East SMM OTMIN-ID:NNYD- 1.0 01:E Pond 1.0 01:E Pond 1.0 01:E Pond 1.0 02:E Pond 1.0 01:E Pond-Out	36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No_date .000 No_date .480 No_date .480 No_date .480 No_date .481 No_date .481 No_date .5.693 No_date .5.693 No_date .5.693 No_date .483 No_date	13:11 0:00 13:11 13:11 14:11 14:11 14:11 12:02 12:02 12:02 12:02 12:05 0:00 0:DUTOVE	81.94 n/ .00 n/ 81.94 n/ 	DWFcms 8 .000 DWFcms (a .000 a .000
013765 013775 013789 013799 013815 013827 013837 013845 013857 013857 013857 01387 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957	SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB-	1.0 02:M Fond-out 1.0 02:M Fond-out 1.0 02:M Fond-out 1.0 01:West age area to East SMM 1.0 01:E Fond 1.0 02:E Fond 1.0 02:E Fond 1.0 02:E Tond-Out 1.0 02:E Fond-Out 1.0 02	36.55 36.55 36.55 Pond -AREANA-QPEF 25.81 00.1GF= 40.50 10.1GT= 415 -AREANA-QPEF 25.81 00 00-100 mg, N	.480 No date .000 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date .480 No date .5.693 No date .7.001 :NNFE .250 SCF .1NNFE .250 SCF .1NNFE .250 SCF .1NNFE .260	13:11 0:00 13:11 1	81.94 n./ .00 n/ 81.94 n/RVmm-R.C 81.94 .76RVmm-R.0 81.94 n/ 0.00 n/ 0.hrs	2DWFcms 8 .000 2DWFcms a .000 a .000 (a .000
013765 013775 013789 013799 013815 013829 013825 013865 013875 013865 013875 013905 013915 013925 01393 01393 01393 01393 01395 01395 01395 01395 01395 01395 01395 01395	East Development ####################################	1.0 02:M Fond-out 1.0 02:M Fond-out 1.0 02:M Fond-out 1.0 01:West age area to East SMM 1.0 01:E Fond 1.0 02:E Fond 1.0 02:E Fond 1.0 02:E Tond-Out 1.0 02:E Fond-Out 1.0 02	36.55 36.55 36.55 Pond -AREANA-QPEF 25.81 00.1GF= 40.50 10.1GT= 415 -AREANA-QPEF 25.81 00 00-100 mg, N	.480 No date .000 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date .480 No date .5.693 No date .7.001 :NNFE .250 SCF .1NNFE .250 SCF .1NNFE .250 SCF .1NNFE .260	13:11 0:00 13:11 1	81.94 n/RVmm-R.C. 81.94 n/RVmm-R.CRVmm-R.CRVmm-R.CRVmm-R.C.	2DWFcms 8 .000
01376- 013776- 013778- 013789- 013813- 013823- 01383- 01383- 01383- 01383- 01383- 01383- 01383- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01393- 01395- 01405- 0140	SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB- SUB-	1.0 021% Pond-out	36.35 90.00 36.55 Pond 25.81 00.1GP= 0.414: 00.1	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date .480 No date .480 No date .583 No date .583 No date .583 No date .583 No date .483 No date .483 No date .483 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:11 12:02 12:03 12:05 0:00 12:05 0:00 12:05 0:00 12:05	81.94 n/RVmm-R.C. 81.94 n/RVmm-R.CRVmm-R.CRVmm-R.CRVmm-R.C.	2DWFcms 8 .000 2DWFcms a .000 a .000 (a .000
01376s 01377s 01378s 01378s 01381s 01382s 01383s 01384s 01385s 01386s 01389s 01390s 01400s 01	EAST DAYS OF THE PROPERTY OF T	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond 1.0 021% Pond-Out	36.35 00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.0	.480 Mo date .080 Mo date .480 Mo date .480 Mo date .480 Mo date .480 Mo date .891 Mo date .892 Mo date .893 Mo date .893 Mo date .893 Mo date .893 Mo date .483 Mo date	13:11 0:00 13:11 	81.94 n/RVmm-R.C. 81.94 n/RVmm-R.CRVmm-R.CRVmm-R.CRVmm-R.C.	2DWFcms 8 .000
01376-01378-01378-01378-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01389-01399-	East Development ***********************************	1.0 021% Pond-out 1.0 021% Pond 1.0 021% Pond 1.0 021% Pond-out 1.	36.55 .00 .00 36.55 PondiAREANa-OPEE 23.81 .00 00:0	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013879 013817 013827 013837 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 014057 01	**************************************	1.0 021W Pond-Out 1.0 021W Pon	36.55 .00 .00 36.55 PondiAREANa-OPEE 23.81 .00 00:0	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013879 013817 013827 013837 013847 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 014007 014017 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 021% Pond 1.0 022% Pond	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013879 013817 013827 013837 013847 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013857 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 013957 014007 014017 01	EAST DAYS START EAST Davelopment ###################################	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013807 013813 013843 013863 014863 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013807 013813 013843 013863 014863 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013807 013813 013843 013863 014863 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013807 013813 013843 013863 014863 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013807 013813 013843 013863 014863 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000
013765 013777 013787 013787 013807 013813 013843 013863 014863 01	**************************************	1.0 021% Pond-Out 1.0 022% Pon	36.52 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 36.55 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	.480 No date .000 No date .480 No date	13:11 0:00 13:11 0:00 13:11 ********************************	81.94 n	DWFcms 8 .000



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com



Preliminary Storm Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis



Table D-1: Caivan Stittsville Lands - MSS Preliminary 100 Year HGL Analysis

		Tellilliary 100 Te	,		Top of MH
		Invert Elevation	Top of MH	Max HGL	Freeboard
SWM Pond	MH-ID	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
	MH-111	103.46	106.85	104.45	2.40
	MH-118	103.20	106.74	104.37	2.37
	MH-122	102.87	106.63	104.29	2.34
	MH-123	102.68	106.41	104.20	2.21
Trunk 1	MH-124	102.44	106.29	104.16	2.13
West SWM Pond	MH-136	102.20	106.17	104.09	2.08
	MH-137	102.12	106.15	104.03	2.12
	MH-147	101.95	106.00	103.93	2.07
	MH-148	101.81	106.00	103.81	2.19
	West SWM Pond	-	_	103.80	-
	MH-221	103.24	106.87	104.26	2.61
	MH-222	103.07	106.64	104.18	2.46
	MH-226	103.00	106.62	104.16	2.46
Trunk 2	MH-229	102.78	106.46	104.03	2.43
West SWM Pond	MH-232	102.58	106.22	103.95	2.27
VVC3t 5VVIVI I OIId	MH-239	102.46	106.11	103.91	2.20
	MH-247	102.06	106.00	103.84	2.16
	MH-248	101.96	106.00	103.82	2.19
	West SWM Pond	-	-	103.80	-
	MH-304	102.99	106.17	103.73	2.44
	MH-305	102.91	106.11	103.70	2.41
	MH-306	102.81	106.08	103.68	2.40
Trunk 3	MH-307	102.69	105.95	103.64	2.32
Davidson SWM	MH-312	102.56	105.82	103.56	2.26
Pond	MH-313	102.37	105.74	103.45	2.29
	MH-318	101.68	105.74	103.43	2.31
	MH-319	101.55	105.74	103.35	2.39
	Davidson SWM Pond	-	-	103.32	-
	MH-405	102.25	105.68	103.17	2.51
	MH-407	101.94	105.56	102.99	2.57
	MH-410	101.63	105.45	102.93	2.53
	MH-418	101.50	105.36	102.86	2.50
	MH-422	101.27	105.24	102.81	2.43
Trunk 4	MH-425	101.12	105.12	102.70	2.42
East SWM Pond	MH-427	100.88	105.03	102.51	2.52
	MH-429	100.81	104.97	102.48	2.49
	MH-433	100.65	104.85	102.32	2.53
	MH-434	100.57	104.85	102.26	2.60
	MH-435	100.53	104.85	102.22	2.63
	East SWM Pond	-	-	102.20	-

Table D-1: Caivan Stittsville Lands - MSS
Preliminary 100 Year HGL Analysis

		Tellilliary 100 fe			Top of MH
		Invert Elevation	Top of MH	Max HGL	Freeboard
SWM Pond	MH-ID	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
	MH-513	101.86	105.52	102.81	2.71
	MH-518	101.39	105.41	102.77	2.64
	MH-519	101.13	105.26	102.70	2.56
Trunk 5	MH-5190	101.01	105.13	102.66	2.47
East SWM Pond	MH-523	100.78	104.99	102.43	2.56
Edst Swivi Poliu	MH-5230	100.84	104.99	102.51	2.48
	MH-525	100.61	104.85	102.26	2.59
	MH-526	100.54	104.79	102.23	2.56
	East SWM Pond	-	-	102.20	-
				Min	2.07
				Max	2.71
				Average	2.40

Notes:

- (1) Analysis assumes the use of ICDs throughout the development (s), therefore the Rational Method flows as per DSEL's storm design sheets were increased by 14% to account for additional head on the ICDs during the 100-year event.
- (2) Analysis assumes the following preliminary 100-year water level in the West and East SWM Ponds:

West SWM Pond: 103.80m East SWM Pond: 102.20m

(3) Analysis assumes a preliminary 100-year water level of 103.32m in the Davidson SWM Pond as per recent analysis prepared by JFSA with the inclusion of the total proposed drainage area of 4.13 ha (~71% imperviousness) of Caivan Stittsville lands that is proposed to drain to the Davidson SWM Pond.

(4) Model Name: STIT-STM-MSS_v02.0.inp.



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com



Preliminary Sanitary Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis



Table E-1: Caivan Stittsville Lands - MSS Preliminary Sanitary HGL Analysis Rare Flows

(Pumping station capacity of 71.5 L/s)

					Top of MH
		Invert Elevation	Top of MH	Max HGL	Freeboard
Location	MH-ID	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
	MH-126A	101.11	106.01	101.18	4.83
	MH-127A	100.90	106.16	100.99	5.17
	MH-141A	100.82	106.17	100.95	5.22
	MH-142A	100.68	106.29	100.81	5.48
	MH-143A	100.54	106.41	100.67	5.74
	MH-152A	100.30	106.63	100.46	6.17
	MH-175A	100.17	106.74	100.36	6.38
	MH-180A	100.05	106.85	100.24	6.61
Trunk 1	MH-183A	99.92	107.60	100.14	7.46
West	MH-186A	99.75	108.64	99.93	8.71
Development	MH-187A	99.49	107.82	99.67	8.15
	MH-188A	99.34	107.69	99.52	8.17
	MH-190A	99.18	107.54	99.36	8.18
	MH-191A	99.06	107.46	99.23	8.23
	MH-192A	99.01	107.45	99.18	8.27
	MH-193A	98.93	107.45	99.11	8.34
	MH-194A	98.85	107.45	99.04	8.41
	MH-195A	98.76	107.45	98.96	8.50
	MH-196A	98.66	105.74	98.78	6.96
	MH-203A	101.51	104.96	101.56	3.40
	MH-207A	101.81	104.88	101.84	3.04
	MH-208A	101.71	104.86	101.74	3.12
	MH-221A	101.13	105.15	101.18	3.97
	MH-223A	100.65	105.24	100.73	4.51
	MH-224A	100.46	105.35	100.54	4.82
	MH-240A	100.37	105.38	100.50	4.88
Trunk 2	MH-241A	100.15	105.57	100.27	5.30
East	MH-260A	99.93	105.77	100.10	5.67
	MH-261A	99.87	105.80	100.04	5.76
Development	MH-262A	99.62	106.52	99.82	6.70
	MH-264A	99.48	106.60	99.65	6.95
	MH-265A	99.33	105.95	99.53	6.42
	MH-274A	99.15	105.82	99.34	6.48
	MH-275A	99.03	105.74	99.21	6.53
	MH-279A	98.98	105.74	99.15	6.59
	MH-280A	98.90	105.74	99.07	6.67
	MH-281A	98.72	105.74	98.81	6.93

Table E-1: Caivan Stittsville Lands - MSS Preliminary Sanitary HGL Analysis Rare Flows

(Pumping station capacity of 71.5 L/s)

Location	MH-ID	Invert Elevation (m)	Top of MH (m)	Max HGL (m)	Top of MH Freeboard (m)
	MH-282A_PS	98.60	105.74	98.72	7.02
	SANMH97	103.01	105.34	103.01	2.33
	Davidson_Pond_Ovf	102.97	103.57	103.32	-
	MH-6002A	102.28	104.88	102.28	2.60
	MH-6003A	102.06	104.88	102.06	2.82
	MH-6004A-East_Pond_Ovf	102.02	104.88	102.20	-
-				Min	2.33
				Max	8.71
				Average	6.04

Notes:

- (1) Analysis assumes rare event parameters/flows as per DSEL's sanitary design sheets.
- (2) Analysis assumes pumping station operating at a maximum capacity of 71.5 L/s.
- (3) Emergency sanitary overflows that discharge to the SWM ponds are assumed to be flapped.
- (4) Assumed emergency sanitary overflows (above the 25-year water level in the SWM ponds):

West: Existing emergency overflow to Davidson SWM Pond; 900 x 500mm sharp crested weir in SANMH97, invert elevation = 103.05m (modified weir dimensions and invert).

East: Proposed emergency overflow to East SWM Pond; Reversed 375mm dia. sanitary pipe between MH-207A and MH-6002A, invert elevation = 102.344m.

(5) Assumed 100-year water level in the SWM Ponds:

Davidson SWM Pond = 103.32m East SWM Pond: 102.20m

(6) Model Name: STIT-SAN-MSS-Rare_v02.0.inp.

Table E-2: Caivan Stittsville Lands - MSS
Preliminary Sanitary HGL Analysis
Annual Flows

(Pumping Station Failure)

					Top of MH
		Invert Elevation	Top of MH	Max HGL	Freeboard
Location	MH-ID	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
	MH-126A	101.11	106.01	103.59	2.42
	MH-127A	100.90	106.16	103.59	2.57
	MH-141A	100.82	106.17	103.58	2.59
	MH-142A	100.68	106.29	103.57	2.72
	MH-143A	100.54	106.41	103.56	2.85
	MH-152A	100.30	106.63	103.54	3.09
	MH-175A	100.17	106.74	103.52	3.22
	MH-180A	100.05	106.85	103.50	3.35
Trunk 1	MH-183A	99.92	107.60	103.46	4.14
West	MH-186A	99.75	108.64	103.43	5.21
Development	MH-187A	99.49	107.82	103.40	4.42
	MH-188A	99.34	107.69	103.38	4.31
	MH-190A	99.18	107.54	103.37	4.17
	MH-191A	99.06	107.46	103.35	4.11
	MH-192A	99.01	107.45	103.35	4.10
	MH-193A	98.93	107.45	103.34	4.11
	MH-194A	98.85	107.45	103.34	4.11
	MH-195A	98.76	107.45	103.34	4.12
	MH-196A	98.66	105.74	103.33	2.41
	MH-203A	101.51	104.96	102.68	2.28
	MH-207A	101.81	104.88	102.48	2.40
	MH-208A	101.71	104.86	102.53	2.33
	MH-221A	101.13	105.15	102.95	2.20
	MH-223A	100.65	105.24	103.28	1.96
	MH-224A	100.46	105.35	103.32	2.04
	MH-240A	100.37	105.38	103.32	2.06
Trunk 2	MH-241A	100.15	105.57	103.33	2.24
East	MH-260A	99.93	105.77	103.33	2.44
Development	MH-261A	99.87	105.80	103.33	2.47
Development	MH-262A	99.62	106.52	103.33	3.19
	MH-264A	99.48	106.60	103.33	3.27
	MH-265A	99.33	105.95	103.33	2.62
	MH-274A	99.15	105.82	103.33	2.49
	MH-275A	99.03	105.74	103.32	2.42
	MH-279A	98.98	105.74	103.33	2.41
	MH-280A	98.90	105.74	103.32	2.42
	MH-281A	98.72	105.74	103.32	2.42

Table E-2: Caivan Stittsville Lands - MSS Preliminary Sanitary HGL Analysis Annual Flows

(Pumping Station Failure)

	<u>'</u>	· amping station			
Location	MH-ID	Invert Elevation (m)	Top of MH (m)	Max HGL (m)	Top of MH Freeboard (m)
	MH-282A_PS	98.60	105.74	103.33	2.41
	SANMH97	103.01	105.34	103.32	2.02
	Davidson_Pond_Ovf	102.97	103.57	103.32	-
	MH-6002A	102.28	104.88	102.40	2.48
	MH-6003A	102.06	104.88	102.20	2.68
	MH-6004A-East_Pond_Ovf	102.02	104.88	102.20	-
_				Min	1.96
				Max	5.21
				Average	2.96

Notes:

- (1) Analysis assumes annual event parameters/flows as per DSEL's sanitary design sheets.
- (2) Analysis assumes complete pumping station failure (0 L/s).
- (3) Emergency sanitary overflows that discharge to the SWM ponds are assumed to be flapped.
- (4) Assumed emergency sanitary overflows (above the 25-year water level in the SWM ponds):

West: Existing emergency overflow to Davidson SWM Pond; 900 x 500mm sharp crested weir in SANMH97, invert elevation = 103.05m (modified weir dimensions and invert).

East: Proposed emergency overflow to East SWM Pond; Reversed 375mm dia. sanitary pipe between MH-207A and MH-6002A, invert elevation = 102.344m.

(5) Assumed 100-year water level in the SWM Ponds:

Davidson SWM Pond = 103.32m East SWM Pond: 102.20m

(6) Model Name: STIT-SAN-MSS-Annual_v02.0.inp.



J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. 52 Springbrook Drive, Ottawa, ON K2S 1B9 T 613-836-3884 F 613-836-0332

jfsa.com

Attachment F

Existing Davidson SWM Pond Preliminary Analysis



Table F-1: Criteria for Required Storage Volumes

Pond	Area ⁽¹⁾ (ha)	Imperviousness (%)	Storage Volume for Impervious Level ⁽²⁾ (m³/ha)
N/A	N/A	55	190
Davidson Co-Tenancy	44.751	58	197
N/A	N/A	70	225

⁽¹⁾ Includes the additional 4.13 ha to the SWM facility.

Table F-2: Required Storage Volumes for SWM Facility

	Required	Provided	Volume
Pond Component	Volume	Volume ⁽⁴⁾	Ratio
	(m³)	(m³)	
Permanent Pool (PP) (1)	7026	9054	1.29
Quality Control (2)	1790	1790	1.00
Extended Detention (3)	6688	7058	1.06
Forebay (20% PP)	1405	N/A	N/A
PP - Forebay	5621	N/A	N/A

⁽¹⁾ Required PP volume based on Table B-1 (197 - 40 = 157 m3/ha).

⁽²⁾ Protection Level for Wet Pond: Enhanced 80% long-term S.S. removal. SWM Planning & Design Manual, Table 3.2, p.3-10 (March 2003).

⁽²⁾ Required quality control volume based on 40 m3/ha.

⁽³⁾ Required extended detention volume based on the detention of the 25 mm storm for a 72 hour drawdown time.

⁽⁴⁾ Provided volume based on stage-storage curve and extended detention

⁽⁵⁾ Based on grading plan provided by DSEL.

⁽⁶⁾ As per MOE, Maximum Forebay Area: 33% of Total Permanent Pool.

Table F-3: Davidson SWM Pond Level and Storage Summary (Restrictive Downstream Conditions)

Event	Pond Level (m)	Max Storage Used ⁽¹⁾ (m³)
100yr/24hr Chicago	103.16	23,360
100yr/24hr SCS Type II	103.27	25,440
100yr/12hr SCS Type II	103.32	26,610

⁽¹⁾ Active storage volume only.



```
000011 20 Metric units / ID Numbers OFF

000033 ** SEMBETHOO Vert5.02/Sam 2001.cmrTav / INFUT DATA FILE

000034 ** SEMBETHOO Vert5.02/Sam 2001.cmrTav / INFUT DATA FILE

000055 ** Froject Number: [13.5737.60

000056 ** Robins | Froject Number: [13.5737.60

000068 ** Modeller : Laura Fipkins, P.Eng.

000088 ** Updated : 2015/09/16 [Le]

000089 ** Updated : 2015/09/16 [Le]

000110 ** Updated : 2015/09/16 [Le]

000110 ** Updated : 2015/09/18 [Le]

000110 ** Updated : 2015/09/18 [Le]

000110 ** Updated : 2015/09/18 [Le]

000110 ** Updated : 2023/09/12 [Le]

00110 ** Updated : 2023/09/12 [Le]

00110 **
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           STORM_FILENAME=["storm.001"]
                                                                     DEFAULT VALUES
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       ICASEdef=[1], read and print values
DEFVAL_FILENAME=["Ottawa.val"]
                                                                     *$ --- UNDOCKADEN
                                                                     *# FROPOSED CHOUSE ELONG

*B Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DEEL

BESIGN STANDAY

NUTD-("Pool"), Drain ("Drain AMARA(3.071) (hal),

XIMP=(0.45), TIMP=(0.45), DMP=(0)(cms), LOSS=(1),

ELOPE=(0.45), TIMP=(0.45), DMP=(0)(cms), LOSS=(1),

ELOPE=(0.45), TIMP=(0.45), DMP=(0)(cms), LOSS=(1),
                                                                     ** Froposed Additional Area Requested by DSEL, September 2023
DESIGN STANDHYD

**NYTHO ["Addi"], Tor [1] (min), ARRA=[4.13] (ha),

**XIM=[0.6], TIM=[0.7], DSF=[0] (man), LOSS=[1],

**SLOPE=[0.5](%), RAINFALL=[ , , ] (mm/hr), END=-1
                                                                  • Now PACILITY

• Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on NDE quicklines

• Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on NDE quicklines

• The pool of the po
                                                              "# "Faultner utean ryser |
"# Free Outfal Conditions |
ROUTE RESERVOIR | NUTCute("PFout"), NUTCine("Pin"), NUT
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    0.33, V.422

0.444, 0.548

0.047, 0.768

0.054, 0.824

0.057, 0.824

0.059, 0.946

0.059, 0.946

0.062, 1.094

0.074, 1.143

0.109, 1.299

0.201, 1.453

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.836

0.25, 1.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          NHYDin=["Pin"
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 OUTFLOW-STORAGE )
(cms) - (ha-m)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    (cms) - (ha-m)
0 , 0
0 .000 , 2.818
0.106 , 2.922
0.551 , 3.133
1.185 , 3.348
1.963 , 3.568
3.352 , 3.912
-1 , -1
                                                                  ** SAVE HYDS

** SAVE PROD THE PRODUCT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE 
                                                                     Save Fond Outflow Hydrograph Pog Use In Calculating Average Volocity
Foreshay Ouring the 10-Year Stor:
NNYTH FFORM: 1, 4 OF PCYMESS[-1], CASEANS[1]
HYD_COMMENT=[*Fond Outflow - Eree Outfall Condition
                                                                  *8 Sove Pond Outfle Spidgraph For Use in Proparing And Stage Fine Curves

*8 Sove Pond Outfle Spidgraph For Use in Proparing And Stage Fine Curves

*8 Sove Pond Outfle Spidgraph For Use In Propagate 11, STASSAH [1]

** STATE ** 
                                                                     use capacity
NUMTOUSE("OVE_FR"), NUTDISE("Ms)"), BDT=[1](min),
CHLCTH=[10](m), CHLCTH=[0.71(4), FELDCH=[0.71(4),
ESCENDE [1.0], DOTE [1.0]
(DISTANCE (m), ELLYWITCH (m)) =

[ DISTANCE (m), ELLYWITCH (m)) =

[ 1.15, 0.105, 0.0]
( 0.0, 1.005, 0.0]
( 0.0, 1.005, 0.0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                apacity

MHYDOUT=["FFchan"], NHYDIn=["FFout"], RDT=[1](min),
CHLGTH=[10](m), CHSLOFE=[0.10](%), FFSLOFE=[0.10](%),
SECNUM=[1.0], NSEG=[3]
```

```
( SEGROUSE, SEGDIST (m)) = {0.08 - 0.25, -0.035 0.25, 0.08 2.80} NSEG times { DISTANCE (m), ELEMOTION (m)} = { (-2.80, 10.23, 0.138) } { (-0.25, 101.38) } { (-0.00, 10.138) } { (-0.00, 10.138) } { (-0.00, 10.138) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 10.238) } { (-0.00, 1
TZERO=[0.0], METONT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[001]
["25MeWC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                  Chicago Storm

TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[002]

["002YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                  Chicago Storm

TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010]
["010YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM t
                                                                                           thicago Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[025]
["025YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for N
                                                                                      Chicago Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[050]
["050YC3H.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTOR
                                                           3-Hour Chicago Storm
TERCH[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NSUN=[099]
["100YGH.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
1 (*1007CH.stm.) > sec. |
1 2-Year, 24-Hour SES Storm | TEBO-(0.0), METOUT-(2), NETOUN-(1), METON-(102)
STATT | TEBO-(0.0), METOUT-(2), NETOUN-(1), METON-(102)
STATT | TEBO-(0.0), Attail (-storm filends, one par line for NETONN
                                                                                  SCS Storm
TZERC=[0.0], METOUT=[2], MSTORM=[1],
["SC24005x.stm"] <--storm filename of
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          NRUN=[105]
ne per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                           SCS Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METQUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[110]
["SC24010x.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time.
                                                                                         SCS Storm
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[125]
["SC24025x.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
*\ 50-Year, 24-Hour SCS Rform

*\$50-Year, 24-Hour SCS Rform

*\$5TART

TIBROs(0,0), *METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUNN=[150]

*\$180224050x,stn*] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                                                            yrm
.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[199]
.0x.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                   12-Bour SCS Storm (per City of Ottawa Carp River PCSW8M model)
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NEXOSM=[1], NEXU=[202]
["SCI2002c.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                    ar, 12-BourySCS Storm [per City of Octawa Carp River PCSMMM model]

TREBC=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTOMM=[1], NSUMM=[205]

["Sc12005c.stm"] <-storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                    TREEGE(0.0), METOUT-(2), NSTORMS-(1), NRINN=(205)

("GC12005.c.Ahr) <-storm (1)finame, one per line for NSTORM time
("GC12005.c.Ahr) <-storm (1)finame, one per line for NSTORM time
TERGO(0.0), NRTOUT-(2)/ NSTORM-(1), NRINN=(2)0

TERGO(0.0), NRTOUT-(2)/ Tilename, one per line for NSTORM time
("Sci2010.c.ahr) <-storm ("linemam, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                    TERC=[0.0], MBTOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[225]

["SCI2025c.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                      'TERROGOOO', METOUT-2(), REPOUT-2(), REPO
                                                                                      | TSLIAUSUSTAN | ITS SET STORM (FOR THE STORM ST
                                                                1979 Storm - Ottawa International Airport
TEBRO=[0.0], MEROUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[979]
[*19790701.stm*] <--atorn filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                          996 Storm - Ottawa International Airport
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[996]
["19960808.stm"] <-storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
                                                                                  rr Chicago Storm + 20%
TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[998]
["100YC3H+.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM time
```

 ${\it JFSAinc}$. ${\it Page 0}$

SESSE N N N N N N N Y Y N N OOO 222 000 11 333 SESSE N N N N N N N SESSE N N N N N N N	00183) CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOR ROUTE INTO FOOD AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND 001832 : ***********************************
S N N M M H H Y M M O O 222 0 0 11 333 OCT 2013 SSSSS N N M M H H Y M M OOO 2 0 0 11 3 ==============================	00185> ROUTE (RANNEL -> 10.0 02:Naj .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .00186> * [RDT-1.00] outc- 1.00 1:0VLFR .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .00187> [L/S/n= 10.7.700/.030]
	001000 p0001-000010 pm-1- Thirtyp appear m-1-branch bloom pro-
A single event and continuous hydrologic simulation model based on the principles of HYMO and its successors OTHENMO-83 and OTHENMO-89.	00193> [L/S/n= 10./ 100/.035] 00194> (Vmax= .186:Dmax= .205) 00195>
Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. Ctawa, Ontario: (613) 836-884 Gatinous, Quebec: (83) 243-688	00196> # STORMS 00197> *** END OF RUN : 1
E-Mail: swmhymo@jfsa.Com	00200>
Licensed user: JFSA inc	00203> 00204> 00205>
The state of the s	00205> KUR4:COMMAND10 002075 M0002:C00001
Maximum value for 10 numbers : 1	00210> METOUTE 2 (simperial, 2=metric output) 00211> METOUME 1 00212> METOUME 1 00213> #
SUMMARY OUTPUT	00214> # SMMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <beta> / INPUT DATA FILE</beta>
* RUN DATE: 2023-09-25 TIME: 23:41:59 RUN COUNTER: 002810 *	00216-8 Project Name : [Sittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy] 00217-9 Project Name: [1232-13] 00218-9 Date : 20216/00/16 Project Name: 100218-9 Date : 20216-90/16 Project Name: 100218-9 Date : 20216-90/16 Project Name: 100218-9 Date : 20216-90/16 Project Name: 100218-90 Date : 20216-90/16 Project Name: 100218-90/16
Input file: T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SMRMYMO\202309 Add Area\David ff.dat Output file: T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SMRMYMO\202309 Add Area\David ff.out Summary file: T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SMRMYMO\202309 Add Area\David ff.out User comments.	00221> # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LF] 00222> # Updated : 2017/03/22 [LF]
2:	00224> # Updated : 2017/09/18 [LP] 00225> # Updated : 2023/07/04 [LP]
	00228> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 00229> # License # : 2582634
# SMMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <beta> / INPUT DATA FILE</beta>	00231> R0002:C00002- 00232> READ STORM
# Project Name : [Stitzville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy] # Project Name: [1223-13] # Date # Date : 2015/09/06 p. Fing. # Updated : 2015/09/06 p. Fing. # Updated : 2015/09/06 p. Fing.	002335 Filename storm.01 002345 Comment = CHICAD STORM, Y war, 3 Mours 002355 BOOT STORM = 3 GOFFTON ST. 66) 002375 BOOT STORM = 3 GOFFTON ST. 66)
# Updated : 2016/98/24 [LP] # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LP] # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LP] # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LP] # Updated : 2017/03/12 [LP] # Updated : 2017/03/13 [LP] #	002375 DEFAULT VALUES 002385 Filename = T:\Prad\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SWMHYWD\202309 Add Area\Ottawa.val 002385 CAREAV = 1 (sead and pgfnt data) 002405 Filentile = Filenoments (Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects)
# Updated : 2017/09/18 [LP] # Updated : 2023/07/04 [LP] # Updated : 2023/07/12 [LP]	00241> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM
# Updated : 2023/09/25 [LE] # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates # License # : 2582634	002435 [Fe- V6_2D ma/ke] Fe-13_2D ma/ke] [CANP 4.14 /me] [Fe 00 mm] 002445 [Fe 0.24 /me] [Fe 0.24 /me] 002455 [Fe] [Fe] [Fe] [Fe] [Fe] [Fe] [Fe] [Fe]
RUN#: COMMAND# 40001:COMMAND# START	00248> Parameters used in NASHYD: 00249> [Ia= 4.67 mm] (N= 3.00) 00250> Average monthly Yam Evaporation data in (mm)
[TIERD = .00 hrs on 0] [MRTOUT= 2 ([=imperial, 2=metric output)] [NSTORM= 1] [NRUN = 0001]	002475 [Talings 1957 mm] (CLT : 1.50) [DRIT - 03.3] 002485 Faramaters used in MAREPTO 002240 America 4.6 of 1.0 mm
0001:C00002 READ STORM Filename = storm.001	00252
Comment = 25 MM BASED ON CHICAGO STORM 2 Year, 3 Hours [SDT=10.00:SDUR= 3.00:PTC= 25.00] 0001:C00003	00258> # PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS
DEFAULT VALUES Filename : Tried and print deal or Standard Value (Tried and Standard Value) Filename : Tried and print deal or Standard Value (Tried and Standard Anderson Standard Value (Tried and Sta	002619 R0002;C00004
Horton's infiltration equation parameters: [Fo- 76.20 mm/hr] [Fo-13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY 4.14 /hr] [F00 mm]	002255 Histor systems hydrograph provided September 2007 by 121 Group 002257 Histor systems hydrograph provided September 2007 by 121 Group 002257 REBALITO 1.0 011Min 27.35 3.22 No date 1.09 18.36 n/s 002258 FileRame = T:\PROV11221-11/1021079 PRO SENIORAL Design/Hampfor/202099 Add Research 2017, 002259 FileRame = T:\PROV11221-11/1021079 PRO SENIORAL Design/Hampfor/202099 Add Research 2017, 002259 FileRame = T:\PROV11221-11/1021079 PRO SENIORAL DESIGN/FILERAL D
Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: [IAper= 4.67 mm] [IAP=40.00 m] [MMP= .250] Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:	00268> Filename = T:\PBOJ11123-133\Q11709 FDB Subm2\Dosign\Sym69YMO\202309 Add Area\Maj 00269> Comment = MAJON SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TRANNY'S (SPETMER 2017, 0027D-####################################
[IAisps 1.57 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [MHI= .013] Parameters used in MASSPIT: [Iam 4.67 mm] [Nm 3.00] Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm)	002726 February 1982 198
JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	02275 DESIGN STANDBYD 1.0 01:Pond 3.07 .262 No date 1:00 15.59 .489 .0 02276 (XXMP=.45:TIMP=.45) 00277 [SLE=_50:CT=1.00] 00.278 110587 i : BORTONE]
00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00279> # Proposed Additional Area Requested by DSEL, September 2023 00280 NO002:000007
SPOORED DEVELOPMENT - FREE COUTALL STERCOGRAPS Minor system hybrograph provided September 2017 by IN Group ONLINEOUS - DEVELOPMENT - AND STERCOGRAPS ONLINEOUS - DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT - AND STERCOGRAPS ONLINEOUS - DEVELOPMENT - D	00282> (XLMP=.66:TLMP.71) 00283> (SLP=.50:DTP=1.00) 00284> (LDS=1 : HORTOMS) 00285>
Filename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SymMYMO\202309 Add Area\Min	00286> # TOTAL INFLOWS TO SWM FACILITY 00287>
Major system Nytorgaph provided September 2017 by EE G.	00289 N002E000099 - Prmin-T0:NNYT - AREAN-GPMAXCH-Tp-akKnet hh:mm - RVhm-R.C NN 00289 AG NYO 1.0 021MH2 7.5 5.227 M. date 1:09 18.46 n/a 002915 + 1.0 021Fmnd 2.7 5.2 5.20 M. date 1:09 18.46 n/a 002915 + 1.0 021Fmnd 2.77 126 No-date 1:00 15.59 n/a 002925 + 1.0 021Fmnd 2.47 13.50 No-date 1:00 15.59 n/a
FILENAMM = TIPROVATICS-13/20109 FUR SUGMAZIVESTUR INSUREDVOLUZIUS AND AND AFRONMAJ Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM MOVIDSON OO-TENANDY (SEPTEMBER 2017,	002915 + 1.0 02:Fond 3.07 .242 No date 1:00 15.59 n/s 02925 + 1.0 02:Fond 4.13 .501 No,date 1:00 15.59 n/s 02925 + 1.0 02:Add1 4.13 .501 No,date 1:00 12.79 n/s 02925 - 1.0 02:Pan 1.0 03:Pan 44.75 3,653 No,date 1:00 18.48 n/s 02925 - 1.0 02:Pan 1.0 03:Pan 44.75 3,653 No,date 1:00 18.48 n/s 02925 - 1.0 02:Pan 1.0 03:Pan
Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL ORDICOLOGOG	00250- Industry Control and permanent pool requirements based on MSC quidelines models of the control and permanent pool requirements based on MSC quidelines models of the control requirements of the control requirements. 002509- Frunct Volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements.
DESIGN STANDRYD 1.0 01:Fond 3.07 .197 No_date 1:01 10:93 .437 .000 (XIMP=.455 INF=.45) (SLP=.50:DT=1.00) (LOSS=1 : 1.0 BORTONS)	00301> # "Faulkner Drain Hydrotechnical Update" by Novatech.
Proposed Additional Area Requested by DSSL, September 2023 10001:00007	00303> R0002:C00009DTmin-ID:NHVDAREAha-QFEAKCMS-TpeakBate hh:mmRVmm-8.CDWF 00303> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.002:Fin 44.75 3.665 No date 1:08 18.48 n/a 00305> 0016
XXM=.66:TMM=.71 [SIR=.50:TM-1.01] [LOSS= 1: NORTONS]	00306> owerlaw < 1.0 03:Prov . 00 .00 No.date 0:00 0 n/a 00307> (Mastcalead, 7908:00 03, 7050074012.00008:00 m3, No-Ort 0, 7001007012 0.0008 00308> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Mater Level = 102.77 m) 00308> M0002:000010 - 000011-10:NNVV - AREA%-ORGANCS-TeackEnds hims - Very RS. C,TOWN
TOTAL INFLOWS TO SMM FACILITY 001:C00008	00310> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Pin 44.75 3.665 No date 1:08 18.48 n/a 00311> * 001 t = 1.0 01:PROUT 44.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a 00312> overflow c 1.0 03:PROVT 00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a
ADD HYD 1.0 02:Maj 37.55 2.508 No date 1:09 15.14 n/a .000 + 10.0 02:Maj .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 + 1.0 02:Pond 3.07 .19 No date 1:01 10.93 n/a .000	00313> (MxStoUsed=.827ZE+00 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs} 00314> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m) 00315> # 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure
+ 1.0 02-Add1 4.13 .379 No data 1:03 16.15 n/a .000 SUM= 1.0 019Fin 44.75 2.841 No data 1:08 14.94 n/a .000	00316 R002:C0001 - FRMIN-ID:NETD - AREAM OFEARCHS-Peakkets hh:mm - FRMIN-R.C CMM 00319 ROUTE RESERVOIR - 10 02:Fin 44.75 .00 No.date 0:00 10 04.8 / 00319 004 01 01 04.8 / 004 04.7 .00 0318 004 04.0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
SMM FACTUREY Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on MOS guidelines for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm	00321> ####################################
unoff volume for 72 hours serimated for unknown erosion control requirements, 5 and 100-yeapt target rebease rates as per Table 3 of the April 2016 Faulkner Drain Rydroschnical Update* by Novatech. Train Rydroschnical Update* by Novatech.	00323> ###################################
THE OUTSIL Conditions STATE Conditions Conditions STATE Conditions STATE Conditions Cond	00326- SANVE NYD NYDE-[*Firs], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICAREAhe[1] 00323- # Seve Bood Control From Indicate The Authority of the 00328- # Seve Bood Control From Indicate The Calculating Average Velocity in the 00330- # Foreboy Oracing the 00330- # FOREBOY ORACLE NYDE-[*FORET, # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICAREAhe[1]
(MxStoUsed=.6063E+00 m3, TotOvfvol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)	00331> # HYD COMMENT=["Pond Outfow - Free Outfall Conditions"] 00332> # Save Pond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves
ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Pin 44.75 2.841 No date 1:08 14.94 n/s .000 out <= 1.0 01:Fbout 44.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/s .000 overflow <= 1.0 03:Fbout .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/s .000	00333> # For Use in IBI Group's NGL Analysis of the Opstreas Subdivision 00334> # Sapus HVD NETHOR THOUGHT # OF PECTUSES-[-1], CORRESH-[1] 00335> # HTT_COMMENTE("Fond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions") 00335- # HTT_COMMENTE("Fond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions")
Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Nater Level = 102.77 m) 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure 100% PROMITED TO THE PROMITED TO	00337> # CHECK CAPACTIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO FOND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND 00339> # Overland Flow Route Capacity
MODITORIO TOTAL - ID-NHVT	00341> ROUTE CHANNEL -> 10.0 02:Maj .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a . 00342> * [RDT=1.00] out<- 1.0 01:CVLFR .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a . 00343> [L/s/n= 10./ .700/.030]
[Noticolsect.6682+00 m3, Tocorrols.00008+00 m3, N-Over 0, Tocharove 0.htm]	003444 (Wage .001:maxe .006) 003455 # Outlet Channel Capacity 003465 # 0002:C00013- "Drmin-TD:NNYDAREANa-OPEANCHS-TpoakCate hh:mmRVmm-R.CCMV 003465 # R0002:C00013- "Drmin-TD:NNYDAREANa-OPEANCHS-TpoakCate hh:mmRVmm-R.CCMV 003475 # R0UTE CHARMEL -> 1.0 02:FF001 44.75 .051 No.date 3:29 18.48 n/a .
Save Pond Inflow Mydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves For Use in IBI Group's MGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision	00349> [L/S/n= 10./ .100/.035] 44./5 .051 No_date 3:31 18.48 h/a .
<pre>\$ Save Road Inflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves # For Use In IBI Group's Bill Analysis of the Upperman Subdivision #SAVE HYD #REMOTIFIED FOR PRINCES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] # WYO COMMENTE/Fond Inflow; # Save Fond Outflow Rydrograph For Use In Calculating Average Velocity in the # Forebash Purion to 10-Year 150mm</pre>	00350> (Vmax= 193 tmax= .225) 00351> ### 00352> # STORMS 00353> ### 00353> ##
Forebay During the 10-Year Storm ####################################	00354> END OF RUN : 4 00355> 00356>
	Longe (N

```
| SET-10.00:EDUM: 5.00:FTOT= 71.66|
| SET-10.00:EDUM: 5.00:FTOT= 71.66|
| SET-10.00:EDUM: 5.00:FTOT= 71.66|
| SET-10.00:EDUM: 5.00:FTOT= 71.66|
| Filename of Tiread and print data]
| Filename of Tiread and print data|
| Filename of Tiread and PRAMAMETRES AND USED IN TRED ESTION STANDAY COM
| HOSTION: SIGNATURE OF TIREAD ON THE PRINT OF TIREAD ON THE PRINT ON T
                                        <u>.....</u>
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  0009000 INFORMATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Oral Introduct to monopolities | Oral Introduct | Oral Interduct | Oral Introduct | Oral Introduct | Oral Introduct | Oral Interduct | Oral Int
                                SAVE NTG

SAVE NTG

AND STORM

SAVE NTG

SAVE 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        004409 f aNVE NTO NNYDE("PROUS"), # OF ETCLES=(-1), LADASSEN[-1],
004409 f ...
004479 f ...
0044
{MxStoUsed=.1202E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf⇒ 0, TotDbrOvf=
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         · (Vmax= .266:Dmax= .559)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         + 510005
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              (Vmax= .206:lmax= .271)
                                    * TORMS

** END OF RUN: 98
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                006895 | Telephone | Telephone
                                                                 START
[TZERO = .00 hrs on 0]
[METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)]
                                        [NSTORM= 1 ]
[NRUN = 0099 ]
```

00721> 00722>	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	00901> [SLF= .50:DT= 1.00] 00902> [LOSS= 1: HORTONS]	
00723> 00724> 00725> 00726>	# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS	00905) # Proposed Additional Area Requested by OSEL, September 2021 00905 0000	cms 000
00728> 00729> 00730> 00731> 00732>	R0102:C00004	0.0500 1.0080 1. MONTONIN	cms
	Filename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SMMBYMO\202309 Add Area\Maj Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017,	00915> + 1.0 02:Add1 4.13 .613 No.date 12:01 46:90 n/a .0 00917> SUM= 1.0 01:Fin 44.75 5.267 No.date 11:57 40.25 n/a .0 00918> ************************************	000
00740> 00741> 00742> 00743> 00744> 00745>	# Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DBEL 80010:000006-00	0032D) ************************************	
00745> 00746> 00747> 00748> 00749>	SLEF .001DT 1.001	00927 R0105:C00005	cms 000 000
00751> 00752> 00753> 00754> 00755>	[SLE= .50:CPT= 1.00] [LOSS=1 1. INSTONES] ####################################	00935> ** Out <= 1.0 01:FRout 44.75 5.030 No date 24:25 5.50 n/a .0	
00758>	+ 10.0 02:Maj .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 + 1.0 02:Fond 3.07 .220 No date 12:01 25.12 n/a .000	00938> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m) 00939> # 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure	
00763> 00764> 00765> 00766> 00767> 00768> 00769>	# SDM FACILITY # Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on NDE guidelines # for enhanced quality control for wet pools. Extended detention of the 25 mm # 20 mm	00943> overflow <= 1.0 03:FRBoVT 0.00 .000 % date 0 000 .00 /s 0.00 % date 0 0.00 0	000
00771> 00772> 00773> 00774> 00775>	# Free Outfail Conditions Free Outfail Conditions 101870	00945) # Save Pond Inflow Engineeraph For Use In Friending Mend Stage-Time Curves 009450 # Save Pond Inflow Engineeraph For Use In Friending Mend Stage-Time Curves 009500 # SAVE HTD	
00779> 00780> 00781> 00782>	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	0095)* # For Use in IRI Group's_dist Analyses of the Operana Bubdivision 00950* # RENY BYD	
00785> 00786> 00787>	R0102:C00011	009503 Foreland Flow Note Cypacity	ms 100 100
00791> 00792> 00793> 00794>	#SAVE HYD NHYD=("Pin"), # OF PCYCLES=(-1), ICASEsh=[1]	009700 80105:C00013	:ms 300 300
00796> 00797> 00798> 00799> 00800>	# Save Fond Outflow Mydrograph For Use In Calculating Average Velocity in the # Foreday During the 10-fear # Services During 1	00976 # STORMS 009775 ** RING OF AUN: 198	
00805>	# CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND	009817 009827 009827 009827 009827 RIUS+TOMANDE 00987 RIUS+COOMS	
00808> 00809> 00810> 00811>	ROLDE/COUDIZ	(1987) NO1992COURD# 00 hrs on	
00814> 00815> 00816> 00817>	F Out let Channel capacity	00994> # SMMHWO Vers.02/Jan 2001 <eeta> / THRUI DATA FILE 00995> # COSSES # Project Name : (Stittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy) 01897> # Project Name : (131-131)</eeta>	
00820> 00821> 00822>	# STORMS END OF RUN : 104	00:980 + Date : 2025/03/06 00:980 + Robolly : Later Flykins, P.Rog. 10:001, # Updated : 2027/02/14 [LP] 01:002 + Updated : 2027/02/14 [LP]	
00825> 00826> 00827> 00828> 00829>		Olioby # Updated 2022/07/48 [LF]	
00831> 00832> 00833> 00834> 00835>	R0105:C00001	000119 #01997x00012-0 010129 #01997x00012-0 010129 #01997x00012-0 010139 Filemen = storm.001 010139 Committe = 100 years 205 Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa 010149 Committe = 100 years 24.0019707= 106.73 010149 #01994x00001-0 010159 #01994x00001-0 010159 #01994x00001-0	
00838>	# SWMHTWO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 (BETA) / INPUT DATA FILE # Project Name / (Stiftswille South - Davidson Co-Tenancy)	00155 R039ag.C0003- 00175 PEPULT VALUES 10175	
00842> 00843> 00844> 00845> 00846> 00847> 00848> 00849>	# Modeller : Laurs Pipkins, P.Engs # Updated : 2016/69/24 (LEE) # Updated : 2017/02/13 (LEE) # Updated : 2017/02/13 (LEE) # Updated : 2017/02/13 (LEE)	000225	
00850> 00851> 00852> 00853> 00854>	# Updated : 2023/07/12 [Ep] Updated : 2023/07/12 [Ep] Updated : 2023/07/12 [Ep] Updated : 5 25 366/usin and Associates	010300 Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 010311 3AN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP CCT NOV DEC 010312 0.00 0.	
00856> 00857> 00858> 00859> 00860>	READ STORM Filename = storm.001 Comment = 5 %pars SCS Type 2 Storm 24 Hours step 10 min, City of Ottawa [SDT78].00.0500Rm 2.4.00:PDDT 64.11]	01036> # 01037> ##ROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS 01038> # PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS 01034>	
00861> 00862> 00863> 00864> 00865> 00866> 00867>	RECOSTONORS TRANSPORT DEPOSIT OF THE STATE O	01042> READ MYD 1.0 01:Min 37.55 7.024 Mo date 11:58 61.42 n/a .0 01043> Filename = T:\PROJ\1122-13\201709 FDE Subm2\U00e4\sign\SMMMYMO\202309 Add Area\Min 01044> Comment = MINOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEFTEMBER 2017,	000
00867> 00868> 00869> 00870> 00871> 00872>	Parameters for FRVIOUS surfaces in TYANDEYD: [TAper= 4.67 mm] [LEF-00.00 m] [NET-2.50] Parameters for IMEMPYOUS surfaces in STANDEYD: [TAINERS 1.17 mm] [CLI-1.18] [DEET-0.13]	101645 R0195:C0005 INTERCONPENDING TO INTERCONPENDING TO INTERCONPENDING TRANSPORT PRACTICE PRODUCT TO INTERCONPENDING TO INT	
00873> 00874> 00875> 00876> 00877> 00878> 00879>	Tan	010539 Pratinage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DEEL	
00880> 00881> 00882> 00883> 00884> 00885>	# PROPOSED DEVILOPMENT - FREE CUTPALL HYDROGRAPHS # # PROPOSED DEVILOPMENT - FREE CUTPALL HYDROGRAPHS # # # HORD SYSTEM HYDROGRAPH PROVIDE STATE OF THE PROV	01660 80199.00007	:ms J00
00887>	NEAD HID 1.0 ULIMAN 3/.55 4.415 MO TO TO TO TO THE THE TO THE TOTAL THE	01669 # TOTAL INFLORMS TO SMM FACILITY 01669 # R0199:C000080	000
00892> 00893> 00894> 00895>	COMMENT - PROOF STATE FLOW FROM UNVIDOUS CO-LEMBER 2017,	01073> 500= 1.0 01911 44.73 8.830 NO GALGE 1210 64.39 II/A .0	000
00897> 00898> 00899> 00900>	# Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DEEL 80051c00006	0.070 = ##################################	

01081> # "F 01082> # Fr	aulkner Drain Hydrotechnical Update" by Novatech. se Outfall Conditions	01261> 01262>	# For Use in IBI Group's HGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision #SAME HYD NHYDe("Pin"), # OF FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] # HYD_COMMENT=("Fond inflow"]
01083> R019 01084> 01085>	9:C00009	01263> 01264> 01265>	# BAVE FORD OUTFIDE THE COMMENTS FORD INFO USE IN CALCULATING AVERAGE Velocity in the # Forebay During the 10-Fast Storm for Use in Calculating Average Velocity in the # Forebay During the 10-Fast Storm of ForeCuttabe(-1), ICASEMP(1) # HTD COMMENTS FOR PROJECT FOR PROJECT FORE OUTFIDE FORE OUTFI
01086> 01087> 01088> # Re	overflow <= 1.0 03:PForf .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 (MxStoUsed=.2352E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs) strictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m)	01266> 01267> 01268>	#SAVE HYD NHYD="FFout"], # OF FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] # HYD COMMENT=["Fond Outfow - Free Outfall Conditions"] # Save Fond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves
01089> R019 01090> 01091> *	9:C00010	01269> 01270> 01271>	# For Use in IBI Group's HGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision #SAVE HYD NHYD=["PROut"], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] # HYD COMMENT=["Fond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions"]
01092> 01093> 01094> # Re	overflow <= 1.0 03:PRovf 0.00 .000 No date 0:00 0.0 n/a .000 (MXSLOUSed=.254E+01 m3, Totorfvol=.0000E+00 m3, N-0+0f 0, Totourovf= 0.hrs) strictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Mater Level= 102.77 m)	01273>	# CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND
01095> # 10 01096> R019	0% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure 9:00001	01275> 01276> 01277>	# Overland Flow Route Capacity R0202:C00012
01098> * 01099> 01100> 01101> ####	Section Continue	01278> 01279> 01280> 01281>	* [RDT= 1.00] out<- 1.0 01:0VL FR .00 .000 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 [L/s/n= 10./ .700/.030]
011022 # 58	VE RIDS	01282> 01283> 01284>	# Outle Channel
01105> # Fo 01106> #SAV 01107> #	we Food inflow Bydrograph For Use in Frequency Then Stape-Time Curves Use in IRI CHOUP'S MRI Analysis of the Opstream Subdivision E MYO SHITOS ("Fair"), # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICAREAh=[1] HYO SHITOS ("GMESTE" [Pood Inflow")	01285> 01286> 01287>	[L/S/n= 10./ :100/.035] (Vmax= .199:Dmax= .246)
01108> # Sa 01109> # Fo	re rond dutriow Hydrograph for use in Calculating Average Velocity in the rebay During the 10-Year Storm	01288> 01289>	‡ STORMS ** END OF RUN : 204
01111> # 01112> # Sa	NTO_COMMENT= Tend Outfor = Free Outfall Conditions" ve Tond Outfirm Mystorgaph For Use in Frequenting Food Saga="fine Curres Use in IRI Group's MIL Analysis of the Upstrams Bubdivision 100 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 10		
01114> #SAV 01115> #	E HYD NHYD=["PRout"], # OF FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] HYD_COMMENT=["Fond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions"]	01294> 01295> 01296>	
01116> #### 01117> # CH 01118> ####	ECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND	01297>	RUN#:COMMAND#
01120> R019 01121>	Priand Flow Moute Capacity 9:C00012	01300> 01301>	R0205:C00001- START [TZERO = .00 hrs on 0]
01122> * 01123> 01124>	######################################	01302> 01303> 01304>	[TIERO = .00 hrs on 0] [METOUT = 2 (lsimperial, 2=metric output)] [MICONE = 2 (lsimperial, 2=metric output)]
01125> # 00 01126> R019 01127>	PicO013	01305> 01306> 01307>	# SMRHIMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 (BETA) / INFUT DATA FILE # Project Name : [Stittaville South - Davidson Covienancy] # Project Number: [1123-13] # Date: 2015/03/06
01128> 01129> 01130>	RUTH 1.00 OUTC- 1.00 01:Perchan 44.75 .558 NO_Date 12:55 64.39 n/a .000	01308> 01309> 01310>	# Project Number: [It23-13] # Date : 2015/05/06
01132> # ST 01133> ####	DRMS	01311>	# Modeller : Laura Fipkins, F.Eng. # Updated : 2016/08/24 (LP)
01134> **	END OF RUN : 201	01314> 01315> 01316>	+ Updated : 2017/03/13 [UF] † Updated : 2017/03/12 [UF] † Updated : 2017/09/18 [UF]
01137> 01138> 01139> 01140>		01317> 01318> 01319>	# Updated 2017/09/14 [EP] Updated 2017/09/14 [EP] Updated 2017/09/16 [EP] Updated 2017/09/16 [EP] Updated 2017/09/12 [EP]
	#:COMMAND#	01320> 01321> 01322>	# Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates # License # : 2582634
01143> R020 01144> 01145>	2:00001	01323> 01324> 01325>	R02031C00002
01146>	START [TIERG = .00 hrs on 0] [METOUT = 2 (l=imperial, 2=metric output)] [INSTORM = 1] [INSTORM = 1]	01326> 01327> 01328>	Comment = 5 years Scs Type 2 Storm 12 Hours step 15 min, as per Carp River U1 [SUX=15.00:SDUX= 12.00:PTOT= 57.60] R0205:C00003
	MORITHO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 (RETA> / INPUT DATA FILE roject Name : [Stittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy]	01329> 01330> 01331>	DEFAULT VALUES Filename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SWMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Ottawa.val ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)
01152> # P 01153> # P 01154> # D	roject Number: [1123-13] ate : 2015/05/06	01332> 01333> 01334>	DEFAULT VALUES Filename = T\PDA\1121\Align=100 pfm subm2\pssign\SNOWIND\20309 Add Area\Octawa.val Filename = T\PDA\1121\Align=100 and print data describes fity of Octawa Projecta Filentime = The Following = ARMANTERS ABOUT SUBSED IN THE DESIGN STANDWOYD COM Horton's infiltration equation parameters: [For %6.00 m/n] [Forl3.40 mm/n] [Odd: 4.14 /hr] [F = .00 mm]
01155> # M 01156> # U 01157> # U	odeller : Laura Pipkins, P.Eng. dated : 2016/08/24 [LF] dated : 2017/02/13 [LF]	01335> 01336> 01337>	[Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fo=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] Parameters for PENVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: [Inner 4.67 mm] [Inner 0.00 mm] [Mm] [Inner 280]
01158> # U 01159> # U	pdated : 2017/03/22 [LP] dated : 2017/09/13 [LP] dated : 2017/09/18 [LP]	01338> 01239> 01340>	[IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013]
01161> # U 01162> # U	pdated : 2023/07/04 [LP]	01341> 01342> 01343>	[In= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] Awerage monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm)
01164> # C 01165> # L	ompany : J.F. Sabourin and Associates	01344> 01345>	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
	2:C00002	01346> 01347> 01348>	JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
01169> 01170> 01171>	Table Name = storm.001 Comment = 2 years SGS Type 2 Storm 12 Hours step 15 min, as per Carp River U1 [SST=15.00:SDUR= 12.00:FTOT= 43.20]	01349> 01350> 01351>	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS
01173>		01352> 01353> 01354>	# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - TREE CUTTALL WITHOUTARES ### PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - TREE CU
01175> 01176> 01177> 01178>	LEALMAN	01356> 01357>	Comment = MINOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TEXANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017, # Major system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IBI Group
01179> 01180> 01181>	THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDWIND COM HOTTON'S INTIFICATION EQUATION PARAMETERS (NEE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDWIND (FOR 1.2.0 mm/hr] [FC=1.2.0 mm/hr] [FC=1.2.0 mm/hr] [FC=1.2.0 mm/hr] [FC=1.0 m	01359> 01360> 01361>	READ BYD 15.0 01.Maj 0.00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 Fifename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SWMHYWO\202309 Add Area\Maj
01182> 01183> 01184>	Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: [IAImp 1.57 mm] [CLIE 1.50] [MMII .013] Parameters used in MASFED: [ITS 4.67 mm] [ms 3.00]	01362> 01363> 01364>	FROCOSO FOND BLOCK
	[Is= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm) Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm)	01365> 01366> 01367>	# Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL models of this models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the models of the models of the provided by DSEL models of the mod
01188> . 01189> 01190> J	Tas	01369>	(XIM=.35:TIM=.45) (SIF=.50:DT=1.00)
		01371> 01372> 01373>	# Prophysical Additional Area Requested by DELL, September 2021 R0205:(0007) =
01194> # PR 01195> #### 01196> # Mi	OPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAFHS		
01197> R020 01198> 01199>	nor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IRI Group [2000064	01378>	# TOTAL INFLOMS TO SMM FACILITY
	Comment = MINOR SYSTEM FLOW FROW DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017, journal of the comment of	01380> 01381> 01382>	R0205:C00008
01203> 01204> 01205>		01383> 01384> 01385>	### R205:C00008
01206> #### 01207> # PR	DPOSED FOND BLOCK	01386>	# SWM FACILITY
01209> # Dr 01210> R020 01211>		01389> 01390> 01391>	Equality control and parameter pool requirements based on MEE quisalines * for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm * runoff volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements. * 2-, 5- and 100-year target releaser stees a per Table 3 of the April 2016
01213>	[SHF . JULIE 1.00)	01394>	# "Faulkner Drain Hydrotechnical Opdate" by Novatech. # Free Outfall Conditions
01215> # Pr 01216> R020 01217>	oposed Additional Area Requested by DSEL, September 2023 2:c00007	01395> 01396> 01397>	R0205;C00009
01218> 01219> 01220>	[XIMP=.66:TIMP=.71] [SLP=.50:DT=1.00] [LOSS=1: HORTONS]	01398> 01399> 01400>	overflow <= 1.0 03:PFovf .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 (MxStoUsed=.1376E+01 m3, ToCDvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurovf= 0.hrs) # Restrictive Dommstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m)
01223> ####	TAL INFLOWS TO SHAW FACILITY	01401> 01402> 01403>	R0205:C00010
01224> R020 01225> 01226> 01227>			
01227> 01228> 01229>	LLC ALD 1.0 0.05 Mg 3.00 1.00 No date 0.00 1.00 n/a 1.000 1.00 No date 0.00 1.00 n/a 1.000 1.00 No date 0.00 No date 0.00 1.00 No date 0.00		
012317 + 5%	A PACIENT	01410> 01411> 01412>	NAVOSCOUDITES TO THE
01233> # Qu 01234> # fo 01235> # ru	allry control and permanent pool regularments based on der guidalfras enhanced quality control for we brooks. Extended opfents on 65 bits 25 ms. Infer volume for IT Downs serianted for unknown buyden control requirements. But the property of the propert	01414>	# SAVE HIDS
U1238> # FY	me Outrail Conditions	01416> 01417> 01418>	# Save Pond Indice Mydrograph For Use In Freparing Fond Stape-Time Curves # For Use in IBS Group's Mol Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision #SAVE US For FCYCLES-[-1], ICASEab-[1] # HYC COMMUNIT-[Fond Indice]
01240> 01241>	Se Outfall Conditions 2:000099		# HYD_COMEMNET["Fond inflow"] # Save Fond Outflow Hydrograph For Use in Calculating Average Velocity in the # Forebay During the 10-Year Storm # FOrebay During the 10-Year Storm NHYDC["FFout"], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]
01242> 01243> 01244> # Re	overflow <= 1.0 03:PFovf 0.00 0.00 No date 0.00 .00 n/a .000 (MxStoUsed.9912E+00 m3, TotOuTOVf= 0, TotOuTOVf= 0.hrs) strictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Lawel = 102.77 m)	01423> 01424>	# HYD_COMMENT=["Pond Outfow - Free Outfall Conditions"] # Save Pond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves
01247> *	out <= 1.0 01:PRout 44.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000		# For Use in IEI Group's HGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision #SAME HYD NHYDC "FRout"], # OF FCYCLES-[-1], ICASEAD*[1] # HYD_COMMENT=["Fond Outfor Restrictive O/S Conditions"]
01249>	(MxStoUsed=.1157E+01 m3, TotovfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurovf= 0.hrs)	01429> 01430>	# CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM POND
01251> # 10 01252> R020 01253>	10 1.0	01431> 01432> 01433>	PAGE GOODS
01255> 01256> 01257>	Out <= 1.0 01:PRBOUT 44.73 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 Overflow <= 1.0 03:PRBOUT .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 (MOSTOLOGICAL 1578+01 mi, TotOrTV01=0000E+00 mi), M-Vuft = 0, TotDurfvuf	01435> 01436>	ROUTE CHANNEL > 15.0 02:04mig 00. 000 No date 0:00 .00 n/s .000
01258> # SA 01259> ####			# Outlet Channel Capacity R0205:00013
		01440>	120 MO GREE 10:07 30.32 N/8 .000

01445> [2/g/m 10,/100/.035] 01445> (Peaze .227; Deaze .359) 01445>	01621> # Project Number: [1122-13] 01622> # Exec : 2015/05/06 01622> # Nobeller : Larar Pipkins, F.Eng. 01626> # Updated : 2015/08/04 [EP] 01626> # Updated : 2015/08/04 [EP]
0.1445) ** BID OF RIDI : 209 0.1447) *** 0.1449 ****	01620 # Updated : 2017/03/22 [LP] 01627 # Updated : 2017/03/22 [LP] 01627 # Updated : 2017/08/13 [LP] 01628 # Updated : 2017/08/18 [LP] 01629 # Updated : 2027/07/48 [LP]
014515 014525 014535 014535 RUNR : COMMANDE	0.651) # Updated : 2023/99/25 [LF] 0.1652) # Company : J. T. Rabourin and Associates 0.1633) # License # : 2592634
14539 MINITURED = .00 hrs on	01650 READ STORM 016975 Filename = Storm.001 016985 Comment = 25 years SCS Type 2 Storm 12 Hours step 15 min, calculated as per C 01698
01464> # Project Name : (Stittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy) 01465> # Project Number: (1123-13)	01643> DEFAULT VALUES 01643> Filename = TiPROV1123-13/201709 PDB Subm2\Design\EMMSYMY\202309 Add Area\Ottawa.val 01643> ICASED = 1 (read and print data) 01643> Filenties File (comment: [Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects]
01460 * Date : 2013/03/06 01460 * Bodeller : Laura Philina, P.Eng. 01460 * Bodeller : 2014/03/24 [LF] 01460 * Bodeller : 2014/03/24 [LF] 01460 * Bodeller : 2014/03/24 [LF] 01470 * Bodeller : 2014/03/24 [LF]	0.0460> Norton's infiltration equation parameters [Fe = 6.20 mm/hr] [Cen24.20 mm/hr] [Cen24.4 /hr] [Fe = .00 mm] [0.1440> Farameters for PREVIOUS surfaces in TRADBUTCH [0.1440> Farameters for INDEVIOUS surfaces in TRADBUTCH [0.1450> Farameters for INDEVIOUS surfaces in STADBUTCH [0.1450> Farameters for INDEXIVOUS surfaces in STADBUTCH [0.1
014715 # Opdated : 2017/09/13 [LF] 014725 # Opdated : 2017/09/18 [LF] 014735 # Opdated : 2023/07/04 [LF] 014735 # Opdated : 2023/07/12 [LF]	01651> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013]
01476 # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 01477 # 1.1cmss # : 2282634 01478 # :	01653> [Iam 4.67 mm] [N=3.00] (1 0.00 mm)
01480> READ STORM 01481> Filename = 6 years 80E Type 2 Storm 12 Hours step 15 min, as per Carp River U 01481> [SUT-15.00.15078- 12.00.9FDVT- 67.20] 01483> [SUT-15.00.15078- 12.00.9FDVT- 67.20]	01660 #
LEAGULT VALUES	01655) 2155-2165-2165-2165-2165-2165-2165-2165-
01490 BOTCOn's infiltration equation parameters: 014915 [For 76.20 mm/hr] [Fors.1.20 mm/hr] [CDAT* 4.14 /hr] [F = .00 mm] 014935 Farameters for FERVIOUS surfaces in STANDERD: 014935 [Aper 4.67 mm] [LDR=0.00 mm] [MBPR-2.50] 014945 Farameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDERD: 01495 [Almgm 1.57 mm] [LDT=1.00] [MBPR-2.50]	01672> Filename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SWMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Maj 01673> Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TEMANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017,
01495> [IAImps 1.97 mm] [CLT= 1.50] [NMI= .013] 01496> Farameters used in MAREMO:	01676) # PROFUSED FORM BLOCK 01676 * Trainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL 01678 * Drzinage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL 01678 * ROZZS-C00006 * DTML-TD[NRYD * AREAN-DEAKCMS-TpeakDate hh:mm - RVmm-R.C DWFCms
015000 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	01679> DESIGN STANDEYD 1.0 007Fond 3.07 .558 No date 6:01 48.27.614 .000 01860> [XXMP4.45171Es-45] 01670- 01680> [XXMP4.45171Es-45] 01682> [LOSS = 1.6 MOXTONS] 01682> [ROSS = 1.6 MOXTONS] 01682= [RO
0.1505-> ************************************	01695> DESIGN STAURNER 1.0 01Addl 4.63 .950 No.date 6:00 60.44.769 .000 01666> [NUMM-6.65TREAT.7.0] 01Addl 4.63 .950 No.date 6:00 60.44.769 .000 01667> [Size .50:TRE 1.00] 01697> [Size .50:TRE 1.00]
01510 READ HTD 1.0 011Min 77.55 4.90 No date 5.52 43.60 n/a .000	01690 # TOTAL INFLOME TO SMY SACILITY 01692 R0225:C00000
01516> Filename = T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SMMHTMD\202309 Add Area\Maj 01517> Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017,	01595> + 15.0 025mag
01515b # RODOGRID FROM BLOCK 01525D # ROTOGRID FROM BLOCK 01525D # Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DEEL 01525D # Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DEEL 01525D # DRAINAGE PROVIDED FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM	0.0700-14444444444444444444444444444444444
013255 [SLP=.50:079-1.00] 013265 [LOSS=1: HORTONE] 013267-\$ Proposed Additional Area Requested by DSEL September 2023 013277-\$ Proposed Additional Area Requested by DSEL September 2023 01327-010078-	021705 **aulkner brais Begiorechnical Opdate* by Novaech, 021706 Free Guffall Condition Trans. 17:111707 ALEBAN CHEMICAN PROBLEMS Trans. 17:11707 021706 Free Guffall Condition Trans. 17:111707 ALEBAN CHEMICAN PROBLEMS Trans. 17:1170 021706 DESCRIPTION Trans. 17:1170 Trans. 17:1170 Trans. 17:1170 021707 DESCRIPTION Trans. 17:1170 Trans. 17:
01530> (XIME=.66:TIME=.71) 01531> (SIE=.50:TIP=.1.00) 01532> (LOSS= 1: HORTONS)	01710> overflow <= 1.0 03:FFour .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 01712 (NoStOUSed(#1925840) 3, TotoVVol-0.000E-00 m3, No-Vor= 0, TotoUrrorf = 0.hrs) 01712 # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Mater Level = 102.79 m) 0.hrs 0.h
01535> # OTAL INFLOWS TO NOW FACILITY 01536> B0210:00008DTMin-101NNTOAREANDEALGems-Tepsakhate hhimmRVmm-R.CDMFcms 01537> ADD NYD 1.0 021Min 3 7:55 4 990 No date 5:52 43.60 n/a .000 01538> + 15.0 021Min 3 .00 .000 No date 0:000 .000 No date 0:00	0.01140 ROUTE RESERVOIS 1.0 0.0781m 48.75 7.108 No.date 5555 50.79 n/a .000 0.0125 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 0.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 0.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 0.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 0.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 0.000 0.000 0.000 .000
01540 + 1.0 01540al 4.13 .756 % Cate 6.00 50.53 1/4 .005 01540 + 1.0 01540 4.75 5.75 8 % Cate 6.00 50.53 1/4 .005 01540 - 1.0 01540 4.75 5.75 8 % Cate 6.00 50.53 1/4 .005 01540 + 1.0 01540 1.0 015	10172 100 mg/state of 100 mg/state 101 mg/st
0.14%) # Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on NXE guidelines 0.14%) # for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25/mm 0.14%) # control volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements. 0.14%) # 22. 3 - and 100-year trarget chicales rates as per Table 3 of the April 0.016 0.14%) # 72MLMORT brain skyletchical Update 79 Mooratech.	01726> # SAVE HYDS
0.1555 PATRIC DOLLO PATRIC DE PROPERTIES PATRIC DE PROPERTIES PATRIC DE PROPERTIES	01729 * Bave Pood Brillow Bydrograph For Use In Freparing Food Brage-Time Curves 01729 * For Use in Int Group's Bilt Analysis of the Opstream Subdivision 01730 * ENVE WID MRTD=[*Plan*], # OF FCTCLESE[-1], ECRESH=[1] 01730 * ENVE WID MRTD=[*Plan*], # OF FCTCLESE[-1], ECRESH=[1] 01732 * Foreshy Option of the Option of the Option Option Option 01733 * Foreshy Option of the Option Option Option Option 01733 * Foreshy Option Option Option Option Option Option Option 01733 * Foreshy Option Option Option Option Option Option Option Option 01733 * Foreshy Option
01555 Unificative Description 1524-01 R3, Technyllo 2008-00 R1, No. Vet 8, Technyllo 0.hrs/	10.135 sevenile. Will Communication of the Communi
0155b BOUTE RESERVOIR → 1.0 02Fin 44.75 5.98 No date 2.50 41.46 1/a 0.00 0155b out < 1.0 01FRout 44.75 5.98 No date 2.50 41.46 1/a 0.00 0155b out < 1.0 01FRout 44.75 0.00 No date 0.00 0.00 / a 0.00 0156b (batchodes-1.865ch 01.0 0.76 x, 000 0.00 No date 0.00 0.00 n/a 0.00 0156b (batchodes-1.865ch 01.0 n/a, 000 0.00 No date 0.00 0.00 n/a 0.00 0156b (batchodes-1.865ch 01.0 n/a, 000 0.00 No date 0.00 0.00 n/a 0.00 0156b Pattrictive Downstream Conditions (100-team D/S Water Level = 102.77 n/m 0.00 0.00 n/a 0.00 0.00 0.00 n/a 0.00 0.00 0.00 n/a 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	01741> # CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO FOND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND 01742> # Overland Flow Route Capacity
0.1855) ROTTE RESERVOIR -> 11.0 LOSSEIN 44.75 S331 No date 5:534 43.96 n/a .000 01.565) out <= 1.0 USFERNOUR -4.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 01.565) out <= 1.0 USFERNOUR -0.00 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 01.567) overflow <= 0.00 0.1867 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 01.565) (No.12000000000000000000000000000000000000	01740 R0225:C00012
0.53%) a SAVE MTCS 0.57%) a Save Food Infigure Nydrograph For Use In Preparing Food Stape-Time Curves 0.57%) a Save Food Infigure Nydrograph For Use In Preparing Food Stape-Time Curves 0.57%) a For Use in Inf Group's Rich Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 0.57%) a SAVE NTD NYDROGETTE "From Infigure" Infigure 0.57%) a SAVE NTD NYDROGETTE "From Infigure" Infigure ##TO COMERTY "From Infigure" Infigu	0.1750 NO225-C00013-m Tomic-10-18HYD AREAN - GPEARCHE-TPEARCHER blimm RVma-R.C CHFCER 0.1751 ROTT CHARMEL 7 1.0 02:FF0ct 44.75 .773 No.date 7:17 50.79 /n 40.00 0.1752> ROTT CHARMEL 7 0.175 No.date 7:17 50.79 /n 40.000 0.1752> [RIFT 1.00] out<- 1.0 01:FF0chan 44.75 .273 No.date 7:18 50.79 n/a .000 0.1752> (No.date 7:18 50.79 n/a .000 0.1752> (No.date 7:18 50.79 n/a .000 0.1754> (No.date 7:18 50.79 n/a .000 0.1754) (No.date 7:18 50.79 n/a .0
01575 # Save Pass Outflow Force ("Mod Inflow") - 1, Indebarres 101576 # Save Pass Outflow Force 1	0.17555 = #################################
01580> 8 ave Food Ogstice MysBograph For Use in Preparing Food Stage-Time Curves 01581> For the in TM Groups Ha. Analysis of the Upstress Nutsidistion 01582> RANKE HTD STAGE	0.1765- 0.1765- 0.1765- 0.1765- 0.1765-
0.1585 Overland Flow Noute Capacity Overland Flow Noute	01765> 01766> 01767- 01
01980 * INDTE 1.00) cut-f 1.0 Tigori_TR .00 .000 No_Mate 0500 .00 n/a .000 01981 [LT/Fr 20.7.00,030] 01982 [Vasar .000 citmage .000] 01982 [Vasar	01770> [METOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)] 01771> [NETOUN= 1] 01772> [NEUN = 0250]
Display Outlet Channel Capacity Display	0.1775 SHERMING VETS.02/Jan 2001 (RETA) / IMPUT DATA FILE 0.1775 Froject Name : [Stittwills South - Davidson Co-Tenancy] 0.1777 Froject Number: [1232-13] 0.1777 Froject Number: [1232-13] 0.1778 Data : 2015/05/06
0.1600 > 8.70088 0.1600 > ** BID OF RON : 224 0.1600 >	01780 # Updated : 2016/08/24 [LF] 01781 # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LF] 01782 # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LF] 01782 # Updated : 2017/03/22 [LF]
0.1605 0.1600 0.1600	0.1780 # Updated : 2027/09/18 [LP] 0.1785 # Updated : 2023/07/16 [LP] 0.1786 # Updated : 2023/07/12 [LP] 0.1786 # Updated : 2023/07/12 [LP] 0.1787 # Updated : 2023/07/12 [LP] 0.1787 # Updated : 2023/07/12 [LP] 0.1789 # License # : 223/26/4 [Court and Associates 0.1789 # License # : 223/26/4 [Court and Associates] 0.1789 # License # : 223/26/4 [Court and Associates] 0.1780 # [Cour
ULBODY RUM4 COMPANIA	01791> R0250:C00002
0.6615 DETCOTF 2 (1-imperial 2-metric output) 0.6615 DEUTOUT = 0.22 (1-imperial 2-metric output) 0	01793> Filename = storm.001 01794> Comment = 0 years GCS Type 2 Storm 12 Hours step 15 min, as per Carp River U 01795> (SDT-15.00:SDCM= 12.00:PDCM= 87.60) 01795
01630> # Project Name : [Stittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy]	[01795] ICASEDY = 1 (read and print data) [018005] FileTitle= File comment: [Farameters for City of Ottaws Projects]

01801> THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDBYD COM 01802> Horton's infiltration equation parameters:	01981> # Major system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IBI Group 01982> R0299:c00005
01801) THE FOLIAGINE PRABMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDAYD COM 01802> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: (4.14 /hr) [F= .00 mm] 01803> [Fe= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fe=13.20 mm/hr] [Exclision for the first parameters of	01985> READ RYD = T:\PROJ\1125.0 01:Waj = 00
01806> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 01807> [IAim= 1.57 mm] (CLI= 1.50) [MNI= 0.13]	01986> ####################################
01808> Parameters used in NASHYD: 01809> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] 01810> Average monthly Pan Evaporation data in (mm)	01988> # Trainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL 01980> # Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL 01990> R0299:C00006
01911> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	01991> DESIGN STANDHYD 1.0 01:Fond 3.07 .733 No_date 6:00 61.89 .645 .000 01992> (XIMP=.45:TIMP=.45)
01814> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 01815> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	
U1810> 7 U1817> ************************************	[1994] [LOSS 1 : SORTOMS] Requested by DUBL, September 2023 1995 Proposed Additional Assistant Assistant Proposed Additional Assistant Proposed Additional Assistant Proposed Additional Proposed Assistant Proposed Additional
	01999> [SLP= .50:DT= 1.00] 02000> [LOSS= 1 : HORTONS]
01821> READ HYD 1.0 01:Win 37.55 6.523 No date 5:54 568 0 /a .000 01823> Filename = T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design \SWMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Nin	02002 # TOTAL INFLOWS TO SWM FACILITY
0.9130 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91230 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.91240 * Minor system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IST Group 10.9	C2023 #### ### ### ### ### ### #### #### #### #### #### ### ### ### ### ##
01252 NR250:00005	020065 + 15.0 021Maj .00 .669 No date 5:45***** 7a .000 .020075 + 1.0 0276md 3.07 .733 No date 6:00 64.99 m/a .000 .020085 + 1.0 022Addl 4.13 1.184 No date 6:00 75.84 m/a .000 .020085 SIMM= 1.0 012Pin 44.75 9.193 No date 5:75 57.33 m/a .000 .020085 .000 .00085
018/39 COMMENT = MALON SISTEM FLOW ENCE LAVIDSON CO-TEMBRIC (SEPTEMBER 2017, 01831) # PROPOSED FORD ELOCK 01831) # PROPOSED FORD ELOCK	020105 ***********************************
0.8332 ***********************************	02012> ************************************
01835> DESIGN STANDHYD 1.0 01:Fond 3.07 .654 No_date 6:01 55.42 .633 .000 01836> (XIMP=.45:TIMP=.45) 01836> (XIMP=.45:TIMP=.10.0)	02016> # 2-, 5- and 100-year target release rates as per Table 3 of the April 2016
	02017) # "Faukkmer Drain Hydrotechnical Update" by Novatech. 02018) # Free Outfall Conditions 02018) # R0299:C00009DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-OPEAK.cms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
018399 8 Proposed Additional Area Requested by DERL, September 2023 018409 8 Proposed Additional Area Requested by DERL, September 2023 018410 DESCINGINGTON TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL AREA ADDITIONS TO AREA OF THE AREA	00217 # "Failkner Drain Systrocedminical Dydate" by Novarech.
01845 [SDF= .50:DT= 1.00] 01845 [LOSS= 1 : HORTONS]	02023> (MxStOUsed=.2534E+01 m3, TotOufVol=.000E+00 m3, N-Ouf= 0, TotDupOuf= 0.hrs) 02024> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m)
01845) # # TOTAL INFLOWS TO SMM FACILITY 01847) # TOTAL INFLOWS TO SMM FACILITY	02025> R0299:C00010
01848> R0250:C00008 OTMin-ID:NNYD AREAha-QFEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVm-RC, DNFcms 01849> ADD NYD 1.002:Nin 37.55 6.523 No_date 5:54 56.80 n/a .000 01850> + 15.002:Nin .00 .070 No_date 5:5565692.26 n/a .000	02028>
01851> + 1.0 02:Fond 3.07 - 564 No date 6:01 55.42 n/a .000 01852> + 1.0 02:Rodd 4.13 1.058 No date 6:00 68.58 n/a .000	02033 * Nostrictive Bondsteam Controller by Market Lavel - 102.77 m) 02031 * 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure 02032 R0299:C00011
01853>	020335 ROUTE RESERVOIR > 1.0 Caffin 44.75 9.393 No date 5:59 67.35 n/a 000 020345 Control of 1.0 different 44.75 9.393 No date 5:59 67.35 n/a 000 020345 Control of 1.0 different 44.75 0.48 No date 10:10 4.77 n/a 000 020345 (National of 1.0 different 4.75 0.48 No date 10:10 4.77 n/a 000 02035 (National of 1.0 different 4.75 0.48 No date 1.0 differen
0.8555 # BMC FACILITY 0.8555 # BMC FACILITY 1.8557 # Continue for the programment pool requirements based on MMC quiellelines 0.8559 # for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm 0.8559 # for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm 0.8559 # for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm 0.8559 # free Control for the programment policy for unknown erosion control requirements.	02036> (MxStoUsed=.2901E+01 m3, TotOvsVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs) 02037> ####################################
01859 # ror ennanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm 01859 # runoff volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements. 01860> # 2-, 5- and 100-year target release rates as per Table 3 of the April 2016	
01861) # "Faulkner Drain Hydrotechnical Update" by Novatech. 01862> # Free Ourfall Conditions 01863> 80250:C00009	02039 ************************************
01863> 80250:C00009	02045 * Save Fond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Calculating Average Velocity in the 02045 * Forebay During the 10-Year Storm
01866> overflow <= 1.0 03:PFovf0000 No_date 0:0000 n/a0000867> (MxstoUsed=.2213E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N=Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs)	02046> #SAVE HYD NHYDE (*PFout"), # OF FCYCLES=[-1], [CASEsh=[1] 02047> # HYD COMMENT=["Pond Outfow" - Free Outfall Conditions"] 02048> # Save Pond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stang-Time Chryses
01869> R0250:C00010	02047) # SEC_COMMERT=["Food Outfor" Free Outfall Conditions"] 02048) # Save Fond Outflow Mystorgaph For Use in Feepaing Food Stage-Time Curves 02049) # For Use in IRS (order) # Mile Individual Conditions 02050 # SEAVE HTD NHTME=["Food"] # OF FCCHESE["1], ICASEAD=[1] 02051) # SEC_COMMERT=["Food Outfor Vasatrictive Of Conditions"] 02052 # SEC_COMMERT=["Food Outfor Vasatrictive Of Conditions"]
01871> * out <= 1.0 01:FRout 44.75 .148 No date 8:20 23.18 n/a .000 01872> overflow <= 1.0 03:FRout .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 1873> (MxStcUsed: 2361EP1) m3, TotoVVol=:000E+00 m3, N-Out= 0, TotoDurout= 0.1nrs	02053> # HID QUORENT=[PG:A QUETOW MASTELECTIVE D/S CONDITIONS]] 02053> # CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO FOND AND QUITET CHANNEL FROM FOND 02054>
0.8659 NO.80250-00099	02054> ####################################
01877> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Pin 44.75 8.055 No date 5:58 57.93 n/a .000 01878> * out <= 1.0 01:PRBout 44.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000	COURSES Coverland flow Rouse Capacity
018799 overflow <= 1.0 03:FRBoVf .00 .000 No-date 0:00 .00 N/A .000 018800 (NetCologad-2539810 m), TO:OFVO-01.0000R-00 N), TO:	
01882> # SAVE HUDS 01883> # SAVE HUDS 01884> # SAVE HUDS 01884> # SAVE HOOM Indion Mydrograph For Use In Preparing Fond Stage-Time Curves 01885> # For Use in IES Group's BAUL Analysis of the Operacem Subdivision	220622 R0299:Cd013
01886> #SAVE HYD NHYD=["Pin"], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]	02065> [L/S/n= 10./_100/.035]
01889 # Save Pond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Calculating Average Velocity in the 01889 # Forebay During the 10-Year Storm	V2065 - STORES 02065 - STORES
01887 # HYD COMMENT=["Food infolor"] 01885 # Save Fond Outflow Hydrograph For Use in Calculating Average Velocity in the 01885 # Brocksy During the 10-fear Storm 01885 # HYD STRIP FFOUR FOOD 01885 # SAVE HYD STRIP FFOUR FOOD 01885 # SAVE FOOD Outflow FOOD 01885 # SAVE FOOD Outflow FOOD 01885 # SAVE FOOD Outflow FOOD 01885 # FOR Use a IRS Group # SAC Manages of the Decreams Subdivisions	02070> END OF RUN : 978 02071>
	02073>
01893> # FOR Use in IBI Group's HGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 01894> #SAVE HYD NHYD=["FROut"], # OF FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]	02074>
01894) #SAVE HTD NRTD=("FROUT"), # OF FCCLES=[-1], ICASE=h=[1] 01895) # HTD COMMENT="FROOT OUTFO: FROUTE-HIGHEN [FROW FORD DESTINATION OUTFO: TRANSPORT FROW FORD DESTINATION OUTFO: TRANSPORT FROM FORD DESTINATION	02074> 12075> 12076> 02077>
01895 + SAUNE RTD NETTC-["FROUGE"], # OF ETCLESS-[-1], ICASES-[-1] ICASES-[-1] 01895 + "	0.00145 0.00150 0.001650 0.001
01895 + SAUNE RTD NETTC-["FROUGE"], # OF ETCLESS-[-1], ICASES-[-1] ICASES-[-1] 01895 + "	0.00145 0.00150 0.001650 0.001
0.8855 SANCE NID	020740- 120750
0.8855 SANCE NID	020745 E20725 C20775 C2
0.8855 SANCE NTD	02075- 12075- 12075- 12077- 12075- 12
0.8855 SANCE NEED	020745 E20755 C20775 C2
0.8855 SANCE NEED	020745 E20725 E20725 C20775 C2
0.8855 SANCE NTD	020745 02075
0.885	02076- 020775 02
0.8895 SANUE NTD	020752 E20752 C00775 CNRS-COMMENT COMMENT
0.885	02076 0207
0.8850 SANCE NTD	COUTS COUTS
0.885	COUNTY C
0.8850 SANCE NTD	COUNTY C
0.8850 SANCE NTD	### ### ### ### #### #### #### ########
0.885	### ### ##############################
0.885	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##
0.8855 SANCE NETO	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##
SHAVE NED	COUNTY C
SHAVE NED	COUNTY C
SHAVE NED	### ### ### ### #### #### ############
SHAVE NED	### ### ### ### #### #### ############
SHAVE NED	COUNTY C
SHAVE NED	COUNTY C
SHAVE NED	COURT COUR
SHAVE NED	COURT COUR
SHAVE NED	COURT COUR
SHAVE NED	COURT COUR
SHAVE NED	COURT COUR
SHAVE NED	COUNTY C
SHAVE NED	### COUNTY PRESCRIPTION COUNTY CO

02161> ADD HYD 1.0 02:Min 37.55 7.092 No date 1:38 67.33 n/a .000	102241\ (MwStatlead= 2425E401 = 2 Tathirftal= 0000E400 = 2 Marrie
02162> + 5.0.02 Mai 00 112 No date 1:30******* p/a 000	02341> (MxStoUsed=.2425E401 m3, TotOvfVol=.000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs) 02342> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Tear b/S Water Level = 102.77 m) 02343 * 1000 Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure
	223449 100% Blockage of Brop Lake Structure
02165) # SMM PACILITY 02167) # SMM PACILITY	02346> * cut <= 1.0 01:FRBout 44.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 02347> overflow <= 1.0 03:FRBout .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 02348> (MxStoUsed=.2581E+01 m3, TotOutVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDutOuf= 0.hrs)
02189 4 Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on NOT guidalines 021709 5 for enhanced quality control for wate pooled. Extended detention of the 25 mm 021719 4 runoff volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements. 021719 4 7, 5 = and 100-year target release rates as per Table 3 of the Agril 2016	0.0000 1
02171) * runoff volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements. 021729 * 2 - 7 - and 100-year target release rates a per Table 3 of the April 2016 02173> * "Faulkner Drain Hydrotechnical Update" by Novatech.	02150 * SAVE RIUS 02151 * Save Pond Inflow Sydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves 02152 * Save Pond Inflow Sydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves 02153 * For Use In ISI Group's REL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 02154 * SAVE RIU NRTCH[*Pin], * FOR FOTCHESH[-1], ICARSah=[1] 02155 * HTD COMMERT=[*Pond Inflow*]
021745 Free Outfall Conditions 02175> R0979:C00009DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QFEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms	02354 *SAVE HYD NHYD=["Pin"], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] 02355> # HYD COMMENT=["Pond Inflow"]
02175) * "Taulkest Drain Hydrotechnical Dydate" by Novatch. 02175) * "Taulkest Drain Hydrotechnical Dydate" by Novatch. 02175 * 0979-020099	C02355 # Save Fond Outflow RPMCOGNEER'("Fond Inflow") 02356 # Save Fond Outflow Reprograph For Use In Calculating Average Velocity in the 02357 # Forebay During the 10-Year Storm 02358 # REVER REVER MINICH["Frout"), # OF FCVCLES=[-1], ICAESah=[1] 02359 # HTD COMMENT=["Fond Outflow - Free Outfall Conditions"] 02359 # Save Fond Outflow Reprograph For Use In Freeparing Fond Stage-Time Curves
02179> 0ver10w <= 1.0 031920v1 .00 .00 No date 0100 .00 N/a .000 02179> (MxStoUsed=2740E+01 m3, TotOvfvol==.000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs) 02180> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m)	02358> #SAVE HTD NHID=["FFORT"], FOR FETCHES=[-1], ICASESN=[1] 02359> # HYD COMMENT=["Pond Outfow - Free Outfall Conditions"] 02360> # Save Pond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves
02182> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Pin 44.75 8.536 No date 1:33 67.36 n/a 000	02361> # For Use in IBI Group's HGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 02362> #38VE HYD NHYD=("PROUL"), # OF FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] 02363> # HYD_COMBURT=("PROH OUTLOW - Restrictive D/S Conditions")
02183> * out <= 1.0 01:PRout 44.75	02365> # HYD COMMENT=["Fond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions"] 02365> # CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO FOND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND
02185> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m) 02187> # 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure	02365) # CHECK CAPACITIES OF OWELLAND FLOW MODIE INTO FORD AND ODLES CHARMED FROM FORD 02365) # Overland Flow Route Capacity 02367) # Overland Flow Route Capacity 02365 ROSS SCIOUSIZ
02188> R0979:C00011DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QFEAKCms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms 02189> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Fin 44.75 8.536 No_date 1:33 67.36 n/a .000	02368> R0988:C00012DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAHa-QPEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms 02369> ROUTE CHANNEL -> 5.0 02:Maj .00 .118 No_date 1:5563599.29 p/a .000
02190> * out <= 1.0 01:PRBout 44.75 .159 No date 2:56 4.78 n/a .000 02191> overflow <= 1.0 03:PRBout 0.0 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000	12169 Nove 1217 1218
0.11327 (MAX.00.004-1.29/1.0-01 m.), 10.001/0.1-00002900 m.), N=001- 0, N=00	02373> # Outlet Channel Capacity 02373> # Outlet Channel Capacity 02374
02195> # Save Pond Inflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves	202179 # Outlet Channel Capacity Confirmation AREAha-OPEAKcms-Tpeaking Research
02195 * Save Fond Inflow Bydrograph For Use in Preparing Fond Stage-Time Curves 02195 * For Use in Init Group's M. Initiallysis of the Upstream Subdivision 02195 * SAVE BITO METER [Flan]*, for PCFCLESS=[1], ICASSEn=[1] 02195 * SAVE BITO METER [Flan]*, for Initially Charlest [Flan]*, fo	02377> L/S/n= 10.7.1007.035 02378> (Vmax= .288:Dmax= .686) 02379>
02200> # Save Pond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Calculating Average Velocity in the 02201> # Forebay During the 10-Year Storm 02202> 45NR HTD NHTG FFOUCH; # 07 FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]	02380> # STORMS 02381> *** END OF RUN: 995
02202> #SAVE HYD NHYD=["PFout"], # OF FCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1] 02203> # HYD COMMENT=["Fond Outfow - Free Outfall Conditions"]	02382> ** END OF RUN : 995 02383> 02384>
02020 * NO. COMMUNITY "Took Outforw Free Outfall Conditions") 02020 * Save Fond Outfor Mydrograph For Use in Terpearing Fond Stage-Time Curves 02020 * For Use in IBI Group's MEL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 02020 * HOW THE ON MINITY ("Fout") * OF FORCES=[1] (I DESEMBLE] 02020 * HOW THE ON MINITY ("Fout") * For FORCES=[1] (I DESEMBLE] 02020 * HOW THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OW	02385>
02207> # HYD COMMENT=("Fond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions") 02208> ************************************	02387> 02388>
VIII) F CHICK CALACTITIES OF CHICKEN FROM TOTAL THEO TOTAL CHARMED FROM TOTAL	02389> 02390> RUN±:COMMAND± 02301> TOROGORDOO
0.22115	02391> R0996:C00001
02214> [RDT= 1.00] out<- 1.0 01:0VL FR .00 .112 No_date 1:26****** n/a .000 02215> [L/S/n= 10./ .700/.030]	02395> [NETOUT= 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)] 02395> [NETOUT= 1]
02216> (Vmax= .417:Dmax= .116) 02217> # Outlet Channel Capacity	023937 [NNUN 9096] 023967 [NNUN 9096]
02219> ROUTE CHANNEL -> 1.0 02:PFout 44.75 .673 No_date 2:23 67.36 n/a .000	02385 # 5000YMO Veri5.02/Jam 2001 <bergo #="" (1122-13f="" -="" 02385="" 02400="" 02401="" 02402="" 2015="" 66<="" 8="" 9="" :="" co-tenancy ="" data="" davidson="" file="" froject="" infut="" mane="" number!="" project="" south="" td="" {stitzsville=""></bergo>
02221> [L/s/n= 10./ .100/.035] 02222> (Vmax .303: mmax .781)	02401> # Project Number: [1123-13] 02402> # Date : 2015/05/06
02223> ##################################	02403> # Modeller : Laura Pipkins, P.Eng. 02404> # Updated : 2016/08/24 (LP)
02226> ** END OF RUN : 987 02227>	202039 \$ Modellar : Lawer Fightma, F.Eng. 202004 \$ Updated : 2016(4)744 [LP] 202004 \$ Updated : 2016(4)744 [LP] 202005 \$ Updated : 2017(6)742 [LP] 202005 \$ Updated : 2017(6)742 [LP] 202007 \$ Updated : 2017(6)743 [LP]
02228>	22409
02230> 02231> 02232>	02410
02233> 02233> RUN#:COMMAND#	02412> # Company : J.F. Samourin and Associates 02413> # License # : 2582634 02414> #
	024155 80996:000003
02233> 02235> (ISEBO = .00 hrs on 0)	22415 READ STORM 204179 Filaname = 4500F.001 204179 Filaname = 4500F.001 204180 Comment a August 6th, 1996 Storm (554) - Ottawa International Airport step 5 204200 ACCOMMENDED CONCOLUMNO ACCOMMENDADO ACCOMMENDA
02239> [NSTORM= 1] 02240> [NRUN = 0988]	02429> R0996:C00003
	104409 19981CUUU03-8-8-8-8 204219 DEPAULT VALUES Päinname = 71.PRO1/1122-11/201709 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/202309 Add Are\Ottawa.val 204229 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/201709 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/202309 Add Are\Ottawa.val 204229 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/201709 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/202309 Add Are\Ottawa.val 204229 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/201709 PSB Subm2(Design(SMRHYNO)/202309 Add Are\Ottawa.val 204229 PSB Subm2(Desi
02244> # Project Name : [Stittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy] 02245> # Project Number: [1122-13]	02424 FileTitle File comment: [Farameters for City of Ottawa Projects] 02425 THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS ARE USED IN THE DESIGN STANDHYD COM 024265 Horton's infiltration equation parameters:
02246> # Date : 2015/05/06 02247> # Modeller : Laura Fipkins, F.Eng. 02248> # Undated : 2016/08/24 [LP]	02427> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=k2.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]
02249 # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LP]	02428> Parameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 02429> [IAper 4.67 mm] [LDF=40.00 mm] MNF=.250] 02420> Parameters for INPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:
02251> # Updated : 2017/09/13 [LF] 02252> # Updated : 2017/09/18 [LF]	02431> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 02432> Parameters used in NASHYD:
022535 # Updated : 2023/07/04 [LP] 022555 # Updated : 2023/07/05 [LP]	02433> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] 02434> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 02435> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL ROG SEP OCT NOV DEC
02235 + Opuneu : 20370972 Inf 02256 + Copany : J.F. Sabourin and Associates 02257 + License + : 2582634	02436> Average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration in (mm)
02259> R0988:C00002	02498> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 02498> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0
02260) READ STORM 02261) Filename = Storm.001, 1988 Storm (SH) - Ottawa International Airport step 5 02261) [SUT-5.00.05003	02440 + 02441 - 02441
02263> [SDT= 5.00:SDUR= 5.58:PTOT= 80.59] 02264> R0988:C00003	22413 # ##################################
02265> DEFAULT VALUES 02266> Filename = T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SNMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Ottawa.val 02267> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)	02445> R0996:C00004DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QFEAKCms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms 02445> RBAD HYD 1.0 01:Min 37.55 5.931 No date 1:30 37.83 n/a .000
DEFAULT VALUES RESAULT RESAULT	02455 R9986C00004 Truln-10.NNTD- AREAN-CPEARCHS-TPackEte hh:mm-RVm-R.C CMFCms 02460
02270> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 02271> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm]	02450> R0996:C00005
02213- Faranters for ERFICIOUS surfaces in STANDRUID: 02213- [Inper 4.67 mm] [LDF-40.0 m] [Merr 2:50] 02214- Faranters for IMPERICOS surfaces in STANDRUID: 02224- Faranters for IMPERICOS surfaces in STANDRUID: 02225- [Inimp 1.57 mm] [LCIT 1.50] [Merr .013]	02452> Filgoname' = T:\FROJ\1122-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Cosign\SYMMYMO\202309 Add Area\Maj 02453> Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017, 02454
022755 [TAImp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 022765 Farameters used in MaSTOT: 022776 [Tai = 4.67 mm] [M= 3.00]	
02276> Parameters used in NASHVD: 02277> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] 02278> Average monthly Yan Evaporation data in (mm)	D04537 # PROPOSED FOUR SLOCK D24557 # Egginage area characteristics as per base plan provided by USEL D2457 # Egginage area characteristics as per base plan provided by USEL D2458 * M985*** COUNCE - CTMILET D1817C- NERAD-PERKONS-PERAD-TEXT D1875** D2458 * M985**** D2458 * M985**** D358*** D358** D358*
02278> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 022789 JAN FEB MAR ARR MAY JIN JUL ANG SEF OCT NOV DEC 02289> JON 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	024509 (XTMP= 45: TTMP= 45)
02281> Average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration in (mm) 02282> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP CCT NOV DEC 02283 - 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 0	02462> [LOSS= 1 : HORTONS] 02463> # Proposed Additional Area Requested by DSEL. September 2023
02284> ‡ 02285>	02464> R0996:C00007DTmin-ID:NHYDAREAha-QFEAKcms-TpeakDate hh:mmRVmm-R.CDWFcms
02286 # PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS 02287 # Minor system budrograph provided September 2017 https://doi.org/10.1009/10.000	02465 UNINE-6TIME-71] 02467 (SLE-50:DT-1.00) 02468 USS-1 - HORDONS
02285 - Minor system bytrograph provided interests 2017-by IRI Group 20285 - 0888-00004	02469> ************************************
02291> Filename = 7:\FROX\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SNMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Min 02292> Comment = MINOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017,	02471> ####################################
02203- Major system_duptograph provided deptember 2017 by IRI Group 2021-0 0888-C0000-	024745
02295> Filename = T:\FROJ\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SWMSYMO\202039 Add Area\Maj 02297> Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEFTEMBER 2017,	02476> + 1.0 02:Add1
U2298> 02299> # PROPOSED POND BLOCK	02478> ####################################
02300> ***********************************	02480> ************************************
230305 DESIGN STANDRYD 1.00-01/rond 3.07 .685 No_date 2:00 56.24 .698 .000 022044 [XIMP-4.5/I	12461) # Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on MNS quidelines 024829 # for embanced quality control for were ponds. Extended detention of the 25 ms 024839 # runoff volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements. 024839 # control were presented to the control requirements.
02305> [SLP= .50:DT= 1.00] 02306> [LOSS= 1 : HORTONS]	024852 # Free Outfall Conditions
C2105 [SIFE. 3017F. 100] C2105 [SIFE. 3017F. 100] C2105 C2	02487 R0994:000069
0231D [XIMP-66:TIMP-71] 02311) [SIF=.50:TOT-1.00] 02312) [OSS=1:005FOMS]	02490> overflow <= 1.0 03:FFovf .00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 N/a .000 02491 (Mx5c0/sed=:1655#x01 m3, TotOvfVol=:0000#x00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDuxOvf= 0.hrs) 02492
02312> [LOSS= 1: HORTONS] 02313> ###################################	
02314> # TOTAL INFLOWS TO SMM FACILITY 02315> ####################################	02493 ROJ96:C00010
02317> ADD HYD 1.0 02:Min 37.55 6.869 No date 2:02 56.69 n/a .000	02497> (MxStoUsed=.1793E+01 m3, Totovfvol=.000E+00 m3, N-ovf= 0, Totourovf= 0.hrs)
023195 + 1.0 02:Pond 3.07 665 No date 2:00 56.24 n/a .000 023205 + 1.0 02:3ddl 4.33 110 No date 2:01 56.53 n/a .000	02499> # 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure
02322> SUM= 1.0 01:Fin 44.75 8.615 No date 2:01 57.68 n/a .000 02322> ##################################	02501> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Pin 44.75 7.187 No date 1:30 40.89 n/a .000 02502> * out <= 1.0 01:PRBout 44.75 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 02503> overflow <= 1.0 03:PRBout .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:00 .00 No date 0:0
02324> ************************************	02504> (MxStoUsed=.1830E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurOvf= 0.hrs) 02505> ***********************************
02325> # Quality control and permanent pool requirements based on NME guidelines 02326> # for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm 02327> # runoff volume for 72 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements.	02506> # SAVE HYDS
03328) # 2-, 5- and 100-year target release rates as per Table 3 of the April 2016 02329) # "Faulkner Drain Hydrotechnical Update" by Novatech.	UZSUS> # Save rond Inflow Hydrograph For Use In Preparing Fond Stage-Time Curves 025109 # For Use in IBI Group's HGL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 02510 #SAVE HYD NHYD=""Pin" . # OF PCYCLER=[-1]. TGBF=h-f1]
02331> R0988:C00009	02000 # Save Food Inflow Mydrograph For Use In Freparing Food Stage-Time Curves 02000 # For Use in III Group's SEL Analysis of the Uptrosm Subdivision 02000 # For Use in III Group's SEL Analysis of the Uptrosm Subdivision 02000 # For Use III OCCUPATION OF Use III OCCUPATION OF USE IN INC. 02000 1
02333>	025137 # FOReday During the 10-Year Storm 02514> #SAVE HYD NHYD=["PFout"], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]
02337> # Restrictive Downstream Conditions (100-Year D/S Water Level = 102.77 m) 02337-R0988:C00010	CO215) # Save Fond Outflow RMTD COMMENT=("Fond Outfour - Free Outfall Conditions") 20110- # Save Fond Outflow RMTO(graph For Dee in Freeparing Fond Stage-Time Curves 20110- # Save Fond Outflow RMTO("Fonder"), # OF FOCCES=(-1), ICASE=h=[1] 20110- # SAVE FONDER F
02338> ROUTE RESERVOIR -> 1.0 02:Pin 44.75 8.615 No date 2:01 57.68 n/a .000 02339> * out <= 1.0 01:PRout 44.75 .159 No date 3:36 22.93 n/a .000	02518) # FOR OWE IN THE GOODY'S HOLD MAKENGES OF CHEE OPERCHAME SUBJECT OF COLORS [-1,] [CASESH=[1]] 02519) # HYD_COMMENT=["Pond Outfow - Restrictive D/S Conditions"]
02340> overflow <= 1.0 03:FRovf	02520> ************************************

02521> # CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND 02522> # CHECK CAPACITIES OF OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLET CHANNEL FROM FOND	02701> 02702> RUN#:COMMAND#
02523> # Overland Flow Route Capacity	02703> R0999:C00001
02527> [L/S/n= 10./ .700/.030]	O2705> TEEGO = .00 hrs on 0 O2705> O2705
008203 00000 000012	02709> # 02710> # SWMHYMO Ve::5.02/Jan 2001 <beta> / INPUT DATA FILE 02711> #</beta>
	027127 # Project Name : [Stittsville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy] 027133 # Project Number: [1123-13] 027143 # Date : 2015/05/06
02334> [Vmaxe 246: Imaxe 446] 02336> # \$TORES 02337> # \$TORES	02715> # Modeller : Laura Fipkins, P. Eng. 02715> # Undated - 2016/08/24 [LP]
02538> ** END OF RUN : 997	02717> # Updated : 2017/02/13 [LP] 02718> # Updated : 2017/03/22 [LP] 02718> # Updated : 2017/03/22 [LP] 02703> # Updated : 2017/09/13 [LP] 02703> # Updated : 2017/09/18 [LP]
02540>	02720> # Updated : 2017/09/18 [LF] 02721> # Updated : 2022/07/04 [LF] 02722> # Updated : 2022/07/12 [LF]
02543> 02544>	02723> # Updated : 2023/09/25 [LP] 02724> # Company : J.F. Sabourin and Associates
02545> (02545) (02545) (02546)	02726> #************************************
025469 START 025499 [TIRD = .00 hrs on 0] 025509 [METOOTE 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)] 025510 [METOOTE 2 (1=imperial, 2=metric output)] 025510 [METOOME 1] 1	027295 READ STORM TEXTS.001 027295 Filaname stats.001 027395 Filaname stats.001 02733 (SDT-0.001500me 24.00:FTOT= 128.06) 02733 DST90:C0003-001500me 24.00:FTOT= 128.06)
02552> [NRUN = 0998]	
02554> # SWMHYMO Ver:5.02/Jan 2001 <beta> / INFUT DATA FILE</beta>	02734> Filename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SWMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Ottawa.val 02735> ICASEdv = 1 (read and print data)
02556 # Project Name: [Enitaville South - Davidson Co-Tenancy] 02557 # Project Name: [2152-216] 02558 # Date 12557 # Date 12557 # Date 12557 # Project Name: [2152-216] 02558 # Date 12557	027365 FileTitler File Comment: [Parameters for City of Ottawa Pagiests] 027377 University of Characteristics of Characteristic
202500 \$ \$\text{phated} \ 2015/08/24 [LF] 02561 \$ \$\text{typhated} \ 2017/02/13 [LF] 02561 \$ \$\text{typhated} \ 2017/02/13 [LF] 02562 \$\text{typhated} \ 2017/03/22 [LF]	02740> Farameters for FERVIOUS surfaces in STANNHYD: 02741> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LGF=40.00 m] [MNF= .250] 02742> Farameters for IMERVIOUS surfaces in STANNHYD:
02563> # Updated : 2017/09/13 [LF] 02564> # Updated : 2017/09/18 [LF]	02743> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013] 02744> Parameters used in NASHYD:
02566> # Updated : 2023/07/12 [LP]	02746> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm) 02747> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
02568	02748> 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
025119 M0998:C00002 025727	02/529 #
02574> Commant = CHICAGO STORM + 20% Stress Test 100 Year, 3 Hours 02575> [SDT-10.00:SDUR= 3.00:PTOT= 86.00]	02754> # PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - FREE OUTFALL HYDROGRAPHS
	027555 # Minor system hydrograph growided Sptember 2017 by IBI Group 027575 # Minor system hydrograph growided Sptember 2017 by IBI Group 027575 # R0999:C000000
025799 ICANEDY = 1 (read and print data) crity of Ortawa Projects OCSSON FileTitles File communit; Parameters for City of Ortawa Projects OCSSON FileTitles File Communit; Parameters for DIN THE DESIGN STANDARD COM COSSON FOR THE DESIGN STANDARD COM FILE COMMUNITY OF THE DESIGN STANDARD COM FILE COMMUNITY OF THE DESIGN STANDARD C	02750> Filename = TiveNoViliz-18/20/109 PUB SubmicleSign(SMM:INV/20/20/9 And Area/Min 02750> Comment = MINOR SYSTEM FILOW FROM DAVIDSON CO-TEMANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017, 02761> # Major system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IBI Group
02582> Horton's infiltration equation parameters: 02583> [Fo= 76.20 mm/hr] [Fc=13.20 mm/hr] [DCAY= 4.14 /hr] [F= .00 mm] 02584> Farameters for PERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD:	02741.9 Major system bydroyand privided impressed 2017 by 184 6800 027423 PS99000000 — 0581=10181700 — APPENDE OFFICE OFFICE OFFI 027423 PS99000000 — 0581=10181700 — APPENDE OFFICE OFFICE OFFI 027435 PERD HZT — 100 01845 010 01845 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 01
02585> [IAper= 4.67 mm] [LDF=0.00 m] [MSF= .250] 02586> Parameters for IMPERVIOUS surfaces in STANDHYD: 02587> [IAimp= 1.57 mm] [CLI= 1.50] [MNI= .013]	02766> ***********************************
02588> Parameters used in NASHYD: 02589> [Ia= 4.67 mm] [N= 3.00] (mm) 02590> Average monthly Fan Evaporation data in (mm)	02173 * PROPOSED POUR MOCK 02167 * PROPOSED POUR MOCK 02170 * PROPOSED POUR MOCK PROPOSED POUR PROPOSED POUR 02170 * PROPOSED POUR 021
02591> JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 02592> 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
02594> JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 02595> .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .0	02774> (LOSS= 1 : HORTONS)
02596 # 02597. ************************************	02775> # Proposed Additional Area Requested by DBME, September 2022 02776- N9999:000007 "DTM::DINING" REAR-0PERAGES-TpeakEate hh:mm: RVm:-R.C DMFCms 02777> DESIGN STANDRYD 1.0 01:Add 4.13 1.437 No_date 12:00 99.47 .777 .000 02778> (XIMP:-6.STTM:-7:1)
0.2559	D2779> [SLF=_50:07=1.00] 02780> [LOSS=1 : NORTONS] 02781> ************************************
02602> READ HVD 1.0 01:Min 37.55 8.192 No date 1:14 63.89 n/a .000 02603> Filename = T:\FROX\1123-13\201709 FDB Subm2\Design\SWMHYMO\202309 A1 Area\Min 02604> Comment = MINOR SYSTEM FLOW FROW DAY/IDSON CO-TEXANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017,	02782> # TOTAL NUFLOWS TO SMM FACILITY 02783> 02784> R0999:C00008
02605> # Major system hydrograph provided September 2017 by IBI Group 02605> R0998:000005DWIN-IDINBYDAREADa-CPEARCHS-TPEARCHS-TPEARCHS-HIMM	
02608> Filename = T:\PROJ\1123-13\201709 PDB Subm2\Design\SNMHYMO\202309 Add Area\Maj 02609> Comment = MAJOR SYSTEM FIGH FROM DAYIDSON CO-TENANCY (SEPTEMBER 2017, 02610>	027865 0.0 02186]
02611> # PROPOSED FOND BLOCK 02612>	02791> # SWM FACILITY 02792>
02613 * Drainage area characteristics as per base plan provided by DSEL 02614 R0998:00006	027939 & Quality control and permanent pook requirements based on MOE guidalines 027949 * for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 mm 027959 * prunoff volume for 12 hours estimated for unknown erosion control requirements.
02615> (XIMP-45:TIMP-45) 02617> (SLP-30:07=1.00) 02618> (LOSS=1:8070NS)	02796> * 2-, 5- and 100-year target release rates as per Table 3 of the April 2016 02997 * "Faulkner Drain Bydrotechnical Update" by Novatech. 027999 * Free Outfall Conditions
	10/999
046409 809900007-10110014-AREA Expedition of unit, selection - Paskints himman-Nome-B.CDMTcms 046410 BEEGG FYMINDFO 1.0011Add1 44.33 1.779 No.date 1.600 71.34 8830 .000 046429 [EMPM-66-TIME-7.3] 046440 [EMPM-66-TIME-7.3]	028027 overflow <= 1.0 03:FF007 .00 .000 No date 0:00 .00 No da 0:00 No da 0:
02625> # TOTAL INFLOWS TO SWM FACILITY	02805> R0999:C00010
0.02435 0.0598: COULDB COURT C	02808> overflow <= 1.0 03:PRovf 00 .00 .00 No_date 0:00 .00 n/a .000 02809> (MxStoUsad=.300E+01 m3, TotOvfVol=.0000E+00 m3, N-Ovf= 0, TotDurtovf= 0.hrs) 02810 f Restrictive Dougstream Conditions (100-Year n/S Water Lawel = 102 77 m)
02631> + 1.0 02:240d1 3.07 1.019 No fate 1:01 60.68 n/a .000 02632> + 1.0 02:24dd1 4.13 1.779 No fate 1:00 71.34 n/a .000 02633> SUM= 1.0 01:Pin 44.75 10.148 No fate 1:12 66.52 n/a .000	02811> # 100% Blockage of Drop Inlet Structure
U20342	0211)> NOTE BRENVOIR -> 1.002*Pin 44.79 10.154 bb date 11:56 80.07 n/a .000 02140 -> 1.002*Pin 44.79 10.154 bb date 11:56 80.07 n/a .000 02140 -> 0.000 02140 -> 0.000 02140 -> 0.000 02140 -> 0.000 02140 0.000 0
02635 + 3mm FACLESS Section 2015	
02689 # for enhanced quality control for wet ponds. Extended detention of the 25 ms. 02689 # unof volume for 12 bours estimated for unknown ersons control Negulierments. 02689 # p. F. and University of the Control of the April 2016 02689 # P. F. and University of the Control of the April 2016 02689 # Free Outfail Conditional Polaries by Moroccach.	02819> ####################################
02643 R0998:C00009	0282> For Use in Index Grypt sem; Analysis of the Upstream substriction 0282> EXPLINE TO BINDER STRIPE (1) FOR FORCEMENT (-1), TORSEMBLE (1) 0282> EXPLINE TO BINDER STRIPE (Front Inflow*) 0282> FOR COMMENTE (Front Inflow*) 0282> FOR COMMENTE (Front Inflow*)
02645> out <= 1.0 01:FPout 44.75	02825> # Forebay During the 10-Year Storm 02826> #SAVE HYD NHYD=["PFout"], # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]
02649) # Restrictive Downstream Conditions [100-Year D/9 Mater Level = 102.77 m) 02649> R0998:C00110	OZEZO # Save Fond Outflow Mydrograph For Use in Freparing Fond Stage-Time Curres 02E25 # For Use in IBI Group's Bull Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 02E30 * Save Exp
02651> * out <= 1.0 01:FRout 44.75	
02649 / (Mactoland-2467140) m3, TotorVol-2000Enc0 m3, N-torie 0, Totharovie 0.hrs) 02649 / Restrictive Domatrage Conditions (2007-var D'S-Magte tawa = 100, 17 m) 02649 / S009s:00010	02834> # Overland Flow Route Capacity 02835> # Overland Flow Route Capacity 02935 - 00000_00017_0001_0000000000000000000000
05459 80998:C0001:	02850 9 000072mG 100 NOUTC (Application) AREAha-OPEAKCHM-TpeakCate hhimm-RChm-R.CCMFCms 02857
02663 McStodyad, 394E10 3, Totorvol=.000E400 3, Novie 0, Totorvol=.00 0.hrs 0.6650 0.hrs 0.6652	
026625 + SAVE NYDS 026635 + Save Pond Inflow Mydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves 026646 + Save Pond Inflow Mydrograph For Use In Preparing Pond Stage-Time Curves 026655 + For Use in INI Group's MUL Analysis of the Upstream Suddivision	02843> ROUTE CHANNEL -> 1.0 02:PFout 44.75 .781 No_date 12:48 80.07 n/a .000 02844> * [RDT= 1.00] out<- 1.0 01:PFchan 44.75 .781 No_date 12:50 80.07 n/a .000
02666> #SAVE HYD NHYD=("Pin"), # OF PCYCLES=[-1], ICASEsh=[1]	02847> ************************************
Ocen's Save Pend Outflow Apricages for Use in Calculating Average Velocity in the Ocean Save Forebay Outflow Apricages for Use in Calculating Average Velocity in the Ocean Save To Humen's Provided, 1, 60F (CVLES=[-1], ICASEM=[1] CASEM=[1] Save Pend Outflow Fore Outflow Fore Outflow Save Pend Outflow Apricages for Use in Tregating Fore Stage-Time Curves	02849> # STORMS 02849> ####################################
02671> # HYD_COMMENT=("Fond Outfow - Free Outfall Conditions") 02672> # Save Fond Outflow Hydrograph For Use In Freeparing Fond Stage-Time Curves 02673> # For Use in TRI Ground's MCL analysis of the Unstream Substitution	02851> FINISH 02852>
06739 # For Use in INI Group's MEL Analysis of the Upstream Subdivision 06749 # SAVE HYD 06749 # SAVE HYD MYD Foot* Foot* Foot* Foot* Foot* Foot* Foot* Foot* 06759 # HYD_COMMENT: Food Datfor - Restrictive D/S Conditions* 06756 # HYD_COMMENT: Food Datfor - Restrictive D/S Conditions*	02854> WARNINGS / ERRORS / NOTES
02575-5 02677-5 CHEEK CARACITIES OF OWELAND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLEY CHANNEL FROM FOND 02678-5 CHEEK CARACITIES AND OFFICE AND FLOW ROUTE INTO POND AND OUTLEY CHANNEL FROM FOND	0285- R001:C0005 ERAD HYD 02857> MOTE: The hydrograph which was read is dry. 02858- R0001:C0010 R0UTE RESERVOIR
02679> # Overland Flow Route Capacity ARRANa—OFEN/Cans—TpoakEnte hh:mm —R/mm—R.C. — DMFcms 026810 R009FE CHANNELL > 10.002/Maj .00 / 718 No date 1:10 n/a .000 026810 R0UTE CHANNELL > 10.002/Maj 17.18 No date 1:10 n/a .000 026820 * REDTT 1.001 cutch - 1.001:001/ER 000 713 No date 1:10 n/a 000	021595 NO001:C0010 NOUTE REMEMOUS RESTANDING CONTROL NO NOTE OF THE NOTE OF TH
02683> (L/s/n= 10./ .700/.030) 02684> (Vmax= .641:Tmax= .234)	02862> 80001:000012 ROUTE CHANNEL -> 02863> "** MARNING: Inflow hydrograph is dry! Routing not done! 02864> ROUZ:000005 READ HYD 02865> "** MOTE: The hydrograph which was read is dry.
	02866> R0002:C00010 ROUTE RESERVOIR 02867> *** MARNING: Outflow volume is less than inflow volume.
05469 N0998:CD0013	02869> ** MARNING: OUTTO RESERVOIR 02869> ** MARNING: OUTTO W volume is less than inflow volume. 02870 80002:00012 BOUTE CHANNEL ->
02691> # STORMS 02692> # STORMS	02871> *** MARNING: Inflow hydrograph is dry! Routing not done! 02872> R0005:C00005 READ HYD
02635 ** END OF RUN : 998 02695 02695	02873 *** NOTE: The hydrograph which was read is dry. 02874 * RODOS-COUGHO ROUTE RESERVOIT 02875 *** MARNING: Outflow volume is less than inflow volume. 02975 *** MARNING: Outflow volume is less than inflow volume.
0.2695 0.2695 0.2698 0.76495	02870 > 0005.c00011 ROUTE RESERVOIR 028770 *** MARNING: Outflow volume is less than inflow volume. 02878 > 0005.c00012 ROUTE CHANNEL -> 028780 *** MANNING: Inflow hydrograph is dry! Routing not done!
02599> 02700>	02879> *** WARNING: Inflow hydrograph is dry! Routing not done! 02880> R0099:C00010 ROUTE RESERVOIR
TUCA i	



STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years

Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years 0.013 Manning



Manning	0.013		Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years										FLOW SEWER DATA																				
1	LOCA	ATION						AREA	AREA (Ha)												SEWER DATA (mm) DIA. (mm) TYPE SLOPE LENGTH CAPACITY ELOCITY TIME OF												
				2 Y	EAR			5 Y	'EAR			10 Y	/EAR			100	YEAR		Time of	Intensity	,	Intensity	,	Peak Flow	DIA. (mm)	DIA. (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	. CAPACITY	/ELOCITY	TIME OF	RATIO
			AREA	R	Indiv.	Accum.	AREA	R	Indiv.	Accum.	AREA	R	Indiv.	Accum.	AREA	R	Indiv.	Accum.	Conc.	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	100 Year										
Location	From Node	To Node	(Ha)	11	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)	- '\	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)	- 11	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)	- 1	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(min)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	Q (l/s)	(actual)	(nominal)		(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (mir	Q/Q ful
STM TR	UNK 1																																
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.17												'		
	112	116	5.24	0.68	9.91	9.91			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.17	61.37	83.01	97.21	141.96	608	825	825	CONC	0.30	56.0	786.2205	1.4708	0.6346	0.773
					0.00	9.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.91												'		
	116	120	1.62	0.68	3.06	12.97			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.80	59.93	81.05	94.90	138.56	777	975	975	CONC	0.20	60.0	#######	1.3424	0.7450	0.775
					0.00	12.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.26														
	120	124	1.80	0.68	3.40	16.37			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.55	58.34	78.87	92.34	134.80	955	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	60.0	#######	1.3351	0.7490	0.632
					0.00	16.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.23														
	124	139	1.73	0.68	3.27	19.64			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.30	56.83	76.80	89.91	131.24	1116	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	64.0	#######	1.3351	0.7989	0.739
					0.00	19.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.78														
	139	140	4.93	0.68	9.32	28.96			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.10	55.31	74.73	87.47	127.66	1602	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	59.0	#######	1.4442	0.6809	0.775
	140	143			0.00	28.96	0.08	0.68	0.15	0.15			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.78	54.08	73.05	85.50	124.78		1500	1500	CONC	0.15	40.0	#######		0.4303	0.576
					0.00	28.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.77														
					0.00	28.96	0.78	0.68	1.47	1.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00												+ -	$\overline{}$		
			1.70	0.68	3.21	32.17	55	0.00	0.00	1.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00												+			
	143	1002	2.70	0.80	6.00	38.18	1	1	0.00	1.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.21	53.34	72.04	84.31	123.03	2154	1500	1500	CONC	0.15	52.0	#######	1.5493	0.5594	0.787
	1002	HW1	2.10	0.00	0.00	38.18	1	 	0.00	1.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.77		70.77	82.82			1500	1500	CONC			#######		0.3394	
	1002			1	0.00	55.10	1	 	0.00	1.55			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.11	UZ.71	10.11	02.02	120.07	2110	1000	1000	55140	0.10	17.0		1.0-700	0.1023	0.113
STM TR	INK 2			 	 	1	 	 	1	 				1			1	+	1		1									+			
SINIIK	UNITA Z			1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1	 	0.00	0.00	14.82		1	1					 	 	1	+		 	
 	231	232	4.38	0.68	8.28	8.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.82	62.20	84.15	98.55	143.91	515	975	975	CONC	0.20	E / E	#######	1.3424	0.6767	0.514
	232					8.32	1		0.00	0.00			0.00					0.00		60.62													
	232	235	0.02	0.68	0.04		0.00	0.00		0.00				0.00			0.00		15.50	00.02	81.99	96.01	140.19	504	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	15.0	#######	1.2214	0.2047	0.477
	005	000	0.00	0.00		8.32	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00				0.00	12.53	00.40	04.00	05.07	400.44	000	4050	4050	00110	0.45	45.5	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4.0044	0.0445	0.570
	235	236	0.90	0.68	1.70	10.02	1	1	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.70	60.16	81.36	95.27	139.11	603	1050	1050	CONC	0.15		#######		0.2115	0.570
	236	237	0.54	0.68	1.02	11.04	1	1	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.91	59.70	80.72	94.52	138.00	659	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	82.0	#######		1.1189	0.623
	237	240	0.42	0.68	0.79	11.83		L	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.03	57.36	77.52	90.76	132.49	679	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	86.0	#######	1.2214	1.1735	0.642
				ļ	0.00	11.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	23.09														
					0.00	11.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1		ļ						 	 	ļ	<u> </u>			
				ļ	0.00	11.83	0.93	0.40	1.03	1.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00												<u> </u>			
				ļ	0.00	11.83	1.38	0.68	2.61	3.64			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1		ļ							ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	240	HW2	3.47	0.68	6.56	18.39	ļ		0.00	3.64			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	23.09	47.54	64.12	75.01	109.40	1108	1350	1350	CONC	0.25	58.0	#######	1.8644	0.5185	0.415
				ļ	ļ		ļ		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>													ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 '		
STM TR	UNK 3			ļ	ļ		ļ							ļ																<u> </u>	└─ ─		
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.35											ļ!			
	306	307	1.79	0.68	3.38	3.38			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.35	63.34	85.70	100.38	146.60	214	675	675	CONC	0.15	84.0	325.5584	0.9098	1.5389	0.658
	307	308	0.51	0.68	0.96	4.35			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.89	59.74		94.59	138.10	260	675	675	CONC	0.20		375.9224		1.3327	0.691
	308	313			0.00	4.35			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.23	56.97	76.99	90.14	131.57	248	750	750	CONC	0.20	5.0	497.8726	1.1270	0.0739	0.498
					0.00	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.71														
					0.00	4.35	0.73	0.40	0.81	0.81			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00															
	313	314	1.06	0.68	2.00	6.35			0.00	0.81			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.30	56.82	76.80	89.90	131.23	423	825	825	CONC	0.20	37.5	641.9463	1.2009	0.5205	0.659
	314	HW3			0.00	6.35			0.00	0.81			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.82	55.82	75.43	88.30	128.88	416	900	900	CONC	0.15	25.0	701.1305	1.1021	0.3781	0.593
STM TR	UNK 4																																
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.54												i		
			0.40	0.68	0.76	0.76			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00												T			
					0.00	0.76	0.48	0.68	0.91	0.91			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00													i		
			1.53	0.80	3.40	4.16			0.00	0.91			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00													i		
	405	406			0.00	4.16	1.91	0.40	2.12	3.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.54	65.43	88.58	103.76	151.57	541	825	825	CONC	0.20	39.0	641.9463	1.2009	0.5413	0.842
	406	412			0.00	4.16	0.04	0.68	0.08	3.11			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.08		86.64	101.47	148.21		825	825	CONC			641.9463		0.2915	
					0.00	4.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.11			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.34														
					0.00	4.16	0.11	0.68	0.21	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1												·		
	412	413	1.66	0.68	3.14	7.30	l	1	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.38	63.28	85.63	100.29	146.47	746	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	73.5	#######	1.3351	0.9175	0.494
	413	414	0.44	0.68	0.83	8.13	1	1	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.29	61.08	82.62	96.75	141.28	770	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	74.0	#######	1.3351	0.9238	0.510
	414	415	0.15	0.68	0.28	8.41	1	1	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.22	59.04	79.82	93.46	136.45	761	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	9.5	#######		0.1186	0.504
	415	430	0.13	0.68	0.34	8.75	1	<u> </u>	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	16.34	58.78	79.48	93.05	135.85	778	1200	1200	CONC	0.15				0.6054	0.515
	710	730	0.10	0.00	0.54	0.13	 	 	0.00	0.01			0.00	0.00	 		0.00	0.00	10.54	50.76	13.40	90.00	100.00	110	1200	1200	CONC	0.10	70.0	**************************************	1.0001	0.0034	0.010
				1	1	1	1		1	1				 				+			1									+			
	1			 	 	1	 	 	1	 				+	 		1	+	1		1								1	+			
Definition	E.	l		l .	l	1	l	1	1	l l			1	l	i	l	ı	1	ı	l	1	l	1	Decignod:			PROJECT		1			l	l
										NI 4														Designed:			L KOJEC I			O		- ·	
Q = 2.78	AIR, where									Notes:															V.W.		1		1247-	- Stittsville S	outh Ubar	ı ⊨xpansio	n Area

Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s)

A = Areas in hectares (ha)

= Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)

R = Runoff Coefficient

1) Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve

2) Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s

1247- Stittsville South Uban Expansion Area Checked: LOCATION: City of Ottawa Dwg. Reference: File Ref: Date: Sheet No. SHEET 1 OF 2 31 Jul 2024

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD) Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years

Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years 0.013 Manning

Manning	0.013		Arterial Ro	oads Return	Frequency	= 10 years																											
	LOCATION							AREA (Ha)										FLOW							SEWER D.			ATA					
	LOCATION		2 YEAR			5 YEAR 10 YEAR						100 YEAR			Time of Intensity Intensity Intensity Inte		Intensity	ntensity Peak Flow		DIA. (mm) DIA. (mm) TYPE		SLOPE LENGT		H CAPACITY/ELOCI		TIME OF	RATIO						
			AREA		Indiv.	Accum.	AREA		Indiv.	Accum.	AREA		Indiv.	Accum.	AREA		Indiv.	Accum.	Conc.	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	100 Year									(
Location	From Node	To Node	(Ha)	R		2.78 AC		R	2.78 AC		(Ha)	R	2.78 AC			R		2.78 AC			(mm/h)	(mm/h)		Q (1/s)	(actual)	(nominal)		(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (min	O/O fi
Location	rom rode	TOTTOGE	(114)	1	2.70710	2.70710	(114)		2.70710	2.70710	(114)	<u> </u>	2.70710	2.70710	(1.14)		2.70710	2.70710	(111111)	(111111/11)	(11111/11)	(111111/11)	(111111/11)	Q (F3)	(actual)	(Homman)		(70)	(111)	(13)	(11/3)	EC W (IIIII	Q/Q 10
	-	-			0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	40.40											<u> </u>		 '	
					0.00	8.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.48													 '	
					0.00	8.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.48													 '	
					0.00	8.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00														 '	
			1.77	0.68	3.35	12.10			0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00														<u> </u>	
			1.80	0.68	3.40	15.50			0.00	3.31			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00														<u> </u>	
	430	434			0.00	15.50		0.68	4.63	7.95			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.94	57.54	77.77	91.05	132.91	1510	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	64.5	#######	1.4442	0.7444	0.730
					0.00	15.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.95			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.45												1	i '	
	434	435	0.98	0.68	1.85	17.35			0.00	7.95			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.69	56.08	75.78	88.71	129.47	1575	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	45.0	#######	1.4442	0.5193	0.762
	435	HW4		1	0.00	17.35			0.00	7.95			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	18.20	55.11	74.45	87.15	127.19	1548	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	26.5	#######	1.4442	0.3058	0.749

STM TRU	INK 5				1	1				1			1 1																	1	$\overline{}$		
O	1				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.45											1	$\overline{}$		
-	E10	E22	1.50	0.60			0.00	0.00				 			-	-				GE GC	00.02	104.17	150 17	100	000	000	CONC	0.15	67.5	704 4205	1 1024	1.0200	0.200
-	519	522	1.52	0.68	2.87	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		1	0.00	0.00	1	 	0.00	0.00	13.45	65.69	88.93	104.17	152.17	189	900	900	CONC	0.15	07.5	701.1305	1.1021	1.0208	0.269
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	0.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		1	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	12.08				<u> </u>			ļ			ļ	<u> </u>		 '	<u> </u>
	 	 		1	0.00	2.87		0.00	0.00	0.00		1	0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	12.08			ļ	 	ļ		1				ļ	——'		
					0.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.08											ļ	└	 '	
					0.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.08				1								'		
					0.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.32													ı	
					0.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.32													1	
			0.20	0.68	0.38	3.25			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00															
			0.26	0.68	0.49	3.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00														(
			0.20	0.00	0.00	3.74	0.50	0.68	0.95	0.95			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1											ì			
					0.00	3.74	0.74	0.68	1.40	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00												1	$\overline{}$		
			0.81	0.68	1.53	5.27	0.74	0.00	0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	1											1			
	500	504																	40.00	54.04	74.40	00.00	400.70	057	4000	4000	00110	0.45	50.5		4.0054	0.7000	0.405
	522	524	1.86	0.68	3.52	8.79	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.32	54.91	74.18	86.83	126.72	657	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	58.5	#######	1.3351	0.7303	0.435
					0.00	8.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.69														
	524	528	0.74	0.68	1.40	10.19			0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.05	53.62	72.42	84.76	123.68	716	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	64.0	#######	1.3351	0.7989	0.474
					0.00	10.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.84													L	
	528	5320	0.84	0.68	1.59	11.78			0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.84	52.28	70.59	82.61	120.54	781	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	61.0	#######	1.3351	0.7615	0.517
			0.34	0.68	0.64	12.42			0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00													,	i '	
	5320	532	1.21	0.80	2.69	15.11			0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	20.61	51.08	68.95	80.68	117.71	933	1200	1200	CONC	0.15	4.5	#######	1.3351	0.0562	0.618
				1	0.00	15.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00	1		0.00	0.00	12.13												· ·	i	
	532	533	0.97	0.68	1.83	16.94			0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	20.66	50.99	68.83	80.54	117.50	1025	1350	1350	CONC	0.15	68.5	#######	1.4442	0.7905	0.496
	533	HW5	0.07	0.00	0.00	16.94			0.00	2.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	21.45		67.22	78.64		1001		1350	CONC	0.15	26.0	#######			0.484
	000	11110			0.00	10.04			0.00	2.07			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	21.40	70.01	OT.EE	10.04	117.72	1001	1000	1000	00110	0.10	20.0		1.7772	0.0001	0.404
				+	1	1				1			+ +		+			+	+											1			
	-	-			ļ	<u> </u>				ļ			+ +					-	-											<u> </u>		 '	
													+																			 '	
													1																				
					<u> </u>	ļ				<u> </u>			1 1																	ļ	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			1																	<u> </u>	 -'	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
					<u> </u>					<u> </u>																					'		
																																	\bot
																																1	
													1 1																				
													1																				
					1	1				1			1 1																	1			
	1	1		1	1	1			1	1		1	+ +		1		1	1	1				1			1			1	1	$\overline{}$		1
	1	1		1	 	 			1	 		1	+ +		1	-	1	1	1				1			1		-	-	 			1
l	1	1		1	1	1			1	1		1	+		1	-	1	1	1				1			1		1	-	1			1
—	 	 		1	 	 			1	 		1	+ +		1	 	1	1	1			 	 	 		1		 	 	 			
	1	1		1	1	1			1	1		1	+ +		1		1	1	1			-	 	-		1			-	1			1
	 	 		1	ļ	ļ			1	ļ		1	1		1		1	1	1			ļ	 	ļ		1				ļ	——'		
																							1								'		
																																1	
																															1	i	
													1 1																				
					1	1				1			1 1				1	1	1											1		$\overline{}$	
					1	1				1			1 1									1		1				1	1	1	$\overline{}$		1
Definitions	<u>. </u>	L		1			l	l	1		L	1			1	l	1		1	l	1	·		Designed:	L	-	PROJECT:		·				
																								Designed.			. ROJECI.						



Q = 2.78 AIR, where Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s)

A = Areas in hectares (ha) R = Runoff Coefficient

I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)

Notes:

1) Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve

2) Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s

Designed:				PROJECT											
		V.W.		1247- Stittsville South Uban Expansion Ar											
	Checked:			LOCATION:											
		W.L.					City of Ottawa								
	Dwg. Refe	rence:		File Ref:			Date:		Sheet No.						
							31 Ju	I 2024	SHEET	2 OF 2					