

**Geotechnical
Engineering**

**Environmental
Engineering**

Hydrogeology

**Geological
Engineering**

Materials Testing

Building Science

patersongroup

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

1987 Robertson Road
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared For

Stillwater Station Ltd. c/o The Properties Group

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario
Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381
Fax: (613) 226-6344
www.patersongroup.ca

June 9, 2022

Report: PE4378-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... | iii |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Site Description | 1 |
| 1.2 Property Ownership..... | 1 |
| 1.3 Current and Proposed Future Uses..... | 1 |
| 1.4 Applicable Site Condition Standard | 2 |
| 2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION..... | 2 |
| 2.1 Physical Setting | 2 |
| 3.0 SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION | 3 |
| 3.1 Overview of Site Investigation | 3 |
| 3.2 Media Investigated | 3 |
| 3.3 Phase I Conceptual Site Model | 3 |
| 3.4 Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan | 5 |
| 3.5 Impediments..... | 5 |
| 4.0 INVESTIGATION METHOD | 5 |
| 4.1 Subsurface Investigation | 5 |
| 4.2 Soil Sampling..... | 6 |
| 4.3 Field Screening Measurements | 6 |
| 4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation | 7 |
| 4.5 Field Measurement of Water Quality Parameters..... | 7 |
| 4.6 Groundwater Sampling..... | 7 |
| 4.7 Analytical Testing | 8 |
| 4.8 Residue Management..... | 10 |
| 4.9 Elevation Surveying..... | 10 |
| 4.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures | 10 |
| 5.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION | 10 |
| 5.1 Geology | 10 |
| 5.2 Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient | 10 |
| 5.3 Fine-Coarse Soil Texture..... | 11 |
| 5.4 Soil: Field Screening..... | 11 |
| 5.5 Soil Quality | 11 |
| 5.6 Groundwater Quality..... | 13 |
| 5.7 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results | 14 |
| 5.8 Phase II Conceptual Site Model | 14 |
| 6.0 CONCLUSIONS | 19 |
| 7.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS | 20 |

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Key Plan

Drawing PE4378-7 – Test Hole Location Plan

Drawing PE4378-9– Analytical Testing Plan– Soil (PAHs)

Drawing PE4378-9A – Cross Section A-A ‘– Soil (PAHs)

Drawing PE4378-10 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil (Metals)

Drawing PE4378-10A – Cross Section A-A ‘– Soil (Metals)

Drawing PE4378-11 – Analytical Test Plan – Soil (PHCs, BTEX and VOCs)

Drawing PE4378-11A – Cross Section A-A ‘– Soil (PHCs, BTEX and VOCs)

Drawing PE4378-12 – Analytical Test Plan – Groundwater (PHCs and VOCs)

Drawing PE4378-12A – Cross Section A-A ‘– Groundwater (PHCs and VOCs)

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 Sampling and Analysis Plan
 Analytical Test Results
 Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets
 Symbols and Terms
 Laboratory Certificate of Analysis

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Assessment

A Phase II ESA was conducted for 1987 Robertson Road, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of the Phase II ESA was to address three potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) that were identified during the Phase I ESA and were considered to result in areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) on the Phase II - Property. The subsurface investigation consisted of drilling five boreholes, two of which were completed as groundwater monitoring wells.

Soil samples were obtained from the boreholes and screened using visual observations and organic vapour measurements. Five soil samples including one duplicate, were submitted for laboratory analysis of petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), metals and/or VOCs.

Eight additional soil samples were submitted as part of previously completed Phase II – ESAs by Paterson Group in 2012, 2018 and 2019. All of the analyzed PHC, PAH, metals and VOC parameters were in compliance with the applicable MECP Table 3 standards with two exceptions. The zinc concentration within soil sample BH5-22-AU1 as well as multiple PAH parameters identified in BH2-SS1 (2012 assessment) exceeded the applicable MECP Table 3 standards.

Five groundwater samples, including two duplicate samples, were obtained from the monitoring wells installed in BH1-22, BH2-22 and MW3 and were analyzed for PHCs and BTEX. The majority of the analyzed parameter concentrations in the groundwater samples were identified as being non-detect, with the identified concentrations being in compliance with the MECP 3 Table 3 standards.

Nine additional groundwater samples were submitted as part of previously completed Phase II – ESAs by Paterson Group in 2012, 2018 and 2019. The majority of the analyzed parameter concentrations in the groundwater samples were identified as being non-detect, with the identified concentrations being in compliance with the MECP Table 3 standards.

Based on the findings of the Phase II ESA, the fill material identified in BH2 and BH5-22 located in the northeastern portion of the Phase II – Property is impacted with metals and PAHs. The groundwater on the Phase II Property is in compliance with the applicable MECP Table 3 standards.

Recommendations

Soil

Based on the findings of the Phase II ESA, impacted fill material was identified in the northeastern portion of the Phase II Property, in the location of a former railway spur line that had intersected the northeastern corner of the property. The impacted fill material can be removed from the Phase II Property as part of redevelopment activities. It is recommended that the excavation of soil be monitored and confirmed by Paterson. Impacted material will require disposal at a licensed waste disposal facility. Following removal of impacted material, the underlying native material will require testing to confirm compliance with site standards

Monitoring Wells

It is expected that the groundwater monitoring wells will be abandoned in accordance with O.Reg.903, at the time of construction excavation. It is recommended that the integrity of the monitoring wells be maintained, prior to future construction, for possible further groundwater monitoring purposes.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Stillwater Station Ltd., Paterson Group (Paterson) conducted a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment for 1987 Robertson Road in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of this Phase II ESA has been to address three areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) identified on the Phase II Property, during the Phase I ESA conducted by Paterson in February of 2022.

1.1 Site Description

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Address: | 1987 Robertson Road, Ottawa, Ontario. |
| Legal Description: | Part of Lot 11, Concession 2, Nepean (Ottawa Front), in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. |
| Location: | The Phase II Property is located on the north side of Robertson Road, approximately 485 m northeast of the Robertson Road and Moodie Drive intersection, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. Refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan for the site location. |
| Latitude and Longitude: | 45° 19' 30.31" N, 75° 47' 33.21" W |

Site Description:

| | |
|----------------|--------------------|
| Configuration: | Irregular |
| Site Area: | 7 ha (approximate) |

1.2 Property Ownership

Paterson was engaged to conduct this Phase I – ESA by Mr. Andrew Glass of The Properties Group. Mr. Glass can be contacted via his mailing address at 276 Metcalfe Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K2P 1R3.

1.3 Current and Proposed Future Uses

The Phase II Property is occupied by large storage/warehouse style building. The remainder of the site is vacant, and grass covered. The study area consists of a mixture of commercial and residential properties. It is our understanding that the Phase II Property is to be developed for residential purposes.

1.4 Applicable Site Condition Standard

The site condition standards for the property were obtained from Table 3 of the document entitled “Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act”, prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), April 2011. The MECP selected Table 3 Standards are based on the following considerations:

- ☐ Coarse-grained soil conditions
- ☐ Non-potable groundwater conditions
- ☐ Residential land use.

The residential standards were selected based on the proposed future use of the Phase II Property. Coarse-grained soil standards were chosen as a conservative approach. Grain size analysis was not completed.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Physical Setting

The Phase II - Property is located in a mixed residential, commercial and light industrial area and is located on the north side of Robertson Road, approximately 485 m northeast of the Robertson Road and Moodie Drive intersection, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The properties south of the Phase II Property are occupied by a trailer park, and General Dynamics and commercial buildings are located to the east of the Phase II Property. The trailer park also extends immediately west of the Phase II Property and is followed by retail and office buildings. The property immediately north of the Phase II Property is occupied by a existing railway running in a east-west direction.

The Phase II - Property and regional topography slope gradually down towards the north in the direction of the Ottawa River. Water drainage on the Phase II Property consists primarily of surface infiltration in the vegetated areas across the site. No ponded water was observed on the Phase I – Property.

3.0 SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

3.1 Overview of Site Investigation

The most recent subsurface investigation was conducted on April 22, 2022. Results from previously completed assessments in 2012, 2018 and 2019 were included in the current report.

The most recent field program consisted of drilling five boreholes, two of which were instrumented with groundwater monitoring wells. The boreholes were drilled to a maximum depth of 5.1 m below the existing grade.

3.2 Media Investigated

During the subsurface investigation, soil and groundwater samples were obtained with some samples submitted for laboratory analysis. The rationale for sampling and analyzing these samples is based on the Contaminants of Potential Concern identified in the Phase I ESA.

3.3 Phase I Conceptual Site Model

Geological and Hydrogeological Setting

The Geological Survey of Canada website on the Urban Geology of the National Capital Area was consulted as part of this assessment. Based on the information from NRCAN, the majority of the site is underlain by sandstone of the Nepean Formation while the northern part of the Phase I - Property is underlain by dolomite of the Oxford Formation. Based on the maps, the surficial geology consists of offshore marine sediments with an overburden thickness ranging from 2 to 10 m.

Contaminants of Potential Concern

The contaminants of potential concern resulting from the identified APECs are as follows:

- ☐ Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs (F₁-F₄))
- ☐ Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)
- ☐ Metals
- ☐ Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

Existing Buildings and Structures

The Phase I Property consists of a slab-on-grade commercial warehouse located in the southern portion of the property. One large canopy tent is located further northeast of the subject building and is used for outdoor seating.

The concrete slabs from the historical buildings on the property are located to the north and northwest of the subject building.

Water Bodies

Stillwater Creek runs in a north-south direction along the western property boundary of the Phase I Property.

Areas of Natural Significance

No areas of natural significance were identified on the Phase I Property or within the Phase I study area.

Water Well Records

A search of the MECPs website for all drilled well records within 250 m of the Phase I - Property was conducted as part of this assessment. The search identified three domestic well records on the Phase I – Property from 1963 to 2019. The soil profile on the Phase I – Property consists of silty clay extending to a maximum depth of 3 m followed by sandstone bedrock.

Paterson installed two wells as part of the subsurface investigation that was completed in 2012. Based on the well records, the site stratigraphy consists primarily of a shallow fill layer followed by native brown silty clay and glacial till.

The groundwater table was intercepted at an average depth of 2.2 m and sandstone bedrock was encountered at a maximum depth of 3.56 m below the existing grade.

Neighbouring Land Use

Neighbouring land use in the Phase I study area consists primarily of residential and commercial properties with the General Dynamics Mission Systems-Canada building located approximately 101 m east of the Phase I – Property.

Potentially Contaminating Activities and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

Ten (10) PCAs were identified within the Phase I study area. Based on their separation distances and cross or down gradient orientation with respect to the Phase I Property, the above noted PCAs, except for the on-site aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) and mechanical maintenance work, as well as the historical railway are not considered to result in APECs on the Phase I Property.

Assessment of Uncertainty and/or Absence of Information

The information available for review as part of the preparation of this Phase I ESA is considered to be sufficient to conclude that there are three PCAs that result in APECs on the Phase I Property.

The presence of three APECs was confirmed by a variety of independent sources, and as such, the conclusions of this report are not affected by uncertainty which may be present with respect to the individual sources.

3.4 Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan

The Sampling and Analysis Plan for this project is included in Appendix 1 of this report. No deviations from the sampling and analysis plan were identified during the Phase II ESA.

3.5 Impediments

The central portion of the Phase II Property was occupied by a large sanitary sewer easement that traversed from the southern property boundary to the northeastern corner of the property. Additional services located near the warehouse building also minorly impeded the field program. The rental service company (Ontario Rental Services) occupied the Phase II Property at the time of the field investigation and the monitoring well locations were determined as to not impede their operations.

4.0 INVESTIGATION METHOD

4.1 Subsurface Investigation

The subsurface investigation was conducted on April 22, 2022. The field program consisted of the drilling of five boreholes on the Phase II Property, two of which were completed with monitoring well installations.

The boreholes were placed to address the aforementioned areas of potential environmental concern (APECs).

The boreholes were drilled with a low clearance track-mounted drill rig, operated by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario, under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. Borehole locations are shown on Drawing PE4378-7 – Test Hole Location Plan appended to this report.

4.2 Soil Sampling

A total of 15 soil samples were obtained from the boreholes by means of sampling from shallow auger flights and split spoon sampling.

The depths at which auger samples and split spoon samples were obtained from the boreholes are shown as “**AU**” and “**SS**” on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report.

Site soils generally consist of between 0.30 and 2.13 m of fill, consisting of brown silty sand crushed stone and gravel. Native brown silty clay followed by glacial till extending to a depth of 1.90m was encountered in BH1-22 and brown clayey and sandy silt was encountered in the other four boreholes. Grey sandstone was encountered in BH1-22 and BH2-22 at depths ranging from 1.90 to 2.34m.

In addition to the subsurface investigation completed as part of the current assessment, soil samples submitted during previous assessments were included in the report.

4.3 Field Screening Measurements

Soil samples recovered at the time of sampling were placed immediately into airtight plastic bags with nominal headspace. All lumps of soil inside the bags were broken by hand, and the soil was allowed to come to room temperature prior to conducting the vapour survey. Allowing the samples to stabilize to room temperature ensures consistency of readings between samples.

To measure the soil vapours, the analyser probe is inserted into the nominal headspace above the soil sample. A photo ionization detector (PID) was used to measure the volatile organic vapour concentrations. The sample is agitated/manipulated gently as the measurement is taken. The peak reading registered within the first 15 seconds is recorded as the vapour measurement.

The PID readings were found to range from 0.1 to 0.5 ppm in the soil samples obtained. These results do not indicate the potential for significant contamination from volatile contaminants. Vapour readings are noted on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets in Appendix 1.

4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation

Two groundwater monitoring wells were installed on the Phase II Property as part of the most recent subsurface investigation. The monitoring wells consisted of 25 mm diameter Schedule 40 threaded PVC risers and screens. Monitoring well construction details are listed below in Table 1 and are also presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets provided in Appendix 1.

| Table 1: Monitoring Well Construction Details | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Well ID | Ground Surface Elevation | Total Depth (m BGS) | Screened Interval (m BGS) | Sand Pack (m BGS) | Bentonite Seal (m BGS) | Casing Type |
| BH1-22 | 89.17 | 4.57 | 1.90-4.57 | 1.22-4.57 | 0-1.22 | Stick-up |
| BH2-22 | 89.17 | 5.10 | 3.58-5.10 | 3.27-5.10 | 0-3.27 | Stick-up |

4.5 Field Measurement of Water Quality Parameters

Groundwater sampling was conducted on May 3, 2022, and water quality parameters were collected at that time. The averaged water quality parameters collected during the sampling program are provided below.

| Table 2: Groundwater Quality Parameters | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Well ID | Temperature (°C) | Conductivity (µs) | pH |
| BH1-22 | 11.01 | 1144.1 | 9.15 |
| BH2-22 | 9.97 | 741.5 | 9.28 |

4.6 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater sampling protocols were followed using the MECP document entitled "Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario," dated May 1996. Groundwater samples were obtained from each monitoring well, using dedicated sampling equipment.

Standing water was purged from each well prior to sampling. Samples were stored in coolers to reduce analyte volatilization during transportation.

Details of our standard operating procedure for groundwater sampling are provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

4.7 Analytical Testing

Based on the guidelines outlined in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, appended to this report, the following soil samples were submitted for analysis:

| Table 3: Soil Samples Submitted | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|--------|------|------|--|
| Sample ID | Screened Interval/ Stratigraphic Unit | Parameter Analyzed | | | | Rationale |
| | | PHCs | Metals | PAHs | BTEX | |
| BH2-SS1 (2012 Assessment) | 0-0.18 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | | | X | | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) |
| BH6-SS6 (2012 Assessment) | 3.0-3.6 Brown silty clay/sand fill | | X | | | Assess potential impacts from previous use of Phase II Property during 2012 assessment |
| BH1-18-AU1 (2018 Assessment) | 0-0.18 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | X | X | | | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| BH3-18-AU1 (2018 Assessment) | 0-0.48 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | X | | | | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| BH4-18-AU1 (2018 Assessment) | 0-0.48 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | X | X | | | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| BH5-18-AU1 (2018 Assessment) | 0-0.52 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | X | X | | | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| BH6-18-AU1 (2018 Assessment) | 0-0.52 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | X | | | | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| BH8-18-AU1 (2018 Assessment) | 0-0.40 Brown silty sand and gravel fill | X | X | | | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| BH1-22-SS3 | 1.5- 2.1m Native glacial till | X | | | X | Assess APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work) |
| BH2-22-SS3 | 1.5- 2.1m Native glacial till | X | | | X | Assess APEC 1 and APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work and three ASTs and one metal diesel exhaust fluid container) |
| BH4-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30 m Crushed stone and trace clay fill | | X | X | | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) |
| BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30 m Topsoil and crushed stone fill | | X | X | | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) |
| *BH5-22-SS10 | 0.15-0.30 m Topsoil and crushed stone fill | | | X | | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * - Duplicate of BH5-22-AU1 | | | | | | |

Based on the guidelines outlined in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, appended to this report, the following groundwater samples were submitted for analysis: Groundwater samples submitted as part of previous assessments were also included in the current assessment.

Table 4: Groundwater Samples Submitted

| Sample ID | Screened Interval/ Stratigraphic Unit | Parameters Analyzed | | Rationale |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|------|--|
| | | PHCs (F ₁ – F ₄) | VOCs | |
| MW1-GW1 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | | X | Assess potential impacts from previous use of Phase II Property during 2012 assessment |
| MW2-GW1 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | | X | Assess potential impacts from previous use of Phase II Property during 2012 assessment |
| MW3-GW1 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | X | X | Assess potential impacts from previous use of Phase II Property during 2012 assessment |
| MW5-GW1 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | X | X | Assess potential impacts from previous use of Phase II Property during 2012 assessment |
| MW3-GW | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | X | X | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| MW5-GW1 (BH5) | 4.14-7.14 Bedrock (grey sandstone) | X | X | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| MW6-GW1 (BH6) | 3.27-6.27 Bedrock (grey sandstone) | X | X | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property |
| MW1-GW1 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | X | X | General coverage |
| MW3-GW2 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | X | X | General coverage |
| BH5-GW2 | 4.14-7.14 Bedrock (grey sandstone) | X | X | General coverage |
| MW3-GW3 | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | X | X | General coverage |
| BH12-GW1 (Duplicate of MW3-GW3) | Installation details unavailable (installed by another firm) | | X | General coverage |
| BH1-22-GW1 | 1.88-4.57 Bedrock (grey sandstone) | X | X | Assess APEC 1 and APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work and three ASTs and one metal diesel exhaust fluid container) |
| BH2-22-GW1 | 3.60-5.11 Bedrock (grey sandstone) | X | X | Assess APEC 1 and APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work and three ASTs and one metal diesel exhaust fluid container) |
| DUP1-GW1* | 1.88-4.57 Bedrock (grey sandstone) | X | | Assess APEC 1 and APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work and three ASTs and one metal diesel exhaust fluid container) |

• * - Duplicate of BH1-22-GW1

Paracel Laboratories (Paracel) of Ottawa, Ontario, performed the laboratory analysis on the samples submitted for analytical testing.

Paracel is a member of the Standards Council of Canada/Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (SCC/CALA). Paracel is accredited and certified by SCC/CALA for specific tests registered with the association.

4.8 Residue Management

All purge water and fluids from equipment cleaning were retained on-site.

4.9 Elevation Surveying

The most recent boreholes were surveyed to geodetic elevations by Paterson personnel.

4.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures

A summary of quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) measures, including equipment cleaning procedures and field quality control measurements is provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

5.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION

5.1 Geology

The soil profile generally consists of between 0.30 and 2.13 m of fill, consisting of brown silty sand crushed stone and gravel. Native brown silty clay followed by glacial till extending to a depth of 1.90m was encountered in BH1-22 and brown clayey and sandy silt was encountered in the other four boreholes. Grey sandstone was encountered in Boreholes 1-22 and 2-22 at depths ranging from 1.90 to 2.34m. BH1-22 and BH2-22 were terminated in grey sandstone bedrock that extended to depths ranging from 4.57 to 5.10 m. The remaining boreholes were terminated in the native overburden layer consisting of clayey/sandy silt at a depth of 2.13m.

5.2 Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient

Groundwater levels were measured during the groundwater sampling event on May 3, 2022, using an electronic water level meter.

Groundwater levels are summarized below in Table 5. All elevations were acquired through a GPS survey completed at the time of the subsurface investigation.

| Table 5: Groundwater Level Measurements | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Borehole Location | Ground Surface Elevation (m) | Water Level Depth (m below grade) | Water Level Elevation (Asl) | Date of Measurement |
| BH1-22 | 89.17 | 1.17 | 88.0 | May 3, 2022 |
| BH2-22 | 89.17 | 1.74 | 87.43 | |

Based on the groundwater levels recorded, the groundwater appears to flow to the north.

5.3 Fine-Coarse Soil Texture

No grain size analysis was completed for the Phase II Property. Coarse-grained standards were selected based on the observed stratigraphy.

5.4 Soil: Field Screening

Field screening of the soil samples collected during drilling resulted in vapour readings ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 ppm.

No visual or olfactory indications of potential contamination were identified in the soil samples at the time of the field program. The field screening results of each individual soil sample are provided on the Soil Profile, and Test Data Sheets appended to this report.

5.5 Soil Quality

Four soil samples including one duplicate were submitted for analysis of metals, PAHs, PHCs (F₁-F₄) and BTEX as part of the current assessment. Additionally, nine soil samples from previous assessments (2012, 2018 and 2019) were included as part of the current Phase II – ESA. The results of the analytical testing have been appended and are presented in Table 6: Soil Analytical Test Results. The laboratory certificates of analysis are provided in Appendix 1. Analytical test results are shown on Drawings PE4378- 9 to PE4378-11.

PAHs

All identified PAH parameters were compliance with the MECP Table 3 standards with the exception of multiple exceedances identified in BH2-SS1 during the 2012 subsurface investigation.

Metals

All of the identified metal parameters were in compliance with the applicable MECP Table 3 standards, with one exception. The zinc concentration within soil sample BH5-22-AU1 exceeded the applicable MECP Table 3 standard.

BTEX

All BTEX parameters were identified as being non-detect and therefore in compliance with the MECP Table 3 standards.

PHCs (F₁-F₄)

All of the analyzed PHC parameters were non-detect with the exception of PHC fractions F₃ and F₄ in soil samples BH1-AU1-18, BH5-AU1-18, BH8-AU1-18, BH1-22-SS3 and BH2-22-SS3, which were in compliance with the applicable Table 3 standards.

| TABLE 7: Maximum Concentrations – Soil | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Parameter | Maximum Concentration (µg/g) | Soil Sample | Depth Interval (m BGS) |
| Antimony | 1.1 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Arsenic | 15.3 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Barium | 156 | BH6-SS6 | 3.1-3.7m, Native |
| Boron | 5.7 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Chromium | 43.2 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Cobalt | 9.0 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Copper | 37.6 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Lead | 98.3 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Nickel | 20.3 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| Vanadium | 44 | BH6-SS6 | 3.1-3.7m, Native |
| Zinc | 437 | BH5-22-AU1 | 0.15-0.30m, Fill |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 25 | BH1-22-SS3 | 1.5- 2.1m, Native |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 49 | BH1-22-SS3 | 1.5- 2.1m, Native |
| Acenaphthene | 7.42 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Acenaphthylene | 1.3 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Anthracene | 16.9 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 11.2 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 3.64 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 7.72 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |

| TABLE 7 Continued: Maximum Concentrations – Soil | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Parameter | Maximum Concentration (µg/g) | Soil Sample | Depth Interval (m BGS) |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | 0.77 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | <u>4.43</u> | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Chrysene | 10.5 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Fluoranthene | 44.3 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Fluorene | 7.93 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | <u>0.81</u> | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | <u>1.26</u> | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | <u>1.28</u> | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 1.54 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Naphthalene | 0.35 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Phenanthrene | <u>43.5</u> | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Pyrene | 33.6 | BH2-SS1 | 0-0.60, Fill |
| Notes: | | | |
| ▪ <u>Bold and Underlined</u> – Results exceed the selected MECP standards | | | |

All other analyzed parameters were non-detect.

5.6 Groundwater Quality

Groundwater samples from monitoring wells installed in BH1-22 and BH2-22 were submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F₁-F₄) and VOCs.

The groundwater samples were obtained from the screened intervals noted in Table 4.

The results of the analytical testing are presented in Table 8: Groundwater Analytical Test Results, which has been appended to the report. The laboratory certificate of analysis is provided in Appendix 1. Analytical test results are shown on Drawing PE4378- 12 – Analytical Testing Plan – Groundwater.

PHCs (F₁-F₄)

All of the analyzed PHC parameters were non-detect and therefore in compliance with the applicable Table 3 standards.

VOCs

The majority of the analyzed VOC parameters were non-detect and are therefore in compliance with the applicable MECP Table 3 standards.

5.7 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results

All soil and groundwater samples were handled in accordance with the Analytical Protocol with respect to holding time, preservation method, storage requirement, and container type.

As per Subsection 47(3) of O.Reg. 153/04 as amended, a Certificate of Analysis has been received for each sample submitted for analysis and all Certificates of Analysis are appended to this report.

As per the Sampling and Analysis Plan, a duplicate soil sample was obtained from sample BH5-22-AU1 and submitted for laboratory analysis of PAH parameters. All of the analyzed PAH parameters were identified as being non-detect in both samples. No PAH concentrations were detected in the original or duplicate samples.

Duplicate groundwater samples were obtained from the monitoring wells installed in BH1-22 and MW3 and were submitted for laboratory analysis of PHC and/or VOC parameters. No PHC (F₁-F₄) or VOC concentrations were detected in the original or duplicate samples.

The quality of the field data collected during the Phase II ESA is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall objectives of the assessment.

5.8 Phase II Conceptual Site Model

The following section has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of O.Reg. 269/11 amended by the Environmental Protection Act. Conclusions and recommendations are discussed in a subsequent section.

Site Description

The Phase I Property consists of a slab-on-grade commercial warehouse located in the southern portion of the property. One large canopy tent is located further northeast of the subject building and is used for outdoor seating. The concrete slabs from the historical buildings on the property are located to the north and northwest of the subject building.

Potentially Contaminating Activity and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

As indicated in the Phase I-ESA report, the following PCAs were considered to result in APECs on the Phase I/Phase II Property:

- ☐ Three ASTs and one metal diesel exhaust fluid container
- ☐ Mechanical maintenance work.
- ☐ Historical railway line

Contaminants of Potential Concern and Impacted Media

Contaminants of potential concern associated with the PCAs include metals, PAHs, PHCs and VOCs in the soil and/or groundwater.

Subsurface Structures and Utilities

Underground service locates were completed prior to the subsurface investigation. Underground utilities on the Phase II Property include private electrical and sewer services as well as hydro and gas lines. One large sanitary sewer easement was located in the central portion of the Phase II Property, running from the southern property boundary to the northeastern portion of the property.

Physical Setting

Site Stratigraphy

The site stratigraphy, from the ground surface to the deepest aquifer or aquitard investigated consists of:

- ☐ Fill material consisting of brown silty sand and gravel extending to depths ranging from 0.30 and 4.50m. Crushed stone was identified in the fill material within all of the most recently completed Boreholes (BH1-22 to BH5-22) extending to a maximum depth of 0.69m. Brick fragments were identified in the fill material within BH5-18.
- ☐ Native brown silty clay followed extending to depths ranging from 1.22 to 6.70m. Native brown silty fine sand was encountered in BH3-18 extending to a depth of 2.36m.
- ☐ Glacial till extending to depths ranging 1.90 to 3.66m.

- ❑ Grey sandstone bedrock extending to depths of 1.90 and 2.34m was encountered in BH1-22 and BH2-22, respectively.

Hydrogeological Characteristics

Groundwater at the Phase II Property was encountered primarily within the native silty clay layer and within the silty sand fill layer in BH2-22.

Water levels were measured at the Phase I - Property on May 3, 2022, at depths ranging from 1.17 to 1.74m below grade in BH1-22 and BH2-22.

Based on the groundwater levels recorded, the groundwater appears to flow in a northerly direction.

Approximate Depth to Bedrock

Bedrock was encountered at an average depth of 2.44m below the existing grade.

Approximate Depth to Water Table

Depth to the water table at the Phase I Property varies between approximately 1.20 to 2.40m below the existing grade.

Sections 41 and 43.1 of the Regulation

Section 41 of the Regulation (Site Condition Standards, Environmentally Sensitive Areas) does not apply to the Phase II Property.

Section 43.1 of the Regulation does not apply to the Phase II Property in that it is not a Shallow Soil Property.

Fill Placement

Fill material ranging from 0.30 to 2.13m and consisting of brown silty sand with gravel was identified in all of the boreholes. Crushed stone was identified in the fill material within all of the most recently completed Boreholes (BH1-22 to BH5-22) extending to a maximum depth of 0.69m. Brick fragments were observed in BH5-18.

Proposed Buildings and Other Structures

It is our understanding that the Phase II Property is to be redeveloped for residential purposes.

Areas of Natural Significance and Water Bodies

No areas of natural significance are present on or within the vicinity of the Phase II Property.

Stillwater creek intersects the western portion of the Phase I Property and runs in a north to south direction.

Environmental Condition

Areas Where Contaminants are Present

Fill material impacted with PAHs was identified in BH2 which is located in the northeastern portion of the Phase II Property in the location of a former railway spur line.

The zinc concentration identified within the fill material in BH5-22, also located in the northeastern portion of the property, was in excess of the applicable MECP Table 3 standard,

Types of Contaminants

Fill material impacted with PAHs and zinc was identified in the northeastern portion of the Phase II Property.

Contaminated Media

Fill material impacted with PAHs and zinc was identified in BH2 and BH5-22, located in the northeastern portion of the Phase II Property. No groundwater impacts were identified.

What Is Known About Areas Where Contaminants Are Present

The impacted fill material was identified in the location of a previously existing railway spur line that is no longer in use. The contaminated fill material is considered to be a result of the former spur line that had intersected the northeastern corner of the Phase II Property.

Distribution and Migration of Contaminants

Based on the findings of the Phase II ESA, no significant distribution and/or migration of contaminants is considered to have occurred.

Discharge of Contaminants

Based on the analytical testing results, PAH impacts were identified within the fill material in BH2 and zinc within the fill material in BH5-22. The metals and PAH parameters in excess of the selected standards are expected to be a result of a former spur line that had previously intersected the northeastern portion of the Phase II Property.

Climatic and Meteorological Conditions

In general, climatic, and meteorological conditions have the potential to affect contaminant distribution. Two ways by which climatic and meteorological conditions may affect contaminant distribution include the downward leaching of contaminants by means of the infiltration of precipitation, and the migration of contaminants via groundwater levels and/or flow, which may fluctuate seasonally. It is our opinion that climatic and meteorological conditions have not influenced contaminant transport in the past.

Potential for Vapour Intrusion

Based on the findings of the Phase II ESA, there is no potential for vapour intrusion on the Phase II Property.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Assessment

A Phase II ESA was conducted for 1987 Robertson Road, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of the Phase II ESA was to address three potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) that were identified during the Phase I ESA and were considered to result in areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) on the Phase II - Property. The subsurface investigation consisted of drilling five boreholes, two of which were completed as groundwater monitoring wells.

Soil samples were obtained from the boreholes and screened using visual observations and organic vapour measurements. Five soil samples including one duplicate, were submitted for laboratory analysis of petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), metals and/or VOCs.

Eight additional soil samples were submitted as part of previously completed Phase II – ESAs by Paterson Group in 2012, 2018 and 2019. All of the analyzed PHC, PAH, metals and VOC parameters were in compliance with the applicable MECP Table 3 standards with two exceptions. The zinc concentration within soil sample BH5-22-AU1 as well as multiple PAH parameters identified in BH2-SS1 (2012 assessment) exceeded the applicable MECP Table 3 standards.

Five groundwater samples, including two duplicate samples, were obtained from the monitoring wells installed in BH1-22, BH2-22 and MW3 and were analyzed for PHCs and BTEX. The majority of the analyzed parameter concentrations in the groundwater samples were identified as being non-detect, with the identified concentrations being in compliance with the MECP 3 Table 3 standards.

Nine additional groundwater samples were submitted as part of previously completed Phase II – ESAs by Paterson Group in 2012, 2018 and 2019. The majority of the analyzed parameter concentrations in the groundwater samples were identified as being non-detect, with the identified concentrations being in compliance with the MECP Table 3 standards.

Based on the findings of the Phase II ESA, the fill material identified in BH2 and BH5-22 located in the northeastern portion of the Phase II – Property is impacted with metals and PAHs. The groundwater on the Phase II Property is in compliance with the applicable MECP Table 3 standards.

Recommendations

Soil

Based on the findings of the Phase II ESA, impacted fill material was identified in the northeastern portion of the Phase II Property, in the location of a former railway spur line that had intersected the northeastern corner of the property. The impacted fill material can be removed from the Phase II Property as part of redevelopment activities. It is recommended that the excavation of soil be monitored and confirmed by Paterson. Impacted material will require disposal at a licensed waste disposal facility. Following removal of impacted material, the underlying native material will require testing to confirm compliance with site standards

Monitoring Wells

It is expected that the groundwater monitoring wells will be abandoned in accordance with O.Reg.903, at the time of construction excavation. It is recommended that the integrity of the monitoring wells be maintained, prior to future construction, for possible further groundwater monitoring purposes.

7.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment report has been prepared in general accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 as amended and meets CSA Z769-00. The conclusions presented herein are based on information gathered from a limited sampling and testing program. The test results represent conditions at specific test locations at the time of the field program.

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes themselves.

Should any conditions be encountered at the Phase II Property and/or historical information that differ from our findings, we request that we be notified immediately in order to allow for a reassessment.

This report was prepared for the sole use of Stillwater Station Ltd. c/o The Properties Group. Notification from Stillwater Station Ltd. c/o The Properties Group and Paterson Group will be required to release this report to any other party.

Paterson Group Inc.



Samuel Berube, B.Eng.



Mark S. D'Arcy, P.Eng., QP_{ESA}



Report Distribution:

- Stillwater Station Ltd.
- Paterson Group

FIGURES

FIGURE 1 – KEY PLAN

DRAWING PE4378-7 – TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

DRAWING PE4378-9 ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL (PAHs)

DRAWING PE4378-9A – CROSS SECTION A-A' SOIL (PAHs)

DRAWING PE4378-10 ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL (METALS)

DRAWING PE4378-10A – CROSS SECTION A-A' SOIL (METALS)

DRAWING PE4378-11 ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL (BTEX, PHCs, VOCs)


DRAWING PE4378-11A ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL (BTEX, PHCs, VOCs))

DRAWING PE4378-12 – ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - GROUNDWATER (PHCs VOCs)

DRAWING PE4378-12A – CROSS SECTION A-A' GROUNDWATER (PHCs VOCs)



FIGURE 1
KEY PLAN



patersongroup
consulting engineers


154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|---------|
| STILLWATER STATION LTD. | |
| PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT | |
| 1987 ROBERTSON ROAD | |
| OTTAWA, | ONTARIO |
| Title: | |
| TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN | |

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|---------------|----------|
| Scale: | 1:2000 | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | YA | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-7 |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad\drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg



SOIL RESULTS COMPLY WITH MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS

SOIL RESULTS EXCEED MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS

patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

STILLWATER STATION LTD.

PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT


1987 ROBERTSON ROAD

OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Title: ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL (PAHs)

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|---------------|----------|
| Scale: | 1:2000 | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | YA | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-9 |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad\drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg



SOIL RESULTS COMPLY WITH MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS

patersongroup
consulting engineers


154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| | | | |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |

| | |
|--|---------|
| STILLWATER STATION LTD. | |
| PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT | |
| 1987 ROBERTSON ROAD | |
| OTTAWA, | ONTARIO |
| Title: CROSS SECTION A-A' - SOIL (PAHs) | |

| | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| Scale: | AS SHOWN | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | JM | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-9A |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg



patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

STILLWATER STATION LTD.
PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
1987 ROBERTSON ROAD


OTTAWA,
Title:

ONTARIO

ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL (METALS)

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|---------------|------------------|
| Scale: | 1:2000 | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | YA | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-10 |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad\drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg



SOIL RESULTS EXCEED MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS

patersongroup
consulting engineers


154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| | | | |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |

| | |
|---|---------|
| STILLWATER STATION LTD. | |
| PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT | |
| 1987 ROBERTSON ROAD | |
| OTTAWA, | ONTARIO |
| Title: CROSS SECTION A-A' - SOIL (METALS) | |

| | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------|------------|
| Scale: | AS SHOWN | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | JM | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-10A |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad\drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg



patersongroup
consulting engineers


154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| | | | |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |

STILLWATER STATION LTD.
PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
1987 ROBERTSON ROAD
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
Title: **CROSS SECTION A-A' - SOIL (BTEX & PHCs)**

| | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|
| Scale: | AS SHOWN | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | JM | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-11A |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg




patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

STILLWATER STATION LTD.
PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
1987 ROBERTSON ROAD
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
Title: **ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - GROUNDWATER**

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|---------------|------------------|
| Scale: | 1:2000 | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | YA | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-12 |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |



GROUNDWATER COMPLIES WITH MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS

patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

| | | | |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| NO. | REVISIONS | DATE | INITIAL |

STILLWATER STATION LTD.
PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
1987 ROBERTSON ROAD
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
Title: **CROSS SECTION A-A' - GROUNDWATER**

| | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|
| Scale: | AS SHOWN | Date: | 05/2022 |
| Drawn by: | JM | Report No.: | PE4378-3 |
| Checked by: | SB | Dwg. No.: | PE4378-12A |
| Approved by: | MSD | Revision No.: | |

p:\autocad drawings\environmental\pe4378\pe4378-phase ii (rg).dwg

APPENDIX 1

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Geotechnical
Engineering

Environmental
Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological
Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

patersongroup

Sampling & Analysis Plan

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment
1987 Robertson Road
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared For

Stillwater Station c/o The Properties Group

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario
Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381
Fax: (613) 226-6344
www.patersongroup.ca

April 2022

Report: PE4378-SAP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.0 | SAMPLING PROGRAM | 1 |
| 2.0 | ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM..... | 2 |
| 3.0 | STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES | 3 |
| 3.1 | Environmental Drilling Procedure | 3 |
| 3.2 | Monitoring Well Installation Procedure | 7 |
| 3.3 | Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure | 8 |
| 4.0 | QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC) | 9 |
| 5.0 | DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES | 10 |
| 6.0 | PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS TO SAMPLING & ANALYSIS PLAN | 11 |

1.0 SAMPLING PROGRAM

Paterson Group Inc. (Paterson) was commissioned by Stillwater Station Ltd. to conduct a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of 1987 Robertson Road, Ottawa, Ontario. Based on our 2021 Phase I ESA completed for the subject property, a subsurface investigation program, consisting of borehole drilling, was developed.

| Borehole | Location & Rationale | Proposed Depth & Rationale |
|---------------|--|---|
| BH1-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH2-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH3-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH4-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH5-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Borehole to be advanced to approximately 2m below the expected long-term groundwater table and install a monitoring well. |
| BH6-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH7-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH8-18 | Assess baseline conditions of Phase II Property | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH1-22 | Assess APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work) | Borehole to be advanced to approximately 2m below the expected long-term groundwater table and install a monitoring well. |
| BH2-22 | Assess APEC 1 and APEC 2 (Mechanical maintenance work and three ASTs and one metal diesel exhaust fluid container) | Borehole to be advanced to approximately 2m below the expected long-term groundwater table and install a monitoring well. |
| BH3-22 | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |

| Borehole | Location & Rationale | Proposed Depth & Rationale |
|---------------|---|--|
| BH4-22 | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |
| BH5-22 | Assess APEC 3 (Historical railway line) | Through the fill material into the native soil, and intercept the groundwater table, as applicable |

At each borehole, split-spoon samples of overburden soils will be obtained at 0.76m (2'6") intervals until practical refusal to augering. All soil samples will be retained, and samples will be selected for submission following a preliminary screening analysis.

Upon refusal, rock coring shall be undertaken to the required depth. Approximately every metre the well shall be purged by inertial pumping and the water level recorded to determine if groundwater water is entering the borehole.

Following borehole drilling, monitoring wells will be installed in selected boreholes (as above) for the measurement of water levels and the collection of groundwater samples. Borehole locations are shown on the Test Hole Location Plan appended to the main report.

2.0 ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM

The analytical testing program for soil at the subject site is based on the following general considerations:

- ☐ At least one sample from each borehole should be submitted, in order to delineate the horizontal extent of contamination across the site.
- ☐ At least one sample from each stratigraphic unit should be submitted, in order to delineate the vertical extent of contamination at the site.
- ☐ In boreholes where there is visual or olfactory evidence of contamination, or where organic vapour meter or photoionization detector readings indicate the presence of contamination, the 'worst-case' sample from each borehole should be submitted for comparison with MECP Site Condition Standards.
- ☐ In boreholes with evidence of contamination as described above, a sample should be submitted from the stratigraphic unit below the 'worst-case' sample to determine whether the contaminant(s) have migrated downward.

- ☐ Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the Contaminants of Potential Concern identified in the Phase I ESA.

The analytical testing program for groundwater at the subject site is based on the following general considerations:

- ☐ Groundwater monitoring wells should be installed in all boreholes with visual or olfactory evidence of soil contamination, in stratigraphic units where soil contamination was encountered, where those stratigraphic units are at or below the water table (i.e. a water sample can be obtained).
- ☐ Groundwater monitoring well screens should straddle the water table at sites where the contaminants of concern are suspected to be LNAPLs.
- ☐ At least one groundwater monitoring well should be installed in a stratigraphic unit below the suspected contamination, where said stratigraphic unit is water-bearing.
- ☐ Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the Contaminants of Concern identified in the Phase I ESA and with the contaminants identified in the soil samples.

3.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

3.1 Environmental Drilling Procedure

Purpose

The purpose of environmental boreholes is to identify and/or delineate contamination within the soil and/or to install groundwater monitoring wells in order to identify contamination within the groundwater.

Equipment

The following is a list of equipment that is in addition to regular drilling equipment stated in the geotechnical drilling SOP:

- ☐ glass soil sample jars
- ☐ two buckets
- ☐ cleaning brush (toilet brush works well)
- ☐ dish detergent
- ☐ methyl hydrate
- ☐ water (if not available on site - water jugs available in the trailer)
- ☐ latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant)

- ☐ Rkl Eagle organic vapour meter or MiniRae photoionization detector (depending on contamination suspected)

Determining Borehole Locations

If conditions on site are not as suspected, and planned borehole locations cannot be drilled, **call the office to discuss**. Alternative borehole locations will be determined in conversation with the field technician and supervising engineer.

After drilling is completed a plan with the borehole locations must be provided. Distances and orientations of boreholes with respect to site features (buildings, roadways, etc.) must be provided. Distances should be measured using a measuring tape or wheel rather than paced off. Ground surface elevations at each borehole should be surveyed relative to a catch basin of known geodetic elevation.

Drilling Procedure

The actual drilling procedure for environmental boreholes is the same as geotechnical boreholes (see SOP for drilling and sampling) with a few exceptions as follows:

- ☐ Continuous split spoon samples (every 0.6 m or 2') or semi-continuous (every 0.76 m or 2'6") are required.
- ☐ Make sure samples are well sealed in plastic bags with no holes prior to screening and are kept cool but unfrozen.
- ☐ If sampling for VOCs, BTEX, or PHCs F1, a soil core from each soil sample which may be analysed must be taken and placed in the laboratory-provided methanol vial.
- ☐ Note all and any odours or discolouration of samples.
- ☐ Split spoon samplers must be washed between samples.
- ☐ If obvious contamination is encountered, continue sampling until the vertical extent of contamination is delineated.
- ☐ As a general rule, environmental boreholes should be deep enough to intercept the groundwater table (unless this is impossible/impractical - call project manager to discuss).
- ☐ If at all possible, soil samples should be submitted to a preliminary screening procedure on site, either using an RKI Eagle, PID, etc. depending on the type of suspected contamination.

Spoon Washing Procedure

All sampling equipment (spilt spoons, etc.) must be washed between samples in order to prevent cross-contamination of soil samples.

- ☐ Obtain two buckets of water (preferably hot if available)
- ☐ Add a small amount of dish soap to one bucket
- ☐ Scrub spoons with a brush in soapy water, inside and out, including the tip
- ☐ Rinse in clean water
- ☐ Apply a small amount of methyl hydrate to the inside of the spoon. (A spray bottle or water bottle with a small hole in the cap works well)
- ☐ Allow to dry (takes seconds)
- ☐ Rinse with distilled water, a spray bottle works well.

The methyl hydrate eliminates any soap residue that may be on the spoon and is especially important when dealing with suspected VOCs.

Screening Procedure

The RKI Eagle is used to screen most soil samples, particularly where petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is suspected. The MiniRae is used when VOCs are suspected, however it also can be useful for detecting petroleum. These tools are for screening purposes only and cannot be used in place of laboratory testing. Vapour results obtained from the RKI Eagle and the PID are relative and must be interpreted.

Screening equipment should be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, more frequently if heavily used.

- ☐ Samples should be brought to room temperature; this is specifically important in colder weather. Soil must not be frozen.
- ☐ Turn instrument on and allow to come to zero - calibrate if necessary
- ☐ If using RKI Eagle, ensure the instrument is in methane elimination mode unless otherwise directed.
- ☐ Ensure measurement units are ppm (parts per million) initially. RKI Eagle will automatically switch to %LEL (lower explosive limit) if higher concentrations are encountered.
- ☐ Break up large lumps of soil in the sample bag, taking care not to puncture the bag.
- ☐ Insert the probe into soil bag, creating a seal with your hand around the opening.
- ☐ Gently manipulate soil in the bag while observing instrument readings.
- ☐ Record the highest value obtained in the first 15 to 25 seconds
- ☐ Make sure to indicate scale (ppm or LEL); also note which instrument was used (RKI Eagle 1 or 2, or MiniRae).
- ☐ Jar samples and refrigerate as per the Sampling and Analysis Plan.

3.2 Monitoring Well Installation Procedure

Equipment

- ☐ 5' x 2" [1.52 m x 50 mm] threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC slotted well screen (5' x 1 ¼" [1.52 m x 32 mm] if installing in a cored hole in bedrock)
- ☐ 5' x 2" [1.52 m x 50 mm] threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC riser pipe (5' x 1 ¼" [1.52 m x 32 mm] if installing in a cored hole in bedrock)
- ☐ Threaded end-cap
- ☐ Slip-cap or J-plug
- ☐ Asphalt cold patch or concrete
- ☐ Silica Sand
- ☐ Bentonite chips (Holeplug)
- ☐ Steel flushmount casing

Procedure

- ☐ Drill borehole to the required depth, using drilling and sampling procedures described above.
- ☐ If the borehole is deeper than required monitoring well, backfill with bentonite chips to the required depth. This should only be done on wells where contamination is not suspected, in order to prevent downward migration of contamination.
- ☐ Only one monitoring well should be installed per borehole.
- ☐ Monitoring wells should not be screened across more than one stratigraphic unit to prevent potential migration of contaminants between units.
- ☐ Where LNAPLs are the suspected contaminants of concern, monitoring wells should be screened straddling the water table in order to capture any free product floating on top of the water table.
- ☐ Thread the end cap onto a section of the screen. Thread the second section of the screen if required. Thread risers onto the screen. Lower into the borehole to the required depth. Ensure slip-cap or J-plug is inserted to prevent backfill materials from entering the well.
- ☐ As drillers remove augers, backfill borehole annulus with silica sand until the level of sand is approximately 0.3 m above the top of the screen.
- ☐ Backfill with holeplug until at least 0.3 m of holeplug is present above the top of the silica sand.
- ☐ Backfill remainder of the borehole with holeplug or with auger cuttings (if contamination is not suspected).

- ☐ Install flushmount casing. Seal space between flushmount and borehole annulus with concrete, cold patch, or holeplug to match the surrounding ground surface.

3.3 Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure

Equipment

- ☐ Water level metre or interface probe on hydrocarbon/LNAPL sites
- ☐ Spray bottles containing water and methanol to clean water level tape or interface probe
- ☐ Peristaltic pump
- ☐ Polyethylene tubing for peristaltic pump
- ☐ Flexible tubing for peristaltic pump
- ☐ Latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant)
- ☐ Allen keys and/or 9/16" socket wrench to remove well caps
- ☐ Graduated bucket with volume measurements
- ☐ pH/Temperature/Conductivity combo pen
- ☐ Laboratory-supplied sample bottles

Sampling Procedure

- ☐ Locate well and use a socket wrench or Allan key to open metal flush mount protector cap. Remove plastic well cap.
- ☐ Measure water level, with respect to the existing ground surface, using water level meter or interface probe. If using an interface probe on suspected NAPL site, measure the thickness of the free product.
- ☐ Measure the total depth of well.
- ☐ Clean water level tape or interface probe using methanol and water. Change gloves between wells.
- ☐ Calculate the volume of standing water within well and record.
- ☐ Insert polyethylene tubing into well and attach to the peristaltic pump. Turn on the peristaltic pump and purge into the graduated bucket. Purge at least three well volumes of water from the well. Measure and record field chemistry. Continue to purge, measuring field chemistry after every well volume purged, until appearance or field chemistry stabilizes.
- ☐ Note the appearance of purge water, including colour, opacity (clear, cloudy, silty), sheen, presence of LNAPL, and odour. Note any other unusual features (particulate matter, effervescence (bubbling) of dissolved gas, etc.).

- ☐ Fill the required sample bottles. If sampling for metals, attach 75-micron filter to discharge tube and filter metals sample. If sampling for VOCs, use low flow rate to ensure a continuous stream of non-turbulent flow into sample bottles. Ensure no headspace is present in VOC vials.
- ☐ Replace well cap and flushmount casing cap.

4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

The QA/QC program for this Phase II ESA is as follows:

- ☐ All non-dedicated sampling equipment (split spoons) will be decontaminated according to the SOPs listed above.
- ☐ All groundwater sampling equipment is dedicated (polyethylene and flexible peristaltic tubing is replaced for each well).
- ☐ Where groundwater samples are to be analyzed for VOCs, one laboratory-provided trip blank will be submitted for analysis with every laboratory submission.
- ☐ Approximately one (1) field duplicate will be submitted for every ten (10) samples submitted for laboratory analysis. A minimum of one (1) field duplicate per project will be submitted. Field duplicates will be submitted for soil and groundwater samples.
- ☐ Where combo pens are used to measure field chemistry, they will be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, according to the frequency of use.

5.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The purpose of setting data quality objectives (DQOs) is to ensure that the level of uncertainty in data collected during the Phase II ESA is low enough that decision-making is not affected, and that the overall objectives of the investigation are met.

The quality of data is assessed by comparing field duplicates with original samples. If the relative percent difference (RPD) between the duplicate and the sample is within 20%, the data are considered to be of sufficient quality so as not to affect decision-making. The RPD is calculated as follows:

$$RPD = \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)/2} \right| \times 100\%$$

Where x_1 is the concentration of a given parameter in an original sample and x_2 is the concentration of that same parameter in the field duplicate sample.

For the purpose of calculating the RPD, it is desirable to select field duplicates from samples for which parameters are present in concentrations above laboratory detection limits, i.e. samples which are expected to be contaminated. If parameters are below laboratory detection limits for selected samples or duplicates, the RPD may be calculated using a concentration equal to one half (0.5 x) the laboratory detection limit.

It is also important to consider data quality in the overall context of the project. For example, if the DQOs are not met for a given sample, yet the concentrations of contaminants in both the sample and the duplicate exceed the MOE site remediation standards by a large margin, the decision-making usefulness of the sample may not be considered to be impaired. The proximity of other samples which meet the DQOs must also be considered in developing the Phase II Conceptual Site Model; often there are enough data available to produce a reliable Phase II Conceptual Site Model even if DQOs are not met for certain individual samples.

These considerations are discussed in the body of the report.

6.0 PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS TO SAMPLING & ANALYSIS PLAN

Physical impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan may include:

- ☐ The location of underground utilities
- ☐ Poor recovery of split-spoon soil samples
- ☐ Insufficient groundwater volume for groundwater samples
- ☐ Breakage of sampling containers following sampling or while in transit to the laboratory
- ☐ Elevated detection limits due to matrix interference (generally related to soil colour or presence of organic material)
- ☐ Elevated detection limits due to high concentrations of certain parameters, necessitating dilution of samples in the laboratory
- ☐ Drill rig breakdowns
- ☐ Winter conditions
- ☐ Other site-specific impediments

Site-specific impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan are discussed in the body of the Phase II ESA report.

| Table 6: Soil MECP Table 3 RPI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------|---|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Soil Analytical Test Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1987 Robertson Road, Ottawa, Ontario | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Units | MDL | Regulation | Sample | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | BH2-SS1 | BH6-SS6 | BH1-18-AU1 | BH3-18-AU1 | BH4-18-AU1 | BH5-18-AU1 | BH6-18-AU1 | BH8-18-AU1 | BH1-22-SS3 | BH2-22-SS3 | BH4-22-AU1 | BH5-22-AU1 | BH5-22-SS10 | |
| Sample Depth (m) | | | Reg 153/04 (2011) - Table 3 Residential, coarse | 0-0.60 | 3.1-3.7 | 0-0.18 | 0-0.52 | 0-0.52 | 0-0.52 | 0-0.52 | 0-0.52 | 0-0.52 | 1.5-2.1 | 1.5-2.1 | 0.15-0.30 | 0.15-0.30 | 0.15-0.30 |
| Sample Date (m/d/y) | | | | 08-Mar-12 | 08-Mar-12 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 22-Apr-22 | 22-Apr-22 | 22-Apr-22 | 22-Apr-22 | 22-Apr-22 |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ug/g dry | 1 | 7.5 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | 1.1 | N/A | |
| Arsenic | ug/g dry | 1 | 18 ug/g dry | N/A | 1 | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | 1.3 | N/A | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | 3.0 | 15.3 | N/A | |
| Barium | ug/g dry | 1 | 390 ug/g dry | N/A | 156 | 61.1 | N/A | 31.3 | 113 | N/A | 77.3 | N/A | N/A | 122 | 134 | N/A | |
| Beryllium | ug/g dry | 0.5 | 4 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Boron | ug/g dry | 5 | 120 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A | ND (5.0) | 6.9 | N/A | ND (5.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (5.0) | 5.7 | N/A | |
| Cadmium | ug/g dry | 0.5 | 1.2 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Chromium (IV) | ug/g dry | 0.2 | 8 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (0.2) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Chromium | ug/g dry | 5 | 160 ug/g dry | N/A | 32 | 12.8 | N/A | 8.6 | 16.4 | N/A | 15.9 | N/A | N/A | 32.1 | 43.2 | N/A | |
| Cobalt | ug/g dry | 1 | 22 ug/g dry | N/A | 9 | 4.5 | N/A | 3.4 | 6 | N/A | 5.4 | N/A | N/A | 8.7 | 9.0 | N/A | |
| Copper | ug/g dry | 5 | 140 ug/g dry | N/A | 18 | 9.9 | N/A | 8.6 | 13.7 | N/A | 12.8 | N/A | N/A | 25.2 | 37.6 | N/A | |
| Lead | ug/g dry | 1 | 120 ug/g dry | N/A | 10 | 14.9 | N/A | 3.4 | 15.7 | N/A | 11.4 | N/A | N/A | 6.2 | 98.3 | N/A | |
| Mercury | ug/g dry | 0.1 | 0.27 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (0.1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Molybdenum | ug/g dry | 1 | 6.9 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| Nickel | ug/g dry | 5 | 100 ug/g dry | N/A | 18 | 10.3 | N/A | 5.8 | 14.8 | N/A | 11.2 | N/A | N/A | 19.2 | 20.3 | N/A | |
| Selenium | ug/g dry | 1 | 2.4 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| Silver | ug/g dry | 0.3 | 20 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (0.3) | ND (0.3) | N/A | ND (0.3) | ND (0.3) | N/A | ND (0.3) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.3) | ND (0.3) | N/A | |
| Thallium | ug/g dry | 1 | 1 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| Uranium | ug/g dry | 1 | 23 ug/g dry | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| Vanadium | ug/g dry | 10 | 86 ug/g dry | N/A | 44 | 21.2 | N/A | 21.8 | 19.5 | N/A | 24.4 | N/A | N/A | 39.6 | 40.5 | N/A | |
| Zinc | ug/g dry | 20 | 340 ug/g dry | N/A | 56 | 43.7 | N/A | 21 | 73.7 | N/A | 40 | N/A | N/A | 49.8 | 437 | N/A | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.21 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 2 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Toluene | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 2.3 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| m/p-Xylene | ug/g dry | 0.05 | | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| o-Xylene | ug/g dry | 0.05 | | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Xylenes, total | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 3.1 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ug/g dry | 7 | 55 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | ND (7) | ND (7) | ND (7) | ND (7) | ND (7) | ND (7) | ND (7) | ND (7) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ug/g dry | 4 | 98 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | ND (4) | ND (4) | ND (4) | ND (4) | ND (4) | ND (4) | ND (4) | ND (4) | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ug/g dry | 8 | 300 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | 27 | ND (8) | ND (8) | 40 | ND (8) | 21 | 25 | 24 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ug/g dry | 6 | 2800 ug/g dry | N/A | N/A | 13 | ND (6) | ND (6) | 26 | ND (6) | 20 | 49 | 45 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 7.9 ug/g dry | 7.42 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Acenaphthylene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.15 ug/g dry | 1.3 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Anthracene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.67 ug/g dry | 16.9 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.5 ug/g dry | 11.2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.3 ug/g dry | 3.64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.78 ug/g dry | 7.72 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 6.6 ug/g dry | 0.77 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.78 ug/g dry | 4.43 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Chrysene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 7 ug/g dry | 10.5 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.1 ug/g dry | ND (0.02) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Fluoranthene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.69 ug/g dry | 44.3 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Fluorene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 62 ug/g dry | 7.93 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.38 ug/g dry | 0.81 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.99 ug/g dry | 1.26 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.99 ug/g dry | 1.28 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ug/g dry | 0.04 | 0.99 ug/g dry | 2.55 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.04) | ND (0.04) | ND (0.04) | |
| Naphthalene | ug/g dry | 0.01 | 0.6 ug/g dry | 0.35 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) | ND (0.01) | ND (0.01) | |
| Phenanthrene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 6.2 ug/g dry | 43.5 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| Pyrene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 78 ug/g dry | 33.6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Notes | |
| | Result exceeds applicable site condition standard |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| NA | Not Reported |
| ND | Not Detected |

| Table 8 :Groundwater MECP Table 3 RPI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Groundwater Analytical Test Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1987 Robertson Road, Ottawa, Ontario | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Units | MDL | Regulation | Sample | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | MW1-GW1 | MW2-GW1 | MW3-GW1 | MW5-GW1 | MW3-GW | MW5-GW1 (BH5) | MW6-GW1 (BH6) | MW1-GW1 | MW3-GW2 | BH5-GW2 | MW3-GW3 | BH12-GW1 | BH1-22-GW1 | BH2-22-GW1 | DUP1-GW1 |
| Sample Depth (m) | | | Reg 153/04 (2011) - Table 3 Residential, coarse | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4.14-7.14 | 3.27-6.27 | N/A | N/A | 4.14-7.14 | N/A | 1.5-2.1 | 1.88-4.57 | 3.60-5.11 | 0.15-0.30 |
| Sample Date (m/d/y) | | | | 15-Mar-12 | 15-Mar-12 | 15-Mar-12 | 15-Mar-12 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 26-Jul-18 | 21-Oct-19 | 21-Oct-19 | 21-Oct-19 | 26-Jul-18 | 22-Apr-22 | 03-May-22 | 03-May-22 | 03-May-22 |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ug/L | 5.0 | 130000 ug/L | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | 34.7 | ND (5.0) | N/A | |
| Benzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 44 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 85000 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Bromoform | ug/L | 0.5 | 380 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 5.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | 0.2 | 0.79 ug/L | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | N/A | |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 630 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Chloroform | ug/L | 0.5 | 2.4 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 82000 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ug/L | 1.0 | 4400 ug/L | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 4600 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 9600 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 8 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 320 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | 0.5 | 16 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ug/L | 0.5 | | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ug/L | 0.5 | | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ug/L | 0.5 | 5.2 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 2300 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ug/L | 0.2 | 0.25 ug/L | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | N/A | |
| Hexane | ug/L | 1.0 | 51 ug/L | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ug/L | 5.0 | 470000 ug/L | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ug/L | 5.0 | 140000 ug/L | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ug/L | 2.0 | 190 ug/L | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | N/A | |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | 5.0 | 610 ug/L | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A | |
| Styrene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1300 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 3.3 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 3.2 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Toluene | ug/L | 0.5 | 18000 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 640 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 4.7 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Trichloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | 1.0 | 2500 ug/L | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | |
| Vinyl Chloride | ug/L | 0.5 | 0.5 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| m/p-Xylene | ug/L | 0.5 | | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| o-Xylene | ug/L | 0.5 | | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Xylenes, total | ug/L | 0.5 | 4200 ug/L | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ug/L | 25 | 750 ug/L | N/A | N/A | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ug/L | 100 | 150 ug/L | N/A | N/A | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (0.02) | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ug/L | 100 | 500 ug/L | N/A | N/A | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (0.02) | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ug/L | 100 | 500 ug/L | N/A | N/A | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (0.02) | |

Notes

| | |
|-----|---|
| | Result exceeds applicable site condition standard |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| NA | Not Reported |
| ND | Not Detected |

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed Timm Road Extension & 295 Moodie Drive
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill


DATE April 22, 2022

FILE NO.

PE4378

HOLE NO.

BH 1-22



SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed Timm Road Extension & 295 Moodie Drive
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE April 22, 2022

FILE NO. **PE4378**

HOLE NO. **BH 2-22**

| SOIL DESCRIPTION | STRATA PLOT | SAMPLE | | | | DEPTH (m) | ELEV. (m) | Photo Ionization Detector | | | | Monitoring Well Construction |
|--|-------------|--------|--------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----|----|---------------------------------|
| | | TYPE | NUMBER | RECOVERY % | N VALUE or RQD | | | ● Volatile Organic Rdg. (ppm) | ○ Lower Explosive Limit % | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | |
| GROUND SURFACE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FILL: Crushed stone with sand | 0.23 | AU | 1 | | | 0 | 89.17 | | | | | |
| FILL: Brown silty sand | | SS | 2 | 42 | 4 | 1 | 88.17 | | | | | |
| | | SS | 3 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 87.17 | | | | | |
| | 2.34 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey sandstone | | RC | 1 | 100 | 93 | 3 | 86.17 | | | | | |
| - fair quality from 3.1 to 4.6m depth | | RC | 2 | 97 | 59 | 4 | 85.17 | | | | | |
| - vertical fracture from 3.2 to 3.4m depth | | RC | 3 | 100 | 90 | 5 | 84.17 | | | | | |
| End of Borehole | 5.11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (GWL @ 1.74m - May 3, 2022) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed Timm Road Extension & 295 Moodie Drive
Ottawa, Ontario**

| | |
|-------|----------|
| DATUM | Geodetic |
|-------|----------|

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE April 22, 2022

FILE NO.

PE4378

HOLE NO.

BH 3-22

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed Timm Road Extension & 295 Moodie Drive
Ottawa, Ontario**

| | |
|-------|----------|
| DATUM | Geodetic |
|-------|----------|

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill







DATE April 22, 2022

FILE NO.

PE4378

HOLE NO.

BH 4-22

| SOIL DESCRIPTION | STRATA PLOT | SAMPLE | | | | DEPTH (m) | ELEV. (m) | Photo Ionization Detector | | | | | Monitoring Well Construction |
|--|---|--------|--------|------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| | | TYPE | NUMBER | RECOVERY % | N VALUE or RQD | | | ● Volatile Organic Rdg. (ppm) | | | | | |
| ○ Lower Explosive Limit % | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 40 | | | | | 60 | 80 | | | | | | |
| GROUND SURFACE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FILL: Crushed stone with sand and organics, trace clay 0.69 |  | AU | 1 | | | 0 | 87.61 |  | | | | | |
| Loose, brown SANDY SILT 2.13 |  | SS | 2 | 58 | 9 | 1 | 86.61 |  | | | | | |
| |  | SS | 3 | 100 | 9 | 2 | 85.61 |  | | | | | |
| End of Borehole | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <div>100200300400500</div> <div>RKI Eagle Rdg. (ppm)</div> <div>▲ Full Gas Resp. △ Methane Elim.</div> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed Timm Road Extension & 295 Moodie Drive
Ottawa, Ontario**

| | |
|-------|----------|
| DATUM | Geodetic |
|-------|----------|

FILE NO. **PE4378**

REMARKS

HOLE NO. **BH 5-22**

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE April 22, 2022

[illegible]

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Desiccated | - | having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc. |
| Fissured | - | having cracks, and hence a blocky structure. |
| Varved | - | composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay. |
| Stratified | - | composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay. |
| Well-Graded | - | Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution). |
| Uniformly-Graded | - | Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution). |

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

| Compactness Condition | 'N' Value | Relative Density % |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Very Loose | <4 | <15 |
| Loose | 4-10 | 15-35 |
| Compact | 10-30 | 35-65 |
| Dense | 30-50 | 65-85 |
| Very Dense | >50 | >85 |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

| Consistency | Undrained Shear Strength (kPa) | 'N' Value |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Very Soft | <12 | <2 |
| Soft | 12-25 | 2-4 |
| Firm | 25-50 | 4-8 |
| Stiff | 50-100 | 8-15 |
| Very Stiff | 100-200 | 15-30 |
| Hard | >200 | >30 |

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their “sensitivity”. The sensitivity, S_t , is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Low Sensitivity: | $S_t < 2$ |
| Medium Sensitivity: | $2 < S_t < 4$ |
| Sensitive: | $4 < S_t < 8$ |
| Extra Sensitive: | $8 < S_t < 16$ |
| Quick Clay: | $S_t > 16$ |

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called “mechanical breaks”) are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

| RQD % | ROCK QUALITY |
|--------|--|
| 90-100 | Excellent, intact, very sound |
| 75-90 | Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound |
| 50-75 | Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured |
| 25-50 | Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured |
| 0-25 | Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured |

SAMPLE TYPES

| | | |
|----|---|---|
| SS | - | Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT)) |
| TW | - | Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler |
| G | - | "Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials |
| AU | - | Auger sample or bulk sample |
| WS | - | Wash sample |
| RC | - | Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits. |

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| WC% | - | Natural water content or water content of sample, % |
| LL | - | Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid) |
| PL | - | Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically) |
| PI | - | Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL) |
| Dxx | - | Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size |
| D10 | - | Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size) |
| D60 | - | Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer |
| Cc | - | Concavity coefficient = $(D_{30})^2 / (D_{10} \times D_{60})$ |
| Cu | - | Uniformity coefficient = D_{60} / D_{10} |

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have: $1 < Cc < 3$ and $Cu > 4$

Well-graded sands have: $1 < Cc < 3$ and $Cu > 6$

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay
(more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST


| | | |
|------------|---|--|
| p'_o | - | Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth |
| p'_c | - | Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample |
| Ccr | - | Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'_c) |
| Cc | - | Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'_c) |
| OC Ratio | | Overconsolidation ratio = p'_c / p'_o |
| Void Ratio | | Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids |
| Wo | - | Initial water content (at start of consolidation test) |

PERMEABILITY TEST

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| k | - | Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test. |
|---|---|--|

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)


STRATA PLOT




Topsoil




Asphalt




Fill




Peat




Sand




Silty Sand




Silt




Sandy Silt




Clay




Silty Clay




Clayey Silty Sand



Glacial Till



Shale



Bedrock

MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION



PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION



Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South

Nepean, ON K2E 7J5

Attn: Eric Leveque

Phone: (613) 226-7381

Fax: (613) 226-6344

Client PO: 12046

Project: PE2552

Custody: 92088

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

Order #: 1210234

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID

1210234-01

1210234-02

Client ID

BH2-SS1

BH6-SS6

Approved By:

Mark Foto, M.Sc. For Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Chromium, hexavalent | MOE E3056 - Extraction, colourimetric | 13-Mar-12 | 13-Mar-12 |
| Mercury | EPA 7471A - CVAA, digestion | 13-Mar-12 | 13-Mar-12 |
| Metals | EPA 6020 - Digestion - ICP-MS | 13-Mar-12 | 13-Mar-12 |
| PAHs by GC-MS, standard scan | EPA 8270 - GC-MS, extraction | 12-Mar-12 | 13-Mar-12 |
| Solids, % | Gravimetric, calculation | 12-Mar-12 | 12-Mar-12 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------|------------|---|---|
| | Client ID: | BH2-SS1 | BH6-SS6 | - | - |
| | Sample Date: | 08-Mar-12 | 08-Mar-12 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 1210234-01 | 1210234-02 | - | - |
| | MDL/Units | Soil | Soil | - | - |

Physical Characteristics

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|------|------|---|---|
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 84.6 | 76.8 | - | - |
|----------|--------------|------|------|---|---|

Metals

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|---|------|---|---|
| Antimony | 1 ug/g dry | - | <1 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 1 ug/g dry | - | 1 | - | - |
| Barium | 1 ug/g dry | - | 156 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.5 ug/g dry | - | <0.5 | - | - |
| Boron | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | <5.0 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ug/g dry | - | <0.5 | - | - |
| Chromium | 5 ug/g dry | - | 32 | - | - |
| Chromium (VI) | 0.2 ug/g dry | - | <0.2 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 1 ug/g dry | - | 9 | - | - |
| Copper | 5 ug/g dry | - | 18 | - | - |
| Lead | 1 ug/g dry | - | 10 | - | - |
| Mercury | 0.1 ug/g dry | - | <0.1 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 1 ug/g dry | - | <1 | - | - |
| Nickel | 5 ug/g dry | - | 18 | - | - |
| Selenium | 1 ug/g dry | - | <1 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.3 ug/g dry | - | <0.3 | - | - |
| Thallium | 1 ug/g dry | - | <1 | - | - |
| Uranium | 1 ug/g dry | - | <1 | - | - |
| Vanadium | 10 ug/g dry | - | 44 | - | - |
| Zinc | 20 ug/g dry | - | 56 | - | - |

Semi-Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------|---|---|---|
| Acenaphthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 7.42 | - | - | - |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 1.30 | - | - | - |
| Anthracene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 16.9 | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 11.2 | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 3.64 | - | - | - |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 7.72 | - | - | - |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 0.77 | - | - | - |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 4.43 | - | - | - |
| Biphenyl | 0.02 ug/g dry | 0.54 | - | - | - |
| Chrysene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 10.5 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

| | Client ID: | BH2-SS1 | BH6-SS6 | - | - |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 08-Mar-12 | 08-Mar-12 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 1210234-01 | 1210234-02 | - | - |
| | MDL/Units | Soil | Soil | - | - |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g dry | <0.40 [1] | - | - | - |
| Fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 44.3 | - | - | - |
| Fluorene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 7.93 | - | - | - |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 0.81 | - | - | - |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 1.26 | - | - | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 1.28 | - | - | - |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.04 ug/g dry | 2.55 | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene | 0.01 ug/g dry | 0.35 | - | - | - |
| Phenanthrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 43.5 | - | - | - |
| Pyrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | 33.6 | - | - | - |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | 78.2% | - | - | - |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | 74.4% | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Chromium (VI) | ND | 0.2 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 1 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 10 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 20 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Biphenyl | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ND | 0.04 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.01 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 0.944 | | ug/g | | 70.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 0.846 | | ug/g | | 63.5 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 1.0 | 1 | ug/g dry | 1.2 | | | 16.7 | 30 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 1 | ug/g dry | 3.7 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Barium | 226 | 1 | ug/g dry | 195 | | | 14.6 | 30 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Boron | 12.6 | 5.0 | ug/g dry | 15.3 | | | 19.3 | 30 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g dry | 3.06 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Chromium (VI) | ND | 0.2 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 35 | |
| Chromium | 20.8 | 5 | ug/g dry | 19.5 | | | 6.2 | 30 | |
| Cobalt | 3.7 | 1 | ug/g dry | 3.3 | | | 10.4 | 30 | |
| Copper | 448 | 5 | ug/g dry | 440 | | | 1.8 | 30 | |
| Lead | 270 | 1 | ug/g dry | 239 | | | 12.2 | 30 | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.1 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 35 | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1 | ug/g dry | 1.7 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Nickel | 15.8 | 5 | ug/g dry | 17.6 | | | 10.8 | 30 | |
| Selenium | ND | 1 | ug/g dry | 1.1 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g dry | 0.35 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Thallium | ND | 1 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Uranium | ND | 1 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Vanadium | 10.4 | 10 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Zinc | 615 | 20 | ug/g dry | 602 | | | 2.2 | 30 | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | | | | | | | |
| % Solids | 83.3 | 0.1 | % by Wt. | 83.5 | | | 0.2 | 25 | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.021 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 40 | |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.022 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 40 | |
| Anthracene | 0.075 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.034 | | | 76.2 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.628 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.367 | | | 52.6 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.793 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.457 | | | 53.8 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 1.78 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 1.01 | | | 55.5 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.548 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.348 | | | 44.7 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.784 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.419 | | | 60.7 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Biphenyl | ND | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 40 | |
| Chrysene | 1.05 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.620 | | | 51.7 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.102 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 40 | |
| Fluoranthene | 1.77 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.962 | | | 59.0 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Fluorene | 0.023 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 40 | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.458 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.284 | | | 46.8 | 40 | QR-04 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 40 | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 40 | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.01 | ug/g dry | 0.021 | | | 0.0 | 40 | |
| Phenanthrene | 0.406 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.236 | | | 53.3 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Pyrene | 1.66 | 0.02 | ug/g dry | 0.875 | | | 62.1 | 40 | QR-04 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 1.69 | | ug/g dry | ND | 57.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 1.46 | | ug/g dry | ND | 49.5 | 50-140 | | | ORG05 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 40.0 | | ug/L | 0.5 | 79.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Arsenic | 38.8 | | ug/L | 1.5 | 74.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Barium | 109 | | ug/L | 78.0 | 61.7 | 70-130 | | | QS-02 |
| Beryllium | 46.2 | | ug/L | 0.08 | 92.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Boron | 53.5 | | ug/L | 6.1 | 94.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cadmium | 38.5 | | ug/L | 1.23 | 74.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Chromium (VI) | 1.0 | 0.2 | ug/g | ND | 18.5 | 89-123 | | | QM-05 |
| Chromium | 59.8 | | ug/L | 7.8 | 104 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cobalt | 48.9 | | ug/L | 1.3 | 95.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Copper | 46.4 | | ug/L | ND | 92.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Lead | 45.7 | | ug/L | ND | 91.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Mercury | 1.31 | 0.1 | ug/g | ND | 87.4 | 72-128 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 38.3 | | ug/L | 0.7 | 75.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nickel | 52.7 | | ug/L | 7.0 | 91.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Selenium | 39.1 | | ug/L | 0.4 | 77.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Silver | 34.7 | | ug/L | 0.14 | 69.2 | 70-130 | | | QS-02 |
| Thallium | 51.8 | | ug/L | 0.05 | 103 | 70-130 | | | |
| Uranium | 50.8 | | ug/L | 0.1 | 101 | 70-130 | | | |
| Vanadium | 61.4 | | ug/L | 3.8 | 115 | 70-130 | | | |
| Zinc | 43.4 | | ug/L | ND | 86.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.283 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 54.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.268 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 51.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Anthracene | 0.291 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 55.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.604 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.201 | 77.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.631 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.251 | 72.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 1.05 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.489 | 106 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.496 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.186 | 59.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.682 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.325 | 68.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Biphenyl | 0.285 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 54.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chrysene | 0.825 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.366 | 87.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.290 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 55.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluoranthene | 1.22 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.630 | 113 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluorene | 0.285 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 54.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.440 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.146 | 56.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.271 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 51.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.288 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 55.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 0.320 | 0.01 | ug/g | ND | 61.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Phenanthrene | 0.540 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.211 | 62.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Pyrene | 1.14 | 0.02 | ug/g | 0.597 | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 2.23 | | ug/g | | 53.3 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of AnalysisClient: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12046

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 14-Mar-2012

Order Date: 9-Mar-2012

Sample and QC Qualifiers Notes

- 1- GEN07 : Elevated detection limit because of dilution required due to high target analyte concentration.
- 2- ORG05 : PAH surrogate recovery lower than normal - possible matrix interference - surrogate recoveries for in-run QC and other samples were acceptable.
- 3- QM-05 : The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the matrix spike due to matrix interference.
- 4- QR-04 : Duplicate results exceeds RPD limits due to non-homogeneous matrix.
- 5- QS-02 : Spike level outside of control limits. Analysis batch accepted based on other QC included in the batch.

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions/Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis when the units are denoted with 'dry'.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

OTTAWA • KINGSTON • NIAGARA • MISSISSAUGA • SARNIA

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Client Name: PATERSON GROUP | Project Reference: PE 2552 | TAT: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular 13 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Day 11 Day Date Required: _____ |
| Contact Name: ERIC LEVEQUE | Quote # | |
| Address: 154 COLONNADE ROAD SOUTH | PO # 12046 | |
| Telephone: 226-7381 | Email Address: eleveque@patersongroup.ca | |

Criteria: ☐ O. Reg. 153/04 Table ☒ O. Reg. 153/11 (Current) Table **3** | ☐ RSC Filing | ☐ O. Reg. 558/00 | ☐ PWQO | ☐ CCME | ☐ SUB (Storm) | ☐ SUB (Sanitary) Municipality: _____ | ☐ Other: _____

Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)

Required Analyses

| Parcel Order Number: | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | Sample Taken | | PHCs F1-F4+BTEX | VOCs | PAHs | Metals by ICP/MS | Hg | CrVI | B (HWS) | Metals (EPA) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----------------|------|------|------------------|----|------|---------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID/Location Name | | | | | Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | BH2-SSI | S | | 1 | Mar 8/12 | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | BH6-SSG | S | | 1 | " " | | | | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments: **Subsurface samples per Eric - Myc** Method of Delivery: **Swift**

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Relinquished By (Print & Sign): Man 9/12 Eric Leveque | Received by Driver/Depot: BIG | Received at Lab: Myc | Verified By: Myc |
| Date/Time: _____ | Date/Time: Mar 9/12 1:10 | Date/Time: Mar 9/12 2:14 | Date/Time: Mar 9/12 2:14 |
| Temperature: _____ °C | Temperature: 13.7°C | pH Verified By: N/A | |

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Nepean, ON K2E 7J5
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 23572
Project: PE4378
Custody: 118689

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018
Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Order #: 1829080

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|------------|
| 1829080-01 | BH1-18-AU1 |
| 1829080-02 | BH3-18-AU1 |
| 1829080-03 | BH4-18-AU1 |
| 1829080-04 | BH5-18-AU1 |
| 1829080-05 | BH6-18-AU1 |
| 1829080-06 | BH8-18-AU1 |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.
Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 23572

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Project Description: PE4378

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS | 19-Jul-18 | 20-Jul-18 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 19-Jul-18 | 20-Jul-18 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 17-Jul-18 | 19-Jul-18 |
| REG 153: Metals by ICP/MS, soil | EPA 6020 - Digestion - ICP-MS | 18-Jul-18 | 19-Jul-18 |
| Solids, % | Gravimetric, calculation | 20-Jul-18 | 20-Jul-18 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Client PO: 23572

Project Description: PE4378

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Client ID: | BH1-18-AU1 | BH3-18-AU1 | BH4-18-AU1 | BH5-18-AU1 |
| Sample Date: | 07/12/2018 09:00 | 07/12/2018 09:00 | 07/12/2018 09:00 | 07/12/2018 09:00 |
| Sample ID: | 1829080-01 | 1829080-02 | 1829080-03 | 1829080-04 |
| MDL/Units | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil |

Physical Characteristics

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 99.0 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
|----------|--------------|------|------|------|------|

Metals

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|------|---|------|------|
| Antimony | 1.0 ug/g dry | <1.0 | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Arsenic | 1.0 ug/g dry | <1.0 | - | <1.0 | 1.3 |
| Barium | 1.0 ug/g dry | 61.1 | - | 31.3 | 113 |
| Beryllium | 0.5 ug/g dry | <0.5 | - | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Boron | 5.0 ug/g dry | <5.0 | - | <5.0 | 6.9 |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ug/g dry | <0.5 | - | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Chromium | 5.0 ug/g dry | 12.8 | - | 8.6 | 16.4 |
| Cobalt | 1.0 ug/g dry | 4.5 | - | 3.4 | 6.0 |
| Copper | 5.0 ug/g dry | 9.9 | - | 8.6 | 13.7 |
| Lead | 1.0 ug/g dry | 14.9 | - | 3.4 | 15.7 |
| Molybdenum | 1.0 ug/g dry | <1.0 | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Nickel | 5.0 ug/g dry | 10.3 | - | 5.8 | 14.8 |
| Selenium | 1.0 ug/g dry | <1.0 | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Silver | 0.3 ug/g dry | <0.3 | - | <0.3 | <0.3 |
| Thallium | 1.0 ug/g dry | <1.0 | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Uranium | 1.0 ug/g dry | <1.0 | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Vanadium | 10.0 ug/g dry | 21.2 | - | 21.8 | 19.5 |
| Zinc | 20.0 ug/g dry | 43.7 | - | 21.0 | 73.7 |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g dry | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 84.3% | 93.5% | 88.1% | 86.7% |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|----|----|----|----|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g dry | <7 | <7 | <7 | <7 |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g dry | <4 | <4 | <4 | <4 |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g dry | 27 | <8 | <8 | 40 |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g dry | 13 | <6 | <6 | 26 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Client PO: 23572

Project Description: PE4378

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | BH6-18-AU1 | BH8-18-AU1 | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 07/12/2018 09:00 | 07/12/2018 09:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 1829080-05 | 1829080-06 | - | - |
| MDL/Units | Soil | Soil | - | - |

Physical Characteristics

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|------|------|---|---|
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 97.0 | 99.2 | - | - |
|----------|--------------|------|------|---|---|

Metals

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|---|------|---|---|
| Antimony | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | <1.0 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | <1.0 | - | - |
| Barium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | 77.3 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.5 ug/g dry | - | <0.5 | - | - |
| Boron | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | <5.0 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ug/g dry | - | <0.5 | - | - |
| Chromium | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | 15.9 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | 5.4 | - | - |
| Copper | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | 12.8 | - | - |
| Lead | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | 11.4 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | <1.0 | - | - |
| Nickel | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | 11.2 | - | - |
| Selenium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | <1.0 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.3 ug/g dry | - | <0.3 | - | - |
| Thallium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | <1.0 | - | - |
| Uranium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | <1.0 | - | - |
| Vanadium | 10.0 ug/g dry | - | 24.4 | - | - |
| Zinc | 20.0 ug/g dry | - | 40.0 | - | - |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g dry | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 84.4% | 86.6% | - | - |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|----|----|---|---|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g dry | <7 | <7 | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g dry | <4 | <4 | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g dry | <8 | 21 | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g dry | <6 | 20 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Client PO: 23572

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 10.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 20.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 2.80 | | ug/g | | 87.5 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Client PO: 23572

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 40 | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 30 | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 30 | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 1.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Barium | 58.0 | 1.0 | ug/g dry | 61.1 | | | 5.3 | 30 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Boron | ND | 5.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Chromium | 11.7 | 5.0 | ug/g dry | 12.8 | | | 9.0 | 30 | |
| Cobalt | 4.2 | 1.0 | ug/g dry | 4.5 | | | 7.4 | 30 | |
| Copper | 10.3 | 5.0 | ug/g dry | 9.9 | | | 4.6 | 30 | |
| Lead | 14.1 | 1.0 | ug/g dry | 14.9 | | | 5.6 | 30 | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Nickel | 9.2 | 5.0 | ug/g dry | 10.3 | | | 11.1 | 30 | |
| Selenium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Uranium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g dry | ND | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Vanadium | 20.0 | 10.0 | ug/g dry | 21.2 | | | 6.1 | 30 | |
| Zinc | 42.0 | 20.0 | ug/g dry | 43.7 | | | 4.0 | 30 | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | | | | | | | |
| % Solids | 95.1 | 0.1 | % by Wt. | 95.1 | | | 0.0 | 25 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 50 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 50 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 50 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 50 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g dry | ND | | | | 50 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 3.83 | | ug/g dry | | 99.4 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Client PO: 23572

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 211 | 7 | ug/g | | 106 | 80-120 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 84 | 4 | ug/g | ND | 87.0 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 197 | 8 | ug/g | ND | 83.5 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 112 | 6 | ug/g | ND | 74.7 | 60-140 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 35.8 | | ug/L | ND | 71.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Arsenic | 37.4 | | ug/L | ND | 74.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Barium | 67.1 | | ug/L | 24.4 | 85.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Beryllium | 39.8 | | ug/L | ND | 79.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Boron | 46.0 | | ug/L | ND | 91.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cadmium | 36.2 | | ug/L | ND | 72.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Chromium | 45.1 | | ug/L | 5.1 | 80.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cobalt | 42.6 | | ug/L | 1.8 | 81.6 | 70-130 | | | |
| Copper | 43.2 | | ug/L | ND | 78.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Lead | 48.4 | | ug/L | 6.0 | 84.9 | 70-130 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 36.6 | | ug/L | ND | 72.9 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nickel | 43.7 | | ug/L | ND | 79.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Selenium | 40.6 | | ug/L | ND | 81.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Silver | 36.2 | | ug/L | ND | 72.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Thallium | 43.9 | | ug/L | ND | 87.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Uranium | 45.8 | | ug/L | ND | 91.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Vanadium | 49.4 | | ug/L | ND | 81.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Zinc | 55.0 | | ug/L | ND | 75.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 2.67 | 0.02 | ug/g | | 66.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 3.95 | 0.05 | ug/g | | 98.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 3.72 | 0.05 | ug/g | | 92.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 7.28 | 0.05 | ug/g | | 91.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 3.78 | 0.05 | ug/g | | 94.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 2.89 | | ug/g | | 90.4 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 23572

Report Date: 20-Jul-2018

Order Date: 16-Jul-2018

Project Description: PE4378

Qualifier Notes:

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis when the units are denoted with 'dry'.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.

LABORATORIES LTD.

Paracel ID: 1829080

TRUSTED .
RESPONSIVE .
RELIABLE .

80

Chain of Custody

(Lab Use Only)

№ 118689

Page 1 of 1

Turnaround Time:

☐ 1 Day ☐ 3 Day
☐ 2 Day ☒ Regular

Date Required:

| | | | |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|
| Client Name: | Paterson Group | Project Reference: | PE4378 |
| Contact Name: | Mark D'Arcy | Quote # | |
| Address: | | PO # | 23572 |
| Telephone: | 226-7381 | Email Address: | |

Criteria: ☒ O. Reg. 153/04 (As Amended) Table ☐ RSC Filing ☐ O. Reg. 558/00 ☐ PWQO ☐ CCME ☐ SUB (Storm) ☐ SUB (Sanitary) Municipality: ☐ Other:

Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)

Required Analyses

Paracel Order Number:

1829080

Sample Taken

Sample ID/Location Name

[illegible]

Comments:

Method of Delivery:

Relinquished By (Sign) _____

Received by Driver Depot:

Received at Lab

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Verified By: | |
|--------------|--|

Relinquished By (Print)

Date/Time:

Date/Time: 20

Date/Time:

Date/Time:

Temperature

Temperature: 1

pH Verified

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Nepean, ON K2E 7J5
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 31834
Project: PE4378
Custody: 137029

Report Date: 5-May-2022
Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Order #: 2218586

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|------------|
| 2218586-01 | BH1-22-SS3 |
| 2218586-02 | BH2-22-SS3 |
| 2218586-03 | BH4-22-AU1 |
| 2218586-04 | BH5-22-AU1 |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 31834

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Project Description: PE4378

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS | 3-May-22 | 3-May-22 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 3-May-22 | 3-May-22 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 2-May-22 | 4-May-22 |
| REG 153: Metals by ICP/MS, soil | EPA 6020 - Digestion - ICP-MS | 3-May-22 | 3-May-22 |
| REG 153: PAHs by GC-MS | EPA 8270 - GC-MS, extraction | 2-May-22 | 4-May-22 |
| Solids, % | Gravimetric, calculation | 3-May-22 | 3-May-22 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Client PO: 31834

Project Description: PE4378

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Client ID: | BH1-22-SS3 | BH2-22-SS3 | BH4-22-AU1 | BH5-22-AU1 |
| Sample Date: | 22-Apr-22 09:00 | 22-Apr-22 09:00 | 22-Apr-22 09:00 | 22-Apr-22 09:00 |
| Sample ID: | 2218586-01 | 2218586-02 | 2218586-03 | 2218586-04 |
| MDL/Units | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil |

Physical Characteristics

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 82.6 | 85.6 | 88.1 | 80.7 |
|----------|--------------|------|------|------|------|

Metals

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|---|---|------|------|
| Antimony | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | <1.0 | 1.1 |
| Arsenic | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 3.0 | 15.3 |
| Barium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 122 | 134 |
| Beryllium | 0.5 ug/g dry | - | - | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Boron | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | - | <5.0 | 5.7 |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ug/g dry | - | - | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Chromium | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 32.1 | 43.2 |
| Cobalt | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 8.7 | 9.0 |
| Copper | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 25.2 | 37.6 |
| Lead | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 6.2 | 98.3 |
| Molybdenum | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Nickel | 5.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 19.2 | 20.3 |
| Selenium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Silver | 0.3 ug/g dry | - | - | <0.3 | <0.3 |
| Thallium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Uranium | 1.0 ug/g dry | - | - | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Vanadium | 10.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 39.6 | 40.5 |
| Zinc | 20.0 ug/g dry | - | - | 49.8 | 437 |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g dry | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g dry | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 121% | 117% | - | - |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|----|----|---|---|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g dry | <7 | <7 | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g dry | <4 | <4 | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g dry | 25 | 24 | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g dry | 49 | 45 | - | - |

Semi-Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------|-------|
| Acenaphthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------|-------|

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Client PO: 31834

Project Description: PE4378

| | MDL/Units | Client ID: Sample Date: Sample ID: | BH1-22-SS3 22-Apr-22 09:00 2218586-01 Soil | BH2-22-SS3 22-Apr-22 09:00 2218586-02 Soil | BH4-22-AU1 22-Apr-22 09:00 2218586-03 Soil | BH5-22-AU1 22-Apr-22 09:00 2218586-04 Soil |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Anthracene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Chrysene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Fluorene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.04 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.04 | <0.04 |
| Naphthalene | 0.01 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Phenanthrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Pyrene | 0.02 ug/g dry | | - | - | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | | - | - | 109% | 124% |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | | - | - | 127% | 131% |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Client PO: 31834

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 10.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 20.0 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ND | 0.04 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.01 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 1.54 | | ug/g | | 116 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 1.78 | | ug/g | | 134 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.39 | | ug/g | | 105 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Client PO: 31834

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 1.6 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Arsenic | 3.0 | 1.0 | ug/g | 2.9 | | | 4.8 | 30 | |
| Barium | 316 | 1.0 | ug/g | 309 | | | 2.2 | 30 | |
| Beryllium | 0.7 | 0.5 | ug/g | 0.7 | | | 2.0 | 30 | |
| Boron | 5.8 | 5.0 | ug/g | 5.3 | | | 8.2 | 30 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chromium | 104 | 5.0 | ug/g | 98.6 | | | 5.4 | 30 | |
| Cobalt | 18.7 | 1.0 | ug/g | 17.8 | | | 5.0 | 30 | |
| Copper | 46.2 | 5.0 | ug/g | 44.3 | | | 4.3 | 30 | |
| Lead | 10.4 | 1.0 | ug/g | 10.1 | | | 2.7 | 30 | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Nickel | 57.0 | 5.0 | ug/g | 55.1 | | | 3.4 | 30 | |
| Selenium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Uranium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Vanadium | 85.5 | 10.0 | ug/g | 81.8 | | | 4.5 | 30 | |
| Zinc | 107 | 20.0 | ug/g | 104 | | | 2.6 | 30 | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | | | | | | | |
| % Solids | 85.0 | 0.1 | % by Wt. | 85.3 | | | 0.4 | 25 | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.01 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 1.58 | | ug/g | | 105 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 1.80 | | ug/g | | 119 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 10.8 | | ug/g | | 115 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Client PO: 31834

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 204 | 7 | ug/g | ND | 102 | 80-120 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 108 | 4 | ug/g | ND | 99.5 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 273 | 8 | ug/g | ND | 103 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 203 | 6 | ug/g | ND | 120 | 60-140 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 35.2 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 70.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Arsenic | 49.6 | 1.0 | ug/g | 1.2 | 97.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Barium | 188 | 1.0 | ug/g | 124 | 128 | 70-130 | | | |
| Beryllium | 48.3 | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | 96.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Boron | 46.5 | 5.0 | ug/g | ND | 88.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cadmium | 44.0 | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | 87.9 | 70-130 | | | |
| Chromium | 95.3 | 5.0 | ug/g | 39.4 | 112 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cobalt | 56.5 | 1.0 | ug/g | 7.1 | 98.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Copper | 65.6 | 5.0 | ug/g | 17.7 | 95.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Lead | 47.4 | 1.0 | ug/g | 4.0 | 86.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 47.6 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 94.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nickel | 71.7 | 5.0 | ug/g | 22.0 | 99.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Selenium | 45.9 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 91.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Silver | 37.5 | 0.3 | ug/g | ND | 75.0 | 70-130 | | | |
| Thallium | 46.4 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 92.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Uranium | 48.0 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 95.6 | 70-130 | | | |
| Vanadium | 88.9 | 10.0 | ug/g | 32.7 | 112 | 70-130 | | | |
| Zinc | 90.1 | 20.0 | ug/g | 41.7 | 96.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.247 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 131 | 50-140 | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.212 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 112 | 50-140 | | | |
| Anthracene | 0.192 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 101 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.200 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 105 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.211 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 111 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.244 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 129 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.218 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 115 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.259 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 137 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chrysene | 0.228 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 120 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.242 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 128 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluoranthene | 0.211 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 112 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluorene | 0.213 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 113 | 50-140 | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.235 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 124 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.246 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 130 | 50-140 | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.265 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 140 | 50-140 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 0.251 | 0.01 | ug/g | ND | 133 | 50-140 | | | |
| Phenanthrene | 0.208 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Pyrene | 0.206 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 109 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 2.03 | | ug/g | | 134 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 2.08 | | ug/g | | 137 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 3.18 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 79.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.05 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 4.27 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 107 | 60-130 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 31834

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| m,p-Xylenes | 7.93 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 99.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 3.94 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 98.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.23 | | ug/g | | 103 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 31834

Report Date: 05-May-2022

Order Date: 28-Apr-2022

Project Description: PE4378

Qualifier Notes:

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis when the units are denoted with 'dry'.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.



Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South

Nepean, ON K2E 7J5

Attn: Eric Leveque

Phone: (613) 226-7381

Fax: (613) 226-6344

Client PO: 12049

Project: PE2552

Custody: 1686

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Order #: 1211246

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|-------------------|------------------|
| 1211246-01 | MW1-GW1 |
| 1211246-02 | MW2-GW1 |
| 1211246-03 | MW3-GW1 |
| 1211246-04 | MW5-GW1 |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc. For Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of AnalysisClient: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| CCME PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 16-Mar-12 | 19-Mar-12 |
| CCME PHC F2 - F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 19-Mar-12 | 20-Mar-12 |
| VOCs | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 16-Mar-12 | 19-Mar-12 |

P: 1-800-749-1947
E: PARACEL@PARACELLABS.COM

WWW.PARACELLABS.COM

OTTAWA
300-2319 St. Laurent Blvd.
Ottawa, ON K1G 4J8

MISSISSAUGA
6645 Kitimat Rd. Unit #27
Mississauga, ON L5N 6J3

NIAGARA FALLS
5415 Morning Glory Crt.
Niagara Falls, ON L2J 0A3

SARNIA
123 Christina St. N.
Sarnia, ON N7T 5T7

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Project Description: PE2552

| Client ID: | MW1-GW1 | MW2-GW1 | MW3-GW1 | MW5-GW1 |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Sample Date: | 15-Mar-12 | 15-Mar-12 | 15-Mar-12 | 15-Mar-12 |
| Sample ID: | 1211246-01 | 1211246-02 | 1211246-03 | 1211246-04 |
| MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | Water |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Chloroethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Chloromethane | 3.0 ug/L | <3.0 | <3.0 | <3.0 | <3.0 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethylene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone) | 10.0 ug/L | <10.0 | <10.0 | <10.0 | <10.0 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |

Certificate of Analysis

 Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Project Description: PE2552

| | Client ID: Sample Date: Sample ID: | MW1-GW1 15-Mar-12 1211246-01 Water | MW2-GW1 15-Mar-12 1211246-02 Water | MW3-GW1 15-Mar-12 1211246-03 Water | MW5-GW1 15-Mar-12 1211246-04 Water |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| | MDL/Units | | | | |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | 100% | 98.7% | 100% | 98.8% |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | 110% | 105% | 104% | 105% |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 106% | 106% | 106% | 104% |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|---|---|------|------|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | - | - | <25 | <25 |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | - | - | <100 | <100 |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | - | - | <100 | <100 |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | - | - | <100 | <100 |
| F1 + F2 PHCs | 125 ug/L | - | - | <125 | <125 |
| F3 + F4 PHCs | 200 ug/L | - | - | <200 | <200 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloromethane | ND | 3.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethylene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone) | ND | 10.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 31.4 | | ug/L | | 98.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 29.9 | | ug/L | | 93.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 36.0 | | ug/L | | 112 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chloromethane | ND | 3.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone) | ND | 10.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 31.8 | | ug/L | ND | 99.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 30.0 | | ug/L | ND | 93.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 34.9 | | ug/L | ND | 109 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 1710 | 25 | ug/L | ND | 85.7 | 68-117 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1350 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 84.3 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 3420 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 85.5 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 2310 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 96.2 | 60-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 72.6 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 72.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 38.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 38.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Bromoform | 31.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 79.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 49.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 123 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 30.6 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 76.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 39.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chloroethane | 34.4 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 86.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chloroform | 41.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chloromethane | 43.9 | 3.0 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 33.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 83.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 52.5 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 131 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 39.7 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 99.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 37.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 94.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 38.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 94.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 37.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 94.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 29.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 40.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 100 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 42.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 106 | 50-140 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 40.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 100 | 50-140 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 44.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 35.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 89.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 39.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 98.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 35.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 89.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 38.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Hexane | 35.5 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 88.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 73.2 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 73.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone) | 77.8 | 10.0 | ug/L | ND | 77.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 78.8 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 78.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 112 | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | 112 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 36.6 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 91.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Styrene | 37.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 93.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 38.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 95.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 36.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 90.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 42.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 107 | 50-140 | | | |
| Toluene | 38.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 36.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 91.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 38.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 38.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 40.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 43.4 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 109 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 41.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 46.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 117 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 76.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.1 | 50-140 | | | |

P: 1-800-749-1947
E: PARACEL@PARACELLABS.COM

WWW.PARACELLABS.COM

OTTAWA
300-2319 St. Laurent Blvd.
Ottawa, ON K1G 4J8

MISSISSAUGA
6645 Kitimat Rd. Unit #27
Mississauga, ON L5N 6J3

NIAGARA FALLS
5415 Morning Glory Cr.
Niagara Falls, ON L2J 0A3

SARNIA
123 Christina St. N.
Sarnia, ON N7T 5T7

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Client PO: 12049

Project Description: PE2552

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| o-Xylene | 39.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 97.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 31.0 | | ug/L | | 97.0 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of AnalysisClient: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: 12049

Project Description: PE2552

Report Date: 20-Mar-2012

Order Date: 16-Mar-2012

Sample and QC Qualifiers Notes

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions/Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.

P: 1-800-749-1947
E: PARACEL@PARACELLABS.COM

WWW.PARACELLABS.COM

OTTAWA
300-2319 St. Laurent Blvd.
Ottawa, ON K1G 4J8

MISSISSAUGA
6645 Kitimat Rd. Unit #27
Mississauga, ON L5N 6J3

NIAGARA FALLS
5415 Morning Glory Crt.
Niagara Falls, ON L2J 0A3

SARNIA
123 Christina St. N.
Sarnia, ON N7T 5T7

OTTAWA • KINGSTON • NIAGARA • MISSISSAUGA • SARNIA

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Client Name: PATERSON GROUP | Project Reference: PE 2552 | TAT: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Day Date Required: _____ |
| Contact Name: ERIC LEVEQUE | Quote # | |
| Address: 154 COLONNADE ROAD SOUTH | PO # 12049 | |
| Telephone: 226-7381 | Email Address: cleveque@patersongroup.ca | |

Criteria: ☐ O. Reg. 153/04 Table ☒ O. Reg. 153/11 (Current) Table **3** ☐ RSC Filing ☐ O. Reg. 558/00 ☐ PWQO ☐ CCME ☐ SUB (Storm) ☐ SUB (Sanitary) Municipality: _____ ☐ Other: _____

Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)

Required Analyses

| Parcel Order Number: | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | Sample Taken | | VOC's | PHC's (F1-F4) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID/Location Name | | | | | Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | MW1 - GW1 | W | | 2 | March | 1512 | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | MW2 - GW1 | W | | 2 | ↓ | ↓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | MW3 - GW1 | W | | 33 | ↓ | ↓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | MW5 - GW1 | W | | 33 | ↓ | ↓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Comments: | | Method of Delivery: Paracel. | |
| Relinquished By (Print & Sign): ERIC LEVEQUE March 16/12 | Received by Driver/Depot: M. Urose Date/Time: 16/03/12 1:06 PM Temperature: 1°C | Received at Lab: SCF Date/Time: Mar 16/12 Temperature: 13.1°C 1:43 PM | Verified By: MYC Date/Time: Mar 16/12 1:55 pH Verified <input type="checkbox"/> By: N/A |

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Nepean, ON K2E 7J5
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 24809
Project: PE4378
Custody: 44338

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018
Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Order #: 1830513

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 1830513-01 | MW3-GW |
| 1830513-02 | MW5-GW1 |
| 1830513-03 | MW6-GW1 |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 24809

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Project Description: PE4378

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 27-Jul-18 | 28-Jul-18 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 27-Jul-18 | 28-Jul-18 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 27-Jul-18 | 28-Jul-18 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Client PO: 24809

Project Description: PE4378

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---|
| Client ID: | MW3-GW | MW5-GW1 | MW6-GW1 | - |
| Sample Date: | 07/26/2018 09:00 | 07/26/2018 09:00 | 07/26/2018 09:00 | - |
| Sample ID: | 1830513-01 | 1830513-02 | 1830513-03 | - |
| MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | - |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|------|------|------|---|
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Client PO: 24809

Project Description: PE4378

| | Client ID: | MW3-GW | MW5-GW1 | MW6-GW1 | |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---|
| | Sample Date: | 07/26/2018 09:00 | 07/26/2018 09:00 | 07/26/2018 09:00 | - |
| | Sample ID: | 1830513-01 | 1830513-02 | 1830513-03 | - |
| | MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | 101% | 100% | 105% | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | 105% | 105% | 104% | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 103% | 99.8% | 103% | - |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------|------|------|---|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | <25 | <25 | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Client PO: 24809

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 88.0 | | ug/L | | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 87.8 | | ug/L | | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 81.1 | | ug/L | | 101 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Client PO: 24809

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 81.6 | | ug/L | | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 84.5 | | ug/L | | 106 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 81.1 | | ug/L | | 101 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Client PO: 24809

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 1780 | 25 | ug/L | | 88.8 | 68-117 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1650 | 100 | ug/L | | 103 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 4130 | 100 | ug/L | | 105 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 2730 | 100 | ug/L | | 110 | 60-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 94.1 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 94.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 27.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 67.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 32.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 80.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 42.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 107 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 25.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 62.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 32.8 | 0.2 | ug/L | | 82.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 30.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 74.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 29.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 73.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 37.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 94.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 26.7 | 1.0 | ug/L | | 66.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 29.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 73.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 28.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 28.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 26.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 66.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 28.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 70.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 28.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 28.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 72.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 29.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 73.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 27.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 68.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 28.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 70.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 27.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 69.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 28.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | 29.8 | 0.2 | ug/L | | 74.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 32.5 | 1.0 | ug/L | | 81.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 71.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 71.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 88.2 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 88.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 61.4 | 2.0 | ug/L | | 61.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 30.2 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 75.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 27.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 68.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 33.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 84.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 32.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 81.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 28.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 28.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 29.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 73.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 28.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 71.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 26.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 66.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 29.0 | 1.0 | ug/L | | 72.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 30.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 75.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 61.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 77.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 30.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 75.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 77.8 | | ug/L | | 97.3 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 24809

Report Date: 31-Jul-2018

Order Date: 26-Jul-2018

Project Description: PE4378

Qualifier Notes:

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.

Paracel ID: 1830513



Head Office
319 St. Laurent Blvd.
3, Ontario K1G 4J8
416-749-1947
info@paracellabs.com

Chain of Custody

(Lab Use Only)

No 44338

Page 1 of 1

Turnaround Time:

☐ 1 Day ☐ 3 Day
☐ 2 Day ☒ Regular
Date Required: _____

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Client Name: Mark D'Arcy | Project Reference: PE 4378 |
| Contact Name: Paterson Group | Quote # |
| Address: 154 Colborne Rd | PO # 248001 |
| Telephone: 613 226 7381 | Email Address: mdarcy@patersongroup.ca |

Criteria: ☒ O. Reg. 153/04 (As Amended) Table ☐ RSC Filing ☐ O. Reg. 558/00 ☐ PWQO ☐ CCME ☐ SUB (Storm) ☐ SUB (Sanitary) Municipality: _____ ☐ Other: _____

Matrix Type: S (Soil-Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)

Required Analyses

| Parcel Order Number: 1830 ST3 | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | Sample Taken | | PHC FI-F4 | VOCs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID/Location Name | | | | | Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | MW3-GW | W | | 3 | 26 July '18 | Am | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | MW5-GW1 | W | | 3 | ↓ | Am | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | MW6-GW1 | W | | 3 | ↓ | Am | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments:

Method of Delivery:

Paracel

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Relinquished By (Sign): <i>Phil Price</i> | Received by Driver/Depot: <i>T. J. Kuse</i> | Received at Lab: <i>SUNBORN LAB</i> | Verified By: <i>MD</i> |
| Relinquished By (Print): PHILIP PRICE | Date/Time: 26/07/18 4:05 | Date/Time: 26/07/18 05:05 | Date/Time: 26/07/18 6:45 |
| Date/Time: 26 July 2018 | Temperature: °C <i>21.7</i> | Temperature: 21.7 °C | pH Verified [✓] By: |

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Nepean, ON K2E 7J5
Attn: Sam Berube

Client PO: 30426
Project: PE4378
Custody: 128484

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021
Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Order #: 2141403

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 2141403-01 | MW3-GW3 |
| 2141403-02 | BH12-GW1 |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.
Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Client PO: 30426

Project Description: PE4378

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 8-Oct-21 | 8-Oct-21 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 8-Oct-21 | 10-Oct-21 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 8-Oct-21 | 8-Oct-21 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Client PO: 30426

Project Description: PE4378

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | MW3-GW3 | BH12-GW1 | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 06-Oct-21 09:00 | 06-Oct-21 09:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2141403-01 | 2141403-02 | - | - |
| MDL/Units | Water | Water | - | - |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|------|------|---|---|
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | - | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | - | - |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | <2.0 | <2.0 | - | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Client PO: 30426

Project Description: PE4378

| | Client ID: | | MW3-GW3 | BH12-GW1 | - | - |
|------------------------|--------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | | 06-Oct-21 09:00 | 06-Oct-21 09:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | | 2141403-01 | 2141403-02 | - | - |
| | MDL/Units | | Water | Water | - | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | | 91.0% | 92.8% | - | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | | 100% | 101% | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | | 81.2% | 81.7% | - | - |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------|---|---|---|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | - | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | - | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | - | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Client PO: 30426

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2- | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 81.6 | | ug/L | | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 80.7 | | ug/L | | 101 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 66.5 | | ug/L | | 83.1 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Client PO: 30426

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 12.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | 37.5 | | | 98.9 | 30 | QR-07 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2- | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 14.1 | 5.0 | ug/L | 51.4 | | | 114.0 | 30 | QR-07 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 68.7 | | ug/L | | 85.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 79.5 | | ug/L | | 99.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 65.2 | | ug/L | | 81.6 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Client PO: 30426

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 2090 | 25 | ug/L | ND | 104 | 68-117 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1500 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 94.0 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 4440 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 113 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 2900 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 117 | 60-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 75.6 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 75.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 30.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 77.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 41.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 25.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 64.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 31.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 78.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 33.3 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 83.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 38.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 95.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 30.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 75.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 29.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 36.1 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 90.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 29.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 29.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 72.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 29.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 72.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 29.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 28.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 70.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 34.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 86.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 29.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 30.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 77.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 28.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 71.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 30.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 76.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 29.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 74.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 32.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 80.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2- | 35.6 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 89.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 37.1 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 92.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 71.6 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 71.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 62.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 62.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 62.3 | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | 62.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 33.0 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 82.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 34.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 86.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 29.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 31.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 78.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 38.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 37.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 92.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 37.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 93.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 30.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 76.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 31.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 78.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 32.5 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 81.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 36.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 90.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 57.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 71.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 36.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 90.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 63.8 | | ug/L | | 79.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 76.5 | | ug/L | | 95.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 58.5 | | ug/L | | 73.1 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 30426

Report Date: 12-Oct-2021

Order Date: 6-Oct-2021

Project Description: PE4378

Qualifier Notes:

QC Qualifiers :

QR-07 : Duplicate result exceeds RPD limits due to non-homogeneity between multiple sample vials. Remainder of QA/QC is acceptable.

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.



Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Nepean, ON K2E 7J5
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 27628
Project: PE4378
Custody: 123200

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019
Order Date: 22-Oct-2019

Order #: 1943316

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 1943316-01 | MW1-GW1 |
| 1943316-02 | MW3-GW2 |
| 1943316-03 | BH5-GW2 |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.
Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Order Date: 22-Oct-2019

Project Description: PE4378

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 25-Oct-19 | 26-Oct-19 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 22-Oct-19 | 24-Oct-19 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 25-Oct-19 | 26-Oct-19 |

Certificate of Analysis
Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers
Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Order Date: 22-Oct-2019

Project Description: PE4378

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| Client ID: | MW1-GW1 | MW3-GW2 | BH5-GW2 | - |
| Sample Date: | 21-Oct-19 12:00 | 21-Oct-19 12:00 | 21-Oct-19 12:00 | - |
| Sample ID: | 1943316-01 | 1943316-02 | 1943316-03 | - |
| MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | - |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|------|------|------|---|
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Order Date: 22-Oct-2019

Project Description: PE4378

| | Client ID: | MW1-GW1 | MW3-GW2 | BH5-GW2 | |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | Sample Date: | 21-Oct-19 12:00 | 21-Oct-19 12:00 | 21-Oct-19 12:00 | |
| | Sample ID: | 1943316-01 | 1943316-02 | 1943316-03 | |
| | MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | 106% | 108% | 106% | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | 109% | 62.9% | 109% | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 97.0% | 99.6% | 97.1% | - |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------|------|------|---|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | <25 | <25 | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Order Date: 22-Oct-2019

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 82.8 | | ug/L | | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 80.7 | | ug/L | | 101 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 79.8 | | ug/L | | 99.8 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis
Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers
Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019
Order Date: 22-Oct-2019
Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 84.7 | | ug/L | | 106 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 79.5 | | ug/L | | 99.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 79.7 | | ug/L | | 99.6 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Order Date: 22-Oct-2019

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 1950 | 25 | ug/L | | 97.3 | 68-117 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1120 | 100 | ug/L | | 70.0 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 2860 | 100 | ug/L | | 73.0 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 2700 | 100 | ug/L | | 109 | 60-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 67.3 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 67.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 49.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 125 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 37.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 93.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 40.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 102 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 33.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 84.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 34.0 | 0.2 | ug/L | | 84.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 35.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 89.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 35.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 88.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 38.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 96.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 32.6 | 1.0 | ug/L | | 81.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 40.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 41.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 38.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 97.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 36.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 89.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 29.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 72.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 41.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 43.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 108 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 43.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 108 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 38.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 97.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 37.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 92.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 32.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 81.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 32.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 80.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane) | 43.4 | 0.2 | ug/L | | 108 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 31.7 | 1.0 | ug/L | | 79.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 75.1 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 75.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 92.2 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 92.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 84.2 | 2.0 | ug/L | | 84.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 34.6 | 5.0 | ug/L | | 86.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 44.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 37.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 92.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 29.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 73.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 38.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 95.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 34.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 86.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 33.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 82.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 41.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 104 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 49.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 123 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 30.5 | 1.0 | ug/L | | 76.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 32.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 80.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 70.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 87.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 34.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | | 87.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 89.7 | | ug/L | | 112 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis
Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers
Client PO: 27628

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019
Order Date: 22-Oct-2019
Project Description: PE4378

Qualifier Notes:

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable
ND: Not Detected
MDL: Method Detection Limit
Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples
%REC: Percent recovery.
RPD: Relative percent difference.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.



| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Client Name: <u>Petersen</u> | Project Reference: <u>PE4378</u> | Turnaround Time: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular Date Required: _____ |
| Contact Name: <u>Mark D'Arcy</u> | Quote # | |
| Address: <u>154 Colonel R</u> | PO # <u>27628</u> | |
| Telephone: <u>613 226 7381</u> | Email Address: <u>MDarcy@Petersengroup.ca</u> | |

Criteria: ☒ O. Reg. 153/04 (As Amended) Table ☐ RSC Filing ☐ O. Reg. 558/00 ☐ PWQO ☐ CCME ☐ SUB (Storm) ☐ SUB (Sanitary) Municipality: _____ ☐ Other: _____

Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)

Required Analyses

| Parcel Order Number: <u>1943316</u> | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | Sample Taken | | PHCs F1-F4+BTEX | VOCs | PAHs | Metals by ICP | Hg | Cd | Pb | Cu | Zn | Mn | Fe | Al | Si | B (HWS) |
|--|---------|--------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----------------|------|------|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|
| | | | | | Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID/Location Name | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | MW1-GW1 | W | | 2 | 21 Oct | PM | / | / | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | MW3-GW2 | W | | 2 | ↓ | ↓ | / | / | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | BH5-GW2 | W | | 3 | ↓ | ↓ | / | / | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments: _____ Method of Delivery: Swift

| | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Relinquished By (Sign): <u>[Signature]</u> | Received by Driver/Depot: <u>[Signature]</u> | Received at Lab: <u>[Signature]</u> | Verified By: <u>AGM</u> |
| Relinquished By (Print): <u>PHILIP PRICE</u> | Date/Time: _____ | Date/Time: <u>10-22-19 15:00</u> | Date/Time: <u>10/22/19 17:39</u> |
| Date/Time: <u>21 Oct 2019</u> | Temperature: _____ °C | Temperature: <u>9.8</u> °C | pH Verified [] By: <u>NA</u> |

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Nepean, ON K2E 7J5
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 54497
Project: PE4378
Custody: 44437

Report Date: 10-May-2022
Order Date: 4-May-2022

Order #: 2219425

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|------------|
| 2219425-01 | BH1-22-GW1 |
| 2219425-02 | BH2-22-GW1 |
| 2219425-03 | BH6-22-GW1 |
| 2219425-04 | BH7-22-GW1 |
| 2219425-05 | BH8-22-GW1 |
| 2219425-06 | DUP1-GW1 |
| 2219425-07 | DUP2-GW1 |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 54497

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Project Description: PE4378

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 6-May-22 | 6-May-22 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 9-May-22 | 9-May-22 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 6-May-22 | 6-May-22 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

| | MDL/Units | Client ID: | BH1-22-GW1 | BH2-22-GW1 | BH6-22-GW1 | BH7-22-GW1 |
|--|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Sample Date: | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 |
| | | Sample ID: | 2219425-01 | 2219425-02 | 2219425-03 | 2219425-04 |
| | | | Water | Water | Water | Water |
| Volatiles | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | | 34.7 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.8 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | 0.2 ug/L | | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

| | MDL/Units | Client ID: | BH1-22-GW1 | BH2-22-GW1 | BH6-22-GW1 | BH7-22-GW1 |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Sample Date: | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 |
| | | Sample ID: | 2219425-01 | 2219425-02 | 2219425-03 | 2219425-04 |
| | | | Water | Water | Water | Water |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | | 116% | 114% | 138% | 118% |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | | 83.0% | 98.8% | 82.2% | 81.2% |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | | 105% | 105% | 105% | 104% |

Hydrocarbons

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------|------|------|------|
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

| Client ID: | BH8-22-GW1 | DUP1-GW1 | DUP2-GW1 | - |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| Sample Date: | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | - |
| Sample ID: | 2219425-05 | 2219425-06 | 2219425-07 | - |
| MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | - |

Volatiles

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------|---|---|---|
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | - | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | - | - | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | - | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1 | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | - | - | - |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | - | - | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | - | - | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | - | - | - |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | <2.0 | - | - | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | - | - | - |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

| | Client ID: | BH8-22-GW1 | DUP1-GW1 | DUP2-GW1 | - |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | Sample Date: | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | 03-May-22 09:00 | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2219425-05 | 2219425-06 | 2219425-07 | - |
| | MDL/Units | Water | Water | Water | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | - | - | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | 115% | - | - | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | 82.3% | - | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 105% | - | - | - |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | <25 | <25 | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2- | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 93.5 | | ug/L | | 117 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 66.0 | | ug/L | | 82.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 87.8 | | ug/L | | 110 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2- | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 92.3 | | ug/L | | 115 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 65.6 | | ug/L | | 82.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 83.7 | | ug/L | | 105 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Client PO: 54497

Project Description: PE4378

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 1910 | 25 | ug/L | ND | 111 | 68-117 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1360 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 84.8 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 4250 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 109 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 3340 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 135 | 60-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 75.0 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 75.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 30.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 76.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 41.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 104 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 42.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 107 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 44.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 111 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 35.4 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 88.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 40.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 32.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 80.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 39.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 98.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 38.8 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 97.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 38.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 40.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 39.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 34.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 85.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 30.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 76.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 44.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 111 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 30.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 76.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 40.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 30.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 76.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 39.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 98.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 31.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 79.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 39.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2) | 40.0 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 99.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 39.0 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 97.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 86.4 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 86.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 79.9 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 79.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 86.2 | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | 86.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 36.6 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 91.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 44.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 44.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 111 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 31.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 79.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 40.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 41.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 31.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 77.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 44.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 40.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 39.8 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 99.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 36.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 91.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 75.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 93.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 36.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 91.9 | 60-130 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 54497

Report Date: 10-May-2022

Order Date: 4-May-2022

Project Description: PE4378

Qualifier Notes:

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable
ND: Not Detected
MDL: Method Detection Limit
Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples
%REC: Percent recovery.
RPD: Relative percent difference.
NC: Not Calculated

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.



| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Client Name: <u>Patersen Group Inc.</u> | Project Reference: <u>PE4378</u> | Turnaround Time: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular Date Required: _____ |
| Contact Name: <u>Samuel Benite, Mark DiAngelo</u> | Quote # _____ | |
| Address: <u>154 Colonnade R.L.</u> | PO # <u>54497</u> | |
| Telephone: <u>613-226-7381</u> | Email Address: <u>sbenuhe@patersengroup.ca</u> | |

Criteria: ☒ O. Reg. 153/04 (As Amended) Table ☐ RSC Filing ☐ O. Reg. 558/00 ☐ PWQO ☐ CCME ☐ SUB (Storm) ☐ SUB (Sanitary) Municipality: _____ ☐ Other: _____

Matrix Type: S (Soil Sed.) ☒ GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)

Required Analyses

| Parcel Order Number: | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | Sample Taken | | PHCS (F ₁ -F ₄) | VOC | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|--------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID/Location Name | | | | | Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | BH1-22-GWL | GW | | 3 | May 3/22 | | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | BH2-22-GWL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | BH6-22-GWL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | BH7-22-GWL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | BH8-22-GWL | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | DUP1-GWL | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | DUP2-GWL | ✓ | | ✓ | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments:

Method of Delivery

PARACEL
LABORATORIES

| | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Relinquished By (Sign): <u>[Signature]</u> | Received by Driver/Depot: <u>[Signature]</u> | Received at Lab: <u>[Signature]</u> | Verified By: <u>[Signature]</u> |
| Relinquished By (Print): <u>Samuel Benite</u> | Date/Time: <u>04/05/22 4:23</u> | Date/Time: <u>May 04, 2022 05:10</u> | Date/Time: <u>May 5/22</u> |
| Date/Time: _____ | Temperature: _____ °C | Temperature: <u>16.6</u> °C | pH Verified By: <u>N/A</u> |