# ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES 1034 MCGARRY TERRACE



Project No.: CCO-23-3441

Prepared for:

Kionas Construction Inc. Attn: Spyro Dimitrakopoulos, CEO 150 Marketplace Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K2J 6V2

Prepared by:

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. | Egis Canada 115 Walgreen Road Carp, ON K0A 1L0

April 19, 2024 Subm2

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.2 Site Description 1.3 Proposed Development and Statistics 1.4 Existing Conditions and Infrastructure 1.5 Approvals 2.0 BACKROUND STUDIES, STANDARDS AND REFERENCES 2.1 Background Reports / Reference Information 2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards. 3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY 4.1 Existing Watermain 4.1.1 McGarry Terrace 4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 4.2 Proposed Watermain 5.0 SANITARY DESIGN 5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer 5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer 5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer 6.1 Existing Storm Sewers 6.1.1 McGarry Terrace 6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers 6.1.1 McGarry Terrace 6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 6.3 Proposed Storm Sewers 6.1.1 Design Criteria and Methodology 7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology 7.2 Runoff Calculations 7.3 Site Drainage	PROJI	ECT OVERVIEW	1
1.3 Proposed Development and Statistics  1.4 Existing Conditions and Infrastructure  1.5 Approvals  2.0 BACKROUND STUDIES, STANDARDS AND REFERENCES.  2.1 Background Reports / Reference Information  2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards  3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY  4.0 WATERMAIN  4.1 Existing Watermain  4.1.1 McGarry Terrace  4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  4.2 Proposed Watermain  5.0 SANITARY DESIGN  5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer  5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  6.1.3 McGarry Terrace  6.1.4 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.5 Runoff Calculations  7.6 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.7 Besign Criteria and Methodology  7.8 Site Drainage	!.1 Pur	pose	1
1.4 Existing Conditions and Infrastructure	2 Site	Pescription	1
2.0 BACKROUND STUDIES, STANDARDS AND REFERENCES.  2.1 Background Reports / Reference Information 2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards. 3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY.  4.0 WATERMAIN.  4.1 Existing Watermain.  4.1.1 McGarry Terrace.  4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue.  4.2 Proposed Watermain.  5.0 SANITARY DESIGN.  5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer.  5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer.  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN.  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers.  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace.  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue.  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers.  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT.  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology.  7.2 Runoff Calculations.  7.3 Site Drainage.	1.3 Pro	posed Development and Statistics	2
2.0 BACKROUND STUDIES, STANDARDS AND REFERENCES  2.1 Background Reports / Reference Information  2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards  3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY  4.0 WATERMAIN  4.1 Existing Watermain  4.1.1 McGarry Terrace  4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  4.2 Proposed Watermain  5.0 SANITARY DESIGN  5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer  5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage.	!.4 Exis	sting Conditions and Infrastructure	2
2.1 Background Reports / Reference Information 2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards 3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY 4.0 WATERMAIN 4.1 Existing Watermain 4.1.1 McGarry Terrace 4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 4.2 Proposed Watermain 5.0 SANITARY DESIGN 5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer 5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer 6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN 6.1 Existing Storm Sewers 6.1.1 McGarry Terrace 6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers 7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology 7.2 Runoff Calculations 7.3 Site Drainage	!.5 App	provals	2
2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards	ВАСК	ROUND STUDIES, STANDARDS AND REFERENCES	3
3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY  4.0 WATERMAIN	2.1 Bac	kground Reports / Reference Information	3
4.0 WATERMAIN  4.1 Existing Watermain	2.2 App	plicable Guidelines and Standards	3
4.1 Existing Watermain	PRE-C	CONSULTATION SUMMARY	4
4.1.1 McGarry Terrace 4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 4.2 Proposed Watermain 5.0 SANITARY DESIGN 5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer 5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer 6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN 6.1 Existing Storm Sewers 6.1.1 McGarry Terrace 6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue 6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers 7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology 7.2 Runoff Calculations 7.3 Site Drainage	WATE	ERMAIN	5
4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  4.2 Proposed Watermain  5.0 SANITARY DESIGN  5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer  5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage	l.1 Exis	sting Watermain	5
4.2 Proposed Watermain  5.0 SANITARY DESIGN  5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer  5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage	4.1.1	McGarry Terrace	5
5.0 SANITARY DESIGN  5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer  5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage	4.1.2	Marketplace Avenue	5
5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer	1.2 Pro	posed Watermain	5
5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer  6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage	SANI	TARY DESIGN	8
6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN  6.1 Existing Storm Sewers  6.1.1 McGarry Terrace  6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers  7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage	5.1 Exis	sting Sanitary Sewer	8
6.1 Existing Storm Sewers	5.2 Pro	posed Sanitary Sewer	8
6.1.1 McGarry Terrace	STOR	M SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN	.0
6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue  6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers	5.1 Exis	sting Storm Sewers	10
6.2 Proposed Storm Sewers	6.1.1	McGarry Terrace	١0
7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT  7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology  7.2 Runoff Calculations  7.3 Site Drainage	6.1.2	Marketplace Avenue	١0
<ul> <li>7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology</li> <li>7.2 Runoff Calculations</li> <li>7.3 Site Drainage</li> </ul>	5.2 Pro	posed Storm Sewers	10
7.2 Runoff Calculations	STOR	MWATER MANAGEMENT	.1
7.3 Site Drainage	7.1 Des	sign Criteria and Methodology	!1
-	7.2 Run	noff Calculations	!1
8.0 SUMMARY	7.3 Site	Prainage	!2
	SUMI	MARY 1	.3

9.0	RECOMMENDATION	. 14
10.0	STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS	. 15
LIST	OF TABLES	
Table	1: Water Supply Design Criteria and Water Demands	6
Table	2: Boundary Condition Results – Current 3SW Pressure Zone	6
Table	3: Boundary Condition Results – Future SUC Pressure Zone	7
Table 4	4: Fire Protection Confirmation	7
Table	5: Sanitary Design Criteria	8
Table	6: Summary of Estimated Sanitary Flow	8
Table	7: Pre-Development Runoff Summary	12
Table	8: Post Development Flow Rate and Storage Requirements	12

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Site Location Plan

Appendix B: Background Documents

Appendix C: Watermain Calculations

Appendix D: Sanitary Calculations

Appendix G: Stormwater Management Calculations

#### 1.0 PROJECT OVERVIEW

#### 1.1 Purpose

McIntosh Perry (MP) has been retained by Kionas Construction Inc. (Kionas) to prepare this Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services Report in support of the Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) application process for the contemplated development at 1034 McGarry Terrace, within the City of Ottawa.

The main purpose of this report is to demonstrate that the proposed servicing and stormwater management design for the development follows the recommendations and guidelines provided by the City of Ottawa (City), the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA), and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). This report will address access to water, sanitary and storm servicing for the site, ensuring that existing services will adequately service the proposed development.

#### 1.2 Site Description



Figure 1: Site Map

The subject property, herein referred to as the site, is located at 1034 McGarry Terrace in the Barrhaven West Ward in the City of Ottawa. The site covers approximately *0.42 ha* and is located at the southwest corner of the proposed extension of McGarry Terrace and

Marketplace Avenue intersection. The site is zoned for Mixed-Use Centre Zone (MC[2573]). Additional details are included on the Site Location Plan included in *Appendix B*.

#### 1.3 Proposed Development and Statistics

The contemplated development consists of a mixed-use building containing a 4-storey base podium, an 11-storey residential tower (centre), 26-storey residential tower (east), and a 35-storey residential tower (west). The *Site Plan* proposes *592 residential units, 1,104 m*<sup>2</sup> of commercial space, and *653* parking spaces, which are all underground. The site access will be from Marketplace Avenue. Refer to *Site Plan* prepared by Progressive Architects Ltd. In *Appendix B* for further details.

#### 1.4 Existing Conditions and Infrastructure

The site was previously occupied by a single-family residential dwelling. Currently, the site is undeveloped and serves as a construction lay-down area for adjacent properties.

Sewer and watermain mapping collected from the City of Ottawa indicate that the following services exist across the property frontages within the adjacent municipal right-of-ways:

- McGarry Terrace
  - 203 mm diameter PVC watermain;
  - 200 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer; and a
  - 525 mm diameter concrete storm sewer.
- Marketplace Avenue
  - 305 mm diameter PVC watermain;
  - 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer; and a
  - 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer.

#### 1.5 Approvals

The contemplated development is subject to the City of Ottawa zoning by-law amendment approval process.

The development will be subject to the City of Ottawa site plan control approval process. Site plan control requires the City to review, provided concurrence and approve the engineering design package. Permits to construct can be requested once the City has issued a site plan agreement.

An Environmental Compliance Approval (*ECA*) through the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (*MECP*) is not anticipated to be required for the development. The stormwater management system is anticipated to meet the exemption requirements under O.Reg. 525/90 since the development is located within a single parcel, is not tributary to a combined sewer system, and does not propose industrial usage.

#### 2.0 BACKROUND STUDIES, STANDARDS AND REFERENCES

#### 2.1 Background Reports / Reference Information

As-built drawings of existing services, provided by the City of Ottawa Information centre, within the vicinity of the site were reviewed in order to identify infrastructure available to service the contemplated development. The following reports were also reviewed:

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report, 1117 Longfields Drive and 1034 McGarry Terrace, Ottawa, ON prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd., May 13, 2019; and
- ◆ Nepean South Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Report, Prepared by IBI Group, dated September 2010, Fourth Addendum dated February 16, 2018.

#### 2.2 Applicable Guidelines and Standards

#### City of Ottawa:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, SDG002, October 2012. (Ottawa Sewer Guidelines)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2014-01 City of Ottawa, February 2014. (ISTB-2014-01)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01 City of Ottawa, January 2018. (ISTB-2018-01)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03 City of Ottawa, March 2018. (ISTB-2018-03)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2019-01 City of Ottawa, January 2019. (ISTB-2019-01)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2019-02 City of Ottawa, February 2019. (ISTB-2019-02)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution City of Ottawa, July 2010. (Ottawa Water Guidelines)
  - Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2 City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010. (ISD-2010-2)
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 City of Ottawa, May 2014. (ISDTB-2014-02)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 City of Ottawa, March 2018. (ISTB-2018-02)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2021-03 City of Ottawa, August 2021. (ISTB-2021-03)
- ◆ Stormwater management Design Criteria for the Pinecrest Creek/Westboro Area, City of Ottawa, May 2020. (*Pinecrest Creek Study*)

#### Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks:

- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003.
   (MECP Stormwater Design Manual)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008.
   (MECP Sewer Design Guidelines)

#### Other:

♦ Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, Fire Underwriters Survey, 2020. (FUS Guidelines)

#### 3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY

A pre-consultation meeting was conducted on June 7<sup>th</sup>, 2022, regarding the contemplated development at 1034 McGarry Terrace. Specific design parameters to be incorporated within this design include the following.

- All Site Servicing and SWM design shall meet the design criteria set in the Nepean South Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Report (*Chapman Mills SWMF Report*), prepared by IBI Group.
- Quality control to be provided by the Chapman Mills SWMF.
- Post-development to be restricted to 85 L/s/ha for all events up to the 100-year event, with no ponding in the 2-year storm event, based on a calculated time of concentration and the lesser of either the calculated pre-development rational method coefficient or 0.50. Time of concentration must be equal to or greater than 10 minutes.
- ♦ Confirm boundary conditions with City of Ottawa staff.
- ♦ Confirm sanitary capacity with City of Ottawa staff.

The notes from the City of Ottawa pre-consultation can be found in *Appendix B*.

#### 4.0 WATERMAIN

#### 4.1 Existing Watermain

The subject site is located within the 3SW pressure zone, as shown by the Water Distribution figure located in *Appendix C*. The following subsections outline the water infrastructure that exists within McGarry Terrace and Marketplace Avenue.

#### 4.1.1 McGarry Terrace

There is an existing 203 mm diameter PVC watermain within McGarry Terrace. In addition, there is an existing fire hydrant on the north side of the site along McGarry Terrace.

#### 4.1.2 Marketplace Avenue

There is an existing 305 mm diameter PVC watermain within Marketplace Avenue. In addition, there is an existing fire hydrant fronting the site along Marketplace Avenue.

#### 4.2 Proposed Watermain

In accordance with Section 4.3.1 of the *Ottawa Water Guidelines*, service areas with a basic day demand greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day require a dual connection to the municipal system. A dual connection will be required to service the contemplated development, based on the site statistics provided by the *Site Plan*. Two connections to the watermain will be designed during the Site Plan Control phase.

The Fire Underwriters Survey 2020 (FUS) method was utilized to estimate the required fire flow for the site. Fire flow requirements were calculated per City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin *ISTB-2018-02*. The following parameters were provided by the building architect:

- ◆ Type of construction Non-Combustible Construction
- ♦ Occupancy type Limited Combustibility
- ◆ Sprinkler Protection Standard Water Supply Sprinklered

The results of the calculations yielded a required fire flow of **11,000 L/min** (183.3 L/s). The detailed calculations for the FUS can be found in **Appendix C**.

The water demands for the proposed building have been calculated to adhere to *Ottawa Water Guidelines* and can be found in *Appendix C*. The results have been summarized below:

**Table 1: Water Supply Design Criteria and Water Demands** 

Site Area	0.42 ha
Residential	280 L/day/person
Studio Apartment (46 units)	1.4 persons/unit
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment (290 Units)	1.4 persons/unit
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment (244 Units)	2.1 persons/unit
Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment (12 Units)	3.1 persons/unit
Commercial Space	28,000 L/gross ha/day
Average Day Demand (L/s)	3.40 L/s
Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)	8.40 L/s
Peak Hourly Demand (L/s)	18.43 L/s
FUS Fire Flow Requirement (L/s)	183.3 L/s (11,000 L/min)

The City provided the estimated water pressures for the average day scenario, peak hour scenario and the max day plus fire flow scenario for the demands indicated by the correspondence in Appendix C. The resulting pressures for the boundary conditions results are shown in Tables 2 and 3, below. Boundary conditions have been provided for the current pressure zone (3SW) as well as the future pressure zone (SUC).

The estimated water demands have decreased since the boundary condition request due to changes in the site statistics. Due to the minor decrease, the validity of the boundary condition results is not anticipated to be impacted.

Table 2: Boundary Condition Results - Current 3SW Pressure Zone

	Connection 1 – Ma	arketplace Avenue	Connection 2 – McGarry Terrace			
Scenario	Estimated Demands (L/s)	HGL (m H₂O)*/kPa	Estimated Demands (L/s)	HGL (m H₂O)**/kPa		
Average Day Demand	3.40	55.3 / 542.5	3.40	54.7 / 536.5		
Maximum Daily + Fire Flow Demand	8.40	42.1 / 413.0	8.40	41.5 / 406.7		
Peak Hourly Demand	18.43	41.8 / 410.1	18.43	41.3 / 404.7		
*Adjusted for an estimated ground elevation of 101.2m above the connection point.						

<sup>\*\*</sup>Adjusted for an estimated ground elevation of 101.8m above the connection point.

The normal operating pressure range for the current zone is anticipated to be 404.7 kPa to 536.5 kPa and will not be less than 275 kPa (40 psi) or exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). The watermains will meet the minimum required 20 psi (140 kPa) from the *Ottawa Water Guidelines* at the ground level under maximum day demand and fire flow conditions.

Table 3: Boundary Condition Results – Future SUC Pressure Zone

	Connection 1 – Ma	arketplace Avenue	Connection 2 – McGarry Terra			
Scenario	Estimated Demands (L/s)	HGL (m H₂O)*/kPa	Estimated Demands (L/s)	HGL (m H₂O)**/kPa		
Average Day Demand	3.40	45.7 / 448.3	3.40	45.1 / 442.4		
Maximum Daily + Fire Flow Demand	8.40	42.0 / 412.0	8.40	38.5 / 377.7		
Peak Hourly Demand	18.43	42.8 / 419.9	18.43	42.2 / 414.0		
*Adjusted for an estimated ground elevation of 101.2m above the connection point.  **Adjusted for an estimated ground elevation of 101.8m above the connection point						

<sup>\*\*</sup>Adjusted for an estimated ground elevation of 101.8m above the connection point

The normal operating pressure range for the future pressure zone is anticipated to be 377.7 kPa to 442.4 kPa and will not be less than 275 kPa (40 psi) or exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). The watermains will meet the minimum required 20 psi (140 kPa) from the *Ottawa Water Guidelines* at the ground level under maximum day demand and fire flow conditions. In accordance with the FUS, the existing watermain network can provide the required fire flow to the proposed building.

To confirm the adequacy of fire flow to protect the proposed development, public and private fire hydrants within 150 m of the proposed building were accounted for per *ISTB 2018-03* Appendix I Table 1. The results are summarized below in *Table 4*.

**Table 4: Fire Protection Confirmation** 

Building	Fire Flow Demand (L/min.)	Fire Hydrant(s) within 75m	Fire Hydrant(s) within 150m	Combined Fire Flow (L/min.)
1034 McGarry Terrace	11,000 L/min – FUS	5 public	4 public	43,700

Based on City guidelines (*ISTB-2018-02*), it is anticipated that the existing municipal hydrants can provide adequate fire coverage to the contemplated development. A hydrant coverage figure can be found in *Appendix C*.

#### **5.0 SANITARY DESIGN**

#### 5.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer

There is an existing 200 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer within McGarry Terrace and an existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Marketplace Avenue available to service the site. The McGarry Terrace sewer connects to the Marketplace Avenue sewer, which then connects to the Longfields Drive sewer, flowing south.

#### **5.2** Proposed Sanitary Sewer

**Table 5**, below, summarizes the wastewater design criteria identified by the **Ottawa Sewer Guidelines**.

**Table 5: Sanitary Design Criteria** 

Design Parameter	Value
Studio Apartment (46 units)	1.4 persons/unit
Residential 1 Bedroom / Bachelor Apartment (290 Units)	1.4 persons/unit
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment (244 Units)	2.1 persons/unit
Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment (12 Units)	3.1 persons/unit
Average Daily Demand	280 L/day/person
Commercial Space	2800 L/(1000m²/day)

It is anticipated that the contemplated development will be serviced by the 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Marketplace Avenue.

**Table 5**, below, summarizes the estimated wastewater flow from the contemplated development. Refer to **Appendix D** for detailed calculations.

**Table 6: Summary of Estimated Sanitary Flow** 

Design Parameter	Total Flow (L/s)
Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow	3.42
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow	10.81
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow	10.96

City staff were contacted on February 14<sup>th</sup>, 2023, to review contemplated wastewater flows from the site and advise if there were any downstream constraints. City staff were able to confirm that the Longfields Drive sanitary sewer can accommodate the contemplated flow of **10.96 L/s** from the site. Correspondence with City Staff is included in **Appendix D**.

#### 6.0 STORM SEWER & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN

#### **6.1 Existing Storm Sewers**

Stormwater runoff from the site is currently tributary to the Ottawa River within the Jock River sub-watershed. The following subsections outline the storm infrastructure that exists within McGarry Terrace and Marketplace Avenue.

#### 6.1.1 McGarry Terrace

There is an existing 525 mm diameter storm sewer located within McGarry Terrace. The storm sewer slopes to the south and discharges to the existing storm sewer on Marketplace Avenue.

#### 6.1.2 Marketplace Avenue

There is an existing 1350 mm diameter storm sewer located within Marketplace Avenue. The storm sewer slopes to the east and connects to the 1350 mm diameter storm sewer within Longfields Drive.

#### **6.2** Proposed Storm Sewers

It is anticipated that runoff will be directed to the existing 1350 mm diameter storm sewer running east along Marketplace Avenue towards Longfields Drive at a restricted rate, as discussed in *Section 7.1*. The Longfields Drive sewer is tributary to the Chapman Mills Stormwater Management facility.

It is anticipated that a combination of surface, subsurface, rooftop, and internal cistern storage will be required to meet the SWM criteria identified by the City of Ottawa. Further details on the storm sewer design to be provided for the Site Plan Control application.

#### 7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 7.1 Design Criteria and Methodology

Stormwater management for the site will be maintained through positive drainage away from the contemplated building and towards the adjacent ROWs. The quantitative and qualitative properties of the storm runoff for both the pre-development and post-development flows are further detailed below.

In summary, the following design criteria have been employed in developing the stormwater management design for the site as directed by the RVCA and City:

#### **Quality Control**

Quality control to be provided by the Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Facility.
 As per the Nepean South Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Fourth
 Addendum report (*Chapman Mills SWM report*), the downstream stormwater facility
 was designed to provide quality controls for the area, including 1034 McGarry Terrace.

#### **Quantity Control**

Post-development to be restricted to 85 L/s/ha for all events up to the 100-year event, with no ponding in the 2-year storm event, based on a calculated time of concentration and the lesser of either the calculated pre-development rational method coefficient or 0.50. Time of concentration must be equal to or greater than 10 minutes. Relevant excerpts from the *Chapman Mills SWM report* are included in *Appendix G* for reference.

#### 7.2 Runoff Calculations

Runoff calculations presented in this report are derived using the Rational Method, given as:

$$Q = 2.78CIA$$
 (L/s)

Where: C = Runoff coefficient

I = Rainfall intensity in mm/hr (City of Ottawa IDF curves)

A = Drainage area in hectares

It is recognized that the Rational Method tends to overestimate runoff rates. As a result, the conservative calculation of runoff ensures that any stormwater management facility sized using this method is anticipated to function as intended.

The following coefficients were used to develop an average C for each area:

Roofs/Concrete/Asphalt	0.90
Gravel	0.60
Undeveloped and Grass	0.20

As per the *Ottawa Sewer Guidelines*, the 2 or 5-year balanced 'C' value must be increased by 25% for a 100-year storm event to a maximum of 1.0.

#### 7.3 Site Drainage

Based on the criteria listed in *Section 7.1*, the contemplated development will be required to restrict flow to 85 L/s/ha for all events up to the 100-year event, with no ponding in the 2-year storm event.

It has been assumed that the existing development contained no stormwater management controls for flow attenuation. The estimated pre-development peak flows for the 2, 5, and 100-year events are summarized below in *Table 7*.

**Table 7: Pre-Development Runoff Summary** 

Dustana			Q (L/s)	
Drainage Area	Area (ha)	2-Year	100-Year	
A1	0.42	53.81	72.99	156.36

The restriction of stormwater runoff from the site will create the need for on-site storage. To meet the stormwater objectives, the contemplated development may contain a combination of flow attenuation including surface and subsurface storage as well as building storage via an internal cistern and rooftops.

The following storage requirement estimate assumes the flow will be restricted to 85 L/s/ha up to the 100-year storm event. The estimated post-development peak flows for the 2, 5 and 100-year events and the required storage volumes are summarized below in *Table 8*, below.

**Table 8: Post Development Flow Rate and Storage Requirements** 

Drainage	ainage Unr		Unrestricted Flow (L/s)		Restricted Flow (L/s)		Storage Required (m³)		
Area	2-year	5-year	100-Year	2-year	5-Year	100-Year	2-year	5-Year	100-Year
B1 (0.25 ha)	48.24	65.44	124.61	17.00	17.00	17.00	19.6	32.6	85.4
B2 (0.09 ha)	3.93	5.34	11.43	3.93	5.34	11.43			
B3 (0.08 ha)	14.77	20.04	38.16	6.80	6.80	6.80	4.8	8.4	23.1
Total	66.95	90.82	174.20	27.73	29.14	35.23	24.42	40.94	108.46

It is anticipated that approximately  $109 \, m^3$  of storage will be required on site to attenuate flow to the established release rate of  $35.23 \, L/s$ . Flow and storage calculations can be found within **Appendix G**. Actual storage volumes will need to be confirmed at the detailed design stage based on a number of factors including site imperviousness and grading constraints.

#### 8.0 SUMMARY

- Development including a four-storey base podium, an 11-storey residential tower, a 26-storey residential tower, and a 35-storey residential tower is contemplated at 1034 McGarry Terrace;
- The FUS method estimated a maximum fire flow of 11,000 L/min is required for the contemplated development;
- The development is anticipated to have a peak wet weather flow of 10.96 L/s. Based
  on coordination with City staff, the municipal system can accommodate the
  wastewater flow;
- Based on the IBI Report, the proposed development will be required to attenuate post development flows to an equivalent release rate of 85 L/s/ha for all storms up to and including the 100-year storm event;
- To meet the stormwater objectives the contemplated development may contain a combination of flow attenuation including surface and subsurface storage as well as building storage via an internal cistern and rooftops. It is anticipated that approximately 109 m³ of onsite storage will be required to attenuate flow to the established release rate.
- Quality controls are not required for the proposed development due to the proposed outlet to the Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Pond.

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information presented in this report, we recommend that City of Ottawa approve this Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services in support of the proposed rezoning for 1034 McGarry Terrace.

This report is respectfully being submitted for approval.

Regards,

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.



Alison Gosling, P.Eng. Project Engineer, Land Development

T: 613.714.4629

E: alison.gosling@egis-group.com

Robert D. Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development

T: 613.714.6174

E: Robert.freel@egis-group.com

0

#### **10.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS**


This report was produced for the exclusive use of <u>Kionas Construction Inc</u>. The purpose of the report is to assess the existing stormwater management system and provide recommendations and designs for the post-construction scenario that are in compliance with the guidelines and standards from the Ministry of the Environment, Parks and Climate Change, City of Ottawa and local approval agencies. Egis Canada (formerly McIntosh Perry) reviewed the site information and background documents listed in Section 2.0 of this report. While the previous data was reviewed by Egis Canada and site visits were performed, no field verification/measures of any information were conducted.

Any use of this review by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, without a reliance report is the responsibility of such third parties. Egis Canada accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions or actions made based on this review.

The findings, conclusions and/or recommendations of this report are only valid as of the date of this report. No assurance is made regarding any changes in conditions subsequent to this date. If additional information is discovered or becomes available at a future date, Egis Canada should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions presented in this report, and provide amendments, if required.

## APPENDIX A KEY PLAN

McINTOSH PERRY



# APPENDIX B BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

McINTOSH PERRY



Water redundancy would be required for this development based on the number of proposed units.

• Watermain Frontage Fees to be paid (\$190.00 per metre) ☐ **Yes** ☑ **No** 

#### **Boundary conditions:**

Civil consultant must request boundary conditions from the City's assigned Project Manager prior to submission.

- Water boundary condition requests must include the location of the service(s) and the expected loads required by the proposed developments. Please provide all the following information:
  - Location of service(s)
  - o Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS, 2020).
  - o Average daily demand: \_\_\_\_ l/s.
  - o Maximum daily demand: \_\_\_\_l/s
  - o Maximum hourly daily demand: \_\_\_\_ l/s
- Fire protection (Fire demand, Hydrant Locations)

#### **Sanitary Sewers:**

Connection point: 200mm PVC on McGarry Terrace Connection point: 250mm PVC on Marketplace Ave

Is a monitoring manhole required on private property? 

✓ Yes

The designer should be aware there may be limited capacity in the downstream sanitary sewer system. The sanitary demand needs to be coordinated with the City Planning Dept. to determine if the existing sanitary sewer system has sufficient capacity to support the proposed rezoning. Provide sanitary demands to the City project manager for coordination.

#### **Storm Sewers:**

Connection point: 525mm concrete on McGarry

Connection point: 1350mm concrete on Marketplace Ave

#### **Storm Water Management:**

**Quality Control:** 

• Quality control to be provided by the Chaman Mills SWMF and confirmation from the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority to be provided upon submission.

#### **Quantity Control:**

- Allowable Runoff coefficient (C): C = the lesser of the existing pre-development conditions to a maximum of 0.5.
- Time of concentration (Tc): Tc = pre-development; maximum Tc = 10 min
- Allowable flowrate: Control up to the 100-year events to 85 L/s/ha with no ponding in the 2 year event.



#### Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)

All development applications should be considered for an Environmental Compliance Approval, under MECP regulations.

- a. The consultants determine if an approval for sewage works under Section 53 of OWRA is required and determines what type of application. The City's project manager may help confirm and coordinate with the MECP as required.
- b. The project will be either transfer of review (standard), transfer of review (additional), direct submission, or exempt as per O. Reg. 525/98.
- c. Pre-consultation is not required if applying for standard or additional works (Schedule A of the Agreement) under Transfer Review.
- d. Pre-consultation with local District office of MECP is recommended for direct submission.
- e. Consultant completes an MECP request form for a pre-consultation. Sends request to <a href="mailto:moeccottawasewage@ontario.ca">moeccottawasewage@ontario.ca</a>
- f. ECA applications are required to be submitted online through the MECP portal. A business account required to submit ECA application. For more information visit <a href="https://www.ontario.ca/page/environmental-compliance-approval">https://www.ontario.ca/page/environmental-compliance-approval</a>
- g. <u>It is unclear if the proposed development will remain as one property. An ECA will be required where the stormwater management services more than one property parcel.</u>

### NOTE: Site Plan Approval, or Draft Approval, is required before any Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) application is sent.

#### **General Service Design Comments**

- The City of Ottawa requests that all new services be located within the existing service trench to minimize necessary road cuts.
- Monitoring manholes should be located within the property near the property line in an accessible location to City forces and free from obstruction (i.e. not a parking).
- Where service length is greater than 30 m between the building and the first maintenance hole / connection, a cleanout is required.
- The City of Ottawa Standard Detail Drawings should be referenced where possible for all work within the Public Right-of-Way.
- The upstream and downstream manhole top of grate and invert elevations are required for all new sewer connections.

Services crossing the existing watermain or sewers need to clearly provide the obvert/invert elevations to demonstration minimum separation distances. A watermain crossing table may be provided.

All development applications should be considered for an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP);

- a. Consultant determines if an approval for sewage works under Section 53 of OWRA is required. Consultant then determines what type of application is required and the City's project manager confirms. (If the consultant is not clear if an ECA is required, they will work with the City to determine what is required. If the consultant it is still unclear or there is a difference of opinion only then will the City PM approach the MECP.
- b. The project will be either transfer of review (standard), transfer of review (additional), direct submission, or exempt as per O. Reg. 525/98.
- c. Pre-consultation is not required.
- d. Standard Works ToR Draft ECA's are sent to the local MECP office (<u>moeccottawasewage@ontario.ca</u>).for information only
- e. Additional ToR draft ECAs require a project summary/design brief and require a response from the local MECP (10 business day window)
- f. Site Plan Approval, or Draft Approval, is required before an application is sent to the MECP

#### Refer to application tables for lists of required supporting plans and studies

#### Site Plan Control - Municipal servicing

Legend:

The letter **S** indicates that the study or plan is required with application submission.

The letter  $\mathbf{M}$  indicates that the study or plan  $\underline{\mathbf{may}}$  be required with application submission.

For information on preparing required studies and plans refer to:

http://ottawa.ca/en/development-application-review-process-0/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
S/A	Number of copies	E	S/A	Number of copies	
s	1	Site Servicing Plan	Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services / Site Servicing Study / Brief	S	1
S	1	Grade Control and Drainage Plan	Geotechnical Study / Slope Stability Study	S	1
	1	Composite Utility Plan	Groundwater Impact Study		1
	1	<ol><li>Servicing Options Report</li></ol>	Wellhead Protection Study		1
	1	Community Transportation Study and/or Transportation Impact Study / Brief	10. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan / Brief	s	1
s	1	Storm water Management Report / Brief	12. Hydro-geological and Terrain Analysis		1
S	1	13. Hydraulic Water main Analysis	14. Noise / Vibration Study	M	1
	1	15. Roadway Modification Design Plan	16. Confederation Line Proximity Study		1

1


Meeting Date: 2022-Jun-07 Application Type: Site Plan Control

File Lead: **Sean Moore** Engineer/Project Manager: **Bruce Bramah** 

Site Address: 1034 McGarry Terrace \*Preliminary Assessment: 1 2 3 4 5 5

\*One (1) indicates that considerable revisions are required before a planning application is submitted, while five (5) suggest that proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal, or in any way guarantee application approval.


It is important to note that the need for additional studies and plans may result during application review. If following the submission of your application, it is determined that material that is not identified in this checklist is required to achieve complete application status, in accordance with the Planning Act and Official Plan requirements, City Planning will notify you of outstanding material required within the required 30 day period. Mandatory preapplication consultation will not shorten the City's standard processing timelines, or guarantee that an application will be approved. It is intended to help educate and inform the applicant about submission requirements as well as municipal processes, policies, and key issues in advance of submitting a formal development application. This list is valid for one year following the meeting date. If the application is not submitted within this timeframe the applicant must again pre-consult with the City



# APPENDIX C WATERMAIN CALCULATIONS

McINTOSH PERRY

## **City of Ottawa - Water Distribution System Facilities and Feedermains**



### McINTOSH PERRY

#### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace Apartments - Water Demands

 Project:
 McGarry Terrace Apartments

 Project No.:
 CCO-23-3441

 Designed By:
 FV

 Checked By:
 AB

 Date:
 August 31, 2023

 Site Area:
 0.42 gross ha

Residential NUMBER OF UNITS UNIT RATE

Studio Apartment 46 units 1.4 persons/unit 1 Bedroom Apartment **290** units 1.4 persons/unit **244** units 2.1 2 Bedroom Apartment persons/unit 3.1 3 Bedroom Apartment 12 units persons/unit

Total Population 1020 persons

Commercial + Amenity 2809 m2

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	
Residential	280	L/c/d	
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d	
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d	
Shopping Centres	2,500	L/(1000m² /d	
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)	
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)	
Trailer Park with no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)	
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)	
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)	
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)	
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)	
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)	
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d	
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d	
	Residential	3.31	L/

Residential 3.31 L/s

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND Commercial/Industrial/Institutional 0.09 L/s

#### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	Д	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	2.5	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	8.26	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	Commercial/Industrial/	044	
	Institutional	0.14	L/s

#### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT		UNITS
Residential	2.2	x max. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	18.18	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	Commercial/Industrial/	0.25	
	Institutional	0.25	L/s

WATER DEMAND DESIGN FLOWS PER UNIT COUNT CITY OF OTTAWA - WATER DISTRIBUTION GUIDELINES, JULY 2010

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	3.40	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	8.40	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	18.43	L/s

### McINTOSH PERRY

#### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace Apartments - Fire Underwriters Survey

 Project:
 McGarry Terrace Apartments

 Project No.:
 CCO-23-3441

 Designed By:
 FV

 Checked By:
 RF

 Date:
 August 15, 2023

#### From the Fire Underwriters Survey (2020)

From Part II – Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O.: City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 Applied Where Applicable

#### A. BASE REQUIREMENT (Rounded to the nearest 1000 L/min)

 $F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$  Where: F =Required fire flow in liters per minute

C = Coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = The total floor area in square meters (including all storey's, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the

building being considered.

Construction Type Non-Combustible Construction

C 0.8 A  $38,552.4 \text{ m}^2$ 

Total Floor Area (per the 2020 FUS Page 20 - Total Effective Area) 7,578.9 m<sup>2</sup> \*Unprotected Vertical Openings

Calculated Fire Flow 15,322.0 L/min

B. REDUCTION FOR OCCUPANCY TYPE (No Rounding)
From Page 24 of the Fire Underwriters Survey:
Limited Combustible

-15%

Fire Flow 12,750.0 L/min

C. REDUCTION FOR SPRINKLER TYPE (No Rounding)

Standard Water Supply Sprinklered -40%

Re	eduction			-5,100.0	) L/min		
D. INCR	EASE FOR EXPOSURE (No Round	ing)					
	Separation Distance (m)	Cons.of Exposed Wall	Length Exposed Adjacent Wall (m)	Height (Stories)	Length-Height Factor		
Exposure 1	10.1 to 20	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	64.19	19	1219.6	8%	
Exposure 2	10.1 to 20	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	44.2	16	707.2	8%	
Exposure 3	20.1 to 30	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	73.6	8	588.8	4%	
Exposure 4	20.1 to 30	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	127.7	18	2298.6	4%	
					% Increase*	24%	

Increase\* 3,060.0 L/min

E. Total Fire Flow (Rounded to the Nearest 1000 L/min)

Fire Flow 110,710.0 L/min
Fire Flow Required\*\* 11,000.0 L/min

<sup>\*</sup>In accordance with Part II, Section 4, the Increase for separation distance is not to exceed 75%

<sup>\*\*</sup>In accordance with Section 4 the Fire flow is not to exceed 45,000 L/min or be less than 2,000 L/min

### McINTOSH PERRY

#### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace Apartments - Boundary Condition Unit Conversion

Project: McGarry Terrace Apartments

Project No.: CCO-23-3441

Designed By: FV Checked By: RF

Date: August 31, 2023

#### **Boundary Conditions Unit Conversion**

#### Current Pressure Zone (3SW) - Connection 1 - Marketplace Avenue

Scenario	Height (m)	Elevation (m)*	m H₂O	PSI	kPa
Avg. DD	156.5	101.2	55.3	78.7	542.5
Max Day + Fire Flow (233.33 L/s)	143.3	101.2	42.1	59.9	413.0
Peak Hour	143.0	101.2	41.8	59.5	410.1

#### Current Pressure Zone (3SW) - Connection 2 - McGarry Terrace

Scenario	Height (m)	Elevation (m)	m H <sub>2</sub> O	PSI	kPa
Avg. DD	156.5	101.8	54.7	77.8	536.5
Max Day + Fire Flow (233.33 L/s)	143.3	101.8	41.5	59.0	406.7
Peak Hour	143.0	101.8	41.3	58.7	404.7


#### Future Pressure Zone (SUC) - Connection 1 - Marketplace Avenue


Scenario	Height (m)	Elevation (m)	m H <sub>2</sub> O	PSI	kPa
Avg. DD	146.9	101.2	45.7	65.0	448.3
Max Day + Fire Flow (233.33 L/s)	143.2	101.2	42.0	59.8	412.0
Peak Hour	144.0	101.2	42.8	60.9	419.9

#### Future Pressure Zone (SUC) - Connection 2 - McGarry Terrace

Scenario	Height (m)	Elevation (m)	m H <sub>2</sub> O	PSI	kPa
Avg. DD	146.9	101.8	45.1	64.2	442.4
Max Day + Fire Flow (233.33 L/s)	140.3	101.8	38.5	54.8	377.7
Peak Hour	144.0	101.8	42.2	60.0	414.0

## 1034 McGarry Terrace Connection Figure





#### **Boundary Conditions** 1034 McGarry Terrace

#### **Provided Information**

Scenario	De	mand
Scenario	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	224	3.73
Maximum Daily Demand	557	9.29
Peak Hour	1,225	20.42
Fire Flow Demand #1	14,000	233.33

#### **Location**



#### Results

#### **Existing Conditions (Pressure Zone 3SW)**

Connection 1 – Marketplace Ave.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)
Maximum HGL	156.5	78.6
Peak Hour	143.0	59.4
Max Day plus Fire Flow	143.3	59.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation =

#### **Connection 2 - McGarry Terrace**

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)
Maximum HGL	156.5	77.7
Peak Hour	143.0	58.5
Max Day plus Fire Flow	143.3	58.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation =

#### 101.8

m

m

#### **Future Conditions (Pressure Zone SUC)**

#### Connection 1 – Marketplace Ave.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	146.9	65.0
Peak Hour	144.0	60.9
Max Day plus Fire Flow	143.2	59.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation =

101.2

#### **Connection 2 – McGarry Terrace**

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)
Maximum HGL	146.9	64.0
Peak Hour	144.0	60.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow	140.3	54.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation =

101.8 m

#### Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

#### **Andrea Bishop**

From: Bramah, Bruce <bru>
bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca>

**Sent:** February 17, 2023 2:24 PM

To: Robert Freel
Cc: Francis Valenti

**Subject:** RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace **Attachments:** 1034 McGarry Terrace Boundary Condition(14Feb2023).docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Follow up

You don't often get email from bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca. Learn why this is important

Hi Robert,

Boundary conditions came back very quick! Please see the attached Boundary Conditions.

Have a good weekend.

--

#### Bruce Bramah, EIT

Project Manager

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique

Development Review - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 29686, Bruce.Bramah@ottawa.ca

From: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

Sent: February 15, 2023 2:20 PM

To: Bramah, Bruce <bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca>; Francis Valenti <F.Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Thanks Bruce.

#### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development

T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815

r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

#### McINTOSH PERRY

Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.



From: Bramah, Bruce < bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca >

Sent: February 15, 2023 1:28 PM

To: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>; Francis Valenti <F.Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

You don't often get email from <a href="mailto:bramah@ottawa.ca">bramah@ottawa.ca</a>. Learn why this is important

Hi Robert,

I should have a response to you regarding the sanitary flows within a week.

Regards,

--

#### Bruce Bramah, EIT

Project Manager

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique

Development Review - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 29686, Bruce.Bramah@ottawa.ca

From: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

Sent: February 15, 2023 12:02 PM

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning Bruce,

Hope you are well,

Understand that typically boundary conditions could take a couple weeks to get back, any idea of how long the review of the sanitary flows might be?

Cheers,

Bobby

#### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development
T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815
r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.





Platinum member

From: Francis Valenti < F. Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com >

**Sent:** February 14, 2023 2:17 PM **To:** bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca

**Cc:** Robert Freel < <u>r.freel@mcintoshperry.com</u>>

Subject: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Good afternoon,

We would like to request boundary conditions for the proposed development at 1034 McGarry Terrace. The site plan proposes a 659 unit 40-storey mixed use building, complete with underground parking with street access from Marketplace Avenue. The connections (dual) will be to the existing 305 mm and 203 mm diameter watermains located within Marketplace Avenue and McGarry Terrace, respectively. Please find attached a map showing the proposed connection locations and calculations prepared for the demands listed below.

- The estimated fire flow is 14,000 L/min based on the FUS method
- Average Daily Demand: 3.73 L/s
- Maximum Daily Demand: 9.29 L/s
- Maximum hourly daily demand: 20.42 L/s

Concern was also expressed in the pre-consultation meeting regarding sanitary capacity. Can you please verify municipal infrastructure has the capacity to accommodate the additional flows? Estimated post-development sanitary flows are summarized below, and detailed calculations are attached.

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow: 3.76 L/s

Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow: 11.93 L/s

Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow: 12.07 L/s

Regards,

### Francis Valenti, EIT

Engineering Intern, Land Development
T. 613.714.6895 | C. 613.808.2123
F.Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

### McINTOSH PERRY

Turning Possibilities Into Reality

3

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

# APPENDIX D SANITARY CALCULATIONS

McINTOSH PERRY

### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace Apartments - Sanitary Demands

Project: McGarry Terrace Apartments CCO-23-3441 Project No.: Designed By: FV Checked By: AB Date: Aug-23 Site Area 0.52 Gross ha Studio 46 1.40 Persons per unit 1 Bedroom 290 1.40 Persons per unit 2 Bedroom 244 2.10 Persons per unit 3 Bedroom 12 3.10 Persons per unit

 Total Population
 1020
 Persons

 Commercial/Amenity
 2809.30
 m²

Amenities Commercial 1705.00 1104.30 2809.30

Units

#### **DESIGN PARAMETERS**

Institutional/Commercial Peaking Facto

Residential Peaking Factor 3.24 \* Using Harmon Formula = 1+(14/(4+P^0.5))\*0.8

1.0

where P = population in thousands, Harmon's Correction Factor = 0.8

Mannings coefficient (n) 0.013

Demand (per capita) 280 L/day Infiltration allowance 0.33 L/s/Ha

### **EXTRANEOUS FLOW ALLOWANCES**

Infiltration / Inflow	Flow (L/s)
Dry	0.03
Wet	0.15
Total	0.17

### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	POPULATION / AREA	Flow (L/s)
Residential	280	L/c/d	1020	3.31
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Commercial / Amenity	2,800	L/(1000m² /d )	2809.30	0.09
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)		0
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)		0
Trailer Parks no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)		0
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)		0
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)		0
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)		0
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Office	75	L/7.0m <sup>2</sup> /d		0
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0

AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL FLOW	3.31	L/s
PEAK RESIDENTIAL FLOW	10.70	L/s
AVERAGE ICI FLOW	0.09	L/s
PEAK INSTITUTIONAL/COMMERCIAL FLOW	0.09	L/s
PEAK INDUSTRIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s
TOTAL PEAK ICI FLOW	0.09	L/s

### TOTAL SANITARY DEMAND

TOTAL ESTIMATED AVERAGE DRY WEATHER FLOW	3.42	L/s	
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK DRY WEATHER FLOW	10.81	L/s	
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK WET WEATHER FLOW	10.96	L/s	

### **Andrea Bishop**

From: Curtis Melanson

**Sent:** August 4, 2023 4:19 PM

To: Bays, Eric Cc: Andrea Bishop

**Subject:** RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Thanks Eric,

Andrea and I will be looking after this!

### Curtis Melanson, C.E.T.

**Practice Area Lead, Land Development** 

T. 613.714.4621 | F. 613.836.3742 | C. 613.857.0784 <u>c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com</u> | <u>www.mcintoshperry.com</u>





### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

From: Bays, Eric < Eric. Bays@stantec.com>

Sent: August 4, 2023 4:15 PM

To: Curtis Melanson < c.melanson@mcintoshperry.com >

Subject: FW: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

See below re: servicing.

е

### Stantec



**From:** Robert Freel < r.freel@mcintoshperry.com >

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2023 2:32 PM

To: Bays, Eric < <a href="mailto:Eric.Bays@stantec.com">Eric.Bays@stantec.com</a>; Spyro Dimitrakopoulos < <a href="mailto:spyro@kionas.ca">spyro@kionas.ca</a>; Tanya Chowieri < <a href="mailto:tanya@katasa.ca">tanya@katasa.ca</a>

Subject: FW: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Good news everyone,

After some additional discussion with the City (see below) they will allow the sanitary discharge from the site via the McGarry/Longfield sewer.

Cheers, Bobby

### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development
T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815
r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

# McINTOSH PERRY

### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.





Platinum member

From: Bramah, Bruce <bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca>

Sent: March 20, 2023 1:52 PM

To: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

Cc: Scaramozzino, Tracey < Tracey. Scaramozzino@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Good afternoon Robert,

With further discussions with our Asset Management team, we will be able to accept the proposed sanitary flows for 1034 McGarry. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Thank you,

--

### Bruce Bramah, EIT

Project Manager

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique Development Review - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 29686, Bruce.Bramah@ottawa.ca

From: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

Sent: March 10, 2023 11:06 AM

To: Bramah, Bruce < bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca >

Cc: Scaramozzino, Tracey < Tracey. Scaramozzino@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION: Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Bruce,

Further to yesterday's meeting are you able to provide the analysis / design sheet that was prepared to support the findings? Below is a design sheet that was created as part of neighbouring development, 1024 McGarry, that I was involved in with for Lepine. It demonstrated that there was 12L/s of capacity within the Longfields sewer prior to that development which would leave 5.16L/s in addition to the capacity allotted for the lands. Is there a hydraulic analysis that was conducted by the City or are we going off the same information?

As mentioned, one option is splitting flow from the development to a second outlet towards the town centre. Could the balance be sent to this outlet?

#### SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

CLIENT: Lepine
LOCATION: ACGARY Terrace
FILE REF: 17-938
DATE: 24-Jan-18

#### DESIGN PARAMETERS


Avg. Daily Flow Res. 280 Up/d Avg. Daily Flow Comm 28,000 Uhald Avg. Daily Flow Instit. 28,000 Uhald Avg. Daily Flow Indust 35,000 Uhald Peak Fact Res. Per Harmons: Win = 2.0, Max = 4.0
Peak Fact. Comm. 1.5
Peak Fact. Instit. 1.5
Peak Fact. Indust. per MOE graph


infiltration / Inflow Min. Pipe Velocity Max. Pipe Velocity Mannings N

Harmens	Corr	Factor	0.8

Loca	ation	11100	Residential Area and Population		Commercial Institutional Industrial				Infiltration			Section 2	en de la companya de											
Area ID	Up	Down	Area		Number	of Units	5	Pop.	Cumu	ulative	Peak.	Q <sub>ros</sub>	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Q <sub>C+++</sub>	Total	Accu.	Infiltration	Total	DI
					by	type			Area	Pop.	Fact.			Area		Area		Area	*	Area	Area	Flow	Flow	
2 3		1	(ha)	Singles	Semi's	Town's	Apt's		(ha)	2 7	(-)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m
S. experience 3	(3887) 6.3	S 2000		10000		720-010	VI 1000 VI	10000		O sole		110				7.0%	40.49	777	V 4 5 5 6	( ***) ( / · · ·	1000	1000		15000
Longfields Drive	School	101	0.000			- 3		0.0	0.000	0.0	3.20	0.00	3	0.00	5.61	5.61	- 0	0.00	4.87	5.610	5.610	1.571	6.44	
	101	102	0.600					0.0	0.600	0.0	3.20	0.00		0.00		5.61		0.00	4.87	0.600	6.210	1,739	6.61	
		2				-				4							- 0						1 1	
Longfields Drive	Retirehome	102	0.000					0.0	0.000	0.0	3.20	0.00		0.00	1.42	1.42		0.00	1.23	1.420	1.420	0.398	1.63	-
Landella Bata	400	400	0.700					1711	2 220		2.00	4.04		0.00		77.00		0.001		0.700	40.000	2.000	40.04	
Longfields Drive	102	103	2.720	-				174.1	3.320	174.1	3.20	1.81		0.00		7.03	-	0.00	6.10	2.720	10.350	2.898	10.81	_
Longfields Drive	122	121	1.130					90.5	1.130	90.5	3.20	0.94		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.130	1,130	0.316	1.25	-
Lorginato Drive	166	12.1	1,100					80.0	1,100	30.0	3.20	0.34		0.00		-0.00		0.001	0.00	1.100	1,100	0.510	1.20	
Marketplace Ave	McGarry Terrace	121	1.490					89.4	1.490	89.4	3.20	0.93		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.490	1.490	0.417	1.34	
That were partie	121	103	0.720					54.1	3,340	234.0	3.20	2.43		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.720	3.340	0.935	3.36	
	120	100						2.11.1	0.0.0	20110	0.80	81.10		0.00				0.00	0.00	0.7.60	0.010	0.000	0.00	
Marketplace Ave	San Stub	103	0.510					30.6	0.510	30.6	3.20	0.32		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.510	0.510	0.143	0.46	
		77					- 3		0	4			0				- 33							0
Longfields Drive	103	5062-A	0.280	)		7		0.0	7,450	438.7	3.20	4.55		0.00	1	7.03		0.00	6.10	0.280	14.480	4.054	14.71	
	11,000	200	3 - 1/2		3	- 3		(2)	Sec. 100	1000	100			1/007					5 N. a.		1,233			
Sue Holloway Drive		2	0.280		- 7			17.7	0.280	17.7	3.20	0.18		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.280	0.280	0.078	0.26	
Lindenshade Drive	2	3	0.510					69.0	0.790	86.7	3.20	0.90		0.00		0.00	3	0.00	0.00	0.510	0.790	0.221	1.12	
Lindenshade Drive	3	5062-A	0.230					29.6	1.020	116.3	3.20	1.21		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.230	1.020	0.286	1.49	
						- 1																		
Longfields Drive	5062-A	5062	0.080			- 3		0.0	8.550	555.0	3.16	5.68		0.00		7.03		0.00	6.10	0.080	15.580	4.362	18.15	
	5062	5063-A	0.220					0.0	8,770	555.0	3.16	5.68		0.00		7.03		0.00	6.10	0.220	15,800	4.424	16.21	_
Con Hallanon Dalon		- 4	0.440					20.0	0.440	36.9	2.00	0.20		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.440	0.440	0.123	0.51	
Sue Holloway Drive	2 4	5	0.850					36.9 56.1	1.290	93.0	3.20	0.38		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.850	1.290	0.123	1.33	
	5	5063-A	0.650			-		115.1	1.780	208.1	3.20	2.16		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.490	1.780	0.498	2.66	
	- 0	3003-M	0.400					11001	1.760	200.1	3.20	2.10		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.430	1.700	10.400	2.00	
Sue Holloway Drive	4	8	0.500				-	74.8	0.500	74.8	3.20	0.78		0.00		0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.500	0.500	0.140	0.92	
Out Holloway Dilve			0.000					24.0	0.000	14.0	0.2.0	0.10		0.00		0.00		0.00,	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.140	0.02	
Longfields Drive	5063-A	5063	0.220					0.0	10.770	763.1	3.10	7.86		0.00		7.03	- 6	0.00	6.10	0.220	17.800	4.984	18.75	
	5063	5063-B	0.130					0.0	10.900	763.1	3.10	7.66		0.00		7.03		0.00	6.10	0.130	17.930	5.020	18.78	
Street 5	6	7	0.710		- 2	- 3		44.2	0.710	44.2	3.20	0.46		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.710	0.710	0.199	0.66	100
	7	8	0.540			- 3		28.4	1.250	72.6	3.20	0.75		0.00		0.00	- 8	0.00	0.00	0,540	1.250	0.350	1.10	
		100				-	-		82	1			6		3		- 6			7/2			X 0	
Glenroy Gilbert	8	9	0,660					129.5	2.410	276.9	3.20	2.87		0.00		0.00		0:00	0.00	0.660	2.410	0.675	3.55	
	9	5063-B	0.370		-	- 3	7	43.2	2.780	320.1	3.20	3.32		0.00		0.00	- 2	0.00	0.00	0.370	2.780	0.778	4.10	
		S				- 3																		
Longfields Drive	5063-B	5066	0.180		_	-		0.0	13,860	1083.2	3.02	10.61		0.00		7.03		0.00	6.10	0.180	20.520	5.746	22.46	
	5066 5067	5067 5067-A	0.170					0.0	14.030	1083.2	3.02	10.61		0.00		7.03		0.00	6.10	0.170	20.690	5.793 5.956	22.50 23.54	
	5007	3007-M	0,300		_			97.2	14.010	1100.4	3.00	11.40		0.00		7.05		0.00	0.10	0.580	21.270	3,900	23.34	-
Chapman Mills Drive Extension	12	13	0.890					124.7	0.890	124.7	3.20	1.29		0.00		0.00		0.003	0.00	0.890	0.890	0.249	1.54	
Creprilari wills brive Extension	31	13	0.080				-	1,24,1	0.080	124.1	3.20	1,29		0.00		0.00		0.00;	0.00	0.080	0.030	0.243	1,34	
Street 2	10	11	0.370					90.0	0.370	90.0	3.20	0.93		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.370	0.370	0.104	1.04	
	11	13	1.620					429.5	1,990	519.5	3.17	5.34		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.620	1.990	0.557	5.90	
			11010					-20.0	11000	0.1010		0.07		0.00		0,00		0.00	0.00	1702.0	1.000	0.001	0.00	$\overline{}$
Chapman Mills Drive Extension	13	5067-A	1,890					496.9	4.770	1141.1	3.01	11.13		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.890	4.770	1.338	12.47	
Sant Santana		Mark Control	Section 1				- 1		Same and				1				- 1		9	S			Lane B	100
Longfields Drive	5067-A	5070	0.700					0.0	20.080	2321.5	2.83	21.27		0.00	1	7.03	- 10	0.00	6.10	0.700	26.740	7.487	34.86	0.00
The second secon	5070	5071	0.180			- 4		0.0	20.260	2321.5	2.83	21.27		0.00		7.03		0.00	6.10	0.180	26.920	7.538	34.91	<b>1</b>
8	5071	5072	0.220			- 3		0.0	20,480	2321.5	2.83	21.27		0.00	1	7.03	- 8	0.00	6.10	0.220	27,140	7.599	34,98	
3	5072	5073	0.210			- 4		0.0	20.690	2321.5	2.83	21.27		0.00	1	7.03		0.00	6.10	0.210	27.350	7.658	35.03	
3	5073	5076	0.160			- 3	7	0.0	20.850	2321.5	2.83	21.27	8	0.00		7.03	- 2	0.00	6.10	0.160	27.510	7.703	35.08	
0	5076	5077	0.220					0.0	21.070	2321.5	2.83	21.27		0.00		7.03	1	0.00	6.10	0.220	27,730	7.764	35.14	
£ 3		2 1000					- 1						9				- 1						3	
Garrity Crescent	124	5077	7.690					623.7	7.690	623.7	3.14	6.34		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	7.690	7.690	2.153	8.50	







### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development

T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815

r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

# McINTOSH PERRY

### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.





Platinum member

From: Bramah, Bruce <bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca>

Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2023 10:13 AM

To: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

Cc: Scaramozzino, Tracey < Tracey. Scaramozzino@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Hi Robert,

The sanitary sewer along Longfields does **NOT** have the capacity to proceed with the rezoning application for 1034 McGarry. The parcel will need to comply with the original rezoning servicing criteria which addressed both 1117 Longfields (150 Marketplace) and 1034 McGarry.

If you have any questions prior to our meeting this afternoon, please feel free to call me.

Thank you,

--

### Bruce Bramah, EIT

Project Manager

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique Development Review - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 29686, Bruce.Bramah@ottawa.ca

**From:** Robert Freel < r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

**Sent:** February 24, 2023 8:16 AM

To: Bramah, Bruce <bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning Bruce,

Just following up to see if you had received a response regarding the sanitary flows.

Cheers, Bobby

### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development
T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815
r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

# McINTOSH PERRY

### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.



**From:** Robert Freel < r.freel@mcintoshperry.com >

Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2023 9:23 AM

To: Bramah, Bruce < bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca >

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Thanks Bruce, you as well.

### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development

r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

### McINTOSH PERRY

### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.





Platinum member

From: Bramah, Bruce < bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca >

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 2:24 PM

**To:** Robert Freel < r.freel@mcintoshperry.com > **Cc:** Francis Valenti < F. Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com >

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Hi Robert,

Boundary conditions came back very quick! Please see the attached Boundary Conditions.

Have a good weekend.

--

### Bruce Bramah, EIT

Project Manager

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique Development Review - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 29686, Bruce.Bramah@ottawa.ca

From: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>

Sent: February 15, 2023 2:20 PM

**To:** Bramah, Bruce < <u>bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca</u>>; Francis Valenti < <u>F.Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com</u>>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Thanks Bruce.

### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

**Senior Project Manager, Land Development** 

T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815

r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

## McINTOSH PERRY

### Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.





Platinum member

From: Bramah, Bruce <bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca>

Sent: February 15, 2023 1:28 PM

To: Robert Freel <r.freel@mcintoshperry.com>; Francis Valenti <F.Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

You don't often get email from bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca. Learn why this is important

Hi Robert,

I should have a response to you regarding the sanitary flows within a week.

Regards,

--

### Bruce Bramah, EIT

Project Manager

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du dévelopment économique Development Review - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 29686, Bruce.Bramah@ottawa.ca

**From:** Robert Freel < <u>r.freel@mcintoshperry.com</u>>

**Sent:** February 15, 2023 12:02 PM

To: Francis Valenti <F. Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com>; Bramah, Bruce <br/> <br/> direction bramah@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning Bruce,

Hope you are well,

Understand that typically boundary conditions could take a couple weeks to get back, any idea of how long the review of the sanitary flows might be?

Cheers, Bobby

### Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager, Land Development
T. 613.714.6174 | C. 613.915.3815
r.freel@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

# McINTOSH PERRY

Turning Possibilities Into Reality

Confidentiality Notice - If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click here to read all of the legal language around this concept.





Platinum member

From: Francis Valenti < F. Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com >

**Sent:** February 14, 2023 2:17 PM **To:** <u>bruce.bramah@ottawa.ca</u>

**Cc:** Robert Freel < r.freel@mcintoshperry.com >

Subject: 23-3441 - Boundary Condition Request - 1034 McGarry Terrace

Good afternoon,

We would like to request boundary conditions for the proposed development at 1034 McGarry Terrace. The site plan proposes a 659 unit 40-storey mixed use building, complete with underground parking with street access from Marketplace Avenue. The connections (dual) will be to the existing 305 mm and 203 mm diameter watermains located within Marketplace Avenue and McGarry Terrace, respectively. Please find attached a map showing the proposed connection locations and calculations prepared for the demands listed below.

The estimated fire flow is 14,000 L/min based on the FUS method

Average Daily Demand: 3.73 L/sMaximum Daily Demand: 9.29 L/s

Maximum hourly daily demand: 20.42 L/s

Concern was also expressed in the pre-consultation meeting regarding sanitary capacity. Can you please verify municipal infrastructure has the capacity to accommodate the additional flows? Estimated post-development sanitary flows are summarized below, and detailed calculations are attached.

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow: 3.76 L/s

Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow: 11.93 L/s

Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow: 12.07 L/s

Regards,

### Francis Valenti, EIT

Engineering Intern, Land Development
T. 613.714.6895 | C. 613.808.2123
F.Valenti@McIntoshPerry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

# McINTOSH PERRY

Turning Possibilities Into Reality

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

13

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.

Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

# APPENDIX G STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

# McINTOSH PERRY

### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace - SWM Calculations

1 of 3

Tc (min)		nsity n/hr)				
(11111)	2-Year	5-Year	100-Year		C-Va	lues
10	76.8	104.2	178.6	PRE-DEVELOPMENT	Impervious	0.90
10	76.8	104.2	178.6	POST-DEVELOPMENT	Gravel	0.60
					Pervious	0.20

### **Pre-Development Runoff Coefficient**

Drainage	Impervious	Gravel	Pervious Area	Average C	Average C
Area	Area (m²)	(m²)	(m²)	(5-year)	(100-year)
A1	0	4,200	0	0.60	

### **Pre-Development Runoff Calculations**

Drainage	Area (ha)	(	(	Tc (min)	Q (L/s)			
Area		2/5-Year	100-Year		2-Year	5-Year	100-Year	
A1	0.42	0.60	0.75	10	53.81	72.99	156.36	
Total	0.42				53.81	72.99	156.36	

### Post-Development Runoff Coefficient

Drainage Area	Impervious Area (m²)	Gravel (m²)	Pervious Area (m²)	Average C (2/5-year)	Average C (100-year)	
B1	2,510	0	0	0.90	1.00	Rooftop
B2	0	0	921	0.20	0.25	Surface
В3	769	0	0	0.90	1.00	Driveway

### Post-Development Runoff Calculations

Droinage	ainage Area C C Tc					Q (L/s)		
Drainage Area	(ha)	2/5-Year	100-Year	(min)	2-Year	5-Year	100-Year	
B1	0.25	0.90	1.00	10	48.24	65.44	124.61	Building - Restricted
B2	0.09	0.20	0.25	10	3.93	5.34	11.43	Landscaped Area - Unrestricted
В3	0.08	0.90	1.00	10	14.77	20.04	38.16	Driveway - Restricted
Total	0.42				66.95	90.82	174.20	1

### **Required Restricted Flow**

	Area (ha)	Restricted Release Rate (L/s/ha)	Q (L/s)					
	Area (IIa)	Restricted Release Rate (L/s/lia)	2-Year	5-Year	100-Year			
[	0.42	85.00	35.7	35.70	35.70			

### **Post-Development Restricted Runoff Calculations**

Drainage Area	Unrestricted Flow (L/S)		Restricted Flow (L/S)			Storage Required (m³)			
Area	2-year	5-year	100-Year	2-Year	5-Year	100-Year	2-Year	5-Year	100-Year
B1	48.24	65.44	124.61	17.00	17.00	17.00	19.6	32.6	85.4
B2	3.93	5.34	11.43	3.93	5.34	11.43			
В3	14.77	20.04	38.16	6.80	6.80	6.80	4.8	8.4	23.1
Total	66.95	90.82	174.20	27.73	29.14	35.23	24.42	40.94	108.46

### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace - SWM Calculations

### Storage Requirements for Area B1

2 of 3

### 2-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B1	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
5	103.6	65.07	17.00	48.07	14.42
10	76.8	48.24	17.00	31.24	18.74
15	61.8	38.82	17.00	21.82	19.63
20	52.0	32.66	17.00	15.66	18.79
25	45.2	28.39	17.00	11.39	17.08

Maximum Storage Required 5-year =

0 m

### 5-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B1	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
5	141.2	88.68	17.00	71.68	21.51
10	104.2	65.45	17.00	48.45	29.07
15	83.6	52.51	17.00	35.51	31.96
20	70.3	44.15	17.00	27.15	32.58
25	60.9	38.25	17.00	21.25	31.87

Maximum Storage Required 5-year =

33 m

### 100-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B1	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
5	242.7	169.37	17.00	152.37	45.71
10	178.6	124.64	17.00	107.64	64.58
15	142.9	99.72	17.00	82.72	74.45
20	120.0	83.74	17.00	66.74	80.09
25	103.8	72.44	17.00	55.44	83.16
30	91.9	64.13	17.00	47.13	84.84
35	82.6	57.64	17.00	40.64	85.35
40	75.1	52.41	17.00	35.41	84.98
45	69.1	48.22	17.00	31.22	84.30
50	64.0	44.66	17.00	27.66	82.99

Maximum Storage Required 100-year =

n

m<sup>3</sup>

### CCO-23-3441 - McGarry Terrace - SWM Calculations

### Storage Requirements for Area B3

3 of 3

### 2-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B3	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
5	103.6	19.93	6.80	13.13	3.94
10	76.8	14.77	6.80	7.97	4.78
15	61.8	11.89	6.80	5.09	4.58
20	52.0	10.00	6.80	3.20	3.84
25	45.2	8.69	6.80	1.89	2.84

Maximum Storage Required 5-year = 5

### 5-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B3	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
5	141.2	27.16	6.80	20.36	6.11
10	104.2	20.04	6.80	13.24	7.95
15	83.6	16.08	6.80	9.28	8.35
20	70.3	13.52	6.80	6.72	8.07
25	60.9	11.71	6.80	4.91	7.37

Maximum Storage Required 5-year = 8 m

### 100-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B3	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
5	242.7	51.87	6.80	45.07	13.52
10	178.6	38.17	6.80	31.37	18.82
15	142.9	30.54	6.80	23.74	21.37
20	120.0	25.65	6.80	18.85	22.61
25	103.8	22.18	6.80	15.38	23.08
30	91.9	19.64	6.80	12.84	23.11
35	82.6	17.65	6.80	10.85	22.79
40	75.1	16.05	6.80	9.25	22.20
45	69.1	14.77	6.80	7.97	21.51
50	64.0	13.68	6.80	6.88	20.63

Maximum Storage Required 100-year = 23 m<sup>3</sup>



Report

# Nepean South Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Fourth Addendum



Prepared for Minto Communities - Canada

# 1 Introduction

Minto Communities retained IBI Group to prepare the stormwater management servicing plan for the Chapman Mills lands, which are part of the Nepean South development. The subject lands measure approximately 200 ha and are located in a quadrant bounded by Greenbank Road to the west, Woodroffe Road to the east, the Jock River to the south, and Strandherd Drive to the north (**Figure 1A**). The overall storm servicing is presented in "Nepean South-Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Report" (IBI Group, August 2006), which recommended a preferred stormwater management system to accommodate sustainable development while protecting the existing natural environment and the receiving Jock River.

As detailed design and construction of the Chapman Mills lands has proceeded, the stormwater management servicing has been updated to reflect the detailed design of the development.

### 1.1 Background

The recommended stormwater management system presented in the 2006 Servicing Report is comprised of an interceptor sewer, three interceptor manholes, an end-of-pipe stormwater management facility providing water quality treatment to the tributary development, and three overflow outlets to the Jock River. The system diverts the most frequent and polluted flow (first flush) to the stormwater management facility via the interceptor sewer and interceptor manholes. Flow in excess of the first flush (trunk overflow) bypasses the stormwater management facility and is discharged directly to the Jock River via three overflow outlets.

Subsequent to the stormwater management servicing, IBI Group prepared the detailed design of the stormwater management system, which is presented in "Nepean South-Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Design Brief" (IBI Group, May 2007).

IBI Group completed the detailed design of the Longfields Drive trunk storm sewer south of Marketplace Drive to the Jock River, which is presented in the "Longfields-Jockvale Connecting Link Drainage Report" (IBI Group, July 2009).

Stantec prepared the detailed design of the Riocan Drive trunk storm sewer, which services lands in the western part of the study area, and is tributary to the Longfields Drive trunk storm sewer.

AECOM (formerly TSH) completed the design of Greenbank Road. A portion of the Greenbank Road minor system is tributary to the Longfields Drive trunk storm sewer, via the Riocan Drive trunk storm sewer.

EXP (formerly David McManus Engineering Limited (DME)) completed the detailed design of the Longfields Drive storm sewer from Strandherd Drive south to Marketplace Drive; the detailed design of existing commercial areas west of Longfields Drive; Ampersand Stage I; as well as residential and institutional areas east of Longfields Drive.

In November 2009, IBI completed "Nepean South Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Report Addendum," an update to the approved 2006 Servicing Report. The 2009 Addendum summarized the updates to the stormwater management servicing as a result of detailed design within the Chapman Mills development. Specifically, a secondary interceptor sewer was introduced to the system as a result of revisions at the detailed design stage.

In September 2010, IBI completed "Nepean South Chapman Mills Stormwater Management Servicing Report Second Addendum," the purpose of which was to update the drainage parameters for the proposed Ampersand Stage I development, located southwest of Marketplace Drive and Longfields Drive, within the Chapman Mills Town Centre.

1

Prepared for Minto Communities - Canada

In July 2012, IBI completed a third addendum to the servicing, presented in a memorandum entitled "Chapman Mills SWM Servicing," which summarized the updates to the stormwater management servicing for Stage 7, the final stage of residential development in Chapman Mills east of Longfields Drive.

The purpose of this document is to summarize the proposed updates to the stormwater management servicing for the future development west of Longfields Drive. This report should be read in conjunction with the 2006 Servicing Report and supersedes the 2009, 2010 and 2012 Addenda.

Construction of the interceptor sewers, interceptor manholes, stormwater management facility and overflow outlets is complete.

### 1.2 Study Objectives

As detailed design of the Chapman Mills development has progressed, the street layout and land use plan have been updated. As noted above, as revisions have been made, IBI Group has updated the overall modeling that supports the stormwater management system to ensure dual drainage design targets and water quality treatment are achieved, as well as to confirm the hydraulic grade line. Specifically, this has been completed in 2009, 2010 and 2012, and summarized in respective stormwater management servicing addenda.

At this time, proposed changes to storm servicing of undeveloped lands west of Longfields Drive have prompted the servicing west of Longfields Drive to be reviewed. Undeveloped lands west of Longfields Drive are majority owned by Minto and the City, with a parcel owned by Tartan and other private landowners. Minto is initiating conceptual site servicing at two locations, resulting in a review of proposed land use and on-site storage. Specifically, due to the topographical relief and the challenges of providing on-site storage on the subject lands, options for reducing on-site storage have been explored. The purpose of this report is to summarize the proposed updates to the stormwater management servicing for the future development west of Longfields Drive. IBI Group has re-evaluated the function of the stormwater management system to ensure dual drainage design targets and water quality treatment are maintained, as well as to confirm the hydraulic grade line.

Prepared for Minto Communities - Canada

# 2 Modeling Methodology

The modeling methodology remains consistent with the 2006 Servicing Report. The hydrologic evaluation was conducted with SWMHYMO and the surcharge analysis conducted with XPSWMM. The updates to the respective models are summarized in the below sections.

Modeling simulations were performed using the 25 mm 4 hour Chicago storm, 100 year 24 hour SCS Type II storm, and 100 year 3 hour Chicago storm. Based on experience with similar types of urban watersheds, the most critical runoff estimates are those generated by the summer single event storms. There are two standard types of summer single event design storms typically used for modeling in Eastern Ontario. The SCS Type II design storm is typically used for watersheds characterized by the rural component being significantly greater than the urban component. The second design storm, the Chicago design storm, is more critical for the modeling of fully urbanized watersheds.

The Chapman Mills development comprises high, medium and low-density residential developments, parks, institutional and commercial areas. Accordingly, the Chicago design storm was used for urban simulation and the SCS Type II storm is relevant to the Jock River since the overall river watershed is predominantly rural. However, the function of the interceptor manholes and interceptor sewer with respect to the stormwater management facility and Jock River were simulated with the 25 mm 4 hour Chicago design storm. This is an exception of routine techniques, due to the need to simulate total suspended solids and other pollutant loads to the recipient watercourse using frequent, short duration storms. This approach is consistent with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual which suggests the use of this short duration storm, regardless of land use, to evaluate erosion and water quality, and in this particular case, flow separation.

In summary, the 25 mm 4 hour Chicago storm was used to evaluate the function of the interceptor sewer and interceptor manholes during first flush conditions. The 100 year 3 hour Chicago storm was used to analyze on-site detention and evaluate the major system flow. The 100 year 24 hour SCS Type II storm was used to evaluate the hydraulic grade line (HGL). Following City request, the HGL has also been evaluated using the 100 year 3 hour Chicago storm for this current addendum.

The overall stormwater servicing was originally established with a minor system capture of 85 l/s/ha (and 10 year on arterial roads and rapid transit corridors) and a combination of direct conveyance and on-site detention of the major system. Generally, lands east of Longfields Drive were simulated with direct conveyance, while lands west of Longfields Drive were simulated with on-site detention of the 100 year event.

Model files are enclosed in **Appendix A**.

# 2.1 Drainage Areas

Since the 2006 Servicing Report, there have been revisions to the street layout and land use plan as detailed design has progressed. Accordingly, the trunk storm sewers and drainage areas tributary to each trunk storm sewer have been revised to reflect these adjustments. The revised drainage areas are summarized in the below table and presented on the enclosed **Figure 1A**, and **Figure 1B** presents the original drainage area plan from the 2006 Servicing Report.

Prepared for Minto Communities - Canada

Table 2.1 Drainage areas

		2006			UPD	ATED	
DRAINA	AGE AREA	WEIGH	FED IMP (%)	DRAINAGE AREA WEIGHTED IN			
ID	AREA (HA)	TOTAL	DIRECTLY CONNECTED	ID	AREA (HA)	TOTAL	DIRECTLY CONNECTED
A1	11.4	54	41	A1 <sup>(1)</sup>	11.8	54	41
A2	8.5	54	41	A2 A	1.13	54	41
				A2_B	1.71	54	41
				A2_C	2.47	54	41
				A2_D	2.67	54	41
				A2_E	2.71	54	41
				A2_F	0.33	54	41
A3	10.3	54	41	A3_A	3.6	54	41
				A3_B	8.3	54	41
B1	4.7	57	57	B1 <sup>(1)</sup>	4.7	57	57
B2	10.6	[0.80]	N/A	B2_A <sup>(1)</sup>	3.4	[0.80]	N/A
				B2_B <sup>(2)</sup>	6.04	[0.71]	N/A
				B2_Bii <sup>(2)</sup>	0.89	[0.1]	N/A
В3	9.6	54	41	B3_A <sup>(1)</sup>	8	54	41
				B3_B <sup>(2)</sup>	0.9	54	41
C1	2.6	57	57	Part of	_	-	_
		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	A2			
C2	2.9	[0.39]	N/A	Part of	_	-	_
				A2			
C3	3.0	54	41	C3	2.9	54	41
C4	1.5	54	41	C4 <sup>(2)</sup>	1.3	54	41
D.4	0.0	<b>5</b> 4	4.4	C4_A	0.6	71	71
D1	3.6	54	41	D1	3.8	54	41
	2.2	E A	44	D1_A E1 <sup>(2)</sup>	0.5 3.14	54	41
E1	2.3	54 54	41	E2A <sup>(2)</sup>		0.61	0.46
E2	4.2	34	41	E2A(=)	0.58 2.62	0.75 0.6	0.75 0.46
E3	2.2	57	57	E3 <sup>(2)</sup>	2.02	0.57	0.46
E4	9.8	54	41	E4 A	2.8	57	57
L4	9.0	34	41	E4 B	1.3	[0.23]	N/A
				E4 C	0.9	54	41
				E4_C	0.9	54	41
				E4_E	2.1	54	41
				E5_A	1.22	54	41
				E5 B	1.08	54	41
F1	17.5	85	85	F1	17.62	67	67
F2	14.4	85	85	F2			r discretized
F3	9.4	85	85	F3			l on detailed
					58.35		gn (refer to
F4	31.6	85	85	F4		Figu	ire 1A and
						Tá	able 2.9)
F5	7.2	54	41	F5	6.4	54	41
F6	7.37	37	37	F6	7.84	38	38
G1	10.40	78	78	G1	10.06	78	78
G2	1.08	85	85	G2	1.08	85	85
G3	1.88	87	87	G3	1.88	87	87

**ו** או

Scale

Project Title

Drawing Title

Sheet No.

IBI **GROUP** 

J: \IBI Engineering\CCL\0—CAD\cad\Water\3771 mbeauchemin Last Saved At: Nov. 19, 09

**NEPEAN SOUTH-CHAPMAN MILLS SWM SERVICING ADDENDUM** 

DRAINAGE AREA PLAN 2006 SERVICING REPORT

# APPENDIX H CITY OF OTTAWA DESIGN CHECKLIST

McINTOSH PERRY

# **City of Ottawa**

# 4. Development Servicing Study Checklist

The following section describes the checklist of the required content of servicing studies. It is expected that the proponent will address each one of the following items for the study to be deemed complete and ready for review by City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approvals staff.

The level of required detail in the Servicing Study will increase depending on the type of application. For example, for Official Plan amendments and re-zoning applications, the main issues will be to determine the capacity requirements for the proposed change in land use and confirm this against the existing capacity constraint, and to define the solutions, phasing of works and the financing of works to address the capacity constraint. For subdivisions and site plans, the above will be required with additional detailed information supporting the servicing within the development boundary.

### **4.1 General Content**

Criteria	Location (if applicable)
☐ Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
☐ Date and revision number of the report.	On Cover
<ul> <li>Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.</li> </ul>	Appendix A
☐ Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Site Servicing Plan (C102)
<ul> <li>Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual</li> </ul>	1.1 Purpose  1.2 Site Description
developments must adhere.	6.0 Stormwater Management
☐ Summary of pre-consultation meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Appendix B
☐ Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments,	1.1 Purpose
Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and	1.2 Site Description
develop a defendable design criteria.	6.0 Stormwater Management
☐ Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	3.0 Pre-Consultation Summary



☐ Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	N/A
☐ Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	Site Grading Plan (C101)
☐ Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	Site Grading Plan (C101)
☐ Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
☐ Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	Section 2.0 Background Studies, Standards and References
<ul> <li>All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:</li> <li>Metric scale</li> <li>North arrow (including construction North)</li> <li>Key plan</li> <li>Name and contact information of applicant and property owner</li> <li>Property limits including bearings and dimensions</li> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> <li>Easements, road widening and rights-of-way</li> <li>Adjacent street names</li> </ul>	Site Grading Plan (C101)

# **4.2** Development Servicing Report: Water

Criteria	Location (if applicable)
☐ Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A
Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	N/A
☐ Identification of system constraints	N/A
☐ Identify boundary conditions	Appendix C
☐ Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	N/A
<ul> <li>Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey.</li> <li>Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.</li> </ul>	Appendix C
<ul> <li>Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul> <li>Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design</li> </ul>	N/A
☐ Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	N/A
☐ Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.	N/A
Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Appendix C, Section 4.2

Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	Site Servicing Plan (C101)
<ul> <li>Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.</li> </ul>	N/A
☐ Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Appendix C
<ul> <li>Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.</li> </ul>	N/A

# **4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater**

Criteria	Location (if applicable)
Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	N/A
☐ Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A
☐ Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer

☐ Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	Section 5.3 Proposed Sanitary Design
☐ Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	N/A
<ul> <li>Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.</li> </ul>	Section 5.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer
Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A
<ul> <li>Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.</li> </ul>	N/A
☐ Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A
☐ Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
☐ Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A

# **4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist**

Criteria	Location (if applicable)
<ul> <li>Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)</li> </ul>	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	N/A
<ul> <li>A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.</li> </ul>	Pre & Post-Development Plans
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5-year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100-year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
☐ Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
<ul> <li>Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.</li> </ul>	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
☐ Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	N/A
Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	N/A
Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5-year return period) and major events (1:100-year return period).	Appendix G

☐ Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	Site Grading Plan
☐ Calculate pre-and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management Appendix G
☐ Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
<ul> <li>Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.</li> </ul>	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
☐ If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.	N/A
☐ Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	N/A
<ul> <li>Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul> <li>Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.</li> </ul>	Section 6.0 Stormwater Sewer Design & Section 7.0 Proposed Stormwater Management
100-year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	Site Grading Plan (C101)
☐ Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A

<ul> <li>Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.</li> </ul>	Section 8.0 Sediment & Erosion Control
☐ Identification of floodplains — proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.	N/A
☐ Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	N/A

# 4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist

The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:

Criteria	Location (if applicable)
☐ Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	N/A
☐ Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	N/A
☐ Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
<ul> <li>Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)</li> </ul>	N/A

# **4.6 Conclusion Checklist**

Criteria	Location (if applicable)
Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 9.0 Summary
	Section 10.0 Recommendations
☐ Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	All are stamped
All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario	All are stamped